







MONITORING YEAR 1 ANNUAL REPORT Final

DEVIL'S RACETRACK MITIGATION SITE

Johnston County, NC DENR Contract 003989 NCEEP Project Number 95021

Data Collection Period: July 2014 - September 2014

Draft Submission Date: December 31, 2014 Final Submission Date: January 16, 2015

PREPARED FOR:



NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

PREPARED BY:



Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 312 West Millbrook Road, Suite 225 Raleigh, NC 27609

Jason Lorch

jlorch@wildlandseng.com Phone: 919.851.9986

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Wildlands Engineering (Wildlands) completed a full-delivery project for the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) to restore and enhance a total of 18,936 linear feet (LF) and restore 63.3 acres (ac) of wetlands in Johnston County, North Carolina. The project streams consist of five unnamed tributaries (UTs) to the Neuse River. The largest of these streams, Devil's Racetrack Creek (East and West), drains directly to the Neuse River. The other four streams are small headwater tributaries to Devil's Racetrack Creek (Southwest Branch, Middle Branch, Southeast Branch, and North Branch). The project provides 18,381 stream mitigation units (SMU's) and 62.1 wetland restoration units (WMU's). At the downstream limits of the project, the drainage area is 831 acres (1.30 square miles).

The Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site, hereafter referred to as the Site, is located in eastern Johnston County along Devil's Racetrack Road just east of its intersection with U.S. Highway 701 and approximately one mile east of Interstate 95 (Figure 1). The Site is located in the western portion of the Inner Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (USGS, 1998). The Site is located within the North Carolina Division of Water Resources (NCDWR) subbasin 03-04-02 of the Neuse River Basin (United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03020201140010).

Prior to construction activities, the streams had been relocated and channelized and the surrounding wetland complex had been drained for agricultural purposes. The primary objectives of the project were to promote wetland hydrology; restore a Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp wetland community; restore a Coastal Plain stream system to promote hydrologic connectivity with the floodplains and wetlands; stabilize stream banks; promote instream habitat and aeration; restore riparian buffers; and further improve water quality through removing existing agricultural practices. Figure 2 and Table 1 present the restoration and enhancement design for the site.

The following project goals were established to address the effects listed above from watershed and project site stressors:

- Restore a large wetland complex to a naturally occurring community to improve riparian habitat and water quality;
- Restore a network of badly degraded stream channels, including multiple headwaters streams, to create aquatic habitat and further improve water quality to receiving waters; and
- Restore riparian buffers along stream corridors for additional habitat and water quality benefits.

Stream and wetland restoration and enhancement construction efforts were completed in February 2014. Baseline as-built monitoring activities (MY0) were completed between January and February 2014. A conservation easement is in place on 96.065 acres of the stream and wetland riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity.

Monitoring Year 1 (MY1) assessment and site visits were completed during July and August, 2014 to assess the conditions of the project. Overall, the Site has met the required vegetation, hydrology, and stream success criteria for MY1. The overall average stem density for the Site at MY1 is 674.5 stems/ acre which is greater than the 320 stems/ acre density required for MY3. All restored and enhanced streams are stable and functioning as designed. Southeast Branch experienced minor aggradation after construction, however the stream has stabilized as vegetation has established itself on the Site. Southeast Branch, Southwest Branch, and Middle Branch all had pressure transducers installed to monitor stream flow. On these three streams consistent flow must be documented for at least 30 consecutive days under normal

circumstances. Stream flow must also be documented to occur intermittently in all months other than July through September. All three stream gages (Southeast Branch, Southwest Branch, and Middle Branch) met the hydrologic criteria for MY1. Of the 34 groundwater monitoring wells on the Site, 12 met the success criteria (water table with 12 inches of the ground surface for 8.5% of the growing season consecutively) and 22 did not. Of the 22 wells that did not meet the success criteria, nine showed water table within 12 inches of the ground surface for greater than 5% of the growing season consecutively. Although the Site did not meet the wetland hydrology, the hydrographs show a trend toward groundwater recharge in MY1. It is anticipated that the wetland areas will continue to recharge and meet hydrologic success criteria in the upcoming monitoring years.

DEVIL'S RACETRACK MITIGATION SITE

Monitoring Year 1 Annual Report

T	Λ	R	ı	Е		E	-		N	IT	E	N	п	C	
- 1 /	н.	u	ь.	_	_	, ,	_	u	4 N		_	I٦		-	ı

Section 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW	1-1
1.1 Project Goals and Objectives	1-1
1.2 Monitoring Year 1 Data Assessment	
1.2.1 Vegetative Assessment	1-3
1.2.2 Vegetation Areas of Concern	1-3
1.2.3 Stream Assessment	1-3
1.2.4 Stream Areas of Concern	1-3
1.2.5 Hydrology Assessment	1-4
1.2.6 Wetland Assessment	
1.2.7 Maintenance Plan	1-4
1.3 Monitoring Year 1 Summary	1-5
Section 2: METHODOLOGY	
Section 3: REFERENCES	

APPENDICES

Appendix 1	General Tables and Figures
Figure 1	Project Vicinity Map
Figure 2a-b	Project Component/Asset Map
Table 1	Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Table 2	Project Activity and Reporting History
Table 3	Project Contacts Table
Table 4	Project Information and Attributes

Appendix 2 Visual Assessment Data

Figure 3.0-3.2 Integrated Current Condition Plan View
Table 5a-f Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table
Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment Table

Stream Photographs Vegetation Photographs

Appendix 3	Vegetation Plot Data
Table 7	Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment
Table 8	CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
Table 9	Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)
Appendix 4	Morphological Summary Data and Plots
Table 10a-f	Baseline Stream Data Summary
Table 11a-f	Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Section)
Table 12a-f	Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary
Cross-Section Plots	



Appendix 5 Hydrology Data

Table 13 Verification of Bankfull Events

Table 14 Wetland Gage Attainment Summary

Groundwater Gage Plots Stream Flow Gage Plots Monthly Rainfall Data

Section 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site, hereafter referred to as the Site, is located in eastern Johnston County within the Neuse River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit 03020201) near the town of Four Oaks, North Carolina. The Site is located along Devil's Racetrack Road just east of its intersection with U.S. Highway 701 and approximately one mile east of Interstate 95. The Site is located in the western portion of the Inner Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (USGS, 1998). The project watershed consists primarily of agricultural lands and forest. The only significant development in the watershed is a campground adjacent to Devil's Racetrack Creek on the western portion of the project site, a middle school in the upper portion of the watershed, a low-density subdivision with single family homes, and a small section of I-95. The drainage area for the project site is 831 acres (1.30 square miles) at the lower end of Devil's Racetrack Creek (east).

The project stream reaches include Devil's Racetrack Creek-West, Devil's Racetrack Creek-East, Southwest Branch, Middle Branch, Southeast Branch, and North Branch, (stream restoration and/or enhancement level I/II approach). Mitigation work within the Site included restoration and enhancement of 18,936 linear feet (LF) of perennial and intermittent stream channel and restoration of 63.3 acres (ac) of riparian wetland. The stream and wetland areas were also planted with native vegetation to improve habitat and protect water quality. Construction activities were completed by Land Mechanic Designs, Inc. (East Side) and Fluvial Solutions (West Side) in February 2014. Planting and seeding activities were completed by Bruton Natural Systems, Inc. in February 2014. A conservation easement has been recorded and is in place along the stream and wetland riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity; 96.065 ac (Deed Book 4221, Page 419-433) within two tracts owned by Nell Howell Revocable Trust. The project provides 18,381 stream mitigation units (SMU's) and 62.1 wetland restoration units (WMU's). Directions and a map of the Site are provided in Figure 1 and project components are illustrated for the Site in Figures 2a and 2b.

1.1 Project Goals and Objectives

Prior to construction activities, the streams had been relocated and channelized and the surrounding wetland complex had been drained for agricultural purposes. Stream valleys and other low areas were filled to raise wet areas and even out the fields. At the same time the streams were straightened and riparian vegetation was also removed. The project area west of Devil's Racetrack Road was used for row crop agriculture and the eastern portion was used for timber production.

The channelization of streams on the Site resulted in severely over-enlarged channels that were extremely deep in many locations. The alterations of the Site to promote farming practices resulted in complete elimination of the ecological function of this small stream/wetland complex. Specifically, functional losses at the Site include degraded aquatic habitat, altered hydrology (related to loss of floodplain connection and lowered water table), and reduction of quality and amount of riparian wetland habitats and related water quality benefits. Ongoing bank erosion was also occurring at some locations due to high, overly steep banks and lack of bank vegetation. Table 4 in Appendix 1 and Tables 10a through 10f in Appendix 4 present the pre-restoration conditions in detail.

The Site was designed to meet the over-arching goals as described in the mitigation plan (2013). The project is intended to provide numerous ecological benefits within the Neuse River Basin. While many of these benefits are limited to the Devil's Racetrack Creek Site project area, others, such as pollutant

removal and improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat, have more far-reaching effects. The following project specific goals established in the mitigation plan include:

- Restore a large wetland complex to a naturally occurring community to improve riparian habitat and water quality;
- Restore a network of badly degraded stream channels, including multiple headwaters streams, to create aquatic habitat and further improve water quality to receiving waters; and
- Restore riparian buffers along stream corridors for additional habitat and water quality benefits.

Secondary project goals established in the mitigation plan were to restore fish passage from the Neuse River to Devil's Racetrack Creek. This is a secondary goal because success will not be measured during monitoring.

The primary project goals were addressed through the following project objectives:

- Promote wetland hydrology by raising channelized stream beds and filling drainage ditches;
- Plant wetland areas with native tree species to restore a Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp Blackwater Subtype community;
- Reconstruct stream channels to have the appropriate slope, planform, and cross sectional geometry for the region of the Coastal Plain in which the project is located;
- Size reconstructed stream channels to flood floodplains and wetlands frequently;
- Stabilize stream banks using bioengineering, natural channel design techniques, and grading to reduce bank angles and bank height;
- Install in-stream structures and woody debris to promote aeration of water, create habitat, and influence the creation of bed forms commonly found in sand bed channels;
- Restore riparian buffer areas with native tree species to stabilize channels, filter flood flows and runoff, and supplement wetland plantings; and
- Remove project area from agricultural production further improving water quality.

The design streams and wetlands were restored to the appropriate type based on the surrounding landscape, climate, and natural vegetation communities but also with strong consideration to existing watershed conditions and trajectory. The mitigation project was developed to restore a large stream/wetland complex directly adjacent to the Neuse River to a naturally occurring community to create riparian and wetland habitat and improve water quality. Other key factors addressed in the design were to create stable habitats, improve riparian buffers, and restore the natural migration patterns for anadromous and other fish for spawning. The final mitigation plan was submitted and accepted by the NCEEP in January of 2013. Construction activities were completed by Fluvial Solutions and Land Mechanic Designs, Inc in February 2014. Planting and seeding activities were completed by Bruton Natural Systems, Inc. in February 2014. Baseline monitoring (MY0) was conducted between December 2013 and April 2014. Annual monitoring will be conducted for seven years with the close-out anticipated to commence in 2021 given the success criteria are met. Appendix 1 provides more detailed project activity, history, contact information, and watershed/site background information for this project.

1.2 Monitoring Year 1 Data Assessment

Annual monitoring and quarterly site visits were conducted during MY1 to assess the condition of the project. The stream and wetland mitigation success criteria for the Site follow the approved success criteria presented in the Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Plan (2013).

