#### Baseline Monitoring Report FINAL

Stanley's Slough Stream and Wetland Restoration Site EEP Contract 004635 EEP Project Number 95356

> Stanley's II Wetland Restoration Site EEP Contract 5151 EEP Project Number 95838

Northampton County, North Carolina



Prepared for:



NCEEP, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

Monitoring Data Collected: April/May 2014 Date Submitted: July 2014

#### Monitoring and Design Firm





KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC 4601 Six Forks Rd, Suite 220 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214

> Project Contact: Adam Spiller Email: adam.spiller@kci.com KCI Project No: 20122005

> > July 2014

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	PROJECT GOALS, BACKGROUND, AND ATTRIBUTES	1
1.1	Location and Setting	1
1.2	Project Goals and Objectives	1
1.3	Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach	2
1.3.1	Project Structure	2
1.3.2	Project Restoration Type and Approach	2
1.4	Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data	3
2.0	SUCCESS CRITERIA	3
2.1	Headwater Stream Performance	3
2.2	Wetland Vegetation	4
2.3	Wetland Hydrology	4
3.0	MONITORING PLAN	4
3.1	Wetland Hydrology	4
3.2	Stream Hydrology	4
3.3	Vegetation	4
3.4	Visual Assessment	4
3.5	Digital Photos	5
3.6	Watershed Conditions	5
3.7	Monitoring Guidelines	5
3.8	Maintenance and Contingency	5
4.0	BASELINE CONDITIONS	6
5.0	REFERENCES	6

#### **Appendix A – General Tables and Figures**

Figure 1. Project Site Vicinity Map Figure 2. Site Asset Map Table 1a. Project Components and Mitigation Credits Table 1b. Project Components and Mitigation Credits Figure 3. Monitoring Plan View Figure 4. Project Site Reference Wetland Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Table 3. Project Contacts Table 4a. Project Information Table 4b. Project Information

#### Appendix B – Baseline Data

Table 5. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species Photo Reference Points

### Appendix C – As-Built Plan Sheets

As-Built Plan Sheets

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Stanley's Slough Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (SSS) was completed in April 2014 and restored a total of 4,274 linear feet of headwater stream along with restoring 3.6 acres of riparian wetlands. The SSS is a headwater stream and riparian wetland system in the Chowan River Basin (03010204 8-digit HUC) in northern Northampton County, North Carolina, that had been substantially modified to maximize agricultural production. The completed project will restore impacted agricultural lands to riparian wetland and headwater stream habitat. The Stanley's II Wetland Restoration Site (SII) is located directly adjacent to SSS and was also completed in April 2014, restoring a total of 7.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration. The completed SII project will restore, enhance, and protect wetlands within a productive headwater stream/wetland system.

The SSS is protected by a 17.6-acre permanent conservation easement, while SII is protected by a 9.4acre permanent conservation easement, both held by the State of North Carolina. Both sites are located on two parcels located off of Margarettsville Road, approximately 0.3 mile north of Margarettsville, North Carolina. The project sites are bounded by NC 186 to the south and by agricultural land on all other sides. The sites have a long history of hydrologic modification in order to allow for farming to take place on the property.

The Chowan River Basin Restoration Priorities state the goals for the SSS and SII's 14-digit HUC are to protect and improve water quality throughout the Basin by reducing sediment and nutrient inputs into streams and rivers and to support efforts to restore local watersheds (NCDENR EEP, 2009). The project goals for SSS and SII are in line with the basin priorities and include the following:

- Restore streams and riparian buffers to provide shade and temperature control and increase instream woody debris for habitat.
- Restore and protect sensitive aquatic resources to improve habitat and species diversity through the restoration of wetlands, streams, and riparian buffers.
- Implement wetland and stream restoration projects that reduce sources of nutrient pollution and surface runoff by restoring hydrology and vegetation, stabilizing banks, and restoring natural geomorphology where appropriate.

Additional goals for the project include:

- Increase the local hydroperiod by encouraging both surface and subsurface storage and retention.
- Restore and establish a functional and diverse headwater stream/wetland community.

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Restore a headwater stream/wetland vegetation community through maintenance and germination of volunteer wetland vegetation from adjacent seed sources, planting of native trees and shrubs, and incorporation of a custom native seed mix.
- Elevate the local groundwater table through the elimination of lateral drainage ditches and modification of existing channelized streams.
- Reconnect site hydrology to historic flow paths.

Project planting and construction were completed in April 2014. SSS involved the restoration of approximately 4,274 linear feet of stream restoration and 3.6 acres of riparian wetlands. SII involved the restoration of 7.6 acres of riparian wetlands. Both SSS and SII were constructed as designed with only two modifications made to the design plan during construction. A shallow swale was installed on T1 near Station 15+25, and T1 was extended approximately 50 linear feet to connect to the relic forested headwater stream/wetland complex near Station 28+30.

