Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site

As-Built Baseline Monitoring Report

FINAL

Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site NCDMS Contract No. 007006 NCDMS Project No. 100005 DWR# 20161077 USACE Action ID: SAW-2016-02202 Jackson County, North Carolina Data Collected: November 1st, 2019 – January 22nd 2020 Date Submitted: February 7th, 2020



Submitted to:

NCDEQ-Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh N C 27699-1652

Prepared for:



37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, NC 28801

Prepared by:



balance through proper planning

37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, NC 28801



February 5, 2020

Paul Wiesner Western Regional Supervisor DENR Division of Mitigation Services 5 Ravenscroft Dr., #102 Asheville, NC 28801

Subject: Draft As-Built Baseline Monitoring Report (Task 6) Harrell Stream & Wetland Site, Jackson County Little Tennessee River Basin – CU 06010203 – Jackson County DMS Project ID No. 100005 DEQ Contract #007006

Dear Mr. Wiesner,

Equinox/EWS has reviewed and addressed the comments for the draft As-Built Baseline Monitoring Report and Record Drawings for the Harrell Stream & Wetland Site. This deliverable documents stream and wetland restoration, enhancement and preservation assets totaling 1,854 Stream Mitigation Units (SMU) and 3.530 riparian Wetland Mitigation Units (WMU). Comments provided by NCDMS on January 30, 2020 are listed below with red text indicating how each was addressed in the final iteration of the report:

General: The NC IRT has indicted that project credits are determined at the IRT mitigation plan approval stage unless major changes or deviations occur. The Harrell Stream and Wetland Site was approved for 1,854 SMUs (cold) and 3.530 WMUs (riparian) in the mitigation plan. Please update the report and report text accordingly. Report and text updated accordingly.

Cover Page: The DWR # on the cover is incorrect. The correct DWR # is 20161077. Please correct on the cover and report wide. Project number corrected on cover page and throughout report.

Section 1.1 Project Setting and Background: 2nd paragraph typos; "At the downstream end of Harrell Creek, the profile of the channel was raised a**nd** proper....." & "**These** measures contribute to reduced...." Please QA/QC and correct text typos report wide. Typos assessed and corrected throughout report.

Section 1.3 Monitoring Plan Components: If applicable, please briefly discuss any updated locations of monitoring devices/plot locations from the IRT approved mitigation plan. Language included in reference to updated monitoring feature locations.

Section 1.3 Monitoring Plan Components: The section notes; "Changes from the approved Mitigation Plan are denoted with an asterisk (*) and are explained in the next paragraph." DMS recommends removing this



statement as there are currently no reported deviations from the IRT approved mitigation plan. Please review and update accordingly. Statement removed from report.

Section 1.4 Project Performance Standards: The table provided is from the Fletcher Mitigation site and is not applicable to this project. The project performance standards table should the same as Table 18 from the 2019 IRT approved Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Plan. In addition, the 2019 IRT approved Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation plan documents alternative performance standard for vegetation vigor. This information should be included in the revised report and should be synonymous with the approved mitigation plan. Please QA/QC and correct as necessary. Description in table updated to include specific language on alternative performance standards approved in the Final Mitigation Plan.

Section 1.6 Restoration Type and Approach (or an additional section): Please describe and explain construction/ as-built changes that deviate from the approved mitigation plan in this section (or add an additional section). Stream changes from 115+00 – 117+00 should be described in detail. Detailed description of stream changes included. Please also include information regarding any issues or mitigating factors, which may have arisen during (or the period immediately after) construction (e.g. impoundment changes, extreme precipitation trends or events, beaver activity etc.), which may require consideration or attention during project monitoring. Please update accordingly. A new subsection 1.6.3 "Additional Site Considerations" was added and includes a paragraph with language in reference to the beaver activity observed at the lower end of Reach 1D and related information.

Section 1.6 Restoration Type and Approach (or an additional section): Please add text to the report to document that DMS, EW Solutions, and Stantec met a representative of the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians (EBCI) on January 9, 2020 for an on-site pre-planting meeting to review the planting plan and proposed planting logistics. Please also include text noting that the EBCI were provided project planting dates and observed planting within the documented cultural resource areas as required. Please note that no cultural resources or artifacts were identified by the planting contractor or the EBCI during project planting and the EBCI was complimentary of the project mitigation efforts on the site. A paragraph was added under Section 1.6.3 "Additional Site Considerations" with according language referring to pre- and post-planting consultations with EBCI.

Table 1: Please use the Creditable Footage and Creditable Acreages from the approved mitigation plan in thetable's "Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage" column.Table updated accordingly.

Table 1: For Wetland A (Re (Pres)) please replace "NC" with 1.58 in the "As-Built Centerline Footage or Acreage" column. This is not a credit column. Please add a footnote in the Project Credits Section indicating that the wetland will be protected but is not generating wetland credit due to the 100% Restoration (R) credit requirement in RFP 16-008611. Table updated accordingly and footnote added in reference to wetland credit.



Table 1: The "*" footnote is not applicable to the first part of the table. It should be combined and included with the "%" footnote in the Project Credits section. Please see the comment below. Footnote updated accordingly.

Table 1: The Project Credits portion of the table should be updated to the total project credits established in the IRT approved mitigation plan; 1,854 SMUs (cold) and 3.530 WMUs (riparian). The "%" footnote should be updated to, "Project credits reflect the sum of credits outlined in the IRT approved mitigation plan. Mitigation plan credits account for breaks in conservation easements and are based on centerline design stream stationing and taken from the IRT approved mitigation plan." Table and footnote updated accordingly.

Table 1: In the Project Credits portion of the asset table, stream preservation credits are listed as 640; this is incorrect and should be updated to 64. Table updated accordingly.

Table 1: Consider adding comments in the comment column of the table as shown in the DMS May 2019 AssetMap Template (attached). Comments added where applicable.

Table 2: The Mitigation Plan - Data Collection Complete should be in Dec. 2018. The MY0 Stream Assessment and Vegetation Assessment rows do not require a Completion or Delivery date as they are data collection entries. Please QA/QC the table and update accordingly. Table updated accordingly.

Table 3: Please update the monitoring performers row to include the current point of contact at Equinox. Additionally, the MY0 date should be 2020. Please QA/QC the table and update accordingly. Table updated accordingly.

Table 4: In the regulatory considerations section, please include the 404 # SAW-2016-02202 and the 401 # 20161077. These regulatory considerations were resolved with the 404 and 401 permits, not the USACE jurisdiction determination. Please update. Table updated accordingly.

Table 4: The Historic Preservation Act is applicable to the project. Both the Endangered Species Act and the Historic Preservation Act were resolved with the FHWA Categorical Exclusion (CE)/ ERTR. Please confirm that the FEMA Floodplain Compliance was a regulatory consideration on the site. If confirmed, please include the FEMA Floodplain Compliance permit # in the table and include a copy of the permit and any supporting documentation in the final electronic submittal (digital support files). Floodplain compliance permit information is now included in the table as well as final electronic submittal.

Table 4: The table 4 footnotes submitted with the draft are not applicable to the table. Please QA/QC the table and update accordingly.

 Footnotes removed, table updated accordingly.



Figure 2: Please include reach breaks in the legend for clarity. Recommend bringing the stream layer to the top of the GIS stack for better map clarity. Please include the wetland rehabilitation feature identified in Table 1 on the figure and in the legend. The wetland rehabilitation shape should also be included in the revised digital support files. Breaks are now included in map legend; map features were layered accordingly for better visual clarity; rehabilitation feature was added to map & legend.

Figure 3: Please include the wetland rehabilitation feature identified in Table 1 on the figure and in the legend. Rehabilitation feature was added to map & legend.

Figure 3: The legend symbol for the continuous stage recorder does not appear to coincide with what is shown on the map. Please QA/QC and update the map as necessary. Map & legend updated for consistency.

Table 5: Please round the "Stems per ACRE" row entries to the nearest whole number in the table. Please check the "size (ACRES)" entry for the Annual Means column. Correct as necessary. Corrections made and table updated accordingly.

Table 6: This table is not typically included in the MY0 report; however, it notes that 9.5% of the conservation easement has invasive areas of concern. In the report text, please explain why 0.8 acres of invasive areas of concern remain on the site post construction. Explanation added describing invasives remaining and treatment plans. If not during construction/ MY0, when will these invasive areas be treated? There are also three (3) footnote callouts shown in the table but no footnotes are included. Please address in the response letter and update as the report as necessary. Footnotes updated; planned invasives treatment addressed in footnote; table updated accordingly.

Digital Support File Comments:

- DMS does not have a feature for Reach 1A. Please update the digital support files accordingly. Reach 1A feature now included in digital support files.

