Year 1 of 5 Monitoring Report Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration Site Randolph County, NC

SCO Project Number 040633101 NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services Project Number 170 NCDENR D06017S USACE Action Item # SAW 2008 02860



Prepared for:

NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

Construction Completed: August 2013 Data Collection: November 2014 Submitted: March 2015

Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration Randolph County, NC

Year 1of 5 Monitoring Report

SCO Project Number 040633101 NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services Project Number 170 NCDENR D06017S USACE Action Item # SAW 2008 02860

Prepared by:



701 Corporate Center Drive Suite 475 Raleigh, NC, 27607 Phone: 919-854-6200 Fax: 919-854-6259

Project Manager: Richard Prosser, PE Phone: 919-760-4000 e-mail: Rick.Prosser@aecom.com

Table of Contents

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.0 METHODOLOGY	5
2.1 Vegetation	6
2.2 Stream Assessment	6
2.3 Visual Assessment	7
2.4 Digital Photos	7
2.5 Hydrology	8
2.6 Other Parameters	8
3.0 REFERENCES	8

APPENDICIES

Appendix A – Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map

Table 1 – Project Restoration Components

Table 2 – Project Activity and Reporting History

Table 3 – Project Contacts

Table 4 – Project Attributes

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Figure 2 – Current Condition Plan View Table 5 – Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table Table 6 – Vegetation Condition Assessment Table Photos – Vegetation Plot Photos Photos – Photo Points

Appendix C – Vegetative Data

Table 7 – Vegetation Plot Data CVS Output Tables February 2014 Replanting Data (Figure and species table)

Appendix D – Stream Survey Data

Cross-section Plots with annual overlays and photos Longitudinal Profile Plot with annual overlay Pebble Count Plots Table 8 – Baseline Stream Data Summary Table 9a – Monitoring – Cross-Section Morphology Data Table Table 9b – Monitoring Stream Reach Morphology Data Table

Appendix E – Hydrologic Data

Table 10 – Verification of Bankfull Events Monthly Rainfall Data Precipitation and Water Level Plots Table 11 – Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration site was identified by the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) as a degraded reach of Back Creek and several unnamed tributaries, in Randolph County, North Carolina. The project was transferred to the North Carolina NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) in 2005. The Heath Dairy Road Restoration Site encompasses approximately 7,708 linear feet of degraded channels.

The site is located in the Back Creek watershed of the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin, United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit Code 03040103050050, within the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) sub-basin 03-07-09. Back Creek drains into the Back Creek (Lucas) Lake and then into the Uwharrie River approximately eleven miles downstream of the site. Historic land use of the site has consisted primarily of agriculture and livestock grazing. The streams within the project area were accessible to livestock, resulting in local disturbances to stream banks and wetland soil surfaces. Additional land use practices including the maintenance and removal of riparian vegetation, and relocating, dredging, and straightening of on-site streams all contribute to the degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics.

Restoration goals identified in the 2009 Yadkin Pee Dee RBRP Plan include protection of wildlife resources, improved management of stormwater runoff, and mitigation of impacts resulting from urbanization in the area. Within the Back Creek watershed, 26% of streams are lacking riparian buffer. The following goals were established to guide the restoration process for the project:

- Improve local water quality within the restored channel reaches as well as the downstream watercourses by reducing channel and off-site sediment loads, reducing nutrient loads from adjacent agricultural fields, and reducing water temperatures.
- Improve local aquatic and terrestrial habitat and diversity within the restored channels and their vicinity.
- Preclude the construction of additional infrastructure and the combination of agricultural practices including cattle grazing and the application of pesticides and fertilizer within the riparian buffer area by providing a permanent conservation easement.

The project's measurable objectives are:

- Restore natural stable channel morphology and proper sediment transport capacity;
- Create and/or improve bed form diversity and improve aquatic and benthic macroinvertabrate habitat;
- Construct a floodplain (or local bankfull bench) that is accessible at the proposed bankfull channel elevation;
- Improve channel and stream bank stabilization by integrating in-stream structures and native bank vegetation;

- Restore 7,781 linear feet of stream through Priority I and II restoration from the existing 6,748 linear feet of stream;
- Enhance 960 linear feet of stream from the existing 960 linear feet of stream;
- Preserve 636 linear feet of stream;
- Enhance 0.6 acres of wetlands from the existing 0.6 acres of wetlands (all are riparian non-riverine wetlands);
- Preserve 1.18 acres of wetlands (all are riparian non-riverine wetlands, except Wetland J which is a riparian riverine wetland consisting of 0.090 acres of preservation); and,
- Restore approximately 30 acres of riparian buffer by establishing a native forested and herbaceous riparian buffer plant community.

During Year 1 (2014) monitoring 26 vegetation plots were evaluated. Vegetation from all 26 plots averaged 228 stems-per-acre. Eight of the 26 plots met or exceeded the success criteria of 320 planted stems-per-acre (minimum stem count after 3 years). Some stem mortality was likely due to the dense herbaceous growth that occurred during the summer. Additionally, one plot (Veg Plot 20) is in an area with standing water.

The DMS exercised a warranty clause of the vegetation installation contract and on 2/10/2015 - 2/12/2015 an additional 10,500 stems were installed to address the low density reported above. A species list and planting zone map are included in Appendix C of this document.

Planted woody vegetation throughout the site is somewhat sparse due to competition from herbaceous plants and fescue. Herbaceous vegetation is well established within the riparian areas. Live stakes (willows and silky dogwood) planted along the streambanks are growing well.

Visual assessment and geomorphic surveys completed for the site indicate that project reaches are currently performing within established success criteria ranges shown in Table 8a Appendix D. Several areas of concern were observed along stream monitoring reaches within the sites. The table below describes the issues and each area is identified on Figure 2 (Appendix B).

Map Identifier	Feature/Issue
Stream Area of Concern #1	Slumping on left bank (outside of meander bend) / Log vane
	exposed not functioning
Stream Area of Concern #2	Slumping on left bank of pool /Short steep riffle leading to
	pool
Stream Area of Concern #3	Wide pool with some slumping on left bank
Stream Area of Concern #4	Transverse riffle formed
Stream Area of Concern #5	Log vane appears to have failed several short steep riffles

Stream	Areas	of	Concern

Back Creek and North Branch do exhibit some shortening and steepening of the riffles along with a lengthening of the pools in some locations. Back Creek design riffle slope was 0.0095 ft/ft. The As-built slope was 0.01 ft/ft while after Year 1 the average slope is now 0.018 ft/ft. The North Branch shows an average steepening of the riffles from 0.0035 ft/ft (design and Asbuilt) to 0.015 ft/ft in Year 1. The Year 1 riffle slopes are actually quite close to the reference

reach riffle slopes of 0.013 ft/ft. No remedial action is proposed at this time, however, these reaches should be monitored closely and a remedial action plan developed if they do not stabilize over the next few years. West and East Branch appear quite stable.

Pebble count data indicates fining of many of the riffle sections. Significant vegetation growth (*Murdannia keisak*) was observed in many of the riffles. The Murdannia appears to have trapped and held a large amount of silt covering the coarser material below. The pebble counts reflect these silt deposits. It is likely that as the streambank vegetation develops the Murdannia will be shaded out and the silts should wash on through the system. The coarser material placed during construction of the riffles will become more evident once the silts have washed through the system.

Four groundwater gauges have been installed across the site. Gauges 1 through 3 were installed and monitored by DMS while gauge 4 was installed and monitored by AECOM. Wetland hydrology success criteria will be satisfied in restored wetland areas when saturated soil conditions occur within 12 inches of the ground's surface for a minimum of 12.5% (29 days) of the growing season (March 24 to Nov 13) during average climatic conditions.

All three DMS addendum wetland gauges exceeded the minimum wetland hydrology criterion of groundwater within 12 inches of the ground surface for a minimum of 5% of the growing season; however two gauges fell short of meeting the project established 12.5% performance standard. Gauges 1, 2, and 3 exhibited water levels within 12 inches of the ground surface for 20%, 5.5% and 9% of the growing season respectively. This data does not represent a complete growing season as the gauges malfunctioned in August of 2014. Please refer to gauge data summary and gauge location map included in appendix E. Gauge 4 exceeded the 12.5% performance standard with water levels within 12 inches of the growing season.

Other portions of the site appear to be quite wet and installation of groundwater gauges in these areas might indicate that wetland hydrology is present. The largest of these areas is the floodplain to the west of North Branch. There are also several smaller pocket wetland areas on both sides of the lower portion of West Branch.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of such things as beaver or encroachment, and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on DMS's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from DMS upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

Vegetation survival, channel stability, and wetland hydrology were monitored on the project site. Post restoration monitoring will occur for a minimum of five years or until success criteria are met.

2.1 Vegetation

Twenty-six vegetation plots were established and assessed for the baseline vegetation monitoring. The Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) Protocol Level 2 methodology (Lee et al. 2006, <u>http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm</u>) was used to sample vegetation on October 2 and November 20, 2014.

2.2 Stream Assessment

Twenty-eight permanent monitoring cross-sections have been established on the site as follows:

- Back Creek, 16 cross-sections
- West Branch, 5 cross-sections
- UT to West Branch, 1 cross-section
- North Branch, 3 cross-sections
- East Branch, 3 cross-sections

Reach	Monitoring XS No.	Feature	Pebble Count
Back Creek	XS-1	Pool	Yes
Back Creek	XS-2	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-3	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-4	Pool	Yes
Back Creek	XS-5	Pool	No
Back Creek	XS-6	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-7	Pool	No
Back Creek	XS-8	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-9	Pool	Yes
Back Creek	XS-10	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-11	Pool	No
Back Creek	XS-12	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-13	Pool	No
Back Creek	XS-14	Riffle	Yes
Back Creek	XS-15	Pool	No
Back Creek	XS-16	Pool	Yes
West Branch	XS-17	Riffle	No
West Branch	XS-18	Pool	No
West Branch	XS-19	Riffle	Yes
West Branch	XS-20	Riffle	Yes
West Branch	XS-21	Pool	Yes
UT to West Branch	XS-22	Riffle	Yes
North Branch	XS-23	Pool	No
North Branch	XS-24	Riffle	Yes
North Branch	XS-25	Riffle	Yes
East Branch	XS-26	Pool	Yes
East Branch	XS-27	Riffle	Yes

Reach	Monitoring XS No.	Feature	Pebble Count
East Branch	XS-28	Riffle	Yes

The restored length of Back Creek is 5,300 feet in length. Three, 1,000-foot segments were surveyed. Each segment is as follows:

- 14+15 to 24+15
- 26+80 to 40+28
- 51+42 to 62+22

Multiple parameters were located including top of bank, thalweg, and water surface. Pool and riffle features were called out to calculate feature slopes and lengths. Note that for West Branch, water surface features were not surveyed at many points as no flowing water was present in the upper portion of the reach at the time of the survey. The lack of flowing water is not unexpected as the upper reaches were documented as ephemeral to intermittent in the 2009 Restoration Plan. The survey was performed with a survey grade GPS (Trimble R8 GNSS RTK survey unit). Due to tree cover preventing satellite reception, the upper 1,800 feet of the West Branch was surveyed using standard transit and level equipment and methods.

