# FINAL AS-BUILT BASELINE MONITORING REPORT

#### BOBS CREEK STREAM MITIGATION SITE

NCDMS Project No. 92879
Contract No. D09023S
USACE Action ID No. SAW-2009-917 & NCDWR Project No. 10-0122
SCO No. 08-07308-01
McDowell County, North Carolina

Data Collection: April 2016 Submission: July 2016



#### PREPARED FOR:

N.C. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION OF MITIGATION SERVICES 1601 MAIL SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27699-1601

# FINAL AS-BUILT BASELINE MONITORING REPORT

#### BOBS CREEK STREAM MITIGATION SITE

NCDMS Project No. 92879
Contract No. D09023S
USACE Action ID No. SAW-2009-917 & NCDWR Project No. 10-0122
SCO No. 08-07308-01
McDowell County, North Carolina

Data Collection: April 2016 Submission: July 2016



#### PREPARED BY:

AXIOM ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 218 SNOW AVENUE RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27603



**JULY 2016** 

#### PROJECT SUMMARY

The North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (NCDMS) has established the Bobs Creek Stream Mitigation Site (Site). The primary goals of the project focused on improving water quality by reducing nutrient loading from the on-site buffalo and horse operation, reducing excess sedimentation input from site channel banks and hill slopes, increasing the attenuation of floodwater flows, and restoring and enhancing aquatic and riparian habitat. These goals were accomplished through the following objectives.

- Reduce point (i.e. buffalo directly accessing the channel) and non-point source (i.e. stormwater runoff through pastures) pollution associated with an on-site buffalo and horse operation by exclusionary fencing from the stream and riparian buffer, and by providing a vegetative buffer on stream banks and adjacent floodplains to treat nutrient enriched surface runoff from adjacent pastureland.
- Stabilize degraded portions of on-site streams, to reduce sediment inputs. Stabilization methods will include the following.
  - 1. Restoring a stable dimension, pattern, and profile to selected sections of channels to ensure the channel will transport and attenuate watershed flows and sediment loads without aggrading or degrading.
  - 2. Stabilize selected channel banks by excavating bankfull benches, placing stream structures to reduce shearing forces on outside meander bends, and planting native vegetative species to provide soil stability.
  - 3. Stabilize selected channel banks by matting and planting native vegetative species to establish root masses along channel and valley side slopes.
- Improve aquatic habitat by enhancing stream bed variability, providing shading/cover areas within the stream channel, and introducing woody debris in the form of rootwads, log vanes, and log sills.
- Diversify aquatic habitat by creating floodplain oxbows that will be breeding grounds for amphibians and also store overbank flows from adjacent stream channels.
- Enhance fish passage within Bobs Creek and UT 8 Bobs Creek. This is accomplished by removing livestock fencing that has become clogged with debris on Bobs Creek, and restoring UT 8 Bobs Creek and replacing an existing perched culvert to allow fish passage upstream.
- Enhance riparian wildlife habitat by fencing livestock out of existing and restored riparian buffers as well as installing alternative watering devices that will ensure livestock have sufficient watering areas. This is detailed further in the Farm Management Plans completed for the site by NCDMS.
- Enhance wildlife habitat by vegetating existing denuded riparian buffers with native trees, shrubs, herbs, and grasses. Forest vegetation species were selected by studying a Reference Forest Ecosystem located on-site and reviewing Montane Alluvial Forest species listed in *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina: Third Approximation* (Schafale and Weakley 1990).
- Create wildlife corridors through agricultural lands which have significantly dissected the landscape. The corridors will provide connectivity to a diversity of habitats including mature forest, early successional forest, stream-side forest, riparian wetlands, and uplands.

<u>Stream Success Criteria</u>: Success criteria for stream restoration will include 1) successful classification of the reach as a functioning stream system (Rosgen 1996) and 2) channel variables indicative of a stable stream system.

Collected data will be utilized to determine the success in restoring stream channel stability. Specifically, the width-to-depth ratio and bank-height ratios should be indicative of a stable or moderately unstable channel with minimal changes in cross-sectional area, channel width, and/or bank erosion along the monitoring reach. In addition, channel abandonment and/or shoot cutoffs must not occur and sinuosity values must remain relatively constant. Visual assessment of instream structures will be conducted to determine if failure has occurred. Failure of a structure may be indicated by collapse of the structure,

undermining of the structure, abandonment of the channel around the structure, and/or stream flow beneath the structure.

Stream Dimension: General maintenance of a stable cross-section and hydrologic access to the floodplain features over the course of the monitoring period will generally represent success in dimensional stability. Some changes in dimension (such as lowering of bankfull width) should be expected. Riffle cross-sections should generally maintain a bank-height ratio approaching 1.0, with some variation in this ratio naturally occurring. Pool cross-sections naturally adjust based on recent flows and time between flows, therefore more leeway on pool cross-section geometry is expected.

<u>Stream Pattern and Profile</u>: The profile should not demonstrate significant trends towards degradation or aggradation over a significant portion of a reach. Additionally, bed form variables should remain noticeably intact and consistent with original design parameters that were based off of reference conditions. Pattern features should show little adjustment over the standard 5-year monitoring period and will be monitored to ensure adjustment is minor prior to close out.

<u>Substrate</u>: Substrate measurements should indicate the progression towards or the maintenance of the known distributions from the design phase.

<u>Sediment Transport</u>: There should be an absence of any significant trend in the aggradational or depositional potential of the channel.

<u>Hydraulics</u>: A minimum of two bankfull events must be documented within the standard 5-year monitoring period. The two bankfull events shall occur within separate years.

