

Prepared for: NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699

Monitoring Data Collected: November 2020 Date Submitted: January 2021

Mitigation Project Name	Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site	USACE Action ID
DMS ID	100053	DWR Permit
River Basin	Lumber	Date Project Instituted
Cataloging Unit	03040203	Date Prepared
County	Columbus	Stream/Wet. Service Area

#### Signature of Official Approving Credit Release

2016-02026

2015-0903 4/25/2018

5/13/2020

Lumber 03040203

1 - For NCDMS, no credits are released during the first milestone (Site Establishment).

2 - For NCDMS projects, the initial credit release milestone occurs when the as-built report (baseline monitoring report) has been approved by the NCIRT and posted to the NCDMS Portal, provided the following criteria are met:

1) Approval of Final Mitigation Plan;

2) Recordation of the preservation mechanism, as well as a title opinion acceptable to the USACE covering the property;

3) Completion of all physical and biological improvements to the mitigation site pursuant to the mitigation plan;

4) Receipt of necessary DA permit authorization or written DA approval for projects where DA permit issuance is not required.

3 - A 10% reserve of credits is to be held back until the bankful event performance standard has been met.

Credit Release Milestone	Warm Stream Credits							
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release Year	Actual Release Date	
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	1,368.960	0.000	1,368.960	2020	5/13/2020	
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%					2021		
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%					2022		
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	10.00%					2023		
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%					2024		
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	10.00%					2025		
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2026		
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2027		
Stream Bankfull Standard	10.00%							
			Totals		1,368.960			

Total Gross Credits	4,563.200
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	1,368.960
Total Percentage Released	30.00%
<b>Remaining Unreleased Credits</b>	3,194.240

Credit Release Milestone	Riparian Credits							
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release Year	Actual Release Date	
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	6.299	0.000	6.299	2020	5/13/2020	
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%					2021		
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%					2022		
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	15.00%					2023		
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%					2024		
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	15.00%					2025		
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2026		
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2027		
Stream Bankfull Standard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	÷	-	Totals		6.299		-	

Total Gross Credits	20.993
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	6.299
Total Percentage Released	30.01%
Remaining Unreleased Credits	14.694

Mitigation Project Name DMS ID River Basin Cataloging Unit County Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site 100053 Lumber 03040203 Columbus USACE Action ID DWR Permit Date Project Instituted Date Prepared Stream/Wet. Service Area 2016-02026 2015-0903 4/25/2018 5/13/2020 Lumber 03040203

Notes

Contingencies (if any)

#### **Project Quantities**

Mitigation Type	Restoration Type	Physical Quantity
Warm Stream	Restoration	4,446.000
Warm Stream	Enhancement II	164.000
Warm Stream	Preservation	516.000
Riparian	Restoration	17.079
Riparian	Enhancement	5.956
Riparian	Preservation	15.319

Debits								Stream Restoration Equivalent Credits	Riparian Restoration	Riparian Restoration Equivalent Credits
Beginning Balance (mitigation credits)						4,511.600	51.600	17.079	3.914	
Released Credits						1,353.480	15.480	5.124	1.175	
Unrealized Credits						0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Owning Program	Req. Id	TIP #	Project Name	USACE Permit #	DWR Permit #	DCM Permit #				
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-005686		Bojangles' Lumberton	2012-00771	2012-0727v3				0.966	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-005932		Mid South Club	2007-00490	2007-0128				0.340	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006389		PNG Line 34 Replacement Project	2014-02235	2015-0507				0.359	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006688		SR 1504 - Bridge 175 - Division 6	2016-02399					0.040	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006702		SR 1005 - Bridge 230126 - Division 6	2017-00317					0.040	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006741		SR 1112 - Bridge 19 - Division 8	2017-00450					0.080	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007172	P-4900	Rail - Pembroke Connector	2006-33096	2015-0068				0.407	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007370	P-4900	Rail - Pembroke Connector	2006-33096	2015-0068				0.050	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007370	P-4900	Rail - Pembroke Connector	2006-33096	2015-0068					0.050
Total Credits Debited					0.000	0.000	2.282	0.050		
Remaining Available balance (Released credits)					1,353.480	15.480	2.842	1.125		
Remaining balance (Un	released cred	lits)					3,158.120	36.120	11.955	2.739

Mitigation Project Name DMS ID River Basin Cataloging Unit County Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site 97005 Lumber 03040203 Columbus USACE Action ID DWR Permit Date Project Instituted Date Prepared Stream/Wet. Service Area 2015-00952 2015-0903 7/16/2015 5/13/2020 Lumber 03040203

#### Signature of Official Approving Credit Release

1 - For NCDMS, no credits are released during the first milestone (Site Establishment).

2 - For NCDMS projects, the initial credit release milestone occurs when the as-built report (baseline monitoring report) has been approved by the NCIRT and posted to the NCDMS Portal, provided the following criteria are met:

1) Approval of Final Mitigation Plan;

2) Recordation of the preservation mechanism, as well as a title opinion acceptable to the USACE covering the property;

3) Completion of all physical and biological improvements to the mitigation site pursuant to the mitigation plan;

4) Receipt of necessary DA permit authorization or written DA approval for projects where DA permit issuance is not required.

3 - A 10% reserve of credits is to be held back until the bankful event performance standard has been met.

Credit Release Milestone	Riparian Credits							
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release Year	Actual Release Date	
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	6.080	0.000	6.080	2020	5/13/2020	
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%					2021		
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%					2022		
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	15.00%					2023		
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%					2024		
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	15.00%					2025		
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2026		
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2027		
Stream Bankfull Standard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Totals		6.080			

Total Gross Credits	20.267
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	6.080
Total Percentage Released	30.00%
Remaining Unreleased Credits	14.187

Credit Release Milestone	Non-Riparian Credits							
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release Year	Actual Release Date	
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	3.562	0.000	3.562	2020	5/13/2020	
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%					2021		
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%					2022		
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	15.00%					2023		
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%					2024		
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	15.00%					2025		
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2026		
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2027		
Stream Bankfull Standard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Totals		3.562			

Total Gross Credits	11.873
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	3.562
Total Percentage Released	30.00%
Remaining Unreleased Credits	8.311