The entire length of West Branch, East Branch and North Branch was surveyed. The small UT to West Branch was not surveyed due to its short length.

Wolman pebble counts were conducted at 20 of the 28 permanent cross-sections and used to calculate the sediment distribution at the cross-sections and the D50 and D84 at each location. Particle sizes less than 2.0 mm were determined by touch using the following guidelines:

- Silt Smooth feeling (not gritty)
- Fine sand Slightly gritty texture
- Coarse sand Very gritty texture

2.3 Visual Assessment

A visual assessment of the stream was performed to assess the bank (lateral stability), bed (vertical stability), the easement boundary, and site vegetation.

2.4 Digital Photos

Four permanent photo stations have been established as part of the baseline monitoring. Starting in the first monitoring year, these photos will be taken in late October / early November, so that vegetative conditions are similar at the site between monitoring years. The photos will be used to make a qualitative assessment of channel aggradation or degradation, bank erosion, success of riparian vegetation, effectiveness of erosion control measures, and the presence or absence of developing in-stream bars. Any significant changes from the as-built conditions will be discussed and highlighted in the report. Additional photo points will be established if problem areas arise.

Digital photos of each of the vegetation plots were also taken.

2.5 Hydrology

Four monitoring gauges were installed in or around wetland enhancement areas to monitor site hydrology. Gauges 1 through 3 were installed and monitored by DMS while Gauge 4 was monitored by AECOM.

Two crest gauges were installed; however the bottoms filled with silt and they did not function properly. Silt was removed in the base so that water could enter the gauge. If the gauges continue to clog with silt they should be relocated or repositioned in the channel.

2.6 Other Parameters

No obvious areas of encroachment onto the easement were noted. It did not appear the Heath Dairy Farm owners were utilizing the easement across West Branch as it was quite overgrown. No beaver activity was noted.

3.0 REFERENCES

Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for RecordingVegetation Version 4.0.

Appendix A – General Figures and Tables

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Table 1 – Project Restoration Components Table 2 – Project Activity and Reporting History Table 3 – Project Contacts

Table 4 – Project Attributes



	Table 1. Project Restoration Components and Mitigation Credits													
		ŀ	Ieath Dair	y Roa	ad Sti	ream R	Restoratio	n/	DMS No	. 170				
					Mit	tigation	Credits		-					
	Sti	ream	Riparian	Wetla	nd	No V	n-riparian Vetland		Buffer		Nitrogen Offset	Ρ	hosphorous Offset	
Туре	R	RE	R	R	ε	R	RE							
Totals	8431	127	1.4	0.	54									
					Proj	ect Con	nponents							
Proie	vet				Existir	ng			Restoratio	on or	Restoratio	n	Mitigation	
Compo	nont	Stationin	g/Location	Fo	ootage	e or	Approach	1	Restorat	ion	Footage c	or	Ratio	
Compo	nem				Acreage				Equivale	ent	Acreage		Ratio	
Back Cr	eek 1	10+00	– 11+55		149 L	.F	P2		Restorat	ion	155 LF		1:1	
Back Cr	eek 2	11+55	– 16+25		470 L	.F	E1		Enhancer	nent	470 LF		1.5:1	
Back Cr	eek 3	16+25	– 17+00		75 LF	-	P1		Restorat	ion	75 LF		1:1	
Back Cr	eek 4	17+00	- 20+90		390 L	F	E1		Enhancer	nent	390 LF		1.5:1	
Back Cr	eek 5	20+90	– 24+60		374 L	F	P1		Restorat	ion	370 LF		1:1	
Back Cr	eek 6	24+60	– 25+60		100 L	F	E1		Enhancer	nent	100 LF		1.5:1	
Back Cr	eek 7	25+60	– 63+45	:	3450 L	_F	P1, P2		Restorat	ion	3785 LF		1:1	
West Pre	eserve	ve 14+58 - 18+75			417 L	F	NA		Preserva	tion	417 LF		5:1	
West Bra	anch 1	ch 1 10+00 – 26+12		-	1523 L	_F	P1		Restorat	ion	1590 LF*	f	1:1	
North Bra	Branch 1 10+30 – 21+97			495 L	F	P2		Restoration		1167 LF		1:1		
East Pre	eserve 5+01 - 7+20			219 L	.F	NA	NA P		Preservation		on 219 LF			
East Bra	Inch 1	9+96 – 15+93			580 LF		P1		Restoration		547 LF*		1:1	
UT to We	est Br.	10+36 – 11+38			102 L	F	<u>P1</u>		Restoration		102 LF		1:1	
Wetlan	d A1	1	VA	1	.075 /	AC	NA		Preservation		1.075 AC		5:1	
Wetlan	d A2	I	VA	(J.136A	AC	NA		Enhanceme		1ent 0.136 AC		2:1	
Wetlar			VA	0	0.307 /	AC	NA		Enhancer	nent	0.307 AC	;	2:1	
Wetlan		I	VA	0).104 /	AC	NA		Enhancement		nancement 0.104 AC		2:1	
Wetlar		I	VA	0	0.010 A	AC	NA		Enhancement		nancement 0.010 AC		2:1	
Wetlar		I	VA	0	0.036 /	AC	NA		Enhancement		Ennancement 0.036 AC		2:1	
Wetla	ndl		VA	0	0.007 /	AC	NA		Preservation		vation 0.007 AC		5:1	
Wetlar			VA	0).090 A	AC AC	NA		Preserva	tion	0.090 AC	;	5:1	
Vvetlar			VA	0	0.010 /	40	NA		Ennancer	nent	0.010 AC	;	2:1	
vvetiar			VA	0	0.007 /	40	NA		Preserva	tion	0.007 AC	;	5:1	
vvetian	Id M		VA		1.4 A		NA		Restorat	ion	1.4 AC		1:1	
					Comp	onent S	Summation		in origin	1		1		
Restora	ation	Stream	1 F	Riparia	n Wetl	land	INON	I-RI	ipanan Iond		Buffer		Upland	
Leve	el	(linear fe	et)	(a	cres)		VV			(s	quare feet)		(acres)	
			Rive	ine	Non-	-Riverin	e	aci	(10)					
Restoratio	n	7791	1470			1.4	•						30	
Enhancen	nent					0.60								
Enhancen	nent I	960												
Enhancen	nent II													
Creation														
Preservat	ion	636				1.18								
High Qua	lity													
Preservat	ion													

*Liner footage for the ford (22 ft) and egress (50 ft) easements areas have been removed from West and East Branch respectively.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting HistoryHeath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170							
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery					
Restoration Plan	April 2009	May 2009					
CLOMR	June 2010	March 2011					
LOMR	April 2014	Under Review					
Final Design – Construction Plans	NA	June 2011					
Construction	NA	August 2013					
Permanent seed applied to entire site	NA	August 2013					
Plantings for entire site	NA	February 2014					
Mitigation Plan (Year 0 Monitoring – baseline)	April 2014	May 2014					
Year 1 Monitoring	November 2014	March 2015					
Year 2 Monitoring							
Year 3 Monitoring							
Year 4 Monitoring							
Year 5 Monitoring							

Table 3. Project Contact Table Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170						
Owner NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services.	Melonie Allen 217 W. Jones Street Suite 300A Raleigh NC 27603 919-368-9352					
Designer AECOM of North Carolina, Inc.	Tammie Tucker 701 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 475 Raleigh, NC 27607 919-760-4025					
Landowner						
Mr. Phillip Ridge	3562 Plainfield Road Sophia, NC 27350 336-861-4555					
Dr. Edward Shackleford	203 Shannon Road Asheboro, NC 27203 336-625-6222					
Construction Contractor	Backwater Environmental 515 S. Kennedy Avenue Eden, NC 27288					
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics, Inc. 908 Indian Trail Road Edenton, NC 27932					
Seeding Contractor	Backwater Environmental 515 S. Kennedy Avenue Eden, NC 27288					
Monitoring Performer AECOM of North Carolina, Inc.	Tammie Tucker 701 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 475 Raleigh, NC 27607 919-760-4025					

Table 4. Project Baseline Information and AttributesHeath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170								
	Proje	ect Information	1					
Project Name		Heath Dairy F	arm Ro	ad Stre	am Restora	tion		
Project County		Randolph						
Project Area (acres)		56.8						
Project Coordinates (lat/long)		35°46'47.85"N	۷/ 79°5	0'51.50)"W			
	Project W	Watershed Summary						
Physiographic Province		Piedmont						
Project River Basin		Yadkin						
USGS HUC for Project		03040103050	050					
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project		03-07-09						
Project Drainage Area (acres)		1722						
Project Drainage Area Percentage Area	of Impervious	< 2%						
CGIA Land Use Classification		Agricultural La	and – C	ropland	and Pasture	е		
Rea	ch Summary Ir	nformation (Pr	e-resto	ration)				
Parameters	Back Creek	West Branch	West North Branch Branch		East Branch	I	UT to West Branch	
Length of Reach (feet)	5008	1940 495		95	5 799		102	
Valley Classification	VIII	II	II		II		II	
Drainage area (acres)	1722	90	730		160		32	
NCDWQ Stream ID Score	NA	NA	N	NA			NA	
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	WS-II, HQW	WS-II, HQW	WS-II, HQW		QW WS-II, HQ		WS-II, HQW	
Morphological Description	G4, E4	G4	E4		4 G4		G4	
Evolutionary Trend	NA	NA	N	A	NA	NA		
Underlying Mapped Soils	(DoB) Dogue an	d (BtC2) Badin	-Tarrus Con	nple	X	
Drainage Class		Well Drained	to Mod	lerately	Well Draine	d		
Soil Hydric Status	Non-hydric	Non-hydric	Non-h	ydric	Non-hydrid	0	Non-hydric	
Slope								
FEMA Classification	Detail Study	None	Detail	Study	None		None	
Native Vegetation	Me	esic Mixed Hard	wood F	orest (F	Piedmont Su	ıbty	pe)	
Percent Composition of Exotic Invasive Vegetation	20%	20%	20	1%	20%		20%	
	Wetland St	ummary Inforn	nation				•	
Parameters	Wetland A Wetland B Wetland C Wetland E - L					Vetland E - L		
Size of Wetland)acres)	1.21	0.31	1		0.10		0.26	
Wetland Type	Riparian Riparian Riparian Ripariar					Riparian		
Mapped Soil Series		(BtC2)	Badin-1	arrus C	Complex			
Drainage Class		Mod	erately	Nell Dr	ained			
Soil Hydric Series Soil series not hydric but soils exhibited low-chroma colors and mottling								