<u>Vegetation Success Criteria</u>: Success criteria have been established to verify that the vegetation component supports community elements necessary for forest development. Success criteria are dependent upon the density and growth of characteristic forest species. An average density of 320 stems per acre of planted stems must be surviving in the first three monitoring years. Subsequently, 290 planted stems per acre must be surviving in year 4 and 260 planted stems per acre in year 5.

The Bobs Site is located approximately five miles southeast of the town of Marion (Figure 1, Appendix B). The Site is situated due southwest of the intersection of Marlowe Road and Fat Wall Road in McDowell County, North Carolina and is located within the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03050101040010 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality Subbasin 03-08-30) of the Catawba River Basin and will service USGS 8-digit Cataloging Unit 03050101.

The contributing watersheds are characterized primarily by forest land (approximately 87 percent of the total area) with pasture at the lower elevations (approximately 10 percent of the total area) and low-density residential development scattered along the outer fringes of the pasture/agricultural land. Impervious surfaces appear to account for approximately one percent of the watershed land surface. Prior to Site construction, riparian vegetation had been removed, stream channels were manipulated, and hoof shear from livestock on stream banks and floodplain soils was responsible for degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics (stream entrenchment, erosion, and bank collapse) within Site streams.

Project mitigation efforts resulted in the following.

- Restore 929 linear feet of stream
- Enhance (Level I) 238 linear feet of stream
- Enhance (Level II) 402 linear feet of stream
- Preserve 6794 linear feet of stream
- Preserve 0.35 acres of riparian wetland

The Muddy Creek Restoration Partnership (Partnership) was formed in 1998 to address impacts to the Muddy Creek Watershed. The Partnership completed the *Muddy Creek Watershed Restoration Initiative Feasibility Report and Restoration Plan* (Watershed Plan) for the Muddy Creek Watershed in December of 2003 (MCRP 2003). Since 2004 NCDMS has informally participated in the Partnership by implementing priority projects named by the partnership and adopted the 2003 report as part of its Local Watershed Plan (LWP). The NCDMS's *Upper Catawba River Basin Restoration Priorities* (2009) identifies North Muddy Creek as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW). The Site is located within the North Muddy Creek Watershed. In 2008 NCDMS contracted with a consulting firm to conduct outreach programs with landowners and identify additional project sites in the Muddy Creek Watershed.

The primary goals identified by the Partnership's Watershed Plan include the following.

- 1. Restore the Watershed to its Full Intended Use
- 2. Restore Riparian Buffers
- 3. Enhance Open Space Preservation
- 4. Improve Water Quality
- 5. Restore Physical Habitat
- 6. Establish a Trout Fishery

The Watershed Plan listed the following components of watershed restoration to be expected.

- 1. Natural Channel Design Stream Restoration
- 2. Riparian Reforestation
- 3. Livestock Exclusion
- 4. Riparian Forest Preservation

These four components were included within the *Bobs Creek Site's Mitigation Plan* (NCEEP 2009). The project restored the watershed to its full intended use by restoring a stream, floodplain, and riparian wetland ecosystem through stream and wetland restoration, enhancement and preservation. The project restored riparian buffers through revegetation of buffer zones with native riparian and wetland species along all Site streams. The project enhanced open space preservation by placing Site streams, wetlands, and their buffers into a permanent conservation easement. The overall Site helps improve water quality by reducing sedimentation in on-Site streams and planted a vegetated riparian buffer that filters nutrients from adjacent pasturelands. Additionally, exclusionary fencing and alternate watering devices removed livestock from accessing on-site channels and riparian buffers. The project restored and enhanced physical habitat for both aquatic and terrestrial species by planting native vegetation along stream banks and riparian buffers, creating wildlife corridors through a dissected landscape, and restoring bedform variability to Site streams. The stabilization of streams and buffers in the project area enhanced water quality in downstream receiving waters, which should help in the re-establishment of the watershed's ability to host trout and enhance their ability to propagate.

Site design was completed in April 2014. Site construction was completed in December 2015 and Site planting was completed in December 2015. Completed project activities, reporting history, completion dates, project contacts, and project attributes are summarized in Tables 1-4 (Appendix A).

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJEC	Γ SUMMARYi
	ETHODS
2.0 R	EFERENCES2
	APPENDICES
Appendix	x A. Background Tables
T	Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Units
T	Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
T	Table 3. Project Contacts Table
T	Table 4. Project Attributes Table
Appendix	x B. Visual Assessment Data
F	igure 1. Site Location
F	igures 2, 2A-2B. Current Conditions Plan View
F	igures 3, 3A-3B. Project Assets
S	tream Fixed Station Photo Points
V	Vegetation Plot Photographs
Appendix	x C. Vegetation Data
T	Table 5. Planted Bare Root Woody Vegetation
T	Table 6. Total Planted Stems by Plot and Species
Appendix	x D. Stream Measurement and Geomorphology Data
C	Cross-section Plots
L	ongitudinal Profile Plots
S	ubstrate Plots
T	Tables 7A-7B. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
T	Tables 8A-8B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Appendix	x E. As-built Plan Sheets

#### 1.0 METHODS

Monitoring of restoration efforts will be performed for five years or until success criteria are fulfilled. Monitoring is proposed for the stream channel and vegetation. In general, the restoration success criteria, and required remediation actions, are based on the *Stream Mitigation Guidelines* (USACE et al. 2003). Monitoring features are described below and are depicted on Figure 2 (Appendix B).

#### **Streams**

The restored stream reaches are proposed to be monitored for geometric activity as follows.