The monitoring components were installed in April 2014 for both sites. Twelve monitoring gauges were installed to evaluate the attainment of jurisdictional wetland hydrology for both sites. Six additional monitoring gauges were installed in the headwater stream/wetland areas to document the presence of surface water. To determine the success of the planted mitigation areas, twenty permanent vegetation monitoring plots were established according to the CVS-EEP Level 2 protocol. Ten permanent photo points have been established with a total of twenty-two photos to be taken annually. The site will be monitored for at least seven years or until the success criteria are achieved. Reports will be submitted to the EEP each year. The first year of monitoring will take place in 2014.

The success criteria for the sites state that the planted wetlands must meet the success criteria of a site average of 320 stems/acre after three years, 288 stems/acre after four years, 260 stems/acre after five years, and 210 stems/acre after seven years to be considered successful. In addition to density requirements, plant height will be monitored within the monitoring plots to ensure that trees average 10 feet in height after seven years. The baseline monitoring counted an average of 1,044 stems/acre in the 20 vegetation monitoring plots.

Wetland hydrology will be monitored with the series of twelve automatic gauges described above that record water table depth. To meet the success criterion, the upper 12 inches of the soil profile must have continuously saturated or inundated conditions for at least 9.0% o of the growing season for riparian mitigation areas during normal weather conditions based on a conservative estimate. In the headwater stream/wetland areas, the six automatic recording gauges will document the presence of surface water. In addition to the presence of surface water, physical flow indicators will also be documented to demonstrate any surface flows through the stream/wetland valley.

#### 1.0 PROJECT GOALS, BACKGROUND, AND ATTRIBUTES

#### **1.1** Location and Setting

The North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) has completed the restoration of 4,274 linear feet of stream and 3.6 acres of wetland restoration at SSS, as well as 7.6 acres of wetland restoration at SII. SII is located directly adjacent to SSS and both sites assist in fulfilling stream and wetland mitigation goals in the area.

SSS is protected by a 17.6-acre permanent conservation easement, while SII is protected by a 9.4-acre permanent conservation easement, both held by the State of North Carolina. Both projects are situated in Northampton County in the Rolling Coastal Plains (Level IV 65m) ecoregion of the Coastal Plain physiographic province. The sites are located on two parcels off of Margarettsville Road approximately 0.3 miles north of Margarettsville, North Carolina (Figure 1, Appendix A).

The Site is within the 03010204 (8-digit Cataloging Unit) Meherrin Watershed located within the Chowan River Basin and the 03010204180040 Cypress Creek Local Watershed Unit (14-digit Cataloging Unit), which has been identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (NCDENR, EEP 2009). The populations of the counties within the watershed are stable or minimally declining and land use is predominately agricultural. For this reason, the restoration priorities laid out by EEP focus on mitigating impact to streams and wetlands from agricultural use (NCDENR EEP, 2009). The SSS and SII were both selected by KCI as stream and wetland opportunities to improve habitat within the TLW.

#### **1.2 Project Goals and Objectives**

The project goals address stressors identified in the TLW and include the following:

- Restore streams and riparian buffers to provide shade and temperature control and increase instream woody debris for habitat.
- Restore and protect sensitive aquatic resources to improve habitat and species diversity through the restoration of wetlands, streams, and riparian buffers.
- Implement wetland and stream restoration projects that reduce sources of nutrient pollution and surface runoff by restoring hydrology and vegetation, stabilizing banks, and restoring natural geomorphology where appropriate.

Additional goals for the project include:

- Increase the local hydroperiod by encouraging both surface and subsurface storage and retention.
- Restore and establish a functional and diverse headwater stream/wetland community.

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Restore a headwater stream/wetland vegetation community through maintenance and germination of volunteer wetland vegetation from adjacent seed sources, planting of native trees and shrubs, and incorporation of a custom native seed mix.
- Elevate the local groundwater table through the elimination of lateral drainage ditches and modification of existing channelized streams.
- Reconnect site hydrology to historic flow paths.

#### 1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach

#### **1.3.1 Project Structure**

The mitigation work at SSS included approximately 4,274 linear feet of stream restoration, 3.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration, and 0.5 acre of wetland preservation for a total of 4,274 Stream Mitigation Units and 3.1 Wetland Mitigation Units as shown in Figure 2 and described in Table 1 in Appendix A. Planting occurred at SSS within 8.74 acres of the 17.6-acre conservation easement. The target natural community for the wetland consists of Headwater Forest Community and the upland was planted as a transitional zone (NCWAM, v. 4.1 2010).