- The wetland restoration feature provided should be segmented to match the asset table. Also, as provided, the wetland restoration feature has an acreage of 3.39 whereas the reported acreage is 3.53, while the wetland preservation feature is 1.89 acres but the reported acreage is 1.58. Please provide DMS with wetland features that are segmented based on the asset table that are representative of the reported acreages. Wetland feature acreages have been corrected to reflect reported acreages.



- DMS needs the excel file(s) used to produce the stream cross section figures, and the pebble count figures. Please include the required files in the revised digital support files. Required files are now included in the digital support files.

-Please provide the Kee Mapping as-built .pdf and .dwg files with the final electronic submittal. This as-built survey should bear a Professional Land Surveyor (PLS) seal. Signed and sealed as-built included in Appendix E.

-Please provide the final Stantec design plan .pdf and .dwg files with the final electronic submittal. The design plan should bear a Professional Engineer's seal. Signed and sealed final designs are now included in the final electronic submission.

-Please include all required project permits and the FEMA Floodplain Compliance permit (if applicable) and any supporting documentation in the final electronic submittal. This should be included in a separate "Project Permits" folder. Copies of all required permits are now included in the final electronic submittal.

The Equinox project manager for this project is Mr. Danvey Walsh. His contact is as follows:

Environmental Scientist Equinox 37 Haywood Street Asheville, NC 28801 Office: 828-253-6856 ext. 201 Fax: 828-253-8256

Sincerely,

In Alla

Danvey Walsh

Table of Contents

| ect Summary | 1 |
|--|-------------|
| Project Setting and Background | 1 |
| Project Goals and Objectives | 1 |
| Monitoring Plan Components | 3 |
| Project Performance Standards | 4 |
| Mitigation Components | 5 |
| Restoration Type and Approach | 5 |
| As-Built Record Drawings | 7 |
| Vicinity Map | 3 |
| erences | 9 |
| A Background Tables |) |
| B Visual Assessment Data | 5 |
| C Vegetation Plot Data | 5 |
| D Stream Measurement and Geomorphology Data | 2 |
| E As-Built Survey and Record Drawings Plan Set | 8 |
| | ect Summary |

1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

1.1. Project Setting and Background

The Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site (Harrell Mitigation Site) is located in the Little Tennessee River (CU 06010203). The Harrell Mitigation Site also lies within the lower portion of the Eastern Little Tennessee River Basin (HUC 06010203010060) watershed which is identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) according to the 2008 Little Tennessee River Basin Restoration Priorities (RBRP) Plan. Project work at the Harrell Site was completed in early September 2019, and included construction, monitoring feature installation, and fence installation; bare root and live stake installation occurred in mid-January 2020. Through the project work, a total of 1,790 linear feet were restored, 640 linear feet were preserved, 0.22 acre of wetland were rehabilitated, and 3.31 acres for wetland were re-established. The Harrell Mitigation Site generated a total of 1,854 SMU's and 3.53 WMU's. Refer to Table 1 for the project components and mitigation credit information and Figure 2 for the Project Asset Map.

Historic land use at the Harrell Mitigation Site consisted of silvicultural logging and agricultural use for at least 40 years, according to historic aerial photos. Historic agricultural practices, relocation of the channel, and berm construction along the right descending bank of Harrell Creek had functionally removed the stream's connectivity with the floodplain and adjacent wetlands, resulting in highly degraded wetland function. Two poorly functioning culverts have also degraded the ecological connectivity of the stream at the headwaters of the Harrell Mitigation Site. The lack of deep-rooted vegetation and unstable vegetation and unstable channel characteristics have contributed to the degradation of the streambanks on both sides of the project. Ecological function has been restored to the existing streams, wetlands and riparian corridor by returning the existing stream and wetlands to a stable condition. The relocation of Harrell Creek to the historic floodplain and removal of the berm has restored proper floodplain connectivity and improved wetland hydrology. The restoration of the upper reach addressed a perched culvert, removed a second pipe crossing, and corrected erosion issues from an existing logging road through the installation of stormwater control devices. At the downstream end of Harrell Creek, the profile of the channel was raised and proper channel dimensions were restored. Additional measures that promoted functional uplift included stabilizing and revegetating stream banks and adjacent disturbed areas, restoring floodplain connectivity and wetland hydrology, reestablishing wooded riparian areas. These measures contribute to reduced downstream sediment and nutrient loads, as well as improving aquatic and terrestrial habitat.

This project is protected by an 8.45 acre conservation easement and is located approximately 2.8 miles southeast of Cullowhee, NC in Jackson County at 35.300553° N, -83.133689° W. The Harrell Mitigation Site is bounded by agricultural land.

1.2. Project Goals and Objectives

The project goals address stressors identified in the TLW and priority subwatershed, as outline in the Final Mitigation Plan, and include:

- Provide a network of streams with natural, stable forms that support proper stream functions;
- Improve groundwater hydrology to support recovery of native riparian vegetation;
- Reduce sediment inputs from eroding stream banks to reduce fine sediment loads and percentage of fines in the bed-material load;

- Restore proper sediment transport to support channel stability and bedform diversity;
- Improve substrate quality to facilitate hyporheic flow and support aquatic communities;
- Improve quantity, quality, and diversity of habitats to support healthy aquatic communities;
- Reduce pollutant inputs to the project streams (fecal coliform, nitrogen, phosphorus) to restore a balance to proper nutrient cycles;
- Improve riparian vegetation community to provide temperature regulation of the stream, provide a future source of organic inputs, and aid in long-term channel bank stability;
- Restore areas of former riparian wetlands so that the hydrology and sols will support wetland vegetative communities and wildlife;
- Improve landscape connectivity that allows space for biotic and abiotic process and provides a source and sink for natural populations; and,
- Prevent the site from future impacts of development and agricultural issues.

The following objectives are proposed for accomplishing the above listed goals as outlined in the Final Mitigation Plan:

- Construct stream channels that will maintain proper dimension, pattern, and profile;
- Construct streams with proper bankfull to floodplain relationship;
- Construct streams that provide naturally stable dimensions and stabilize constructed banks with appropriate bioengineering;
- Construct streams that maintain an appropriate sediment transport balance with the sediment that is supplied by the watershed so that the overall stream profile neither aggrades nor degrades over time;
- Create and improve stream bedform diversity by constructing pools of varied depths and riffles of varied slopes;
- Construct stable riffles that provide an improved diversity of bed material clast and a reduction in fines relative to existing conditions;
- Construct in-stream habitat features from native material to provide diversity of habitat;
- Provide a buffer from agricultural activates and row crops;
- Plant native climax tree species and understory species in the riparian zone;
- Reconstruct stream channels that are properly connected to the riparian wetlands;
- Re-grade topography to eliminate ditches and drainage features;
- Plant native wetland tree and shrub species; and,
- Establish a conservation easement that provides a minimum buffer from future activities in the adjacent watershed and ensure aquatic organism passage by correcting perched culverts or removing other barriers within the easement.

1.3. Monitoring Plan Components

The monitoring plan from the approved Harrell Mitigation Site Mitigation Plan is listed below. Monitoring cross sections 1 and 2 were installed approximately 200 feet downstream of their location on the IRT approved mitigation plan map. The installed locations of cross sections 1 and 2 are still within Wetland B. Vegetation plot 3 was moved approximately 50 feet eastward due to stream channel component constraints associated with the proposed location. Other monitoring feature locations did not deviate significantly from the approved plan.

| Harrell Mitigation Site Monitoring Plon Commonants | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Parameter | Method | Notes | | | |
| Dimension | Riffle Cross Sections | 3 | Years 1, 2, 3, 5, & 7 | Measured dimensions will be compared to reference dimensions to calculate bed-width index and max-depth index | |
| | Pool Cross Sections | 3 | Years 1, 2, 3, 5, & 7 | Bank pins will be installed only in areas of concern | |
| Pattern | Visual Inspection | None | Bi-annual | Bank pins will be installed only in areas of concern | |
| Profile | Visual Inspection | None | Bi-annual | Additional profile measurements may be required if problems are identified during the monitoring period | |
| Substrate | Pebble Counts | 3 | Years 1, 2, 3, 5, & 7 | | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | Stream Gauge -Continuous Recorder | 1 | Semi-annual | The device will be inspected on a semi- annual basis to document the occurrence of bankfull events on the project | |
| Groundwater Hydrology | Groundwater Gauges | 9 | Annual | Data will be downloaded on a monthly basis during the growing season | |
| Vegetation | Vegetation Plots | 5 | Annual | Vegetation monitoring will follow CVS protocol | |
| Exotic and Nuisance Vegetation | Visual Inspection | N/A | Semi-annual | Approximate locations of exotic and nuisance vegetation and the occurrence of beaver dams will be mapped | |
| Project Boundary | Visual Inspection | N/A | Semi-annual | Locations of vegetation damage, boundary encroachments, etc. will be mapped | |