Source of Hydrology	Surface drainage	Surface drainage	Toe of slope seepage	Toe of slope seepage					
Hydrologic Impairment	No	No	No	No					
Native Vegetation	Piedmor	t Bottomland Fore	est / Piedmont Alluvi	al Forest					
Percent Composition of Exotic Invasive Vegetation	20%	20%	20%	20%					
Regulatory Considerations									
Regulation	Applicable	Resolved	Supporting Documentation						
Waters of the US – Section 404	Yes	Yes							
Waters of the US – Section 401	Yes	Yes							
Endangered Species Act	Yes	Yes							
Historic Preservation Act	Yes	Yes	2/1/2007 Concurr SHPO	ence letter from					
CZMA/CAMA	No	NA							
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes							
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	NA							

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Figure 2 – Current Condition Plan View

Table 5 – Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Table 6 – Vegetation Condition Assessment Table

Photos – Vegetation Plot Photos

Photos – Photo Points







Groundwater Gauge - 1

Groundwater Gauge - 2

IN TE	2. Current Condition Plan V Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration Site Randolph County, NC Project #: 60183329	/iew
50 100 	SHEE 3	T





		Table 5A. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assess Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS	ment (Back Cr No. 170	eek)			
Major Channel Category	Channel sub- Category	Metric	Number Stable Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable footage	% Stable Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) Degradation - Evidence of downcutting	-		1	25 100	99
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	50	76		100	65
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth >1.5) 2. Length Sufficient (>30% of centerline distance between tail of	76	76			100
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run) 2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	70 76	76 76 76	-		92 100
2. Bank	1. Scouring/Eroding	Bank lacks vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected.	-		0	0	100
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			3	80	98
3. Engineered			100	404			00
Structures	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	42	43			98
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	40	43			96
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent or influence does not exceed 15%.	43	43			100
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio >1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	104	104			100

Note: 60 log vanes, 1 rock vane, 34 log sills, 9 rock cross-vanes

		Table 5B. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment (W Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS	est Branch to No. 170	Back Breek)			
Major Channel Category	Channel sub- Category	Metric	Number Stable Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable footage	% Stable Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	 Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) Degradation - Evidence of downcutting 	-		0	0	100 100
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	52	52			100
	3. Meander Pool Condition			100			
	4 Thelwog Desition	upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	52	52	ł		100
	4. Indiwey Fosition	2. Thelweg centering at devinstream of meanuer bend (Ruin)	52	52	+		100
		2. That we g centering at downstream of meanuer bend (Olide)	52	52			100
2. Bank	1. Scouring/Eroding	Bank lacks vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is	-		0	0	100
	2. Undercut	expected.		0	0	100	
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse		0	0	100	
3. Engineered							
Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	84	84	ļ		100
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	84	84	-		100
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	83	84			99
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent or influence does not exceed 15%.	84			100	
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio >1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	84	84			100

Note: 32 log sills and 54 rock cross-vanes

Table 5C. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment (North Branch to Back Creek) Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170											
Major Channel Category	Channel sub- Category	Metric	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable footage	% Stable Performing as Intended						
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) Degradation - Evidence of downcutting	-		0	0	100				
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	7	14		Ŭ	50				
	3. Meander Pool Condition			100							
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run) 2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	14 14 14	14 14 14			100 100 100				
2. Bank	1. Scouring/Eroding	Bank lacks vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is	-		0	0	100				
	2. Undercut	expected.		0	0	100					
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100				
3. Engineered											
Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	15	15	-		100				
	2. Grade Control	sill	5	5			100				
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	15	15			100				
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent or influence does not exceed 15%.	15			100					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio >1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	15	15			100				

Note: 10 log vanes and 5 rock cross-vanes

Table 5D. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment (East Branch to Back Creek) Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170											
Major Channel Category	Channel sub- Category	Metric	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable footage	% Stable Performing as Intended						
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) Degradation - Evidence of downcutting	-		0	0	100				
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	8	14		<u> </u>	57				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth >1.5) 2. Length Sufficient (>30% of centerline distance between tail of	14	•		100					
		upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	14	14			100				
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	14	14			100				
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	14	14			100				
	-						_				
2. Bank	1. Scouring/Eroding	Bank lacks vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100				
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected.			0	0	100				
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse		0	0	100					
	-		•								
3. Engineered											
Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	17	17			100				
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	11	11			100				
		Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or									
	2a. Piping	arms	17	17			100				
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent or influence does not exceed 15%.	17	17			100				
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio >1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	17	17			100				

Note: 6 log vanes, 5 log sills, and 6 rock cross-vanes

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration/ DMS No. 170												
Planted Acreage	32 Acres											
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Area						
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material	0.1 acres		0		0						
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria	0.1 acres		1		70%						
	Total											
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year	0.25 acres										
	Cumulative Total											
Easement Acreage	56.8 Acres											
Vegetation Category												
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons a map scale)	1000 SF		2	0.01	< 1%						
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons a map scale)	None		0	0	0						



Vegetation Monitoring Plot $1 - \frac{10}{2}/14$



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2 – 10/2/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3 – 10/2/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4 – 10/2/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot $5 - \frac{10}{2}/14$



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 6 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 7 – 10/2/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 8 – 10/2/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 9 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 10 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 11 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 12 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 13 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 14 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 15 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 16 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 17 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 18 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 19 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 20 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 21 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 22 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 23 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 24 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 25 – 11/20/14



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 26 – 11/20/14



Photo Point 1 upstream – 3/4/15



Photo Point 1 downstream -3/4/15



Photo Point 1 – 3/4/15



Photo Point 2 downstream - 11/20/14



Photo Point 2 upstream – 11/20/14



Photo Point 2 West Branch – 11/20/14



Photo Point 3 downstream - 11/20/14



Photo Point 4 – 11/20/14



Photo Point 3 upstream – 11/20/14

Appendix C – Vegetative Data

Table 7 – Vegetation Plot Data CVS Output Tables February 2014 Replanting Data (Figure and species table)

Heath Dairy Road Stream Restoration Year 1 Monitoring Report Vegetation Survey Data Table

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Stem Count Summary

Species														Plo	te*											MY5	MY4	MY3	MY2	MY1	Baseline		
Opt	ecies													110	13													Totals	Totals	Totals	Totals	Totals	Totals
Scientific Name	Common Name	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26						
Betula nigra	River birch									1	1		1						1	1 2		4	ł									10	11
Carya glabra	Pignut hickory		2					1		1			1												1	1						7	7
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	6		1				1			1			2	2	1		4		3												21	25
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash								3				5	1			3	3	8	3		3	8 1		1	3	8 1					29	32
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	2		2	2							1				2	1	1														9	9
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore			2	2 1		1	2									1							1								8	13
Quercus	Oak			1		1	3	2		1	2	3	8 2	2	1	1	2	2 1		2	2		3	3	1	2	2 1					34	54
Quercus falcata	Southern red oak		1							1							1								1							4	2
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak															2				1												3	4
Quercus nigra	Water oak								1	1								1														3	2
Quercus phellos	Willow oak		4			1			1			1			1		1	1		2		1	2	1	3							19	13
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak		1																													1	0
TABLE SUMMARY	Plot area (acres)	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	5 0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025					0.65	0.65
	Species count	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	5	0	3	3	4	5	3	3						
	Stem count	8	8	6	1	2	4	6	5	5	4	5	9	5	4	6	9	8	9	10	0	8	6	5	7	6	2					148	172
	Total stems per acre	320	320	240	40	80	160	240	200	200	160	200	360	200	160	240	360	320	360	400	0	320	240	200	280	240	80					228	265
Report Prepared By	Ron Johnson																																
-------------------------------	---																																
Date Prepared	2/19/2015 13:18																																
database name	cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.3.1.mdb																																
database location	E:\Work\Temporary Working Files\Heath Dairy Farm																																
computer name	USRAL3LT109																																
file size	76120064																																
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS I	N THIS DOCUMENT																																
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.																																
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.																																
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.																																
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).																																
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.																																
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.																																
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.																																
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.																																
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.																																
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.																																
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.																																
PROJECT SUMMARY																																	
Project Code	170																																
project Name	Heath Dairy Road																																
Description	Stream and wetland restoration																																
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee																																
length(ft)																																	
stream-to-edge width (ft)																																	
area (sq m)																																	
Required Plots (calculated)																																	
Sampled Plots	26																																

