Cane Creek Tributary Stream Restoration Site Monitoring Report MY03

Basin 03010104 / Contract # D06002





KCI Technologies, Inc. Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Road Raleigh, NC 27609



NCDENR-EEP 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

January 2012



Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Road Raleigh, NC 27609 Phone: (919) 783-9214 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Project Manager: Gary Mryncza, P.E. Email: gary.mryncza@kci.com KCI Project No: 1205472501

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT BACKGROUND	
1.1	Location and Setting	
1.2	Project Goals and Objectives	
1.3	Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach	
1.4	Project History, Background, and Contact Information	
2.0	PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS	11
	Vegetation Assessment	
	Stream Assessment	
2.2	2.2.1 Bankfull Events	
	2.2.2 Quantitative Measures Summary Tables	
1.1 Loca 1.2 Proje 1.3 Proje 1.4 Proje 2.0 PRO 2.1 Vege 2.2 Strea 2.2.1 2.2.2 Figure 1. Figure 2. Table 1. Table 2. Table 3. Table 4. Table 5. Table 6.	FIGURES Vicinity MapAs-Built Site Map	
	TABLES	
	Project Restoration Components	
	Project Activity and Reporting History	
	Project Contact Table	
	Project Background Table	
	Verification of Bankfull Events	
	Baseline Stream Summary	13-22
	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Vegetation Data and Photos Appendix B. Geomorphologic Data

Appendix C. Stream Photos

Appendix D. Current Conditions Plan View

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Cane Creek Tributary Site (CCTS) is located in the Piedmont physiographic province in northwestern Person County, North Carolina. The project will provide mitigation for stream impacts within the 8-digit hydrologic cataloging unit 03010104 in the Roanoke River Basin by restoring, enhancing, and preserving 19,059 linear feet on the CCTS, generating 14,621 stream mitigation units (SMU's.) The goals of the project include restoring the stream's riparian buffer and creating a stable stream system. In order to reach these goals, the project objectives included planting a functional Piedmont Alluvial Forest floodplain community along with Mesic Mixed Hardwood Forest to create an effective riparian buffer, removing cattle from the riparian areas with fencing, removing relic spoil piles that disrupt overland flowpaths, stopping bank erosion by developing the appropriate channel dimension, arresting bed elevation lowering, and stabilizing seep outlets.

The western portion of the project drains to the southeast and has a contributing drainage area of approximately 0.70 square mile. The eastern portion of the project also drains towards the southeast with a contributing drainage area of approximately 0.62 square mile. Each half of the project is made up of a series of headwater and first-order streams. Both sides of the project drain to Cane Creek downstream of the site. The project watershed is rural and faces low development pressure from the surrounding area. The stream design and the restoration plan were completed in December 2007, construction began in May 2008, and the site was planted in December 2008.

The site was planted with bare root trees, shrubs, and live stakes. A total of 17 different species were planted at the site. Twenty vegetation monitoring plots were established during the as-built survey. Riparian vegetation must meet a minimum survival success rate of 260 stems/acre after five years. The plots were monitored following the CVS-EEP monitoring Level 2 protocol and the third-year monitoring counted an average of 415 planted stems/acre and 3,282 total stems/acre, including volunteers. There are some plots with low planted stem densities, including four plots with planted stem densities below 260 stems/acre: plots 5, 8, 13, and 17. When including volunteers in these four plots, all plots are above the 260 total stems/acre density. Supplemental planting was conducted at the site during the 2010/2011 dormant season. Additional supplemental planting may be conducted in the future if it is deemed necessary. Considering the plentiful volunteers and overall vegetative condition of the site, the third-year monitoring found the vegetation component of the project to be on track to meeting the success criterion.

The stream restoration included thirty-four separate reaches, which have been enhanced and restored based on a combination of Priority 2 and 3 approaches. Rock cross vanes, step pools, and riffle grade controls were used to control grade throughout the profile. The streams were restored to B4, B4/1, B4c, B4/1c, Bc/C4, C/B4, and C/E4 stream types. In addition to the restored and enhanced reaches, there are nine preservation reaches. These reaches are intermittent headwater streams that were identified as project assets during the as-built stage. The third year of monitoring found the majority of the project to be functioning as designed. Isolated areas of bank erosion and streambed degradation have been noted at the site, but there are no systematic problems that indicate that the project streams are unstable or becoming so. In 2011, there was one bankfull event at the site. The project is on track to meeting the success criterion of at least two bankfull events in five years with each occurring in different years.

The site will continue to be monitored through 2013 or until the success criteria are achieved. Reports will be submitted to the EEP each year. The planted riparian buffer must meet the success criteria of 260 planted stems/acre at the end of the monitoring period. Stream success will be assessed utilizing measurements of stream dimension, pattern, and profile as well as through site photographs. Two bankfull events also must occur on the restored stream over the monitoring period in separate monitoring years.

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Location and Setting

The Cane Creek Tributary Site (CCTS) is spread over two separate drainage areas on two parcels under the same ownership. The site is located off of Cunningham Road in northwestern Person County, North Carolina. Specifically, the site is approximately 0.85 mile east of the intersection of Cunningham Road and NC 119 (Figure 1). The project is centered at approximately 36.5038 degrees north and 79.1310 degrees west (WGS84). To reach the site from Raleigh, proceed west on US-70 until it merges with I-85/US-15 south. Continue on I-85 for approximately 1.5 miles and then take exit 176B for Duke St/US-501 Bypass. Take a right off of the exit and travel on US-501 for 27.5 miles. Within the town of Roxboro, turn left onto Court St/US-158 west. Follow US-158 west 0.4 mile and turn right onto NC-57, continuing northwest for another 12.3 miles. Once within the small community of Semora, turn right onto NC-119 and drive north 0.5 mile. Turn right onto Cunningham Road and continue east for 0.85 mile. The CCTS is accessible through a metal gate on the right.

1.2 Project Goals and Objectives

The goals and objectives of the project are as follows:

Project Goals:

- Restore the stream's riparian buffer.
- Create a stable network of headwater streams.


Project Objectives:

- Plant a functional Piedmont Alluvial Forest floodplain community along with a Mesic Mixed Hardwood Forest to create an effective riparian buffer.
- Arrest bed elevation lowering and stabilize seep outlets.
- Stop bank erosion by developing the appropriate channel dimension and stabilizing with vegetation.
- Remove relic spoil piles that disrupt overland flowpaths.
- Exclude livestock from the riparian areas with fencing.

1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach

The project streams had become degraded primarily through poor grazing management and vegetation removal. Historically, the two parcels were cleared and converted into pasture except for narrow strips of riparian vegetation along the streams and intact forest in the southern portion of the western parcel. Prior to restoration, many of the project streams were experiencing severe bank erosion. Severe bed degradation was also evident throughout the different project reaches. All of the reaches exhibited areas of vertical instability. Restoration, enhancement, and preservation of 19,059 linear feet of channel was accomplished utilizing a combination of Priority 2 and 3 approaches (Table 1). Reaches T1-T6 are on the western side of the project and reaches T7-T10 are on the eastern side.

All of T1 was built as a B4c channel with small sections of C channel in those areas without constrictive valley walls. T1 has been divided into five different reaches to reflect changes in drainage area and the type of mitigation. T1-1 runs from Station 10+00 to 17+64 and stops at the confluence with T3. A second reach, T1-2, goes from this confluence with T3 at Station 17+64 until Station 21+50. Both T1-1 and T1-2 were enhanced by grading back the existing eroding banks, building a bankfull bench, and developing distinct riffles and pools (Enhancement I).



T1 was restored from Station 21+50 until it ends at the property boundary. There are three separate reaches in this section of T1: T1-3 from Station 21+50 to 24+76 where T4 enters; T1-4 from Station 24+76 to 34+85 where T6 flows into T1, and T1-5 from Station 34+85 to 37+67. These three lower reaches of T1 were restored using a Priority 3 approach. Along this section of T1, the restoration established riffle and pool features and a new stable planform, while also utilizing existing bedrock as grade control.

T2, a B4 stream, was divided into four separate reaches. T2-1 begins at Station 50+00 and ends at Station 53+05. This reach was improved by fencing out the livestock, removing adjacent relic spoil piles to restore natural drainage to the stream, and planting the riparian buffer with native vegetation (Enhancement II). Beginning at Station 53+05 and ending at Station 55+00, T2-2 was restored using a Priority 3 approach. This reach was relocated away from a severely eroding valley wall and reconnected to the existing stream at Station 55+00. The next reach, T2-3, was enhanced by sloping back the existing eroding banks, building a bankfull bench, removing the adjacent relic spoil piles, and developing distinct riffles and pools (Enhancement I). T2-4 begins at Station 56+50, and was restored using a Priority 3 approach. This bottom section of T2 connects to T1 at Station 58+50 with a new stable pattern, dimension, and profile.

T3 is the next tributary to join T1, and is divided into two different reaches. T3-1 is a short headwater reach that runs from Stations 60+00 to 60+85 and was enhanced by shaping the existing eroding banks and defining distinct riffles and pools (Enhancement I). T3-2 was restored with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments using a Priority 3 approach, and runs from Station 60+85 to its confluence with T1 at Station 76+97.

Similar to T3, T4 also flows into T1 and has been separated into two reaches. The entire length of T4 was restored as a B4 channel. T4-1 and T4-2 run from Station 80+00 to Station 82+53 and Station 82+53 to Station 102+81, respectively. These two reaches, which are distinguished by differences in slope, were restored with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments using a Priority 3 approach.

T5 has two reaches and both are B4 channels. T5-1 runs from Stations 110+00 to 112+64 and was enhanced by fencing out the livestock and planting the riparian buffer with native vegetation (Enhancement II). T5-2, which goes from Station 112+64 to Station 113+95 at its confluence with T1, was restored with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments using a Priority 3 approach.

