Monitoring Report MY02

Stony Fork Restoration Site Upper Neuse River Basin - 03020201 Monitoring Year 02 DMS Contract 6830

DMS Project Number 97085 DWR #: 2016-0372 USACE Action ID: 2016-00875 Johnston County, North Carolina



Prepared for: NCDMS, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

> Monitoring Data Collected: 2020 Date Submitted: January 2021

14 Mitigation Project Name DMS ID River Basin Cataloging Unit County

Stony Fork Restoration Site 97085 Neuse 03020201 Johnston USACE Action ID DWR Permit Date Project Instituted Date Prepared Stream/Wet. Service Area 2016-00875 2016-0372 3/22/2016 4/21/2020 Neuse 03020201

Signature & Date of Official Approving Credit Release

1 - For NCDMS, no credits are released during the first milestone

- 2 For NCDMS projects, the initial credit release milestone occurs when the as-built report (baseline monitoring report) has been approved by the IRT and posted to the DMS portal, provided the following have been met:
 - 1) Approved of Final Mitigation Plan
 - 2) Recordation of the preservation mechanism, as well as a title opinion acceptable to the USACE covering the property.
 - 3) Completion of all physical and biological improvements to the mitigation site pursuant to the mitigation plan.
- 4) Receipt of necessary DA permit authorization or written DA approval for projects where DA permit issuance is not required.

3 - A 10% reserve of credits is to be held back until the bankfull event performance standard has been met.

Credit Release Milestone	Warm Stream Credits						
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release	Actual Release
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	1,975.780	0.000	1,975.780	2019	8/20/2019
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%	10.00%	658.593	0.000	658.593	2020	4/21/2020
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%					2021	
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	10.00%					2022	
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%					2023	
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	10.00%					2024	
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2025	
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2026	
Stream Bankfull Standard	10.00%						
			Totals	0.000	2,634.373		

Total Gross Credits	6,585.933
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	2,634.373
Total Percentage Released	40.00%
Remaining Unreleased Credits	3,951.560

Notes

Contingencies (if any)

Project Quantities

Mitigation Type	Restoration Type	Physical Quantity
Warm Stream	Restoration	6,405.000
Warm Stream	Enhancement I	71.000
Warm Stream	Enhancement II	334.000

15								
Mitigation Project N	lame	Stony Fork Res	storation Site			ction ID	20	16-00875
DMS ID Biver Bacin		97085 Nouco			Dwk Per	mit joct Inctitutor		10-03/2
Cataloging Unit		03020201			Date Pro	nared	رد ۱ /۸	22/2010
County		Johnston			Stream/	Net. Service A	vrea Ne	use 0302020
Debits							Stream Restoration Credits	
Beginning Balance (mitigation credits)					6,585.93	3		
Released Credits					2,634.37	3		
Unrealized Credits							0.00	D
Owning Program	Req. Id	TIP #	Project Name	USACE Permit #	DWR Permit #	DCM Permit #		
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-008290	R-2721A	R-2721A - NC 540 - West of NC 55 to East of SR 1389	2009-02240	2018-1249		1,975.78	0
Total Credits Debite	d						1,975.78	D
Remaining Available	e balance (Rel	eased credits)					658.59	3
Remaining balance (Unreleased credits)				3,951.56	D			

Monitoring and Design Firm





KCI Associates of North Carolina 4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214

Project Contact: Tim Morris Email: <u>tim.morris@kci.com</u>



ENGINEERS • SCIENTISTS • SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS 4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214 (919) 783-9266 Fax

MEMORANDUM

Date:	February 24, 2021
To:	Lindsay Crocker, DMS Project Manager
From:	Tim Morris, Project Manager
	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA
Subject:	MY-02 Monitoring Report Comments
-	Stony Fork DMS #6830, Contract 006830
	Neuse River Basin CU 030202018
	Johnston County, North Carolina

Please find below our responses in italics to the MY-02 Monitoring Report comments from NCDMS received on February 2, 2021 for the Stony Fork Restoration Site.

- 1. There was ATV encroachment and evidence of trails throughout portions of the easement, along with visual evidence of ATV activity during the DMS site visit. This encroachment should be eliminated by working with the landowner. Please reach out to DMS Property and/or Stewardship if you need assistance with this effort, including suggestions for additional signage, etc. There should be a formal letter or memo documenting notification to the landowner to ensure this is handled in the future. Please provide that documentation to DMS. *KCI Response: KCI first noted the evidence of ATV encroachment on June 5, 2020. At this time, the landowner was alerted of the issue. KCI monitored this area for continued trespass at each site visit after it was first noted and as of December 8, 2020 no evidence of further trespass was noted. At a site visit on February 9, 2021, fresh ATV tracks were noted entering the easement from the end of Sherrill Farm Dr. The landowner has been informed of this encroachment and the letter that was sent to him has been included at the end of the report.*
- 2. Please also document the extent of the encroachment on the CCPV map and measure the area to be reported on the visual assessment table 6 (#5 Easement Encroachment Areas). *KCI Response: The extent of the ATV encroachment was surveyed with a handheld GPS on February 9, 2021 and the CCPV and visual assessment table have been updated to show the encroachment.*
- 3. Resubmit the buffer features, ensuring that they are organized as they are presented in the asset table. Previous digital submissions of these features do not appear to match the square footage reported in the asset table. *KCI Response: The correct buffer features have been submitted with the digital deliverables.*
- 4. Digital review of SF3 does not match the asset table (691 ft vs. 624 ft) from the Mitigation Plan. This possibly an issue with the crossing not being removed (as footnoted on the asset table). Please segment this feature or provide the correct geometry for the SF3 that is equal to the creditable stream length (624).

KCI Response: The submitted shapefile contained the section of stream that a restoration level of work was performed on, but which did not generate any credit due to the limited buffer width. These segments of the stream have been marked as "No Credit."

Sincerely,

Jug g. Munis

Tim Morris Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project Summary	.1
Monitoring Results	.2
Figure 1. Project Site Vicinity Map	.4
References	.5

Appendix A – Background Tables

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits	7
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	8
Table 3. Project Contacts	9
Table 4. Project Information	10

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

CCPV	13
Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Assessment	18
Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment	24
Photo Reference Points	25
Permanent Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos	29
Random Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos	32

Appendix C – Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7 Stem Count Total and Planted by	Plot and Species	34
Tuble 7. Stelli Coulit Total and Thanted by	1 lot and opecies	7

Appendix D – Stream Measurement and Geomorphology Data

Table 8. Baseline Stream Data Summary	
Table 9. Cross-section Morphology Data Table	
Cross-section Plots	
Pebble Counts	

Appendix E – Hydrologic Data

Bankfull Verification and Precipitation Plot	74
Table 10. Verification of Stream Flow	75
Table 11. Stream Flow Criteria Attainment	75
Stream Flow Verification and Precipitation Plots	77

<u>Appendix F – Additional Information</u>

Notice of Easement	Violation	81
--------------------	-----------	----

PROJECT SUMMARY

The Stony Fork Restoration Site (SFRS) was completed in May 2019 and restored a total of 6,810 linear feet of stream and 949,747 square feet of riparian buffer under the Neuse Buffer Rule (NCAC Rule 15A 02B.029). The SFRS is a riparian system in the Upper Neuse River Basin (03020201 8-digit cataloging unit) in Johnston County, North Carolina. The site's natural hydrologic regime had been substantially modified through the relocation and straightening of the existing stream channels, impacted by land clearing, and cleared of any riparian buffer. This completed project will restore impacted agricultural and timber lands to a stable stream ecosystem with a functional riparian buffer and floodplain access.