The mitigation work at SII included approximately 7.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration for a total of 6.9 Wetland Mitigation Units as shown in Figure 2 and described in Table 1 in Appendix A. Planting occurred at SII within 8.57 acres of the 9.4-acre conservation easement. The target natural community for the stream consists of Headwater Forest Community (NCWAM, v. 4.1 2010).

#### **1.3.2 Project Restoration Type and Approach**

#### Stanley's Slough

Prior to construction, the site had a long history of hydrologic modification in order for farming to take place on the property. The streams at the SSS were historically impacted by channelization, surrounding row crop production, and cattle grazing. Two separate streams exist on the site: Tributary 1 (T1) begins in the southwestern project corner and flows north. Tributary 2 (T2) flows east to join T1 and comes onto the site from the west. T1 was ditched and contained numerous surficial field drains to drain T1. T1 continued to flow east and then north through a relic forested headwater stream/wetland complex, where the flow was braided. T2 was channelized with hydrology coming from surface flows from a swale that drained from a forested area to the west, as well as surface flows from the surrounding fields, and groundwater. Small areas of wetland existed along the relic channel in the forested portion of the site as well as throughout the banks of T1 and T2. The project focused on joining these areas to a larger whole with a braided stream/wetland complex.

The modifications at the SSS focused on restoring the mitigation site to create a shallow braided headwater stream/wetland complex. This was achieved by removing the anthropogenic modifications that were previously implemented across the site. The primary restoration action involved filling the existing dredged channels. The channels were redeveloped into a gently sloping headwater stream valley to place shallow diffuse flow at the surface, creating a braided stream system. Surface roughness variations were installed throughout the SSS to alleviate soil compaction and to enhance surface water storage. T1 was reconnected to the relic forested headwater stream/wetland complex, which in turn will restore hydrology to the adjacent drained riparian wetlands. Hydrology in T2 will continue to be driven by groundwater and precipitation inputs upstream of the ditch. Wetland hydrology was restored to the drained hydric soils when T1 was redirected to the existing relic channel, raising the groundwater elevations and providing overbank flow.

A suitable reference wetland was found approximately 900 feet north of the northeastern edge of the SSS. The reference wetland is comprised of deciduous hardwoods over a shrub layer and is consistent with the Headwater Forest Community that is the target wetland type at the project site. A groundwater monitoring well has been installed to document the reference wetland hydrology during the course of monitoring.

#### <u>Stanley's II</u>

Prior to construction SII has been impacted by a history of ditching, surrounding row crop production, and cattle grazing. Despite efforts to effectively drain wetlands on the property, several small areas of existing wetland exist within the SII. The existing wetlands were generally located in depressions or along man-made drainage features created to drain the adjacent pastureland. The project focused on joining these areas to a larger whole with the stream/wetland complex of the SSS.

Three ditched channels were located within the southern portion of the SII easement. These ditches served to drain the surrounding areas along T1. The northern portion of the SII was a soybean field that showed evidence of prolonged exposure to inundation in many areas of the field. The northern portion of SII was drained by a tributary that ran to the north of the site as well as by a ditch that ran to the east of the field.

Mitigation actions focused on filling ditches, developing and redirecting productive seeps, enhancing soil structure through targeted surface manipulation, and integrating the wetland area into the adjacent headwater stream/wetland complex. After construction, the site was stabilized with a native seed mix and planted with woody species typically found in a Headwater Forest community.

The same reference wetland used for the SSS is also being used as a reference site for the SII.

#### **1.4 Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data**

The project was first identified as a full-delivery mitigation project for the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) by KCI Associates of NC, PA. This project began in the planning phase in 2011 with the final mitigation plan completed in August 2013. Construction began in early 2014. SSS and SSII was completed and planted in April 2014. Completed project activities, reporting history, completion dates, project contacts, and background information are summarized in Tables 2-4 (Appendix A).

#### 2.0 <u>SUCCESS CRITERIA</u>

SSS and SII will be monitored to determine if the development of the wetland indicators on-site meet the standards for mitigation credit production as presented in Table 1. The sites will also be monitored to document the development of the headwater stream system. The credits will be validated upon confirmation that the success criteria described below are met. The sites will be monitored for performance standards for seven years after completion of construction.

#### 2.1 Headwater Stream Performance

Stream hydrology monitoring will be conducted to determine if the restored headwater streams meet the proposed performance criteria for headwater stream hydrology and form. The headwater stream will have continuous surface water flow within the valley, for at least 30 consecutive days annually. Additionally, the stream must show signs of supporting the restored channel form as documented with photos. These indicators may include evidence of scour, sediment deposition and sorting, multiple flow events, wrack lines and flow over vegetation, leaf litter, or water staining.