T6 and its headwater tributaries consist of B4 channels. At the top of this headwater system, there are four intermittent headwater reaches. These reaches, T6B-1 (Stations 248+38 to 250+00), T6C-1 (Stations 117+02 to 120+00), T6C-2 (Stations 300+00 to 300+80), and T6C-3 (Stations 310+00 to 310+82) are stable streams surrounded by an established vegetated buffer and were therefore preserved. Two perennial headwater reaches, T6A (Stations 240+00 to 240+90) and T6B (Stations 250+00 to 251+04), were improved with bank and seep stabilization (Enhancement II). These two reaches come together to form T6AB from Station 240+90 to 241+21. T6C, from Station 120+00 to 121+75 at its confluence with T6AB, is another headwater tributary. T6 begins at Station 121+75, the confluence of T6AB and T6C, and ends at Station 134+25, where it meets T1. T6AB, T6C, and T6 were all restored using a Priority 3 approach with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments.

On the eastern side of the property, T7 was divided into ten different design reaches. The headwaters of T7 include two preservation reaches. These two reaches, T7A-1 (Stations 259+38 to 260+00) and T7B (Stations 320+00 to 321+25), are both stable channels bordered by a riparian buffer. T7-1 begins at Station 140+00 and continues until Station 145+25. It is a B4/C4 stream

type that was improved with isolated bank stabilization, seep stabilization at the beginning of the reach, fencing out the livestock, and planting the riparian buffer with native vegetation (Enhancement II). T7A (Stations 260+00 to 261+36) and T7C (Stations 330+00 to 330+42) are similar to T7-1 and were also improved as a B4/C4 channel with the same Enhancement II methods. T7-2 (Stations 145+25 to 148+57) was improved to a B4 stream type by sloping back the existing eroding banks and enhancing the existing riffle and pool features (Enhancement I).

T7-3 begins at Station 148+57 where T7-2 and T8 join together. T7-3 was restored as a B4c channel using Priority 2 and 3 approaches with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments. T7-4 begins at Station 169+86 where the stream enters a more confined valley with numerous bedrock features. The B4/1 channel was improved by building an appropriate stream dimension and enhancing distinct riffle and pool features that had been degraded by cattle and excess sediment inputs (Enhancement I).

T7-5 is a short B4 reach that was restored with dimension, profile, and pattern adjustments from Station 182+28 to 183+75 using a Priority 3 approach. From Station 183+75 to Station 191+59, T7-6 has frequent bedrock in the streambed and was improved by building an appropriate stream dimension and developing distinct riffle and pool features (Enhancement I), creating a B4/1 stream type. T7-7 begins at the confluence with T10 and continues until the stream enters Cane Creek at Station 198+13. This final reach along T7 was also modified as Enhancement I by building an appropriate stream dimension and creating distinct riffle and pool features.

There are three intermittent preservation reaches on the headwater system of T8. These include T8-1 (Stations 199+06 to 200+00), T8B (Stations 340+00 to 340+59), and T8A-1 (Stations 269+75 to 270+00), which are all stable streams with established riparian buffers. The remaining headwater reaches of T8 (Stations 200+00 to 204+38) and T8A (Stations 270+00 to 271+23) were improved using Enhancement I (building an appropriate stream dimension and creating distinct riffle and pool features) and Enhancement II (isolated bank stabilization, seep stabilization at the beginning of the reach, fencing out the livestock, and planting the riparian buffer with native vegetation), respectively. Both reaches are B4 stream types. T9 (Stations 210+00 to 213+68) is a similar headwater reach to T8 and received the same Enhancement I improvements as T8.

T10 runs along the eastern edge of the site and has been divided into two separate reaches. T10-1 is the longer reach and goes from Stations 220+00 to 233+00. T10-1 is a B4/1 channel and was improved with isolated bank stabilization, livestock exclusion, and riparian buffer plantings (Enhancement II). T10-2 begins at 233+00 and continues a short distance until the confluence with T7 at Station 235+94. This reach was improved using Enhancement I (building an appropriate stream dimension and creating distinct riffle and pool features) of the B4/1 channel.

Table 1 below provides the linear footage for existing and as-built stream length as well as the total stream mitigation units by reach. For this table, the existing linear footage was calculated from the existing stream centerline. In some instances, the linear footage is less for the as-built conditions than for the existing conditions. This situation can arise when the design changes the exact location of tributary confluences. In other locations, the pattern of the existing stream had an unstable meandering centerline that may have been influenced by debris blockages and cattle damage to the stream. In the as-built stream, the pattern is stable and more clearly defined, but the actual length may be shorter than the pre-restoration conditions. Some of these lengths are also slightly different than the designed lengths. On the restored reaches, this is due to occasional field changes to the pattern during construction. For the enhancement reaches, this is generally a result of the as-built survey being more detailed, and picking up a more accurate depiction of the pattern than was recorded in the existing conditions topographic survey.

Project History, Background, and Contact Information

Table 1. Project Restoration Components
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site

Cane Creek Stream Restoratio

Project Segment / Reach ID	Pre- Project Footage	Mitigation Type	Approach	As-Built Footage	As-Built Stationing	Stream Mitigation Units*	Comment
T1-1 and T1-2	1,087	Enhancement I	-	1,150	10+00-21+50	725 SMU*	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created around the existing bedrock, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T1-3, T1-4 and T1-5	1,688	Restoration	Р3	1,617	21+50-37+67	1,617 SMU	Stable riffles and pools were established along a realigned stream planform, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T2-1	305	Enhancement II	-	305	50+00-53+05	122 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, relic spoil piles adjacent to the stream were removed, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T2-2	227	Restoration	P2	195	53+05-55+00	195 SMU	The stream was realigned away from an unstable valley wall, relic spoil piles adjacent to the stream were removed, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T2-3	160	Enhancement I	-	150	55+00-56+50	100 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created, relic spoil piles adjacent to the stream were removed, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T2-4	151	Restoration	Р3	180	56+50-58+50	180 SMU*	New riffles and pools were established along a new stream planform, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T3-1	107	Enhancement I	-	85	60+00-60+85	57 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement, and entering seeps were stabilized.
T3-2	1,457	Restoration	Р3	1,592	60+85-76+97	1,592 SMU*	Stable riffles and pools were established along a realigned stream planform, relic spoil piles adjacent to the stream were removed, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T4-1 and T4-2	1,979	Restoration	Р3	2,281	80+00-102+81	2,261 SMU*	Stable riffles and pools were established along a realigned stream planform, relic spoil piles adjacent to the stream were removed, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T5-1	244	Enhancement II	-	242	110+00-112+64	97 SMU*	The unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T5-2	118	Restoration	Р3	132	112+64-113+95	132 SMU	Stable riffles and pools were established along a realigned stream planform creating a stable confluence with T1, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
			Total	7,929		7,078 SMU*	

P2 = Priority 2

P3 = Priority 3

* These SMUs have been calculated by excluding the easement exceptions, which include ford crossings for the landowner.

	-	ration Components, storation Site	continued				
Project Segment /	Pre- Project	Mitigation Type	Approach	As-Built	As-Built	Stream Mitigation	Comment
Reach ID	Footage	inition gueron Type		Footage	Stationing	Units*	

Project Segment /	Pre- Project	Mitigation Type	Approach	As-Built	As-Built	Stream Mitigation	Comment
Reach ID	Footage	0 11	**	Footage	Stationing	Units*	
T6A	89	Enhancement II	-	90	240+00-240+90	36 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, the seep where the stream originates was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T6B-1	162	Preservation	-	162	248+38-250+00	32 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T6B	103	Enhancement II	-	104	250+00-251+04	42 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, the seep where the stream originates was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T6AB	30	Restoration	Р3	31	240+90-241+21	31 SMU	Grade control structures were used to stabilize the bed and maintain pools, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T6C-1	297	Preservation	-	297	117+02-120+00	59 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T6C-2	80	Preservation	-	80	300+00-300+80	16 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T6C-3	82	Preservation	-	82	310+00-310+82	16 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T6C and T6	1,455	Restoration	Р3	1,425	120+00-134+25	1,405 SMU*	New riffles and pools were established along a new stream planform, the headcut at the top of T6C was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7A-1	62	Preservation	-	62	259+38-260+00	12 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T7A	136	Enhancement II	-	136	260+00-261+36	54 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, a seep at the beginning of the reach was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7B	125	Preservation	-	125	320+00-321+25	25 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T7C	42	Enhancement II	-	42	330+00-330+42	17 SMU	Removed a well house at the head of the reach, stabilized the seep, graded the banks to a stable slope, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7-1	469	Enhancement II	-	525	140+00-145+25	210 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, the seep where the stream originates was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7-2	331	Enhancement I	-	332	145+25-148+57	221 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created around the existing bedrock, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7-3	2,023	Restoration	P2/3	2,109	148+57-169+86	2,109 SMU*	New riffle and pool sequences were established along a realigned stream planform, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
			Total	5,602		4,285 SMU*	

P3 = Priority 3

P2/P3 = Combination of Priorities 2 and 3

^{*} These SMUs have been calculated by excluding the easement exceptions, which include ford crossings for the landowner.