The SFRS is protected by a 24.4 acre permanent conservation easement, held by the State of North Carolina. The site is located approximately 5.5 miles north of Benson, NC. Specifically, the site is 0.2 mile west on Elevation Road from its intersection with Federal Road (SR-1331).

The North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) published the Neuse River Basin Priorities in 2010. These were updated in for the Neuse 01 cataloging unit (CU) in 2015 due to extensive mitigation needs and changes in watershed conditions since 2010. The project 14 digit CU (03020201150010) was identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in the updated priorities. The goals and priorities for the SFRS are based on the information presented in the Neuse River Basin Restoration Priorities: maintaining and enhancing water quality, restoring hydrology, and improving fish and wildlife habitat (NCEEP, 2009). The project will support the following basin priorities:

- Managing stormwater runoff
- Improving/restoring riparian buffers
- Reducing sediment loading
- Improving stream stability

The goals for the project are to:

- Restore channelized and agriculture impacted streams to stable C/Cb channels.
- Restore a forested riparian buffer to provide bank stability, filtration, and shading.

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Relocate a channelized stream to its historic landscape position.
- Install cross-sections sized to the bankfull discharge.
- Create bedform diversity with pools, riffles, and habitat structures
- Plant the site with native trees and shrubs and an herbaceous seed mix.

Project planting and construction were completed in May 2019. The SFRS involved restoration and establishment of a functioning stream ecosystem with 6,810 linear feet of stream restored by re-meandering the stream and by tying the bankfull elevation to the historic floodplain where feasible. The entire site was planted to establish a forested riparian buffer. The site was constructed as designed with no major modifications from the design plan. The monitoring components were installed in May 2019. Four automatica recording pressure transducer stream gauges that take a reading every 10 minutes were installed in the upper third of T1, T1-A, T2 and T3 to document flow within those reaches. Cameras were installed in the vicinity of each of these gauges and set to record a short video once a day to provide additional verification of flow. An additional automatic recording pressure transducer stream gauge was installed near the bottom of the main stem (SF3) to record the occurrence of bankfull events. To determine the success of the planted mitigation areas, seven 10 m x 10 m permanent vegetation monitoring plots were established. An additional five 10 m x 10 m random vegetation monitoring plots were sampled as well. The locations of the planted stems relative to the origin were recorded within the permanent plots and the species and height of each planted stem were recorded for all plots. Any volunteers found within the plots were also grouped into size categories by species, but separate from the planted stems. Twelve permanent photo reference points were established and will be taken annually. Sixteen permanent cross-sections (eight riffle

cross-sections and eight pool cross-sections) were also established and a detailed longitudinal profile of the stream was taken. Wolman pebble counts were performed at all of the riffle cross-sections. The cross-section measurements will be repeated in future monitoring years, but the longitudinal profile will only be repeated if there are concerns about bed elevation adjustments. Reports will be submitted to DMS each year.

Vegetative success criteria for the stream mitigation is 260 woody stems/acre after five years, and 210 woody stems/acre after seven years. Trees in each plot must average seven feet in height at Year 5 and ten feet in height at Year 7. Volunteer species must be present for a minimum of two growing seasons and must be a species from the approved planting list to count toward vegetative success. A single species may not account for more than 50% of the required number of stems within any plot. A minimum of four bankfull events must also be recorded during the monitoring period. All project streams must show a minimum of 30 continuous days of flow within a calendar year for three out of the first four years of monitoring. Bank height ratios (BHR) should not exceed 1.2 and the entrenchment ratios (ER) should be 2.2 or greater. BHR and ER at any measured riffle cross-section should not change more than 10% from the baseline condition during any given monitoring interval (e.g. no more than 10% between years 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 5, or 5 and 7). Visual assessments will also be used to identify problem areas.

Vegetative success criteria for the areas proposed for riparian buffer credit is 260 woody stems/acre at the end of five years of monitoring. Trees in each plot must average seven feet in height at Year 5. There should be a minimum of four native hardwood tree species, with no species accounting for greater than 50% of the stems. Volunteer species must be from the approved planting list to count toward vegetative success.

MONITORING RESULTS

The second-year vegetation monitoring was conducted between September 2 and September 4, 2020. The site averaged 573 planted stems/acre across all 12 plots. Eleven of the twelve plots had greater than 260 planted stems/acre. Including volunteers, the site averaged 782 total stems/acre. The plot that did not meet the success criteria (Plot #5) is located near the bottom of Reach T2-1. Since this reach is an Enhancement II reach, the work that was done here was much less extensive than other areas of the site and the majority of the mature trees in this area were left intact. This area has canopy coverage and there are many mature trees both in and around this plot. In general the site is well vegetated, with widespread herbaceous coverage and healthy planted stems.

The stream gauge near the bottom of SF3 recorded eight bankfull events in 2020. All four stream flow gauges recorded at least 30 consecutive days of flow. All four of the gauges recorded flow for the entirety of the period that they recorded. This consisted of a period of 96 consecutive days from January 1 to April 5, and a period of 152 consecutive days from June 3 to November 1. The gap between April 5 and June 3 was caused by a gauge malfunction but based on the rainfall pattern and previous year's data it is likely that the streams were all flowing during this period as well. The cameras on T1 and T3 either were obscured or malfunctioned throughout the majority of the year, but the camera on T1A recorded a maximum of 183 consecutive days of flow before being obscured by vegetation growth. The camera on T2 recorded a maximum of 53 days before malfunctioning. Camera malfunctions have been repaired for MY03.

The second-year cross-section survey was completed between June 18 and 28, 2020 and found that the dimensions of the stream are as designed, with some small variation as is typical for stream restoration projects. Cross-section 9, located on T3, showed about six inches of aggradation. This reach received a large amount of sediment input during 2020 from portions of the stream located upstream of the project reaches. This section of the project will be monitored to ensure that this sediment washes through the system in the coming monitoring years. The monitored cross-section data have been calculated by adjusting the bankfull elevation to maintain the baseline bankfull area for each cross-section.

During a site visit on June 5, 2020, ATV tracks entering the easement were noted. These tracks entered the easement from the end of Sherrill Farm Dr., turned northeast for approximately 500 feet before turning around and traveling along the northern edge of the easement until exiting the site along the relic farm road that runs parallel to Sherrill Farm Dr. At this time, the landowner was alerted of the issue and KCI monitored this area for continued trespass. As of December 8, 2020 no evidence of further trespass was noted but at a site visit on February 9, 2021, fresh ATV tracks were noted. These tracks ran from the end of Sherrill Farm Rd. to the relic farm road and did not turn northeast, as the original ones had. The landowner has been issued a formal notice of violation, which is included in Appendix F - Additional Information. Please see Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data for further information.