									Planted					Planted Living	Natural			
								Diantod	Living Stems	Natural	lotal	Total Living Stems	Diantod Living	Stems EXCLUDING	(Volunteer)	Lotal Living	Total Living Stems	
plot	Diat Loval	Voor	Latitudo /Northing	Longitudo /Easting	7000	Datum	Data Sampled	Planteu	EXCLUDING Dead/IVIISSI	(volunteer)	Living	EXCLUDING LIVE	Stoms por ACRE				Stakes DED ACDE	# species
170 01 0001 voar-1	PIUL Level	1	25°47'16 1"N		ZUHE		10/2/2014				3161115		Sterns per ACRE	AURE	AUKE	ACKE	JIANES FER AURE	# species
170-01-0001-year.1	2	1	25°4710.1 N	79 51 9.050 W			10/2/2014	0	7 <u>2</u> 0 0	0	0	1						Z
170-01-0002-year.1	2	1	25°47 12.03 N	79 51 0.017 VV			10/2/2014	0	2 5	0	2	0 0						4
170-01-0003-year.1	2	1	25°47'9.093 N	79 51 9.555 VV			10/2/2014	2	2 J 1 F	0	1	1						Z
170-01-0004-year.1	2	1	25°47 7.142 N	79 51 0.504 VV			10/2/2014	1	1 0	0	1	1						1
170-01-0005-year.1	2	1	25°47'7.004 N	79 51 7.472 W			11/20/2014	1	1 3	0	1	1						1
170-01-0000-year.1	2	1	35°47'4.231 N 35°47'0 877"N	79°51'7 515"\\\/		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 1	0	2	3						3
170-01-0007-year:1	2	1	35°46'57 921"N	79°51'7.513 W		NAD83/WG584	2/10/2014	3	4 1	0	4	3						3
170-01-0000 year:1	2	1	35°46'54 086"N	79°51'20 927"W/		NAD83/WG584	11/20/2014	2	2 4	0	2	2						2
170-01-0007 year:1	2	1	35°46'55 316"N	79°51'18 943"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	4	4 1	0	4	4	161 8742572	161 8742572	0	161 8742572	161 8742572	3
170-01-0011-year:1	2	1	35°46'57 213"N	79°51'16.806"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	5	5 1	0	5	5	10110712072	101.07 12072	0	101.07 12072	101.0712072	3
170-01-0012-year:1	2	1	35°46'58.12"N	79°51'12.849"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 7	0	3	3						1
170-01-0013-year:1	2	1	35°46'58.015"N	79°51'10.894"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 3	0	3	3						2
170-01-0014-year:1	2	1	35°46'55.984"N°	79°51'4.243"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	0	0 5	0	0	0						0
170-01-0015-year:1	2	1	35°46'54.621"N	79°50'59.681"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	2	2 4	0	2	2						2
170-01-0016-year:1	2	1	35°46'53.439"N°	79°50'57.846"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	6	6 3	0	6	6						5
170-01-0017-year:1	2	1	35°46'51.869"N°	79°50'56.274"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	7	7 2	0	7	7						5
170-01-0018-year:1	2	1	35°46'49.093"N°	79°50'54.964"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	8	8 1	0	8	8						2
170-01-0019-year:1	2	1	35°46'53.925"N°	79°50'51.469"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 8	0	3	3						2
170-01-0020-year:1	2	1	35°46'51.631"N°	79°50'50.563"W°		NAD83/WGS84		0	0 0	0	0	0						0
170-01-0021-year:1	2	1	35°46'50.202"N°	79°50'46.716"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 5	0	3	3						2
170-01-0022-year:1	2	1	35°46'48.456"N°	79°50'46.777"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	2	2 4	0	2	2						2
170-01-0023-year:1	2	1	35°46'46.26"N°	79°50'46.414"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	5	5 1	0	5	5						3
170-01-0024-year:1	2	1	35°46'46.648"N°	79°50'42.45"W°		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	5	5 2	0	5	5						4
170-01-0025-year:1	2	1	35°46'47.812"N	79°50'42.626"W		NAD83/WGS84	11/20/2014	3	3 3	0	3	3						3
170-01-0026-year:1	2	1	35°46'50.298"N°	79°50'40.661"W°		NAD83/WGS84	10/2/2014	2	2 4	0	2	2	80.9371286	80.9371286	0	80.9371286	80.9371286	2

Approximate Spacing and Location of Warranty Replant Stems February 2015 Carolina Silvics, Inc.





Approximate Spacing and Location of Warranty Replant Stems February 2015



eplants
Ċ,
170)
(EEP
Dairy
leath

Species	Type	Qty	%	Nursery
3etula nigra	bare roots	1,100	10%	Superior Trees
-raxinus pennsylvanica	bare roots	1,100	10%	AborGen
iriodendron tulipifera	bare roots	1,100	10%	AborGen
Vyssa sylvatica	bare roots	1,100	10%	AborGen
olatanus occidentalis	bare roots	1,100	10%	AborGen
Quercus falcata var. pagodafolia	bare roots	1,000	10%	AborGen
Quercus michauxii	bare roots	1,000	10%	AborGen
Quercus phellos	bare roots	1,000	10%	AborGen
Quercus rubra	bare roots	1,000	10%	AborGen
Quercus shumardii	bare roots	1,000	10%	AborGen
		10,500	100%	

Appendix D – Stream Survey Data

Cross-section Plots with annual overlays and photos Longitudinal Profile Plot with annual overlay Pebble Count Plots Table 8 – Baseline Stream Data Summary Table 9a – Monitoring – Cross-Section Morphology Data Table Table 9b – Monitoring Stream Reach Morphology Data Table

River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	1
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	12/2/2014
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	615.918	Bankfull Elevation	613.01
10.42	614.14	Bankfull Width (ft)	14.31
15.98	613.174	Floodprone Width (ft)	32
17.63	612.118	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.13
22.56	611.519	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.49
27.98	611.607	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	16.14
30.55	613.014 BKF	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.66
40.44	614.416	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.28
49.21	616.039		



Photo: Cross-section 1 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	2
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	614.96	Bankfull Elevation	613.21
8.27	614.43	Bankfull Width (ft)	13.78
15.76	613.21 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	25.3
17.99	612.32	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.76
22.17	612.20	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.01
27.00	612.39	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.42
29.91	613.33	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	18.13
38.86	614.94	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	1.84
49.28	616 31		



Photo: Cross-section 2 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	3
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	610.85	Bankfull Elevation	610.91
6.24	611.17	Bankfull Width (ft)	13.57
14.81	611.45	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
18.94	611.23	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.58
22.57	608.79	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.75
25.33	608.16	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.38
28.84	608.92	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.59
31.82	610.91 BKF	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	7.3
40.41	612.26		
47.20	612.68		



Photo: Cross-section 3 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	4
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.14	610.82	Bankfull Elevation	610.82
8.14	610.96	Bankfull Width (ft)	20.17
13.71	611.03	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
15.56	610.85 BKF	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.87
21.01	608.13	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.93
25.61	607.92	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	37.74
29.39	608.52	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.79
37.01	611.32	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.96
49.58	612.29		



Photo: Cross-section 4 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	5
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.06	609.68	Bankfull Elevation	609.18
10.13	609.41	Bankfull Width (ft)	16.17
16.59	609.04	Floodprone Width (ft)	50
18.61	607.45	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.16
20.52	606.08	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.26
24.77	605.66	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	34.85
27.09	605.82	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.49
32.91	608.92 BKF	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	8.2
38.89	609.18		
43.26	609.87		

49.60 609.99



Photo: Cross-section 5 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	6
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	607.92	Bankfull Elevation	606.59
11.69	606.90	Bankfull Width (ft)	14.79
19.05	606.59 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	75
23.46	605.24	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.01
26.23	604.93	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.66
28.78	605.11	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	14.89
34.76	606.86	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.64
41.21	606.80	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5
49.72	607.60		



Photo: Cross-section 6 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	7
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	607.78	Bankfull Elevation	606.22
7.51	606.76	Bankfull Width (ft)	19.51
14.43	605.99	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
19.22	603.62	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.9
24.56	603.21	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.01
29.48	604.10	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	37.15
31.87	606.22 BKF	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.27
39.35	606.26	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.1
49.25	607.24		



Photo: Cross-section 7 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	8
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
4.04	602.71	Bankfull Elevation	602.25
13.39	602.56	Bankfull Width (ft)	21.33
20.88	602.25 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
24.13	600.76	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.23
32.42	600.51	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.74
36.78	600.90	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	26.21
43.06	602.46	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	17.34
49.08	602.47	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.68
53.49	602.49		



Photo: Cross-section 8 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	9
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.70
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation		Summary Data	
0.04	603.02		Bankfull Elevation	602.81
6.20	602.87		Bankfull Width (ft)	22.5
12.28	602.81 B	BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
17.47	600.22		Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.32
24.49	599.12		Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.69
29.70	600.13		Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	52.17
34.84	602.84		Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.7
41.62	602.91		Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.4
49.58	602.80			



Photo: Cross-section 9 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	10
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	599.70	Bankfull Elevation	599.64
8.50	600.02	Bankfull Width (ft)	15.71
18.22	599.64 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
21.69	598.33	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.06
23.89	598.22	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.42
31.68	598.49	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	16.58
34.64	600.00	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.82
41.39	600.26	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	6.4
49.70	600.33		



Photo: Cross-section 10 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	11
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	600.12	Bankfull Elevation	599.64
8.21	600.13	Bankfull Width (ft)	16.96
14.78	599.64 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
18.69	597.56	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.69
23.40	596.70	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.94
26.87	597.73	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	28.68
31.27	599.57	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.04
37.62	600.51	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.9
49.49	600.55		



Photo: Cross-section 11 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	12
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.67	596.59	Bankfull Elevation	596.23
7.16	596.70	Bankfull Width (ft)	17.94
15.94	596.23 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
18.84	594.80	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.26
26.43	594.50	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.73
30.03	594.75	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	22.69
34.06	596.30	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.24
40.73	596.88	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.6
50.15	597.45		



Photo: Cross-section 12 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	13
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station Elevation 0.69 596.54 9.60 596.59 596.27 15.18 595.84 BKF 20.21 23.58 593.42 28.64 593.03 33.36 593.76 35.94 595.71 39.16 596.32 44.57 596.53 44.87 597.30

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	595.5
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.4
Floodprone Width (ft)	100
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.93
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.81
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	31.7
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.51
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	6



Photo: Cross-section 13 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	14
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	596.15	Bankfull Elevation	595.45
4.77	594.88	Bankfull Width (ft)	15.48
16.76	594.72	Floodprone Width (ft)	70
23.32	594.45 BKF	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.19
26.03	593.10	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.92
31.16	592.53	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.37
33.73	592.96	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.01
38.90	594.48	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.5
44.04	594.73		
53.85	594.75		





Photo: Cross-section 14 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	Back Creek
X-Sec ID	15
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	2.84
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	595.30	Bankfull Elevation	593.85
11.17	594.56	Bankfull Width (ft)	13.76
19.80	593.85 BK	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
25.62	591.36	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.99
29.29	590.47	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.38
30.73	590.60	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	27.4
33.87	594.20	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.91
42.87	594.29	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	7.3
51.47	595.10		