#### 2.2 Wetland Vegetation

SSS and SII must demonstrate the re-establishment of the targeted vegetative community based on the survival and growth of planted species and volunteer colonization, with an average stem density of 320 stems/acre required after three years, 288 stems/acre after four years, 260 stems/acre after five years, and 210 stems/acre after 7 years. In addition to density requirements, plant height will be monitored within the monitoring plots to ensure that trees average 10 feet in height after seven years.

Permanent monitoring plots (10 by 10 meters) have been established in the mitigation areas at a density that statistically represents the total mitigation acreage. The average density of these plots will determine whether both sites meet the success criteria.

#### 2.3 Wetland Hydrology

SSS and SSII must present continuous saturated or inundated hydrologic conditions for at least 9% of the growing season for riparian mitigation areas (2.8 acres for SSS and 6.4 acres for SII) during normal weather conditions based on a conservative estimate. A "normal" year is based on NRCS climatological data for Northampton County, and using the 30th to 70th percentile thresholds as the range of normal, as documented in the USACE Technical Report "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology, April 2000." The soil survey for Northampton County estimates that the growing season begins March 11 and ends November 20 (254 days). The water table of the restored wetlands must be within 12" of the soils surface continuously for at least 9% (22 days) of the 254-day growing season.

#### 3.0 MONITORING PLAN

Annual monitoring will be conducted during the first full growing season following project completion. Monitoring of SSS and SII restoration efforts will be performed for stream, vegetation, and hydrology components for at least seven years or until the success criteria are fulfilled. The establishment, collection, and summarization of monitoring data shall be conducted in accordance with the most current version of the EEP document entitled *Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports (version 1.5)*. Permanent monuments, marking monitoring feature locations, were established on-site in April 2014. The locations of these monitoring features are marked in Figure 3 (see Appendix A).

#### 3.1 Wetland Hydrology

Twelve groundwater monitoring gauges were installed in the wetland mitigation areas to evaluate the attainment of jurisdictional wetland hydrology. Verification of wetland hydrology will be determined by automatic recording well data collected within the project area and reference wetland. The wetland gauges will be checked and/or downloaded every other month. Daily data will be collected from the automatic gauges over the 7-year monitoring period following wetland construction. The nearby reference wetland will also be monitored using the same procedures for comparative analysis. (see Figure 4 in Appendix A).

#### 3.2 Stream Hydrology

In the headwater stream/wetland areas, six automatic recording gauges were installed to document the presence of surface water. In addition to the presence of surface water, flow indicators, will also be documented to demonstrate that there are surface flows through the stream/wetland valley.

#### 3.3 Vegetation

Twenty vegetation plots were set up and assessed for the baseline vegetation monitoring. The plots were installed with flagged metal conduit at each corner and a flagged PVC pipe was installed at the photo corner. Vegetation data collection must follow the CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation (Lee *et al.* 2008). The baseline vegetation monitoring was conducted as Level 1: Inventory of Planted Stems, as will the first-year monitoring. Beginning in Year Two and continuing throughout the rest of the monitoring period, the SSS and SSII will both be monitored using the Level 2 protocol. Baseline vegetation plot information can be found in Appendix B.

#### 3.4 Visual Assessment

A visual assessment of the stream will include an assessment of the bank (lateral stability), bed (vertical stability), the easement boundary, and the buffer vegetation will be completed each year to document the necessary parameters required for the EEP monitoring report.

#### 3.5 Digital Photos

Ten photograph reference points (PRPs) with a total of twenty-one photos have been established as part of the baseline monitoring to assist in characterizing SSS and SSII to allow qualitative evaluation of both sites' conditions. Starting in the first monitoring year, these photos will be taken in late summer, so that vegetative conditions are similar between monitoring years.

#### **3.6** Watershed Conditions

Yearly monitoring will document any evident changes in the watershed. Any large hydrologic events in the watershed, such as tropical storms or hurricanes, will also be documented in the yearly monitoring reports.

#### 3.7 Monitoring Guidelines

The first scheduled monitoring will be conducted during the first full growing season following project completion. Monitoring shall subsequently be conducted annually for a total period of seven years or until the projects meet their success criteria. Annual monitoring reports will be prepared and submitted after all monitoring tasks for each year are completed. The report will document the monitored components and include all collected data, analyses, and photographs. Each report will provide the new monitoring data and compare the most recent results against previous findings. The monitoring report format will be similar to that set out in the most recent EEP monitoring protocol.