REFERENCES

- NCDEQ, Division of Mitigation Services. March 2015. 2015 Neuse 03020201 Priorities. Last accessed at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=340a3f58-336b-42bf-bab2-fb663cbfd78d&groupId=60329</u>
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2014. NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2014. Stream and Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Guidelines. Last accessed1/2016 at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?p_1_id=60409&folderId=18877169</u> <u>&name=DLFE-86604.pdf</u>
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2014. Stream and Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Guidelines. Last accessed 6/2015 at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?p_1_id=60409&folderId=18877169</u> <u>&name=DLFE-86606.pdf</u>
- NC Wetland Functional Assessment Team. 2010. NC Wetland Assessment Method (NC WAM) User Manual, version 4.1. Last accessed 11/2012 at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=76f3c58b-dab8-4960-ba43-45b7faf06f4c&groupId=38364</u>
- Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 2012. Guide to the Natural Communities of North Carolina: Fourth Approximation. Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, N.C. Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Raleigh, NC.
- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey of Johnston County, North Carolina. 1994

APPENDIX A

Background Tables

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits Cite DMC Description											
Stony Fork Re	Mitigation Credits										
	Strea	am	Ripar Wetla	ian Ind	Non-rip Wetla	arian and	ian Buffer		Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset	
Туре	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE	E	R	RE		
Linear Feet/Acres	6,405	405						450,285 sf	f 499,462 sf		
Credits	6,405	181						425,434	59,904		
TOTAL CREDITS	6,58	86						48	80,338		
					Project	Com	pone	ents			
Project Component -or- Reach ID	Statio Loca	ning/ tion	Exis Foot Square	ting age/ Footage	Approac (PI, PII etc.)	: h [Res Res Equ	toration -or- toration iivalent	MP Restoration Footage*	As-built Restoration Footage	Mitigation Ratio
SF1	10+00 -	- 21+55	1,2	35	PI/PII			R	1,155	1,155	1:1
SF2	21+55 -	- 49+54	2,4	-53	PI			R	2,707**	2,714**	1:1
SF3	49+54 - 56+08		618		PI		R		624**	624**	1:1
T1	100+00 -	- 105+10	30	65	PI/PII			R	510	510	1:1
T1A	150+00 -	- 151+59	4	7	PI/PII			R	159	159	1:1
T2-1	200+00 -	- 203+34	32	27	N/A			EII	334	334	2.5:1
T2-2	203+34 -	- 206+71	32	26	PI/PII			R	337	337	1:1
T2-3	206+71 -	- 215+26	78	30	PI/PII			R	855	855	1:1
T3-1	300+00 -	- 300+71	7	2	PI/PII			EI	71	71	1.5:1
T3-2	300+71 -	- 301+29	8	2	PI/PII			R	58	58	1:1
Buffer Restoration TOB to 100'	N/	Ά	413	,194	N/A			R	413,194	413,194	100%
Buffer Restoration 101-200'	N/	Ά	37,	091	N/A			R	37,091	37,091	33%
Buffer Enhancement TOB to 100'	N/	Ά	74,	802	N/A			Е	74,802	74,802	50%
Buffer Preservation TOB to 100'	N/	'A	424	,660	N/A			Р	424,660	424,660	10%

*Mitigation Plan footage used for credit calculations. **Crossings have been removed from creditable linear footage for all project streams

Component Summation									
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)	Riparian Wetlands (Acres)		Non-Riparian Wetlands (Acres)	Buffer (square feet)				
		Riverine	Non-Riverine						
Restoration	6,405				450,285				
Enhancement					74,802				
Enhancement I	71								
Enhancement II	334								
Creation									
Preservation					424,660 (175,029 allowable for credit)				
High Quality Preservation									
TOTAL CREDITS	6,586				480,338				

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History Stony Fork Restoration Sites, DMS Project #97085

Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Mitigation Plan		September 5, 2018
Final Design - Construction Plans		Oct. 15, 2018
Construction Grading Completed		May 3, 2019
Planting Completed		May 6 2019
Baseline Monitoring/Report	May 2019	July 2018
Vegetation Monitoring	May 9, 2019	
Stream Survey	May 15, 2019	
Year 1 Monitoring	November 2019	January 2020
Vegetation Monitoring	November 5, 2019	
Stream Survey	November 11, 2019	
Year 2 Monitoring	November 2020	January 2021
Vegetation Monitoring	September 4, 2020	
Stream Survey	June 29, 2020	

Table 3. Project Contacts	
Stony Fork Restoration Si	te, DMS Project #97085
Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina
0	4505 Falls of Neuse Road
	Suite 400
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris
	Phone: (919) 278-2512
	Fax: (919) 783-9266
Construction Contractor	Fluvial Solutions, Inc.
Stony Fork and T3	PO Box 28749
	Raleigh, NC 27611
	Contact: Mr. Peter Jelenevsky
	Phone: (919) 605-6134
Construction Contractor	KCI Environmental Technologies and Construction
T1, T1A, and T2	4505 Falls of Neuse Road, Suite 400
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris
	Phone: (919) 278-2512
Planting Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc.
	PO Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
	Contact: Mr. Charlie Bruton
	Phone: (919)783-9214
Monitoring Performers	
	KCI Associates of North Carolina
	4505 Falls of Neuse Road
	Suite 400
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller
	Phone: (919) 278-2514
	Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project InformationStony Fork Restoration Site, DMS P	roject	#97085						
Project Name		Stony Fork Restoration Site						
County		Johnston County						
Project Area (acres)			24.4	1 acre	s			
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)			35°26'55.0"N	. 78°	31'18.5"W			
		Project Watersh	ed Summary Information	1				
Physiographic Province			Coast	tal Pla	iin			
River Basin			Ν	euse				
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit		03020201	USGS Hydi	ologi	c Unit 14-digit	03020201150010		
DWQ Sub-basin			03-	04-04	Ļ	•		
Project Drainage Area (acres)			497	acres	5			
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	f		:	5%				
CGIA Land Use Classification		Managed Herbace Density Developed Transportation/Im	Managed Herbaceous Cover 53% (262 ac), Mixed Hardwoods/Conifers 31% (150 ac), Low Density Developed 9% (42 ac), Medium Density Residential 5% (24 ac), Transportation/Impervious 3% (13 ac)					
Existing Reach Summary Information						T 2		
Parameters	2.1/	Stony Fork	412	1 433		154		
Drainaga area (agres)	3,14	+1	12	1,4)	20		
Perennial Intermittent Ephemeral	rainage area (acres) 497 erennial Intermittent Enhemeral Pere		Intermittent		ennial	29 Intermittent		
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	CDWO Water Ouality Classification C: N		C; NSW	C;	NSW	C; NSW		
Stream Classification (exisiting)	G4c	;	G4			G4		
Stream Classification (proposed)	C4		C4	C4		C4		
Evolutionary trend (Simon)	Cha	nnelized, Stage III	Channelized, Stage III	Channelized, Stage III		Modified with pond, Stage III		
FEMA classification	Nor	ie	None		ne	None		
		Existing Wetlan	d Summary Information			•		
Parameters								
Size of Wetland (acres)	0.33	(WA and WE)	0.06 (WB)		0.14 (WC and WF)			
Wetland Type	Head	water Forest	Bottomland Hardwood Forest		Non-Tidal Freshwater Marsh			
Mapped Soil Series	Gilea	d sandy loam	Bibb sandy loam		Bibb sandy loam			
Drainage class	Drainage class Moderately Well Drained		Poorly Drained		Poorly Drained			
Soil Hydric Status Non-ł		hydric	Hydric	Iydric		Hydric		
Source of Hydrology	Surfa	ce Water	Stream Floodplain		Stream Floodplain			
Restoration or Enhancement Method	N/A		N/A		N/A			