Photo: Cross-section 15 looking downstream



					The Ar		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
River Basin		Yadkin-Pee Dee		ATTRACT AND A	MEST		A All And
Watershed		Back Creek			12 S S POR SIN		
X-Sec ID		16		Service Marine	A DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION		and the second
Feature		Pool		A SA SA	Carl Martin & Marth		A
Dranage Are	ea (sq mi)	4.23					
Date		Dec-14		The for the second			
Field Crew		Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp				Contraction of the	
Station E	levation	Summary Data			NIC NO.		
0.00	592.00	Bankfull Elevation	587.86	A Distance of the	Sound Street -		
0.51	591.86	Bankfull Width (ft)	16.95	A DESTRUCTION OF			
11.02	591.42	Floodprone Width (ft)	57	States in the second	Link and March		
17.98	589.90	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.53				
25.83	588.68	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.22				
25.88	588.67	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	42.85				
33.10	587.86 BKF	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.7	No and	Contract 2	20-2	
34.11	585.64	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	3.4	A Contractor	at a state the set		
40.90	584.64				Ball C		And BOK OF
47.96	585.26			A Carton and a carton	Carl and	2322053	101 STRATION
50.47	588.38			S State Ash	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	CON-	
56.79	589.01						
63.07	589.62				Photo: Cross-section	n 16 looking downs	stream
66.61	590.29						
71.94	591.77						
			Heath Da	airy Road Stre	eam Restorat	ion	
		594					
		592					
		£ 500					
		it in the second s		BKF	-		4
		\$ 588			1		
		[₩] 586					

Distance (ft)

Asbuilt – Y1

River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	17
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Jan-15
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Ron Johnson

Station	EI	evation	Summary Data	
	0	629.06	Bankfull Elevation	626.56
	0	628.53	Bankfull Width (ft)	4.96
	5	627.74	Floodprone Width (ft)	20
	9	627.14	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.97
1	12	626.61	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.22
1	13	625.36	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.82
14	.8	625.34	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	5.1
1	16	625.35	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.22
-	17	626.56 BKF		

- 19 627.16
- 23 627.67
- 30 627.96
- 30 628.48



Photo: Cross-section 17 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	18
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0	628.58	Bankfull Elevation	626.56
0	628.23	Bankfull Width (ft)	5.82
3	627.72	Floodprone Width (ft)	26
9	626.78	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6
11.7	626.64	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.03
12.8	625.53	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.51
14.4	625.81	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.7
16	625.96	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.43
17.6	626.56 BKF		

22 626.95

29.6 627.23

29.6 627.64



Photo: Cross-section 18 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	19
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	616.83	Bankfull Elevation	616.11
6.23	616.91	Bankfull Width (ft)	6.23
18.94	616.27	Floodprone Width (ft)	27.7
19.85	615.70	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.47
22.66	615.49	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.62
24.41	615.54	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.91
25.43	616.11 BKF	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.26
33.97	616.46	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.45
39.79	616.90		



Photo: Cross-section 19 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	20
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.59	609.77	Bankfull Elevation	608.8
7.54	609.86	Bankfull Width (ft)	7.74
14.84	609.10	Floodprone Width (ft)	29
17.02	608.20	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.58
19.16	608.05	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.75
22.34	608.10	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.53
23.31	608.80 BKF	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.34
32.54	609.09	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	3.71
36.78	609.07		

39.84 609.66

Iuli Elevation	000.0
full Width (ft)	7.74
dprone Width (ft)	29
full Mean Depth (ft)	0.58
full Max Depth (ft)	0.75
full Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.53
full Width/Depth Ratio	13.34
full Entrenchment Ratio	3.71



Photo: Cross-section 20 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	21
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.36	609.37	Bankfull Elevation	608.47
9.03	609.26	Bankfull Width (ft)	10.54
15.16	608.47 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	40
17.81	607.03	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.93
19.19	606.34	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.13
20.42	606.74	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	9.83
23.90	608.44	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.33
32.32	608.58	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	3.74
39.84	609.06		



Photo: Cross-section 21 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	West Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	22
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.14 (90 acres)
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.90	613.91	Bankfull Elevation	613.91
15.61	613.90	Bankfull Width (ft)	8.52
17.94	613.08	Floodprone Width (ft)	75
20.99	613.15	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.51
23.34	613.14	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.67
24.56	613.75 BKF	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.37
33.22	613.35	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.71
40.38	613.53	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	8.52



Photo: Cross-section 22 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	North Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	23
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	1.14
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.80	595.99	Bankfull Elevation	594.72
10.24	595.54	Bankfull Width (ft)	15.83
18.76	594.72 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	200
21.15	592.53	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.67
26.57	589.89	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	4.83
31.58	592.58	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	42.32
35.11	595.09	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	5.93
41.14	595.67	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	12.63
54.84	595.87		



Photo: Cross-section 23 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	North Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	24
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	1.14
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Kevin Lapp

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.87	596.10	Bankfull Elevation	595
8.40	595.54	Bankfull Width (ft)	18.94
14.59	595.00 BKF	Floodprone Width (ft)	200
19.17	593.53	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.39
27.33	593.00	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.21
29.33	592.79	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	26.37
33.53	595.00	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.63
42.29	595.77	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	10.56
50.47	596.28		



Photo: Cross-section 24 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	North Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	25
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	1.14
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Ron Johnson

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.15	594.61	Bankfull Elevation	593.19
5.45	594.23	Bankfull Width (ft)	17.76
10.77	593.81	Floodprone Width (ft)	100
15.70	593.25	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.38
18.91	591.57	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.85
24.20	591.34	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	24.46
29.96	591.60	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.87
33.57	593.19 BKF	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.63
37.14	593.62		
41.83	593.83		

49.92 594.17



Photo: Cross-section 25 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	East Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	26
Feature	Pool
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.25
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Ron Johnson

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	596.45	Bankfull Elevation	596.3
7.46	596.73	Bankfull Width (ft)	8.78
13.65	596.52	Floodprone Width (ft)	50
15.59	595.71	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.82
16.74	595.62	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.34
17.11	595.17	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.24
18.90	594.96	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.71
20.92	595.22	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.69
22.96	596.30 BKF		
32.16	597.44		

39.58 597.84



Photo: Cross-section 26 looking downstream



River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	East Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	27
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.25
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Ron Johnson

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	596.17	Bankfull Elevation	596.14
7.15	596.31	Bankfull Width (ft)	8.64
12.17	596.39	Floodprone Width (ft)	50
13.92	595.65	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.54
15.73	595.50	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.83
17.09	595.31	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.7
18.66	595.33	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16
21.40	596.14 BKF	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.78
24.91	596.41		
31.36	596.70		

39.53 597.23



Photo: Cross-section 27 looking downstream



33.10

39.72

594.21 594.56

River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed	East Branch Back Creek
X-Sec ID	28
Feature	Riffle
Dranage Area (sq mi)	0.25
Date	Dec-14
Field Crew	Steven Pires, Ron Johnson

Station	Elevation	Summary Data	
0.00	595.73	Bankfull Elevation	594.34
4.40	595.41	Bankfull Width (ft)	9.88
11.85	594.71	Floodprone Width (ft)	50
18.09	594.41	Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.68
20.73	593.61	Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.09
21.51	593.41	Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.74
23.24	593.25	Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.53
24.30	593.26	Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.06
26.34	593.70		
28.10	594.34 BKF		



Photo: Cross-section 28 looking downstream


















Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	1
Feature:	Pool

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	36	60%	60%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	60%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	60%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	60%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	60%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	60%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	60%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	2	3%	63%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	63%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	1	2%	65%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	67%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	2	3%	70%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	0	0%	70%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	4	7%	77%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	80%
С	Small	64 - 90	5	8%	88%
0	Small	90 - 128	2	3%	92%
В	Large	128 - 180	2	3%	95%
L	Large	180 - 256	1	2%	97%
В	Small	256 - 362	2	3%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		76
D95		180





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	2
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	19	32%	32%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	32%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	32%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	32%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	32%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	32%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	32%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	32%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	32%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	32%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	4	7%	38%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	8	13%	52%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	9	15%	67%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	15	25%	92%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	5	8%	100%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		30.8
D84		58
D95		74





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	3
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	16	26%	26%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	26%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	26%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	26%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	26%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	26%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	26%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	26%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	26%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	2	3%	30%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	31%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	3	5%	36%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	6	10%	46%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	13	21%	67%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	14	23%	90%
С	Small	64 - 90	3	5%	95%
0	Small	90 - 128	1	2%	97%
В	Large	128 - 180	2	3%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			61	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		34.5
D84		59
D95		90





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	4
Feature:	Pool

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	31	51%	51%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	51%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	51%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	51%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	51%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	51%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	51%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	2	3%	54%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	54%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	2	3%	57%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	59%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	6	10%	69%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	4	7%	75%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	8	13%	89%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	92%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	92%
0	Small	90 - 128	3	5%	97%
В	Large	128 - 180	1	2%	98%
L	Large	180 - 256	1	2%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			61	100%	

Summary Data		
D50	0.06	
D84	40	
D95	114	





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	6
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	34	57%	57%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	57%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	57%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	57%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	57%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	57%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	57%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	57%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	57%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	57%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	3	5%	62%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	10	17%	78%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	6	10%	88%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	5	8%	97%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	100%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50	0.0	05
D84		28
D95		42





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	8
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	40	66%	66%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	66%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	66%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	66%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	66%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	66%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	66%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	66%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	66%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	1	2%	67%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	69%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	4	7%	75%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	6	10%	85%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	2	3%	89%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	3	5%	93%
С	Small	64 - 90	2	3%	97%
0	Small	90 - 128	1	2%	98%
В	Large	128 - 180	1	2%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			61	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		31
D95		76





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	9
Feature:	Pool

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	35	56%	56%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	56%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	56%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	56%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	56%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	56%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	56%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	56%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	56%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	56%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	56%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	1	2%	58%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	6	10%	68%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	8	13%	81%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	5	8%	89%
С	Small	64 - 90	6	10%	98%
0	Small	90 - 128	1	2%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			62	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.06
D84		53
D95		81





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	10
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	40	67%	67%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	67%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	67%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	67%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	67%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	67%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	67%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	67%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	67%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	1	2%	68%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	68%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	4	7%	75%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	5	8%	83%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	2	3%	87%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	4	7%	93%
С	Small	64 - 90	3	5%	98%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	98%
В	Large	128 - 180	1	2%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		35
D95		73





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	12
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	34	56%	56%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	56%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	56%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	56%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	56%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	56%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	56%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	56%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	56%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	56%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	6	10%	66%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	1	2%	67%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	4	7%	74%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	7	11%	85%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	5	8%	93%
С	Small	64 - 90	4	7%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			61	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.06
D84		44
D95		70