Table 1. Project Restoration Components, continued

Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site

Project Segment / Reach ID	Pre- Project Footage	Mitigation Type	Approach	As-Built Footage	As-Built Stationing	Stream Mitigation Units*	Comment
T7-4	1,246	Enhancement I	-	1,242	169+86-182+28	828 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, overwidened portions of stream were built to the appropriate cross-sectional area, distinct riffles and pools were created around the existing bedrock, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7-5	185	Restoration	Р3	147	182+28-183+75	147 SMU	The stream was realigned away from an unstable valley wall, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T7-6 and T7-7	1,365	Enhancement I	-	1,438	183+75-198+13	945 SMU*	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, overwidened portions of stream were built to the appropriate cross-sectional area, distinct riffles and pools were created around the existing bedrock, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T8A-1	25	Preservation	-	25	269+75-300+00	5 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T8A	110	Enhancement II	-	123	270+00-271+23	49 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, a seep at the beginning of the reach was stabilized, a log structure was added for grade control, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T8B	59	Preservation	-	59	340+00-340+59	12 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
T8-1	94	Preservation	_	94	199+06-200+00	19 SMU	Installed cattle exclusion fencing along the easement.
Т8	449	Enhancement I	-	438	200+00-204+38	292 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created with instream structures, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
Т9	369	Enhancement I	-	368	210+00-213+68	245 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created with instream log structures, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T10-1	1,300	Enhancement II	-	1,300	220+00-233+00	520 SMU	Isolated eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, an eroding drainage swale was stabilized, the unvegetated portions of the buffer were planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
T10-2	282	Enhancement I	-	294	233+00-235+94	196 SMU	Eroding banks were graded to a stable slope, bankfull benches were built, distinct riffles and pools were created with instream structures, the buffer was planted, and cattle exclusion fencing was erected along the easement.
			Total	5,528		3,258	
		Preserv	ation Total	986		196 SMU*	
			nent II Total	2,867		1,147	
			ment I Total	5,497		3,609	
		Resto	ration Total	9,709		9,669	
		Total of	All Reaches	19,059		14,621	

P3 = Priority 3

^{*} These SMUs have been calculated by excluding the easement exceptions, which include ford crossings for the landowner




Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site										
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery								
Restoration Plan	2007	Dec 07								
Final Design	2007	Dec 07								
Construction	N/A	Dec 08								
Planting - Stream	N/A	Dec 08								
Mitigation Plan / As-Built (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	Jan 09	May 09								
Monitoring Year 01	Dec 09	Dec 09								
Monitoring Year 02	Jan 11	Jan 11								
Monitoring Year 03	Nov 11	Jan 12								

Table 3. Project Contact Tab	ole
Cane Creek Stream Restorat	
Design Firm	KCI Technologies, Inc.
	Landmark Center II, Suite 220
	4601 Six Forks Rd.
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Gary Mryncza
	Phone: (919) 783-9214
	Fax: (919) 783-9266
Construction Contractors	Environmental Technologies and Construction
	Landmark Center II, Suite 220
	4601 Six Forks Rd.
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Ryan McDavitt
	Phone: (919) 278-2518
	Fax: (919) 783-9266
	Quartermaster Environmental
	P.O. Drawer 400
	Shelby, NC 28150
	Contact: Mr. Brooks Cole
	Phone: (704) 473-5021
Planting Contractor	Bruton Nurseries & Landscapes
	150 Black Creek Rd.
	Fremont, NC 27830
	Contact: Charles Bruton
	Phone: (919) 242-6555
Monitoring Performers	
MY-00 - MY-05	KCI Technologies, Inc.
	Landmark Center II, Suite 220
	4601 Six Forks Rd.
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller
	Phone: (919) 278-2514
	Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project Background Table	
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site	
Project County	Person County
Physiographic Region	Piedmont
Ecoregion	Northern Inner Piedmont
Project River Basin	Roanoke
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	03010104061040 (UT to Cane Creek)
	03040101090010 (UT Fisher River - reference)
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	03-02-05 (UT to Cane Creek)
	03-07-02 (UT Fisher River - reference)
Drainage Area	1.32 sq. mi.
Stream Order	First, Second, and Third Order
Watershed Type (Rural, Urban, Developing, etc.)	Rural
Watershed LULC Distribution Urban	<1%
Ag-Row Crop	49%
Ag-Livestock	
Forested	
Water/Wetlands	
Watershed impervious cover (%)	<1%
Rosgen Classification of As-built (Stream)	B4 (T2-3, T2-4, T3-2, T4-1, T4-2, T5-2, T6, T6c,
8	T7-2, T8, T9)
	B4/1 (T7-4, T7-6, T10-2)
	B4c (T7-3)
	B4c/1 (T7-7)
	B4c/C4 (T7-5)
	C/B4 (T1)
	C/E4 (T3-1)
	C/E4 (T2-2)
NCDWQ Classification for Project	Class C (Cane Creek)
Within EEP Watershed Plan?	No
Any portion of the project segment upstream of a 303d	
listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A
Total project acreage of easement	52.1 Acres
Total planted acreage	32.4 Acres
WRC Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm
Species of concern, endangered etc.	None
Pre-construction Beaver activity?	No
•	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Dominant Soil Types % of Project Easement Fenced	Chewacla, Wehadkee, Wilkes, and Wedowee
70 OI FIOJECT Easement renced	100%

2.0 PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

The planted vegetation on the site is growing well. Due to the baseline vegetation monitoring occurring while the plants had not yet leafed out, some of the plants could not be identified initially and they were recorded as unknown. Since the baseline monitoring most of these plants were identified. Some of the previously unknown plants were dead, damaged, or missing and could still not be identified. These plants were again recorded as unknown.

The bankfull bench, stream banks, and riparian buffer have isolated areas with sparse vegetation, but overall they are well vegetated. Additional permanent seed was applied to areas of bare soil this past year. Some scattered populations of invasive species have been identified at the site. These include Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), and princess tree (*Paulownia tomentosa*). Most of the invasive species are in areas where the existing vegetation was left intact. These populations will continue to be monitored.

KCI used the Level 2 CVS-EEP vegetation monitoring protocol to quantify the number of planted stems and volunteer woody stems during Monitoring Year 3. The monitored vegetation plots revealed an average density of 415 planted stems/acre and 3,282 total stems/acre when including volunteers. There are four monitoring plots (Plots 5, 8, 13, and 17) that had calculated planted stem densities less than 260 stems/acre. This is not seen as problematic given the high potential for desirable volunteers to become established in the plots and across the site. Like natural vegetative communities, some areas will have slightly higher densities than others, but the data from the vegetation monitoring plots reveal that the site has an adequate average stem density. In the fourth year of monitoring KCI will continue to use the Level 2 CVS-EEP vegetation monitoring protocol to quantify the number of volunteer woody stems. Supplemental planting was conducted at the site during the 2010/2011 dormant season. Additional supplemental planting may be conducted in the future if it is deemed necessary. Considering the plentiful volunteers and overall vegetative condition of the site, the third-year monitoring found the vegetation component of the project to be on track to meeting the success criterion. The vegetative monitoring results are displayed in Appendix A.

2.2 Stream Assessment

During the 2011 growing season, the project streams have been functioning as designed. There are isolated areas of erosion on the streambanks and the side slopes, which have been noted on the CCPV. The on-site stream gauge recorded one bankfull event in 2011.

The stream assessment found the stream to be stable overall. There are some cross-sections that show stream degradation since the previous monitoring year, but the profiles do not show systematic degradation so these areas are isolated and not indicative of instability across the reaches. It is also important to note that all of the streams across the site have grade control from in-stream structures, and in some instances significant bedrock. Additional visual monitoring and future surveying will determine if corrective actions are needed in these isolated erosion areas.

.

2.2.1 Bankfull Events

	Table 5. Verification of Bankfull Events Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site											
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrences	Method	Photo Number									
8/4/2009	5/28/2009	Stream Gauge	N/A									
8/4/2009	6/5/2009	Stream Gauge	N/A									
10/13/2009	9/21/2009	Stream Gauge	N/A									
10/13/2009	9/28/2009	Stream Gauge	N/A									
10/13/2009	10/9/2009	Stream Gauge	N/A									
7/22/2010	3/22/2010	Stream Gauge	N/A									
7/22/2010	5/28/2010	Stream Gauge	N/A									
5/27/2011	4/16/2011	Stream Gauge	N/A									

2.2.2 Quantitative Measures Summary Tables

Parameter	P	re-Exist	ting Co	ndition		Reference Reach(es) Data					Des	sign	As-built*			
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)			10.2		1	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	13.6					
Floodprone Width (ft)			25.4		1	13	17		20	2	30					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			1.3		1	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	1.2					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			1.9		1	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.9					
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			12.9		1	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	16.4					
Width/Depth Ratio			8.1		1	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	11.0					
Entrenchment Ratio			2.5		1	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.2					
Bank Height Ratio			1.8		1			1.0		2	1.0					
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)			21					45			40	70	40	54	70	3
Radius of Curvature (ft)	7			19		13			42		30	40	30	35	40	4
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.7			1.9		1.3			4.4		2.2	3.0				
Meander Wavelength (ft)	90			117		93			136		160	170	160		170	2
Meander Width Ratio			2.0			4.5			5.0		3.0	5.0				
rofile						_										
Riffle Length (ft)													8	49	86	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0138			0.0427		0.013			0.028		0.010	0.014	0.006	0.012	0.030	7
Pool Length (ft)	13			38		3			25		10	20	16	19	26	6
Pool Spacing (ft)	21			49		30			59		75	100	56	94	152	5
ubstrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%				% / 0% / 0	%		5% / 789			ó			0% / 34% / 66% / 0% / 0% / 0%			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	6	5.0 / 9.0	/ 11 /	21 / 30		2	.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				0.6	5 / 2.1 / 4.5	/ 15 / 28	
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)			313					297			32			326		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.60				0.38					0.60		0.60		
Rosgen Classification			E4				B4c					B4	C/B4			
Sinuosity			1.10					.20			1.10		1.10			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		C	0.0070				0.0	0130			0.00	089	0.0089			

^{*} This is a short restoration reach, similar to T1-4 and T1-5, and does not have any monitored cross-sections. Therefore there is no as-built dimension data.