Mitigation Project Name **Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site** USACE Action ID 2015-00952 DMS ID 97005 **DWR Permit** 2015-0903 **River Basin** Lumber **Date Project Instituted** 7/16/2015 03040203 Cataloging Unit Date Prepared 5/13/2020 Columbus Stream/Wet. Service Area Lumber 03040203 County

Notes

Contingencies (if any)

#### **Project Quantities**

Mitigation Type	Restoration Type	Physical Quantity
Riparian	Restoration	20.267
Non-Riparian	Restoration	11.873

Debits							Riparian Restoration	Non-Riparian Restoration Credits
Beginning Balance (I	mitigation cred	its)					20.267	11.873
Released Credits							6.080	3.562
Unrealized Credits							0.000	0.000
Owning Program	Req. Id	TIP #	Project Name	USACE Permit #	DWR Permit #	DCM Permit #		
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-005514		PNG Sutton	2010-01309	2011-0855		3.449	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006389		PNG Line 34 Replacement Project	2014-02235	2015-0507		1.161	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006394		PNG Line 1 Replacement	2015-00195			1.280	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-006930		Smithfield Foods Expansion	2017-00550	1991-0022		0.040	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007528		Industrial Park Utility	2018-01004	2018-0687		0.150	
Statewide Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007024		Atlantic Coast Pipeline	2014-01558				3.562
Total Credits Debited					6.080	3.562		
Remaining Available balance (Released credits)					0.000	0.000		
Remaining balance (Unreleased credits)					14.187	8.311		

# Monitoring and Design Firm





KCI Associates of North Carolina 4505 Falls of Neuse Road, Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214

> Project Contact: Tim Morris Email: <u>tim.morris@kci.com</u>



ENGINEERS • SCIENTISTS • SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS 4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214 (919) 783-9266 Fax

#### MEMORANDUM

Date:	February 2, 2021
To:	Kelly Phillips, DMS Project Manager
From:	Tim Morris, Project Manager
	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA
Subject:	MY-01 Monitoring Report Comments
	Rough Horn Swamp DMS #97005, Contract 6596
	Rough Horn Swamp II DMS #100053, Contract 7514

Please find below our responses in italics to the MY-01 Monitoring Report comments from NCDMS received on February 1, 2021, for the Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Sites.

- 1. Cover Sheet: Please add the RFP # to the cover sheet. *KCI Response: This change has been made.*
- 2. Please identify the stream thermal regime (warm) in the project summary information. *KCI Response: This change has been made.*
- 3. Please remind the reader the purpose of the non-credited stream work conducted on the RHS site. *KCI Response: Since Long Bay Creek and UT1 are necessary components of the restored hydrology of the riparian wetlands at RHS, these streams were restored within the boundaries of the site even though no stream credits were generated. A sentence explaining this has been added to the report.*
- 4. Stream Monitoring: During monitoring were the gage battery levels and function checked. Please indicate a brief statement indicating the status of the gage function. *KCI Response: Because this is the first year of the site's monitoring, gauge battery levels were not checked. KCI has found that the gauges have an effective battery life of 5 to 7 years and plans to replace all gauges on site before the beginning of MY05. A statement indicating that all gauges were functioning properly has been added to the report. All gauges will be checked and repaired as necessary before the beginning of the MY02 growing season.*
- 5. General: Several instances of project number 10000053 were shown in the report. Please update. *KCI Response: This error has been corrected.*
- 6. Digital Deliverable Review: The feature that DMS has for Long Bay Creek (100053) has a length of 1866 ft as opposed to the 2049 ft in the asset table. Please submit a feature that accurately characterizes the length reported in the asset table. KCI Response: The 2049 ft listed in the asset table includes a 30.7 foot crossing and 152.3 feet of uncredited stream between the confluence with UT2 and the point where the stream enters the site. A note about the 153 feet of uncredited stream has been added to the asset table and this portion of the stream has been added to the shapefile.

KCI Associates of North Carolina, P.A.

Please contact me if you have any questions or would like clarification concerning these responses.

Sincerely,

the grant

Tim Morris Project Manager

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Project Summary	1
Monitoring Results	2
References	3
Project Vicinity Map	4

# **Appendix A – Background Tables**

Table 1. Project Mitigation Components	. 6
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	. 8
Table 3. Project Contacts	. 8
Table 4. Project Attributes	. 9

### Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Current Conditions Planview	. 12
Photo Reference Points	. 17
Vegetation Plot Photos	. 21

# Appendix C – Vegetation Data

Table 5. Stem Count by Plo	t and Species
----------------------------	---------------

### Appendix D – Stream Cross-section Data

Cross-section Plots
---------------------

## <u>Appendix E – Hydrologic Data</u>

30-70 Percentile Graph	. 51
Table 6. Verification of Stream Flow	. 52
Table 7. Stream Flow Criteria Attainment	. 52
Stream Flow Verification and Precipitation Plots	. 53
Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment	. 57
Groundwater and Precipitation Plots	. 58

### PROJECT SUMMARY

The Rough Horn Swap Restoration Site (RHS) was completed in January 2020 and restored 20.267 acres of riparian wetlands and 11.873 of non-riparian wetlands. Additionally, 2,132 linear feet of stream (non-credited) was restored at RHS as part of restoring the hydrology of the riparian wetlands. The site is generating 20.267 riparian wetland mitigation credits, and 11.873 non-riparian wetland mitigation credits. The Rough Horn II Wetland Restoration Site (RHSII) is located immediately upstream of RHS (to the north and east) and was also completed in January 2020. RHSII restored 17.079 acres, enhanced 5.956 acres, and preserved 15.319 acres of riparian wetlands. The site also restored 1.619 acres of non-riparian wetlands (non-credited). Additionally, RHSII restored 4,446 linear feet, enhanced 164 linear feet, and preserved 516 linear feet of stream. The site is generating 20.993 riparian wetland mitigation credits and 4,564 stream mitigation credits.

RHS and RHSII are warm, riparian and non-riparian systems in the Lumber River Basin (03040203 8-digit HUC) in Columbus County, North Carolina, that were historically modified to maximize agricultural production. The completed project aims to restore an integrated stream/wetland ecosystem that will buffer and support the Long Bay Creek/Lumber River corridor.