- 850 linear feet of stream profile
- 4 riffle cross-sections
- 1 pool cross-section

The data will be presented in graphic and tabular format. Data to be presented will include 1) cross-sectional area, 2) bankfull width, 3) average depth, 4) maximum depth, 5) width-to-depth ratio, 6) meander wavelength, 7) belt-width, 8) water surface slope, and 9) sinuosity. The stream will subsequently be classified according to stream geometry and substrate (Rosgen 1996). Significant changes in channel morphology will be tracked and reported by comparing data in each successive monitoring year. Pebble counts will be completed at the 4 riffle cross-sections to be used for substrate analysis (Appendix D). Annual photographs will include 27 fixed station photographs (Appendix B). In addition, the Site contains two stream crest gauges to assist with documentation of bankfull events.

#### Vegetation

Restoration monitoring procedures for vegetation will monitor plant survival and species diversity. The Site planting area consists of 1.8 acres. After planting was completed, three vegetation plots were installed and monitored at the Site; baseline results can be found in Appendix C. Annual measurements of vegetation will consist of the following.

- 2 plant warranty inspection plots (only monitoring years 1-3)
- 3 CVS vegetation plots

A photographic record of plant growth should be included in each annual monitoring report; baseline photographs are included in Appendix B. During the first year, vegetation will receive a cursory, visual evaluation on a periodic basis to ascertain the degree of overtopping of planted elements by nuisance species. Subsequently, quantitative sampling of vegetation will be performed as outlined in the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation*, *Version 4.2* (Lee et al. 2008) in September of the first monitoring year and annually between June 1 and September 30 for the remainder of the monitoring period until vegetation success criteria are achieved.

#### 2.0 REFERENCES

- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Muddy Creek Restoration Partners (MCRP), 2003. Feasibility Report and Restoration Plan for the Muddy Creek Watershed.
- North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP). 2009. Bobs Creek Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site Mitigation Plan. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP). 2009. Upper Catawba River BasinRestoration Priorities 2009 (online). Available: http://www.nceep.net/services/restplans/Upper\_Catawba\_RBRP\_2009.pdf [March 12, 2009]. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Rosgen D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
- Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina: Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (NCWRC), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. State of North Carolina.

# Appendix A. Background Tables

Table 1. Project Mitigation Components
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
Table 3. Project Contacts Table
Table 4. Project Attributes Table

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits Bobs Creek Mitigation Site/ DMS Number 92879

				Mitigatio	on Credit Summation	18			
Stream	Rip	oarian Wetland	Nonripa	rian Wetland	Bu	ffer	Niti	rogen Offset	Phosphorous Offset
2607		0.07							
Project Component –or-I	Reach	Stationing	Existing Footage or	Restoration Footage or	jects Components  Restoration Level/Equivalent	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Credits		Comment
Bobs Creek Bob Creek As-built Plan Sta	ationing	39+86 - 43+21 (09+90 - 13+25)	Acreage	335 335	Restoration (PI)	1:1	335		away from terrace and around g mature vegetation.
Bobs Creek		36+74 - 37+21 37+89 - 38+67 39+14 - 39+50	3315	161	Enhance I	1.5:1	107		excavation, channel structures, plantings on degraded banks.
Bobs Creek 37+21 – 37+89 Exchange II 2.5:1 60 Exchange Conservat		conservation ea	ry fencing and permanent sement. The easement break at emoved from credit summation.						
Bobs Creek		10+00 – 36+74		2674	Preservation	5:1	535		reaks have been removed from edit summation.
UT 1 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 20+60	1060	1060	Preservation	5:1	212		
UT 2 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 15+90	590	590	Preservation	5:1	118		
UT 3 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 15+30	530	530	Preservation	5:1	106	The easement	break has been removed from
UT 4 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 16+51 10+00 - 10+75	726	726	Preservation	5:1	145		redit summation.
UT 5 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 12+24	224	224	Preservation	5:1	45		
UT 6 Bobs Creek		10+17 - 10+37 10+73 - 10+78 12+50 - 12+76		51	Enhance II	2.5:1	20	Vegetative plant	ings on degraded meanders and matting.
UT 6 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 10+17 10+37 - 10+73 10+78 - 12+50 12+76 - 13+37	369 286	Preservation	5:1	5:1 57 -			
UT 7 Bobs Creek		15+23 – 15+48		25	Enhance I	1.5:1	17		excavation, channel structures, plantings on degraded banks.
UT 7 Bobs Creek		10+00 - 15+23 15+48 - 16+36	682	611	Preservation	5:1	122	The easement	oreak at the crossing has been from credit summation.

UT 8 Bobs Creek Bob Creek As-built Plan Stationing	11+58 - 13+35 (10+00 - 11+77) 15+22 - 16+95 (10+00 - 11+73) 17+85 - 19+39 (13+16 - 14+70)		504	Restoration (PI)	1:1	504	Channel moved away from valley side slope, and around mature vegetation in Upstream Reach.  New channel location in new valley in Downstream Reach. The easement break at the crossing in the downstream reach has been removed from credit summation.
UT 8 Bobs Creek Bob Creek As-built Plan Stationing	16+95 – 17+85 (12+26 – 13+16)	985	90	Restoration (PII)	1:1	90	Channel moved approximately 100 feet to the west of existing location to historic valley.
UT 8 Bobs Creek	10+93 - 11+25 14+45 - 14+65		52	Enhance I	1.5:1	35	Bankfull bench excavation, channel structure, and vegetative plantings on degraded banks.
UT 8 Bobs Creek	11+25 - 11+58 13+35 - 14+45 14+65 - 15+22		200	Enhance II	2.5:1	80	Vegetative plantings on degraded meanders and matting.
UT 8 Bobs Creek	10+00 – 10+93		93	Preservation	5:1	19	
Wetlands		0.35	0.35	Preservation	5:1	0.07	

