## FINAL ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT NORWOOD GAINEY

#### RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION AND WETLAND ENHANCEMENT WAYNE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (EEP Project Number 628)

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010)



Submitted to: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program Raleigh, North Carolina



November 2010

## FINAL ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT NORWOOD GAINEY

#### RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION AND WETLAND ENHANCEMENT WAYNE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (EEP Project Number 628)

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010)



Submitted to: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program Raleigh, North Carolina

> Prepared by: Axiom Environmental, Inc. 20 Enterprise Street, Suite 7 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Design Firm: Ko & Associates, P.C. Florence & Hutcheson, Inc. 5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607





November 2010

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 METHODOLOGY	1
2.1 Vegetation Assessment	2
2.2 Wetland Assessment	2
3.0 REFERENCES	3

#### List of Figures

Figure 1.	Site Location	Appendix A
Figure 2.	Monitoring Plan View	Appendix A
Figure 3.	Annual Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data	Appendix D

#### List of Tables

Project Restoration Components	Appendix B
Project Activity and Reporting History	Appendix B
Project Contacts Table	Appendix B
Project Background Table	Appendix B
Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table	Appendix C
Vegetation Metadata Table	Appendix C
Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species	Appendix C
Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary	Appendix D
	Project Restoration Components Project Activity and Reporting History Project Contacts Table Project Background Table Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table Vegetation Metadata Table Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary

#### Appendices

#### APPENDIX A. FIGURES AND PLAN VIEWS

Figure 1. Site Location

Figure 2. Monitoring Plan View

APPENDIX B. GENERAL PROJECT TABLES

- Table 1. Project Restoration Components
- Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
- Table 3. Project Contacts Table
- Table 4. Project Background Table

APPENDIX C. VEGETATION ASSESSMENT DATA

- Table 5. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary
- Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos
- CVS Summary Data Tables
  - Table 6. Vegetation Metadata Table
  - Table 7. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species

APPENDIX D. WETLAND ASSESSMENT DATA

2010 (Year 4) Groundwater Gauge Graphs

Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary

Figure 3. Annual Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data

#### **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Norwood Gainey Riparian Nutrient Offset Buffer Restoration Site (Site) is located within the United States Geological Survey Hydrologic Unit 03020202 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality subbasin 03-04-05) of the Neuse River Basin. The Site includes 58.4 acres located approximately 5 miles south of Goldsboro, North Carolina in Wayne County. A total of 21.6 acres of riparian buffer restoration for nutrient offset credits (13,660 linear feet of agricultural ditch with a 200-foot buffer to each side) and 5.4 acres of wetland enhancement are located within the Site. The Site is currently managed by the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program. This report (compiled based on EEP's *Revised Table of Contents for 2009 Monitoring Report Submissions* Version 1.2.1 dated 6/1/09) summarizes data for year 4 (2010) monitoring.

The primary goals and objectives of the project included the following.

- 1. Restore forested riparian buffers adjacent to Site agricultural ditches that convey surface runoff toward Bouge Swamp and ultimately into the Neuse River.
- 2. Restore ecological functions within the Site to improve water quality, reduce the amount of sediment and pollutants entering the system, and provide landscape continuity.
- **3.** Establish native wetland trees and shrubs within existing jurisdictional wetlands thereby enhancing wetland function, vegetative structure, and wildlife habitat.
- **4.** Provide a variety of habitats from open water to uplands to greatly increase future habitat and food sources for wildlife.

In late 2009 buffer areas greater than 50 feet from agricultural ditches were planted. Eight new plots were established within these areas and were monitored during year 4 (2010) monitoring. In addition, three vegetation plots within wetland enhancement areas were measured for the second time during year 4 (2010) monitoring.