1.2.1 Vegetative Assessment

Planted woody vegetation is being monitored in accordance with the guidelines and procedures developed by the Carolina Vegetation Survey-NCEEP Level 2 Protocol (Lee et al., 2008). A total of 51 vegetation plots were established during the baseline monitoring within the project easement areas. All of the plots were installed using a standard 10 meter by 10 meter plot. The final vegetative success criteria will be the survival of 210 planted stems per acre in the riparian corridor along restored and enhanced reaches and within the wetland restoration areas at the end of the seven year monitoring period (MY7). The interim measure of vegetative success for the Site will be the survival of at least 320 planted stems per acre at the end of year three of the monitoring period (MY3) and at least 260 stems per acre at the end of the fifth year of monitoring (MY5).

The MY1 vegetative survey was completed in September 2014. The 2014 vegetation monitoring resulted in an average stem density of 674.5 stems per acre, which is greater than the interim requirement of 320 stems/acre required at MY3, but approximately 4% less than the baseline density recorded at MY0, 702 stems/acre, in January 2014. There is an average of 17 stems per plot which has remained the same since MY0. All 51 of the plots are on track to meet the success criteria required for MY7 (Table 9, Appendix 3). Please refer to Appendix 2 for vegetation plot photographs and the vegetation condition assessment table and Appendix 3 for vegetation data tables.

1.2.2 Vegetation Areas of Concern

Along the lower section of Devil's Racetrack-East, there are several bare areas (15.5% of the planted acreage). In these bare areas, the planted trees appear healthy and volunteer trees have sprouted, but grasses are not well established. This area was graded down several feet during construction which removed the top soil, leaving a more acidic subsoil. Wildlands has incorporated liquid and pelletized lime into the soil and we expect pH to decrease over the next year to two years providing better herbaceous growing conditions. This area will be monitored, and any additional actions deemed necessary to promote grass growth will be taken. Refer to Appendix 2 for the vegetation condition assessment table, Integrated Current Condition Plan View (CCPV), and reference photographs.

1.2.3 Stream Assessment

Morphological surveys for the MY1 were conducted in July 2014. All streams within the site are stable. However, portions of Southeast branch showed minor aggradation and degradation described below in Section 1.2.4.

In general, cross sections for Devil's Racetrack Creek-West, Devil's Racetrack Creek-East, Southwest Branch, Middle Branch, and North Branch show little to no change in the bankfull area, maximum depth ratio, or width-to-depth ratio. Surveyed riffle cross-sections fell within the parameters defined for channels of the appropriate Rosgen stream type.

Longitudinal profile surveys are not required on the project unless visual inspection indicates reach wide vertical stability concerns. Refer to Appendix 2 for the visual stability assessment table, CCPV map, and reference photographs. Refer to Appendix 4 for the morphological data and plots.

1.2.4 Stream Areas of Concern

The downstream portion of Southeast Branch (Station 325+35 to 328+45) experienced minor aggradation following construction due to runoff from adjacent agricultural fields. Herbaceous cover has since established within the easement area that filters runoff and captures sediment prior to entering the stream channel. The middle section (Station 317+35 to 328+45) experienced moderate degradation due

to heavy flows during and immediately following construction. Minor repairs included sealing log drops and placing sod mats on eroded stream banks. These areas all appear to have stabilized following minor repair work and vegetation establishment.

1.2.5 Hydrology Assessment

At the end of the seven year monitoring period, two or more bankfull events must have occurred in separate years within the restoration reaches. Multiple bankfull events were recorded on all the streams with crest gages during the MY1 data collection. Therefore, the Site has partially met this stream hydrology success criteria. Pressure transducers were also installed on Southwest Branch, Southeast Branch, and Middle Branch to measure stream flow. These pressure transducers were installed to show that these 3 streams have adequate flow throughout the year, and are not ephemeral ditches. Per discussion with the Interagency Review Team (IRT), on these three streams, consistent flow must be documented for at least 30 consecutive days under normal circumstances. Stream flow must be documented to occur intermittently in all months other than July through September. Southwest Branch showed consistent flow throughout MY1. Southeast Branch showed consistent flow most of the year, with some intermittent flow during portions of July and August. Middle Branch showed consistent flow from April through June. Between June and October, the pressure transducer was washed away during a flood event. Wildlands was unable to find the pressure transducer and retrieve the data, but will replace the pressure transducer for MY2. These three streams have met the flow requirements for MY1. Refer to Appendix 5 for hydrologic data.

1.2.6 Wetland Assessment

Thirty four groundwater monitoring gages were established during the baseline monitoring within the wetland restoration zones. The gages were installed at appropriate locations so that the data collected will provide an indication of groundwater levels throughout the site. To provide data for the determination of the growing season for the wetland areas, one soil temperature probe was installed. A barotroll logger (to measure barometric pressure used in the calculations of groundwater levels with well transducer data) and a rain gage were also installed within the wetland areas. All monitoring gages were downloaded on a quarterly basis and maintained on an as needed basis. The success criteria for wetland hydrology is to have a free groundwater surface within 12 inches of the ground surface for 8.5 percent of the growing season, which is measured in consecutive days under typical precipitation conditions. Of the 34 groundwater monitoring wells on the Site, 12 met the success criteria and 22 did not. Of the 22 wells that did not meet the success criteria, nine showed water table within 12 inches of the ground surface for greater than 5% of the growing season consecutively. Twenty of the 22 gages that did not meet the success criteria showed a similar groundwater pattern to the reference gage at the end of the growing season. This pattern is expected to continue and is showing that groundwater is recharging these areas of the site. Two of the gages showed poor groundwater data and are expected to take longer for the groundwater to recharge these areas. The trend of groundwater recharging the Site was expected prior to MY1 due to the heavily drained condition of the Site prior to construction. Refer to Appendix 2 for the groundwater gage locations and Appendix 5 for groundwater hydrology data and plots. Four additional groundwater monitoring gages will be added for MY2 to better assess groundwater levels.

1.2.7 Maintenance Plan

No maintenance plan is necessary at this time. Wildlands will continue to monitor Southeast Branch and the floodplain area adjacent to the lower section of Devil's Racetrack-East. A maintenance plan will be developed if it becomes apparent that Southeast branch continues to have aggradation and degradation

problems. In addition, if the floodplain area adjacent to the lower portion of Devil's Racetrack-East does not establish adequate herbaceous cover, Wildlands will develop a maintenance plan to establish grasses.

1.3 Monitoring Year 1 Summary

All streams within the Site are stable and functioning as designed. There were a few areas on Southeast Branch where aggradation and degradation occurred shortly after construction. However, these areas have stabilized since the vegetation has established on the Site. Wildlands will monitor these areas for any further aggradation or degradation and a maintenance plan will be prepared if necessary. The average stem density for the Site is on track to meeting the MY7 success criteria; all individual vegetation plots meet the MY1 success criteria as noted in CCPV. There have been at least three documented bankfull events recorded by the crest gages on each of the streams on the Site. While 22 of the 34 groundwater gages did not meet the wetland hydrology success criteria, they did show a significant trend in groundwater recharge on the Site. This trend is fully expected to continue in the future.

Summary information and data related to the performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Mitigation Plan documents available on NCEEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from NCEEP upon request.


Section 2: METHODOLOGY

Geomorphic data was collected following the standards outlined in The Stream Channel Reference Site: An Illustrated Guide to Field Techniques (Harrelson et al., 1994) and in the Stream Restoration: A Natural Channel Design Handbook (Doll et al., 2003). All Integrated Current Condition Mapping was recorded using a Trimble handheld GPS with sub-meter accuracy and processed using Pathfinder and ArcGIS. Crest gages and pressure transducers were installed in surveyed riffle cross sections and monitored quarterly. Hydrology attainment installation and monitoring methods are in accordance with the USACE (2003) standards. Vegetation monitoring protocols followed the Carolina Vegetation Survey-NCEEP Level 2 Protocol (Lee et al., 2008).

Section 3: REFERENCES

- Doll, B.A., Grabow, G.L., Hall, K.A., Halley, J., Harman, W.A., Jennings, G.D., and Wise, D.E. 2003. Stream Restoration A Natural Channel Design Handbook.
- Harrelson, C.C., Rawlins, C.L., Potyondy, J.P. 1994. *Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique*. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 61 p.
- Lee, M.T., Peet, R.K., S.D., Wentworth, T.R. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation Version 4.2. Retrieved from http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/protocol/cvs-eep-protocol-v4.2-lev1-5.pdf.
- Rosgen, D. L. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. *Catena* 22:169-199.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Pagosa Springs, CO: Wildland Hydrology Books.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1997. A Geomorphological Approach to Restoration of Incised Rivers. Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision. Center For Computational Hydroscience and Bioengineering, Oxford Campus, University of Mississippi, Pages 12-22.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDENR-DWQ, USEPA, NCWRC.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2002. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Climate Information for Johnston County, NC (1971-2000). WETS Station: Clayton, NC1820.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 1998. North Carolina Geology. http://www.geology.enr.state.nc.us/usgs/carolina.htm
- Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2013. Devil's Racetrack Stream and Wetland Mitigation Plan. NCEEP, Raleigh, NC.
- Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2014. Devil's Racetrack Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site Baseline Monitoring Document and As-Built Baseline Report. NCEEP, Raleigh, NC.












Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site NCEEP Project No. 95021 Monitoring Year 1 - 2014 Johnston County, NC










Figure 2a. Project Component/Asset Map
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site
NCEEP Project No.95021
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Johnston County, NC










Figure 2b. Project Component/Asset Map
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site
NCEEP Project No.95021
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Johnston County, NC