#### **Length and Area Summations**

Restoration Level	Stream (linear footage)	Riparian Wetland (acreage)		Riparian Wetland (acreage)		Riparian Wetland (acreage)		Riparian Wetland (acreage)		Nonriparian Wetland (acreage)	Buffer (square feet)	Upland (acres)
		Riverine	Non-Riverine									
Restoration	929											
Enhancement (Level I)	238			-								
Enhancement (Level II)	402											
Preservation	6,794	0.35										
Totals	8,363	0.35										
Mitigation Units	2,607 SMUs	0.07 Riparian WMUs		0.00 Nonriparian WMUs								

#### **BMP Elements**

Element	Location	Purpose/Function	Notes

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Bobs Creek Mitigation Site/ DMS Number 92879

Bobs Creek Wildgatton Sites Bivis Number 72077	<b>Data Collection</b>	Completion
Activity or Deliverable	Complete	or Delivery
Project Institution		
Mitigation Plan	April 2009	December 2009
Permits Issued		
Final Design – Construction Plans		April 2014
Construction		December 2015
Temporary S&E Mix applied to Entire Project Site		December 2015
Permanent Seed Mix applied to the Entire Project Site		December 2015
Bare Root; Containerized; and B&B Plantings for the		December 2015
Entire Project Site		December 2013
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring	April 2016	July 2016
Baseline)	71pm 2010	341y 2010
Year 1 Monitoring		
Year 2 Monitoring		
Year 3 Monitoring		
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

## **Table 3. Project Contact Table**

**Bobs Creek Mitigation Site/ DMS Number 92879** 

Designer	Florence & Hutcheson Engineering
	(Now HDR)
	5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100
	Raleigh, NC 27607
	Kevin Williams (919) 851-6066
Construction Plans and Sediment and	Florence & Hutcheson Engineering
<b>Erosion Control Plans</b>	(Now HDR)
	5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100
	Raleigh, NC 27607
	Kevin Williams (919) 851-6066
Construction Contractor	Carolina Environmental Contracting, Inc.
	Mount Airy, NC
	(336) 320-3849
Planting Contractor	Keller Environmental
	7291 Haymarket Lane
	Raleigh, NC 27615
	Jay Keller (919) 749-8259
As-built Surveyor	Turner Land Surveying. PLLC
	3719 Benson Drive
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Elisabeth Turner (919) 827-0745
Baseline Data Collection	Axiom Environmental, Inc.
	218 Snow Avenue
	Raleigh, NC 27603
	Grant Lewis (919) 215-1693


Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes Bobs Creek Mitigation Site/ DMS Number 92879


Bobs Creek Mitigation Site/ DM		ct Information	)n							
Project name Bobs Creek Mitigation Site										
Project county	McDowell County, North Carolina									
Project area (Acres)	31.8									
Project coordinates (lat/long)	35.6567°N, 81.9355°W									
Project Watershed Summary Information										
Physiographic region Blue Ridge										
Project river basin			Catawba Riv							
USGS hydrologic unit (8 digit)			030501							
NCDWQ Sub-basin			03-08-							
Project drainage area (acres)			930							
% Drainage area impervious										
CGIA land use classification			1							
COTA faild use classification	Dood Sur	nmary Infor								
Parameters	Keach Sui			's to Bobs Cr	·ook					
1 at a meters	Bobs Creek	UT 1	UT 2/3	UT 4/5	UT 6/7	UT 8				
Length of reach (linear feet)	3321	1060	590/530	726/224	337/636	939				
Valley classification	VIII	II	II	II	II & VII	II				
Drainage area (acres)	930	1	20/120	20/40	440/45	60				
NCDWQ stream identification score	46.5	24	39/24	27/34	27/41.5	33.5				
NCDWQ stream identification score  NCDWQ water quality classification	C C	C	C C							
Morphological description (stream	C C (		С	C E &C4 / A	B4 /	C				
type)	B & C & F4	В4	В4	& B4	C4 & E4	B&C&G4				
Design Rosgen stream type	C4	B4	B4	E &C4 / B4	B4 / C4 & E4	E & C4				
Evolutionary trend										
Design approach (P1, P2, P3, E, etc.)	PI, EI, EII, & P	P	P	P	EI, EII, P	PI. PII, EI, EII, P				
Underlying mapped soils	Tate/Chestnut/ Ashe	Tate	Tate/ Evard/ Cowee	Tate/ Evard	Iotla	Iotla				
Drainage class	Well	Well	Well	Well	SW Poor	SW Poor				
Soil hydric status	Nonhydric	Nonhydric	Nonhydric	Nonhydric	Nonhydric	Nonhydric				
Slope	0.0173	0.191	0.258/ 0.286	0.086/ 0.255	0.039/ 0.047	0.0342				
FEMA classification	Zone AE	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X				
Native vegetation community	Forest/Pasture	Forest	Forest	Forest	Forest	Pasture				
% Composition of exotic invasive					<5					
pp. <5 <5 <5 <5						<5				
	Wetland Su	mmary Info	rmation							
Parameters Wetland 1						and 2				
Size of wetland (acres)	_	0.35								
Wetland type	Riparian Riverine									
Mapped soil series	,	Tate Loam (W	/ehadkee)							
Drainage class		Well (po								
Soil hydric status	Nonhydric (hydric)									


