As-Built Baseline Monitoring Report Odell's House Buffer Mitigation Project Monitoring Year 0 Calendar Year of Data Collection: 2021

NCDEQ DMS Project Identification #100041 NCDEQ DMS Contract # 7420 Neuse River Basin (CU 03020201) DWR Project # 2018-0200 USACE Action ID Number: SAW-2018-00431 Johnston County, NC Data Collection Period: March 2021 Submission Date: June 2021



Prepared for:

NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Water Resources 512 N. Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27620



# Table of Contents

1	Proj	ject Summary1
	1.1	Project Location and Description1
	1.2	Project Success Criteria1
	1.2.	1 Vegetation1
	1.2.	2 Performance Standards for Vegetation Adjacent to Single-Thread Streams
	1.2.	Performance Standards for Vegetation Adjacent to Coastal Headwater Streams
	1.2.	4 Performance Standard for Coastal Headwater Streams2
	1.2.	5 Visual Assessment2
2	Proj	ject Mitigation Components3
	2.1	Project Components
	2.2	Design Approach5
3	As-E	Suilt (Baseline) Condition
	3.1	As-built (Baseline) Survey5
	3.2	As-Built/ Baseline Assessment
	3.2.	1 Vegetation6

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

#### Appendix A Background Tables and Figures

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 As-Built Plat
- Figure 3 Current Condition Plan View (CCPV)

#### Appendix B Vegetation Assessment Data

Table 1Final Plant ListTable 2Vegetation Performance Standards Summary TableTable 3Vegetation Plot Counts and Densities

#### Appendix C Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

Appendix D Vegetation Monitoring Plot Data Sheets

# 1 Project Summary

# 1.1 Project Location and Description

The Odell's House Mitigation Site ("Site") is a riparian buffer mitigation project in conjunction with a North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ), Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) stream and wetland mitigation project. The Site was planned according to the Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295, which became effective on November 1, 2015.

The Site (35.716526 N, -78.349830 W) is located in Johnston County, North Carolina, between the Town of Wendell and Archer Lodge. The Site boundary is within the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 03020201, in the NCDEQ sub-basin 03-04-06 (Warm Water Thermal Regime).

This Site provides riparian buffer mitigation credits for unavoidable impacts due to development in the Neuse River Basin, United States Geologic Survey (USGS) 8-digit HUC 03020201. Nutrient offset credits may be used for stormwater requirements for new and existing development requiring nutrient offsets. The project involves the restoration and preservation of riparian vegetation to reduce non-point source discharge of contaminants into streams and agricultural ditch channels within the Neuse River basin. The project area is comprised of two separate easement locations totaling 15.092 acres, including stream and wetland mitigation areas.

Based on the sealed survey the as-built acres are as follows, the easement area is 15.092 acres, with 10.390 acres being restored for Neuse buffer credit. In general, Neuse buffer widths extend a minimum width of 50 feet from tops of stream and ditch banks, while nutrient offset restoration area widths will extend out to a maximum of 200 feet from the top of the channel or ditch bank. The buffer restoration credit adjacent to coastal headwater stream mitigation is classified as alternative mitigation under Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(2). The buffer preservation credit is classified as alternative mitigation under Rule .0295 (o).

### 1.2 Project Success Criteria

The success criteria for the Site will follow the approved performance standards and monitoring protocols presented in the approved Mitigation Plan, developed in compliance with the DWR Rule 15A NCAC 02B 0295. Annual vegetation monitoring will occur each year for a minimum of five years and will be conducted during the fall season with the first year occurring at least five months from initial planting. Permanent vegetation monitoring plots will be installed and evaluated within the buffer restoration and nutrient offset areas to measure the survival of the planted trees. Riparian buffer vegetation monitoring will be based on the *Carolina Vegetation Survey-Ecosystem Enhancement Program Protocol for Recording Vegetation: Level 1-2 Plot Sampling Only Version 4.2*.

The measures of vegetative success for the Site will be the survival of at least four native hardwood tree species, where no one species is greater than 50 percent of the established stems, established at a density of at least 260 planted trees per acre at the end of Year 5. Appropriate native volunteer stems of native hardwood tree species may be included to meet the performance standards with DWR approval.

### 1.2.1 Vegetation

Seven 100 square-meter vegetation monitoring plots were installed for DWR monitoring; covering at least two percent of the 15.092 acres of the riparian restoration area. Plots were randomly placed throughout the planted riparian areas. The location of the plots is shown on Figures 3a. Photos will be taken from all



photo points annually. All planted stems will be marked with flagging tape and a wood stake. In the field, the four corners of each plot were permanently marked with PVC at the origin and rebar at the other corners. Photos of each plot will be taken from the origin each monitoring year. All seven of these plots are joint monitoring plots for 404/401 and there are an additional five 404/USACE plots for a total of 12 vegetation plots. Vegetation monitoring will occur in the fall each required monitoring year, prior to the leaf fall. Plots will be monitored for a minimum of five years. The following data are recorded for all planted trees in the plots: species, common name, height, planting date, and grid location. The total number of volunteer woody stems will also be documented and reported by species. Vegetation plot monitoring follows the CVS-EEP Level 2 Protocol for Recording Vegetation, version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008) and includes analysis of species composition, density, and height. Data are processed using the NCDMS Shiny App data entry tool.

#### 1.2.2 Performance Standards for Vegetation Adjacent to Single-Thread Streams

The measures of vegetative success for the Project will be the survival of at least four native hardwood tree, where no one species is greater than 50 percent of the established stems, established at a density of at least 260 planted trees per acre at the end of Year 5. Appropriate volunteer stems of native hardwood tree species may be included to meet the performance standards upon DWR approval.