Regulatory Considerations							
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation				
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes	404 permit				
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes	401 permit				
Endangered Species Act	No	N/A	N/A				
Historic Preservation Act	No	N/A	N/A				
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	N/A				
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	No	Yes					
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	N/A	N/A				

APPENDIX B

Visual Assessment Data





	Project Easement
	Easement Encroachment
	Cross-Sections
☆	Photo Points
	Flow Gauge
0	Camera
0	Bankfull Gauge
Vegeta	ation Monitoring Plots
	Success Criteria Met
	Success Criteria Not Met



	Project Easement
	Easement Encroachment
	Cross-Sections
☆	Photo Points
	Flow Gauge
0	Camera
0	Bankfull Gauge
Vegeta	ation Monitoring Plots
	Success Criteria Met
	Success Criteria Not Met



R (6,405 lf / 6,405 SMCs) EI (71 lf / 47 SMCs) EII (334 lf / 134 SMCs)

R 0-100' (413,194 sf / 413,194 credits) R 101-200' (37,091 sf / 12,240 credits) (74,802 sf / 37,401 credits) (424,660 sf / 17,503 credits)

	Project Easement
	Easement Encroachment
	Cross-Sections
\bigstar	Photo Points
	Flow Gauge
0	Camera
•	Bankfull Gauge
Vegeta	ation Monitoring Plots
	Success Criteria Met
	Success Criteria Not Met

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLANVIEW STONY FORK RESTORATION SITE JOHNSTON COUNTY, NC



SHEET 3 of 4 Source: Google Earth, 2018



	Project Easement
	Easement Encroachment
·	Cross-Sections
\bigstar	Photo Points
	Flow Gauge
0	Camera
0	Bankfull Gauge
Vegeta	ation Monitoring Plots
	Success Criteria Met
	Success Criteria Not Met

Table 5 Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment

Stony Fork Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project#97085

Reach ID

SF1 1 155

Assessed Length		1,155					
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%
	(Riffle and Run units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	18	18			100%
	3. Meander Pool	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6)	17	17			100%
	Condition	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	17	17			100%
	4 Thelwog Desition	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	17	17			100%
	4. Thatweg Position	2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	17	17			100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	5	5			100%
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	5	5			100%
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%

Table 5		Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment					
Stony Fork Strea	m Restoration Site, DM	S Project#97085					
Reach ID		SF2					
Assessed Length		2,802					
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Biffle and Bun units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%
	(Riffle and Ruff units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	36	36			100%
	3. Meander Pool	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6)	35	35			100%
	Condition	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	35	35			100%
	A Thelwag Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	35	35			100%
	4.1 narweg 1 osition	2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	35	35			100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	8	8			100%
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	8	8			100%
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	8	8			100%
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	8	8			100%
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	8	8			100%

Table 5		Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment							
Stony Fork Strea	m Restoration Site, DM	S Project#97085							
Reach ID		SF3							
Assessed Length		618							
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%		
	(Riffle and Run units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%		
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	9	9			100%		
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6)	8	8			100%		
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	8	8			100%		
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	8	8			100%		
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	8	8			100%		
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%		
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%		
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%		
				Totals	0	0	100%		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	1	1			100%		
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	1	1			100%		
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	1	1			100%		
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	1	1			100%		
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	1	1			100%		

Table 5		Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment							
Stony Fork Strea	m Restoration Site, DM	S Project#97085							
Reach ID		T1							
Assessed Length		365							
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%		
	(Kille and Kull ullits)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%		
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	13	13			100%		
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6)	12	12			100%		
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	12	12			100%		
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	12	12			100%		
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	12	12			100%		
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%		
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%		
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%		
				Totals	0	0	100%		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	4			100%		
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	4	4			100%		
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	4	4			100%		
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	4	4			100%		
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	4	4			100%		

Table 5		Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment							
Stony Fork Strea	m Restoration Site, DM	S Project#97085							
Reach ID		T2							
Assessed Length		1,433							
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%		
	(Kille and Kull ullits)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting		-	0	0	100%		
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	27	27			100%		
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6)	26	26			100%		
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	26	26			100%		
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	26	26			100%		
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	26	26			100%		
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%		
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%		
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%		
				Totals	0	0	100%		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	7	7			100%		
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	7	7			100%		
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	7	7			100%		
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	7	7			100%		
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	7	7			100%		

Table 5		Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment					
Stony Fork Strea	m Restoration Site, DM	S Project#97085					
Reach ID		T3					
Assessed Length		154					
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%
	(Riffle and Ruff units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	3	3			100%
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \ge 1.6)	2	2			100%
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	2	2			100%
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	2	2			100%
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	2	2			100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	N/A	N/A			N/A
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	N/A	N/A			N/A
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	N/A	N/A			N/A
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	N/A	N/A			N/A
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A

Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment

Stony Fork Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project# 97085 Planted Acreage 24.4

Planted Acreage	24.4					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
		Cumulative Total		0	0.00	0.0%
Easement Acreage	9.5					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	1	0.05	0.5%

Photo Reference Photos



PP1U - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP1D - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP2U - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP1U - MY-02 - 12/8/20



PP1D - MY-02 - 12/8/20



PP2U-MY-02-12/8/20



PP2D - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP3U – MY-00 – 5/15/19



PP3D - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP2D - MY - 02 - 12/8/20



PP3U - MY - 02 - 12/8/20



PP3D - MY - 02 - 12/8/20



PP4U - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP4D - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP5U - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP4U - MY - 02 - 12/8/20



PP4D - MY-02 - 12/8/20



PP5U-MY-02-12/8/20



PP5D - MY - 00 - 5/15/19



PP6U - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP6D - MY-00 - 5/15/19



PP5D-MY-02-12/8/20



PP6U - MY-02 - 12/8/20



PP6D-MY-02-12/8/20

Permanent Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Vegetation Plot 1 - MY-00 - 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 2 – MY-00 – 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 3 – MY-00 – 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 1 - MY-02 - 9/2/20



Vegetation Plot 2 – MY-02 – 9/2/20



Vegetation Plot 3 – MY-02 – 9/2/20

Stony Fork Restoration Site DMS Project # 97085


Vegetation Plot 4 - MY-00 - 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 5 – MY-00 – 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 6 – MY-00 – 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 4 - MY-02 - 9/2/20



Vegetation Plot 5 - MY-02 - 9/2/20



Vegetation Plot 6 – MY-02 – 9/2/20



Vegetation Plot 7 – MY-00 – 5/15/19



Vegetation Plot 7 – MY-02 – 9/2/20

Random Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Vegetation Plot R1 – MY-02 – 9/4/20