1.4. Project Performance Standards

The stream restoration performance standards for the project will follow accepted and approved criteria based on the Final Mitigation Plan for the Harrell Mitigation Site (2019). Performance standards conform with the performance criteria provided in The Harrell Site Mitigation Plan which references the DMS Stream and Wetland Mitigation Plan Template and Guidance (October 2015), the Annual Monitoring Template (April 2015), and the Closeout Report Template (v2.1 March 2015). Performance criteria will be evaluated throughout the seven-year monitoring period.

| | Harrell Mitigation Site Performance Standards | |
|--|--|--|
| Objective | Performance Standard | Monitoring Approach |
| Construct stream channels that will maintain proper dimension, pattern and profile | Riffle section W/D ratios should remain within the range of the appropriate stream type. BHR should not exceed 1.2. BHR should not change more than 10% in any given monitoring interval. Changes that do occur should indicate a trend toward stability. Entrenchment Ratios should be ≥ 2.2 for C/E channels and ≥ 1.4 for B Channels. Document continuous surface flow in tributaries for at least 30 consecutive days in each year | Survey of select cross sections and visual assessment. |
| Construct streams with proper bankfull to floodplain relationship | Four bankfull events or greater, in separate years, will be documented during the monitoring period | Crest gauges, continuous stage recorders, and debris lines. |
| Construct streams that provide naturally stable dimensions and stabilize constructed banks with appropriate bioengineering | Channel banks should generally remain stable. Where bank migration does occur, it should not exceed 20% of the bankfull width. | Visual assessment and bank pin monitoring as necessary. |
| Construct streams that maintain an appropriate sediment transport balance with the sediment that is supplied by the watershed so that the overall stream profile neither aggrades nor degrades over time. | Profile adjustments should not indicate significant aggradation or degradation. BHR requirements as stated above. | Resurvey of longitudinal profile if visual assessment indicates potential instability. |
| Create and improve stream bedform diversity by constructing pools of varied depths and riffles of varied slopes | Profile should maintain a diversity of depths expressed in riffle/pool forms. | Visual assessment |

| Objective | Performance Standard | Monitoring Approach |
|---|---|--|
| Construct stable riffles that provide an improved diversity of bed material clast and a reduction in fines relative to existing conditions | Substrate material should progress towards or maintain coarser material in riffles and runs with finer material present in pools and glides. | Pebble count measurements at surveyed cross sections |
| Construct in-stream habitat features from native material to provide a diversity of habitats | In-stream habitat structures should remain intact and functional. | Visual assessment |
| Provide a buffer from agricultural activities and row crops | Record conservation easement prior to implementation. | None |
| Plant native climax tree species and understory species in the riparian zone | Minimum of 320 stems/ac present at MY-3. Minimum of 260 stems/ac present at MY-5. Minimum of 210 stems/ac present at MY-7. | Vegetation plots |
| Reconstruct stream channels that are properly connected to the riparian wetlands | Groundwater elevation within 12 inches of the ground surface for 12% of the growing season. | Groundwater monitoring gauges |
| Re-grade topography to eliminate ditches and drainage features | Groundwater elevation within 12 inches of the ground surface for 12% of the growing season. | Groundwater monitoring gauges |
| Plant native wetland tree and shrub species | Minimum of 320 stems/ac present at MY-3. Minimum of 260 stems/ac present at MY-5. Minimum of 210 stems/ac present at MY-7. Approved alternative performance standard for height metric: planted stems in the wetlands will meet a minimum of 4 feet in height at MY-5 and six feet in height at MY-7. | Vegetation plots |
| Establish a conservation easement that provides a minimum buffer from future activities in the adjacent watershed. | Record conservation easement prior to implementation. | None |

1.5. Mitigation Components

The Harrell Mitigation Site generated 1,854 SMUs and 3.53 WMUs. Refer to Figure 2 for the project component/ asset map for a visual description of the project assets and Table 1 for project components and mitigation credit information for the Harrell Site. These credits are based on the IRT approved mitigation plan.

1.6. Restoration Type and Approach

Earthwork activities included excavation of the proposed channels, partial or complete backfilling of existing channels, and removal of spoil berms. Grading was designed to restore or mimic natural contours.

1.6.1. Stream Restoration

Harrell Creek Reach 1B

Reach 1B was constructed using a headwater treatment, which is appropriate for small streams and steep slopes. The channel bed and banks were constructed of a harvested cobble/ brush matrix. The harvested cobble is of a sufficient size to resist the elevated shear stress and the brush provides roughness, which encourages stability in the high-performance reach.

Harrell Creek Reach 1C and 1D

Reaches 1C and 1D were constructed to form a low-gradient, meandering, Type-E channel. Channel banks were constructed of harvested sod and willow transplants to provide immediate roughness, bank stability, and shading. The stream bed was constructed using harvested cobble. The riffle slopes in these reaches are lower than the maximum sustainable design riffle slopes allowed by large cobble size, but the utilization of cobble, in conjunction with the willow transplants, discourages the growth of herbaceous vegetation within the channel bed. After completion of grading operations, the remaining topsoil and was redistributed across the floodplain bench to facilitate vegetation success.

Channel construction deviated from the design in one area; from approximate STA 115+75 to approximate STA 117+20. In this location the contractor encountered unstable soil conditions and was unable to restore the channel in its existing location. It was determined that adjusting the channel to its asbuilt location was the preferable alternative, both in terms of constructability and stability.

1.6.2. Wetland Rehabilitation and Re-establishment

Re-establishment of the wetlands involved removal of any overburden material to expose the underlying buried hydric soils. Wetland hydrology was restored by raising stream bed elevations. Additional grading activities included harvesting usable topsoil material for re-use on portions of the regraded floodplain, removal of spoil berms, and grading of off-channel depressional features to provide additional retention of surface water and increased habitat diversity. Re-establishment areas were planted with native vegetation. Rehabilitation of the existing wetlands involved stabilizing wetland hydrology and replanting.

1.6.3. Additional Site Considerations

Tribal Consultation

Representatives from DMS, EW Solutions, and Stantec met with Steven Yerka, Tribal Historic Preservation Specialist with the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians (EBCI) on January 9, 2020 for an onsite meeting to review the planting plan and proposed planting logistics. The EBCI were provided project planting dates and observed planting within the documented cultural resource areas as required. No cultural resources or artifacts were identified by the planting contractor or the EBCI during project planting and EBCI was complimentary of the project mitigation efforts on the site.

Nuisance Wildlife

Beaver activity was noted in reach 1D prior to, during, and after completion of construction. No dams or lodges are present, but beaver have placed vegetative material into the upstream end of the culvert under Caney Fork Road, which caused temporary inundation of the lower half of Reach 1D; the

culvert was cleared in mid-January, allowing for the area to drain. Equinox has initiated discussions with NCDOT Division 14 engineers who have committed to regular monitoring of the culvert to identify and address impoundment, when necessary. Furthermore, NCDOT will employ an on-call nuisance wildlife professional if trapping and removal of beaver becomes necessary.

1.7. As-Built Record Drawings

A sealed set of the record drawings are located in Appendix E. Adjustments from the design plans are listed below.

Harrell Creek – Reach 1C

• Sta 115+75 – 117+17 Thalweg & Top of Bank Deviation

1.8. Vicinity Map



Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site NCDMS Project No. 100005 Monitoring Year 0 of 7

2.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- Kee Mapping and Survey. 2019. As-Built Survey of Harrell Creek Restoration Project. Prepared for EW Solutions.
- Stantec Consulting, Inc. 2019. Final Mitigation Plan Harrell Mitigation Site. . Prepared for North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Mitigation Services. DMS Project No. 100005.
- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (<u>http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm</u>).