#### 1.2.3 Performance Standards for Vegetation Adjacent to Coastal Headwater Streams

The measures of vegetative success for the Project will be the survival of at least four native hardwood tree species, where no one species is greater than 50 percent of the established stems, established at a density of at least 260 planted trees per acre at the end of Year 5 and 210 hardwood trees per acre at the end of Year 7 for riparian restoration areas adjacent to coastal headwater stream restoration. The seven years of monitoring only applies to the areas receiving credit under Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(2) for buffer mitigation. Appropriate volunteer stems of native hardwood tree species may be included to meet the performance standards upon DWR approval.

#### 1.2.4 Performance Standard for Coastal Headwater Streams

The performance standards for the coastal headwater streams must be met each monitoring year for a minimum of seven years to comply with 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(2) for buffer mitigation (permanent vegetation plots 1 and 6). Confirmation from the USACE that stream performance standards have been met will be provided to DWR prior to issuance of credit releases for riparian buffer credit along the coastal headwater streams. The success criteria for the coastal headwater streams include channel formation within the valley or crenulation that must be documented through identification of field indicators consistent with those listed in the mitigation plan, and continuous surface water flow within the valley or crenulation must be documented to occur every year for at least 30 consecutive days during the prescribed monitoring period.

#### 1.2.5 Visual Assessment

Visual assessments are performed within the site semi-annually during the five-year monitoring period. Problem areas will be noted (e.g. low stem density, vegetation mortality, invasive species or encroachment). Areas of concern will be photographed, mapped, and accompanied by a written description in the annual report. Problem areas with be re-evaluated during each subsequent visual assessment. Should remedial actions be required, recommendations will be provided in the annual monitoring report.



# 2 Project Mitigation Components

### 2.1 Project Components

The Odell's House Site includes a combination of stream restoration, enhancement, and preservation activities on 4,313 linear feet of designed streams and 3.890 acres of designed wetland re-establishment, rehabilitation, enhancement, and preservation. Out of 15.09 acres that will be protected with a permanent conservation easement, 10.400 acres (453,057.200 ft<sup>2</sup>) are proposed to generate riparian buffer credits along coastal headwater restoration, enhancement, and preservation streams.

WLS will maintain one credit ledger for riparian buffer. The total potential riparian buffer that the Site generates are summarized in Table 1.



#### Table 1. Odell's House Mitigation Site, DWR #2018-0200v1, Project Credits

Ne	use 03020201 -	Outside Falls La	ke	Project Area												
	19.1	6394		N Credit Convers	ion Ratio (ft²/po	ound)										
	N,	/A		P Credit Conversi	on Ratio (ft <sup>2</sup> /po	und)										
Credit Type	Location	Subject? (enter NO if ephemeral or ditch <sup>1</sup> )	Feature Type	Mitigation Activity	gation Activity Min-Max Buffer Width (ft) Feature Name Total		Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total (Creditable) Area of Buffer Mitigation (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Initial Credit Ratio (x:1)	% Full Credit	Final Credit Ratio (x:1)	Convertible to Riparian Buffer?	Riparian Buffer Credits	Convertible to Nutrient Offset?	Delivered Nutrient Offset: N (lbs)	Delivered Nutrient Offset: P (lbs)
Buffer	Rural	Yes	Coastal Headwater	Restoration	0-100	R1	36,185	36,185	1	100% 1.00000		N/A	36,185.000	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Enhancement via Cattle Exclusion	0-100	R2 (right bank)	36,352	36,352	2	100%	2.00000	N/A	18,176.000	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Enhancement	0-100	R2 (left bank)	54,325	54,325	2	100%	2.00000	N/A	27,162.500	No	-	—
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	0-100	R3	126,221	126,221	1	100%	1.00000	N/A	126,221.000	Yes	6,586.386	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Enhancement via Cattle Exclusion	0-100	R4 (right bank)	10,360	10,360	2	100%	2.00000	N/A	5,180.000	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	Coastal Headwater	Restoration	0-100	R5	28,116	28,116	1	100%	)0% 1.00000 N/		28,116.000	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	Coastal Headwater	Restoration	101-200	R5	8,493	8,493	1	33%	3.03030	N/A	2,802.693	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	0-100	R6	31,084	31,084	1	100%	1.00000	N/A	31,084.000	Yes	1,622.014	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	101-200	R3	6,320	6,320	1	33%	3.03030	N/A	2,085.602	Yes	329.779	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	Coastal Headwater	Restoration	101-200	R1	10,456	10,456	1	33%	3.03030	N/A	3,450.483	No	-	-
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	101-200	R7 upper	1,922	1,922	1	33%	3.03030	N/A	634.261	Yes	100.283	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
													-		-	-
	Totals: 349,835 349,835															

Enter Preservat	ion Credits Bel	ow		116,612	I							
Credit Type	Tredit Type Location Subject? Feature Type Mitigation Activi		Mitigation Activity	Min-Max Buffer Width (ft)	Feature Name	Total Area (sf)	Total (Creditable) Area for Buffer Mitigation (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Initial Credit Ratio (x:1)	% Full Credit	Final Credit Ratio (x:1)	Riparian Buffer Credits	
	Rural	Yes	I/P		0-100	R3 (left bank)	60,900	60,900	10	100%	10.00000	6,090.000
	Rural	Yes	I/P		0-100	R7 lower	42,323	42,323	10	100%	10.00000	4,232.300
												-
												-
												-
Buffer				Preservation								-
												-
												-
												-
												-
												-