Vegetation Plot R3 - MY - 02 - 9/4/20



Vegetation Plot R2 – MY-02 – 9/4/20



Vegetation Plot R4 – MY-02 – 9/4/20



Vegetation Plot R5 - MY-02 - 9/4/20

APPENDIX C

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Stem Count by Plot and Species Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085

Bioly Fork Restoration Bree, Divis Froject in	Current Plot Data (MY02 2020)													
	Plot	01	Plot	02	Plot	03	Plot	.04	Plot	05	Plot	06	Plo	7
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Elm (Ulmus americana)								2						
American Persimmon (Diospyros virginiana)														
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)	5	5	7	8	1	1	2	2	1	1	3	3	2	2
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)							1	1					4	4
Black Willow (Salix nigra)		1										3		
Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)										3				
Green Ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)	2	2	4	4	4	4					1	1		
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)		12		3				8						
Oak (Quercus sp.)														
Pin Oak (Quercus palustris)	2	2	2	2			1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)				1				1		2				
Red Oak (Quercus rubra)														
River Birch (Betula nigra)			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)							5	5			1	1	1	1
Sugar Berry (Celtis laevigata)														
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	2	2							1	1	1	1	1	1
Sweet Bay (Magnolia virginiana)										1				
Sweet Gum (Liquidambar styraciflua)				1										
Tulip Poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera)	1	2			1	1		1			1	1		
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)														
Wax Myrtle (Myrica cerifera)		1												
White Oak (Quercus alba)	1	1			1	1				1				
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)	1	1	1	1	3	3	6	6			3	3	2	2
Unknown														
Stem count	14	29	15	21	11	11	16	28	4	11	13	16	14	14
size (ares)	1		1		1		1		1		1		1	
size (ACRES)	0.02	25	0.02	25	0.02	25	0.0	25	0.02	25	0.02	25	0.02	25
Species count	. 7	10	5	8	6	6	6	10	4	8	0	0	0	0
Stems per ACRE	567	1,174	607	850	445	445	647	1,133	162	445	526	647	567	567

2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Current Plot Data (MY02 2020)											
	Plot	R1	Plot	R2	Plot	R3	Plot	R4	Plot	R5		
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total		
American Elm (Ulmus americana)								1				
American Persimmon (Diospyros virginiana)	2	2										
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)	2	2	5	5	6	6	8	8	1	1		
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)												
Black Willow (Salix nigra)									2	2		
Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)												
Green Ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)	2	2	6	6	7	7	5	5				
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)						7						
Oak (Quercus sp.)												
Pin Oak (Quercus palustris)	2	2										
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)										1		
Red Oak (Quercus rubra)												
River Birch (Betula nigra)			6	6	2	2	7	7	4	2		
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)									3			
Sugar Berry (Celtis laevigata)												
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)												
Sweet Bay (Magnolia virginiana)												
Sweet Gum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		1		3		6						
Tulip Poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera)	3	3										
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)												
Wax Myrtle (Myrica cerifera)												
White Oak (Quercus alba)	1	1	2	2								
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)			3	3	2	2	2	2				
Unknown												
Stem count	t 12	13	22	25	17	30	22	23	10	11		
size (ares)) 1		1		1		1		1			
size (ACRES)	0.02	25	0.02	.5	0.02	5	0.02	25	0.02	.5		
Species count	t 6	7	5	6	4	6	4	5	4	5		
Stems per ACRI	486	526	890	1,012	688	1,214	890	931	405	445		

 Table 7. Stem Count by Plot and Species

 Stony Fork Restoration Site DMS Project
 + #07085

Table 7. Stem Count by Plot and Species Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085													
Stony Fork Restor aton Site, Divis 110ject #	77005		Annual N	Means									
	MY02 (2	2020)	MY01 (2	2019)	MY00 (2	2019)							
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	, Total							
American Elm (Ulmus americana)		3											
American Persimmon (Diospyros virginiana)	2	2	4	4									
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)	43	44	40	40	9	9							
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	5	5	7	7	1	1							
Black Willow (Salix nigra)	2	6		2									
Elderberry (Sambucus canadensis)		3	1	1	2	2							
Green Ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)	31	31	25	25	29	29							
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)		30	2	2									
Oak (Quercus sp.)			1	1	18	18							
Pin Oak (Quercus palustris)	11	11	7	7	3	3							
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		5	4	11		2							
Red Oak (Quercus rubra)			2	2									
River Birch (Betula nigra)	27	27	17	17	2	2							
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)	10	10	8	8	10	10							
Sugar Berry (Celtis laevigata)					2	2							
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	5	5	7	7	7	7							
Sweet Bay (Magnolia virginiana)		1		1		1							
Sweet Gum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		11	2	8									
Tulip Poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera)	6	8	14	20	14	14							
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)					1	1							
Wax Myrtle (Myrica cerifera)		1											
White Oak (Quercus alba)	5	6	12	15	1	4							
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)	23	23	30	30	3	3							
Unknown			4	4	199	199							
Stem count	170	232	187	212	301	307							
size (ares)	12		12		12								
size (ACRES)	0.30	0	0.30	0	0.30	0							
Species count	12	19	18	20	15	17							
Stems per ACRE	567	773	623	707	1,003	1,023							

APPENDIX D

Stream Measurement and Geomorphology Data

Table 8a. SF1 Data Summary Stony Fork Restoration Site. DMS P	roiect #97085								
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data	Design		As-bui	lt			
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.2	14.8-18.8	9.7		9.3		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	8.7	>50	100		>80		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.3-1.8	0.7		0.8		1		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.9-2.4	1.1		1.2		1		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.4	25	7.0		7.0		1		
Width/Depth Ratio	8.1	9.0-14.0	13.5		12.2		1		
Entrenchment Ratio	1.2	>2.5	10.3		8.7		1		
Bank Height Ratio	2.9	1.0-1.2	1.0			1			
Pattern	· · · · ·		·						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	30-55		30-55				
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	20-29)				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	9.6-13.6		9.6-13.6				
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	93-132		93-132				
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	3.1-5.7		3.1-5.2	7			
Profile				·					
Riffle Length (ft)				23.40	31.55	40.95	17		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.009	0.013-0.035	0.009-0.015	0.0031	0.0141	0.0137	17		
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	21-46	12.47	28.73	41.34	17		
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	5.6-7.3	44.28	68.72	142.01	17		
Substrate and Transport Parameter	s								
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%	3/40/57/0/0/0				0/4/90/7/	/0/0			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.15/1.2/2.2/7.5/11/-0.4/7.1	Gravel	Gravel	9.4	4/16/22/33	3/53/70			
Channel length (ft)	1235		1155		1155				
Drainage Area (SM)	0.27	1.49	0.27		0.27				
Rosgen Classification	G4c	C4	C4		C4				
Sinuosity	1.3	1.3	1.2		1.2				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.009	0.005	0.009		0.01				