Table 6b. T1-4/T1-5 Baseline Stream	Summar	у														
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site Parameter	P	re-Exis	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference F	Reach(es	s) Data		Des	sign	As-built			
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	14.1	14.5	17.0	4	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	15.0		15.3	16.4	17.4	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	19	24	20	35	4	13	17		20	1	33		39	41	42	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.5	4	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	1.3		1.1	1.3	1.5	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.8	1.8	2.3	4	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	2.0		1.9	2.0	2.1	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	15.3	17.3	16.8	20.1	4	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	20.0		18.9	20.6	22.2	2
Width/Depth Ratio	7.2	11.8	11.4	17.2	4	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	12.0		10.5	13.3	16.0	2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.2	1.8	1.4	3.3	4	1.3	1.8		2.3	1	2.2		2.2	2.5	2.7	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.4	2.0	2.1	2.3	4			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern	-					-						•				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	25			51				45			25	60	25		60	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	12			64		13			42		30	50	30	36	50	14
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.7			5.1		1.3			4.4		2.0	3.3	1.8	2.2	3.0	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	106			230		93			136		115	240	115		240	
Meander Width Ratio	1.5			4.7		4.5			5.0		1.7	4.0	1.5		3.7	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													8	49	86	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0110			0.0407		0.013			0.028		0.005	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.030	7
Pool Length (ft)	11			30		3			25		10	30	16	19	26	6
Pool Spacing (ft)	29			88		30			59		50	150	56	94	152	5
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%				% / 0% / 0	0%		15% / 789			ó				% / 86% / 4		6
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	1	1.6 / 13	/ 22 / 7	3 / 130		2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				6.	9 / 14 / 19 /	41 / 62	
Additional Reach Parameters												-				
Channel length (ft)			1,290					297				.90		1,291		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.80				0.38				0.		0.80			
Rosgen Classification			B/G/F				B4c				C/		C/B4			
Sinuosity			7 - 1.3					.20			1.	-	1.10			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.007	72 - 0.0	090			0.	0130			0.0	080		0.0071		

Table 6c. T2-2 Baseline Stream Summ Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site	nary																	
Parameter Parameter	P	re-Exist	ing Cor	ndition		Re	ference R	Reach(es) Data		De	sign		As-buil	t*			
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)			4.4		1	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	7.4			7.7		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)			>40		1	13	17		20	2	19			21		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			0.8		1	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.8			0.7		1		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			0.9		1	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.3			1.2		1		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			3.3		1	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	5.7			5.4		1		
Width/Depth Ratio			5.9		1	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	9.3			11.0		1		
Entrenchment Ratio			>10		1	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.5			2.7		1		
Bank Height Ratio			1		1			1.0		2	1.0			1.0		1		
Pattern	11 10																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	11			19				45			14	23	14 23 7 10 10					
Radius of Curvature (ft)	5			18		13			42		7	10	7	10	6			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.1			4.1		1.3			4.4		1.0	1.4	1.0		1.3			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	39			61		93			136		40	53	40		53			
Meander Width Ratio	2.5			3.3		4.5			5.0		1.9	3.1	1.8		3.0			
Substrate and Transport Parameters	_					_							_					
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 3	33% / 66	5% / 1%	6/0%/0)%	0% /	15% / 789	% / 7% /	/ 0% / 0%	6			0% / 23	% / 76% / 1	1% / 0% / 0)%		
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	(0.5 / 2.5	/ 5.0 / 3	31 / 48		2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/70				1.3	3 / 4.5 / 10 /	30 / 44			
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Channel length (ft)			227				2	297			1	86		195				
Drainage Area (SM)			0.11				0).38			0.	11		0.11				
Rosgen Classification			E4				F	34c			C/	E4		C/E4				
Sinuosity			1.70				1	.20			1.	40		1.50				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0	.0179		·		0.0	0130			0.0	231						

^{*} This is a short reach and does not have a monitored longitudinal profile.

Table 6d. T3-2 Baseline Stream Sum	mary															
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site Parameter	P	re-Exis	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference R	leach(es	s) Data		Des	ign		As-buil	lt	
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.4	5.8	5.2	10.9	6	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	7.8		7.8	8.1	8.3	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	6	27	8	78	6	13	17		20	2	16		21	23	24	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9	6	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.7		0.5	0.5	0.5	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.3	6	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.1		0.9	0.9	0.9	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.5	3.9	4.1	5.1	6	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	5.6		3.9	4.1	4.2	2
Width/Depth Ratio	4.3	9.2	7.0	23.3	6	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	10.9		15.6	16.0	16.4	2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.1	6.5	1.6	16.3	6	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.1		2.7	2.8	2.9	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.9	2.0	3.2	6			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern												•	_			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	20			25				45			40	45	25		45	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8			30 13 42 10 30 10								30				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.4			7.1		1.3			4.4		1.3	3.8	1.2	3.7		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	80			420		93			136		48	130	45	130		
Meander Width Ratio	3.4			6.0		4.5			5.0		5.1	5.8	3.1		5.6	
•																
Riffle Length (ft)													7	23	56	11
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0102			0.0640		0.013			0.028		0.014	0.045	0.005	0.022	0.036	11
Pool Length (ft)	6			23		3			25		6	20	4	9	23	13
Pool Spacing (ft)	11			68		30			59		25	90	14	37	55	12
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 7	72% / 2	7% / 19	% / 0% / 0	%	0% / 1	.5% / 78%	% / 7% ,	/ 0% / 0%	ó			12% / 25	5% / 61% /	3% / 0% / 0)%
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0	.28 / 0.4	17 / 0.7	/ 9 / 27		2	.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	70				0.1	1 / 1.5 / 11	/ 35 / 54	
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)			1,457					.97			1,5			1,592		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.08					.38			0.0			0.08		
Rosgen Classification			G4					34c			В			B4		
Sinuosity			1.10					.20			1.3			1.20		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	-5							0130			0.02	215		0.0174		

Table 6e. T4-1 Baseline Stream Summ	nary																
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site Parameter]	Pre-Exis	ting Cor	dition		Re	ference F	Reach(es) Data		Des	sign		As-built	t*		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n	
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.5	5.4	5.1	6.7	3	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	6.2			8.5		1	
Floodprone Width (ft)	6	8	8	10	3	13	17		20	2	12			24		1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.4	3	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.5			0.5		1	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.7	3	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	0.8			1.0		1	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.4	6.2	6.1	7.2	3	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	3.0			4.1		1	
Width/Depth Ratio	3.3	4.8	4.8	6.2	3	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	12.4			17.6		1	
Entrenchment Ratio	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	3	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0			2.8		1	
Bank Height Ratio	3.1	3.8	4.1	4.2	3			1.0		2	1.0			1.0		1	
Pattern													40 60				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	15			58				45			39	50	40 60 15 16 20				
Radius of Curvature (ft)	7			26		13			42		15	20	15	20	5		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.0			5.8		1.3			4.4		2.4	3.2	1.8	1.9	2.4		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	35			290		93			136		77	95	70		90		
Meander Width Ratio	2.2			12.9		4.5			5.0		6.3	8.1	4.7		7.1		
Substrate and Transport Parameters						_							_				
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% /	19% / 7			.%	0% / 1	15% / 789	% / 7% /	/ 0% / 0%	6				% / 22% / 1)%	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)		1.5 / 11	/ 17 / 43	5 / 78		2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				0.1	/ 0.1 / 0.1 /	/ 13 / 32		
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)			190				2	297			20	66		253			
Drainage Area (SM)			0.06).38				06		0.06			
Rosgen Classification			G4				I	34c			В	84		B4			
Sinuosity			1.70				1	.20			1.	40		1.40			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		(0.0179				0.0	0130			0.0	231					

^{*} The monitored longitudinal profile for T4 is on T4-2.

Table 6f. T4-2 Baseline Stream Summ Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site	nary																
Parameter Parameter	P	re-Exist	ing Co	ndition		Re	ference R	Reach(es) Data		Des	sign		As-buil	lt		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n	
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.5	5.4	5.1	6.7	3	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	9.2		8.6	8.9	9.1	2	
Floodprone Width (ft)	6	8	8	10	3	13	17		20	2	18		24	25	26	2	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.4	3	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.8		0.6	0.8	0.9	2	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.7	3	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.2		1.2	1.5	1.7	2	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.4	6.2	6.1	7.2	3	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	7.1		5.9	6.9	7.9	2	
Width/Depth Ratio	3.3	4.8	4.8	6.2	3	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	11.5		9.4	11.7	14.0	2	
Entrenchment Ratio	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	3	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0		2.6	2.8	3.0	2	
Bank Height Ratio	3.1	3.8	4.1	4.2	3			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2	
Pattern																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	15			58				45			25	60	20		65		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	7			26		13			42		10	30	10 30				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.0			5.8		1.3			4.4		1.1	3.3	1.1	3.4			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	35			290		93			136		50	130	50	130			
Meander Width Ratio	2.2			12.9		4.5			5.0		2.7	6.5	2.2		7.3		
Profile																	
Riffle Length (ft)													5	23	56	15	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0134			0.0381		0.013			0.028		0.009	0.030	0.005	0.025	0.063	15	
Pool Length (ft)	10			35		3			25		5	40	1	11	28	19	
Pool Spacing (ft)	20			80		30			59		30	85	7	46	94	18	
Substrate and Transport Parameters																	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 1	.9% / 73	3% / 7%	6/0%/1	l%	0% / 3	15% / 789	% / 7% /	/ 0% / 0%	6			1% / 47	% / 51% / 1	1% / 0% / 0	%	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	-	1.5 / 11	/ 17 / 4	5 / 78		2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				0.4	/ 1.1 / 2.4	/ 30 / 52		
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)		1	1,789				2	297			1,9	67		2,008			
Drainage Area (SM)			0.10				0	.38			0.	10		0.10			
Rosgen Classification			G4				F	34c			В	4		B4			
Sinuosity			1.10				1	.20			1.3	20		1.20			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																	

Table 6g. T5-2 Baseline Stream Summ	nary															
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site																
Parameter	P	re-Exist	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference F	Reach(es) Data		Des	sign		As-buil	<u></u> †*	
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)			3.3		1	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	5.0			5.9		1
Floodprone Width (ft)			4		1	13	17		20	2	10			21		1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			0.7		1	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.5			0.4		1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			0.9		1	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	0.8			0.8		1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			2.3		1	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	2.5			2.4		1
Width/Depth Ratio			4.7		1	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	10.0			14.5		1
Entrenchment Ratio			1.3		1	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0			3.6		1
Bank Height Ratio			2.7		1			1.0		2	1.0			1.0		1
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)								45			15	30	15			
Radius of Curvature (ft)						13			42		15		15			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)						1.3			4.4		3.0		2.5			
Meander Wavelength (ft)						93			136		45	63	50		60	
Meander Width Ratio						4.5			5.0		3.0	6.0	2.5		5.1	
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%						0% /	15% / 789	% / 7% /	0% / 0%	6			40% / 41	1% / 20% /	0% / 0% / 0)%
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)						2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				0.1	/ 0.1 / 0.2 /	4.2 / 9.8	
Additional Reach Parameters	_					= '						•	_			
Channel length (ft)			118				2	297			12	21		132		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.02				C	.38			0.0			0.02		
Rosgen Classification			G4				I	34c			В			B4		
Sinuosity			1.10				1	.20			1.3	20		1.20		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		C	0.0590				0.	0130	-		0.0	550				

^{*} This is a short reach and does not have a monitored longitudinal profile.