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	14
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	31	51%	51%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	51%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	51%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	51%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	51%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	51%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	1	2%	52%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	52%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	1	2%	54%
A	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	2	3%	57%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	3	5%	62%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	8	13%	75%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	10	16%	92%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	5	8%	100%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	0	0%	100%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			61	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.06
D84		28
D95		37





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	16
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	56	93%	93%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	93%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	93%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	93%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	93%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	93%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	93%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	93%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	93%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	1	2%	95%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	95%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	0	0%	95%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	1	2%	97%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	0	0%	97%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	100%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.03
D84		0.06
D95		11





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	19
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	3	5%	5%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	5%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	5%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	5%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	5%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	5%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	5%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	5%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	5%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	5%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	5%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	0	0%	5%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	0	0%	5%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	0	0%	5%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	4	7%	12%
С	Small	64 - 90	8	13%	25%
0	Small	90 - 128	24	40%	65%
В	Large	128 - 180	19	32%	97%
L	Large	180 - 256	2	3%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Su	mmary Data
D50	113
D84	159
D95	177





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	20
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	13	22%	22%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	22%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	22%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	22%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	22%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	22%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	22%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	22%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	22%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	22%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	22%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	1	2%	23%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	6	10%	33%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	10	17%	50%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	15	25%	75%
С	Small	64 - 90	8	13%	88%
0	Small	90 - 128	6	10%	98%
В	Large	128 - 180	1	2%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		45
D84		82
D95		115





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	21
Feature:	Pool

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	46	77%	77%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	77%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	77%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	77%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	77%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	77%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	77%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	77%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	77%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	2	3%	80%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	80%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	2	3%	83%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	2	3%	87%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	5	8%	95%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	98%
С	Small	64 - 90	1	2%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.04
D84		25
D95		45





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	22
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	4	6%	6%
S	Very Fine	.062125	1	2%	8%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	8%
N	Medium	.2550	2	3%	11%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	11%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	2	3%	15%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	15%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	15%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	15%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	15%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	15%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	0	0%	15%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	0	0%	15%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	0	0%	15%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	1	2%	16%
С	Small	64 - 90	9	15%	31%
0	Small	90 - 128	26	42%	73%
В	Large	128 - 180	17	27%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			62	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		108
D84		150
D95		171





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	24
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	36	60%	60%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	60%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	60%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	60%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	60%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	1	2%	62%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	62%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	62%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	1	2%	63%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	63%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	3	5%	68%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	3	5%	73%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	7	12%	85%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	5	8%	93%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	2	3%	97%
С	Small	64 - 90	2	3%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		31
D95		55





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	25
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	34	57%	57%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	57%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	57%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	57%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	57%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	57%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	1	2%	58%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	2	3%	62%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	1	2%	63%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	6	10%	73%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	3	5%	78%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	3	5%	83%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	4	7%	90%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	5	8%	98%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	0	0%	98%
С	Small	64 - 90	1	2%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		24
D95		40





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	26
Feature:	Pool

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	44	73%	73%
S	Very Fine	.062125	4	7%	80%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	80%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	80%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	80%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	80%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	80%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	80%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	1	2%	82%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	82%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	83%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	0	0%	83%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	8	13%	97%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	2	3%	100%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	0	0%	100%
С	Small	64 - 90	0	0%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.04
D84		23
D95		31





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	27
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	46	77%	77%
S	Very Fine	.062125	0	0%	77%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	77%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	77%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	77%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	77%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	77%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	77%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	77%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	77%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	1	2%	78%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	0	0%	78%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	4	7%	85%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	1	2%	87%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	3	5%	92%
С	Small	64 - 90	5	8%	100%
0	Small	90 - 128	0	0%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.04
D84		31
D95		74





Project Name :	Heath Dairy Farm
Cross Section:	28
Feature:	Riffle

Description	Particle	Millimeter	Total #	Item %	Cum %
S/C	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	34	57%	57%
S	Very Fine	.062125	1	2%	58%
Α	Fine	.12525	0	0%	58%
N	Medium	.2550	0	0%	58%
D	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	0	0%	58%
S	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	0	0%	58%
	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0	0	0%	58%
G	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	0	0%	58%
R	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	0	0%	58%
Α	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	0	0%	58%
v	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	0	0%	58%
E	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	1	2%	60%
L	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	7	12%	72%
S	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	8	13%	85%
	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0	3	5%	90%
С	Small	64 - 90	5	8%	98%
0	Small	90 - 128	1	2%	100%
В	Large	128 - 180	0	0%	100%
L	Large	180 - 256	0	0%	100%
В	Small	256 - 362	0	0%	100%
L	Small	362 - 512	0	0%	100%
D	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%
R	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%
BDRK	Bedrock		0	0%	100%
Totals			60	100%	

Summary Data		
D50		0.05
D84		44
D95		80





Table 8 Heath Dairy 1	a. Baseline St Road Stream	tream Data S Restoration	Summary / DMS No	. 170																		
	Existing Conditions	Reference Reach		Design		Existing Conditions	Reference Reach	Design	Reference Reach	De	sign	Existing Conditions	Reference Reach	Design	Existing Conditions	Reference Reach	Design	Existing Conditions	Reference Reach		Design	
	Back Creek	Fork	Back Cr.	Back Cr.	Back Cr.	Back Creek	UT to	Back Creek	Fork	Back Cr.	Back Cr.	North	Fork	North	East	Fork	East	West	Fork	West Branch	West Branch	West Branch
Stream Reach	Upper	Creek	Reach 1*	Reach 2*	Reach 3*	Lower	Polecat Cr.	Reach 4*	Creek	Reach 4b*	Reach 5*	Branch	Creek	Branch	Branch	Creek	Branch	Branch	Creek	Reach 1*	Reach 2*	Reach 3*
Stream Type	G4	B4c	B4c	B4c	B4c	E4	E4	E4	B4c	B4c	B4c	E4	B4c	B4c	G4	B4c	B4c	G4	B4c	B4c	B4c	B4c
Drainage Area (mi ²)	0.94	2.2	1.04	1.08	1.22	2.5	0.4	1.3	2.2	1.34	2.69	2.5	2.2	1.14	0.05	2.2	0.25	0.05	2.2	0.05	0.06	0.14
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.1	20.1	16.5	16.6	17.5	13.8	9.4	16.5	20.1	17.5	22.5	13.8	20.1	16.5	5	20.1	10	5	20.1	5.8	6.2	8.2
Mean Depth (ft)	1.68	1.73	1.2	1.2	1.3	3.07	1.13	1.4	1.73	1.2	1.6	3.07	1.73	1.2	0.62	1.73	0.7	0.62	1.73	0.4	0.44	0.6
Bankfull XS _{AREA} (ft ²)	17	34.8	19	19	22	42.3	10.6	23	34.8	22	36	42.3	34.8	20	3.1	34.8	7	3.1	34.8	2.4	2.7	4.7
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	75	163	86	88	101	167	37.4	101	163	101	174	167	163	92	8.5	163	30	8.5	163	9	10	19
Bkf Mean Velocity (ft/s)	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.9	3.5	3	4.7	3	4.5	3.9	4.7	4.5	2.7	4.7	4.5	2.7	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Width/Depth Ratio	6	12	14	14	14	4.5	8.3	12	12	14	14	4.5	12	13	8	12	14	8	12	14	14	14
Max. Riffle Depth (ft)	2.4	2	1.6	1.6	1.7	4.1	1.6	2	2	1.7	2.2	4.1	2	1.7	0.8	2	1	0.8	2	0.55	0.6	0.8
Riffle Depth Ratio	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.45	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.38	1.36	1.36
Max. Pool Depth (ft)	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	5	1.6	3.5	2.6	2.6	3.3	5	2.6	2.6	1.4	2.6	1.5	1.4	2.6	0.8	0.9	1
Pool Depth Ratio	1.7	1.5	2	2	2	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.5	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.5	2.1	2.3	1.5	2.1	2.3	1.5	2	2	2
Flood Prone Width (ft)	29	63	30 - 45	28 - 77	34 - 120	200	50	200	63	35	45	200	63	40 - 57	5.8	63	26 - 42	5.8	63	12 - 22	12 - 30	16
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4 - 4.5	2.7 - 3.1	1.9 – 2.9	1.7 - 4.8	2.0 - 7.0	14.5	5.3	12.5	2.7 - 3.1	2	2	14.5	2.7 - 3.1	2.4 - 3.4	1.2	2.7 - 3.1	2.7 - 4.4	1.2	2.7 - 3.1	2.0 - 3.8	2.0 - 4.8	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.4 - 2.3	1.2	1	1	1	1.5	1.2	1	1.2	1	1	1.5	1.2	1	2.6	1.2	1	2.6	1.2	1	1	1
Meander Length (ft)	190	37 – 172	110 - 120	125 - 145	130 - 145	160	56 - 85	135 – 155	37 – 172	115	145	55	37 – 172	150 - 160	80	37 – 172	90	60 - 120	37 – 172	50 - 55	50 - 60	60 - 70
Meander Length Ratio	19	1.8 - 8.6	7.1 – 7.7	7.8 - 9.1	7.6 - 8.5	12	6 – 9	8.4 - 9.7	1.8 - 8.6	6.6	6.6	4	1.8 - 8.6	9.1 – 9.7	16	1.8 - 8.6	9.5	12 – 24	1.8 - 8.6	8.6 - 9.5	8.1 - 9.7	7.3 - 8.5
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18	47 - 318	31 - 46	32 - 48	34 - 51	15	19 – 50	32 - 48	47 - 318	35 - 52	44 - 66	13	47 - 318	33 - 49	9 - 43	47 - 318	21 - 31	9 - 43	47 - 318	12 – 17	12 – 19	16 – 25
Rc Ratio	1.8	2.3 - 16	2-3	2-3	2-3	1.1	2.0 - 5.3	2-3	2.3 - 16	2-3	2-3	1	2.3 - 16	2-3	1.8 - 8.6	2.3 - 16	2-3	1.8 - 8.6	2.3 - 16	2-3	2-3	2-3
Belt Width (ft)	25	33 - 40	30 - 35	40 - 50	45 - 60	23	28 - 50	90	33 - 40	40	60	35	33 - 40	40 - 50	16	33 - 40	25	20	33 - 40	15 - 20	15 - 20	25 - 30
Meander Width Ratio	2.5	1.6 - 2.0	1.9 – 2.2	2.5 - 3.1	2.6 - 3.5	1.7	3.0 - 5.3	5.6	1.6 - 2.0	2.3	2.7	2.5	1.6 - 2.0	2.4 - 3.0	3.2	1.6 - 2.0	2.6	4	1.6 - 2.0	2.6 - 3.4	2.4 - 3.2	3.1 - 3.7
Sinuosity	1	1.05	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	1.4	1.3	1.05	1.1	1.1	1	1.05	1.1	1.05	1.05	1.1	1.07	1.05	1.1	1.2	1.1
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	0.0087	0.0079	0.006	0.0062	0.0062	0.0045	0.012	0.0023	0.0079	0.0095	0.0095	0.0045	0.0079	0.0036	0.011	0.0079	0.008	0.011	0.0079	0.0128	0.0174	0.00108
Valley Slope (ft/ft)	0.0087	0.0083	0.0066	0.0068	0.0068	0.0045	0.017	0.003	0.0083	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	0.0083	0.004	0.012	0.0083	0.0088	0.019	0.0083	0.0141	0.0209	0.00119
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.023	0.013	0.006	0.0062	0.0062	0.0037	0.027	0.0023	0.013	0.0095	0.0095	0.0037	0.013	0.0036	0.31	0.013	0.008	0.31	0.013	0.0128	0.0174	0.0108
Riffle Slope Ratio	2.6	0.1	1	1	1	0.8	2.3	1	0.1	1	1	0.8	0.1	1	28	0.1	1	28	0.1	1	1	1
Pool Slope (ft/ft)	0	0.001	0	0	0	0	0.017	0	0.001	0	0	0	0.001	0	0	0.001	0	0	0.001	0	0	0
Pool Slope Ratio	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	1.4	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0
Pool Width (ft)	7.8	19.9	18.1	18.3	19.2	13.4	7.1	18.1	19.9	19.2	24.7	13.4	19.9	16.5	4.4	19.9	11	4.4	19.9	6.4	6.8	9
Pool Width Ratio	0.8	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	0.8	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	0.9	1	1.1	0.9	1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Pool Spacing (ft)	57.6	71 – 134	66 – 99	66 – 99	70 - 105	43	34 - 52	66 – 99	71 – 134	70 - 105	90 - 135	43	71 – 134	66 – 99	9-45	71 – 134	40 - 60	9 - 45	71 – 134	23 - 35	25 - 37	32-49
Pool Spacing Ratio	5.7	3.5 - 6.7	6-Apr	4 - 6	4 - 6	3.1	3.6 - 5.5	4 - 6	3.5 - 6.7	4-6	4 - 6	3.1	3.5 - 6.7	4-6	2-9	3.5 - 6.7	4 - 6	2-9	3.5 - 6.7	4-6	4-6	4 - 6
D ₅₀ (mm)	25	28	25	25	25	25	15	25	28	25	25	25	28	25	9	28	25	9	28	9	9	9
D ₈₄ (mm)	63	81	63	63	63	81	91	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	19	81	81	19	81	19	19	19