Table 8b. SF2 Baseline Stream Date	ta Summary								
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085		Design	1		•1.			
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data (SF)	Design		As-bu	1lt			
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.0-10.0	14.8-18.8	11.3	12.2	12.4	12.6	2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	7.4-14.5	>50	100	53.3	67.0	80.7	2		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0-1.4	1.3-1.8	0.8	0.9	0.95	1.0	2		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3-2.2	1.9-2.4	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	2		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.9-8.9	25	9.4	10.6	11.6	12.5	2		
Width/Depth Ratio	3.7-11.2	9.0-14.0	13.5	12.8	13.5	14.1	2		
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4-1.5	>2.5	8.8	4.2	5.4	6.6	2		
Bank Height Ratio	1.6-2.1	1.0-1.2	1.0	1	1	1	2		
Pattern		·	-						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	37-65						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	22-33		22-33				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	9.3-13.1						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	105-148						
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	3.3-5.8						
Profile				_					
Riffle Length (ft)				17.58	39.07	86.38	36		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.003-0.008	0.013—0.035	0.009 - 0.015	0.0021	0.0118	0.0256	36		
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	24-52	12.51	28.83	52.39	34		
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	5.2-7.4	43.01	81.44	178.86	34		
Substrate and Transport Paramete	rs								
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%	20.3/30/49.8/0/0/0				5/8/54/33	3/0/0			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.33/0.61/1.2/6.2/9.8/0.3/5.5	Gravel	Gravel	5.	9/31/45/61/	/98.5/140			
Channel length (ft)	2453		2802		2802	2			
Drainage Area (SM)	0.41	1.49	0.41		0.41				
Rosgen Classification	G4c—G5c	C4	C4		C4				
Sinuosity	1.1	1.3	1.2		1.2				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.008	0.005	0.008						

Table 8c. SF3 Baseline Stream Date Stand Early Baseline Site DMS	a Summary									
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085 Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data (SF)	Design		As-bu	ilt				
		Terefenee Teach(cs) Data (br)	Dosign		115 04	110				
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	14.8-18.8	12.6			1				
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.4	>50	100			1				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.3-1.8	0.9		1.1					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.9-2.4	1.4		1.7					
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	12.5	25	11.8		12.9					
Width/Depth Ratio	8.9	9.0-14.0	13.5		10.4					
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4	>2.5	7.9			1				
Bank Height Ratio	2.0	1.0-1.2	1.0			1				
Pattern										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	46-77							
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	28-35		28-3	5				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	11.7-14		14					
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	148-176		76					
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	3.7-6.1		3.7-6	.1				
Profile						-				
Riffle Length (ft)				7.4	35.2	52.4	7			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.006	0.013—0.035	0.01	0.0032	0.0075	0.0175	7			
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	35-62	12.4	33.9	39.7	7			
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	6.7-8.0	92.0	103.1	114.4	7			
Substrate and Transport Paramete	rs									
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%	10/0/0/0/0				21/21/40/	18/0/0				
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	1.1/6.0/8.3/12/15/-0.7/3.3	Gravel	Gravel	0	.06/0.77/16/2	29/70/120				
Channel length (ft)	618		654		654					
Drainage Area (SM)	0.84	1.49	0.84	0.84						
Rosgen Classification	G4c	C4	C4		C4					
Sinuosity	1.1	1.3	1.2		1.2					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.006	0.005	0.008							

Table 8d. T1 Baseline Stream Data Stame Factly Destantion Site DMS I	Summary								
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085	Reference Reach(es) Data (SF)	Design		As-hi	uilt			
		Reference Reach(cs) Data (SF)	Design		115 01	int			
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.4	14.8-18.8	5.0	I	4.2		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	4.5	>50	50		45.0		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	1.3-1.8	0.4		0.2		1		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4 1.9-2.4 0.6							
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.9	0.9 25 1.9							
Width/Depth Ratio	12.7	12.7 9.0-14.0 13.5							
Entrenchment Ratio	1.3	>2.5	10		10.8		1		
Bank Height Ratio	4.5	1.0-1.2	1.0		1.0		1		
Pattern									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	23-37		7				
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	16—87 11-17						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	11.6-14.4		4.4				
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	56—191 58-72						
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	4.6-7.4		4.6-7	4.6-7.4			
Profile									
Riffle Length (ft)				4.53	18.2	29.1	11		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.035	0.013—0.035	0.014-0.04	0.00	0.024	0.045	11		
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	11-29	7.29	40.2	65.6	11		
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	6.2-8.8	35.7	45.7	60.3	11		
Substrate and Transport Parameter	rs								
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%					10/3/21/0	56/0/0			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	Silt-Clay	Gravel	Gravel	3'	7/65/78/94	/130/170			
Channel length (ft)	365		510						
Drainage Area (SM)	0.02	1.49	0.02		0.02	2			
Rosgen Classification	G5	C4	C4		C4				
Sinuosity	1.0	1.3	1.2		1.2				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.035	0.005	0.005 0.020						

Table 8e. T2-1 Baseline Stream Data Summary											
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085	1	1								
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data	Design	As-built							
Dimension - Riffle		1	1								
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.5-5.7	14.8-18.8	5.0								
Floodprone Width (ft)	5.7-30.7	>50	50								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8-1.7	1.3-1.8	0.4								
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2-2.1	1.9-2.4	0.6								
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.6-9.4	25	1.9								
Width/Depth Ratio	3.4-5.4	9.0-14.0	13.5								
Entrenchment Ratio	1.3-5.4	>2.5	10								
Bank Height Ratio	1.5-4.1	1.0-1.2	1.0								
Pattern											
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	25-40	25-40							
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	12-15	12-15							
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	14	14							
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	70	70							
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	5.0-8.0	5.0-8.0							
Profile											
Riffle Length (ft)											
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.009-0.020	0.013—0.035	0.016								
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	6-16								
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	6.4-8.0								
Substrate and Transport Parameter	rs										
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	Silt-Clay	Gravel	Gravel								
Channel length (ft)	327		334	334							
Drainage Area (SM)	0.23	1.49	0.04	0.04							
Rosgen Classification	G5c	C4	C4	C4							
Sinuosity	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2							
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.014	0.005	0.012								

Table 8f. T2-2 Baseline Stream Date Stame Facels Destantion Site	ta Summary						
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(ac) Data	Design		As-bu	ilt	
	TIC-Existing Condition	Keterence Keach(cs) Data	Design		A3-00	int	
				Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.5-5.7	14.8-18.8	7.6		9.7		1
Floodprone Width (ft)	5.7-30.7	>50	50		43.4		1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8-1.7	1.3-1.8	0.6		0.6	0.6	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2-2.1	1.9-2.4	0.8		1.0		1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.6-9.4	25	4.3			1	
Width/Depth Ratio	3.4-5.4	9.0-14.0	13.4		16.4		1
Entrenchment Ratio	1.3-5.4	>2.5	6.6		4.5		1
Bank Height Ratio	1.5-4.1	1.0-1.2	1.0		1.0		1
Pattern							
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	28-45		5		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	16-23		3		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	11.2-11.8		1.8		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	85-90		0		
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	3.7-5.9		3.7-5	.9	
Profile		-	-				
Riffle Length (ft)				20.0	29.0	56.7	6
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.009-0.020	0.013-0.035	0.014	0.01	0.018	0.028	6
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	14-24	10.8	17.6	22.8	6
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	5.7-6.6	47.0	48.8	51.2	6
Substrate and Transport Paramete	rs						
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%					6/45/15/3	3/0/0	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	Silt-Clay	Gravel	Gravel	2	5/35/42/51	/74/110	
Channel length (ft)	326		337				
Drainage Area (SM)	0.23	1.49	0.15		0.15	i	
Rosgen Classification	G5c	C4	C4		C4		
Sinuosity	1.1	1.3	1.2		1.2		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.014	0.005	0.012				