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

System Right Signary System Right Signary		Mitigation Credits											
Totals		S	tream	Riparian V	/etland	Non-Riparia	n Wetland	Buffer	Nutrient	Phosphorous I	Nutrient Offset		
Ac-Bull Ac-Bull Pacific Pac	Туре	R	RE	•						·			
Ac-Bull Ac-Bull Pacific Pac		18,381 ²	0	62.1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N	/A		
Package Pack		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Pro	iect Component	s	l	L	•			
Reach Dept					1	jeet component							
Reach Dept			An Duile	Fuinting									
Reach D				-		Doots antica o	. Daatauatiau	Do at a satio	- F+/		Candita		
Streams	Pon	ch ID			Approach					Mitigation Patio			
Devil S Racetrack Creek Devil S Restoration Devil S Devi	Near	LITID	Location	Acreage	Арргоасп	•	alent	ACI	cage	Willigation Katio	(31010/ 001010)		
West (DOT ROW)	Dovil's Pasetrack Crook						ration						
Devil's Racetrack (Creek 17-74-52+69 196 LF P1 Restoration 5,122 1:1 5,122 Devil's Racetrack (Creek 16-47-17-74 196 LF P1 Restoration 127 4:1 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3			0+00-0+20	20 LF	P1			20		N/A	N/A		
Mest Debil Sacetrack Ceek Debil Debil Debil Sacetrack Ceek Debil D						(110							
Devil Racetrack Creek Nest (Power Line Season Nest		ick Creek		4,755 LF	P1	Resto	ation	5,3	122	1:1	5,122 ²		
West Dower Line 16+47-17+74 196 LF	` '	ick Crook	1717132103										
Partial Credity Partial Cr			16+47-17+74	196 I F	D1	Resto	ation	1	27	4.11	222		
Devil's Racetrack Creek S2+69-52+73 5 LF P1 Restoration 4 N/A		Line	10+47-17+74	190 LF	F1	(Partial	Credit)	_	27	4.1	32		
Mest DOT ROW 52+69-52+73 5 F		sck Crook				Posto	ation						
Devil's Racetrack (East) (DOT 52+59-52+66 S LF P1 Restoration 7 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A S2+66-70-72 & 71+12-88-12 & 4,778 LF P1/2 Restoration 5,364 1:1 5,364° Sacetrack (East) 88-83-307+11 30 LF P1/2 Restoration 5,364 1:1 5,364° N/A			52+69-52+73	5 LF	P1			4		N/A	N/A		
S2+69-52+66 5 F P1						· ·							
Dewil's Racetrack (East) S2+66-70+72 & 71+12 - S8+12 & 4,778 LF P1/2 Restoration 5,364 1:1 5,364²		ick (East) (DOT	52+59-52+66	5 LF	P1			,	7	N/A	N/A		
Devil's Racetrack (East)	NOW)		F2 . CC 70 . 72 0			(140 C	reuitj						
Bevil's Racetrack (East) 70+72-71+12 30 LF P1/2 Restoration (No Credit) 40 N/A N/A N/A N/A Devil's Racetrack (East) 88+12 to 88+53 31 LF P1/2 Restoration (No Credit) 41 N/A N/A N/A N/A Devil's Racetrack (East) 107+11-108+21 0 LF P1/2 Restoration (No Credit) 110 N/A N/A N/A N/A Devil's Racetrack (East) 107+11-108+21 0 LF P1/2 Restoration 110 N/A N/A	Dovil's Basetra	ck (Eact)		4 770 1 5	D1 /2	Posto	ation	_ ·	064	1.1	F 264 ²		
Devil's Racetrack (East) 70+72-71+12 30 LF P1/2 Restoration N/O N/A N/A	Devii s Racetra	ick (EdSt)		4,776 LF	P1/2	Restor	ation	3,3	004	1.1	5,364		
Clasement Break 70+72-71+12 30 LF P1/2 (No Credit) 40 N/A	D - 11/2 D 1	-1. (51)	88+33-107+11			D t.							
Devil's Racetrack (East) 88+12 to 88+53 31 LF P1/2 Restoration (No Credit) 41 N/A N/A N/A			70+72-71+12	30 LF	P1/2			4	10	N/A	N/A		
Restoration Reak Restoration Restor		•											
Devil's Racetrack (East) 107+11-108+21 0 LF P1/2 Restoration (No Credit) 110 N/A N/A N/A N/A Southwest Branch 500+00-501+31 600+00-600+23 154 LF EII Enhancement 154 2.5:1 62 50uthwest Branch 501+31-502+07 75 LF EI Enhancement 76 1.5:1 51 51 50uthwest Branch 502+07-504+89 740 LF P1/2 Restoration 829 1:1 829² 829² 1:1 829²			88+12 to 88+53	31 LF	P1/2			4	41 N/A		N/A		
Devil's Racetrack (East) 107+11-108+21 OLF P1/2 (No Credit) 110 N/A N/A N/A	(Easement Bre	ak)				·	•						
Southwest Branch S00+00-501+31 600+00-600+23 154 LF EII Enhancement 154 2.5:1 62	Devil's Racetra	ick (East)	107+11-108+21	0 LF	P1/2			1	10	N/A	N/A		
Southwest Branch 600+00-600+23 154 LF Ell Enhancement 154 2.5:1 62			500 00 504 04			(NO C	realt)						
Southwest Branch So1+31-502+07 75 LF EI Enhancement 76 1.5:1 S1	Southwest Bra	nch		154 LF	EII	Enhanc	ement	1	54	2.5:1	62		
Southwest Branch S02+07-504+89 506+05-511+52 740 LF P1/2 Restoration 829 1:1 829²	Courthwest Bra	nch		75.15	EI	Enhanc	Enhancement		16	1 5.1	F1		
Southwest Branch So6+05-511+52 740 LF P1/2 Restoration R29 1:1 R29°	Southwest Bra	ncn		/5 LF	EI	Ennanc	Limancement		В	1.5:1	51		
Southwest Branch Power Line Easement So4+89-506+05 111 LF P1/2 Restoration (Partial Credit) 116 4:1¹ 29	Southwest Bra	nch		740 LF	P1/2	Resto	ation	8	829 1:1		829 ²		
Power Line Easement S04+89-506+05	Southwest Bra	nch	300103-311132			Posto	ation						
Middle Branch 200+00-204+00 410 LF Headwater Wetland 410 1:1 410²			504+89-506+05	111 LF	P1/2			1	16	4:1 ¹	29		
Middle Branch 204+00-219+05 1,326 LF P1/2 Restoration 1,505 1:1 1,505² Southeast Branch 300+00-305+03 305+03 305+08 305+48-329+61 2,946 LF P1 Restoration 2,916 1:1 2,919² Southeast Branch (Easement Break) 305+03-305+48 30 LF P1 Restoration (Partial Credit) 45 4:1² 11 North Branch 403+89-424+39 P1 Restoration (Partial Credit) 2,050 1:1 2,050² Wetlands Riparian Wetlands (West) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration S7.9 1:1 57.9 Riparian Wetlands (West) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration (Partial Credit) 1.6 4:1 0.4 Riparian Wetlands (East) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration 3.8 1:1 3.8 Component Summation Restoration Level (LF) Riparian Wetland (acres) Non-Riparian Wetland (square feet) Square feet) (acres) Restoration Level 18,706 63.3			200+00-204+00	410.15				1	110 1:1		44.02		
Southeast Branch 300+00-305+03 305+48-329+61 2,946 LF P1 Restoration 2,916 1:1 2,919²					1	,							
Southeast Branch 305+48-329+61 2,946 LF P1 Restoration 2,916 1:1 2,919*	Wildule Branch			1,320 LF	P1/2	Restor	ation	1,.	000	1.1	1,505		
Southeast Branch (Easement Break) 305+03-305+48 30 LF P1 Restoration (Partial Credit) 45 4:1 11 11 12,050 2 2,050 2,050 2 2,050 2,	Southeast Bran	nch		2,946 LF	P1	Resto	ation	2,9	916	1:1	2,919 ²		
Break 305+03-305+48 30 LF P1 (Partial Credit) 45 4:1" 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Cauthagat Dua	/F	303+46-329+01			Donto							
North Branch 403+89-424+39		ich (Easement	305+03-305+48	30 LF	P1			4	5	4:1 ¹	11		
Wetlands Wetlands (West) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration 57.9 1:1 57.9	-		402+90 424+20		D1			2 /	DEO.	1.1	2.0502		
Riparian Wetlands (West) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration 57.9 1:1 57.9	NOI (III BI all (II		403+89-424+39		F1		ation	2,0)30	1.1	2,050		
Riparian Wetlands (West) (Power Line Easement)	Rinarian Wetla	inds (West)	N/A	0.0 ac	N/A		ration	E-	7 9	1.1	57 9		
(Power Line Easement) N/A 0.0 ac N/A (Partial Credit) 1.6 4:1 0.4 Riparian Wetlands (East) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration 3.8 1:1 3.8 Component Summation Stream (Level) Riparian Wetland (acres) Non-Riparian Wetland (acres) Buffer (square feet) Upland (acres) Restoration Level 18,706 63.3 -			IN/A	0.0 ac	IN/A			3,		1.1	37.3		
Riparian Wetlands (East) N/A 0.0 ac N/A Restoration 3.8 1:1 3.8			N/A	0.0 ac	N/A			1	.6	4:1	0.4		
Component Summation Stream Riparian Wetland Restoration Level (LF) (acres) (acres) (acres) (square feet) (acres) (acres) (square feet) (acres) (acre	-	-	NI/A	0000	NI/A			2	8	1.1	3 8		
Stream Riparian Wetland Riparian Wetland Riparian Wetland Restoration Level Riverine Restoration 18,706 63.3 - - - - - - - - -	parian vvetta	(IV/A	0.0 ac						1.1	5.0		
Restoration Level (LF) (acres) (acres) (square feet) (acres)	Stroom							n Watland		Ruffer	Unland		
Restoration 18,706 63.3 - - - - - - -	Restorat	ion Level									·		
Restoration 18,706 63.3 -	nestoration Ecycl		(1)				lacit	1	(34)		(45.65)		
Enhancement - <td< td=""><td colspan="2">Restoration</td><td>18.70</td><td>06</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	Restoration		18.70	06		-	-			-	-		
Enhancement I 76 Enhancement II 154 Creation			-,-		-	-							
Enhancement II 154			76										
Creation - - - Preservation - - - - High Quality Preservation - - - - -													
Preservation High Quality Preservation			13		-	-	-						
Preservation High Quality Preservation	CIEC												
High Quality Preservation	Preser	vation	-		-	-	-			-			
Tingi Quality Treservation			-		-	-	-				-		

^{1.} Ratio of 4:1 based on an expected 75% reduction in credits for stream restoration with shrub buffer zone in power line easements.

2. Credits updated from baseline report due to errors in calculations.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

	Date Collection	Completion or Scheduled
Activity or Report	Complete	Delivery
Mitigation Plan	September 2011-	January 2012
Willigation Plan	March 2012	January 2013
Final Danian County etian Dlane	September 2011-	A
manent seed mix applied to reach/segments	March 2012	August 2013
Construction	December 2013-	Fabruary 2014
Construction	February 2014	February 2014
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area ¹	February 2014	February 2014
Permanent seed mix applied to reach/segments	February 2014	February 2014
Bare root and live stake plantings for reach/segments	February 2014	February 2014
Decelies Massitesias December (Version)	December 2013-	Mar. 2014
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0)	February 2014	May 2014
Year 1 Monitoring	August 2014	December 2014
Year 2 Monitoring	2015	December 2015
Year 3 Monitoring	2016	December 2016
Year 4 Monitoring	2017	December 2017
Year 5 Monitoring	2018	December 2018
Year 6 Monitoring	2019	December 2019
Year 7 Monitoring	2020	December 2020

¹Seed and mulch is added as each section of construction is completed.

Table 3. Project Contact Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Designer	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
	312 West Millbrook Road, Suite 225
	Raleigh, NC 27609
Jeff Keaton, PE	919.851.9986
Construction Contractor (East Side)	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.
	126 Circle G Lane
	Willow Spring, NC 27592
Construction Contractor (West Side)	Fluvial Solutions
	P.O. Box 28749
	Raleigh, NC 27611
Planting Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
	P.O. Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
Seeding Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
	P.O. Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource, LLC
Nursery Stock Suppliers	
	Dykes and Son Nursery and NC Forest Service
Bare Roots	(Claridge Nursery)
Live Stakes	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
Monitoring Performers	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
Stream, Vegetation, and Wetland Monitoring, POC	Jason Lorch
	919.851.9986, ext. 107

Table 4. Project Information and Attributes Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