#### **3.8** Maintenance and Contingency

KCI will monitor SSS and SSII on a regular basis and conduct a physical inspection of the sites a minimum of once per year throughout the post-construction monitoring period until performance standards are met. These site inspections may identify site components and features that require routine maintenance. Routine maintenance should be expected most often in the first two years following site construction and may include reinstallation of waddles, removal of debris from the channel, evaluating and repairing floodplain scour areas, constructed outlets and flow dispersement from seepage areas. Areas where stormwater and floodplain flows intercept the wetland may also require maintenance to prevent scour. Any maintenance activities will be documented in the yearly monitoring reports.

#### 4.0 **BASELINE CONDITIONS**

Baseline monitoring data were collected in April/May 2014. Any changes made to the design during construction are shown on the As-Built Site Plan in Appendix D. Both SSS and SII were constructed as designed with only two modifications made to the design plan during construction. A shallow swale was installed on T1 near Station 15+25, and T1 was extended approximately 50 linear feet to connect to the relic forested headwater stream/wetland complex near Station 28+30.

SSS and SII were planted with a total of twelve different species of bare root trees in April 2014. Baseline vegetation monitoring data were collected in May 2014. The Level 1 CVS-EEP protocol was used to collect vegetation data. Plot photos from all the vegetation plots can be found in Appendix B.

The results of the vegetation baseline monitoring show an average of 1,044 stems per acre in the planted restoration area (Table 5 in Appendix B). Additionally, stem counts within each individual plot were well-above the required 320 stems per acre. An attempt to identify all trees was made, but since monitoring was conducted while the trees were dormant, many were unidentifiable. All trees will be positively identified during the first year of monitoring.

#### 5.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 6/8/2012. Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports. NCEEP Monitoring Report Template, Version 1.5. <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=1169848&folderId=7135626</u> <u>&name=DLFE-53021.pdf</u>
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2009. Lower Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin Priorities 2009. Raleigh, NC. <u>http://www.nceep.net/services/restplans/Yadkin Pee Dee RBRP 2009 Final.pdf</u>
- NC Wetland Functional Assessment Team. 2010. NC Wetland Assessment Method (NC WAM) User Manual, version 4.1. Last accessed 11/2012 at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document\_library/get\_file?uuid=76f3c58b-dab8-4960-ba43-45b7faf06f4c&groupId=38364</u>

# **APPENDIX** A

# General Tables and Figures





Table 1a. Pro Stanley's Slow	ject Con 1gh Rest	nponents oration S	and M Site, EE	litigat P Pr	tion Cro oject #9	edits 95356						
v	8				Mi	itigatio	on Cr	edits				
	Str	eam	Rip We	arian tland	No	on-ripa Wetlan	rian d	Bu	ıffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Pl	osphorous Nutrient Offset
Туре	R	R RE R RE					RE					
Length	4,274		3.6									
Credits	4,274		3.1									
TOTAL CREDITS	4,2	274	3	3.1								
			-		Pro	ject C	ompo	onents				
Project Component -or- Reach ID	Stat Lo	ioning/ cation	Exist Foota Acre	ing age/ age	Appı (PI, et	roach PII c.)	]	Restorati Restor Equiva	ion -or- ation alent	Restorati Footage/Aci	on reage	Mitigation Ratio
T1	T1 10+00 - 41+5.				Head Stre Val	water eam lley		Restor	ation	3,054		1:1
T2	50 6	0+00 – 2+85	1,22	20	N	/A		Restor	ation	1,220		1:1
Wetland Reestablishmen	ıt							Restor	ation	2.8		1:1
Wetland Rehabilitation								Restor	ation	0.8		2.5:1
Wetland Preservation								N/A	A	0.5		NA
					Com	ponent	t Sum	mation				
Restoration	Level	Strea (line: feet	ar )	Ripa Wet (Ac	arian lands cres)	No: Wetl	n-Rip ands	oarian (Acres)	Buffe	r (square feet)	)	Upland (Acres)
Restorati	on	4,27	4		3.1							
Enhancem	ent I											
Enhanceme												
TOTAL S	4,27	4										
TOTAL W	MU				3.1							