Source of hydrology	Overbank and groundwater					
Hydrologic impairment		None				
Native vegetation community		Forested				
% Composition of exotic invasive		~E				
spp.		<5				
	Regulatory Co	nsiderations				
Deculation	A lia abla 9	Danalara d0	Supporting			
Regulation Applicable? Reso		Resolved?	Documentation			
Waters of the US – Section 404	Yes	Yes	SAW-2009-917			
Waters of the US – Section 401	Yes	Yes	SAW-2009-917			
Endonomial Consists Ant	Yes	Yes	No Effect –			
Endangered Species Act	res	res	CE Document			
Historic Preservation Act	Yes	Yes	CE Document			
Coastal Zone Management Act	No	NIA	NA			
(CZMA/CAMA)	NO	No NA				
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	No Rise			
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	NA	NA			


### Appendix B Visual Assessment Data


Figure 1. Site Location
Figures 2, 2A-2B. Current Conditions Plan View
Figures 3, 3A-3B. Project Assets
Stream Fixed Station Photo Points
Vegetation Plot Photos














































































Photo Point 28

Photo not taken during MY0 (2016)

Bobs Creek
Baseline Vegetation Monitoring Photographs
Taken April 11, 2016







### Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

Table 5. Planted Woody Vegetation
Table 6. Total Planted Stems by Plot and Species

**Table 5. Planted Bare Root Woody Vegetation** 

Species	Quantity
Black gum (Nyssa sylvatica)	200
Red maple (Acer Rubrum)	100
Persimmon (Diospyros virginiana)	100
Water oak (Quercus nigra)	100
Willow oak (Quercus phellos)	200
Green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)	50
Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)	100
TOTAL	850

**Table 6. Total Planted Stems by Plot and Species** 

Project Name: Bobs Creel	(		Current Plot Data (MYO 2016)		Annual Means									
			928	79-01-0	0001	92879-01-0002			92879-01-0003			MY0 (2016)		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1			23	2	2	25
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2				4	4	4
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1							1	1	1
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	6	6	6	5	5	5				11	11	11
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1	6	6	6	10	10	10
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	5	5	5
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5
		Stem count	16	16	16	12	12	12	10	10	33	38	38	61
size (ares)			1			1			1			3		
		size (ACRES)	size (ACRES) 0.02			0.02		0.02			0.07			
		Species count	7	7	7	6	6	6	3	3	4	7	7	7
	!	Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	647.5	485.6	485.6	485.6	404.7	404.7	1335	512.6	512.6	822.9

## Appendix D. Stream Measurements and Geomorphology Data

Cross Section Plots
Longitudinal Profile Plots
Substrate Plots
Tables 7A-6D. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Tables 8A-8B. Monitoring Data-Dimensional Data Summary


Site	Bobs Creek - UT 8
Project Number:	92879
XS ID	XS - 1, Riffle
Reach	UT 8
Date:	4/11/2016
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.00	1218.95
1.43	1219.09
2.76	1219.07
3.80	1218.95
4.84	1218.50
5.47	1218.06
6.02	1217.87
7.27	1217.74
7.77	1217.73
8.72	1217.71
9.00	1217.92
9.35	1217.70
10.05	1217.60
10.64	1217.97
11.55	1218.42
12.4	1218.82
13.6	1218.88
14.9	1218.79

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	1218.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	6.6
Bankfull Width:	8.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	1220.0
Flood Prone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	10.4
Entrenchment Ratio:	12.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	Е
-------------	---




Site	Bobs Creek - UT 8
<b>Project Number:</b>	92879
XS ID	XS - 2, Pool
Reach	UT 8
Date:	4/11/2016
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.0	1222.7
4.1	1222.4
6.9	1222.1
8.0	1221.9
9.0	1221.8
10.4	1221.2
11.3	1220.8
12.5	1220.7
13.3	1220.5
13.9	1220.7
14.5	1221.1
15.1	1221.9
16.3	1222.4
18.3	1222.6
20.0	1222.6
22.9	1222.6

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	1222.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	10.4
Bankfull Width:	11.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	NA
Flood Prone Width:	NA
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	NA
Entrenchment Ratio:	NA
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	Е
-------------	---




Site	Bobs Creek - UT 8
Project Number:	92879
XS ID	XS - 3, Riffle
Reach	UT 8
Date:	4/11/2016
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.00	1230.53
1.99	1230.57
2.66	1230.48
4.09	1229.97
4.92	1229.53
5.78	1228.95
6.16	1228.84
7.15	1228.78
7.51	1228.92
8.36	1229.07
8.60	1229.42
9.19	1229.39
10.15	1229.84
10.93	1230.23
12.13	1230.67
12.6	1230.67
13.6	1230.58
13.9	1230.33
14.9	1230.36

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	1230.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	8.3
Bankfull Width:	9.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	1232.2
Flood Prone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	9.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	11.1
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	
-------------	--




Site	Bobs Creek
Project Number:	92879
XS ID	XS - 4, Riffle
Reach	Bobs Creek
Date:	4/11/2016
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.00	1233.02
2.70	1233.08
4.52	1232.78
6.95	1231.78
8.33	1231.23
9.93	1230.56
11.63	1230.97
12.49	1230.19
13.60	1230.39
14.39	1229.87
15.10	1229.93
15.85	1229.95
16.98	1230.08
18.13	1230.26
18.86	1230.54
19.5	1230.97
20.5	1231.48
21.8	1232.15
22.5	1232.32
23.4	1232.30
23.9	1232.14
25.4	1232.31
27.0	1232.02

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	1232.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	19.9
Bankfull Width:	15.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	1234.2
Flood Prone Width:	150.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
W / D Ratio:	11.6
Entrenchment Ratio:	9.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	Е
-------------	---