Table 8g. T2-3 Baseline Stream Da	ta Summary								
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085	Deference Desch(cc) Dete	Davien		Aab				
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data	Design		AS-0	uIII			
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.5-5.7	14.8-18.8	9.0		8.6		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	5.7-30.7	>50	50		80.9		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8-1.7	1.3-1.8	0.6			1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2-2.1	1.9-2.4	1.0		1.2				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.6-9.4	25	5.8		6.0				
Width/Depth Ratio	3.4-5.4	9.0-14.0 13.9							
Entrenchment Ratio	1.3-5.4	>2.5	5.6		9.4		1		
Bank Height Ratio	1.5-4.1	1.0-1.2	1.0		1.0		1		
Pattern	i								
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*	60	32-45		32-4	45			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*	16—87	18-23		18-23				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*	3.5—12.9	10.2-11.1		11.1	1			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*	66—191	92-100		00	0			
Meander Width Ratio	*	4.1	3.6-6.0		3.6-0	5.0			
Profile									
Riffle Length (ft)				25.8	33.6	38.9	15		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.009-0.020	0.013-0.035	0.012-0.015	0.002	0.014	0.024	15		
Pool Length (ft)	*	14—33	12-34	8.48	35.6	91.4	14		
Pool Spacing (ft)	*	2.7—7.1	5.1-7.0	45.7	57.3	77.4	14		
Substrate and Transport Parameter	rs								
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%					4/7/65/2	24/0/0			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.031/0.13/0.21/2.0/6.1/0.1/8	Gravel	Gravel		18/35/45	/77/120			
	700		055		0.5	-			
Channel length (ft)	780	1.40	855		5				
Drainage Area (SM)	0.23	1.49	0.23		0.2	5			
Rosgen Classification	GSc	<u> </u>	C4		<u>C</u> 2	+			
Sinuosity	1.1	1.3	1.2		1.2	2			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.014	0.005	0.011		1				

Table 8h. T3 Baseline Stream Data	Summary								
Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS	Project #97085								
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition	Reference Reach(es) Data	Design		As-buil	t			
					F				
Dimension - Riffle				Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.2-4.8	14.8	5.0		5.2		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	5.0-5.9	>50	50		38.0		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4-0.6	1.3-1.8	0.4		0.4				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6-0.7	1.9-2.4	0.6		0.7		1		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.9-2.6	25	1.9			1			
Width/Depth Ratio	6.9-12.6	6.9-12.6 9.0-14.0 13.5							
Entrenchment Ratio	1.2	1.2 >2.5 10							
Bank Height Ratio	3.2-3.4	3.2-3.4 1.0-1.2 1.0							
Pattern									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	**	60	16-26		16-26				
Radius of Curvature (ft)	**	11-14		11-14					
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	**	3.5—12.9	8.6-9.4		8.6-9.4				
Meander Wavelength (ft)	**	66—191	43-47		43-47				
Meander Width Ratio	**	4.1	3.2-5.2		3.2-5.2				
Profile									
Riffle Length (ft)				34.3	36.9	39.5	2		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	**	0.013—0.035	0.0025	0.006	0.0098	0.014	2		
Pool Length (ft)	**	14—33	7-15	38.43			1		
Pool Spacing (ft)	**	2.7—7.1	4.2-5.4						
Substrate and Transport Paramete	rs								
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% /Be%	8/67/25/0/0/0				9/15/58/19	/0/0			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	N/A	Gravel	Gravel	0.3	8/8.2/18/35/	/72/140			
				1					
Channel length (ft)	154		129		129				
Drainage Area (SM)	0.05		0.02						
Rosgen Classification	G4	C4	C4		C4				
Sinuosity	1.0	1.3	1.2		1.2				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.007	0.005	0.0016						

** :channel affected by former pond

Table 9. Cross Section Dimensional Morphology Summary																					
Stony Fork Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085																					
Dimension and Substrate			Cross-S Statio	ection 1 on 13+58	(Pool) 3, SF				(Cross-Se Statio	ection 2 (n 13+85	Riffle) , SF				Cross-Section 3 (Riffle) Station 22+44, SF					
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Elevation (ft) based on AB BKF area	206.8	206.7	206.7					206.6	206.6	206.6					192.5	192.5	192.4				
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.6	11.7	11.6					9.3	11.0	10.2					12.6	11.9	12.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	•	-	-					>80	>80	>80					53.3	53.2	50.1				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0	1.0					0.8	0.6	0.7					1.0	1.0	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	2.0	2.1					1.2	1.1	1.3					1.6	1.7	1.5				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	11.5	11.5	11.5					7.0	7.0	7.0					12.5	12.5	12.5				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	11.5	11.9	12.1					7.0	7.1	6.8					12.5	13.2	13.8				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	•	-	-					12.2	17.3	14.8					12.8	11.4	12.6				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	•	-	-					8.7	7.2	8.0					4.2	4.5	4.0				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-					1.0	0.9	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0				
d50 (mm)	•	-	-					22	32	24					38	46	20				
			Cross-S Statio	ection 4 n 26+17	(Pool) 7, SF			Cross-Section 5 (Riffle) Station 35+12, SF					Cross-Section 6 (Pool) Station 41+94, SF								
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Elevation (ft) based on AB BKF area	192.0	191.9	191.9					182.1	182.2	182.2					181.7	181.8	181.7				
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.5	13.0	12.4					12.2	13.6	13.2					12.0	13.1	11.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	1	-	-					>80	>80	>80					-	-	-				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.1					0.9	0.8	0.8					1.2	1.1	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	2.1	2.1					1.4	1.3	1.3					2.4	2.4	2.5				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	13.6	13.6	13.6					10.6	10.6	10.6					14.5	14.5	14.5				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	13.6	14.5	15.2					10.6	10.1	9.3					14.5	14.3	15.2				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	-	-	-					14.1	17.4	16.4					-	-	-				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-					6.6	5.9	6.1					-	-	-				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-					1.0	1.0	1.0					-	-	-				
d50 (mm)	-	-	-					52	44	25					-	-	-				