Table 6f. T6 Baseline Stream Summa	ry															
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site Parameter	P	re-Exis	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference F	Reach(es	s) Data		Des	sign		As-buil	lt	\dashv
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.4	4.4		5.3	2	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	8.0		6.3	6.7	7.1	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	4	6		8	2	13	17		20	2	16		16.7	17.2	18.6	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.6		0.8	2	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.7		0.5	0.6	0.6	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.8		1.0	2	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.1		0.8	0.9	0.9	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.3	2.7		4.0	2	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	5.7		3.4	3.5	3.6	2
Width/Depth Ratio	7.0	7.9		8.7	2	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	11.4		11.0	12.9	14.8	2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.1	1.3		1.5	2	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0		2.6	2.7	2.7	2
Bank Height Ratio	3.0	4.9		6.8	2			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern												•				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	16			36				45			36	40	30		40	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	3	10 10 10							10		35					
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.6			4.7		1.3			4.4		1.3	4.4	1.5	5.2		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	14			116		93			136		72	120	50	120		
Meander Width Ratio	2.6			34.1		4.5			5.0		4.5	5.0	4.5		6.0	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													11	25	49	12
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.009			0.030		0.013			0.028		0.013	0.025	0.010	0.024	0.040	12
Pool Length (ft)	9			13		3			25		6	15	2	6	14	14
Pool Spacing (ft)	26			48		30			59		25	70	5	36	68	13
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%				6 / 1% / 1	.%	0% / 1	15% / 789	% / 7% .	/ 0% / 0%	6			1% / 14	% / 81% / 3	3% / 0% / 0	%
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	(0.5 / 0.9	/ 1.7 /	11 / 20		2	.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	70 / 70				5.	6 / 34 / 40 /	56 / 63	
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)			1,275					297				30		1,230		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.07					.38			0.0			0.07		
Rosgen Classification			G					34c			В			B4		
Sinuosity			1.20					.20			1.3			1.20		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	-5									0.02	240		0.0301			

Table 6g. T7-3 Baseline Stream Sumi	mary															
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site Parameter	F	re-Exis	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference F	Reach(es	s) Data		Des	sign		As-bui	lt	
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.0	6.1	6.5	6.9	3	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	8.2		9.0	9.1	9.1	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	8	9	9	9	3	13	17		20	2	16		15.4	16.9	18.4	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	3	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.7		0.7	0.8	0.8	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	3	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.1		0.9	1.1	1.3	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.0	5.6	5.9	6.0	3	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	6.0		6.4	7.7	9.0	2
Width/Depth Ratio	6.0	7.0	7.2	7.9	3	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	11.2		11.6	12.3	12.9	2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	3	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0		1.7	1.9	2.0	2
Bank Height Ratio	2.8	3.3	3.2	3.8	3			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern						-										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	24			42				45			29	47	30		60	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	22			58		13			42		15	35	15		35	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	3.2			9.7		1.3			4.4		1.8	4.3	1.6	3.8		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	52			115		93			136		55	106	50	110		
Meander Width Ratio	3.5			7		4.5			5.0		3.5	5.7	3.3		6.6	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													15	26	40	15
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.007			0.012		0.013			0.028		0.020	0.030	0.002	0.018	0.035	15
Pool Length (ft)	6			12		3			25		7	30	6	16	54	15
Pool Spacing (ft)	17			42		30			59		32	86	38	55	101	14
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%				% / 0% / 0)%	0% / 1	15% / 789	% / 7% ,	/ 0% / 0%	6			6% / 33	% / 54% / 6	5% / 0% / 0	%
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	(0.3 / 1.4	/ 5.4 /	15 / 25		2	.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	70 / 70				0.3	3 / 1.4 / 6.6	/ 45 / 95	
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)			2,023					297			2,0			2,109		
Drainage Area (SM)			0.18					.38			0.			0.18		
Rosgen Classification			G4c					34c				4c		B4c		
Sinuosity			1.10					.20				10		1.15		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		(0.0132				0.0	0130			0.0	128		0.0211		

Table 6h. T7-5 Baseline Stream Sum	nary																
Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site																	
Parameter	F	re-Exist	ting Co	ndition		Re	ference F	Reach(es) Data		Des	sign		As-built	*		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n	
Bankfull Width (ft)						9.0	9.5		10.0	2	10.4			11.5		1	
Floodprone Width (ft)						13	17		20	2	21			21		1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)						1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.9			0.9		1	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)						1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.2			1.3		1	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)						10.4	10.6		10.7	2	9.0			10.7		1	
Width/Depth Ratio						8.0	9.0		10.0	2	12.0			12.4		1	
Entrenchment Ratio						1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.0			1.9		1	
Bank Height Ratio								1.0		2	1.0			1.0		1	
Pattern													20 25				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	28							45			20	25	20	25			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	12					13			42		20	25	20	25			
Re:Bankfull width (ft/ft)						1.3			4.4		1.9	2.4	1.7		2.2		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	62					93			136		64	68	60		70		
Meander Width Ratio						4.5			5.0		2.0	2.5	1.7		2.2		
Substrate and Transport Parameters																	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%						0% /	15% / 789	% / 7% /	0% / 0%	ó				% / 63% / 29		2%	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)						2	2.0 / 4.2 /	6.9 / 30	/ 70				0.9	9 / 4.4 / 11 /	34 / 55		
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)			185				2	297			15	54		147			
Drainage Area (SM)			0.24				0	0.38			0.3	24		0.24			
Rosgen Classification							I	34c			B4c	:/C4		B4c/C4	1		
Sinuosity							1	.20	•		1.0	08		1.05	•		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	· <i>y</i>						0.0	0130			0.0	193					

^{*} This is a short reach and does not have a monitored longitudinal profile.

Parameter			Cross-S	ection 1	_				Cross-S	ection 2	2				Cross-S	ection 3		
			Po	ool					Rif	ffle					Po	ool		
Reach			T1	3					T1	l-4					T1	4		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.6	12.2	13.4	14.9			17.4	17.8	18.8	18.0			14.2	14.3	14.4	13.2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-	-	-			39	42	42	41			-	-	-	-		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.7	2.4	2.4			1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0			1.5	1.6	1.4	1.6		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.8	2.9	3.5	3.6			1.9	2.3	2.9	2.2			2.5	2.9	3.0	3.4		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	20.2	20.9	32.2	35.5			18.9	19.9	23.3	18.7			21.9	23.5	19.7	20.5		
Width/Depth Ratio	ı	-	-	ı			16.0	15.9	15.2	17.3			ı	-	-	-		
Entrenchment Ratio	1	ı	-	1			2.2	2.4	2.2	2.3			ı	ı	1	-		
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	4.50		1.70	1.90			15.00	8.00	8.00	23.00			1.80	8.90	8.90	2.90		
d84 (mm)	15.00		15.00	34.00			40.00	43.00	43.00	38 00		_	12.00	30.00	30.00	35.00	_	

Parameter			Cross-S	ection 4	ļ.				Cross-S	ection 5	5				Cross-S	ection 6	Ó	
			Rit	ffle					Rif	ffle					Po	ol		
Reach			T1	1-5					T2	2-2					T3	-2		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)		15.0	17.5	15.6			7.7	7.5	6.7	6.5			12.3	12.6	13.5	12.3		
Floodprone Width (ft)	42	37	37	45			21	21	21	21			-	-	-	-		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2			0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6			1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.3			1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0			2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	22.2	22.1	21.2	18.8			5.4	4.7	4.2	4.2			13.3	11.0	13.3	12.9		
Width/Depth Ratio	10.5	10.2	14.4	12.9			11.0	12.0	10.7	10.1			ı	1	1	-		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7	2.5	2.1	2.9			2.7	2.8	3.1	3.2			-	-	-	-		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	24.00	27.00	17.00	27.00			10.00	14.00	14.00	1.10			1.10		0.62	0.06		
d84 (mm)	44.00	Bdrk	Bdrk	59.00			30.00	31.00	41.00	12.00			10.00		0.62	1.20		

Parameter			Cross-S	ection 7	1				Cross-S	ection 8	}				Cross-S	ection 9	,	
			Rif	ffle					Rif	fle					Rif	fle		
Reach			Т3	3-2					T3	3-2					T4	-1		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.8	8.8	9.4	7.9			8.3	10.3	9.3	8.2			8.5	8.3	10.4	10.1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	21	22	22	22			24	26	26	23			24	25	25	26		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6			0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4			0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9			1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.9	4.4	4.7	4.5			4.2	5.8	4.7	3.6			4.1	4.6	5.3	4.9		
Width/Depth Ratio	15.6	17.6	18.8	13.9			16.4	18.3	18.4	18.7			17.6	15.0	20.4	20.8		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.7			2.9	2.5	2.8	2.8			2.8	3.0	2.4	2.6		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.30	1.30	1.30	0.33					18.00				0.10	2.00	7.10	19.00		
d84 (mm)	6.90	41.00	41.00	33.00			42.00	50.00	50.00	58.00			13.00	35.00	17.00	45.00		

Parameter		(Cross-Se	ection 1	0			(Cross-Se	ection 1	1			(Cross-Se	ection 12	2	
			Ri	ffle					Rif	fle					Po	ool		
Reach			T ²	l-2					T4	2					T5	5-2		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)		9.5	8.3	9.3			8.6	6.7	6.8	6.1			10.7	10.3	10.5	9.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	24	21	21	22			26	22	22	21			-	-	-	-		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4			0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9			1.1	1.1	1.3	1.4		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.8			1.7	1.4	1.6	1.2			2.5	2.5	2.8	2.8		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.9	4.3	4.1	3.9			7.9	6.4	6.4	5.7			12.3	11.2	13.6	13.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	14.0	21.0	16.8	22.2			9.4	7.0	7.2	6.5			•	ı	-	-		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.3			3.0	3.3	3.2	3.5			-	-	-	-	i	
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-		
d50 (mm)	8.00	20.00	35.00	17.00			1.20	35.00	10.00	1.30			0.20	5.20	25.00	10.00		
d84 (mm)	39.00	43.00	59.00	39.00			16.00	Bdrk	25.00	19.00			0.80	22.00	43.00	41.00		