Preservation Area Subtotal (ft<sup>2</sup>): 103,222 Preservation as % Total Area of Buffer Mitigation: 22.1%

Ephemeral Reaches as % Total Area of Buffer Mitigation: 0.0%

TOTAL AREA OF BUFFER MITIGATION (TABM)											
Mitigatio	on Totals	Square Feet	Credits								
Resto	ration:	248,798	230,579.039								
Enhanc	ement:	101,037	50,518.500								
Preser	vation:	103,222	10,322.300								
Total Ripar	ian Buffer:	453,057	291,419.839								
TOT	AL NUTRIEN	OFFSET MITIC	SATION								
Mitigatio	on Totals	Square Feet	Credits								
Nutrient	Nutrient Nitrogen:		0.000								
Offset:	Phosphorus:	0	0.000								

1. The Randleman Lake buffer rules allow some ditches to be classified as subject according to 15A NCAC 02B .0250 (5)(a).

last updated 11/22/2019



# 2.2 Design Approach

Riparian buffer mitigation adjacent to streams and ditches was approved by DWR via letter on October 30, 2020. Odell's House is also a stream and wetland mitigation site for the Division of Mitigation Services (DMS), and restoration of riparian areas will be accomplished through the goals and methods outlined by the Odell's House Mitigation Plan (SAW #2018-00431). All riparian buffer mitigation along channels begins from the top of bank and extends a minimum of 50 feet and a maximum of 200 feet perpendicular to the channel pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0295 and 15A NCAC 02B .0240. Land use proposed for buffer restoration was composed of pasture, fields, and woodlands. Wetland mitigation areas are excluded from riparian buffer credit areas.

A riparian headwater valley restoration approach was constructed for R1 and R5. Headwater stream restoration activities included draining the existing farm ponds, excavating a broader floodplain at or slightly above the existing bed elevation and will seek to restore groundwater hydrology and connection of surface flows. Shallow flow paths were connected to allow initial flow of water toward reach R1 and R5, which will gradually transition into a single thread channel that is more well defined. Figures 3a and 3b depict the buffer restoration plan based on actual top of bank conditions. The riparian buffer credits located adjacent to coastal headwater valley restoration are based on the as-built survey centerline of the valley. The area of the buffer credits shall be measured perpendicular to the length of the valley being restored.

The riparian revegetation plan included permanent seeding, bare root trees, live stakes, and controlling invasive species growth. The riparian restoration efforts along the project streams are adjacent to reconstructed stream banks and extend perpendicular from tops of banks 50 feet to 200 feet.

# 3 As-Built (Baseline) Condition

### 3.1 As-built (Baseline) Survey

An as-built survey conducted under the responsible charge of a North Carolina Professional Land Surveyor (Marshall Wight, PLS with WithersRavenel), was utilized to document the as-built or baseline condition of the Project post-construction. The Project construction and planting were completed in March and April 2021 and the as-built survey was completed in May 2021. Baseline monitoring activities occurred between March and May 2021. The conservation easement is marked at least every 150 feet with State of North Carolina signs attached to t-posts or to fencing. The as-built survey locates the constructed stream channels, in-stream structures, tree-lines, a longitudinal profile survey for each project reach, and cross-section survey for each reach. For comparison purposes, the site reaches and riparian buffer areas were divided into the same reaches that were established for the project assessment and design: R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 upper, and R7 lower.

### 3.2 As-Built/ Baseline Assessment

No significant deviations were documented between final construction plans and as-built conditions. Additionally, no major issues or mitigating factors were observed immediately after construction which require consideration or remedial action. Along R1, the channel alignment was adjusted from approximate design station 11+62 to 12+37 due to poor/wet soil conditions in the remnant pond bottom. Upper R6 was also slightly adjusted from approximate station 16+00 to 17+37 to protect existing vegetation and prevent root damage within the dripline. Lastly, upper R7 was realigned from approximate station 12+17



to 14+59 to more closely follow the existing flow paths and floodplain contours. The in-stream structure installation generally followed the proposed design in these locations and additional woody material was installed along R1 and R5 respectively. Lastly, six log riffles were replaced with three log weirs and woody debris along upper R7 to increase bedform diversity and minimize disturbance to existing wetland vegetation. No major issues or mitigating factors were observed immediately after construction which require consideration or remedial action.

#### 3.2.1 Vegetation

Monitoring of the seven permanent vegetation plots was completed during March and April of 2021. Vegetation data can be found in Appendix B with the associated photos located in Appendix C. The MYO average planted density is 769 stems per acre, which exceeds the interim measure of vegetative success of at least 260 planted stems per acre at the end of the fifth monitoring year (plots 2-5, and 7). Plots 1 and 6 meet the third year interim of 320 stems per acre. Each individual veg plot successfully meets criteria with stem counts between 607 and 1,214 stems per acre. No volunteer species were observed at baseline monitoring. Visual assessment of vegetation throughout the planted area indicates herbaceous vegetation is establishing throughout the project. Table 2 details the average stem density per plot based on the number of years required for monitoring and associated performance criteria.

Plots	Average Stem Density/Acre	Performance Criteria	Meets Criteria
Headwater (1 and 6)	951	320 stems/acre at Year 3, 260 stems per acre at Year 5, 210 stems/acre at Year 7, and Stream Success	Yes
Riparian Buffer (2-5 and 7)	696	260 stems/acre at Year 5, 210 stems/acre at Year 7	Yes

#### Table: 2 Stem Density Per Plot Type

A large population of golden bamboo (*Phyllostachys aurea*) existed along the left floodplain of R2 prior to construction. Construction activities included bamboo removal in this area by ripping the roots, cut stump herbicide treatments, and foliar spray of small shoots. Herbicide treatments used 50 percent glyphosate for cut/stump and three percent for foliar spray. This area will continue to be monitored closely and any treatments will be documented in future monitoring reports.