R= Restoration RE= Restoration Equivalent of Creation or Enhancement

Table 1b. Pro	ject Cor	nponent	s and M	itiga	tion Credits	5	)				
Stanley's Slot	ign II K	estoratio	on Site,	EEP	Project #95	0000 tion	) Creadita				
					Miliga				Nitroger	n Ph	osphorous
	Str	eam	Wet	arian land	Non-rig Wetl	and	an Buff	fer	Nutrien Offset	t	Nutrient Offset
Туре	R	RE	R	RI	E R	R	E				
Length			7.6								
Credits			6.9								
TOTAL CREDITS											
					Project	Co	mponents				
Project Component -or- Reach ID	Stat Lo	ioning/ cation	Existi Foota Acrea	ing  ge/  age	Approacl (PI, PII etc.)	h	Restoratio Restorat Equival	on -or- tion ent	Resto Footage	oration /Acreage	Mitigation Ratio
Wetland Reestablishmen	t						Restorat	ion	e	5.5	1:1
Wetland Rehabilitation							Restorat	ion	1	.1	2.5:1
			•		Compone	ent S	Summation		•		
Restoration Level	Stı (li fe	ream near eet)	Ripa	rian (Ac	Wetlands cres)		Non- Riparian Wetlands (Acres)	Buffer fe	(square et)	Upland (Acres)	
	Riverine Non- Riverine										
Restoration			-		6.9						
Enhancement	I										
Enhancement II											
TOTAL WMU		6.9									

R= Restoration

RE= Restoration Equivalent of Creation or Enhancement





Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting HistoryStanley's Slough & Stanley's II Restoration Sites		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Mitigation Plan		Aug 13
Final Design - Construction Plans		Oct 13
Construction		April 14
Planting		April 14
Baseline Monitoring/Report	April/May 14	May 14

Table 3. Project Contacts									
Stanley's Slough & Stanley's Slo	ugh II Restoration Sites								
Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC								
	Landmark Center II, Suite 220								
	4601 Six Forks Rd.								
	Raleigh, NC 27609								
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris								
	Phone: (919) 278-2512								
	Fax: (919) 783-9266								
<b>Construction Contractor</b>	Wright Contracting, LLC								
	160 Walker Road								
	Lawndale, NC 28090								
	Contact: Mr. Stephen James								
	Phone: (704) 692-4633								
Planting Contractor	Forestree Management Co.								
	1280 Maudis Road								
	Bailey, NC 27807								
	Contact: Mr. Tony Cortez								
	Phone: (252) 243-2513								
Monitoring Performers									
MY-00	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC								
	Landmark Center II, Suite 220								
	4601 Six Forks Rd.								
	Raleigh, NC 27609								
	Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller								
	Phone: (919) 278-2514								
	Fax: (919) 783-9266								

Table 4a. Project Information   Stanley's Slough Restoration Site, EEP Project #95356													
Project Name	ation Site		<u>10јест #75550</u> Star	lev's	Slough Restoration Site								
County			Star	Northampton County									
				Nort									
Project Area (acres)			26 520006 N 77 240222 W										
Project Coordinates (lat. a	nd long.)		36.539006 N, -77.348222 W										
		Project	Watershed Summary	y Infoi									
Physiographic Province			Coastal Plain										
River Basin					Chowan	1							
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-d	GS Hydrologic Unit 14- digit	03010204180040											
DWQ Sub-basin					03-01-02								
Project Drainage Area (acr	res)				113 acres								
Project Drainage Area Per of Impervious Area	centage				<1%								
CGIA Land Use Classificat	tion		43.7% forested	land, 3	3.8% rangeland, 22.5% agric	culture							
	R	each Sun	nmery Information (I	Post R	estoration)								
Parameters   T1   T2     Length of reach (linear   Image: Comparison of the second s													
feet)			3,054		1,220								
Valley classification		Va	lley Type X		Valley Typ	be X							
Drainage area (acres)			84 acres		29 acres	8							
NCDWQ Water Quality	P	roject Re	each Not Classified;		Project Reach Not	t Classified;							
Morphological Description	Kecelvin	g water -	Menerini Kivei (C, N	13 W )	Handwater Stream V-ll								
(stream type)		Headwar	ter Stream Valley		Headwater Stream Valley								
Evolutionary trend		С	hannelized		Channeliz	zed							
Mapped Soil Series	Tomotl	ey, Roan	oke, Altavista, Wehad	kee	Altavista, Roanoke								
Drainage class	Poorly o	irained, p well drair	ned, poorly drained, modera	tely	Moderately well drained	d, poorly drained							
Soil Hydric status			Hydric		Hydric	;							
Slope	Zana	V monto i	0.2%	of	0.06%	AE (healyystar of							
FEMA classification	Zone	A, parts li Mel	herrin River)	01	Meherrin R	iver)							
Native vegetation community	Н	eadwater	Forest Community		Headwater Forest	Community							
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation			0%		0%								
	We	tland Su	mmary Information	(Post I	Restoration)								
Parameters													
Size of Wetland (acres)					3.6 acres								
Wetland Type					Riparian								
Mapped Soil Series				F	Roanoke and Tomotley								
Drainage class					Poorly drained								
Soil Hydric Status					Hydric								
Source of Hydrology				Hillsic	le seepage and precipitation								
Hydrologic Impairment				Dit	ching and Cattle damage								
Native vegetation communit	у			Head	dwater Forest Community								
Percent composition of exotivegetation	c invasive				0%								