	Project In	formation							
Project Name	Devil's Racetr	rack Mitigatio	n Site						
County	Johnston Cou	inty							
Project Area (acres)	96 ac								
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35° 27'01.58" N, 78° 23' 18.08" W								
Project	: Watershed Si	ummary Infor	mation						
Physiographic Province	Upper Coasta	al Plain							
River Basin	Neuse								
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03020201								
USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03020201140	0010							
DWR Sub-basin	03-04-02								
Project Drainiage Area (acres)	831.4 ac								
Desirat Desirate Assa Descentas of Issues issue Assa	<1%								
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	C20/ f + /			-l	1				
CGIA Land Use Classification 62% forest/wetland, 34% farm land, 4% developed									
	Reach Summa	ry Information	n						
	Southwest	Middle	Southeast	North	_	vil's	_	vil's	
Parameters	Branch	Branch	Branch	Branch			Racetra		
					(w	est)	(ea	ist)	
Length of reach (linear feet) - Post-Restoration	1,175	1,915	2,961	2,050	5,2	273	5,5	562	
Drainage area (acres)	20.6	10.8	69.9	49.9	49	3.5	83	1.4	
NCDWR stream identification score	34.5 - 37	30	29 - 30.75	32	3	8	37	7.5	
NCDWR Water Quality Classification			C/	NSW					
Morphological Desription (stream type)	Р	Р	P/I	Р		P		Р	
Evolutionary trend (Simon's Model) - Pre- Restoration					-		-		
	Altavista fine s	andy loam, Bib	b sandy loam, (Cecil loam,	Goldsbor	o sandy l	oam, Lea	f silt	
Underlying mapped soils	loam, Lynchbu	rg sandy loam,	Nason silt loan	n, Norfolk lo	amy san	d, and Ra	ins sandy	/ loam.	
Drainage class									
Soil Hydric status									
Slope									
FEMA classification			N	one					
Native vegetation community		Coast	al Plain botto	mland ripa	arian for	est			
Percent composition exotic invasive vegetation -Post- Restoration			(0%					
	Regulatory Co	onsiderations							
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	I	Supporti	ng Docu	mentati	on		
Waters of the United States - Section 404	Х	Х	USACE Natio					Water	
Waters of the United States - Section 401	Х	Х	Quality Cert	ification N	o. 3885.				
Division of Land Quality (Dam Safety)	N/A	N/A	N/A						
			Devils Racet	rack Mitiga	ation Pla	n; Wildl	ands		
Endangered Species Act	Х	Х	determined	"no effect	" on Joh	nston Co	ounty list	ed	
			endangered	species.					
Historic Preservation Act	Х	Х	No historic r	esources v	vere fou	nd to be	impacte	ed	
Thistoric Freservation Act	^	^	(letter from	SHPO date	d 7/20/	2011).			
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	N/A	N/A	N/A						
			The project						
			regulatory fl					n end	
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	N/A	N/A	of Devil's Ra						
			floodwasy a		-	he Neus	e River (FEAM	
			Zone AE, FIR	M panel 1	680).				
Essential Fisheries Habitat	N/A	N/A	N/A						
L.		·							







500 1,000 Feet

Figure 3.0 Integrated Current Condition Plan View
(Key)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site
NCEEP Project No. 95021
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014
Johnston County, NC






250 500 Feet

Figure 3.1 Integrated Current Condition Plan View
(Sheet 1 of 2)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site
NCEEP Project No. 95021
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Johnston County, NC








Figure 3.2 Integrated Current Condition Plan View
(Sheet 2 of 2)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site
NCEEP Project No. 95021
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Johnston County, NC

Table 5a. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Devil's Racetrack (West) (5211 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category 1. Bed	Channel Sub-Category 1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units) 2. Riffle Condition 3. Meander Pool Condition	Metric Aggradation Degredation Texture/Substrate Depth Sufficient	Intended 74 74	Total Number in As-Built 74 74	Number of Unstable Segments 0 0	Amount of Unstable Footage 0	% Stable, Performing as Intended 100% 100% 100%	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	4. Thalweg Position	Length Appropriate Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	74 74	74 74			100%			
	Thursday Control	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	74	74			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	6	6			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	6	6			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	6	6			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	6	6			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	6	6			100%			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 5b. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Devil's Racetrack (East) (5547 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degredation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	85	85			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	85	85			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	85	85			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	85	85			100%			
	4. Malweg i ostion	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	85	85			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	17	17			n/a			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	17	17			n/a			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	17	17			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	17	17			n/a			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	17	17			n/a			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 5c. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Southeast Branch (2891 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degredation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	121	121			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	113	120			94%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	120	120			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	120	120			100%			
	4. Malweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	120	120			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		1		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	64	67			n/a			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	64	67			n/a			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	67	67			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	64	67			n/a			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ^Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	45	67			n/a			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 5d. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Middle Branch (1906 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degredation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	79	79			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	78	78			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	78	78			100%			
	4 Thelius Perities	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	78	78			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	78	78			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	52	52			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	52	52			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	52	52			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	52	52			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	52	52			100%			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 5e. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Southwest Branch (1155 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degredation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	48	48			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	47	47			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	47	47			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	47	47			100%			
	4. Maiweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	47	47			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	28	28			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	28	28			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	28	28			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	28	28			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	28	28			100%			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 5f. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) North Branch (2418 LF) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degredation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	35	35			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	34	34			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	34	34			100%			
	4 Thelives Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	34	34			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	34	34			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures ¹	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	10	10			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	10	10			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	10	10			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	10	10			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth: Bankfull Depth≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	10	10			100%			

¹Excludes constructed riffles since they are evaluated in section 1.

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Table Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Planted Acreage

96

		Mapping	Number		% of	
		Threshold	of	Combined	Planted	
Vegetation Category	Definitions	(Ac)	Polygons	Acreage	Acreage	
Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material	0.1	2	14.9	15.5%	
Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1	0	0.0	0.0%	
Total					15.5%	
Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 Ac	0	0	0%	
Cumulative Tota					16%	

Easement Acreage

96

			Number		% of
			of	Combined	Planted
Vegetation Category	getation Category Definitions		Polygons	Acreage	Acreage
Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000	0	0	0.0%
asement Encroachment Areas Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).		none	0	0	0%

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Devil's Racetrack West



57.293014

PHOTO POINT 1 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 1 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 2 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 2 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 3 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 3 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 4 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 4 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 5 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 5 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 6 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 6 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 7 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 8 - looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 8 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 9 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 9 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 10 - looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 10 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 11 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 11 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 12 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 12 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)



BY 23 20 14

PHOTO POINT 13 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 13 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 14 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 14 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 15 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 15 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



NT # 2014

PHOTO POINT 16 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 16 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 17 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 17 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 18 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 18 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 19 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 19 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 20 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 20 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 21 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 21 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 22 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 22 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 23 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 23 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 24 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 24 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



W. See 2014

PHOTO POINT 25 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 25 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 26 (07/29/2014)

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Devil's Racetrack East



PHOTO POINT 27 (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 28 – looking upstream (08/21/2014)



PHOTO POINT 28 – looking downstream (08/21/2014)



PHOTO POINT 29 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 29 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



07 29 29 62

PHOTO POINT 30 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 30 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 31 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 31 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 32 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 32 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 33 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 33 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 34 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 34 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 35 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 35 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





07 29 2018

PHOTO POINT 36 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 36 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 37 - looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 37 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 38 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 38 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





07 29 2074

PHOTO POINT 39 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 39 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 40 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 40 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 41 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 41 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)







PHOTO POINT 45 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 45 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 46 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 46 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 47 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 47 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





07 29 2014

PHOTO POINT 48 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 48 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 49 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 49 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 50 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 50 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





07 28 2010

PHOTO POINT 51 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 51 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 52 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 52 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 53 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 53 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)









PHOTO POINT 54 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Southwest Branch





PHOTO POINT 55 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 55 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 56 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 56 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 57 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 57 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 58 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 58 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 59 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 59 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 60 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 60 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS
Middle Branch



PHOTO POINT 61 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 61 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 62 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 62 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 63 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 63 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 64 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 64 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 65 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 65 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 66 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 66 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS
Southeast Branch





PHOTO POINT 73 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 73 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 74 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 74 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 75 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 75 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)



07.28.2014

PHOTO POINT 76 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 76 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 77 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 77 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 78 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 78 - looking downstream (07/29/2014)



1777B 1014

PHOTO POINT 79 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 79 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)







PHOTO POINT 80 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 81 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 81 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 82 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 82 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 83 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)



PHOTO POINT 83 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS
North Branch







PHOTO POINT 87 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 88 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 88 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 89 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 89 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 93 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)

PHOTO POINT 93 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)




PHOTO POINT 94 – looking upstream (07/29/2014)





PHOTO POINT 94 – looking downstream (07/29/2014)


VEGETATION PHOTOGRAPHS
Devil's Racetrack

























VEG PLOT 49 (09/05/2014)

VEG PLOT 50 (09/05/2014)



VEG PLOT 51 (09/05/2014)



Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

	MY1 Success Criteria	
Plot	Met (Y/N)	Tract Mean
1	Υ	
2	Υ	1
3	Υ	1
4	Υ	1
5	Υ	_
6	Υ	_
7	Υ	4
8	Υ	_
9	Υ	_
10	Υ	_
11	Υ	_
12	Υ	_
13	Υ	4
14	Υ	_
15	Υ	_
16	Υ	4
17	Y	_
18	Υ	_
19	Υ	_
20	Υ	_
21	Υ	_
22	Υ	_
23	Y	_
24	Υ	4
25	Y	
26	Y	100%
27	Υ	4
28	Y	4
29	Υ	4
30	Y	4
31	Y	4
32	Υ	4
33	Υ	4
34	Y	4
35	Y	+
36		+
37	Y	+
38	Y	+
39 40	Y	+
41	Y	+
		+
42	Y	1
43	Y	1
44 45	Y	1
	Y	1
46 47	Y	
	Y	-
48	Y	1
49		1
50 51	Y	+
J 2T	Į Y	

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Tables - Metadata Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Database name	Devils Racetrack MY1 cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.3.1.mdb
Database location	F:\Projects\005-02129 Devil's Racetrack\Monitoring\Monitoring Year 1\Vegetation Assessment
Computer name	JASON-PC
File size	75464704
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS	DOCUMENT
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	95021
project Name	Devils Racetrack Mitigation Site
Description	Stream and Wetland Mitigation
River Basin	Neuse
Sampled Plots	51

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (N	/IY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-0	0001	950	21-01-0	0002	950	21-01-0	0003	950	21-01-0	004	950	21-01-0	005
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	1	1	1	2	2	2
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree				1	1	1	1	1	1						
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1	4	4	4						
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	1	1	1
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	3	3	3							2	2	2	3	3	3
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree										1	1	1	1	1	1
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	3	3	3	5	5	5	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
		Stem count	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	MY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-0	0006	950	21-01-	0007	950	21-01-	8000	950	21-01-0	0009	950	21-01-0	0010
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	5	5	5	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	4	1	1	1	3	3	3
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree				8	8	8									
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree										1	1	1	1	1	1
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	1	1	1												
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1				2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree							1	1	1						
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	2	2	2				1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	5	5	5				4	4	4	5	5	5	3	3	3
		Stem count	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	7	4	4	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	MY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-	0011	950	21-01-	0012	950	21-01-	0013	950	21-01-0	0014	950	21-01-	0015
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	5	5	5	4	4	4				2	2	2	1	1	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	4	4	4	1	1	1									
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree							1	1	1				2	2	2
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree							1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree				4	4	4	5	5	5						
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	10	10	10	8	8	8
		Stem count	15	15	15	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	607	607	607	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	ИY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-0	0016	950	21-01-	0017	950	21-01-	0018	950	21-01-0	019	950	21-01-	0020
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	6	6	6	2	2	2									
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2			
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	4	4	4				2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1							4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	7	7	7	2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1				4	4	4				1	1	1
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree				10	10	10	5	5	5	4	4	4	7	7	7
		Stem count	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	16	16	16	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	MY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-	0021	950	21-01-	0022	950	21-01-	0023	950	21-01-0	0024	950	21-01-	0025
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	3	3	3				3	3	3				2	2	2
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	5	5	5	3	3	3				6	6	6	3	3	3
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	1	1	1												
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree													1	1	1
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree				1	1	1	7	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree										2	2	2			
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree				2	2	2									
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	5	5	5	7	7	7	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	6	6
		Stem count	15	15	15	17	17	17	15	15	15	17	17	17	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	607	607	607	688	688	688	607	607	607	688	688	688	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (1	VIY1 - 9	/2014)					
			950	21-01-0	0026	950	21-01-	0027	950	21-01-	0028	950	21-01-	0029	950	21-01-	0030
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	2	2	2				2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree							3	3	3	1	1	1			
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	5	5	5	4	4	4				2	2	2	7	7	7
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	7	7	5	5	5	3	3	3
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	3	3	3	9	9	9	1	1	1	6	6	6	2	2	2
		Stem count	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	17	17	17	14	14	14
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	6	6	6	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688	566.6	566.6	566.6