# Appendix A: Background Tables and Figures



Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esti, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esti Japan, METI, Esti China (Hong Kong), Esti Korea, Esti (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



#### LEGEND (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

IPF - IRON PIPE FOUND ISF - IRON STAKE FOUND PKNF - PK NAIL FOUND CONSERVATION EASEMENT REBAR AND CAP R/W- RIGHT OF WAY WITHERSRAVENEL CONTROL

BASED ON THE STATE PLANE COORDINATES ESTABLISHED BY CONTROL POINT NAIL #1 WITH STATE PLANE GRID COORDINATES OF N: 716,741.50 E: 2,192,250.66 AND TIED TO CONTROL POINT NAIL #2 WITH STATE PLANE GRID COORDINATES OF N: 716,915.64 (FT) E: 2,192,567.06 (FT). THE AVERAGE COMBINED GRID FACTOR USED ON THIS PROJECT (GRID TO GROUND) IS 0.999898041. THE GRID GROUND POINT LOCALIZED AND SCALED FROM IS CONTROL POINT NAIL #2. THE HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM NAIL#1 TO "CONTROL NAIL #2" (SEE MAP) IS N 61°10'20" N 361.15' (GROUND). 10. ALL TOPO INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THE USE OF UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS (UAS) EQUIPPED WITH LIDAR AND POSITIONED WITH GPS RESOLVED FROM DOUBLE OCCUPIED VRS AVERAGED GPS GROUND CONTROL NAILS IN NAD83 2011 STATE PLANE COORDINATES. FLIGHT DATA OBTAINED AT 180 FT OF ALTITUDE. UAS DATA CHECKED TO CONTROL AND GROUND GPS CHECK POINTS SPREAD THROUGH THE SITE.

NOTES

SOLID LINES

ON GIS OR DEEDS.

PROPERTY(S).

DATE DECEMBER 2, 2005.

3. AREAS COMPUTED BY COORDINATE METHOD.

4. BASIS OF BEARING NAD 83(2011), VERTICAL NAVD 88

6. IRON PIPES WITH CAPS SET AT CORNERS - PB 94 PG 153-154

9. THE LOCALIZED COORDINATE SYSTEM DEVELOPED FOR THIS PROJECT IS

11. THIS MAPPING WILL SERVE AS THE SURVEY REPORT FOR THE DIGITAL DELIVERABLE.

1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAT IS TO SHOW THE AS-BUILT AREAS FOR THE RIPARIAN MITIGATION BUFFER CREDITS WITHIN THE CONSERVATION EASEMENT. THIS PLAT IS NOT A BOUNDARY SURVEY. THE LAND

2. BOUNDARY INFORMATION AND CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 94 PAGE 153-154 IN THE JOHNSTON COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS. SURVEYED BOUNDARY LINES ARE SHOWN AS

5. ALL DISTANCES ARE HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL AREAS ARE BASED

8. THIS PROPERTY IS PARTIALLY LOCATED IN A DESIGNATED FEMA FLOOD PLAIN AS SHOWN ON THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 3720178000J PANEL 1780, EFFECTIVE

7. SUBJECT TO ALL EASEMENT, RIGHT OF WAYS, AND/OR ENCUMBRANCES THAT MAY AFFECT THE

PARCELS AND THEIR BOUNDARIES ARE NOT CHANGED BY THIS PLAT









MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION; THAT THIS GROUND SURVEY WAS PERFORMED AT THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LEVEL TO MEET FEDERAL GEOGRAPHIC DATA COMMITTEE STANDARDS; THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A TOPOGRAPHIC/PLANIMETRIC SURVEY TO THE ACCURACY OF CLASS AA AND VERTICAL ACCURACY WHEN APPLICABLE TO THE CLASS C STANDARD, AND THAT THE ORIGINAL DATA WAS OBTAINED ON MARCH 19TH, 2021; THAT THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON MAY 18TH, 2021; THAT CONTOURS SHOWN AS [BROKEN LINES] MAY NOT MEET THE STATED STANDARD; AND ALL COORDINATES ARE BASED ON NAD 83(2011) AND ALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON NAVD 88." THAT THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) SURVEY AND THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS USED TO PERFORM THE GPS

I, MARSHALL G. WIGHT, CERTIFY THAT THIS PROJECT WAS COMPLETED UNDER MY DIRECT AND RESPONSIBLE CHARGE FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY

(GNSS) SURVEY: CLASS OF SURVEY A POSITIONAL ACCURACY AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HORIZONTAL <0.07' USFT, VERTICAL <0.07' USFT. TYPE OF GPS FIELD PROCEDURE <u>REDUNDANT VRS OBSERVATIONS</u> DATE OF SURVEY: ORIGINAL 8-1-2019 AS-BUILT 3-18-2021 DATUM/EPOCH : NAD 83/ 2011 PUBLISHED/ FIXED-CONTROL USE: VRS NETWORK GEOID MODEL: GEOID12B COMBINED GRID FACTOR: 0.999898041 AT POINT 2 (SEE NOTES)

THAT THIS MAP MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYING IN NORTH CAROLINA (21 NCAC 56.1600). WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL THIS <u>19 TH</u> DAY OF, <u>JULY</u> A.D., 2021.