Regulatory Considerations										
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation							
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictional Determination							
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictional Determination							
Endangered Species Act**	No	N/A	N/A							
Historic Preservation Act**	No	N/A	N/A							
Coastal Zone Management Act ** (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	N/A							
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	FEMA Floodplain Checklist							
Essential Fisheries Habitat**	No	N/A	N/A							

Table 4b. Project Information												
Stanley's II Restoration Site, El	EP Project #95838											
Project Name		Stanley's II Restoration Site										
County		Northampton County										
Project Area (acres)		9.4 acres										
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)		34.922569 N , -77.319871 W										
	Project Watershed Sun	nmary Information										
Physiographic Province		Coastal Plain										
River Basin		Chowan										
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03010204	USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03010204180040									
DWQ Sub-basin		03-01-02										
Project Drainage Area (acres)		80 acres										
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	<1%											
CGIA Land Use Classification	53.0% forested land, 34.9% rangeland, 12.1% agriculture											
W	etland Summary Information (Post Restoration)											
Parameters												
Size of Wetland (acres)	7.6 acres											
Wetland Type	Riparian											
Mapped Soil Series		Tomotley, Roanoke										
Drainage class		Poorly Drained										
Soil Hydric Status		Hydric										
Source of Hydrology		Hillside seepage and precipitation										
Hydrologic Impairment		Ditching and Crops										
Native vegetation community		Headwater Forest Community										
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation		0%										
	Regulatory Cor	nsiderations	C									
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Documentation									
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictional Determination									
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes	Jurisdictional Determination									
Endangered Species Act**	No	N/A	N/A									
Historic Preservation Act**	No	N/A	N/A									
Coastal Zone Management Act ** (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	N/A									
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	FEMA Floodplain Checklist									
Essential Fisheries Habitat**	No N/A N/A											

# **APPENDIX B**

Baseline Data

			9535	56-01	-0001	953	56-01-	0002	953	56-01-	0003	953	56-01-	0004	9535	6-01-	0005	9535	56-01-	0006	9535	6-01-	·0007	953	56-01-	0008	9535	56-01-	0009	9535	6-01-	0010	953	56-01-	0011
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-a	JII T	PnoL	S P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	5 P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoL	S P-all	Т	PnoLS	5 P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree										1	1	1				5	5	5													5	5	5
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree				5	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	3				5	5	5	1	1	1	8	8	8	10	10	10	3	3	3	4	4	4
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				2	2	2				23	23	23	10	10	10	15	15	15	4	4	4	6	6	6				7	7	7	6	6	6
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	Tree													1	1	1				1	1	1												
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree				7	7	7													1	1	1				3	3	3				1	1	1
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree																												1	1	1			
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	Tree	7	7	7	1	1	1	1	1	1										1	1	1				7	7	7				2	2	2
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2				8	8	8										2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree	1	1	1																														
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1							8	8	8	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	1	1	1	9	9	9			
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	4	4	4				11	11	11	4	4	4													2	2	2				1	1	1
Unknown		Shrub or Tree	3	3	3	10	10	10	1	1	1				4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3
		Stem count	19	19	) 19	26	26	26	25	25	25	31	31	31	23	23	23	30	30	30	16	16	16	25	25	25	29	29	29	24	24	24	25	25	25
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02	2		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	8	8	8	5	5	5	7	7	7	6	6	6	8	8	8
	St	ems per ACRE	769	769	9 769	1052	1052	1052	1012	1012	1012	1255	1255	1255	931	931	931	1214	1214	1214	647	647	647	1012	1012	1012	1174	1174	1174	971	971	971	1012	1012	1012

#### Table 5. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species (EEP Project Code 95356. Project Name: Stanley's Slough and Stanley's II)