DMS Stream and Wetland Mitigation Annual Monitoring Template (June 2017)

Appendix A Background Tables

| | | | | Т | able 1. Project Mitig Harrell | ation Assets and Mitigation Site | Components | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Project Segment | Existing Footage or Acreage | Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage | Mitigation Category | Restoration Level | Priority Level | Mitigation Ratio (X:1) | | As-Built Centerline Footage or Acreage^ | Comments |
| | | | ~ · · · | - | | 10.0 | | | |
| Reach 1A Reach 1B | 654 286 | 640 273 | Cold | P R | NA PI | 10.0 | | 640 273 | Less 38' for crossing and outlet protection. Less than 30' buffer for 41 LF |
| Reach 1C | 1,265 | 1,268 | Cold | R | PI | 1.0 | | 1,189 | 0.026 ac impact to Wetland B |
| Reach 1D | 223 | 249 | Cold | R | P1 | 1.0 | | 294 | Less 13' for ROW Less than 30' buffer for 32 LF 0.008 ac impact to Wetland A |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland A | 1.59 | 1.58 | RNR | Re (Pres) | | 0.0 | | 1.58 | Existing wetland will be protected 0.008 ac impact to Wetland A for stream construction |
| Wetland A | 1.59 | 0.26 | RNR | R(Re-est) | | 1.0 | | 0.26 | Area of the existing channel within the wetland was filled and replanted |
| Wetland B | 0.24 | 0.22 | RNR | R (Rehab) | | 1.0 | | 0.22 | 0.026 ac impact to Wetland B for stream construction |
| Wetland C | - | 3.05 | RNR | R (Re-Est) | | 1.0 | | 3.05 | |

^ Based on centerline calculations from the as-built survey, accounts for breaks in conservation easement and utility right-of-ways.

Project Credits

| | | Stream | | Ripar | ian Wetland | Non-Rip | Coastal |
|----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Restoration Level | Warm | Cool | Cold | Riverine | Non-Riv | Wetland | Marsh |
| Restoration | - | - | 1790 | - | - | - | - |
| Re-establishment | | | | - | 3.31 | - | - |
| Rehabilitation | | | | - | 0.22 | - | - |
| Enhancement | | | | - | - | - | - |
| Enhancement I | - | - | - | | | | |
| Enhancement II | - | - | - | | | | |
| Creation | | | | - | - | - | - |
| Preservation | - | - | 64 | - | - | - | |
| Total Credits [%] | - | - | 1,854 | - | 3.53 | - | - |

[%] Project credits reflect the sum of credits outlined in the IRT approved mitigation plan. Mitigation plan credits account for breaks in conservation easements and are based on centerline design stream stationing and taken from the IRT approved mitigation plan. Mitigation plan credits are the same as the IRT approved mitigation plan.

* Wetland A will be protected but is not generating wetland credit due to the 100% Restoration credit requirement in RFP 16-008611

| Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting HistoryHarrell Mitigation Site | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Activity or Report | Data Collection Complete | Completion or Delivery | | | | |
| Mitigation Plan | Dec - 2018 | Jan - 2019 | | | | |
| Mitigation Plan Addendum | - | - | | | | |
| Final Design - Construction Plans | - | June - 2019 | | | | |
| Construction | - | Aug - 2019 | | | | |
| Temporary S&E Mix Applied | - | Aug - 2019 | | | | |
| Permanent Seed Mix Applied | - | Aug - 2019 | | | | |
| Bare Root and Live Stake Plantings | - | Jan - 2020 | | | | |
| Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline) | Jan - 2020 | Feb - 2020 | | | | |
| Stream Assessment | Jan - 2020 | | | | | |
| Vegetation Assessment | Jan - 2020 | - | | | | |
| Year 1 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 2 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 3 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 4 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 5 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 6 Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Year 7 Monitoring | | | | | | |

| | Table 3. Project Contacts | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Harrell Mitigation Site | | | | | |
| | EW Solutions | | | | | |
| Prime Contractor | 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 | | | | | |
| | Asheville, NC 28801 | | | | | |
| | David Tuch (828) 253-6856 | | | | | |
| | Stantec Consulting, Inc | | | | | |
| Designer | 56 College Street, Suite 201 | | | | | |
| Designer | Asheville, North Carolina 28801 | | | | | |
| | Grant Ginn (828) 449-1930 | | | | | |
| | Penland Contracting, Inc | | | | | |
| Construction | 300 NP&L Loop | | | | | |
| Contractor | Franklin, NC 28734 | | | | | |
| | Lewis Penland (828) 421-1753 | | | | | |
| | Penland Contracting, Inc | | | | | |
| C. P. O. Andrea | 300 NP&L Loop | | | | | |
| Seeding Contractor | Franklin, NC 28734 | | | | | |
| | Lewis Penland (828) 421-1753 | | | | | |
| | Equinox | | | | | |
| | 37 Haywood St. | | | | | |
| Planting Contractor | Asheville, North Carolina 28801 | | | | | |
| | Owen Carson (828) 253-6856 | | | | | |
| | Kee Mapping | | | | | |
| | 88 Central Ave. | | | | | |
| As-built Surveys | Asheville, NC 28801 | | | | | |
| | Brad Kee (828) 575-9021 | | | | | |
| | Hancock Farm & Seed | | | | | |
| G T Mr. G | 18724 Hancock Farm Rd | | | | | |
| Seeding Mix Source | Dade City, Fl 333523 | | | | | |
| | (352) 567-6971 | | | | | |
| | Mellow Marsh Farms | | | | | |
| | 1312 Woody Store Road | | | | | |
| Live Stakes | Siler City, NC 27344 | | | | | |
| | (919) 742-1200 | | | | | |
| | Equinox | | | | | |
| | 37 Haywood St. | | | | | |
| (MV0). 2020 | Asheville, North Carolina 28801 | | | | | |
| (1110)- 2020 | Owen Carson (828) 253-6856 ext. 204 | | | | | |
| | Danvey Walsh (828) 253-6856 ext.201 | | | | | |

| Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Project Information | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name | | Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site | | | | | | |
| County | | Jackson | | | | | | |
| Project Area (acres) | | | | | 8.45 | | | |
| Project Coordinates (latitude and longit | tude) | | | | 35.300533° N, -83. | 133689° W | | |
| | | Pro | oject Watershed | Summa | ary Information | | | |
| Physiographic Province | | | | | Blue Ridge Mo | untains | | |
| River Basin | | | | | Little Tenne | ssee | 100.00 | |
| USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit 60102 | 203 03 | GS Hydrologic | Unit 14-digit | | 04.04.00 | 060102030 | 10060 | |
| DWR Sub-basin | | | | | 04-04-02 | 2 | | |
| Project Drainage Area (acres) | | | | | 102.0 | | | |
| CCLA Lond Use Classification | vious Area | | | | < 1% A arientu | | | |
| CGIA Land Use Classification | | | Dooch Summ | ow Inf | Agricultu | rai | | |
| | | | Reach Summa | ary m | | | | |
| Parameters | | Reach | 1A | | Reach 1B | Read | h 1C | Reach 1D |
| Length of Reach (linear feet) | | 640 | | | 273 | 1, | 268 | 249 |
| Valley Confinement (Rosgen) | | II | | | II | ١ | ЛІ | VII |
| Drainage area (miles ²) | | 0.05 | | | 0.07 | 0. | 16 | 0.17 |
| Perrenial, Intermittent, Ephemeral | 1 | Perren | ial | | Perrenial | Pen | enial | Perrenial |
| NCDWR Water Quality Classification | n | С | | | С | | C | С |
| Stream Classification (existing) | | A & 1 | В | | G | E | & F | Е |
| Stream Classification (proposed) | | А | | | B4 | I | 54 | E4 |
| FEMA classification | | - | | | - | | - | - |
| | | | Wetland Summ | nary In | formation | | | |
| Parameters | | W | etland A | | Wetland B | | | Wetland C |
| Size of Wetland (acres) | | | 1.58 | | 0.22 | 3.05 | | |
| Wetland Type (non-riparian, riparian riverine or riverine) | r riparian non- | R | liparian | | Riparian | | | Riparian |
| Mapped Soil Series | | | NkA | | NkA | NkA | | |
| Drainage class | | 1 | poorly | | poorly | poorly | | |
| Soil Hydric Status | |] | Hydric | | Hydric | Hydric | | |
| Source of Hydrology | | Gro | undwater | | Groundwate | ater Groundwater | | |
| Hydrologic Impairment | | Agricul | ture/ Ditching | | Agriculture/ Dit | itching Agr | | iculture/ Ditching |
| Native vegetation community | | Swamp | -Forest Bog | | Swamp-Forest | t Bog Sv | | amp-Forest Bog |
| Percent composition of exotic invasive ve | egetation | | 15% | | 15% | | | 1% |
| | | | Regulatory (| Consid | erations | | | |
| Regulation | | Applicable? Resolved? Supporting Docume | | | umentation | | | |
| Waters of the United States – See | ction 404 | Yes | Yes Yes | | | 404 Permit #SAW-2016-02202 | | |
| Waters of the United States – See | ction 401 | Yes | Yes Yes 401 Permit #20161077 | | | 0161077 | | |
| Endangered Species Act | 1 | Yes Yes | | | FFHWA Categorical Exclusion (CE)/ERTR | | | |
| Historic Preservation Ac | et | Yes | | N | /A | FFH | WA Categorical Ex | clusion (CE)/ERTR |
| Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/ Coastal Area M | fanagement Act (CAMA) | No | | N | /A | | N/A | |
| FEMA Floodplain Complia | ance | Yes | | Y | es | FEMA Fl | oodplain Requireme | nts Checklist (Jan-2019) |
| Recordial Distances Webles | at | No | | NT | / A | Jackson Count | y, INC Floodplain De | evelopment Permit #2019-F187 |
| Essential Fisheries Habita | at | No N/A | | | N/A | | | |

Appendix B Visual Assessment Data



| Figure 2 |
|--------------------|
| Assets Map |
| Harrell Mitigation |
| Jackson County, N |
| January 15, 202 |

Mitigation Services

Site NC 20

▓.