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	ЛY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-	0031	950	21-01-	0032	950	21-01-	0033	950	21-01-0	0034	950	21-01-0	0035
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4				3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1	8	8	8	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	3	3	3				2	2	2	6	6	6	3	3	3
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	4	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	1	1	1	5	5	5
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree										1	1	1			
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1				2	2	2						
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	7	7	7	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
		Stem count	17	17	17	19	19	19	18	18	18	17	17	17	18	18	18
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	7	4	4	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	688	768.9	768.9	768.9	728.4	728.4	728.4	688	688	688	728.4	728.4	728.4

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	nt Plot	Data (I	MY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-0	036	950	21-01-	0037	950	21-01-	0038	950	21-01-0	039	950	21-01-	0040
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	2	2	2	6	6	6	3	3	3						
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	5	5
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1							4	4	4	1	1	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	5	5	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	4
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	4	4	5	5	5
		Stem count	18	18	18	16	16	16	17	17	17	16	16	16	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	728.4	728.4	728.4	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

								Curre	ent Plot	Data (I	MY1 - 9,	/2014)					
			950	21-01-	0041	950	21-01-	0042	950	21-01-	0043	950	21-01-0	044	950	21-01-	0045
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3				4	4	4	5	5	5	1	1	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	1	1	1	7	7	7	1	1	1	6	6	6			
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2							4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3				1	1	1	3	3	3
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	6	6	6	1	1	1	4	4	4				6	6	6
		Stem count	17	17	17	17	17	17	15	15	15	16	16	16	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	688	688	688	688	607	607	607	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

						Cı	urrent l	Plot Dat	ta (MY1	9/ 20	14)			
			950	21-01-	0046	950	21-01-	0047	950	021-01-	0048	950	21-01-	0049
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4							5	5	5
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				5	5	5	6	6	6	5	5	5
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree												
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree												
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1				3	3	3
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree				1	1	1	5	5	5			
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	3	3	3	5	5	5	2	2	2	1	1	1
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2							1	1	1
		Stem count	16	16	16	15	15	15	16	16	16	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	647.5	607	607	607	647.5	647.5	647.5	688	688	688

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project Code 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

	Current Plot Data (MY1 - 9/201													
			950	21-01-	0050	950	21-01-	0051	N	1Y1 (20	14)	MY0 (2014)		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	5	5	5	3	3	3	106	106	106	106	106	106
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2	124	124	124	126	126	126
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2	25	25	25	20	20	20
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree							64	64	64	60	60	60
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree							9	9	9	10	10	10
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	3	3	3	5	5	5	124	124	124	124	124	124
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3	91	91	91	108	108	108
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	14	14	14			
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	104	104	104	125	125	125
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree							189	189	189	206	206	206
		Stem count	17	17	17	17	17	17	850	850	850	885	885	885
		1			1			51		51				
	0.02				0.02			1.26						
	7	7	7	7	7	7	10	10	10	9	9	9		
	688	688	688	688	688	688	674.5	674.5	674.5	702.2	702.2	702.2		

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,




Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Devils Racetrack- West

Devils Racetrack- West																			/n !:			
			ion Condition			1			Reach Data					Devil's Rac	etrack - West	esign Devil's Rac	etrack - West	Devil's Rac	As-Built etrack - West	/Baseline Devil's Race	etrack - West	
Parameter	Gage	Devil's Race	etrack - West	Scout	West 1	Scou	t East 2	Scout	West 2	Johan	ına Creek	Jarma	Jarman Oak		(Reach 1)		(Reach 2)		(Reach 1)		ach 2)	
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow								1														
Bankfull Width (ft)		4.8	8.0	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7		9.3		9.0	1	11.5	4.7	9.6		7.7	
Floodprone Width (ft)		7.8	18.0		20		>50		•50		>75	>150		100	300	100	300		200		200	
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.8	1.2	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		0.8		1.2		0.6	1	0.8	0.4	0.9		0.5	
Bankfull Max Depth		1.3	1.6	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1	2	2.3	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.4	(0.7	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	N/A	5.7	6.3	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	1	1.6		5.8	!	9.5	2.1	8.5	4	4.0	
Width/Depth Ratio		4.0	10.5	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7	7.4	14.0	14.5	1	14.0	10.6	14.8	1	14.5	
Entrenchment Ratio		1.6	2.2	>	2.2	>	2.2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	11.1	33.3	8.7	26.1	>20.9	>42.5	>2	26.1	
Bank Height Ratio		1.9	4.5	1.1	1.3		1.0	1.1	1.2		1.0	1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	7	1.0		1.0	
D50 (mm)		0.	464															1	N/A	N	N/A	
Profile																						
Shallow Length (ft)				-														3.7	86.8	7.4	54.2	
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)		-		0.026	0.047	1	N/A	0.033	0.051	N/A		0.0129		0.0036 0.0277		0.0023	0.0072	0.0013	0.0593	0.0008	0.0195	
Pool Length (ft)																			63.1	18.7	72.9	
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	1	1.2	0.6		N/A		1.7 1.9		1.5		3.1		0.9 2.1		1.1 2.5		1.1	2.9	1.4	1.9	
Pool Spacing (ft)^				27	67	1	N/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	14	63	18	81	9	132	38	104	
Pool Volume (ft ³)																						
Pattern																						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	12.0	72.0	15.0	92.0	13.0	53.0	16.0	73.0	
Radius of Curvature (ft)		_		3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	14.0	43.0	17.0	55.0	12.0	40.0	17.0	35.0	
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.8	1.5	4.8	2.6	4.2	2.2	4.5	
Meander Length (ft)	14//			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		50.0		I/A	27	153	35	196	52	133	70	137	
Meander Width Ratio				1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	8.0	1.3	8.0	2.8	5.5	2.1	9.5	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters				1.0	2.0	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.5	1.3	0.0	1.5	0.0		5.5	2.1	<u> </u>	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																						
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																		_				
		0.169/0.22/0.4	164/1.23/2.0/9.6															N/A			N/A	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	N/A			-														N/A N/A			N/A	
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ² Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull		0.18	0.23															+	V/A	ľ	i/A	
, , ,																						
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ² Additional Reach Parameters																						
	1	^	77		00	1 .) C7	1 ^	24	1 000		1.27		1 0.50		1 .	2.70		1.00	0.70		
Drainage Area (SM)			.77		06).67	-	.34	1	0.90			0.60		0.70			0.60			
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)			1%												<1%		<1%		:1%		:1%	
Rosgen Classification			ic5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C5b		E5		E5		5/C5		E6	1	/C5		/C5		/C5		C	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.5	1.8	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9		.95		1.7		1.2	1.2	4.8		3.3	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		9.2	10.6	2	.6	1	17.5		5.4		14.0	1	1.0	1	10.0	1 1	13.0	1	.0.0	1	13.0	
Q-NFF regression																						
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A	-																				
Q-Mannings		-																				
Valley Length (ft)				-								-										
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		,	976											4,245			966		,239	962		
Sinuosity		1	1.0	1	.1		1.2	1	1.2		1.2	1	1.4	1.2	1.2 1.6		1.2 1.6		1.2		1.4	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²				-														0./	0.0054		0015	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0	0041	0.0	260	0.	0170	0.0	0040	0	.0022	0.0	0040	0.0025	0.0087	0.0016	0.0022	0.0053	0.0054	0.0017	0.0023	

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

Table 11a. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) 0.5 0.7
Bankfull Max Depth (ft) 0.7 1.0

Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio 14.5 10.4

Bankfull Bank Height Ratio 1.0 1.0

Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio >26.1 >26.7

Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft²) 4.0 5.4

Devil's Racetrack (West)

	Cross Section 1 (Shallow)									Cr	oss Secti	ion 2 (Pr	nol)			Cross Section 3 (Shallow) Cross Section 4 (Pool)																
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2		MY4		MY6	MV7	Base	MV1		_		MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	_	MY3			MY6	MY7	Base	MY1		_		MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	135.4	135.4	10112	10113	14114	14113	10110	14117	135.1	135.1	14112	14113	1411.4	10113	14110	14117	131.0		14112	14113	10114	10113	10110	10117		130.6		14113	1411-4	141.13	WITO	
Bankfull Width (ft)	_	7.6		1					10.7	10.1							9.5	10.0							11.1	11.4	+		\vdash	\vdash		
Floodprone Width (ft)		>200		1					N/A	N/A							>200	>200							N/A	N/A	+		\vdash	\vdash		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.7							0.7	0.8							0.9	0.8							1.0	0.8	+		┢──	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	\longrightarrow	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		1.5							1.7	1.9							1.4	1.4							1.7	1.7	+		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		5.6							7.8	7.6							8.5	8.1							10.7	9.4	+		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		10.4							14.6	13.4							10.6	12.3							11.4	13.9	+		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		>26.2		1					N/A	N/A							>21.1								N/A	N/A	+		\vdash	\vdash		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0	+		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	
Bariktali Barik Height Katlo	1.0	1.0	Cre	nes Sact	ion 5 (Po	nol)			1.0	Cross Section 6 (Shallow) Cross Section 7 (Pool)									1.0	Cross Section 8 (Shallow)												
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	_	MY4		MV6	MV7				_										`										
based on fixed bankfull elevation	125.3	125.3	10112	10113	1411-4	14113	10110	14117	124.7	124.7	14112	14113	1411.4	10113	14110	14117	120.8	120.8	10112	14113	1011-4	10113	10110	10117	119.9	119.9		14113	1411-4	10113	10110	
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.9	8.6							8.7	8.2							9.5	8.0							4.7	4.8	† 			\vdash	-	
Floodprone Width (ft)	_	N/A							>200	>200							N/A	N/A							>200	>200	† 			\vdash	-	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8							0.7	0.7							0.8	0.9							0.4	0.7				\vdash		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		1.5							1.1	1.2							1.6	1.7							1.3	1.3	+		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		7.0							6.0	5.3							7.6	7.4							2.1	3.3	† 			\vdash	-	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		10.6							12.6	12.6							11.7	8.7							10.6	6.9	† 			\vdash	-	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		N/A							>23.0	>24.4							N/A	N/A							>42.5	>42.1	† 			\vdash	-	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0	† 			\vdash	-	
			Cros	s Sectio	n 9 (Sha	llow)					Cro	ss Section	on 10 (P	ool)					ı	ı	ı							ı				
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	МҮЗ			MY6	MY7	Base	MY1		_		MY5	MY6	MY7	i															
based on fixed bankfull elevation	116.4	116.4							116.1	116.1							1															
Bankfull Width (ft)		7.5							6.8	5.9							1															
Floodprone Width (ft)		>200							N/A	N/A							1															
										,							4															

0.6 0.8

4.4 4.7

1.0 1.0

10.6 7.5


N/A


0.9 1.0


N/A


Table 12a. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Devil's Racetrack (West) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Parameter	As-Built	/Baseline	N	IY1	N	/IY2	IV	1Y3	М	IY4	N	IY5	M	IY6	MY7		
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow				•		•				•							
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.7	11.1	4.8	11.4													
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200													
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.4	1.0	0.4	0.9													
Bankfull Max Depth	0.7	1.7	1.0	1.9													
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.1	10.7	3.3	9.4													
Width/Depth Ratio	10.6	13.9	6.9	13.9													
Entrenchment Ratio	>20.9	>42.5	>20	>42.1													
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0													
D50 (mm)																	
Profile																	
Shallow Length (ft)																	
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																	
Pool Length (ft)																	
Pool Max Depth (ft)																	
Pool Spacing (ft)																	
Pool Volume (ft ³)																	
Pattern				•		•				•							
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																	
Radius of Curvature (ft)																	
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																	
Meander Wave Length (ft)																	
Meander Width Ratio																	
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Rosgen Classification																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																	
Sinuosity (ft)																	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																	
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																	
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			()%													


























Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Devils Racetrack- East

	_	Pre-Restorati	on Condition					Reference	Reach Data							sign						/Baseline		
		Devil's Race	etrack - East	Scout	West 1	Scout	East 2	Scout	West 2	Johann	na Creek	Jarman Oak			Devil's Rac		Devil's Racetrack	- East		track - East		etrack - East		acetrack - East
Parameter G	age	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min Max	Min	ach 1) Max	Min	ach 2) Max	(Reach 3)	lax	(Rea	Max	Min	nch 2) Max	Min	each 3) Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow		IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIAX	IVIIII IVIAX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII IV	ldX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX	IVIIII	IVIdX
Bankfull Width (ft)		8.1	10.4	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7	9.3	1 1	3.0	T 9	3.0	8.0		12.2	13.7	9	3.2	T	
Floodprone Width (ft)	F	14.2	18.6		20	<i>r</i>			-50		>75	>150	100	500	100	500		00	>3			300	 	
Bankfull Mean Depth	F	1.0	1.8	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		0.8	1.2		1.0		0.6		00	0.8	1.1).7		
Bankfull Max Depth	-	2.1	2.8	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1	2.3	1.4	1.8	0.8	1.0	0.9		1.3	1.7		1	 	
	N/A	14.2	19.1	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	11.6		2.8		1.8			10.3	13.9		5.7	 	
Width/Depth Ratio	''' -	5.0	7.8	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.4	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5			12.1	14.6	1		 	
Entrenchment Ratio	-	1.6	1.8		2.2	>2			2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1 26.9	7.7	38.5	12.5	62.6			>21.9	>24.5		86.5		
Bank Height Ratio	-	2.6	4.3	1.1	1.3	1		1.1	1.2		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1			1.			1.0		
D50 (mm)	-	0.1		1.1	1.3	1	.0	1.1	1.2	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1			N/			I/A		
Profile		0.1	.,,																IN	**	IN	y / \		
Shallow Length (ft)				_		_													13.0	80.1	20.8	42.4	11.3	25.9
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)	- F			0.026	0.047	N,		0.033	0.051		N/A	0.0129	0.0007	0.0025	0.0377	0.0671			0.0004	0.0099	0.0192	0.0318	0.0072	0.0675
Pool Length (ft)		_	-			IN,			0.031			0.0129		0.0023		0.0671			16.0	77.3	16.5	66.1	13.0	34.2
Pool Length (ft) Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A				.6	N,		1.7	1.9		1.5	3.1	1.4	3.2	0.8	2.0	1.2		1.9	3.4	1.7	2.7	1.4	2.5
Pool Spacing (ft)^	-		- <u>-</u>	27	67	N,		21	27	16	59	32 55	21	91	39	64			26	131	43	73	25	70
	- 1	-		21	67	IN,	/A	21	27	10	59	32 55	21	91	39	64			20	131	43	/3	25	
Pool Volume (ft ³) Pattern																								
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	-			8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0 36.0	17.0	65.0	10.0	40.0			15.0	55.0	21	41	12	32
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.2	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7 18.6	20.0	62.0	12.0	36.0			18.0	65.0	12	26	10	35
` '	N/A	-		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	27.0	13.7 18.6	1.5	4.8	1.5	4.5			1.5	4.7	1.5	3.2	10	
Meander Length (ft)	N/A			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		50.0	1.5 2.0 N/A	39	221	64	136			62	203	1.5	140	52	112
Meander Length (11)	-			1.6		1.3	3.0		1.5	1.4		2.3 2.9		5.0		5.0			1.2	4.0	2.6	5.0	52	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters				1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3 2.9	1.3	5.0	1.3	5.0			1.2	4.0	2.6	5.0	Ь	
	_																							
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%	_																							
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be% d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	-	-/-/0.179/0.	642/1.0/0.6	_															N/	/^	N.	I/A		N/A
	N/A	-/-/0.179/0. 0.	<u> </u>	-															N/			I/A		N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ² Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	-	0.	01												-				IN/	A	IN	I/A		N/A
	- 1							-																
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ² Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (SM)	- 1	1.	20	0	06	0.	67		.34		0.90	1.27	1	.14	1	.30			1.:	1.4	1	.30	т —	
	F	<1.				0.			.34			1.27		1%		1%	<1%		<1			.30 1%	├	<1%
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%) Rosgen Classification	F	G G			 C5b	 E			E5		 5/C5	E6	1	/C5		/C5	<1% E/C5		<1	./0		1% C	├	<1%
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	F	0.3	0.4	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.95	1	1.2		3.5	E/C5		1.2	1.6		3.0	├	
,	-															7.0								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	-	8			.6	17	.5	· ·	5.4	1	14.0	11.0	1	6.0	1	7.0			16		1	7.0		
Q-NFF regression	.,, -	-																						
·	N/A																							
Q-Mannings	F	-																						
Valley Length (ft)	F	-				-		ļ														10		272
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	F	4,8			-	-								840	+	13	385		4,8			10	1	372
Sinuosity	-	1			.1	1			1.2		1.2	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2			1.			.1	↓	1.1
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²	-																						<u> </u>	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0	003	0.0	260	0.0	1/0	0.0	0040	0.0	0022	0.0040	0.0004	0.0008	0.0224	0.0251			0.0007	0.0008	0.0153	0.0166	0.0219	0.0231

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

Table 11b. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) 0.9 0.8

Bankfull Max Depth (ft) 1.6 1.6

Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio 14.1 18.4

Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio >22.6 >20.9
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio 1.0 1.0

Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft²) 12.5 11.2

Devil's Racetrack (East)

			Cro	oss Secti	on 11 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	n 12 (Sha	allow)					Cro	oss Sectio	on 13 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	14 (Sha	allow)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	115.37	115.4							115.11	115.1							115.0	115.0							114.63	115.0						
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.0	15.1							12.2	12.5							19.8	20.5							12.7	11.8						
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A							>300	>300							N/A	N/A							>300	>300					1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1							0.8	0.7							1.5	1.2							1.1	0.9						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.0							1.3	1.3							2.7	2.5							1.6	1.6						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.8	16.5							10.3	8.9							30.2	24.6							13.3	10.4						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.0	13.8							14.6	17.6							13.0	17.1							12.1	13.4					1	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A							>24.5	>23.9							N/A	N/A							>23.7	>25.4						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0						
			Cro	ss Secti	on 15 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	16 (Sha	allow)					Cros	s Section	17 (Sha	llow)					Cro	ss Section	on 18 (P	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	114.17	114.2							114.12	114.1							113.33	113.3							112.6	112.6						
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.6	12.4							13.4	12.6							13.7	12.5							15.5	15.3						
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A							>300	>300							>300	>300							N/A	N/A					1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.6	1.5					1	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9							1.7	1.8							1.7	1.7							2.8	2.7						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	17.3	14.5							13.2	12.0							13.9	12.5							25.0	22.4						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.0	10.6							13.6	13.2							13.4	12.5							9.5	10.5					1	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A							>22.3	>23.9							>21.9	>24.0							N/A	N/A					1	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0						
			Cross	s-Sectio	n 19 (Sha	allow)					Cross	Section	1 20 (Sha	allow)					Cro	ss Section	on 21 (P	ool)										
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7								
based on fixed bankfull elevation	112.72	112.7							109.0	109.0							108.08	108.1														
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.3	14.3							8.2	7.9							8.8	8.9														
Floodprone Width (ft)	>300	>300							>300	>300							N/A	N/A														

1.2 1.1

2.0 1.9

10.8 9.7

7.3 8.1

N/A N/A

1.0 1.0

0.7 0.7

1.1 1.1

5.7 5.9


11.9 10.6


>36.5 >37.8


1.0 1.0


Table 12b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Devil's Racetrack (East) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Parameter	As-Built	/Baseline	l N	IY1	N	1Y2	M	IY3	М	IY4	M	IY5	M	IY6	M	Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow		•														
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.2	19.8	7.9	20.5												
Floodprone Width (ft)	>300	>300	>300	>300												
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.7	1.6	0.7	1.5												
Bankfull Max Depth	1.1	2.8	1.1	2.5												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.7	30.2	5.9	24.6												
Width/Depth Ratio	7.3	14.6	8.1	18.4												
Entrenchment Ratio	>21.9	>36.5	>20.9	>37.8												
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0												
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft ³)																
Pattern		•		•				•		•						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters		•														
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks				1%												





























Table 10c. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Southeast Branch

Southeast Branch																								
		Pre-Restoration C	Condition					Reference	Reach Data						De	sign					As-Built	/Baseline		
Parameter	Gage	Southeast Br	anch	Scout	West 1	Scout E	ast 2	Scout	West 2	Johanna	a Creek	Jarman Oak		st Branch ach 1)		st Branch ach 2)	Southeas (Read	st Branch ch 3)		st Branch ach 1)		st Branch ach 2)		ast Branch each 3)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow													-								•			
Bankfull Width (ft)		2.7	5.7	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6	9.	.7	9.3	3	3.0	4	1.0	5.	.4	3	3.0	3	3.8	Į.	5.3
Floodprone Width (ft)	Ī	8.6	11.4	>2	20	>5	0	>	50	>7	75	>150	25	35	50	70	100	300	>	30	>	·60	>	>200
Bankfull Mean Depth	Ī	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0	0.	.8	1.2	().5	C	0.6	1.	.0	0	1.3	().4	(0.4
Bankfull Max Depth	Ī	0.4	1.4	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.	.1	2.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.2	0).5	().5	(0.6
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	N/A	1.1	1.4	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	11.6	1	.0	1	1.5	2.	.5	0).8	1	1.3	:	2.1
Width/Depth Ratio		6.8	24.3	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.4	9.0	10.0	10.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	1:	1.4	1	0.8	1	13.8
Entrenchment Ratio	=	1.5	4.2	>2	2.2	>2.	2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1 26.9	8.3	11.7	12.5	17.5	18.5	55.6	>9	9.9	>1	15.8	>3	37.5
Bank Height Ratio	-	2.2	6.0	1.1	1.3	1.0	0	1.1	1.2	1.	.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2	1	0	1	1.0		1.0
D50 (mm)	-	0.409																	N	/A		I/A		N/A
Profile																			•			-	I.	-
Shallow Length (ft)				_											-				2.1	64.4	3.4	144.4	6.0	47.3
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)	ľ			0.026	0.047	N/A		0.033	0.051	N/		0.0129	0.0162	0.0681	0.0144	0.0384	0.0035	0.0285	0.0010	0.0803	0.0021	0.0272	0.0005	0.0168
Pool Length (ft)	, h			0.020				+								0.0304	0.0033		2.1	36.7	3.1	33.6	3.2	61.3
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	0.4			1.6	N/		1.7	1.9	1.		3.1	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.2	0.5	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.1
Pool Spacing (ft)^				27	67	N/		21	27	16	59	32 55	15	24	20	32	9	38	4	76	8	90	14	52
Pool Volume (ft ³)	- 1			Σ,	0,	147.	•			10	33	32 33	15		20	32	3	30	7	7.0	J	30	17	
Pattern																								
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0 36.0	4.0	9.0	5.0	12.0	7.0	43.0	5.3	11.2	6.8	14.3	12.7	32.8
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7 18.6	5.0	14.0	6.0	18.0	8.0	26.0	5.0	23.5	10.0	25.6	10.4	29.5
	N/A			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5 2.0	1.5	4.5	1.5	4.5	1.5	4.8	1.7	7.8	2.6	6.7	2.0	5.6
Meander Length (ft)	11//			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	50		N/A	24	51	32	68	1.5	92	22	63	33	70	32	74
Meander Width Ratio	F			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3 2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	1.8	3.7	1.8	3.8	2.4	6.2
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters				1.0	2.0	1.5	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.5	1.5	5.0	1.5	3.0	1.5	0.0	1.0	3.7	1.0	5.0	2.4	0.2
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																								
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	H																							
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	-	0.08/0.28/0.41/0.9	94/16/96																			I/A	N	N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²	N/A	0.51	54/1.0/5.0																N	/A		I/A		N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	- 1	0.51																	1	I	,	1/A		1//
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ²	- 1																							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (SM)	- 1	0.19		n	.06	0.6	7		.34	0.9	90	1.27	0	.03	0	.07	0.1	10		.03	n	.07	n	0.10
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)	ŀ	<1%				0.0				0.3		1.27		1%		1%		10 L%		1%	1	1%		<1%
Rosgen Classification	ŀ	G/F5			C5b	E5			E5	E5/		E6		1/0			E/0			/C5		/C5		E/C5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	F	2.2		1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.95		L.7		L.4	1.			9	1	1.5		1.4
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	-	2.4		_	2.0	2.5			5.4	1.8		11.0		l.5		2.0	3.			5		2.0		3.0
Q-NFF regression	-	2.4				17.			J. -1	14	r.u	11.0					3.	.0	1		-			5.0
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A																							
Q-USGS extrapolation Q-Mannings	IN/A																							
	-																							
Valley Length (ft)	-																		11	EEO	-	113	,	616
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	-	2,976												559	_	16		17		559		13		616
Sinuosity	-	1.0			.1	1.2		+	1.2	1.		1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.6		6		1.1		1.3
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²	-					0.04			2040						-		0.0005)221		0174		.0030
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0230		0.0	260	0.01	./U	0.0	0040	0.00	UZZ	0.0040	0.0108	0.0227	0.0096	0.0128	0.0025	0.0089	0.0	1222	0.0015	0.0119	0.0028	0.0030