The RHS is protected by a 34.5-acre permanent conservation easement, while RHSII is protected by a 62.3acre permanent conservation easement, both held by the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). Both sites are located near the Town of Evergreen in the west-central portion of Columbus County, NC. Specifically, the site is located just southwest of the intersection of Old Boardman Road and CCC Road.

The Lumber River Basin Restoration Priorities state the goals for the RHS and RHSII's 14-digit HUC are to protect and improve water quality throughout the Basin by reducing sediment and nutrient inputs into streams and rivers and to support efforts to restore local watersheds (NCDENR EEP, 2008). The project goals for RHS and RHSII are in line with the basin priorities and include the following:

- Replace buffer
- Repairing channelized streams
- Preserving existing resources

Additional goals for the project include:

- Restore an integrated wetland/stream system
- Reduce nutrient impacts to the Lumber River and its tributaries from existing and adjacent agricultural practices

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Plant the site with native trees and shrubs that support the development of wetland communities
- Fill field ditches to slow the flow of surface and subsurface drainage
- Relocate channelized streams to their historic landscape position
- Convert existing agricultural land to wetland and stream buffer

Project planting and construction were completed in March 2020 and the monitoring components were installed at the same time.

To determine the success of the planted mitigation areas, 41 ten meter by ten meter vegetation monitoring plots were established. Of these, 25 are permanent plots, with 16 in RHS (Plots 1-16) and 9 in RHSII (Plots 17-25), and an additional 16 temporary plots were randomly placed and measured throughout RHS (R1-R16). These plots will be repeated throughout the course of monitoring, but at different locations each year.

All permanent plots were installed with flagged metal conduit at each corner and a PVC pipe was installed at the origin corner. In each of the permanent plots, the plant's height, species, location, and origin (planted versus volunteer) will be noted. In the random plots, species and height will be recorded. In all plots, invasive stems will also be recorded to determine the percentage of invasive stems present. Additionally, a photograph will be taken of each plot. The site's vegetation will be monitored in years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7.

Vegetative success criteria for wetland/stream mitigation is a woody stem density of 260 stems/acre after five years and 210 stems/acre after seven years. Trees in each plot must average 7 feet in height at Year 5 and 10 feet at Year 7. A single species may not account for more than 50% of the required number of stems within any plot. Volunteers must be present for a minimum of two growing seasons before being included in performance standards in Year 5 and Year 7. For any volunteer tree stem to count toward vegetative success, it must be a species from the approved planting list. Visual assessments will also be used to identify problem areas.

Wetland hydrology is monitored with a series of 21 automatic gauges that record water table depth. The growing season for the project monitoring period will be March 1st through November 20th (265 days) based on correspondence with the USACE, as described in the approved Mitigation Plan. To meet the success criterion, the upper 12 inches of the soil profile must have continuously saturated or inundated conditions for at least 12.0% (32 days) of the growing season in the wetland mitigation areas during normal weather conditions. A "normal" year will be based on NRCS climatological data for Columbus County, and using the 30th to 70th percentile thresholds as the range of normal, as documented in the USACE Technical Report "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology, April 2000."

In the headwater stream area, five pressure transducer gauges and five cameras, set to record a short video once a day, will document the presence of surface water flow. These gauges/cameras are located on Long Bay Creek, UT1, UT2-2, UT3-2, and UT4 (one gauge and camera, per reach). The project streams must meet the requirements for headwater stream hydrologic monitoring per the NCIRT 2016 guidelines. Each stream must have continuous surface water flow within a flowpath for a minimum of 30 continuous days within a calendar year (assuming normal precipitation) and for every year of monitoring. The stream must show signs of supporting flowpaths in all monitoring years. These indicators will be documented with pictures and may include evidence of: scour, sediment deposition and sorting, multiple flow events, wrack lines and flow over vegetation, leaf litter, matted vegetation, or water staining.

The site's geomorphology is monitored per the NCIRT's 2016 guidance for headwater streams. Adjustment and lateral movement following construction are anticipated for these headwater stream systems. In monitoring years one through four the streams will be monitored for specific signs of concentrated flow. This could include linear scour, areas of flow that are deeper than adjacent flow, preferential paths through the wetland that are developing, and signs of continuous flow as documented by a field camera. As the site progresses to years five through seven, there should be signs of developing bed and banks throughout the site. These may not always be continuous, but evidence of an ordinary high water mark should be developing. Three cross-sections were installed during MY-01 to monitor the sites geomorphology and the development of areas of concentrated flow. All three of these cross-sections are located along Long Bay Creek, with XS1 located in RHSII and XS2 and XS3 located in RHS

### **MONITORING RESULTS**

### **Vegetation Monitoring**

Monitoring Year 1 vegetation data was collected between October 15 and November 19, 2020. All 41 vegetation monitoring plots had greater than 360 stems/acre. Overall the site had an average of 833 planted stems/acre and 1,906 total stems/acre (including volunteers). Overall the site is well vegetated with extensive herbaceous coverage and many diverse volunteer woody species.

#### **Stream Monitoring**

The Monitoring Year 1 cross-section survey found the stream stable and functioning as designed. Because the project streams are part of a headwater system with multiple flow paths, traditional cross-sections measurements such as cross-sectional area, bank height ratio, and entrenchment ratio cannot be calculated. These cross-sections were set to span the entire 100 foot width of the stream valley to monitor where and how the water is flowing through this valley. All three cross-sections showed evidence of the development of multiple flow paths. XS3, because of its proximity to the culvert under CCC Rd. showed the most evidence of having a single flow path, but even this cross-section demonstrated multiple flow paths.

The gauge on Long Bay Creek was installed on March 2, 2020, while the rest of the stream gauges were installed on September 24, 2020. All of the gauges recorded flow for the entirety of the time they collected data (277 days for LBC, 71 days for UT1, UT2-2, UT3-2, and UT4). This was further backed up by the data recorded by the cameras. The camera on LBC was installed on June 8, 2020 and recorded flow for the entire duration that it recorded (179 days). The camera on UT3-2 was installed on April 10, 2020 and recorded a maximum of 78 days. The cameras on UT1, UT2-2, and UT4 were all installed on September 24, 2020 and recorded flow for the entire duration that they recorded (71 days).