Restoration

· Reach Breaks

Reestablishment Rehabilitation







Figure 3 Monitoring Features Map Harrell Mitigation Site Jackson County, NC January 15, 2020

100 200 ×. Feet

Monitoring Features

- Continuous Stage Recorder
- Groundwater Gauge
 - **Monitoring Cross Section**
 - **Vegetation Plot**

Stream



Wetland Preservation

Wetland Rehabilitation

i Conservation Easement Boundary

- Wetland Reestablishment


Vegetation Plot Photos



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5

Appendix C Vegetation Plot Data

| | | | | | Table 5 | 5: Curre | ent Plot 1 | Data (M | (Y0) 202 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------|----------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|-------|--------|------|-------|----------|-----|-------|---------|-------------|
| | | | | | | Harrel | l <mark>l Miti</mark> ga | tion Si | te | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Cu | rrent Pl | ot Data | (MY0 20 | 020) | | | | | | An | nual Me | ans |
| | | | 1000 | 05-01- | 0001 | 1000 | 005-01-0 | 0002 | 100 | 005-01- | 0003 | 1000 | 05-01- | 0004 | 1000 | 005-01-0 | 005 | Μ | Y0 (202 | (0) |
| Scientific Name | Common Name | Species Type | PnoLS | P-all | Т | PnoLS | P-all | Т | PnoLS | P-all | Т | PnoLS | P-all | Т | PnoLS | P-all | Г | PnoLS | P-all | Т |
| Alnus serrulata | hazel alder | Shrub | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Asimina triloba | pawpaw | Tree | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Betula nigra | river birch | Tree | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Cephalanthus occidentalis | common buttonbush | Shrub | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cornus amomum | silky dogwood | Shrub | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Fraxinus pennsylvanica | green ash | Tree | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ilex verticillata | common winterberry | Shrub | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Lindera benzoin | northern spicebush | Shrub | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Salix nigra | black willow | Tree | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | | Stem count | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| | | size (ares) | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 5 | |
| | | size (ACRES) | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.12 | |
| | | Species count | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| | Ste | ems per ACRE | 486 | 486 | 486 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 1052 | 1052 | 1052 | 971 | 971 | 971 | 696 | 696 | 696 |

P=Planted, T=Planted & Volunteer

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

| | Table 6: Vegetation Condition Assessment anted Acreage 4.46 Mapping CCPV Number of Combined % of igetation ategory Definitions Mapping CCPV Number of Combined % of Bare Areas Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material. 0.1 acres n/a 0 0 0.00% Low Stem Density eas Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria. 0.1 acres n/a 0 0 0.00% Areas of Poor 'owth Rates or Vigor Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obdously small given the monitoring year. 0.25 acres n/a 0 0 0.00% asement creage 8.43 Cumulative Total 0 0 0.00% asement creage 8.43 CCPV Number of Polygons Combined Acreage % of Easement Acreage ategory Definitions Mapping Threshold CCPV Depiction Number of Polygons Combined Acreage % of Easement Acreage asement creage Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale). 1000 SF Red 10% crosshatch 7 0.8 9.50% </th | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Planted Acreage | 4.46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetation Category | Definitions | Mapping Threshold | CCPV Depiction | Number of Polygons | Combined Acreage | % of Planted Acreage | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Bare Areas | Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material. | 0.1 acres | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Low Stem Density Areas | Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria. | 0.1 acres | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | Total | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor | Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year. | 0.25 acres | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cum | ulative Total | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Easement Acreage | 8.43 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetation Category | Definitions | Mapping Threshold | CCPV Depiction | Number of Polygons | Combined Acreage | % of Easement Acreage | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Invasive Areas of Concern ¹ | Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale). | 1000 SF | Red 10% crosshatch | 7 | 0.8 | 9.50% | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | l line line line line line line line lin | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Easement Encroachment Areas | Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale). | none | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 Remaining invasive areas are those that were unabl clusters of plants; treatment of these remaining popul | e to be treated mec lations is to occur i | hanically during co n early summer of 2 | onstruction and co 2020. | nsist of scattered in | ndividuals or small | | | | | | | | |

Appendix D Stream Measurement and Geomorphology Data





Left Descending Bank



Right Descending Bank





Left Descending Bank



Right Descending Bank





Left Descending Bank



Right Descending Bank







Upstream Right and Left Bank



Right Descending Bank



| CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY | MY0 | MY1 | MY2 | MY3 | MY4 | MY5 | MY6 | MY7 |
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Bankful Width (ft) | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | 33.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Width/Depth Ratio | 8.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Entrenchment Ratio | 13.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank Height Ratio | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Left Descending Bank



Right Descending Bank



Left Descending Bank

Right Descending Bank

Harrell Creek Reach 1B - Longitudinal Profile Stationing 100+00 to 102+80



Harrell Creek Reach 1C - Longitudinal Profile Stationing 102+80 to 115+00



Harrell Creek Reach 1D - Longitudinal Profile Stationing 115+00 to 117+80



| Harrell | Mitigation | Site | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Cross Se | ection 2 - | Riffle | |
| Monitoring | Year - 20 | 20; MY0 | |
| Bed Surface Material | | % | % |
| Particle Size Class (mm) | Number | Individual | Cumulative |
| 0 - 0.062 | 55 | 51.9% | 52% |
| 0.062 - 0.125 | 2 | 1.9% | 54% |
| 0.125 - 0.25 | 10 | 9.4% | 63% |
| 0.25 - 0.5 | 5 | 4.7% | 68% |
| 0.5 - 1.0 | 5 | 4.7% | 73% |
| 1 - 2 | 9 | 8.5% | 81% |
| 2 - 4 | 3 | 2.8% | 84% |
| 4 - 8 | 2 | 1.9% | 86% |
| 8 - 16 | 4 | 3.8% | 90% |
| 16 - 32 | 2 | 1.9% | 92% |
| 32 - 64 | 5 | 4.7% | 96% |
| 64-128 | 4 | 3.8% | 100% |
| 128-256 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 256-512 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 512-1024 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 1024-2048 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 2048-4096 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Bedrock | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Total | 106 | 100% | 100% |
| | | Summ | ary Data |
| | | D50 | 0.062 |
| | | D84 | 4 |
| | | D95 | 55 |



| Harrell | Mitigation | Site | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Cross Se | ection 4 - | Riffle | |
| Monitoring | Year - 20 | 20; MY0 | |
| Bed Surface Material | | % | % |
| Particle Size Class (mm) | Number | Individual | Cumulative |
| 0 - 0.062 | 15 | 14.0% | 14% |
| 0.062 - 0.125 | 6 | 5.6% | 20% |
| 0.125 - 0.25 | 5 | 4.7% | 24% |
| 0.25 - 0.5 | 14 | 13.1% | 37% |
| 0.5 - 1.0 | 16 | 15.0% | 52% |
| 1 - 2 | 13 | 12.1% | 64% |
| 2 - 4 | 2 | 1.9% | 66% |
| 4 - 8 | 7 | 6.5% | 73% |
| 8 - 16 | 12 | 11.2% | 84% |
| 16 - 32 | 7 | 6.5% | 91% |
| 32 - 64 | 7 | 6.5% | 97% |
| 64-128 | 3 | 2.8% | 100% |
| 128-256 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 256-512 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 512-1024 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 1024-2048 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 2048-4096 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Bedrock | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Total | 107 | 100% | 100% |
| | | Summ | ary Data |
| | | D50 | 0.9 |
| | | D84 | 16 |
| | | D95 | 54 |