An average density of 320 stems per acre of Character Tree Species must be surviving after five monitoring years in accordance with North Carolina Division of Water Quality Administrative Code 15A NCAC 02B.0242 (*Neuse River Basin, Mitigation Program for Protection and Maintenance of Existing Riparian Buffers*) (NCDWQ 2007). Stem counts will be based on an average of the evaluated vegetation plots. Based on the number of stems counted in August 2010, average stem densities were measured as 405 planted stems per acre for the 8 vegetation plots within 0-50 feet of waterways, 268 planted stems per acre for the 8 vegetation plots greater than 50 feet from waterways, and 229 planted stems per acre within wetland enhancement areas. The dominant species identified at the Site were planted stems of river birch (*Betula nigra*), persimmon (*Diospyros virginiana*), black walnut (*Juglans nigra*), and sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*); and natural recruits of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), and sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*). Only nine of the 19 individual vegetation plots met success criteria when counting planted stems alone; however, when adding naturally recruited stems, primarily loblolly pine establishing from an adjacent seed source, all individual plots met success criteria with the exception of Plot 46. Plot 46 is located in the buffer area greater than 50 feet from waterways.

Death of planted stems within wetland enhancement areas has occurred as the result of excessive inundation due to beaver. Beaver management is now occurring on the Site and will continue as necessary. Within wetland enhancement areas, natural recruits of loblolly pine, red maple, and sweetgum have established during the drier summer months during the 2010 monitoring period. In addition, within areas greater than 50 feet from waterways planted ball and burlap trees appear to be in poor health and many of the planted trees died over the summer as the result of dry conditions. These issues should be monitored closely in subsequent monitoring years.

In accordance with federal guidelines for wetland mitigation, success criteria for wetland groundwater hydrology at the Site require inundation or saturation within 12 inches of the ground surface for a consecutive period of 12.5 percent of the growing season or approximately 30 consecutive days (the



growing season in Wayne County begins March 17 and ends November 14 [243 days]). Groundwater hydrology occurred within 12 inches of the soil surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season in year 4 (2010) monitoring. Gauge 2 was broken prior to the start of the year 4 (2010) growing season and was replaced on July 12, 2010. During the beginning of the growing season conditions were too wet to properly install the gauge due to the sandy soils; therefore, manual readings were taken monthly to document water level trends until the water dropped far enough beneath the soil surface that the gauge could be reinstalled.

In summary, the Site is stable, and vegetation and groundwater hydrology were successful for the year 4 (2010) growing season. Summary information and data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in tables and figures within this report's appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on EEPs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

#### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Following planting 45 vegetation plots (18 plots within riparian buffer restoration areas 0-50 feet from the waterways, 7 plots within the wetland enhancement area, and 20 within herbaceous riparian buffer areas greater than 50 feet from the waterways) were established within the Site as depicted on Figure 2 (Current Conditions Plan View) in Appendix A. The plots are 10 meters square and are located randomly within the Site. All 45 plots were monitored in year 1 (2007); no vegetation monitoring occurred in year 2 (2008). In year 3 (2009) 8 plots within riparian restoration areas 0-50 feet from the waterways were monitored and in late 2009 areas greater than 50 feet from ditches were planted and 8 new plots were established in these areas. In year 4 (2010) 8 plots within riparian restoration areas 0-50 feet from the waterways, 3 plots within the wetland enhancement area, and 8 within riparian buffer areas greater than 50 feet from the waterways were monitored in late August 2010 using the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006) (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm); results are included in Appendix C. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas* (Weakley 2007).

#### 2.2 Wetland Assessment

Two groundwater monitoring gauges were maintained for the year 4 (2010) growing season. The graphs of groundwater hydrology and precipitation are included in Appendix D.

#### **3.0 REFERENCES**

- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0. (online). Available: http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2004. Climatography of the United States No. 20; Monthly Station Climate Summaries, 1971-2000. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, North Carolina.
- North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ). 2007. Redbook, Surface Waters and Wetlands Standards. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Weakley, Alan S. 2007. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas (online). Available: http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf [February 1, 2008]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
- Weather Underground. 2010. Station at Goldsboro Airport (KGSB) in Goldsboro, North Carolina. (online). Available: http://www.wunderground.com/history/airport/KGSB/2010/10/28/CustomHistory.html [October 28, 2010].