2011- MY03

Parameter		(Cross-Se Rit	ection 13	3			(Cross-Se Rit	ection 14	4			(Cross-Se Po		5	
Reach				5-2						6					T			
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.9	6.0	6.9	5.9			7.1	7.4	7.7	6.9			4.1	6.0	5.0	5.1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	21	23	23	27			19	26	26	38			-	-	-	ı		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3			0.5	0.6	0.8	0.8			0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9			0.8	1.4	1.8	1.8			1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.4	2.4	2.6	1.8			3.4	4.7	5.9	5.8			3.1	3.9	3.6	3.7		
Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	15.0	18.3	19.3			14.8	11.7	10.0	8.2			-	-	-	-		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.6	3.8	3.3	4.6			2.6	3.5	3.4	5.5			-	-	-	-		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.20	0.68	2.80	1.30			44.00	6.80	9.90	2.10			4.40	9.90	23.00	25.00		
d84 (mm)	4.20	2.00	28.00	42.00			57.00	30.00	26.00	17.00			20.00	35.00	44.00	54.00		

Parameter		(Cross-Se	ection 1	6			(Cross-Se	ection 1	7			C	Cross-Se	ction 18	,	
			Rit	ffle					Po	ool					Rif	fle		
Reach			T	6					T7	7-3					T7-	-3		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.3	6.0	7.4	6.9			7.3	8.8	9.8	10.1			9.0	9.2	8.2	8.1		
Floodprone Width (ft)		18	18	19			-	ı	-	1			18	19	19	19		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.7	0.7	0.6			1.1	1.2	1.4	1.7			0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.2			2.0	2.0	2.3	2.6			1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.6	4.1	5.2	4.4			7.7	10.8	13.3	17.3			7.2	7.3	7.2	7.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	11.0	8.8	10.5	10.8			-	ı	-	1			11.6	11.6	9.3	8.9		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7	3.0	2.4	2.7			-	1	1	1			2.0	2.0	2.3	2.3		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
d50 (mm)	35.00	45.00	22.00	19.00			0.30	0.39	0.57	0.12			21.00	32.00	7.30	0.56		
d84 (mm)	56.00	Bdrk	45.00	52.00			0.50	7.00	3.50	13.00			58.00	100.00	63.00	20.00		

Parameter		(Cross-Se	ection 1	9				Cross-S	ection 20)	
			Rif	ffle					Ri	ffle		
Reach			T7	7-3					T	7-5		
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.1	8.7	8.7	9.9			11.5	11.9	11.8	12.0		
Floodprone Width (ft)	15	15	15	18			21	21	21	21		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6			0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.4			1.3	1.3	1.5	1.5		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.4	4.8	5.2	6.4			10.7	9.6	8.9	8.3		
Width/Depth Ratio	12.9	15.8	14.6	15.3			12.4	14.8	15.64	17.3		
Entrenchment Ratio	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8			1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Substrate												
d50 (mm)	1.90	25.00	33.00	1.90			11.00	41.00	33.00	38.00		_
d84 (mm)	19.00	42.00	74.00	51.00			34.00	Bdrk	75.00	160.00		

Table 7h. Morphology and Hyo Cane Creek Stream Restoratio		Ionitorin	ng Summ	ary cont	inued										
					Read	ch T1-1									
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	7 - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20	11)	MY	- 04 (2	012)	MY	- 05 (20	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	16	30	55	10	30	76	6	24	58						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0076	0.0160	0.0229	0.0017	0.0131	0.0395	0.0050	0.0113	0.0569						
Pool Length (ft)	5	10	18	4	12	19	5	18	44						
Pool Spacing (ft)	15	66	134	27	82	222	10	64	149						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0114			0.0111			0.0109							
Rosgen Classification		C4/1	-		C4/1			C4/1	<u>.</u>						_

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

					Reach	T1-2, 3									
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	7 - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20	11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (20	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	7	14	36	7	30	49	17	19	22						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0082	0.0244	0.0421	0.0016	0.0113	0.0223	0.0089	0.0185	0.0301						
Pool Length (ft)	16	23	27	9	18	25	16	28	63						
Pool Spacing (ft)	57	117	169	22	60	107	37	77	104						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0068			0.0063			0.0068							
Rosgen Classification		C4			C4			C4							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

			•		Read	ch T3-2	•	•	•			•			
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	7 - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20	11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (20	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	2	12	43	1	13	30	5	16	27						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0128	0.0342	0.0614	0.0148	0.0652	0.1841	0.0043	0.0275	0.0524						
Pool Length (ft)	2	12	23	3	9	28	2	9	16						
Pool Spacing (ft)	14	46	72	15	57	115	14	57	117						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	•				0.0175			0.0176							
Rosgen Classification		B4			B4			B4							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Table 7k. Morphology and Hyc Cane Creek Stream Restoratio				•		h T4-2									
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	009)	M	7 - 02 (20		MY	7 - 03 (20)11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (20	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	4	19	36	7	20	52	21	26	32						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0006	0.0221	0.0519	0.0004	0.0185	0.0496	0.0102	0.0153	0.0224						
Pool Length (ft)	2	10	30	4	14	35	4	12	32						
Pool Spacing (ft)	7	55	99	9	55	110	14	55	88						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0151			0.0140			0.0152							
Rosgen Classification					B4			B4							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Table 71. Morphology and Hyd Cane Creek Stream Restoratio		[onitorin	g Summa	ry conti	nued										
					Rea	ich T6									
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	7 - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20	11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (20	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	6	13	26	2	12	19	8	8	8						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0051	0.0229	0.0472	0.0096	0.0261	0.0334	0.0609	0.0556	0.0784						
Pool Length (ft)	3	7	12	2	8	16	6	9	15						
Pool Spacing (ft)	5	38	106	7	48	115	22	60	129						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0254			0.0273			0.0272							
Rosgen Classification		B4			B4			B4							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Cane Creek Stream Restoration	n Site				Rea	ch T7-3									
Parameter	MY	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	7 - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20)	11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (2	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	4	15	37	5	20	39	7	17	33						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0045	0.0266	0.0446	0.0025	0.0181	0.0422	0.0005	0.0227	0.0569						
Pool Length (ft)	5	17	41	6	16	44	6	14	47						
Pool Spacing (ft)	27	55	101	27	58	100	10	59	146						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)								0.0105							
Rosgen Classification								B4c							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Table 7n. Morphology and Hy Cane Creek Stream Restoratio		Ionitori r	ng Summ	ary cont	inued										
					Read	ch T7-5									
Parameter	M	7 - 01 (20	09)	MY	Y - 02 (20	10)	MY	7 - 03 (20	11)	MY	- 04 (20	012)	MY	- 05 (2	013)
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	7	22	44	9	23	40	10	22	48						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0081	0.0349	0.0872	0.0074	0.0293	0.0494	0.0050	0.0289	0.0569						
Pool Length (ft)	2	8	17	4	9	17	2	9	17						
Pool Spacing (ft)	42	74	116	28	54	119	24	49	131						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0212			0.0204			0.0198							
Rosgen Classification		B4c/C4/1	-		B4c/C4/1	-		B4c/C4/1							

^{*} Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Appendix A Vegetation Data and Photos

Table A1. Vegetation Cane Creek Stream R			nted and To	otal with V	olunte	ers			
	MY-00	MY-01	MY-02	MY-	03	MY-	04	MY-	05
Plot Number	Planted	Planted	Planted	Planted	Total		_	Planted	Total
1	1,133	840	526	526	2,630				
2	526	440	364	364	4,452				
3	647	520	526	526	3,440				
4	850	680	607	647	8,013				
5	607	440	243	243	1,295				
6	931	680	486	486	769				
7	809	720	647	647	3,925				
8	445	320	202	162	526				
9	809	640	486	486	2,509				
10	567	440	405	364	890				
11	850	720	526	526	1,255				
12	607	560	526	526	3,116				
13	445	240	202	202	1,052				
14	971	800	688	647	7,891				
15	486	400	364	324	7,365				
16	931	760	769	486	1,659				
17	486	320	243	202	1,052				
18	567	320	324	283	8,701				
19	647	600	324	364	4,168				
20	486	480	324	283	931				
Site Average	690	546	439	415	3,282				

A2. Stem Count by Plot and S Creek Stream Restoration Sit																							
Species	Total Planted Stems	# plots	avg # stems	plot CCTS-A-0001	plot CCTS-A-0002	plot CCTS-A-0003	plot CCTS-A-0004	plot CCTS-A-0005	plot CCTS-A-0006	plot CCTS-A-0007	plot CCTS-A-0008	plot CCTS-A-0009	plot CCTS-A-0010	plot CCTS-A-0011	plot CCTS-A-0012	plot CCTS-A-0013	plot CCTS-A-0014	plot CCTS-A-0015	plot CCTS-A-0016	plot CCTS-A-0017	plot CCTS-A-0018	plot CCTS-A-0019	plot CCTS-A-0020
Asimina triloba	4	1	4												4								
Betula nigra	6	2	3	1															5				
Callicarpa americana	1	1	1							1													
Carya ovata	5	3	2				2	2														1	
Cornus amomum	60	13	5	2	1	3	4		5	7		7	5	2			14		3	2			5
Diospyros virginiana	23	11	2	3	2	3	2	1			2			4	3	1	1	1					
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	4	2	2		3	1																	
Juglans nigra	18	9	2	2	1				2	5		1	3							1		2	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	6	5	1						1	1		2	1							1			
Platanus occidentalis	8	5	2	1	1	3														1	2		
Quercus falcata	22	8	3	1			1	3		2					6	4						4	1
Quercus michauxii	10	3	3								2							7	1				
Quercus pagoda	2	2	1	1					1														
Quercus phellos	9	3	3		1	3															5		
Salix	1	1	1				1																
Salix nigra	2	2	1				1												1				
Salix sericea	24	8	3	2			5		3			2		7			1		2			2	
Total Year 3	205	17		13	9	13	16	6	12	16	4	12	9	13	13	5	16	8	12	5	7	9	7
Average Density (Stems/ac	ere)		526	364	526	647	243	486	647	162	486		526						202	283	364	283
													,	Total	Dens	ity (S	tems	/Acre)			415	