					Exhibit 1 Jeath Da	Table 8	b. Basel	ine Strea	am Data	Summai	y 70							
Parameter	As-b (Ba	uilt Bas ack Cre	seline ek)	As-b (Ea	ouilt Base ast Branc	line h)	As-built	Baseline Branch)	(West	As- (N	built Base orth Bran	eline ch)						
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Max	Ava	Min	Max	Ανα	Min	Max	Ava	Min	Max	Ava	Min	Max	Ava	Min	Max	Ava
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.23	39.55	19.08	8.75	10.23	9.61	6.65	8.79	7.34	18.46	19.17	18.83						
Floodprone Width (ft)	18.96	58.46	48.10	34.36	39.63	37.82	24.54	40.19	34.07	49.85	54.47	51.46						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.38	2.34	1.41	0.62	0.93	0.75	0.58	0.83	0.68	1.32	2.68	1.79						
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.98	3.96	2.41	1.04	1.73	1.29	0.83	1.31	1.01	1.82	4.72	2.82						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.68	42.73	26.57	6.31	8.10	7.08	3.97	6.83	5.07	24.43	51.38	33.83						
Width/Depth Ratio	7.79	56.50	16.30	9.41	16.50	13.39	9.30	11.83	10.90	7.15	13.98	11.67						
Entrenchment Ratio	1.26	3.39	2.63	3.49	4.53	3.96	3.69	6.00	4.63	2.65	2.84	2.73						
Bank Height Ratio																		
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	11.16	43.77	33.9	20.74	61	40.89	15.66	22.33	19.57	27.53	56.54	37.03						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0	0.018	0.01	0.005	0.024	0.011	0	0.02	0.012	0	0.027	0.0035						
Pool Length (ft)	25.75	57.51	36.95	15.4	29.52	27.8	4.17	19.5	12.34	29.76	59.45	43.74						
Pool Max depth (ft)	1.3	2.69	2.19	1.31	2.45	1.82	1.8	2.58	2.02	0.83	3.14	2.5						
Pool Spacing (ft)	34.33	84.11	63.11	30.84	54.06	41.86	23.37	44.34	34.05	66.83	90.74	80.97						
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	20.92	71 71	47 45	15.2	33 72	21.23	10.31	20.44	15 85	16.97	44 48	33 65						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	27 45	46.2	38.7	6.55	19 17	15 14	27 45	33.95	29.61	21.07	36.63	29.39						
Rc/Bankfull width (ft/ft)	2.24	1.17	2.03	0.75	1.87	1.58	4.13	3.86	4.03	1.14	1.91	1.56						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	131	157	146.3	87	131	110	47	65.5	55.1	157	170	163						
Meander Width Ratio			2.49			2.21			2.16			1.79						
			-						-					1	1			1
Transport parameters																		
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f ²																		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																		
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²																		
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Rosgen Classification		B4c/E4			B4c			B4c			B4c							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)																		
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)																		
Valley length (ft)		4400			612			927			1082							
Channel Thalweg length (ft)		5296			647			1616			1168							
Sinuosity (ft)		1.2			1.1			1.7			1.1							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)		0.0056			0.009			0.018			0.0061							
BF slope (ft/ft)		0.005			0.014			0.019			0.0054					1		
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																1		
Proportion over wide (%)																		
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																		
Biological or Other																		

					Exh	ibit Ta	ble 9A	A. Mor	pholo	ogy ar	nd Hy	drauli	ic Mo	nitori	ng Su	mmary	y (Din	nensi	onal	Parar	neter	s – Cr	oss S	ectio	ns)											
	1			<u> </u>			Heat	h Dair	y Roa	d Stre	eam F	Resto	ration	n/DMS	5 # 170) Sec	gment	t/Read	ch: B	ack C	reek	<u>XS1 -</u>	10											- (5		
	_	(Cros	s Sectio	on 1 (P	00)			(cross S	ection	2 (Rittl	e)			Cr	oss Se	ection 3	B (Rittle	e)			C	ross S	ection	4 (Po	ol)					ross S	Section	- 5 (Poc	DI)	
	Base	MY1	MY	2 MY	3 MY	4 MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY	′5 M	Y+ I	Base M	Y1 N	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	01.75	14.04						16.01	40.70						15.05	10.57						14.07	20.47							10.00 10	47	—				
Barikiuli Width (II)	21.75	14.31						10.91	13.78						15.25	13.57						14.97	20.17							18.29 16.	. 17					
Piolodpione Width (it)	32	32						20	25.5						1.61	100						100	100							50 5 1 C 0	10					
Bankiuli Mean Depth (II)	1.47	1.13						1.01	0.76						1.01	1.30						1.69	1.67							1.0 2.	26	—				
Bankluli Max Deptri (II)	2.37	1.49						1.44	1.01						2.39	2.75						2.73	2.93							2.83 3.4	20					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ⁻)	32.01	10.14						17	10.42						24.50	21.38						25.29	37.74				-		4	29.28 34.	40	—				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.8	12.66						16.74	18.13		1				9.47	8.59						8.86	10.79				_		1	0.70	+9	—				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.23	2.28						2.39	1.84		1				6.55	7.3						6.68	4.96				_			2.73 8.	.2	—				
Banktuli Bank Height Ratio				_													_		_										_			_	_			
Based on current/developing bankfull feature																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)																																				
Floodprone Width (ft)																																				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)																																				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																				
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)																																				
d50 (mm)		0.05							30.8							34.5							0.06							N	A					
		C	Cross	s Sectio	n 6 (Ri	iffle)			. (Cross S	Section	7 (Poo	l)			Cr	oss Se	ection 8	B (Riffle	e)			C	cross S	ection	9 (Po	ol)				Cre	oss Sr	ection	10 (Riff	ile)	-
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY	(2 MY	3 MY	′4 MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY	′5 M	Y+ I	Base M	Y1 M	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.27	14.79						18.83	19.51						26.3	21.33						20.68	22.5							22.9 15.	.71					-
Floodprone Width (ft)	75	75						100	100						100	100						100	100							100 10	00					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.87	1.01						1.59	1.9						0.97	1.23						1.81	2.32							1.1 1.0	06			· · · · · ·		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.32	1.66						3.07	3.01						2.19	1.74						2.83	3.69							1.8 1.4	42					1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	12.41	14.89						29.94	37.15						25.6	26.21						37.43	52.17						2	25.14 16.	.58					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.4	14.64						11.84	10.27						27.3	17.34						11.43	9.7						2	20.82 14	.82					+
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.25	5						5.31	5.1						3.80	4.68						4.84	4.4							4.36 6.	.4					+
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		-																													÷					-
Based on current/developing bankfull feature																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)																																				
Floodprone Width (ft)																																				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																		· · · · · ·		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)			1																							1					+	\rightarrow		-+		+ +
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	,																																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio			1							1		<u> </u>	1	1						<u> </u>						1					+	\rightarrow				+
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio			1						<u> </u>																						+	\rightarrow				+
Cross Sectional Area between end pips (# ²)			1																												+	\rightarrow				+
d50 (mm)		0.05	1					1	NA						1	0.05							0.06							0.0	05	\rightarrow				+
uso (mm)		0.00	1					1	11/1		I					0.00							0.00			1				0.0						