#### Hydrology Monitoring

During 2020, the months of January, March and October experienced average rainfall. The months of February, April, May, June, August, September, November, and December experienced above average rainfall and the month of July experienced below average rainfall. Overall the site experienced above average rainfall during the 2020 growing season.

Twelve of the thirteen gauges at Rough Horn Swamp, and all eight of the gauges at Rough Horn Swamp II achieved the success criteria of having continuously saturated or inundated conditions for at least 12.0% (32 days) of the growing season. The gauge that did not meet the success criteria (RHS 12) is located in the non-riparian area and was continuously saturated within 12 inches of the soil surface for 7.9% (21 days) of the growing season. No gauges malfunctioned during MY01.

### **REFERENCES**

- NCDEQ, Division of Mitigation Services. June 2017. "As-built Baseline Monitoring Report Format, Data and Content Requirement." <u>https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation%20Services/Document%20Management%20Libra</u> <u>ry/Guidance%20and%20Template%20Documents/6\_AB\_Baseline\_\_Rep\_Templ\_June</u> <u>%202017.pdf</u>
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2008. "Lumber River Restoration Priorities 2008." <u>https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation%20Services/Watershed\_Planning/Lumber\_River\_B</u> <u>asin/Lumber\_RBRP\_2008\_FINAL.pdf</u>
- NCIRT. October 24, 2016. "Wilmington District Stream and Wetland Compensatory Mitigation Update." <u>https://saw-reg.usace.army.mil/PN/2016/Wilmington-District-Mitigation-Update.pdf</u>
- USACE, Sprecher, S. W.; Warne, A. G. 2000. "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology." <u>https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/ADA378910.xhtml</u>



# **APPENDIX** A

**Background Tables** 

# Table 1. Mitigation Assets and Components Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site DMS Design 4 #07005

DMS Project #97005						
Project Segment	Existing Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage				

Project Segment	Exis Foota Acr	sting age or œage	Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Category	Restoration Level	Priority Level	Mitigation Ratio (X:1)	As-built Footage or Acreage	Comments
Long Bay Creek	3,4	470	1,959	Warm	Restoration	Low Energy Stream	0	1,959	60' ROW over CCC Rd.; completed for no stream credit
UT1	2	4	233	Warm	Restoration	Low Energy Stream	0	233	Completed for no stream credit
Riparian Wetland	None ( wetl	(drained land)	20.267	Riverine Riparian	Restoration (Re-establishment)		1	20.267	
Non-Riparian Wetland	0.	.16	11.873	Riverine Non-riparian	Restoration (Re-establishment)		1	11.873	
				1	Project Credits				
			Steam		Riparian Wetland			Non-riparian	
Restoration Leve	el —	Warm	Cool	Cold	Riverine	Non-ri	verine	Wetland	Coastal Marsh
Restoration		2,132 (no credited)	t						
Re-establishment	:				20.267			11.873	
Rehabilitation									
Enhancement									
Enhancement I									
Enhancement II									
Creation									
Preservation									
Total					20.267			11.873	

#### **Table 1. Mitigation Assets and Components Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site DMS Project #100053** Existing Mitigation As-built Mitigation Mitigation Priority Footage or **Plan Footage** Footage or **Project Segment Restoration Level** Comments Category Level Ratio (X:1) Acreage or Acreage Acreage 30' crossing exception STA Low Energy Long Bay Creek 2,077 2,049 Warm Restoration 1 2,049 14+66 to 14+96; 153'non-Stream credited stream Headwater 1 UT1 815 917 Warm Restoration 917 Stream Headwater UT2-1 516 516 Warm Preservation 10 516 Stream Headwater UT2-2 120 120 Warm Restoration 1 120 Stream Headwater 2.5 UT3-1 168 164 Warm Enhancement II 164 Stream 31' crossing exception STA 301+64 to 301+95 Headwater UT3-2 571 914 Warm Restoration 1 914 Stream Headwater UT4 447 629 629 Warm Restoration 1 Stream **Riparian Wetland** None (drained Riverine Restoration 17.079 1 17.079 Restoration Riparian (Re-establishment) wetland) **Riparian** Wetland Riverine 7.900 5.956 Enhancement 2.5 5.956 Enhancement Riparian **Riparian** Wetland Riverine 16.700 15.319 Preservation 10 15.319 Preservation Riparian Completed for no Non-riparian None (drained Riverine Restoration 0 1.619 1.619 Wetland Restoration wetland) Non-riparian (Re-establishment) wetland credit **Project Credits** Steam **Riparian Wetland** Non-riparian **Restoration Level Coastal Marsh** Warm Cool Cold Riverine Non-riverine Wetland Restoration 4,446.000 **Re-establishment** 17.079 1.619 (not credited) Rehabilitation Enhancement 2.382 Enhancement I Enhancement II 65.600 Creation Preservation 51.600 1.532 Total 4,563.200 20.993

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History		
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Sites		
DMS Project #97005 and 100053		
	Data Collection	Actual Completion or
Activity or Report	Complete	Delivery
Mitigation Plan		April 2, 2019
Final Design - Construction Plans		April 16, 2019
Construction		January 24, 2020
Planting		March 13, 2020
Baseline Monitoring/Report	April 2020	April 2020
Vegetation Monitoring	March 25, 2020	
Photo Points	April 8, 2020	
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec 2020	Jan 2021
Cross-section Survey	Aug 12, 2020	
Vegetation Monitoring	Nov 19, 2020	
Photo Points	Dec 3, 2020	

Table 3. Project Contacts					
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn II Swamp Restoration Sites					
DMS Project #97005 and 100053					
Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA				
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.				
	Suite 400				
	Raleigh, NC 27609				
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris				
	Phone: (919) 783-9214				
	Fax: (919) 783-9266				
<b>Construction Contractor</b>	KCI Environmental Technologies and Construction				
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.				
	Suite 400				
	Raleigh, NC 27609				
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris				
Planting Contractor	Shenandoah Habitats				
	1983 Jefferson Highway				
	Waynesboro, VA 22980				
	Contact: Mr. David Coleman				
	Phone: (540) 941-0067				
Monitoring Performers					
	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC				
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.				
	Suite 400				
	Raleigh, NC 27609				
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris				
	Phone: (919) 783-9214				
	Fax: (919) 783-9266				