Site	Bobs Creek
Project Number:	92879
XS ID	XS - 5, Riffle
Reach	Bobs Creek
Date:	4/11/2016
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.00	1233.17
2.89	1233.10
4.56	1233.21
6.10	1232.94
7.90	1232.37
8.55	1232.04
9.57	1231.46
10.34	1231.47
12.00	1231.36
13.38	1231.03
14.64	1231.15
15.87	1230.90
17.16	1230.92
18.47	1230.79
19.73	1230.93
21.1	1232.58
22.2	1233.13
25.2	1233.14
27.5	1233.17
29.1	1233.39

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	1233.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	25.2
Bankfull Width:	17.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	1235.4
Flood Prone Width:	150.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
W / D Ratio:	11.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	8.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	Е




Project Name Bobs Creek - Profile Reach UT 8 Station 00+00 - 09+00

Feature Profile
Date 4/11/16
Crew Perkinson, Jernigan


Crew		Perkinson, Jernigar	1												
Sta	2016 Year 0 Monitoring \Survey Station Bed Elevation Water Elevation		2016 Year 1 Monitoring \Survey Station Bed Elevation Water Elevation		2017 Year 2 Monitoring \Survey Station Bed Elevation Water Elevation		2018 Year 3 Monitoring \Survey Station Bed Elevation Water Elevation		2019 Year 4 Monitoring \Survey Station Bed Elevation Water Elevation						
82	24.0	1212.1	1212.4												
	13.9	1212.2	1212.5												
	11.2	1211.7	1212.5												
	08.0	1211.5	1212.5												
80	02.8	1211.7	1212.5												
	01.7	1212.8	1212.9												
78	89.2	1213.2	1213.3												
78	82.8	1212.9	1213.3												
77	79.3	1212.4	1213.3												
	75.3	1212.4	1213.3												
	72.9	1213.3	1213.5												
77	71.3	1213.0	1213.5												
	69.2	1213.0	1213.5												
	68.5	1213.7													
	65.0	1213.3	1213.6												
	63.8	1212.9	1213.6												
	62.0	1212.9	1213.6												
	60.6	1214.6													
	55.7	1214.2	1214.7												
	53.8	1214.1	1214.7												
	50.6	1213.9	1214.7												
	49.0	1215.7													
	46.8	1214.5	1215.6												
	43.5	1214.4	1215.6												
	42.0		1216.2												
	39.5	1214.3	1215.7												
73	36.0	1213.6	1215.7												


-					
	2016	2016	2017	2018	2019
Avg. Water Surface Slope	0.0212				
Riffle Length	17				
Avg. Riffle Slope	0.0019				
Pool Length	15				
Pool to Pool Spacing	26.0				



	Project Name: Bobs Creek - UT 8						
	Cross-Section: 1						
	Feature: Riffle						
	1	<b>a.</b> ( )		2014	l a . a .		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %		
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	36	36%	36%		
	very fine sand	0.125	8	8%	44%		
	fine sand	0.250	4	4%	48%		
Sand	medium sand	0.50	0	0%	48%		
	coarse sand	1.00	8	8%	56%		
	very coarse sand	2.0	4	4%	60%		
	very fine gravel	4.0	8	8%	68%		
	fine gravel	5.7	4	4%	72%		
	fine gravel	8.0	12	12%	84%		
	medium gravel	11.3	8	8%	92%		
Gravel	medium gravel	16.0	0	0%	92%		
	course gravel	22.3	4	4%	96%		
	course gravel	32.0	0	0%	96%		
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	96%		
	very coarse gravel	64	4	4%	100%		
	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%		
Cobble	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%		
Copple	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%		
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%		
	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%		
D 11	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%		
Boulder	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%		
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%		
Bedrock	bedrock	40096	0	0%	100%		
TOTAL % of v	vhole count		100	100%	100%		


Summary Data					
D50 8.7					
D84	29				
D95	42				






Project Name: Bobs Creek - UT 8							
	Cross-Section: 3						
Feature: Riffle							
D ' 4'	34 . 1	6: ( )	TC 4 1 //	2014			
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %		
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	28	33%	33%		
	very fine sand	0.125	8	10%	43%		
	fine sand	0.250	4	5%	48%		
Sand	medium sand	0.50	4	5%	52%		
	coarse sand	1.00	8	10%	62%		
	very coarse sand	2.0	4	5%	67%		
	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	67%		
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	67%		
	fine gravel	8.0	4	5%	71%		
	medium gravel	11.3	4	5%	76%		
Gravel	medium gravel	16.0	8	10%	86%		
	course gravel	22.3	4	5%	90%		
	course gravel	32.0	4	5%	95%		
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	95%		
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	95%		
	small cobble	90	4	5%	100%		
C-LLI.	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%		
Cobble	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%		
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%		
	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%		
D 11	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%		
Boulder	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%		
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%		
Bedrock	bedrock	40096	0	0%	100%		
TOTAL % of v	vhole count		84	100%	100%		


Summary Data					
D50 6.5					
D84	13				
D95	24				






	Project Name: Bobs Creek						
	Cross-Section: 4						
	Feature: Riffle						
D	Material	S: ()	2014				
Description		Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %		
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	8	24%	24%		
	very fine sand	0.125	12	8%	32%		
6 1		0.250		12%	44%		
Sand	medium sand	0.50	4	4%	48%		
	coarse sand	1.00	8	8%	56%		
	very coarse sand	2.0	4	4%	60%		
	very fine gravel	4.0	8	8%	68%		
	fine gravel	5.7	4	4%	72%		
	fine gravel	8.0	8	8%	80%		
	medium gravel	11.3	0	0%	80%		
Gravel	medium gravel	16.0	4	4%	84%		
	course gravel	22.3	12	12%	96%		
	course gravel	32.0	0	0%	96%		
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	96%		
	very coarse gravel	64	4	4%	100%		
	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%		
Cabble	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%		
Cobble	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%		
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%		
	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%		
D 11	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%		
Boulder	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%		
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%		
Bedrock	bedrock	40096	0	0%	100%		
TOTAL % of w	hole count		100	100%	100%		