Cane Creek Stream Restoration Site

KCI Technologies, Inc.
Contract # D06002

KCI Technologies, Inc.
2011 – MY03



Vegetation Plot 1: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 2: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 3: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 4: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 5: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 6: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 7: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 8: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 9: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 10: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 11: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 12: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 13: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/29/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 14: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 15: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 16: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 17: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 18: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 8/3/11 – MY-03



Vegetation Plot 19: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 8/3/11 – MY-02



Vegetation Plot 20: View looking toward plot center from origin corner. 6/30/11 – MY-03

Appendix B Geomorphologic Data

Cross-Section Plots

River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-1, Pool, T1-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.49
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	501.64
0.3	501.39
3.2	501.13
5.7	500.90
9.1	500.44
12.4	499.94
16.2	499.61
19.2	499.49
20.4	499.32
21.1	499.05
21.6	498.09
21.9	497.73
22.5	497.32
22.8	497.18
23.2	496.78
24.0	496.40
24.7	496.09
25.6	495.93

27.3

28.8

29.8

31.0

31.9

32.2

33.3

34.1

34.7

35.3 37.5

41.7

43.6

48.8

54.6

60.1 60.4 495.77

496.10

496.27 496.44

496.86

497.50

498.02 498.63

498.82

499.40 499.56

499.62

500.27

500.74

501.30


501.44

501.60

501.85

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	499.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	35.5
Bankfull Width:	14.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	2.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-2, Riffle, T1-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.62
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	501.45
0.5	501.27
4.6	501.31
9.3	501.31
13.5	500.96
16.6	500.01
19.2	499.26
22.5	498.36
25.6	497.70
28.4	497.46
30.9	497.26
33.1	496.90
34.1	496.68
34.5	496.48
35.1	495.85
35.6	495.34
36.5	495.12
37.7	495.24
38.7	495.26
39.6	495.26
40.6	495.16
40.8	495.18
41.4	495.45
42.0	495.95
42.5	496.19
43.7	496.52
44.9	496.92
46.0	497.17
48.3	497.29
51.3	497.51
53.0	497.81
55.9	498.52
58.6	499.19
60.8	499.84
62.9	500.27
65.7	500.57
69.3	500.68
69.9	500.98

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	497.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	18.7
Bankfull Width:	18.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	499.5
Flood Prone Width:	41.1
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
W / D Ratio:	17.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C/B4
-------------	------




River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-3, Pool, T1-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.62
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	499.31
1.4	499.05
4.1	498.81
6.9	498.36
8.5	497.64
10.1	497.10
11.8	496.45
13.9	495.99
15.5	495.73
17.6	495.56
19.7	495.28
21.7	495.04
23.2	494.44
24.8	494.21
25.8	493.76
26.0	493.09
26.9	492.40
28.5	491.96
29.7	491.76
30.7	492.74
31.3	493.34
31.7	493.85
32.6	494.46
33.6	494.85
34.4	495.51
36.3	495.83
40.4	495.97
42.6	496.39
44.7	497.10
47.0	497.83
49.0	498.13
52.8	498.25
55.4	498.33
55.6	498.50

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	495.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	20.5
Bankfull Width:	13.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-





River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-4, Riffle, T1-5
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.70
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders


0.0	493.61
0.0	493.27
2.9	493.14
4.7	492.95
6.3	492.36
10.4	491.28
14.6	490.05
18.7	489.78
21.6	489.47
24.0	488.74
26.9	488.59
29.0	488.50
30.2	488.01
30.9	487.64
31.6	487.61
32.2	487.36
32.9	487.31
33.2	487.37
33.6	487.79
34.2	488.20
34.8	488.38
36.1	488.54
36.9	488.63
37.2	489.62
38.1	489.65
40.6	489.70
43.5	490.32
45.6	491.00
46.8	491.22
48.7	491.58
51.6	491.74
53.9	491.98

Station

Elevation

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	489.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	18.8
Bankfull Width:	15.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	491.9
Flood Prone Width:	44.7
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	12.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-5, Riffle, T2-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.11
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	520.97
0.7	520.63
3.8	519.90
6.8	519.05
11.2	517.52
12.7	517.02
14.1	516.42
16.2	516.04
17.8	515.98
19.3	515.87
20.2	515.54
20.7	515.31
21.4	515.12
21.9	514.88
22.2	514.84
22.5	514.65
23.0	514.70
23.7	514.72
24.1	514.74
24.8	515.00
25.2	515.15
25.9	515.46
27.0	515.80
29.3	516.04
32.3	516.26
35.6	517.19
39.2	518.09
42.0	518.85
45.1	519.45
46.9	519.94
47.1	520.20

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	515.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	4.2
Bankfull Width:	6.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	516.7
Flood Prone Width:	20.7
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.6
W / D Ratio:	10.1
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.2
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type C/E4




River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-6, Pool, T3-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

0.0 539.68 0.5 539.45 3.2 539.10	
3.2 539.10	
3.2	
7.1 538.35	
10.0 537.64	
12.2 536.95	
14.6 536.19	
16.6 535.60	
18.4 535.07	
21.2 534.38	
23.3 534.13	
26.6 533.94	
27.4 533.81	
27.9 533.53	
28.4 533.26	
28.9 532.93	
29.9 532.09	
30.8 531.66	
31.8 531.55	
32.7 531.95	
33.8 532.39	
34.8 532.64	
35.4 532.91	
35.8 533.18	
36.4 533.32	
38.3 533.52	
39.8 533.82	
41.2 533.77	
42.8 533.77	
45.1 533.91	
47.5 534.03	
50.1 534.27	
51.8 534.62	
54.2 535.17	
57.6 535.90	
61.1 536.49	
64.4 537.28	
66.3 537.56	
66.6 537.74	

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	533.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	12.9
Bankfull Width:	12.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.1
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-7, Riffle, T3-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
5.4	534.36
5.8	534.08
7.9	533.54
11.0	533.26
15.0	532.43
18.9	531.79
21.0	531.58
25.1	530.80
28.3	530.59
30.2	530.46
30.7	530.36
31.6	530.04
32.3	529.90
33.0	529.73
33.1	529.65
33.6	529.58
34.1	529.64
35.0	529.74
35.8	529.80
36.8	529.97
38.1	530.42
39.2	530.60
41.3	530.89
44.1	531.55
48.4	532.47
52.2	533.16
56.6	534.02
60.0	534.52
60.6	534.54
65.1	535.18
66.4	535.35
66.5	535.53

SUMMARY DATA	·
Bankfull Elevation:	530.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	4.5
Bankfull Width:	7.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	531.4
Flood Prone Width:	21.7
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.6
W / D Ratio:	13.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.7
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-8, Riffle, T3-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	513.24
0.1	513.06
4.1	512.71
5.6	512.45
6.7	511.88
8.9	511.14
13.0	509.74
15.4	508.83
17.1	508.47
19.8	508.42
22.3	508.19
23.4	507.99
24.5	507.85
25.3	507.67
25.6	507.50
26.3	507.42
26.9	507.49
27.2	507.42
27.6	507.58
28.0	507.34
28.5	507.57
28.8	507.73
29.5	507.98
30.5	508.18
32.3	508.47
36.2	508.72
38.5	509.13
42.8	509.95
47.3	510.87
50.7	511.69
54.3	512.36
56.3	512.61
56.4	512.80

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	508.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.6
Bankfull Width:	8.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	509.1
Flood Prone Width:	23.3
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.4
W / D Ratio:	18.7
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-9, Riffle, T4-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	539.70
0.4	539.57
3.3	539.20
8.7	538.85
10.7	538.33
12.4	537.95
14.7	537.35
17.6	536.63
20.3	536.37
22.8	536.22
23.3	535.94
23.8	535.76
24.5	535.52
24.9	535.35
25.3	535.30
25.8	535.23
26.4	535.27
26.8	535.34
27.3	535.64
27.9	535.87
28.5	536.19
29.7	536.40
31.7	536.41
34.0	536.46
36.6	536.99
38.8	537.28
41.7	537.98
46.0	538.36
46.3	538.44

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	536.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	4.9
Bankfull Width:	10.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	537.6
Flood Prone Width:	26.1
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	20.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.6
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-10, Riffle, T4-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	528.92
0.6	528.57
4.3	528.07
7.9	527.34
10.9	526.64
13.3	525.79
15.9	524.95
19.1	523.65
22.3	522.50
26.6	521.92
29.4	521.68
29.4	521.65
30.0	521.46
30.6	521.29
31.1	520.98
31.6	520.96
32.1	520.95
32.8	520.92
33.4	520.82
33.9	520.93
34.7	521.11
35.4	521.26
36.8	521.50
38.8	521.67
42.2	521.73
43.5	522.33
45.1	522.94
47.8	523.92
51.2	525.13
55.9	526.38
59.8	526.64
60.2	526.87

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	521.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.9
Bankfull Width:	9.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	522.5
Flood Prone Width:	21.6
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.4
W / D Ratio:	22.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	B4
-------------	----





River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-11, Riffle, T4-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	519.01
0.4	518.70
3.8	518.51
5.7	518.15
7.5	517.35
9.4	516.77
11.7	515.89
13.6	515.34
15.9	514.72
17.9	514.36
19.3	514.21
21.2	514.06
21.0	514.14
22.0	513.75
22.5	513.19
23.2	512.75
24.2	512.69

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	513.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.7
Bankfull Width:	6.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	515.1
Flood Prone Width:	21.3
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	6.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.5
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0







River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-12, Pool, T4-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders


0.0	508.07
0.2	507.79
3.8	507.25
7.9	506.64
11.5	505.91
15.1	505.03
18.5	504.34
22.6	503.74
25.1	503.25
28.4	503.23
30.4	503.19
31.6	503.02
33.0	502.58
33.9	502.30
34.7	502.08
34.9	501.58
35.6	501.01
36.4	500.65
36.8	500.39
37.5	500.24
38.1	500.36
38.9	500.69
39.3	501.54
39.6	501.91
40.0	502.22
40.6	502.59
41.6	503.10
43.1	503.18
45.6	503.19
47.3	503.53
49.9	504.32
53.1	505.28
56.3	506.01
59.6	506.19
59.8	506.41