Harrell Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site NCDMS Project No. 100005 Monitoring Year 0 of 7 47

| Harrell | Mitigation | Site | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Cross Se | ection 5 - | Riffle | |
| Monitoring | Year - 20 | 20; MY0 | |
| Bed Surface Material | | % | % |
| Particle Size Class (mm) | Number | Individual | Cumulative |
| 0 - 0.062 | 24 | 22.6% | 23% |
| 0.062 - 0.125 | 7 | 6.6% | 29% |
| 0.125 - 0.25 | 2 | 1.9% | 31% |
| 0.25 - 0.5 | 8 | 7.5% | 39% |
| 0.5 - 1.0 | 7 | 6.6% | 45% |
| 1 - 2 | 21 | 19.8% | 65% |
| 2 - 4 | 4 | 3.8% | 69% |
| 4 - 8 | 9 | 8.5% | 77% |
| 8 - 16 | 13 | 12.3% | 90% |
| 16 - 32 | 4 | 3.8% | 93% |
| 32 - 64 | 4 | 3.8% | 97% |
| 64-128 | 2 | 1.9% | 99% |
| 128-256 | 1 | 0.9% | 100% |
| 256-512 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 512-1024 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 1024-2048 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| 2048-4096 | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Bedrock | 0 | 0.0% | 100% |
| Total | 106 | 100% | 100% |
| | | Summ | ary Data |
| | | D50 | 1.2 |
| | | D84 | 11 |
| | | D95 | 49 |



| | | | H | arre | T I Mit | able ' igatic | 7. Ba | seline e . H | e Stre arrell | am E I Crea | ata Si ek Re | ımma ach 1 | ry B (27 | 3 fee | (f) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---------|-------|------|------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-----|------|--------|------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|---|
| Parameter | Regi | ional (| Curve | | Pre-l | Existin | g Con | dition | unten | | Refei | ence] | Reach | Data | | | Design | 1 | 1 | As- | Built | Base | ine | |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | LL | UL | Ea. | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | N | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | Ν | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | N |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | - | - | - | 3.6 | 3.9 | - | 4.2 | - | 2 | 3.3 | - | - | 5.4 | - | - | - | 6.5 | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | - | - | - | 5.0 | 5.5 | - | 6.0 | - | 2 | 7.0 | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | - | 2 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | - | 2 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²) | | - | | 1.8 | 2.3 | - | 2.8 | - | 2 | 0.9 | - | - | 1.8 | - | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Width/Depth Ratio | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.8 | - | 7.1 | - | 2 | 10.1 | - | - | 25.4 | - | - | - | 18.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Entrenchment Ratio | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | - | 1.4 | - | 2 | 1.2 | - | - | 2.3 | - | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank Height Ratio | - | - | - | 2.1 | 2.4 | - | 2.7 | - | 2 | 1.0 | - | - | 1.3 | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| d50 (mm) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pool Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pool Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10.7 | 14.3 | 17.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pattern | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 7.0 | - | 11.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 13.0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | I | I | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Meander Width Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft2 | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m2 | - | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage Area (mi ²) | | - | | | | 0. | .06 | | | | | 0.0 |)5 | | | | 0.07 | | | | 0. | 07 | | |
| Rosgen Classification | - | - | | | | | G | | | | | B | A | | | | В | | | |] | В | | |
| Bankfull Velocity (fps) | - | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Bankfull Discharge (cfs) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Valley Length (ft) | - | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | - | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Sinuosity | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres) | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| % of Reach with Eroding Banks | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Channel Stability or Habitat Metric | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Biological or Other | | - | _ | | | | - | _ | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |

| | | | | | T | able 7 | 7. Ba | seline | Stre | am D | ata Si | ımma | ıry | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|----|
| | | | Ha | arre ll | Miti | gatio | 1 Site | - Ha | rrell | Creel | k Rea | ch 1(| C (1,1 | 89 fe | et) | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Regi | onal C | Curve | | Pre-H | Existin | g Con | dition | | | Refe | ence l | Reach | Data | |] | Desigi | 1 | | As- | Built / | Base | line | |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | LL | UL | Eq. | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | Ν | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | Ν | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | Ν |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | - | - | - | 4.1 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 5 | 6.3 | 8.5 | - | 10.7 | - | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.2 | 6.5 | - | 6.8 | 0.4 | 2 |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | - | - | - | 11.0 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 1.8 | 5 | 25.0 | 32.2 | - | 40 | - | - | - | >50 | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2 |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | - | 1.6 | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 1.0 | - | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²) | | - | | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 5 | 8.8 | 8.8 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 2.7 | - | 3.4 | 3.5 | - | 3.6 | 0.1 | 2 |
| Width/Depth Ratio | - | - | - | 7.4 | 26.6 | 13.4 | 77.8 | 29.7 | 5 | 5.2 | 8.4 | - | 10.5 | - | - | - | 6.1 | - | 11.2 | 11.9 | - | 12.6 | 1.0 | 2 |
| Entrenchment Ratio | - | - | - | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | - | 3.8 | - | - | - | 8.6 | - | 8.1 | 11.4 | - | 14.8 | 4.7 | 2 |
| Bank Height Ratio | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | - | 1.1 | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2 |
| d50 (mm) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 13.0 | I | - | - | I | - | I | I | 0.1 | 0.5 | i. | 0.9 | 0.59 | 2 |
| Profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4.0 | 6.6 | 1 | 10.0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 34.0 | 6.2 | 35 |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1 | 4.0 | - | - | 0.004 | - | 0.06 | 1.156 | 1.321 | 0.000 | 1.725 | 0.167 | 35 |
| Pool Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 15.2 | - | 23.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 3.3 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 6.0 | 56 |
| Pool Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 60 |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1.6 | - | 2.5 | - | - | 8.2 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 7.8 | 64 |
| Pattern | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.0 | 33.0 | - | 53.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 29.4 | 4.1 | 52 |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.5 | 11.2 | - | 15.0 | - | - | - | 18.0 | - | 16.8 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 19.2 | 0.9 | 8 |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 8 |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25.0 | 41.0 | - | 56.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 24.6 | 37.7 | 38.7 | 58.3 | 7.9 | 30 |
| Meander Width Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.1 | - | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 8 |
| Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ² | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m2 | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage Area (mi ²) | | - | | | | 0. | 16 | | | | | 0.2 | 25 | | | | 0.16 | | | | 0. | 16 | | |
| Rosgen Classification | | - | | | | 1 | E | | | | | E; | F | | | | E4 | | | | E | 4 | | |
| Bankfull Velocity (fps) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Discharge (cfs) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | 13.0 | | | | | | | |
| Valley Length (ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | 1,0 | 00 | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | 1,1 | 89 | | |
| Sinuosity | | - | | | | | - | | | | | 1.6 | 53 | | | | 1.25 | | | | 1. | 19 | | |
| Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | 0.005 | | | | 0.0 | 05 | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | 0.008 | | | | 0.0 | 05 | | |
| Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | 1 | - | | | | | | | |
| % of Reach with Eroding Banks | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | 1 | - | | | | | | | |
| Channel Stability or Habitat Metric | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Biological or Other | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | 1 | - | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Harro | T II Mi | `able tigati | 7. Ba | iselin to - H | e Str | eam I | Data S | umm | ary | 94 fo | ot) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|------|-------|------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|------|----|
| Parameter | Regi | onal C | urve | | Pre-H | xistin | g Con | dition | anci | | Refer | ence] | Reach | Data | <u>()</u> | | Desig | 1 | 1 | As | -Built | / Basel | ine | _ |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | LL | UL | Eq. | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | Ν | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | N | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | N |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | - | - | - | 2.9 | - | - | 2.9 | - | 1 | 6.3 | 8.5 | - | 10.7 | - | - | | 4.2 | - | 2.5 | - | - | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | - | - | - | 35.0 | - | - | 35.0 | - | 1 | 25.0 | 32.2 | - | 40 | - | - | - | >50 | - | 33.4 | - | - | 33.4 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | 0.7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | - | 1.6 | - | - | - | 2.3 | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2) | | - | | 2.4 | - | - | 2.4 | - | 1 | 8.8 | 8.8 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 2.8 | - | 0.7 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Width/Depth Ratio | - | - | - | 3.5 | - | - | 3.5 | - | 1 | 5.2 | 8.4 | - | 10.5 | - | - | - | 6.1 | - | 8.6 | - | - | 8.6 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Entrenchment Ratio | - | - | - | 12.1 | - | - | 12.1 | - | 1 | 2.5 | 3.5 | - | 3.8 | - | - | - | 8.4 | - | 13.2 | - | - | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Bank Height Ratio | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | - | 1.1 | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| d50 (mm) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | - | - | 1.2 | 0 | 1 |
| Profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | I | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 1 | 10.0 | - | 1 | 4.0 | 6.6 | - | 10.0 | - | - | 27.0 | 7.2 | 35 |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | I | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1 | 4.0 | - | 1 | 0.9 | 2.2 | - | 4.0 | - | - | 0.97 | 0.03 | 35 |
| Pool Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 15.2 | - | 23.0 | - | - | 3.0 | 15.2 | - | 23.0 | - | - | 48.5 | 23.3 | 2 |
| Pool Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | 1 | ì | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1 | 2.5 | - | ì | 0.8 | 1.6 | ÷ | 2.5 | - | - | 72.0 | n/a | 1 |
| Pattern | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | 1 | ÷ | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20.0 | 33.0 | 1 | 53.0 | - | ì | - | - | - | 53.0 | - | - | 15.4 | 1.1 | 3 |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | i. | - | - | - | - | 1 | I | i. | I | 7.5 | 11.2 | 1 | 15.0 | - | 1 | - | 18.0 | - | 15.0 | - | - | 22.0 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | i | - | - | - | - | 1 | I | i | I | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8.8 | 0.1 | 2 |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | i | - | - | - | - | 1 | I | i | I | 25.0 | 41.0 | 1 | 56.0 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 56.0 | - | - | 102.9 | 19.7 | 5 |
| Meander Width Ratio | I | - | - | - | - | 1 | I | I | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4.1 | - | - | - | - | 6.2 | 0.4 | 2 |
| Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft2 | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m2 | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage Area (mi ²) | | - | | | | 0. | 17 | | | | | 0.2 | 25 | | | | 0.17 | | | | 0 | .17 | | |
| Rosgen Classification | | - | | | | 1 | E | | | | | E; | F | | | | E4 | | | | 1 | E4 | | |
| Bankfull Velocity (fps) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Bankfull Discharge (cfs) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 14 | | | | | - | | |
| Valley Length (ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | 2 | .75 | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | 2 | 94 | | |
| Sinuosity | | - | | | | | - | | | | | 1.0 | 53 | | | | 1.06 | | | | 1 | .07 | | |
| Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 0.003 | | | | 0. | 005 | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 0.003 | | | | 0. | 006 | | |
| Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres) | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| % of Reach with Eroding Banks | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Channel Stability or Habitat Metric | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Biological or Other | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | Table | e 8. Moi | nitorii | ng Data - Di | imensi | ional I | Morpho Harre | ology S ell Miti | Summan igation | ry (Dime Site | ensiona | al Para | meter | rs – Ci | ross S | ections |) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|-----|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------|------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | | | H | Cross Se Harrell Ci | ection I reek R | l (Pool) Reach 1C | | | | | | Cross Harrell | Section l Creel | n 2 (Riffle) k Reach 1C | | | | | | н | Cross S arrell C | ection 3 reek Re | (Pool) each 10 | C | | | | | | Cross Harrel | Sectio l Creel | n 4 (Rifi k Reach | lle) 1C | | | | | 1 | Cross Harrel | Section Il Creek | n 5 (Riffle k Reach I | e) 1D | | |
| Dimension | Base | MY1 | MY2 | MY3 | M | IY4 MY | 75 M | Y6 N | AY7 B | Base M | Y1 M | Y2 M | ¥3 | MY4 MY | 5 M | Y6 | MY7 | Base | MY1 | MY2 | MY | 3 M | ¥4 | MY5 | MY6 | MY7 | Base | MY | l M | (2 N | MY3 | MY4 | MY5 | MY6 | MY7 | Base | MY1 | MY: | 2 N | MY3 | MY4 | MY5 | MY6 | MY7 |
| Record Elevation (datum) Used | 2179.9 | | | | | | | | 21 | 179.6 | | | | | | | | 2178.2 | | | | | | | | | 2178.4 | | | | | | | | | 2174.5 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Low Bank Height Elevation (datum) Used | 2179.9 | | | | | | | | 21 | 179.6 | | | | | | | | 2178.2 | | | | | | | | | 2178.4 | | | | | | | | | 2174.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | 9.6 | | | | | | | | (| 6.2 | | | | | | | | 5.2 | | | | | | | | | 6.8 | | | | | | | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | 50+ | | | | | | | | 5 | 50+ | | | | | | | | 50+ | | | | | | | | | 50+ | | | | | | | | | 33.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | 0.6 | | | | | | | | (| 0.6 | | | | | | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | 0.3 | | | | | | | i' | 1 |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | 1.9 | | | | | | | | (| 0.9 | | | | | | | | 1.6 | | | | | | | | | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | 0.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²) | 6.0 | | | | | | | | 3 | 3.4 | | | | | | | | 5.3 | | | | | | | | | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | 0.7 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 1 |
| Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio | 15.2 | | | | | | | | 1 | 11.2 | | | | | | | | 5.1 | | | | | | | | | 12.6 | | | | | | | | | 8.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio | 5.2 | | | | | | | | 1 | 16.1 | | | | | | | | 9.6 | | | | | | | | | 14.8 | | | | | | | | | 13.2 | | | | | | | (' | 1 |
| Bankfull Bank Height Ratio | 1.0 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1.1 | | | | | | | | 1.1 | | | | | | | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | i' | 1 |
| Low Top of Bank Depth (ft) | 1.9 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1.0 | | | | | | | | 1.8 | | | | | | | | | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | 0.4 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | H | Cross Se Harrell Ci | ection (reek R | 6 (Pool) Reach 1D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | _ | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dimension | Base | MY1 | MY2 | MY3 | MY4 | MY5 | MY6 | MY7 |
| Record Elevation (datum) Used | 2174.4 | | | | | | | |
| Low Bank Height Elevation (datum) Used | 2174.4 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | 6.1 | | | | | | | |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | 38.3 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | 0.7 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | 1.3 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²) | 4.5 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio | 8.3 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio | 6.2 | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Bank Height Ratio | 1.0 | | | | | | | |
| Low Top of Bank Depth (ft) | 1.3 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | Г | able 9 |). Mo | nitori Har | ng Dat rell Re | ta - Sti each 1 | ream I B (27 | Reach 3 feet | Data S | Summ | ary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|-----|------|-----|--------------|----|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------|--------|-----|----|---|---------|-------|-------|----|---|-----|------|-----|-----|----|---|
| Parameter | | | Bas | eline | | | | | M | Y - 1 | | | | | M | 7 - 2 | | | | | MY - 3 | ; | | | | Μ | Y - 5 | | | | | М | Y-7 | | |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med N | lax | SD | n | Min Mea | 1 Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Width/Depth Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Entrenchment Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank Height Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Profile | - | | | - | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | 6.6 | 23.5 | 9.2 | 79.2 | 29.2 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | 0.039 | 0.089 | 0.084 | 0.199 | 0.051 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Length (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool M ax Depth (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pattern | | 1 | | T | - | - | - | _ | | | | - | | | | | | - | | • | | | | | | _ | | _ | _ | - | - | | | - | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Width Ratio | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Rosgen Classification | | |] | B4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | | | 2 | 273 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Sinuosity (ft) | | | 1 | .03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft) | | | 0. | 145 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | | 0. | 136 | - | | | | | - | r | - | | r | | r | | r | | | | | | | | _ | - | | | | | | | | |
| Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S% | 87% | 1% | 0% | 13% | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Information does not apply.

Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step

| | | | | | | | | | | Т | able 9 | Cont | 'd. M H | onito arre ll | ring D Reac |)ata - S h 1C (| Stream 1,189 | Reac feet) | h Data | a Sum | mary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|-----|--------|-----|-----|--------|------|------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------|------|-------|----|---|--------|------|-----|-----|----|---|-----|--------|-----|-----|----|---|--|
| arameter Baseline | | | | | | | | MY - 1 | | | | | | | MY-2 | | | | | | | Y - 3 | | | MY - 5 | | | | | | | MY - 7 | | | | | |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | 6.2 | 6.5 | - | 6.8 | 0.4 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | 0.9 | 1.0 | - | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) | 3.4 | 3.5 | - | 3.6 | 0.1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Width/Depth Ratio | 11.2 | 11.9 | - | 12.6 | 1.0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Entrenchment Ratio | 8.1 | 11.4 | - | 14.8 | 4.7 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank Height Ratio | 1.0 | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | 4.5 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 34.0 | 6.2 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | 1.156 | 1.321 | 0.000 | 1.725 | 0.167 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Length (ft) | 3.3 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 6.0 | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool M ax Depth (ft) | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | 1.0 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 7.8 | 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pattern | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | • | • | | • | | • | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | 2.7 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 29.4 | 4.1 | 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | 6.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | 24.6 | 37.7 | 38.7 | 58.3 | 7.9 | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Width Ratio | 3.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | • | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | • | | • | • | • | | | | 1 | | |
| Rosgen Classification E4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | | | 1, | 189 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sinuosity (ft) 1.19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft) | | | 0.0 | 0050 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | | 0.0 | 0050 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S% | 32% | 3% | 48% | 16% | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Information Unavailable | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Information Unavailable

 $N\!/\!A$ - Information does not apply.

Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step

| | | | | | | | | | | Т | able 9 | Cont | ' d. M | lonito Harrel | ring D l Read | ata - S ch 1D | Stream (294 f | Reac | h Data | a Sum | mary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|----|-----|--------|-----|-----|--------|------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|--------|-------|------|-----|----|---|--------|------|-----|-----|----|---|-----|--------|-----|-----|----|---|--|
| Parameter | Baseline | | | | | | | MY - 1 | | | | | | | М | Y - 2 | | | | | M | 7-3 | | | MY - 5 | | | | | | | MY - 7 | | | | | |
| Dimension & Substrate - Riffle | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | Min | Mean | Med | Max | SD | n | |
| Bankfull Width (ft) | 2.5 | - | - | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floodprone Width (ft) | 33.4 | - | - | 33.4 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Max Depth (ft) | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) | 0.7 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Width/Depth Ratio | 8.6 | - | - | 8.6 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Entrenchment Ratio | 13.2 | - | - | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank Height Ratio | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Length (ft) | 12.7 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 27.0 | 7.2 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riffle Slope (ft/ft) | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Length (ft) | 15.5 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 23.3 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Max Depth (ft) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pool Spacing (ft) | 72.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | n/a | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pattern | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Channel Belt Width (ft) | 12.7 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 27.0 | 7.2 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radius of Curvature (ft) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) | 15.5 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 23.3 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Wavelength (ft) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meander Width Ratio | 72.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | n/a | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additional Reach Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rosgen Classification E4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Thalweg Length (ft) | Channel Thalweg Length (ft) 294 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sinuosity (ft) | | | 1 | .07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft) | | | 0. | 005 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) | | | 0. | 006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S% | 19% | 41% | 21% | 8% | 11% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Information Unavailable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Information Unavailable

 $N\!/\!A$ - Information does not apply.

Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step
Appendix E As-Built Survey and Record Drawings Plan Set

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



| | FINAL PLANS | 1/15/19 | |
|----|---|---------|--|
| Ι. | SHEETS 1_1A, P-1, EC-1_EC-3, EC-4 | 4/5/19 | |
| 2 | SHEETS 1, EC-1 EC-3, EC-4 | | |
| 3 | SHEETS 1 12 13, EC-1 EC-3, EC-3A EC-4 | 5/1/19 | |
| 4 | SHEETS 1-5. 10-13 P-1 P-2, EC-1 EC-2 EC-3, EC-4, XS-1, XS-2, XS-3 | 5/29/19 | |
| 1 | AS - BUILT PLANS RECORD SET | 1/17/20 | |
| - | REVISIONS | | |



\active\172621094\DWG\As 6u3ll\172621094-01A AB 5ite





















DocuSign Envelope ID: 2D42926E-1A3B-4FEA-BA2C-A95DA2255F11

CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY AND ACCURACY

PHILLIP B. KEE _, CERTIFY THAT THE GROUND TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PORTION OF THIS PROJECT WAS COMPLETED UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY MADE UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION; THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED AT THE 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL TO MEET THE FEDERAL GEOGRAPHIC DATA COMMITTEE STANDARDS; THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED TO THE CLASS A HORIZONTAL AND CLASS C VERTICAL WHERE APPLICABLE; THAT THE ORIGINAL DATA WAS OBTAINED BETWEEN THE DATES OF <u>11/18/19 & 12/02/19</u>; THAT THE CONTOURS SHOWN AS BROKEN LINES MAY NOT MEET THE STATED STANDARD AND ALL COORDINATES ARE BASED ON NAD 83 (NSRS 2011) AND ALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON NAVD 88; THAT THE GPS PORTION OF THIS PROJECT WAS TO PERFORM A GRID TIE TO THE NC STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM AND INFORMATION USED IS SHOWN & NOTED HEREON; THAT THIS MAP MEETS THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS AS STATED IN TITLE 21, CHAPTER 56, SECTION .1606; THAT THIS MAP WAS NOT PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH G.S. 47-30, AS AMENDED AND DOES NOT REPRESENT AN OFFICIAL BOUNDARY SURVEY

SHEET 6



CONTROL POINT

STATE PLANE COORDINATES

RBCC "KEE" (9)



JACKSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

"HARRELL CREEK"

THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

DIVISION OF MITIGATION SERVICES

"HARRELL MITIGATION SITE"



SURVEYOR'S NOTES

- 1. ALL DISTANCES AND COORDINATES ARE GROUND MEASUREMENTS IN US SURVEY FEET UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 2. PROPERTY SUBJECT TO ALL EASEMENTS, RIGHT OF WAYS AND RESTRICTIONS THAT ARE RECORDED, UNRECORDED, WRITTEN AND UNWRITTEN.
- 3. CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARIES SHOWN HEREON WERE TAKEN FROM A PLAT OF SURVEY ENTITLED: "CONSERVATION EASEMENT FOR THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, DIVISION OF MITIGATION SERVICES, HARRELL MITIGATION SITE", PREPARED BY KEE MAPPING & SURVEYING, DATED APRIL 10, 2018 AND RECORDED IN PLAT CABINET 22, SLIDE 606 OF THE JACKSON COUNTY REGISTRY.
- 4. JACKSON COUNTY GIS WEBSITE USED TO IDENTIFY ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS
- 5. BY GRAPHIC DETERMINATION, A PORTION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY APPEARS TO LIE WITHIN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA (SFHA) AS DETERMINED BY THE F.E.M.A. MAP#s 3700756900J DATED 04/19/2010
- 6. STATE PLANE COORDINATES AND ELEVATIONS WERE DERIVED FROM THE CONTROL & EXISTING CONDITIONS TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY KEE MAPPING & SURVEYING. THE HORIZONTAL DATUM IS NAD 83 (2011) AND THE VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD(88). ALL COORDINATES SHOWN HEREON ARE GROUND MEASUREMENTS IN US SURVEY FEET.
- 7. UTILITIES WERE LOCATED BASED ON VISIBLE ABOVE GROUND STRUCTURES, THEREFORE THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE OR MAY BE PRESENT AND NOT SHOWN HEREON. CALL 1-800-632-4949 BEFORE DIGGING
- 8. STATIONING FOR PLAN AND PROFILES ARE BASED OFF OF DESIGN CENTERLINES PROVIDED BY EW SOLUTIONS. LLC.
- 9. CONTOUR INTERVAL: 1 FOOT VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 88
- 10. AREA OF LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE: 3.46 ACRES
- 11. WETLANDS SHOWN HEREON WERE DELINEATED AND PROVIDED BY EW SOLUTIONS, LLC.

SHEET SIZE: 11"X 17" (HALF SIZE)

SHEET:

OF 9

P.O. Box 2566 Asheville, NC 28802 (828) 575-9021 www.keemap.com License # \bar{C} -3039

DocuSign Envelope ID: 2D42926E-1A3B-4FEA-BA2C-A95DA2255F11





















CROSS-SECTION #1-HARRELL CREEK HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'



CROSS-SECTION #2-HARRELL CREEK HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'



CROSS-SECTION #3- HARRELL CREEK HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'



CROSS-SECTION #6-HARRELL CREEK

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'



CROSS-SECTION #4-HARRELL CREEK HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'



CROSS-SECTION #5-HARRELL CREEK HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 40' VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 4'

LEGEND

CROSS-SECTION REBAR

2180

2179

2178

2177

2175

EAL: HINNETH CAROL SEAC SEAC Toopsigned by: C-464 Phillonethic SUBSOCIATED SEAL:

PLEASE REFER TO THE COVERSHEET FOR THE STATEMENT OF CERTIFICATION NOTE: SEE SHEET 1 FOR SURVEYOR'S NOTES & LEGEND

ELEVATION DATUM: NAVD 88 CONTOUR INTERVAL: 1 FOOT

AN AS-BUILT SURVEY FOR: EW SOLUTIONS, LLC

SPO FILE NO. 50-BW DMS PROJECT NO. 100005

PROJECT:

HARRELL MITIGATION SITE

SHEET TITLE: **CROSS-SECTIONS** 1-6

| TOWNSHIP: CANEY FORK | | JACKSON | STATE: NORTH CAROLINA | |
|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| DRAWN BY: NH, AB | | CHECKED BY: PBK | SURVEY BY: | |
| SCALE: AS SHOWN | | SURVEY DATES: 11/18/19 - 12/02/19 | | |
| Jов: #1910123-АВ | | SHEET SIZE: (HALF SIZE) 11" X 17" | | |
| # | DATE | REVISIONS | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SHE | ET: | | | |





P.O. Box 2566 Asheville, NC 28802 (828) 575-9021 www.keemap.com License # C-3039