Table 5 continued. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species (EEP Project Code 95356. Project Name: Stanley's Slough and Stanley's II) Annual												ual M	eans																			
			953	56-01-0	012	9535	56-01-0013 95356-01-00				0014	14 95356-01-0015			95356-01-0016			95356-01-0017			9535	56-01-0	0018	9535	56-01-0	0019	9535	6-01-0	0020	MY	00 (20	14)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree																												11	11	11
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree							9	9	9	3	3	3				10	10	10				5	5	5	3	3	3	73	73	73
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	11	11	11	1	1	1				5	5	5	9	9	9	2	2	2	6	6	6	9	9	9	1	1	1	117	117	117
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	Tree	1	1	1							2	2	2	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	1	1	1				19	19	19
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	1	1	1	15	15	15							6	6	6	1	1	1	2	2	2				9	9	9	46	46	46
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1	6	6	6	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2				2	2	2	1	1	1	19	19	19
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	Tree				3	3	3	1	1	1				2	2	2	3	3	3							2	2	2	30	30	30
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree				3	3	3	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	6	6	6	3	3	3	1	1	1	50	50	50
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree																												1	1	1
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	4	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	8	8	8	7	7	7	3	3	3	65	65	65
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree				5	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	1				2	2	2							2	2	2	33	33	33
Unknown		Shrub or Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	3	1	1	1	4	4	4				1	1	1	7	7	7	52	52	52
		Stem count	22	22	22	31	31	31	26	26	26	19	19	19	30	30	30	32	32	32	26	26	26	28	28	28	29	29	29	516	516	516
		size (ares)		1	•		1			1			1	•		1			1			1	•		1			1			20	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.49	
		Species count	6	6	6	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	5	5	5	7	7	7	9	9	9	12	12	12
	Ste	ems per ACRE	890	890	890	1255	1255	1255	1052	1052	1052	769	769	769	1214	1214	1214	1295	1295	1295	1052	1052	1052	1133	1133	1133	1174	1174	1174	1044	1044	1044

#### **Photo Reference Photos**



PP1a-MY-00-4/17/14



PP2a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP3a Tributary – MY-00 – 4/17/14

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites EEP Contract # 004635/5151



PP1b - MY - 00 - 4/17/14



PP2b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP3b Tributary – MY-00 – 4/17/14

KCI Associates of NC, PA Final Baseline Monitoring Report



PP3c Tributary - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP4a – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP5a – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP4b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP5b – MY-00 – 4/17/14

KCI Associates of NC, PA Final Baseline Monitoring Report

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites EEP Contract # 004635/5151



PP6a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP7a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP8a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP6b – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP7b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP8b - MY-00 - 4/17/14

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites EEP Contract # 004635/5151 KCI Associates of NC, PA Final Baseline Monitoring Report



PP9a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP9b - MY - 00 - 4/17/14



PP10a – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP10b - MY-00 - 4/17/14

# **APPENDIX C**

As-Built Plan Sheets

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites EEP Contract # 004635/5151 KCI Associates of NC, PA Final Baseline Monitoring Report



# **GENERAL NOTES:**

DISTANCES SHOWN ARE HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCES IN U.S. SURVEY FEET UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

THE BASIS OF THE MERIDIANS AND COORDINATES FOR THIS PLAT IS THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983 (NAD 83), BASED ON DIFFERENTIAL GPS OBSERVATIONS PERFORMED IN AUGUST 2012. ALL DISTANCES ARE GROUND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

DEED REFERENCES: DB 875 PG 760, DB 366 PG 148

SUBJECT PROPERTIES KNOWN AS TAX NUMBER: PIN 4081-49-0166, PIN 4081-58-2207

NO UNDERGROUND UTILITY LOCATING PERFORMED DURING THE COURSE OF THIS SURVEY.

## CONTROL:

NAME	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV.	
KCI#1	1016720.93	2484608.74	57.91	
KCI#3	1019481.32	2485120.13	45.15	
KCI#4	1019310.06	2485382.05	45.13	
KCI#6	1019084.72	2485651.46	46.48	
KCI#7	1019042.88	2485242.23	46.39	
KCI#8	1018912.52	2484912.50	46.94	
KCI#9	1018851.22	2485361.74	45.21	
KCI#10	1018757.42	2485093.29	45.52	
KCI#11	1018753.50	2484753.72	45.96	
KCI#12	1018588.72	2484677.15	47.00	
KCI#13	1018462.81	2484569.90	47.61	
KCI#14	1018856.12	2485685.87	50.98	
KCI#15	1019391.62	2485748.55	44.27	
KCI#16	1019474.14	2485792.29	44.58	
KCI#17	1016535.09	2484118.26	63.17	
KCI#18	1018586.87	2485169.82	50.87	
KCI#19	1019249.87	2484417.07	45.99	
KCI#21	1016989.95	2484843.11	72.67	
KCI#30	1017598.27	2485864.81	67.03	
KCI#31	1017838.59	2485845.29	71.83	
KCI#32	1017838.60	2485845.29	71.87	
KCI#33	1018254.84	2483927.24	47.32	
KCI#32	1017838.60	2485845.29	71.87	
KCI#33	1018254.84	2483927.24	47.32	

### PROJECT LEGEND:

Stream Valley Centerline	12+0
Filled Ditches	$\boxtimes$
Stabilized Drainage Outfall	
Log Sill	

Existing Woods Line	$\longrightarrow$
Contour Line	
New Woven Wire Fence	-xxx
Limits of Disturbance	LOD

L