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable


Table 11c. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Southeast Branch


			Cro	ss Section	on 28 (Po	ool)					Cros	Section	29 (Sha	llow)					Cro	ss Sectio	on 30 (Po	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	137.71	137.7							137.14	137.1							122.8	122.8					1	
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.8	3.3							3.0	2.9							3.8	4.1						
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A							>30	>30							N/A	N/A						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5							0.3	0.4							0.3	0.4						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	1.2							0.5	0.7							0.4	0.7					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.5	1.7							0.8	1.1							1.3	1.7					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	6.6							11.4	7.7							11.2	9.4						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A							>9.9	>10.4							N/A	N/A					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			Cross	Section	31 (Sha	llow)					Cros	Section	32 (Sha	llow)					Cro	ss Sectio	on 33 (Po	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	122.7	122.7							116.53	116.5							116.36	116.4					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.8	3.9							5.3	5.1							6.3	5.8					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60							>200	>200							N/A	N/A					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5							0.4	0.4							0.4	0.3						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.8							0.6	0.5							0.8	0.6					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.3	2.0							2.1	1.8							2.4	1.7					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.8	7.8							13.8	14.6							16.8	19.7						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>15.8	>15.4					, and the second		>37.5	>38.9							N/A	N/A	·	·	·			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0					1	


Table 12c. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Southeast Branch Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Parameter	As-Built	/Baseline	N	IY1	N	1Y2	N	1Y3	M	Y4	M	Y5	M	IY6	M	Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow				•						•						
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.0	6.3	2.9	5.8												
Floodprone Width (ft)	>30	>200	>30	>200												
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5												
Bankfull Max Depth	0.4	0.8	0.5	1.2												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.8	2.4	1.1	2.0												
Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	16.8	6.6	19.7												
Entrenchment Ratio	>9.9	>37.5	>10.4	>38.9												
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0												
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft ³)																
Pattern				•						•						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			1	0%												














Table 10d. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Middle Branch

		Pre-Restorati	ion Condition					Doforono	e Reach Data							ocian			Ac Built	/Baseline	
	-					Τ						1		Middl	e Branch	esign Midd	le Branch	Middle	Branch		le Branch
Parameter	Gage	Middle	Branch	Scout	West 1	Scou	t East 2	Scou	t West 2	Johan	na Creek	Jarm	an Oak		ach 1)		each 2)		nch 1)		each 2)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																					
Bankfull Width (ft)		1.8	2.3	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6	1	9.7		9.3		3.0		4.0		2.2		3.4
Floodprone Width (ft)		4.6	6.8	>	20		>50		>50		>75		150	40	60	100	300		50		>200
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		0.8	1	1.2		0.3		0.3	().3	(0.3
Bankfull Max Depth		0.3	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1		2.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	().5	(0.5
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	N/A	0.4	0.5	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	1	.1.6		0.9		1.5	().7	:	1.1
Width/Depth Ratio		6.9	12.0	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7		7.4	10.0	10.5	10.0	12.0	6	5.7	1	10.1
Entrenchment Ratio	Ī	2.0	3.8	>	2.2	3	>2.2	:	>2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	33.3	100.0	22.2	66.7	>2	2.9	>!	>58.8
Bank Height Ratio	Ī	5.3	6.5	1.1	1.3		1.0	1.1	1.2		1.0		1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1	0		1.0
D50 (mm)	Ī	0.0	083															N	I/A	1	N/A
Profile		-																		-	
Shallow Length (ft)				-														2.5	46.6	7.9	16.1
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)		-		0.026	0.047		N/A	0.033	0.051	1	N/A	0.0	0129	0.0144	0.0489	0.0002	0.0074	0.0008	0.0492	0.0059	0.0236
Pool Length (ft)	N1/A			-														2.9	17.3	11.2	19.8
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	-		C).6		N/A	1.7	1.9		1.5	3	3.1	0.4	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.6	0.9
Pool Spacing (ft)^		-		27	67		N/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	24	5	22	8	56	18	24
Pool Volume (ft ³)									•												
Pattern				•		•		•		•		•						•			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		-		8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	4.0	9.0	6.0	36.0	4.1	9.4	6.7	20.9
Radius of Curvature (ft)		-		3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	5.0	14.0	7.0	22.0	7.0	23.9	9.2	23.5
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A	-		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.7	4.5	1.5	4.8	3.2	10.9	2.7	6.9
Meander Length (ft)		-		39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	Ţ	50.0	١	N/A	24	51	14	77	23	44	32	57
Meander Width Ratio		-		1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	2.2	4.3	2.0	6.1
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters	•			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																					
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	1																				
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100		-/-/0.083/0.	.498/0.9/9.6	-														N	I/A	1	N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²	N/A	0.24	0.27															N	I/A	1	N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	Ī																				
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ²	Ī																				
Additional Reach Parameters	-																				
Drainage Area (SM)		0.	02	0	.06		0.67	(0.34	().90	1	27	(0.01		0.01	0	.01	C	0.01
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)	f	<:	1%	-											<1%		<1%	<	1%	<	<1%
Rosgen Classification	f	G	i5	E/	C5b		E5		E5	E	5/C5		E6	1	N/A		E/C5	E,	/C5	Е	E/C5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.4	1.5	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0	1.95		1.3		0.8	1	.4	(0.9
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	ļ-	0.6	0.7	2	2.6	:	17.5		6.4	1	4.0	1	1.0		1.0		1.0	1	.0		1.0
Q-NFF regression	ļ-	-					17.5														
-	N/A	-																			
Q-Mannings	·	=																			
Valley Length (ft)	F	=		-														9	85		
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	F	1.7	736	-		1								1	,060		436		058	4	432
Sinuosity	F		.0		1.1		1.2		1.2		1.2		1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5		1		1.2
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²	F)145		.0064
**ater Juriace Jiope (It/It)			240		0260		0170		0040		0022		0040	0.0096	0.0163	0.0024	0.0077)148	0.0024	0.0066

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

Table 11d. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Middle Branch


			Cross	Section	24 (Sha	llow)					Cro	ss Sectio	on 25 (Po	ool)					Cro	oss Section	on 26 (Po	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	136.4	136.4							136.38	136.4							124.7	124.7						
Bankfull Width (ft)	2.2	2.3							3.1	3.1							4.1	4.8						
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	>50							N/A	N/A							N/A	N/A						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.3							0.4	0.5							0.3	0.2						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.6							0.7	0.9							0.9	0.5						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.7	0.8							1.2	1.6							1.4	1.0						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.7	6.8							8.1	6.0							>11.9	>21.9						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>22.9	>21.5							N/A	N/A							N/A	N/A						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0						
					27 (Sha																			
	_								I															


			Cross	Section	27 (Sha	llow)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	124.6	124.6						
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.4	3.2						
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.3						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.6						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.1	1.0						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.1	10.7						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>58.8	>62.5						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0						

Table 12d. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Middle Branch Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Min	Max	Min	Max												
			iviax	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
2.2	4.1	2.3	4.8												
>50	>200	>50	>200												
0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5												
0.5	0.9	0.5	0.9												
0.7	1.4	0.8	1.6												
6.7	>11.9	6.0	>21.9												
>22.9	>58.8	>21.5	>62.5												
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0												
		0'	%												
	>50 0.3 0.5 0.7 6.7 >22.9	>50 >200 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.9 0.7 1.4 6.7 >11.9 >22.9 >58.8	>50	>50 >200 >50 >200 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.5 0.5 0.9 0.5 0.9 0.7 1.4 0.8 1.6 6.7 >11.9 6.0 >21.9 >22.9 >58.8 >21.5 >62.5	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	So	S50 S200 S50 S200 S50 S200 S50 S	