Station

Elevation

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	503.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	13.4
Bankfull Width:	9.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-13, Riffle, T5-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.02
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	502.81
0.7	502.42
5.4	502.43
9.8	502.26
14.0	501.70
16.9	501.30
19.3	500.99
22.5	500.83
24.6	500.80
25.1	500.58
25.5	500.27
25.7	499.93
25.9	499.96
26.6	500.06
26.8	500.38
27.5	500.48
28.5	500.67
29.6	500.97
31.5	500.93
34.5	500.84
37.6	501.51
41.1	501.69
45.2	501.75
48.7	501.69
49.1	501.90

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	500.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	1.8
Bankfull Width:	5.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	501.7
Flood Prone Width:	26.9
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.3
W / D Ratio:	19.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.6
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-14, Riffle, T6
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.07
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	522.87
4.1	522.20
9.1	521.62
15.3	520.77
19.5	519.86
22.8	519.18
24.6	518.77
26.9	518.30
29.4	517.98
30.4	517.57
31.4	517.18
31.6	516.17
32.4	516.18
33.1	516.19
33.7	516.61
34.5	517.52
35.2	517.83
36.3	517.94
39.0	518.11
42.1	518.62
46.4	519.20
50.8	519.32
53.3	519.42
58.0	519.84
62.2	520.31
66.9	520.99
67.0	521.27

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	518.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.8
Bankfull Width:	6.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	519.8
Flood Prone Width:	38.1
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	8.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.5
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-15, Pool, T6
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.07
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	497.12
0.3	496.64
5.0	496.51
8.7	496.33
12.0	495.84
15.6	495.41
19.1	495.06
20.7	494.85
22.7	495.18
25.5	493.43
28.6	492.80
31.7	492.61
33.8	492.39
35.9	492.01
36.4	491.79
36.9	491.34
37.5	491.09
38.4	490.91
39.0	491.05
39.3	492.10
39.9	492.45
40.3	492.73
41.9	492.87
43.8	493.16
46.4	494.01
48.4	494.93
50.6	495.88
53.2	496.97
55.2	497.74
57.4	497.73
57.6	497.94

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	492.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.7
Bankfull Width:	5.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-16, Riffle, T6
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.07
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	497.11
0.8	496.60
4.0	496.44
7.0	496.13
9.8	495.83
12.5	495.31
15.0	494.85
17.1	494.05
19.5	493.08
21.2	492.69
24.2	492.44
25.9	492.16
26.7	491.85
27.6	491.66
27.9	491.40
28.5	491.24
29.0	491.15
29.5	491.07
30.0	491.21
30.4	491.23
30.8	491.62
30.9	491.75
31.4	492.03
32.1	492.37
33.7	492.56
34.8	492.68
35.7	492.87
36.9	493.33
38.5	494.15
40.2	495.33
41.9	495.85
44.5	495.84
47.3	495.84
47.6	495.90

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	492.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	4.4
Bankfull Width:	6.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	493.5
Flood Prone Width:	18.9
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.6
W / D Ratio:	10.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.7
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-17, Pool, T7-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	522.69
0.7	522.47
5.0	522.36
8.8	522.20
12.0	521.62
14.6	521.22
16.4	520.81
18.3	520.07
19.7	519.51
21.2	519.39
22.1	518.73
22.4	516.46
23.1	516.23
23.9	516.17
25.3	516.35
26.7	516.49
28.2	516.80
29.0	516.96
29.5	517.44
31.0	518.34
32.4	518.76
34.6	518.98
37.5	519.37
41.6	520.23
45.4	520.98
50.0	521.67
55.7	521.93
58.4	522.31
60.6	522.38
60.8	522.60

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	518.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	17.3
Bankfull Width:	10.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.7
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-18, Riffle, T7-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	518.78
0.3	518.55
4.1	518.52
6.3	518.42
9.7	518.09
12.6	517.88
13.7	517.63
15.5	516.85
17.2	516.03
18.8	515.41
20.5	515.33
21.4	514.88
22.2	514.53
22.4	514.39
22.7	514.09
23.2	513.73
23.8	513.63
24.3	513.48
25.0	513.61
25.6	513.79
26.5	513.99
27.2	514.58
28.0	514.85
29.0	515.03
30.9	515.39
32.1	515.67
33.4	516.16
35.2	516.66
37.2	517.29
38.9	517.75
41.9	517.77
45.3	518.05
48.2	518.21
48.4	518.38

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	515.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	7.4
Bankfull Width:	8.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	516.8
Flood Prone Width:	18.6
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	8.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-19, Riffle, T7-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	512.51
0.5	512.26
6.2	512.02
7.1	511.96
9.5	512.09
13.1	512.01
16.4	511.86
18.4	511.65
19.5	511.15
20.6	510.62
21.8	509.96
23.0	509.22
24.3	508.49
25.4	508.07
26.7	507.86
28.1	507.57
29.5	507.42
30.6	506.98
31.4	506.97
32.4	506.72
33.3	506.44
34.0	506.60
35.0	507.07
36.0	507.59
38.2	508.12
40.9	509.06
43.1	510.39
44.9	511.39
46.9	512.21
50.2	512.43
54.2	512.44
58.4	512.37
60.9	512.57

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	507.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	6.4
Bankfull Width:	9.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	509.2
Flood Prone Width:	17.9
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.6
W / D Ratio:	15.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	1.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0






River Basin:	Roanoke
Watershed:	Cane Creek, MY-03
XS ID	XS-20, Riffle, T7-5
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.26
Date:	6/15/2011
Field Crew:	A. French, J. Anders

Station	Elevation
0.0	478.60
0.2	478.28
4.5	478.18
11.8	477.58
19.4	477.55
24.3	476.80
27.3	475.77
29.3	474.66
31.1	474.03
34.7	473.87
36.6	473.17
38.8	473.17
41.0	472.80
42.0	472.55
42.5	472.16
43.6	472.10
44.4	472.15
45.2	472.28
46.1	472.91
47.6	473.43
49.2	473.85
50.5	474.64
51.5	475.84
53.9	476.11
56.7	476.02
58.5	476.07
58.7	476.18


SUMMARY DATA	•
Bankfull Elevation:	473.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	8.3
Bankfull Width:	12.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	475.1
Flood Prone Width:	20.5
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	17.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	1.7
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0




Stream Type	B4c/C4
-------------	--------




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile Tributary 1, MY-03 Stations 11+70 - 19+10




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile 2 Tributary 1, MY-03 Stations 23+18 - 28+66




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile Tributary 3, MY-03 Stations 71+15 - 76+44




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile Tributary 4, MY-03 Stations 93+75 - 102+33




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile Tributary 6, MY-03 Stations 125+75 - 131+28




Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile Tributary 7, MY-03 Stations 152+25 - 160+25





Cane Creek Tributary Site Longitudinal Profile 2 Tributary 7, MY-03 Stations 180+00 - 187+37





Pebble Count Plots
























































Appendix C Stream Photos



PP#1U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#2U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#3U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#1D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#2D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#3D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#4U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#4D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#5U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#5D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#6U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#6D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#7 - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#8U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#8D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#9U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#9D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#10U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#10D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#11 - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#12U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#12D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#13U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#13D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#14U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#14D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#15U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#15D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#16U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#16D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#17U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#17D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#18U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#18D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#19U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#19D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#20U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#20D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#21U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#21D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#22U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#22D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#23U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#23D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#24U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#24D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#25 - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#26U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#26D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#27UL - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#27UR - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#27D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#28U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#28D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#29U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#29D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#30UL - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#30UR - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#30D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#31U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#31D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#32U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#32D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#33U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#33D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#34U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#34D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#35U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#35D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#36U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#36D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#37U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#37D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#38U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#38D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#39U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#39D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#40U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#40D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#41D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#42D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#41U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#42U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#43U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#43D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#44U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#44D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#45U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#45D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#46 - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#47 - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#48U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#48D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#49U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#49D - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#50U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#50D - MY03 - 1/10/12




PP#51U - MY03 - 1/10/12



PP#51D - MY03 - 1/10/12

Appendix D Current Condition Plan View








ICOINEERS - PLANNERS - SCIENTIF


CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=500'

> CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 1 OF 17













CANE CREEK STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 3 OF 17









ECHNOLOGIES
VIERS • SCIENTISTS
ORKS ROAD
CAROLINA 27609

NGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENT

4601 SIX FORKS ROAD


RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 276

CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T1-4, T1-5: STATION 31+26 TO STATION 37+67

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80"

> CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 4 OF 17








CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
, T2-2, T2-3, T2-4: STATION 50+00 TO STATION 58+50


DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80"

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 5 OF 17







					Ā		
					DATE		
					DESCRIPTION	REVISIONS	
					SYM.		





CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T3-1, T3-2: STATION 60+00 TO STATION 66+13

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW

SHEET 6 OF 17











CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T3-2: STATION 66+13 TO STATION 76+98

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW











CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T4-1, T4-2: STATION 80+00 TO STATION 91+49

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 8 OF 17









INGINEERS - PLANNERS - SCIENTISTS


4601SIX FORKS ROAD

CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
EMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80"

> CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 9 OF 17









ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • S +OO 4601SXX FORKS ROA RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA


PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA T6C-1, T6C-2, T6C-3, T6AB, T6C, T6:STA 300+00 TA 310+00 TO 310+82, STA 240+00 TO 241+21,

STREAM RESTORATION PF SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH 6A, 76B, 76B, 76C-1, 76C-2, 76AB, 76

DATE: JAN 201

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 10 OF 17











CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T6: STATION 127+10 TO STATION 134+25

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 11 OF 17









NGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTIS:

4601 SIX FORKS ROAD


RAI FIGH. NORTH CAROL INA 27609

CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
EMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80"

> CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 13 OF 17






CANE CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
SEMORA, PERSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T7-3, T7-4: STATION 16+50 TO STATION 177+29

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80"

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 14 OF 17









CANE CREEK STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT

DATE: JAN 2012 SCALE: 1"=80'

CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 15 OF 17





CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

SHEET 17 OF 17