7/19/2021

MARSHALL G. WIGHT, PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR L-5034

UNITS: US SURVEY FEET

DocuSigned by: Marshall Wight -62C73F441B864C1..

-			/										
	<b>REVISIONS</b> :	DATE: 5/23/2021	AS-	BUILT RIPARIAN BUFFER SURV	EY								
		SCALE: 1" = 150'	TH TH	FOR HE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	<i>1</i> ,								
		SURVEYED BY:	NCDEQ	: DIVISION OF MITIGATION SEP	Sector Withers Ravenel								
		DRAWN BY: MGW	SPO FILE NO	S 51-DK, 51-DL DMS SITE ID	Engineers   Planners   Surveyors								
		CHECK & CLOSURE BY: MGW				115 Markanan Drive L Care, NC 27511 Jr. 010 4/0 2240 Lisense # C 00221							
		CAD FILE: Odell house as-built.dwg			STATE. NORTH CAROLINA	115 MacKenan Drive   Cary, NC 27511   1: 919.469.3340   license #: C-0832   www.withersravenel.com							
		PROJECT NO: 05180219.0	P.I.N.: AS SHOWN	ZONING:	SHEET: 1 OF 5								

SHEET 5

SHEET 1

**GRAPHIC SCALE** 

1 inch = 150 ft.



CE-3

— 1% FEMA FLOOD LINE





			65 65 & &L POWER LINE EASEMENT D.B. 1047 PG. 505		CP&L POW D.B. 1418 P RW-D-7255	VER LINE EASEMENT	SHEET 2
TOPOGRAPHIC CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY & ACCURACY I, <u>MARSHALL G. WIGHT</u> , CERTIFY THAT THIS PROJECT WAS COMPLETED UND MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION; THAT THIS GROUND SURVEY WAS PERFORM GEOGRAPHIC DATA COMMITTEE STANDARDS; THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PERF TOPOGRAPHIC/PLANIMETRIC SURVEY TO THE ACCURACY OF CLASS AA AND AND THAT THE ORIGINAL DATA WAS OBTAINED ON MARCH 19TH, 2021; THAT SHOWN AS [BROKEN LINES] MAY NOT MEET THE STATED STANDARD; AND AL BASED ON NAVD 88." THAT THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) SURVEY (GNSS) SURVEY: CLASS OF SURVEY <u>A</u> POSITIONAL ACCURACY AT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL: <u>HORIZONTAL &lt;0.07' US</u> TYPE OF GPS FIELD PROCEDURE <u>REDUNDANT VRS OBSERVATIONS</u> DATE OF SURVEY: ORIGINAL <u>8-1-2019</u> AS-BUILT <u>3-18-2021</u> DATUM/EPOCH : <u>NAD 83/2011</u> PUBLISHED/ FIXED-CONTROL USE: <u>VRS NETWORK</u> GEOID MODEL: <u>GEOID12B</u> COMBINED GRID FACTOR: <u>0.999898041 AT POINT 2 (SEE NOTES)</u>	ER MY DIRECT AND RESPONSIBLE CHARGE FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY ED AT THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LEVEL TO MEET FEDERAL DRMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A /ERTICAL ACCURACY WHEN APPLICABLE TO THE CLASS C STANDARD, THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON MAY 18TH, 2021; THAT CONTOURS . COORDINATES ARE BASED ON NAD 83(2011) AND ALL ELEVATIONS ARE AND THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS USED TO PERFORM THE GPS ET, VERTICAL <0.07' USFT.	SEAL	RW-A-7255				BHANCEMENT BUFFER (ATURE 0.00) (ATURE 0.00
UNITS: <u>US SURVEY FEET</u> THAT THIS MAP MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF THE STANDARDS OF PRACTIC WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND SEAL THIS <u>19 TH</u> DAY OF, <u>JULY</u> 7/19/2021 MARSHALL G. WIGHT, PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR L-5034	FOR LAND SURVEYING IN NORTH CAROLINA (21 NCAC 56.1600). .D., 2021. DocuSigned by: Marshall Wight 62C73F441B864C1	REVISIONS:	DATE: 5/23/2021SCALE: 1" = 50'SURVEYED BY: JSDRAWN BY: MGWCHECK & CLOSURE BY: MGWCAD FILE: Odell house as-built.dwgPROJECT NO: 05180219.0	A NCD "OI SPO FILE N TOWNSHIP: WILDERS P.I.N.: AS SHOWN	AS-BUILT RIPARIAN BUFFER SURVI FOR THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA EQ: DIVISION OF MITIGATION SER DELL'S HOUSE MITIGATION PROJI NO'S 51-DK, 51-DL DMS SITE ID I COUNTY: JOHNSTON ZONING:	EY A, RVICES ECT" NO. 100041 STATE: NORTH CAROLINA SHEET: 2 OF 5	WithersRavenel Engineers   Planners   Surveyors 115 MacKenan Drive   Cary, NC 27511   t: 919.469.3340   license #: C-0832   www.withersravenel.com









![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Odells House Nutrient Offset** and Riparian Buffer Project Johnston County, North Carolina DWR Project Number: DWR-2018-0200

June 2021 MY0

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Current Conditions Plan View Monitoring Year 0