Summary Data				
D50 22				
D84	141			
D95	212			





		e: Bobs Creek			
		Section: 5			
	Featur	e: Riffle	1		
Diti	Material	S: ()	Total #	2014 Item %	Cum %
Description	silt/clay	Size (mm) 0.062	68	68%	68%
Silt/Clay	very fine sand	0.062	4	4%	72%
	fine sand		12		
G 1	medium sand	0.250	0	12%	84%
Sand		0.50	-	0%	84%
	coarse sand	1.00	4	4%	88%
	very coarse sand	2.0	4	4%	92%
	very fine gravel	4.0	8	8%	100%
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	100%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	100%
	medium gravel	11.3	0	0%	100%
Gravel	medium gravel	16.0	0	0%	100%
	course gravel	22.3	0	0%	100%
	course gravel	32.0	0	0%	100%
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	100%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	100%
	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
Cobble	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
Copple	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
Boulder	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	40096	0	0%	100%
TOTAL % of v	whole count		100	100%	100%

Summary I	)ata
D50	24.9
D84	75
D95	106






Table 7a. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Bob's Creek - UT 8) Bob's Creek Mitigation Project - NCDMS Project Number 92633

Parameter	Gauge	]	Regional C	urve	Pre-l	Existing	Conditi	ion (UT	8)		Reference	Reach(	es) Data		Des	sign (UT	8)		Moni	toring B	aseline (	UT 8)				
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Max	Med	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n			
BF Width (ft)					5.0			7.8			5.6						8.0	8.3		8.7	9	<u> </u>	3			
Floodprone Width (ft)					7.8			20.0			13				20	25				100			3			
BF Mean Depth (ft)					0.6			0.9			0.5						0.8	0.8		0.9	0.9		3			
BF Max Depth (ft)					0.9			1.2			0.7						1.0	1.2		1.5	1.7		3			
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )					3.7			4.7			3.0						5.9	6.6		7.5	8.3	1	3			
Width/Depth Ratio					5.3			13.6			10.5						10.5	10.0		10.2	10.4		3			
Entrenchment Ratio					1.6			2.6			2.3				2.5	3.1		11.1		11.6	12.0		3			
Bank Height Ratio					1.1			7.3			1.0						1.0			1.0			3			
Profile					_	•																				
Riffle length (ft)																		3.5	16.9	12	84.6	17.4	21			
Riffle slope (ft/ft)					0.035			0.045			0.0480						0.0060	0.0119		0.0155	0.0418	0.0117	19			
Pool length (ft)																		4.4	14.7	12.8	37.5	8.6	32			
Pool Max depth (ft)					1.5			2.6			0.9						1.9			1.9			1			
Pool spacing (ft)					15.3			45.2		14.0			33.9		8.0	37.1		4.4	25.8	24.8	94.8	17.6	32			
Pattern																										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					23			33		17			25		24	48		24			48		2			
Radius of Curvature (ft)					4			12		10			13		16	32		16			32		2			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					0.6			2.3		1.8			2.3		2	4		2			4		2			
Meander Wavelength (ft)					32			65		31			38		40	80		40			80		2			
Meander Width ratio					3			6.6		5.6			6.8		50	10		50			10		2			
Transport parameters																										
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>																										
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																										
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																										
Additional Reach Parameters																										
Rosgen Classification						]	B/C/G					E/C				E/C				E	C /C					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)						3	3.2-4.0																			
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							15																			
Valley Length (ft)																										
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																				82	24					
Sinuosity					1.1	1 - 1.18					1.28			1	.11 - 1.1′	7				- 1.17						
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							18 - 0.01					0.048				0.004			0.0212							
BF slope (ft/ft)																										
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																										
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																										
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										
Biological or Other																										

Table 7b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Bob's Creek) Bob's Creek Mitigation Project - NCDMS Project Number 92633

Parameter	Gauge	]	Regional C	Pre-Ex	xisting (	Conditio	n (Bobs	Cr)		Reference	Reach(	es) Data		Desi	gn (Bobs	Cr)	Monitoring Baseline (Bobs Cr)									
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Max	Med	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n			
BF Width (ft)					14.5			25.5			12.7						17.5	15.2			17		2			
Floodprone Width (ft)					29.8			45.2			150						100			150			2			
BF Mean Depth (ft)					1.1			1.2			0.9						1.3	1.3			1.5		2			
BF Max Depth (ft)					1.4			2.0			1.2						1.7	2.2			2.3		2			
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft²)					17.4			29.0			11.4						22.3	19.9			25.2		2			
Width/Depth Ratio					12.1			22.3			14.1						14.0	11.3			11.7		2			
Entrenchment Ratio					1.2			3.1			11.8						5.7	8.8			9.9		2			
Bank Height Ratio		1.2 1.8 1.0						1.0			1.0			2												
Profile				•		<u> </u>						•	•			•	•		•							
Riffle length (ft)																										
Riffle slope (ft/ft)							0.0239				0.0344						0.0105									
Pool length (ft)																			No prof	ile measu	red in thi	s reach.				
Pool Max depth (ft)							3.3				2.2						3.3		1							
Pool spacing (ft)					43.8			171.6		38.8			64.7		53.7	89.4										
Pattern																										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					36			55		30.5			32		43.8	105		43.8			105		2			
Radius of Curvature (ft)					7			30		14.5			20		40.3	70		40.3			70		2			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					0.3			2.1		1.1			1.6		2.3	4		2.3			4		2			
Meander Wavelength (ft)					100			145		95			98		87.5	175		87.5			175		2			
Meander Width ratio					1.41			3.8		7.5			7.7		5	10		5			10		2			
Transport parameters																										
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lbs/ft <sup>2</sup>																										
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																										
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																							1			
Additional Reach Parameters						1											<u> </u>									
Rosgen Classification							B/C/F			I		С				С				E	'C					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							79-6.32																			
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							110																			
Valley Length (ft)																										
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																				37	71					
Sinuosity							1.17					1.22				1.13		1.13								
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)					(	0.0149					0.0205				0.007											
BF slope (ft/ft)																										
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																										
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																										
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										
Biological or Other																										