#### APPENDIX A

#### FIGURES AND PLAN VIEWS

Figure 1. Site Location

Figure 2. Monitoring Plan View





				<ul> <li>Vegetation Plot Origins</li> <li>Approx. Veg Plot Locations</li> <li>Groundwater Gauges</li> <li>Wetland Enhancement</li> <li>Open Water</li> </ul>
0 200 400	800	1,200	1,600 Feet	Ditches     Dirt Access Road
20 Enterprise Street Suite 7 Raleigh, NC 27607 (919) 215-1693	NORWO W	MONITORING OD GAINEY R ayne County, N	PLAN VIEW ESTORATION SIT	TE Dwn. by. CLF CLF Date: Oct 2010 Project: 08-001

#### APPENDIX B

#### GENERAL PROJECT TABLES

- Table 1. Project Restoration Components
- Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
- Table 3. Project Contacts Table
- Table 4. Project Background Table

Table 1. P	roject R	esto	ration Co	omp	onents									
Norwood Gainey Riparian Buffer Restoration (EEP Project Number 628)														
Project Seg Reach ID		Existing Acreage		Mitigation Type	Approach		Acreage	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Units	Comment				
Woody Ripa (0-50 feet)	er	0	Re	storation			21.6	1:1	21.6					
Woody Ripa (50-200 feet)	Woody Riparian Buffer (50-200 feet)			Re	storation			26.2						
Wetland Enh	nancemen	t	5.4	Enh	ancement			5.4	2:1	2.7				
Mitigation U	U <mark>nit Sum</mark>	mat	ions											
Stream	aria	n Wetland		Nonripa Wetla	rian nd	Tot	al Wetland	Wood	y Riparia (0-50 fee	n Buffer et)				
0		2	2.7		0			2.7		21.6				
Other Proje	et Attrib	utes	Removed	From	n Credit A	reas (ac	res)							
Open W	Sı	rface Wa (Ditches)	ter	Roa	d	Di	ffuse Flow	Total Acreage Removed Fror Credit Areas						
2.3			2.0		0.7			0.2		5.2				

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting Hi           Norwood Gainey Riparian Buffer Restoration	story ion (EEP Project Number 628)	
	Data	Actual
	Collection	Completion
Activity or Report	Completion	or Delivery
Restoration Plan		March 2006
Temporary S&E Seed Mix Applied		November 2006
Planting/Permanent Seed Mix Applied		November 2006
Mitigation Plan/As-built Report		Estructure 2007
(Year 0 Monitoring – baseline)		February 2007
Year 1 Monitoring (2007)	October 2007	November 2007
Year 2 Monitoring (2008)		
Year 3 Monitoring (2009)	December 2009	January 2010
Year 4 Monitoring (2010)	November 2010	November 2010
Year 5 Monitoring (2011)		

Table 3. Project Contacts	Table	
Norwood Gainey Riparian	Buffer Restoration (EEP Project Num	iber 628)
Designer	K O & Associates, P.C.	5121 Kingdom Way., Suite 100
Designer Drimery project design BOC	R. Kevin Williams, PE	Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
Frinary project design FOC	email: ko@koassociates.com	Phone: (919) 851-6066
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics	908 Indian Trail Road
Planting Contractor	J. Dwight Mckinney, Jr., RF	Edenton, North Carolina 27932
Planting contractor POC	Email: info@carolinasilvics.com	Phone: (252) 482-8491
Seeding Contractor	Seal Brothers Contracting	PO Box 86 Dobson, NC 27017
Seeding contractor POC	Brian Seal	Phone: (336)786-2263
Nursery Stock Suppliers	NC Division of Forest Resources and Interr	national Paper
Year 1 (2007)	Environmental Services, Inc.	524 S. New Hope Road
Monitoring Performers	Jeff Harbour	Raleigh, North Carolina 27610
Wetland and Vegetation POC	Email: jharbour@esinc.cc	Phone: (919) 212-1760
Year 3-4 (2009-2010)	Axiom Environmental, Inc.	20 Enterprise Street, Suite 7
Monitoring Performers	Grant Lewis	Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
Wetland and Vegetation POC	Email: glewis@axiomenvironmental.org	Phone: (919) 215-1693