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

Feet

500

NAD 1983 2011 State Plane North Carolina FIPS 3200 FT US

250

# Appendix B: Vegetation Assessment Data

Odell's House Mitigation Project Red-line Planting List												
Species	Common Name	Stems	% Planted	Mitigation Plan %								
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green Ash	228	3.00%	3%								
Betula nigra	River birch	608	8.00%	12%								
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	608	8.00%	10%								
Quercus pagoda	Cherrybark oak	532	7.00%	10%								
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	684	9.00%	12%								
Quercus nigra	Water Oak	532	7.00%	10%								
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Poplar	684	9.00%	12%								
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	532	7.00%	10%								
Diospyros virginiana	Persimmon	456	6.00%	4%								
Carpinus caroliniana	Ironwood	456	6.00%	3%								
Hamamelis virginiana	Witch Hazel	456	6.00%	3%								
Asimina triloba	Pawpaw	456	6.00%	4%								
Lindera benzoin	Spicebush	456	6.00%	4%								
Alnus serulatta	Tag Alder	456	6.00%	0%								
Corylus americana	Hazelnut	456	6.00%	3%								
Total		7,600	100%									

\* changes from mitigation plan in red

\*Tag Alder was not planted within potential Nutrient Buffer Areas

				Ve	getation Plot	Summary Ta	ble					
		Veg P	lot 1 F			Veg P	lot 2 F			Veg P	lot 3 F	
	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives
Monitoring Year 7												
Monitoring Year 5												
Monitoring Year 3												
Monitoring Year 2												
Monitoring Year 1												
Monitoring Year 0	688	2	9	0	648	2	9	0	607	2	8	0
		Veg P	lot 4 F			Veg P	lot 5 F			Veg P	lot 6 F	
	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives
Monitoring Year 7												
Monitoring Year 5												
Monitoring Year 3												
Monitoring Year 2												
Monitoring Year 1												
Monitoring Year 0	769	2	9	0	607	2	8	0	1214	2	9	0
		Veg P	lot 7 F									
	Stems/Ac.	Av. Ht. (ft)	# Species	% Invasives								
Monitoring Year 7												
Monitoring Year 5												
Monitoring Year 3												
Monitoring Year 2												
Monitoring Year 1												
Monitoring Year 0	850	2	8	0								

\*Each monitoring year represents a different plot for the random vegetation plot "groups". Random plots are denoted with an R, and fixed plots with an F.

Vegetation Plot Counts and Densities Table	
Planted Acreage	11.17
Date of Initial Plant	2021-03-01
Date(s) of Supplemental Plant(s)	#N/A
Date(s) Mowing	#N/A
Date of Current Survey	2021-03-23
Plot size (ACRES)	0.0247

	Colontific Nome	Common Nomo	Tree/	Indicator	Veg P	lot 1 F	Veg Pl	ot 2 F	Veg Pl	lot 3 F	Veg Pl	ot 4 F	F Veg Plot		/eg Plot 5 F Veg Plot 6 F		Veg Plot 7 F	
	Scientific Name	Common Name	Shrub	Status	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC			1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1				
	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1			8	8	2	2
	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	2	2					3	3					1	1
	Corylus americana	American hazelnut	Shrub	FACU			1	1							1	1	1	1
	Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	FAC									1	1	1	1		
Species	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	1	1									4	4		
Included in Approved Mitigation	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1						
	Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Tree	FACW			1	1					1	1			1	1
Plan	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	7	7			5	5
	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	4	4	2	2	4	4	3	3	2	2	5	5	6	6
	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	2	2	2	2					1	1	3	3		
	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3		
	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW			3	3	1	1	2	2			2	2	1	1
	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	1	1			2	2	2	2	1	1	3	3	4	4
Sum	Performance Standard				17	17	16	16	15	15	19	19	15	15	30	30	21	21
	Current Year Stem	Count				17		16		15		19		15		30		21
Mitigation	Stems/Acre					688		648		607		769		607		1214		850
Plan	Species Count	t				9		9		8		9		8		9		8
Performance	Dominant Species Comp	osition (%)				24		19		27		21		47		27		29
Standard	Average Plot Hei	ght				2		2		2		2		2		2		2
	% Invasives					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	Current Year Stem	Count				17		16		15		19		15		30		21
Post	Stems/Acre					688		648		607		769		607		1214		850
IVIITIgation	Species Count	t				9		9		8		9		8		9		8
Performance	Dominant Species Comp	osition (%)				24		19		27		21		47		27		29
Standard	Average Plot Hei				2		2		2		2		2		2		2	
	% Invasives					0		0		0		0		0		0		0

1). Bolded species are proposed for the current monitoring year, italicized species are not approved, and a regular font indicates that the species has been approved.

2). The "Species Included in Approved Mitigation Plan" section contains only those species that were included in the original approved mitigation plan. The "Post Mitigation Plan Species" section includes species that are being proposed through a mitigation plan addendum for the current monitoring year (bolded), species that have been approved in prior monitoring years through a mitigation plan addendum (regular font), and species that are not approved (italicized).

3). The "Mitigation Plan Performance Standard" section is derived only from stems included in the original mitigation plan, whereas the "Post Mitigation Plan Performance Standard" includes data from mitigation plan approved, post mitigation plan approved, and proposed stems.