Г

				I	Exhib	it Tab	ole 9B	. Mor	pholo	ogy ar	nd Hydr	auli	с Мо	nitori	ng Su	ımma	iry (Di	imens	sional	Parar	neter	s – Cr	oss S	Sectio	ns)										
				ŀ	leath	Dairy	/ Road	d Stre	am Re	estora	ation/D	IS #	# 170	Seg	gment	t/Rea	ch: B	ack C	creek)	(S11-	16; W	est B	ranch	XS1	7-20										
		C	Cross S	ection	11 (Poo	ol)	1		Cr	ross Se	ection 12	Riffle	e)	I		(Cross S	Section	13 (Pod	ol)	1		С	ross S	ection	14 (Riff	ile)				Cross 8	Section	15 (Po	(וכ	
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3 I	1Y4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	МҮЗ	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Width (ft)	22.55	16.96						18.44	17.94						20.02	16.42						17.3	15.48						16.12	13.76		1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	100	100						100	100						100	100						70	70						100	100		1			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.51	1.69						1.28	1.26						1.43	1.93						1.54	1.19						1.81	1.99		1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.91	2.94						1.78	1.73						2.69	2.81						2.39	1.92						3.96	3.38		1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	34.05	28.68						23.57	22.69						28.58	31.75						26.6	18.37						29.14	27.4		1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.93	10.04						14.41	14.24						14	8.51						11.23	13.01						8.91	6.91		1			1
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.43	5.9						5.42	5.6						4.99	6						4.00	4.5						6.20	7.3		1			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio)																															i T			
Based on current/developing bankfull feature																																			
Bankfull Width (ft))																																		
Floodprone Width (ft)																																,			-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																1			-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																															+	i – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –			-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)																															+	i – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –			-
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	,																														++	1			-
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio)																														++	1			-
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio)																														++	1			-
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)					1																										++	i – – †			-
d50 (mm)		NA			1				0.06							NA							0.06							NA	++	i – – †			1
		0	Cross Se	ection	16 (Por		1		Cr	ross Se	ection 17	Riffl	e)				Cross S	Section	18 (Por))			C	ross Se	ection	19 (Riff	ile)	ļ			Cross 8	Section	20 (Riff	(le)	4
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	мүз г	1Y4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	МҮЗ	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Width (ft)	18 22	16.95		_		-		6 65	4 96		-				6.86	5.82						67	6.23		-		-		8 7 9	7 74	++				-
Eloodprone Width (ft)	57	57			1			20	20						26	26						0.7	27.7						0.10	29	++	i — – †			-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2 34	2 53			1			0.62	0.97						0.58	0.6						0.59	0.47						0.78	0.58	++	i — – †			-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.12	3.22						0.02	1.22						0.00	1.03						0.83	0.47						1.01	0.00	++	i — — – †			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (# ²)	12 73	12.85						0.33	1.22						3.07	3.51						3.08	2.01						6.83	1.53	+	i — – – †			-
Bankfull Width/Depth Patio	7 70	67						4.11	5.1						11.83	0.7						11 36	13.26						11 27	13 3/	+	ł			-
Bankfull Entronchmont Patio	2 12	2.4						2.60	4.22						2 79	J.1						6.00	13.20						11.27	2 71	+	ł			-
Bankfull Pank Height Patia	5.13	3.4			+			3.09	4.22						3.70	4.43	+	-				0.00	4.45						4.55	3.71	+	ił			
Based on current/developing bankfull feature	,																																		
Bankfull Width (ft)																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)																																1			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																															ļ	1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)																																			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																,			1
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio				Ì		1																													1
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)						1																										,			1
d50 (mm)		0.03	1	1	1	1	1	1	NA					1	1	NA	1	1		1	1	1	113	1	1	1	1	1	1	45		, <u> </u>			1

Heat	h Daii		ad Str	l ream	Exhib Resto	it Tab	ole 9C	. Mor s # 17	pholo	ogy ar	nd Hye	drauli ach: \	c Moi Nost	nitori Brand	ng Su	mmar <u>y</u>	y (Dir to W	mensi lest B	ional ranch	Parar	neter 2-25-	s – Cr North	oss S Bran	Sectio	ons) \$23-2	25. F	ast F	Branch	X S 26-	28					
neat				cam	24 (Bee			5 # 17			nurion 2	2011.		brain		<u></u>				<u>1 702</u>	<i>L-L</i> J,					24 (D)		nanch		20	Cross	Santiar	25 (Di	(flo)	
			1055 36)) 	1			1055 36		2 (RIII)	e)				055 36		23 (FUC)) 	1			055 36	ection	24 (K	iiiie)			1	CIOSS	Section	1 25 (RI	ille)	1
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY	4 M`	75 MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.72	10.54						8.59	8.52						19.17	15.83						18.46	18.94						18.86	17.76					
Floodprone Width (ft)	40	40						75	75						200	200						200	200						100	100					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.83	0.93						0.52	0.51						2.68	2.67						1.32	1.39						1.36	1.38					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.31	2.13						0.84	0.67						4.72	4.83						1.93	2.21						1.82	1.85					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.44	9.83						4.46	4.37						51.38	42.32						24.43	26.37						25.68	24.46					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	11.33						16.52	16.71						7.15	5.93						13.98	13.63						13.87	12.87					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.17	3.74						8.50	8.52						10.43	12.63						10.83	10.56						5.30	5.63					
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Based on current/developing bankfull feature																																			
Bankfull Width (ft)																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)																																			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)																																			
d50 (mm)		0.04							108							NA							0.05							0.05					
		С	ross Se	ection	26 (Poc	ol)			C	ross Se	ection 2	27 (Riff	e)			Cro	oss Se	ection 2	8 (Riff	le)			Cı	oss Se	ection	xxx (F	Pool)			. (Cross S	Section	xxx (Ri	iffle)	
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY	4 M`	75 MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.75	8.78						10.23	8.64						9.84	9.88																			
Eloodprone Width (ft)	50	50						50	50						50	50																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.93	0.82						0.62	0.54						0.69	0.68																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.73	1.34						1.04	0.83						1.11	1.09																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	8.1	7.24			1			6.31	4.7						6.83	6.74						1			1						1	1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.41	10.71						16.5	16						14.26	14.53																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.71	5.69						4.88	5.78						5.08	5.06																			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Based on current/developing bankfull feature																																			
Bankfull Width (ft)																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)																																			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)																																			
d50 (mm)		0.04							0.04							0.05																			

Exhibit Table 9D. Stream Reach Data Summary																		
			Heath	n Dairy	/ Road	Strea	m Res	toratio	n - DN	IS # 17	' 0							
		MY 1			MY 1			MY 1			MY 1							
Parameter	Ba	ack Cre	ek	We	est Brar	nch	Ea	st Bran	ch	No	rth Brai	nch						
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.83	22.50	13.57	7.21	10.54	4.96	15.83	18.94	17.51	8.64	9.88	9.1						
Floodprone Width (ft)	81.83	100.00	25.30	37.74	75.00	20.00	100.00	200.00	166.67	50	50	50.00						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.60	2.53	0.76	0.70	0.97	0.47	1.38	2.67	1.81	0.54	0.82	0.68						
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.44	3.69	1.01	1.13	2.13	0.62	1.85	4.83	2.96	0.83	1.34	1.09						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	27.45	52.17	10.42	5.09	9.83	2.91	24.46	42.32	31.05	4.7	7.24	6.23						
Width/Depth Ratio	11.49	18.13	6.70	11.22	16.71	5.10	5.93	13.63	10.81	10.71	16	13.75						
Entrenchment Ratio	5.18	8.20	1.84	5.07	8.52	3.74	5.63	12.63	9.61	5.06	5.78	5.51						
Bank Height Ratio																		
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	5.6	41.35	20.69	8.18	37.21	19.88	11.7	29.52	18.41	14.96	36.16	26.28						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0061	0.0537	0.0178	0.004	0.0703	0.0309	0.0085	0.0343	0.0202	0.0042	0.0428	0.0153						
Pool Length (ft)	27.56	87.25	52.19	9.94	28.1	17.28	8.34	35.61	18.91	44.48	66.09	56.48						
Pool Max depth (ft)	1.64	4.44	3.36	1.07	3.1	2.1	0.14	2.89	2.1	3.46	5.76	4.67						
Pool Spacing (ft)	36.25	96.07	63.7	15.16	59.89	33.5	18.82	48.83	32.26	65.69	96.16	83.13						
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	20.92	71.71	47.45	10.31	20.44	15.85	15.2	33.72	21.23	16.97	44.48	33.65						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	27.45	46.2	38.7	27.45	33.95	29.61	6.55	19.17	15.14	21.07	36.63	29.39						
Rc/Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.63	2.05	2.85	3.81	3.22	5.97	0.41	1.01	0.86	2.44	3.71	3.23						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	131	157	146.33	47	65.5	55.1	87	131	110	157	170	163						
Meander Width Ratio			3.50			3.20			1.21			3.70						
Transport parameters																		
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f ²																		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																		
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²																		
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Rosgen Classification		B4c/E4			B4c			B4c			B4c							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)																		
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)																		
Valley length (ft)		4400			927			612			1082							
Channel Thalweg length (ft)		5296			1616			647			1168							
Sinuosity (ft)		1.2			1.7			1.1			1.1							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)		0.0056			0.018			0.009			0.0061							
BF slope (ft/ft)		0.005			0.019			0.014			0.0054							
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																		
Proportion over wide (%)																		
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																		
Biological or Other																		

Appendix E – Hydrologic Data

Table 10 – Verification of Bankfull Events Monthly Rainfall Data Precipitation and Water Level Plots Table 11 – Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment

Tabl Heath Dair	le 10. Verifictioin of Bankf y Road Stream Restoration	ull Event n/ DMS No. 170	
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo #
A bankfull event was observed in 2013 following construction but not documented		Rack lines in vegetation	

Note: Installed crest gauges clogged with silt and did not function properly during 2014



Heath Dairy Road Randolph County, NC



Heath Dairy Road Groundwater Gauges

EEP Supplemental Wetland Gauge Location Map and Year 1 Post Construction Gauge Data Summary



Post Construction Gauge Data Year 1:







	Table 1	1. Summary of Gro	undwater Gauge Re	esults	
	Heath D	Dairy Road Stream I	Restoration/ DMS N	o. 170	
	Success	Criteria Achieved/I	Max Consecutive Da	ays During Growing	Season
Gauge	Year 1 (2014)	Year 2 (2015)	Year 3 (2016)	Year 4 (2017)	Year 5 (2018)
GW 1	Yes/49 days (20%)				
GW 2	No/13 days (5.5%)				
GW 3	No/22 days (9%)				
GW 4	Yes/67 days (28%)				