Table 4. Project Background TableNorwood Gainey Riparian Buffer Restoration (EE)	P Project Number 628)
Project County	Wayne County
Drainage Area	67 Acres
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	0%
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain
Ecoregion	65p; Southeastern Floodplans and Low Terraces
Cowardin Classification	PUB; PEM
Dominant Soil Types	Leaf loam, Lumbee sandy loam, Dragston loamy sand
Reference Site ID	Bouge Swamp (project study area's eastern boundary)
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	03020202
NCDWQ Subbasin for Project and Reference	03-04-05
NCDWQ Classification for Project and Reference	C, NSW
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Not Applicable
% of project easement fenced	0%

#### APPENDIX C

#### VEGETATION ASSESSMENT DATA

Table 5. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

CVS Summary Data Tables

 Table 6.
 Vegetation Metadata Table

Table 7. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species

Vegetation	Vegetation	<b>Based on Planted Ste</b>	ms Only	Based on Total St	tems*
Plot Type	Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean
	5	Yes		Yes	
	11	Yes		Yes	
A 1.1 1	18	Yes		Yes	
Areas within	20	Yes	750/	Yes	1000/
0-50 feet of	22	No	/5%	Yes	100%
waterways	26	Yes		Yes	
	31	No		Yes	
	34	Yes		Yes	
Wetland	42	Yes		Yes	
Enhancement	43	No	66.7%	Yes	100%
Areas	44	No		Yes	
	46	No		No	
	47	No		Yes	
Areas Greater	48	No		Yes	
than 50 feet	49	No	250/	Yes	97 50/
from	50	Yes	2370	Yes	87.370
Waterways	51	No		Yes	
	52	Yes		Yes	
	53	No		Yes	

 Table 5. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table

 Norwood Gainey Riparian Buffer Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 628)

\*Total Stems include planted stems and naturally recruited stems of appropriate native species.

#### **Vegetation Monitoring Photographs**





Norwood Gainey (final) EEP Project Number 628 Wayne County, North Carolina Axiom Environmental, Inc.

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010) November 2010 Appendices

#### **Vegetation Monitoring Photographs**

#### Taken August 2010

(continued)









Norwood Gainey (final) EEP Project Number 628 Wayne County, North Carolina









Axiom Environmental, Inc.

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010) November 2010 Appendices

#### **Vegetation Monitoring Photographs**

### Taken August 2010

(continued)









Norwood Gainey (final) EEP Project Number 628 Wayne County, North Carolina









Axiom Environmental, Inc.

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010) November 2010 Appendices

Report Prepared By	Corri Faquin
Date Prenared	10/25/2010 12:27
database name	Aviom-FED-2010-A mdb
database location	C:\Aviom\Business\C\/S Database\2010
computer name	
file size	36/32928
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEE	TS IN THIS DOCUMENT
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems,
Proj, total stems	and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	628
project Name	Norwood Gainey Site (G)
Description	Buffer restoration
River Basin	Neuse
length(ft)	
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	19

Table 6. Vegetation Metadata TableNorwood Gainey Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 628)

# Table 7. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species Norwood Gainey Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 628)