# Appendix C: Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

Fixed Veg Plot 2 (MY-00)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

Fixed Veg Plot 3 (MY-00)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

Fixed Veg Plot 4 (MY-00)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

Fixed Veg Plot 5 (MY-00)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

Fixed Veg Plot 7 (MY-00)

Fixed Veg Plot 6 (MY-00)

# Appendix D: Vegetation Monitoring Plot Data Sheets

Vegetation Plot M	onitoring [	Data Sł	neets												
Project Name	Plot ID	MY	Scientific Name	Common Name	Tree or Shrub	Wetland Indicator Status		Approval	Planted or Volunteer	Height	Х	Y	Fixed or Random	Age	Monitoring Date
Odell's House	1	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	6.6	0.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.4	8.6	1.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	9.5	4.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	4.4	1.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.8	1.7	0.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	2.3	2.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3	4.6	4.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3	6.4	7.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	8.3	8.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1	4.7	7.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.3	3	5.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.3	0	3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	0.6	5.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	1.8	8.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	0.4	8.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.7	9.8	6.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	1	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	7.9	5.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.7	0.4	0.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	2.7	1.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.5	2.7	0	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.2	0.4	3.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	3	4.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.3	5.4	2.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	5.9	5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Corylus americana	American hazelnut	Shrub	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	7.5	2.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.8	10.7	1.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.2	10.8	4.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.2	12.6	3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.2	14.5	3.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.5	14.3	0.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	16.6	1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	19.7	0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	2	0	Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.8	19.6	3.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	0.1	0	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.6	2	1.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.6	1.6	3.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.3	1.5	6.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.2	1.3	8.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	3.2	6.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.7	4.7	8.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	5.3	6.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	5.7	3.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.2	6.4	1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1	9.7	0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	8.9	2.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.3	8.4	4.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.9	8	7.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	3	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	7.3	9.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.7	1	0.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.2	3.7	0.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.3	6.3	0.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	9.3	0.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	8.9	3.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	6.2	3.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	3.3	3.3	F	0	3/23/2021

Vegetation Plot Monitoring Data Sheets														
Project Name	Plot ID	MY	Scientific Name	Common Name	Tree or Shrub	Wetland Indicator Status	1	Approval	Planted or Volunteer	Height	X Y	Fixed or Random	Age	Monitoring Date
Odell's House	4	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.9	0.8 3.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	0.5 6.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.3	3 6.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	5.8 6.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	8.1 6.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.8	8.5 9.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.2	6.2 9.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	5.1 8.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.2	3.4 8.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.1	0.5 9.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.3	4.2 5.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	4	0	Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.3	7.1 1.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	1.2 0.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	4 1.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	6.6 0.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	9.4 0.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.3	4.2 3.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.2	1.6 2.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	2.1 5.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	6.1 5.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.9	8.7 4.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.7	9.4 8.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.1	6.8 8.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.8	3.9 8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	1.8 7.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	5	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	2 9.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	0.4 0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.3	0.4 2.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	1 4.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	3.1 3.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	2.3 2.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	2.1 0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.3	4 0	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Corylus americana	American hazelnut	Shrub	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.2	4.4 0	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1	5 4.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.9	7.4 3.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1	6.8 2.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.8	5.7 0.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	6.6 0.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.4	8.5 2.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.9	9.4 3.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	10.5 2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.1	10.2 0.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	12.2 0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	12.6 1.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.6	11.5 3.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.9	13.5 3.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	FAC	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	15.4 3.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.1	14.7 1.8	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.6	14.2 0.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	16.1 0.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.1	16.4 1.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	17.3 3.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.7	18.2 2.5	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	6	0	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	FACW	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	18.2 0.5	F	0	3/23/2021
h				-										

Vegetation Plot Monitoring Data Sheets															
Project Name	Plot ID	MY	Scientific Name	Common Name	Tree or Shrub	Wetland Indicator Status		Approval	Planted or Volunteer	Height	Х	Y	Fixed or Random	Age	Monitoring Date
Odell's House	6	0	Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	19.9	4.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	FAC	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.5	0.5	0.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.9	2.9	0.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3	6.5	0.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.1	9	0.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.7	7.2	2.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	4.9	2.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Corylus americana	American hazelnut	Shrub	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.9	2.4	2.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.1	0.3	2.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	0.9	0.9	4.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	3.1	3.3	4.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2	5.7	4.9	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.4	7.6	4.3	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.6	9.7	6.6	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.9	7.4	6.7	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.4	5.5	7.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.5	3.1	7.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1	0.9	7.1	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	FACW	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.9	0.8	9.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	2.7	3.2	9.2	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	N	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	1.8	5.9	9.4	F	0	3/23/2021
Odell's House	7	0	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	FACU	Ν	Approved Mit Plan	Planted	4	8	8.9	F	0	3/23/2021

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Plot	Scientific	Common	n Map		
ID	Name	Name	ID		
1	Liriodendron	tulintrop	2		
1	tulipifera	tunptiee	d		
1	Platanus	American	h		
1	occidentalis	sycamore	U		
1	Liriodendron	tulintree			
<u> </u>	tulipifera	tunptree	· ·		
1	Platanus	American	Ь		
	occidentalis	sycamore	u.		
1	Quercus nigra	water oak	е		
1	Quercus	willow oak	f		
-	phellos	willow ouk			
1	Liriodendron	tuliotree	σ		
_	tulipifera	comperee	6		
1	Betula nigra	river birch	h		
1	Carpinus	i			
-	caroliniana	hornbeam			
1	Hamamelis	American	i		
_	virginiana	witchhazel	,		
1	Fraxinus	green ash	k		
-	pennsylvanica	0			
1	Quercus	swamp	1		
	michauxii	chestnut oak			
1	Quercus	swamp	m		
	michauxii	chestnut oak			
1	Platanus	American	n		
	occidentalis	sycamore			
1	Platanus	American	0		
	occidentalis	sycamore			
1	Liriodendron	tuliptree	p		
	tulipifera				
1	Carpinus	American	q		
	caroliniana	hornbeam			