Table 4. Project Attributes												
Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site, DMS Project #97005												
Project Name	Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site											
County	Columbus County											
Project Area (acres)	34.5 acres											
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)	34.4481°, -78.9390°											
Project Watershed Summary Information												
Physiographic Province		Co	astal Plain									
River Basin	Lumber											
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03040203	03040203190010										
DWQ Sub-basin	03-07-53											
Project Drainage Area (acres)	1,800 acres											
Project Drainage Area Percentage of	1%											
Impervious Area	1 %0											
CGIA Land Use Classification	Agricultural Land, Forestland											
Reach Summery Information												
Parameters	Long Bay Creek											
Length of reach (linear feet)	3,702											
Valley classification	Type X											
Drainage area (acres)	1,800 acres											
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	C (Aquatic Life, Secondary Recreation); Sw (Swamp Waters)											
Morphological Description (stream type)	N/A (Ditched Channel)											
Evolutionary trend	Channelized, Stage III											
Mapped Soil Series	Johnston											
Drainage class	Very poorly drained											
Soil Hydric status	Hydric A/D											
Slope	0%											
FEMA classification	Zone X											
Existing vegetation community		R	ow crops									
Wetla	nd Summary Inform	nation (Post Resto	ration)									
Parameters												
Size of Wetland (acres)	0.16 (W3)											
Wetland Type	Headwater Forest											
Mapped Soil Series	Torhunta											
Drainage class	Very poorly drained											
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric A/D											
Source of Hydrology	Groundwater											
Hydrologic Impairment	Ditching											
Existing vegetation community	Row crops											
	Regulatory Co	onsiderations	G									
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Sup	porting								
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictiona	I Determination								
waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictiona									
Endangered Species Act**	NO	N/A		N/A								
Inistoric Preservation Act**	INO	IN/A	<sup>_</sup>	N/A								
(CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act	No	N/A	,	N/A								
(CAMA)	INO	IN/A	1									
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	FEMA Floor	dplain Checklist								
Essential Fisheries Habitat**	No	N/A	]	N/A								

Table 4. Project Attributes													
Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site, DMS Project #100053													
Project Name	Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site												
County	Columbus County												
Project Area (acres)	62.3 acres												
Project Coordinates (lat.	34.445253°81.937000°												
	Project Watershed Summary Information												
Physiographic Province		0			2	Co	astal Pla	in					
River Basin	Lumber												
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-		03040203 <b>USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit</b> 03040203190010											
DWO Sub-basin	03-07-53												
Project Drainage Area (act	res)		1,684 acres (1,638 ac Long Bag Creek + 46 ac UT 1)										
Project Drainage Area Per	Area	1%											
CGIA Land Use Classifier	tion	, i neu											
COTA Land Use Classification Agricultural Land, Forestiand Reach Summery Information													
Parameters	Long Bay Creek	INC.	UT1		<b>TT2</b>	I I'I	3	UT4	UT5				
Langth of reach (lf)		911		012		72	0	447	507				
Velles election	2,077 (KHSII)	011 T		636		75	9 - V	447	397 Taura V				
Valley classification	1 (28 a area	1	ype x	Type X		1 yp	ex		120 a server				
Drainage area (acres)	1,038 acres	40	bacres	602	acres	142 8	icres	84 acres	120 acres				
Classification	C; SW	C; SW		C	C; SW		SW	C; SW	C; SW				
Morphological	N/A (Ditched	N/A (Ditabad		N/A (	N/A (D:4-1-1		Α	N/A (Ditched	N/A (Ditched				
Description (stream type)	channel)	channel)		channel)		(Dite	ched	channel)	channel)				
Evolutionary trend	Channelized	Channelized		Char	Channelized		elized	Channelized	Channelized				
Manned Soil Series	Iohnston	Torhunta		Iohnston		Iohn	ston	Stallings	Iohnston				
inapped bon benes	Very poorly	Ver	v poorly	Verv	Very poorly		oorly Somewhat		Very poorly				
Drainage class	drained	d	rained	drained		drai	ned poorly draine		drained				
Soil Hydric status	Hydric A/D	Hvdric A/D		Hydric A/D		Hydrid	c A/D	Hydric A/D	Hydric A/D				
Slope	0%	0%		0%		09	6	0%	0%				
FEMA classification	None	I	None		None		ne	None	None				
Existing vegetation				Headwater		Heady	water	Headwater	Headwater				
community	Headwater Forest	Ro	w crops	Forest		For	est	Forest Forest					
		Wet	land Sumi	nary In	formatio	n							
Parameters	W1, W2, WA			WC, WD			WB, WE						
Size of Wetland (acres)	4.85 acres			3.05 acres				18.92 acres					
Wetland Type	Bottomland hards	orest Non-tidal freshwate marsh/headwater fore		er	Riverine swamp forest								
wenand Type	Dottoiniand hardy			arsh/headwater forest									
Mapped Soil Series	Johnsto	Johnston		nston	Johnston		nston						
Drainage class	Very poorly of	Very poorly draine			1 Very poorly drained								
Soil Hydric Status	Non-hyd		Hydric			Hydric							
Source of Hydrology	Surface w		Stream floodplain			Stream floodplain							
Hydrologic Impairment	Ditchin		Ditching		hing	Ditching		ching					
Existing vegetation	Headwater	Headwater for		ter forest	Headwater forest								
		R	egulatory	Conside	erations								
Regulation			Applicable?		Resolved?			Supporting					
Waters of the United States - Section 404			Yes		Yes		Jurisdictional						
Waters of the United States – Section 401			Yes		Yes		Jurisdictional						
Endangered Species Act**			No		N/A		N/A						
Historic Preservation Act**			No		N/A		N/A						
Coastal Zone Management Act ** (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)			No		N/A		N/A						
FEMA Floodplain Compliance			Yes		Yes		FEMA Floodplain Checklist						
Essential Fisheries Habitat**			No		N/A		N/A						