																		Curren	t Data	(MY	4 2010)															
		5	5 11		18		20		22		26		31	1	34		12	43	3	44	Ļ	46		47	4	B	49	)	50		51		52		53	
Species	CommonName	Total	Planted	Total	Planted Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted Totol	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted Total	Planted										
Acer rubrum	red maple					2		5							37	7	160	)	95		72															
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis			7								3					5	5	1		4															
Betula nigra	river birch									1	1	2	2				10	) 9			2	2	1	1	4 4	1		2	2			4	2			4 4
Carya sp.	hickory																													1	1					
Carya illinoinensis	pecan																				1															
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush																				1	1														
Cercis canadensis	eastern redbud																									1	1									
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	2	2	3	3			1	1	1	1				2	2 2																				
Cornus florida	flowering dogwood	1	1																									1	1			1	1			
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	5	3			2	2	4	4			1	1	4	4 3	3 3							3	3	1 3	1		1	1	2	2					
Faaus arandifolia	American beech																																	5	3	
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash											1																						-	-	-
llex onaca	American holly											-																						1	1	
lualans niara	black walnut			2	2	1	1	3	2			-											1	1	1 '	1 3	3	2	2	1	1			-	-	
luninerus virginiang	eastern redcedar			2	~	-	-	5	~									-					-	-				2	~	-	-			1	1	
Liquidambar styracifluo	sweetgum			4		2		2				2	1	2	10		1/	1	1		12				_	2		1		2				1	-	+
Liguidumbur styrucijidu	tulintroo			4		J		2				5	-	3	1.	,	14	+	4		12				_	2		1		2		1	1	1		1 1
	culptiee																1	1								-	-					T	1			1 1
	Sweetbay magnona																-				1					_										
	wax myrtie	2	2	2	2			2	2								-				1				_											
Morus rubra	red mulberry	2	2	Z	2			2	2	4	4						-					4								4	4					
Nyssa sp.	tupelo							2	2	1	1						:	5 3			1	1		_	1 .	L				1	1					
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum																						1	1										3	3	
Persea palustris	swamp bay																									1	1									
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	7		53		14				8		67		6	2	2	10	)	23		25				1	14		74		4		3		8		9
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	2	2	2	2			2	2			4	4	1	1 2	2 2																				
Prunus serotina	black cherry	2	2	2	2												<b> </b>	I								_								$ \downarrow$		$\square$
Quercus alba	white oak																						1	1		1	1									
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak					7	7								1	l 1																				
Quercus nigra	water oak											3	3	2	2 1	l 1																				
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	1	1												1	l 1																				
Quercus phellos	willow oak																1	L												4	4	1	1			
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	1	1																																	
Salix sp.	willow																12	2																		
Salix nigra	black willow						[												7																	
Ulmus	elm														1	L																				
Unknown	unknown					1				1	1																									
Plot area (acres		0.0247		0.0247	1430.0	0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247	0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247	2000		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247
	Species Count	9	8	8	5	7	3	8	6	5	4	8	5	5	3 10	) 6	10	) 3	5	0	9	3	5	5	5 4	4 6	4	6	4	7	5	5	4	6	4	32
	Stem Count	23	14	75	11	30	10	21	13	12	4	84	11	16	7 65	5 10	217	7 13	130	0	119	4	7	7	8	7 22	6	81	6	15	9	10	5	19	8 1	4 5
	Stems per acre	931	567	3036	445	1215	405	850	526 4	186	162 3	3401	445	648	283 ###	405	8785	5 5 2 6	5263	0	4818	162	283 2	83 3	24 283	8 891	243	3279	243	607	364	405	202	769	324 56	7 202

## Table 7. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species (continued) Norwood Gainey Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 628)