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Plot	Scientific	Common	Map		
ID	Name	Name	ID		
,	Quercus	cherrybark	-		
2	pagoda	oak	a		
,	Quercus	cherrybark	h		
2	pagoda				
2	Hamamelis	American	~		
2	virginiana	witchhazel	Ľ		
2	Betula nigra	river birch	d		
,	Quercus	cherrybark			
2	pagoda	oak	e		
2	Betula nigra	river birch	f		
2	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	g		
2	Corylus	American	h		
2	americana	hazelnut	n		
,	Platanus				
2	occidentalis	sycamore	1		
,	Liriodendron	tulintree			
-	tulipifera	tamptree	,		
2	Liriodendron	dendron tuliptree			
-	tulipifera	comperee			
2	Quercus	swamp			
_	michauxii	chestnut oak			
2	Hamamelis	American	m		
_	virginiana	witchhazel			
>	Quercus	swamp	n		
-	michauxii	chestnut oak			
>	Platanus	American	0		
_	occidentalis	sycamore			
2	Lindera	northern	D		
-	benzoin	spicebush	4		

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Plot	Scientific	Common	Мар	
ID	Name	Name	ID	
5	Diospyros	common		
ر ا	virginiana	persimmon	a	
F	Liriodendron	tulintrop	h	
0	tulipifera	tunptree	U	
F	Quercus	swamp	-	
n	michauxii	chestnut oak	C	
F	Platanus	4		
2	occidentalis	a		
-	Liriodendron	tullation a	_	
5	tulipifera	tuliptree	e	
-	Quercus			
5	phellos	willow oak		
-	Lindera	northern	_	
n	benzoin	spicebush	g	
5	Asimina triloba	pawpaw	h	
5	Liriodendron	tuliptree	i	
5	Ouercus nigra	water oak	i	
	Platanus	American	,	
5	occidentalis	sycamore	k	
	Liriodendron	Sycamore		
5	tulipifera	tuliptree	1	
	Liriodendron			
5	tulipifera	tuliptree	m	
-	Liriodendron	Audin Anno C		
C	tulipifera	tunptree	n	
5	Liriodendron	tulintroo		
5	tulinifera	unpuee	0	

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Plot	Scientific	Common	Map		
ID	Name	Name	ID		
c	Diospyros	common			
0	virginiana	persimmon	а		
~	Quercus		L.		
6	phellos	willow oak	U		
-	Quercus				
6	phellos	willow oak	С		
_	Fraxinus				
6	pennsylvanica	green ash	d		
	Quercus	cherrybark			
6	pagoda	oak	e		
	Platanus	American			
6	occidentalis	sycamore	f		
	Fraxinus	Sycamore			
6	pennsylvanica	green ash	g		
-	Corvlus				
6	americana	hazelnut	h		
-	Quercus	nazemat			
6	phollos	willow oak	i i		
6	Quarcus pigra				
6	Quercus nigra	water oak	J		
6	Quercus nigra	water Oak	K I		
0	Blatanus	Amorican			
6	Fidantalia	American	m		
-	Occidentalis	sycamore			
6	Quercus	cherrybark	n		
-	pagoda	Oak			
6	Platanus	0			
0	Occidentalis Ratula alera	sycamore			
0	Betula nigra	riverbirch	p		
0	Betula nigra	river birch	q		
6	Quercus swamp		r		
-	michauxii	chestnut oak			
6	Betula nigra	river birch	S		
6	Platanus	American	t		
	occidentalis	sycamore			
6	Platanus	American	u		
-	occidentalis	sycamore			
6	Quercus nigra	water oak	V		
6	Betula nigra	river birch	W		
6	Betula nigra	river birch	x		
6	Fraxinus	green ash	v		
	pennsylvanica	0	· ·		
6	Betula nigra	river birch	Z		
6	Quercus	swamp	A		
-	michauxii	uxii chestnut oak			
6	Betula nigra	river birch	В		
6	Fraxinus	green ash	с		
Ŭ	pennsylvanica	Siccinasti	č		
6	Quercus	swamp	D		
0	michauxii	chestnut oak			

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

Plot	Scientific	Common	Map	
ID	Name	Name	ID	
7	Carpinus	American		
<u> </u>	caroliniana	hornbeam	°	
7	Platanus	American	ь	
	occidentalis	sycamore		
7	Platanus	American		
<u> </u>	occidentalis	sycamore	C	
7	Betula nigra	river birch	d	
7	Quercus	willow.ook		
	phellos	WITOW Oak	e	
7	Betula nigra	river birch	f	
-	Corylus	American	-	
	americana	hazeInut	g	
7	Platanus	American	h	
	occidentalis	sycamore	n	
7	Lindera	northern		
	benzoin	spicebush		
7	Liriodendron			
	tulipifera	tunptree	1	
7	Platanus	American	k	
	occidentalis	sycamore	ĸ	
7	Platanus			
	occidentalis	sycamore	1	
7	Quercus	willow.ook		
	phellos	WITOW Oak		
7	Quercus	willow oak	n	
	phellos	whow oak		
7	Platanus	American		
<u> </u>	occidentalis	sycamore	0	
7	Liriodendron	tuliptroc		
	tulipifera	unpriee	P	
7	Quercus	cherrybark		
	pagoda	oak	Ч	
7	Quercus	willow osk		
<i>'</i>	phellos	whowoak	r	
7	Liriodendron	tulintrac		
	tulipifera	tunptree	2	
7	Liriodendron	tulintran	+	
	tulipifera	tunptree	t	
7	Liriodendron	tulintroc		
7	tulipifera	tunptree	u	