# **APPENDIX B**

Visual Assessment Data



Not Successful











Not Successful





# **Photo Reference Points**



PP1 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP2 - MY - 00 - 4/8/20



PP3 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP1 – MY-01 – 12/3/20



PP2 - MY - 01 - 12/3/20



PP3 - MY-01 - 12/3/20

Rough Horn/Rough Horn II Restoration Sites DMS Project # 97005/100053



PP4 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP5 - MY - 00 - 4/8/20



 $PP6 - MY \text{-}00 - 4/8/20 \ PP11 - MY \text{-}00 - 4/8/20$ 



PP4-MY-01-12/3/20



PP5 - MY-01 - 12/3/20



PP6-MY-01-12/3/20



PP7 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP8 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP9 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP7 - MY - 01 - 12/3/20



PP8 – MY-01 – 12/3/20



PP9 – MY-01 – 12/3/20



PP10 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP11 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP12 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP10-MY-01-12/3/20



PP11 - MY-01 - 12/3/20



PP12 - MY-01 - 12/3/20

# **Vegetation Plot Photos**



Vegetation Plot 1 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 3 - MY-01 - 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 5 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 2 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 4 - MY-01 - 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 6 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 7 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 9 - MY-01 - 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 11 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 8 - MY-01 - 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot 10 – MY-01 – 10/21/20



Vegetation Plot 12 – MY-01 – 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot 13- MY-00 - 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot 15 - MY-01 - 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot 17 - MY-01 - 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 14 - MY-01 - 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot 16 – MY-01 – 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 18 – MY-01 – 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 19 - MY-01 - 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 21 – MY-01 – 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 23 – MY-01 – 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 20 - MY-01 - 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 22 - MY-01 - 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 24 – MY-01 – 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot 25 - MY-01 - 10/23/20



Vegetation Plot R2 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R4 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R1 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R3 – MY-01 – 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R5 – MY-01 – 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R6 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R8 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R10 – MY-01 – 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R7 – MY-01 – 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot R9 - MY-01 - 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R11 – MY-01 – 10/15/20



Vegetation Plot R12 - MY-01 - 11/19/20





Vegetation Plot R16 – MY-01 – 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot R13 - MY-01 - 11/19/20



Vegetation Plot R15 – MY-01 – 11/19/20
# **APPENDIX C**

Vegetation Plot Data

#### Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II, DMS Project #97005 and 100053 Current Plot Data (MY01 2020) Plot 01 Plot 02 Plot 03 Plot 04 Plot 05 Plot 06 Plot 07 Plot 08 Planted Total Species American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis) Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum) Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana) Black Willow (Salix nigra) Boxelder (Acer negundo) Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia) Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides) Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia) Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda) Oak (Quercus sp.) Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata) З Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia) Red Maple (Acer rubrum) River Birch (Betula nigra) Δ Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum) Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata) Swamp Bay (Persea palustris) Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii) Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua) Water Oak (Quercus nigra) Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica) Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera) Unknown Stem count size (ares)

0.025

2,914

size (ACRES)

Species count

Stems per ACRE

0.025

1,821

0.025

2,954

0.025

0.025

1,052

3,561

0.025

0.025

0.025

2,388

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Specie	es															
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sv	wamp II, I	DMS Pro	oject #97	005 and	100053				/							
	-															
	Plot	t 09	Plot	: 10	Plot	:11			Plot 13		Plot 14		Plot 15		PIOT 16	
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sy camore ( <i>Platanus occidentalis</i> )	1	1									2	2	2 2	2 2	2	<u> </u>
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	1	1	12	12	. 12	12	9	9	10	10			1	1	. 17	17
Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)									3	4		3	3			
Boxelder (Acer negundo)																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)							2	2	1 1	1						
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)	1	1 1	1	. 1	. 2	2					1	1	1 2	2 2	2	
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)	11	11									1	1	L E	6 6	5 1	. 1
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)							1	. 1								
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		1								3		2	2	1		11
River Birch (Betula nigra)	8	8 8	4	. 4	2	2	2	. 2	. 9	9	9	9	9 9	9 9	) 1	. 1
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)									1	1						
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)			1	. 1											1	. 1
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	2	2 2	2	2	5	5	1	. 1	. 1	1	4	4	1			
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		4		2				2		1				3	5	25
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)																
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)							1	. 1			1	1	L 1	1	. 1	. 1
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)										1						
Unknown																
Stem count	: 24	29	20	22	21	21	16	18	25	31	18	23	21	25	21	57
size (ares)	1	L	1	_	1	-	1		1	-	1			1	1	-
size (ACRES)	0.0	)25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.02	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.	025	0.0	25
Species count	6	8	5	6	4	4	6	7	6	9	6	8	6	8	5	7
Stems per ACRE	971	1,174	809	890	850	850	647	728	1,012	1,255	728	931	850	1,012	850	2,307

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Specie Bough Horn Swamp and Bough Horn Sy	es wamp II I		niect #97	005 and	100053											
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn St			Ject #370		100055		Curren	t Plot	Data (MV	01 2020	<u></u>					
	Plot	+ 17	Plot	+ 18	Plot	10	Plot	20		+ 21	// Plot	. 22	Plo	+ 73	Plot	24
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sy camore ( <i>Platanus occidentalis</i> )			3	3	1											
Bald Cypress ( <i>Taxodium distichum</i> )					16	16	6	6	11	11	8	8	g		13	13
Beauty berry ( <i>Callicarpa americana</i> )	1															1
Black Willow (Salix nigra)				45	5			6	i							
Boxelder (Acer negundo)																<u> </u>
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)					1	1							1	. 1	. 1	1
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)								1								<u> </u>
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			2	2 2	2						2	2	1	. 1	-	
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)	1	. 1	5	5 5	5								1	. 1	-	
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)										40		43		36	ō	13
River Birch (Betula nigra)	9	9 9	5	5 5	5 1	1	4	. 4	2	2			5	5 5	i 3	; 3
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																1
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)											2	2	1	. 1	. 1	. 5
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	1	. 1	4	4 4	1	1	1	. 1	1	. 1			4	4	Ļ	
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)								5		49		343		17	7	27
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)																
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)			4	4 4	Ļ		3	3	3	3	7	7	,			
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)												1		1	-	
Unknown																
Stem count	: 11	11	23	68	19	19	14	26	17	106	19	406	22	76	18	64
size (ares)	) 1	L	1	L	1		1		1	L	1	-		1	1	
size (ACRES)	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.02	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.0	025	0.0	25
Species count	t 3	3	6	7	4	4	4	7	4	6	4	7	7	10	4	8
Stems per ACRE	445	445	931	2,752	769	769	567	1,052	688	4,290	769	16,430	890	3,076	728	2,590

### Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species

	Current Plot Data (MY01 2020)															
	Plot	25	Plot	R01	Plot	R02	Plot I	R03	Plot	R04	Plot	R05	Plot	: <b>R06</b>	Plot	R <b>07</b>
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)					5	5			4	4						
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	16	16	5	5	1	1	10	10	2	2	1	1	8	8	13	13
Beauty berry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)			30	30	7	7	1	1								
Boxelder (Acer negundo)																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)			1	1									1	1		
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)					2	2			4	4	2	2				
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)					1	1										
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		9		3		2		11		2		1		1		
River Birch (Betula nigra)					12	12	3	3	7	7	4	4			4	4
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)		2	3	3			5	5							2	2
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	2	2			1	1					7	7	3	3	3	3
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		3				19		11						1		
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)									2	2	4	4				
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)															5	5
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Unknown																
Stem count	18	32	39	42	29	50	19	41	19	21	18	19	12	14	27	27
size (ares)	1		1		1		1		1		1			1	1	
size (ACRES)	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.02	25	0.02	25	0.02	25	0.0	)25	0.0	25
Species count	2	5	4	5	7	9	4	6	5	6	5	6	3	5	5	5
Stems per ACRE	728	1,295	1,578	1,700	1,174	2,023	769	1,659	769	850	728	769	486	567	1,093	1,093

### Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II. DMS Project #97005 and 100053

	Current Plot Data (MY01 2020)															
	Plot	R08	Plot	R09	Plot	R10	Plot	R11	Plot	R12	, Plot	R13	Plot R14		Plot	R15
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)			5	5	1	. 1	3	3	3	3	4	4				
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	13	13					1	. 1			2	2	3	3	11	. 11
Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)			1	. 1	. 39	39			1	. 1						
Boxelder (Acer negundo)				1												
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)	6	6			1	. 1							2	2	2	2
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			2	2	2	2 2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)									1	. 1	3	3				
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		2								3		7	,	28	5	1
River Birch (Betula nigra)	1	1	8	8	3	3	3	3	5	5	7	7	2	2	6	, 6
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)	1	1											1	1	. 1	. 1
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)			1	. 1			2	2 2			1	1	1	1	. 1	. 1
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)				2				8		4		3		9	)	5
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)					2	2 2										
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)			3	3	2	2 2	2	2 2	3	3	1	1	2	2	. 5	, 5
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Unknown																
Stem count	21	23	20	23	50	50	13	21	15	22	20	30	11	48	26	32
size (ares)	1		1	-	1	L	1		1	-	1			1	1	
size (ACRES)	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.02	25	0.0	25	0.0	25	0.0	)25	0.0	25
Species count	4	5	6	8	7	7	6	7	6	8	7	9	6	8	6	8
Stems per ACRE	850	931	809	931	2,023	2,023	526	850	607	890	809	1,214	445	1,942	1,052	1,295

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species											
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sw	vamp II, D	OMS Pro	oject #970	05 and	100053						
				Annual	Means						
	Plot	R16	MY01 (	2020)	MY00 (	2020)					
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total					
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)			36	36							
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	10	10	287	287	254	254					
Beauty berry (Callicarpa americana)				1							
Black Willow (Salix nigra)			82	222		1					
Boxelder (Acer negundo)				1							
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)	4	4	33	33	2	2					
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)				1							
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)				18							
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			32	32	47	47					
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)						3					
Oak (Quercus sp.)					221	221					
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)			42	42							
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)			3	3							
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		7		242		21					
River Birch (Betula nigra)	3	3	165	165	156	156					
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)			1	1	7	7					
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)				1							
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)	3	3	31	37	33	33					
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)			76	76	9	9					
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		2		670		3					
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)			8	8							
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)	2	2	54	54							
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)				3							
Unknown					166	166					
Stem count	22	31	850	1933	895	923					
size (ares)	<b>5)</b> 1 41 4			41							
size (ACRES)	0.0	25	1.0	)1	1.01						
Species count	5	7	13	21	9	13					
Stems per ACRE	890	1,255	839	1,908	883	911					

# **APPENDIX D**

## **Stream Cross-section Data**

River Basin:		Lumber River
Site:		Rough Horn Swamp II
XS ID		XS1
Drainage Ar	ea (sq mi):	1.50
Date:		8/12/2020
Field Crew:		T. Seelinger, A. Gutierrez
Station	Elevation	
0.0	83.4	
-0.1	82.8	
3.4	82.8	
9.5	82.6	
12.5	82.6	
17.2	82.4	
21.1	82.3	
27.4	82.1	
31.4	82.4	
32.4	82.3	
35.7	82.2	
39.5	82.2	
43.5	82.0	
46.3	82.5	
48.7	82.3	
50.0	81.8	84.0
53.4	81.6	
54.7	82.0	_
56.0	82.4	83.5
57.0	82.6	
59.3	82.7	
61.1	82.9	€ 83.0 +
62.0	82.9	Jee
64.2	83.1	) u
67.6	83.1	¥ 82.5
73.1	82.7	leve
78.3	82.5	ы
85.2	82.7	82.0
90.4	82.5	82.0
94.6	82.6	
97.9	82.6	01.5
99.4	82.8	81.5
99.5	83.5	0 10