Table 10e. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Southwest Branch

		Dro Postorat	tion Condition					Poforonce	Reach Data						n	esign			Ac Built	/Baseline	
	-											T		Southw	est Branch		est Branch	Southwe	est Branch	•	est Branch
Parameter	Gage	Southwe	est Branch	Scout	West 1	Scou	t East 2	Scout	West 2	Joha	nna Creek	Jarm	an Oak	(Reac	hes 1 - 3)	(Re	ach 4)	(Reach	ies 1 - 3)		each 4)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																					
Bankfull Width (ft)	_	2.8	3.4	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7		9.3		3.0		3.3				2.4
Floodprone Width (ft)	_	4.9	6.2		20		>50		>50		>75		150	40	60	100	300				200
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		0.8		1.2		0.3		0.3				0.3
Bankfull Max Depth		0.3	0.9	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1		2.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5				0.4
Barriera Cross Sectionar / irea (10)	N/A	0.8	0.9	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8		1.6		1.0		1.0				0.6
Width/Depth Ratio		10.0	14.0	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7		7.4	9.0	10.0	10.0	12.0			9	9.7
Entrenchment Ratio		1.5	1.9	>	2.2	:	>2.2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	13.3	20.0	30.3	90.9			8	82.3
Bank Height Ratio		10.0	10.7	1.1	1.3		1.0	1.1	1.2		1.0	:	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1			1	1.0
D50 (mm)		0.	105																	ı	N/A
Profile																					
Shallow Length (ft)																		3.8	51.6	8.3	44.1
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)	ſ			0.026	0.047		N/A		0.051		N/A	0.0	0129	0.0257	0.0648	0.0109	0.0308	0.0015	0.0339	0.0032	0.0228
Pool Length (ft)																		1.7	19.9	4.3	23.4
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A			(0.6		N/A		1.9		1.5	:	3.1	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.0	0.3	1.2	0.6	1.4
Pool Spacing (ft)^	Ī			27	67		N/A N/A		27	16	59	32	55	15	24	5	23	8	53	12	51
Pool Volume (ft ³)											_										
Pattern																					
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	4.0	9.0	4.0	26.0	3.9	10.2	5.2	18.9
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	5.0	14.0	5.0	16.0	10.0	19.0	7.4	20.3
	N/A			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.7	4.5	1.5	4.8	1		3.1	8.5
Meander Length (ft)	´			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		50.0		I/A	24	51	10	56	27	50	28	54
Meander Width Ratio	F			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	1		2.2	7.9
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters							1 2.2										1	1			
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																					
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	- 1																				
416/435/450/494/405/4100	-	-/0.065/0.105	5/0.336/0.4/9.6																I/A		N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²	N/A	0.37	0.42																I/A		N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	-	0.57	0.42																.,,,,		477.
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ²	-																				
Additional Reach Parameters																					
Drainage Area (SM)	1	n	.03		.06	1	0.67	(1.34		0.90	1	.27	1	0.02	1	0.02		.02		0.02
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)	 		1%							-					<1%		<1%	1	1%		<1%
Rosgen Classification	F		G5		C5b		E5		E5	 	E5/C5		E6		NA		C/C5		I/A		C5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	F	1.8	1.9	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9		.95		1.7		1.3		I/A		2.5
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	-	1.6	1.7		2.6		17.5		6.4		14.0		1.0		1.5		1.5		L.5		1.5
Q-NFF regression	-			ŕ	2.0		17.5		J.4		14.0	1	1.0		1.5		1.5	-			1.5
	N/A		- 																		
Q-USGS extrapolation Q-Mannings	N/A															+					
	-																				
Valley Length (ft)	-		000					_		1							402		14.0		470
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	-		080							1	4.2	1			650		482		46		479
Sinuosity	1		1.0		l.1		1.2		1.2		1.2		1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.5		1.0		1.3
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²	<u> </u>									ļ							 T)191		.0090
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0	0320	0.0	0260	0.	0170	0.	0040	C	0.0022	0.0	0040	0.0171	0.0216	0.0078	0.0096	0.0186	0.0191	0.0085	0.0088

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable


Table 11e. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)
Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Southwest Branch

			Cro	ss Section	on 22 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	23 (Sha	llow)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	136.36	136.4							136.45	136.4						
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.9	4.8							2.4	2.9						
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A							>200	>200						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4							0.3	0.3						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	1.0							0.4	0.4						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.8	1.9							0.6	8.0						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.2	11.9							9.7	11.2						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A							>82.3	>68.6						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				,			1.0	1.0					,	

Table 12e. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Southwest Branch Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Parameter	As-Built/Baseline		MY1		MY2		MY3		MY4		MY5		MY6		MY7	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow		•														
Bankfull Width (ft)	2.4	4.9	2.9	4.8												
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200												
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4												
Bankfull Max Depth	0.4	0.8	0.4	1.0												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.6	1.8	0.8	1.9												
Width/Depth Ratio	9.7	13.2	11.1	11.9												
Entrenchment Ratio	>82.3	>82.3	>68.6	>68.6												
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0												
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft ³)																
Pattern		•		•				•		•						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters		•														
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks				1%												






Table 10f. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

North Branch

North Branch																	
		Pre-Restoration Condition					Reference	Reach Data					De	sign	As-Built	t/Baseline	
		North Branch	Scout West 1		Scout East 2		Scout West 2		Johanna Creek		Jarman Oak		North Branch		North Branch		
Parameter	Gage												North Brunen				
		Min Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow					1	_			_		1		1 -				
Bankfull Width (ft)			2.6 6.3		4.7 6.1		5.6 7.6		9.7		9.3		9.2		8.6	9.3	
Floodprone Width (ft)			>20		>50		>50		>75		>150		100 300 0.6		>200		
Bankfull Mean Depth	N/A		0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		1.8		.2			0.7	0.7	
Bankfull Max Depth			0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		.1		.3	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.2	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft²)			1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8		1.6		.9	5.7	6.5	
Width/Depth Ratio			5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7		.4	14.0	14.5	13.1	13.2	
Entrenchment Ratio				2.2	1	2.2		2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	10.9	32.6	>21.6	>23.2	
Bank Height Ratio			1.1	1.3	1.0		1.1 1.2		1.0		1.0		1.0 1.1		1.0 N/A		
D50 (mm)															N	1/A	
Profile					1		T		1		1		T		_		
Shallow Length (ft)															5.3	35.8	
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)			0.026 0.047		N/A		0.033 0.051		N/A		0.0129		0.0010 0.0065		0.0013 8.5	0.0163	
Pool Length (ft)	N/A															80.8	
Pool Max Depth (ft)					N/A		1.7 1.9		1.5		3.1		0.9 2.1		1.0	3.8	
Pool Spacing (ft) [^]			27	67	N	I/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	64	17	101	
Pool Volume (ft ³)																	
Pattern		I	Г	1	1	T	T			T	1	1	ı	I			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)			8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	12.0	74.0	16	72	
Radius of Curvature (ft)			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	14.0	44.0	15	40	
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft))		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.8	1.7	4.3	
Meander Length (ft)			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		0.0		/A	28	156	79	129	
Meander Width Ratio			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	8.0	1.9	7.7	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																	
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	- N/A														N/A		
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²	ļ '														N/A		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																	
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m ²																	
Additional Reach Parameters					_		1		_		1						
Drainage Area (SM)		0.08	0.06		0.67		0.34		0.90		1.27		0.19		0.19		
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)		<1%											<1%		<1%		
Rosgen Classification	_	N/A	E/C5b		E5		E5		E5/C5		E6 0.95		E/C5 0.9		C5		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)			1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9					0.8	0.9	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)			2	1.6	1	7.5	(5.4	14	4.0	1:	1.0	5	.0		5.0	
Q-NFF regression																	
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A																
Q-Mannings																	
Valley Length (ft)																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)													2,418		2,410		
Sinuosity			1.1		1.2		1.2		1.2		1.4		1.2 1.6		1.31		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) ²																0.0016	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)			0.0	260	0.0	170	0.0	0040	0.0	022	0.0	040	0.0007	0.0020	0.0004	0.0020	

(---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable


Table 11f. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

North Branch																								
	Cross Section 34 (Pool)								Cross Section 35 (Shallow)					Cross Section 36 (Shallow)										
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	118.6	118.6							118.73	118.7							116.8	116.8						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.8	10.0							8.6	9.2							9.3	9.0						
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A							>200	>200							>200	>200						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7							0.7	0.7							0.7	0.8						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.4							1.0	1.2							1.2	1.4						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.5	7.2							5.7	6.0							6.5	7.0						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.8	14.0							13.1	14.1							13.2	11.5						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A							>23.2	>21.7							>21.6	>22.2						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0							1.0	1.0						
Cross Section 37 (Pool)																								
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7																
based on fixed bankfull elevation	116.51	116.5							1															

		Cross Section 37 (Pool)									
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7			
based on fixed bankfull elevation	116.51	116.5									
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.7	9.2									
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A									
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9									
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.4									
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	9.2	8.7									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.4	9.7									
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		N/A									
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	_		_	_					

Table 12f. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) North Branch Monitoring Year 1 - 2014

Parameter	As-Built/Baseline		ine MY1		MY2		MY3		MY4		MY5		MY6		M	Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow				•		•										
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.6	10.7	9.0	10.0												
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200												
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.9												
Bankfull Max Depth	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.4												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.7	9.2	6.0	8.7												
Width/Depth Ratio	12.4	13.2	9.7	14.1												
Entrenchment Ratio	>21.6	>23.2	>21.7	>22.2												
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0												
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft ³)																
Pattern				•		•		•		•						•
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			C)%												



width (ft) 10.0

mean depth (ft) 0.7

max depth (ft) 1.4

wetted parimeter (ft) 10.5

0.7 hyd radi (ft)


14.0 width-depth ratio


Survey Date: 7/2014

Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



View Downstream












Table 13. Verification of Bankfull Events Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014


Reach	Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method
11.1.1.1			
	4/1/2014	3/28/14 - 4/1/14	
Devil's Racetrach (West)	5/30/2014	4/29/14 - 5/1/14	Crest Gage
Devii s Racetrach (west)	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	Crest Gage
	11/20/2014	9/7/14 - 9/9/14	
	1/9/2014	U	
Devil's Racetrach (East)	4/1/2014	3/28/14 - 4/1/14	Crest Gage
	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	
	5/30/2014	4/29/14 - 5/1/14	
Southwest Branch	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	Crest Gage
	11/20/2014	9/7/14 - 9/9/14	
	4/1/2014	3/28/14 - 4/1/14	
Middle Branch	5/30/2014	4/29/14 - 5/1/14	Crest Gage
Middle Branch	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	Grest Gage
	11/20/2014	9/7/14 - 9/9/14	
	4/1/2014	3/28/14 - 4/1/14	
Southeast Branch	5/30/2014	4/29/14 - 5/1/14	Crest Gage
Southeast Bialicii	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	Orest Gage
	11/20/2014	9/7/14 - 9/9/14	
	5/30/2014	4/29/14 - 5/1/14	
North Branch	8/1/2014	6/9/14 - 6/20/14	Crest Gage
	11/20/2014	9/7/14 - 9/9/14	


u: unknown


			undwater Gage Res			/n : `	
Gage	Year 1 (2014)	Success Criter Year 2 (2015)	n (Percentage) Year 6 (2019)	Year 7 (2020)			
1	No/7.5 Days	()	Year 3 (2016)	Year 4 (2017)	Year 5 (2018)	,	(
	(3.1%) No/14.5 Days						
2	(6.0%)						
3	No/2.5 Days (1.0%)						
4	No/13.5 Days						
	(5.6%) No/12.5 Days						
5	(5.2%)						
6	No/11.0 Days (4.6%)						
7	Yes/21.5 Days						
	(9.0%) No/5.0 Days						
8	(2.1%)						
9	Yes/ 22.0 Days (9.2%)						
10	No/ 1.5 Days						
11	(0.6%) No/9.0 Days						
11	(3.8%)						
12	No/7.5 Days (3.1%)						
13	No/8.0 Days (3.3%)						
14	No/ 8.5 Days						
14	(3.5%) No/12.5 Days						
15	(5.2%)						
16	No/12.5 Days (5.2%)						
17	No/15.0 Days						
	(6.3%) Yes/69.5 Days						
18	(29.0%)						
19	Yes/31.5 Days (13.1%)						
20	No/19.5 Days						
	(8.1%) Yes/69.5 Days						
21	(29.0%)						
22	Yes/ 31.0 Days (12.9%)						
23	No/8.0 Days						
	(3.3%) No/13.0 Days						
24	(5.4%)						
25	Yes/25.5 Days (10.6%)						
26	Yes/39.0 Days						
	(16.3%) Yes/29.5 Days						
27	(12.3%)						
28	No/19.5 Days (8.1%)						
29	Yes/70.0 Days (29.2%)						
30	Yes/52.5 Days						
	(21.9%) No/9.0 Days						
31	(3.8%)						
32	No/ 7.0 Days (2.9%)						
33	Yes/69.5 Days						
	(29.0%) No/2.0 Days						
34	(0.8%)						



































































































Groundwater Gage #34 was installed on 10/8/2014 for additional data collection Reference Well was installed on 10/8/2014








Stream Flow Gage Plots Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 1 - 2014



Monthly Rainfall Data

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (NCEEP Project No. 95021)

Monitoring Year 1 - 2014



¹ 2014 monthly rainfall collected by Weather Underground Station KNCFOUR02 (Four Oaks, NC).

² 30th and 70th percentile rainfall data collected from weather station NC1820, in Clayton, NC (USDA, 2002).