## Table 8a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Sections)


Bob's Creek Mitigation Project - NCDMS Project Number 92879


			Cross	Section 1	(UT 8)					Cross	Section 2	(UT 8)					Cross	Section 3	(UT 8)					Cross Sec	tion 4 (Bo	bs Creek)	)		Cross Section 5 (Bobs Creek)								
Parameter				Riffle							Pool							Riffle							Riffle	ffle				Riffle							
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY:	3 MY4	MY5	MY5+		
BF Width (ft)	8.3							11.9							9.0							15.2							17.0								
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	100.0							NA							100.0							150.0							150.0								
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8							0.9							0.9							1.3							1.5								
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.2							1.9							1.7							2.2							2.3								
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.6							10.4							8.3							19.9							25.2								
Width/Depth Ratio	10.4							NA							9.8							11.6							11.5								
Entrenchment Ratio	12.0							NA							11.1							9.9							8.8								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0							1.0							1.0							1.0							1.0								
d50 (mm)	8.7														6.5							22.0							24.9								


## Table 8b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Bob's Creek Mitigation Project - NCDMS Project Number 92879

Bob's Creek Mitigation Project - NCDM	AS Proje	ct Numbe																																		
Parameter			Baselin	ie (UT 8)					MY-1	(UT 8)					MY-2	(UT 8)					MY-3	(UT 8)					MY-4	(UT 8)					MY-5 (	UT 8)		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)	8.3	8.7		9		2																														
Floodprone Width (ft)		100				2																														
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.9		0.9		2																														
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.5		1.7		2																														
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.6	7.5		8.3		2																														1
Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	10.2		10.4		2																														
Entrenchment Ratio	11.1	11.6		12.0		2																														
Bank Height Ratio		1.0				2																														
																Pr	rofile																			
Riffle length (ft)		16.9	12	84.6	17.4	21																														
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0119	0.0172	0.0155	0.0418	0.0117	19																														
Pool length (ft)	4	15	13	38	9	32																														1
Pool Max depth (ft)			1.9			1																														1
Pool spacing (ft)	4	26	25	95	18	32																														1
																Pa	ittern																			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	24			48		2																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	16			32		2																														
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	2			4		2																														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	40			80		2																														
Meander Width ratio	50			10		2																														
n die			E/C				T						T		Addit	tional Re	ach Paran	neters	1						1						T					
Rosgen Classification				-type																																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft) Sinuosity				- 1.17															1						1											
,																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				)212																																
BF slope (ft/ft)		1						1	1	1																1 1		1		_		1				
Ri%/RU%P%G%/S%													-	1	-	1				<u> </u>						1		1				1	-			
SC%/SA%/G%/C%/B%BE%													-	1	-	1	+	+	1	<u> </u>						1		1			-	1				
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95 % of Reach with Eroding Banks														l	l	1			-	l								1				1				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-																		-						1					
y .																			1						1											
Biological or Other																																				

Appendix E. As-built Plan Sheets








I, ELISABETH G. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>23rd</u> DAY OF <u>SEPTEMBER</u>, 2015.


ELISABETH G. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4440



AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED JUNE-JULY 2015

SCALE: 1"=25' ( $22\times34$ ) 1"=50' ( $11\times17$ ) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1'

- 1. ALL DISTANCES ARE HORIZONTAL UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 2. HORIZONTAL DATUM IS NAD83(2011) & VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD88. 3. CONTROL IS BASED ON EXISTING CONTROL DATA AS SHOWN ON SHEET 3 IN THE DESIGN PLANS AND RECOVERED DURING THE CONSTRUCTION & AS-BUILT SURVEYS. ADDITIONAL CONTROL WAS ESTABLISHED USING TOTAL STATION & GPS/RTK METHODS AND CONFIRMED DURING AS-BUILT SURVEY. AS-BUILT CONTROL POINTS ARE LISTED ON SHEET 1.
- 4. THIS MAP IS NOT FOR RECORDATION, SALES, OR CONVEYANCES AND DOES NOT COMPLY WITH G.S. 47-30 MAPPING REQUIREMENTS.
- 5. THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS TO SHOW THE POST CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS OF THE STREAM AND GRADING RELATED TO THE BOBS CREEK STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT AND MAY NOT SHOW ALL UTILITIES, STRUCTURES, & BOUNDARIES.
- DESIGNER AND WAS NOT VERIFIED BY TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC. 7. NO PROPERTY RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED. FOR CONSERVATION EASEMENT SEE PLAT RECORDED IN McDOWELL COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS OFFICE PLAT BOOK 18, PAGE 89.



11+00

10+00

UPSTREAM  $\infty$ 

06/09/2015 SURVEYED BY: DST/JAP/DTH DRAWN BY: ROB/DST **REVIEWED BY:** DST/EGT TLS-15-007 BOBS CREEK\_92879\_AB\_TLS\_F SCALE: AS SHOWN