Г





<b>River Basin:</b>			Lumber River							
Site:			Rough Horn S	wamp						
XS ID			XS2							
Drainage Ar	ea (sq mi):		1.60							
Date:			8/12/2020							
Field Crew:			T. Seelinger, A	A. Gutierrez						
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation							
0.0	81.5	49.5	81.1							
0.1	80.9	51.4	81.1							
1.2	80.7	53.6	81.2							
3.4	80.7	55.3	81.1							
3.9	81.0	56.9	81.2							
4.5	80.9	57.6	81.5							
6.4	80.8	59.1	81.3							
7.7	81.0	61.0	81.1	4						
8.4	80.8	62.1	81.1	4						
9.6	81.1	63.5	81.3	4						
11.7	80.9	65.0	81.2							
12.5	81.1	66.8	81.2							
13.9	80.8	68.5	81.3	r						
14.6	81.3	69.7	81.5							
15.9	81.1	72.8	81.5							
17.4	81.1	73.4	81.0	82.0						
18.8	81.2	75.2	81.1	-						
20.3	80.9	76.6	81.4	-						
21.0	80.9	77.7	81.3	-						
22.1	80.7	79.0	81.0	-						
23.7	81.0	81.1	81.2	81.5	_					
24.6	81.2	83.0	81.3		1					
26.1	81.2	84.9	81.0	Je I						
26.6	81.2	86.8	81.0							
28.9	81.4	88.3	81.1		Ϊ					
31.8	81.4	89.0	81.4	\$ 81.0						
32.6	81.5	91.6	81.5							
34.9	81.3	93.1	81.1							
36.5	81.1	95.2	81.6							
38.5	81.2	96.7	81.4							
40.0	81.2	98.0	81.1	80.5						
41.7	81.0	99.7	81.1	0 10						
43.6	80.7	99.3	81.7	0 10						
45.7	80.7									
47.2	80.9									
48.0	81.0									



Lumber River River Basin, Rough Horn Swamp, XS2



River Basin:			Lumber River		
Sito:			Rough Horn St	vamn	the second se
XS ID			XS3	wamp	
Drainage Are	ea (sa mi):		2.80		
Data:	ca (sy m).		8/12/2020		
Field Crow:			T Seelinger A	Gutierrez	
Field Crew.			1. Seelinger, A	. Outiencz	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation		
0.0	80.9	59.4	80.3		
0.1	80.5	60.3	80.5		
2.1	80.6	62.4	80.7		
63	80.5	66.2	80.6		
7.4	80.4	68.4	80.5		
8.1	80.4	69.8	80.5		
9.6	80.4	71.2	80.4		
12.1	80.6	73.8	80.3		
14.3	80.7	74.6	80.3		
15.7	80.4	76.1	80.5		
16.5	80.7	78.9	80.1		
18.8	80.2	81.1	80.0		
20.3	80.7	84.3	80.0		
23.3	80.6	87.8	80.2		
25.2	80.5	91.0	80.2		Lumber River River Basin, Rough Horn Swamp, XS3
26.9	80.7	94.0	80.0	81.0 -	
30.0	80.5	96.5	80.2		
31.5	80.4	99.1	80.1		
33.0	80.4	100.5	80.4		
36.7	80.6	100.5	80.9	80.5 -	
38.5	80.4		-		
40.5	80.3			Э.	
43.2	80.4			fee	
45.1	80.4			) 80.0 -	
47.1	80.4			atic	
48.0	80.4			levu	
49.2	80.3			E	
49.9	80.3			/9.5 -	
50.6	79.4				Water Surface
51.7	79.3				му01
52.4	79.3			70.0	
53.9	79.1			/9.0 =	
54.8	79.1			(	10 20 30 40 30 00 70 80 90 100
56.1	79.4				Station (feet)
57.3	79.7				
57.7	80.1				

# **APPENDIX E**

Hydrologic Data

### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site 30-70 Percentile Graph WETS Station Name: Whiteville 7



	Table 6. Stream Flow Verification Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site, DMS Project #97005/100053												
	Gauge Camera												
Reach	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days									
LBC	March 2 – December 3	277	June 8 – December 3	179									
UT1	September 24 – December 3	71	September 24 – December 3	71									
UT2-2	September 24 – December 3	71	September 24 – December 3	71									
UT3-2	September 24 – December 3	71	September 17 – December 3	78									
UT4	September 24 – December 3	71	September 24 – December 3	71									

	Table 7. Stream Flow Criteria Attainment Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site, DMS Project #97005/100053											
	Greater than 30 Days of Flow/Max Consecutive Days											
Reach	MY-01 2020	MY-02 2021	MY-03 2022	MY-04 2023	MY-05 2024	MY-06 2025	MY-07 2026					
LBC (Gauge)	Yes/277											
LBC (Camera)	Yes/179											
UT1 (Gauge)	Yes/71											
UT1 (Camera)	Yes/71											
UT2-2 (Gauge)	Yes/71											
UT2-2 (Camera)	Yes/71											
UT3-2 (Gauge)	Yes/71											
UT3-2 (Camera)	Yes/78											
UT4 (Gauge)	Yes/71											
UT4 (Camera)	Yes/71											

Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph LBC Stream Flow Gauge



#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T1 Stream Flow Gauge



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T2 Stream Flow Gauge



#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T3 Stream Flow Gauge



#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T4 Stream Flow Gauge



Table 8. Wetland Hydrol Rough Horn Swamp and	ogy Criteria Attainmen Rough Horn Swamp II F	t Table Restoration Site, Pro	0.005/10005	3			
		Success Crit	eria Achieved / Max	Consecutive Days Percentage)	During Growing	Season	
Success Criteria (32 Days) (12.0%)	MY-01 2020	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05	MY-06	MY-07
Gauge RHS-1	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHS-2	Yes/114 (43.0%)						
Gauge RHS-3	Yes/65 (24.5%)						
Gauge RHS-4	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHS-5	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHS-6	Yes/115 (43.4%)						
Gauge RHS-7	Yes/83 (31.3%)						
Gauge RHS-8	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHS-9	Yes/65 (24.5%)						
Gauge RHS-10	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHS-11	Yes/41 (15.5%)						
Gauge RHS-12	No/21 (7.9%)						
Gauge RHS-13	Yes/65 (24.5%)						
Gauge RHSII-1	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHSII-2	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge RHSII-3	Yes/65 (24.5%)						
Gauge RHSII-4	Yes/264 (99.6%)						
Gauge RHSII-5	Yes/264 (99.6%)						
Gauge RHSII-6	Yes/37 (14.0%)						
Gauge RHSII-7	Yes/33 (12.5%)						
Gauge RHSII-8	Yes/73 (27.5%)						
Gauge Ref	Yes/53 (20.0%)						

Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 1



Relative Groundwater Elevation (ft)

Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 2



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 3



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 4



#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 5



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 6



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 7



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 8



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 9



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 10



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 11



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 12



#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 13



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 1



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 2



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 3


Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 4



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 5



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 6



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 7



Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 8



Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph Reference Wetland Gauge