			Annual Totals: 8 Buffer PlotsAnnual Totals:within 0-50 Feet of Waterways3 Wetland Enhancement Area Plots(Plots 5, 11, 18, 20, 22, 26, 31, 34)(Plots 42-44)												ts	Annua Geat Wat	8 Buffe 60 Feet Plots 4	er Plo t from 16-53)					
		Current Mean	MY4 (2010)	(2000) EVM				12000/ 1714	MY1 (2007)		Asbuilt	Current Mean	MY4 (2010)	MY2-3	(2008-2009)	(FOOC) 5764		Achuilt		Current Mean	MY4 (2010)		Asbuilt- MY3 (2009)
Species	Соттол	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	Planted stems	Total stems	
Acer rubrum	red maple	. 44	_	2	-	· · ·						327	_		<u> </u>		_	· · ·					
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	10		5								10											
Betula nigra	river birch	3	3	3	3			3	3			12	11			14	14			15	13		6
Carpinus caroliniana	ironwood																					1	.3
Carya sp.	hickory																			1	1		-
Carya illinoinensis	pecan											1											
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush											1	1										
Cercis canadensis	eastern redbud																			1	1		1
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	9	9	8	8			5	5														
Cornus florida	flowering dogwood	1	1	1	1			4	4											2	2	2	2
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	19	17	13	12			23	23											7	7	'	
Fagus grandifolia	American beech																			5	3		6
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	1																					
llex opaca	American holly																			1	1		1
Juglans nigra	black walnut	6	5	2	1			9	9											8	8	5	
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar																			1	1		1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	30	1									30								6		1	
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree																	-	÷	2	2	2	2
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay magnolia					5	ż				LIN	1	1	b	ò	22	22	ring				1	
Morella cerifera	wax myrtle									-	lito	2			5			i+o	2				
Morus rubra	red mulberry	6	6	3	3	÷i		5	5		Jor 1			ti ci	Į			100	2				
Nyssa sp.	tupelo	3	3			2				1	L) L	4	4					4) 1	-	2	2	2	
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum					100	/on				IIno			180	5			lind		4	4	ŀ	3
Persea palustris	swamp bay					00	120			1	(asl			067	2			se/		1	1		
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	157		149		, C	4			ç	D D	58		r ,	-			r O	2	113			
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	13	13	9	9		ута	12	12		уеа				ארמ				Å				
Prunus serotina	black cherry	4	4	4	4	, ,	5	6	6		or			,	5			, i	5				
Quercus alba	white oak					101	υ				۲e I			ro -				roł		2	2	2	2
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	8	8	8	8	130	asu	8	8		asu				200				200				
Quercus nigra	water oak	6	6	6	6	000	2	5	5		me			am				em					
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	2	2	2	2	ţ	2			1	lot			ţ	5			ţ	5				
Quercus phellos	willow oak						υ				e L	1								5	5	;	1
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	1	1				n n				wei												
Salix sp.	willow					t c				ł	ots	12		t c	3			ote	2				
Salix nigra	black willow						hid			-	bld	7			2				2				
Ulmus	elm	1		1		0.00	באם				ese			0.00	5			230	5				
Unknown	unknown	2	1	1	1	ŕ	=	1	1	Ē	5			Ļ				ŕ				1	.5
	Plot area (acres)																						-
	Species Count	20	15	16	12			11	11			13	4			2	2			17	15	i 1	2
	Stem Count	326	80	217	58			81	81			466	17			36	36			176	53	5	3
	Stems per acre	1650	405	1098	294			410	410			2358	86			486	486			891	268	26	68

Plots from 5-53)							
,	(6005						
	MY3 (3						
	stems						
	lanted						
	P						
•	6						
	13						
	1						
	2						
	E						
,	0						
	1						
	1						
	2						
	3						
		ŀ					
	2						
	1	ŀ					
		ł					
	15						
	12	ļ					
•	53 268	l					
1							

#### APPENDIX D

#### WETLAND DATA

2010 (Years 4) Groundwater Gauge Graphs

Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment

Figure 3. Annual Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data





Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)					
	Year 1 (2007)	Year 2 (2008)	Year 3 (2009)	Year 4 (2010)	Year 5 (2011)	
1	Yes/92 days (37.9%)	Yes/99 days (40.7%)	Yes/243 days (100%)	Yes/93 days (38.3%)		
2	Yes/103 days (42.4%)	Yes/101 days (41.6%)	Yes*	Yes/100 days (41.2%)**		

## Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary Norwood Gainey Riparian Buffer Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 628)

\* Gauge 2 was broken prior to the start of the year 3 (2009) growing season and no data could be retrieved; however, based on field observations and the tendency for Gauge 2 to be wetter than Gauge 1 this gauge should be considered successful. This gauge was inundated for the majority of the growing season. The gauge will be replaced prior to the year 4 (2010) monitoring season.

\*\* Gauge 2 was located in an inundated area and it was impossible to reinstall the gauge until water levels dropped below the soil surface. Therefore, manual water level readings were taken monthly until the gauge could be installed on July 12, 2010.



Norwood Gainey (final) EEP Project Number 628 Wayne County, North Carolina Axiom Environmental, Inc.

Monitoring Year 4 of 5 (2010) November 2010 Appendices