Table 9. Cross Section Dimensional Morphology Summary																					
Stony Fork Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085																					
			Cross-Se	ction 7	(Riffle)					Cross-Se	ection 8	(Pool)				(Cross-Se	ction 9	(Pool)		
			Statio	n 42+58	, SF					Statio	n 57+19	, SF					Station	n 57+44,	T3		
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Elevation (ft) based on AB BKF area	176.0	176.0	176.0					175.3	175.2	175.2					207.0	206.9	207.3				
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.6	13.4	14.2					13.5	14.5	15.7					5.5	5.9	8.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>90	>90	>90					-	-	-					-	-	-				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	0.9					1.5	1.4	1.3					0.7	0.6	0.4				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.8					2.7	2.7	2.5					1.1	1.1	0.8				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	12.8	12.8	12.8					20.7	20.7	20.7					3.7	3.7	3.7				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	12.8	13.2	13.3					20.7	21.4	21.5					3.7	4.0	2.0				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.4	14.0	15.7					-	-	-					-	-	-				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	8.0	6.8	6.5					-	-	-					-	-	-				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0					-	-	-					-	-	-				
d50 (mm)	16	29	41					-	-	-					-	-	-				
		(Cross-See	ction 10	(Riffle)				C	ross-Sec	ction 11	(Riffle)				C	Cross-Se	ction 12	(Pool)		
			Statio	n 96+69	, T3					Statio	n 99+07	, T1					Station	n 99+25,	T1		
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankfull Elevation (ft) based on AB BKF area	207.1	207.1	207.2					198.4	198.3	198.3					198.4	198.3	198.3				
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.2	5.5	5.4					6.0	5.8	5.7					7.5	7.3	7.4				
Floodprone Width (ft)	38.0	39.4	41.5					>60	>60	>60					-	-	-				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4					0.3	0.3	0.4					0.6	0.7	0.7				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7	0.7					0.7	0.7	0.6					1.2	1.2	1.1				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	2.2	2.2	2.2					2.0	2.0	2.0					4.8	4.8	4.8				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	2.2	2.1	1.8					2.0	2.6	2.6					4.8	5.5	5.3				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	17.7	13.7	13.4					18.3	17.1	16.0					-	-	-				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	6.1	7.2	7.7					10.9	10.9	11.2					-	-	-				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	0.9	0.8					1.0	1.1	1.2					-	-	-				
d50 (mm)	18	20	3					78	75	57					-	-	-				

Table 9. Cross Section Dimensional Morphology Summary																					
Stony Fork Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085																					
		(Cross-Se	ction 13	B (Pool)				C	cross-Sec	ction 14	(Riffle)				(Cross-Se	ction 15	(Pool)		
	n	2014	Station	1 252+2:	5, T2	2 6775	201	7	2.0774	Statior	n 225+97	, T2	2 67 7 6	N GY	n	2014	Station	226+04	, T2		2.627
Deal Cill Direction (C) have her AD DIZE and	Base	MYI 100.4	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MYI 197.0	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MYI 100.0	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Bankrull Elevation (ff) based on AB BKF area	188.4	188.4	188.2					187.9	187.9	188.0					180.9	180.8	180.7				
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.5	12.7	9.5					9.7	11.8	11.1					11.8	11./	10.9				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-	-					43.4	46.8	47.2					-	-	-				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	1.0					0.6	0.5	0.5					1.0	1.0	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.7					1.0	1.1	1.1					1.8	1.9	1.8				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	9.3	9.3	9.3					5.8	5.8	5.8					11.2	11.2	11.2				
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	9.3	8.7	11.0					5.8	5.3	4.4					11.2	11.8	12.8				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	-	-	-					16.4	24.0	21.4					-	-	-				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-					4.5	4.0	4.2					-	-	-				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-					1.0	0.8	0.9					-	-	-				
d50 (mm)	-	-	-					42	16	1.4					-	-	-				
		(Cross-Se	ction 16	(Riffle)																
	P	2014	Station	1 252+2:	5, T2	2 6775	101														
Deal Cill Direction (6) have been AD DIZE and	Base	MYI 190.7	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+														
Bankrull Elevation (ff) based on AB BKF area	180.7	180.7	180.8																		
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.6	9.9	10.0																		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>80	>80	>80																		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.6																		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.0																		
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF area	6.0	6.0	6.0																		
Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) based on AB BKF elevation	6.0	5.8	5.2																		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.3	16.3	16.6																		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	9.4	8.3	7.6																		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	0.9																		
d50 (mm)	45	44	37																		

River Basin.			1	Veuse Riv	/er							
Site:			1	Stony For	k			VILLAN CO	to and		The local difference of the	
XSID			3	XS1					Start and		C	
Drainage Ar	ea (sg mi):).28				1. 1. M.	A			
Date:			e	5/18/2020)			5月1日年1日	to the set		The state of the second	
Field Crew:			1	Γ. Seeling	zer, A. Gutierrez			5-45	Des al		A THE STATE	
											A CAR AND A CAR	
Station	Elevation	1			SUMMARY DATA				A CARLER	ALL		
0.0	208.48				Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Ba	nkfull Area	206.70	Martin Contraction	1. 工作的图	State Lize		
4.8	207.89				Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:		11.5			and the	The second second	
11.4	207.36				Total Cross-Sectional Area:		12.1		the second	STREE STREET		
20.4	207.20				Bankfull Width:		11.6			The second second		
28.0	206.91				Flood Prone Area Elevation:							
37.9	207.12				Flood Prone Width:			the law		18		
45.1	206.89				Max Depth at Bankfull:		2.1	the states	A STATE OF THE OWNER		A STATE OF THE STATE	
49.1	206.63				Mean Depth at Bankfull:		1.0		1,26	A PART A		
49.9	206.54				W / D Ratio:			States A	1000	Stand Car		
50.3	206.53				Entrenchment Ratio:			2000	The second	S. L. Frank	The second second	
51.1	206.40				Bank Height Ratio:			1 Colors	all and I			
51.6	206.15				Thalweg Elevation:		204.6	State of the second		11. 开放人	になっていていいい	
52.4	205.51	Γ										
53.5	204.63						Stony Fork N	S1 Pool SF				
54.6	204.57			200 -			Stony Fork, A	51,1001,51				
56.2	204.82			209	-							
56.2	204.95											
57.7	205.58			208 -								
58.0	206.15			200						\sim		
58.4	206.25								ſ			
58.8	206.36			207 -								
59.7	206.75		et)									
60.8	206.85		(fe		-							
62.9	206.88		noi	206 -				¥				
64.3	207.44		'nati		- -							
66.7	207.69		Elen		-			\ \				
/3.1	207.45		I	205 -								
/9.8	206.91				-				•			
88.3	206.55				-							
88.3	206.96	I		204 -								
				() 10 20	30	40	50	60	70	80	
							Station	(feet)				
					Bankfull		- MY00	MY01		MY02		
1								- 141101		141102		
		L										

Kiver basin: Site: KS ID Drainage Area (Date: Tield Crew:	(ca mi):		Stony Fo	1						
Steel Station	(sa mi).		Stony 10					A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY.	and the second se	and the factor of the second sec
Drainage Area (Date: Field Crew:	(6a mi).		V\$2	I K		13. A		A State State	The second	1
Date: Field Crew:			0.28			S		1 - 2 - 3	the state of the	STATISTICS.
Field Crew:	(sq m).		6/18/202	0		1	- C	at the second		
Station			0/10/202 T. Seelin	ger A Gutierrez				Carlo Carlos		4 Charles
Station			1. Seemi	ger, A. Guiterrez		57.		and the second	Real Providence	At Martin
Glauon	Flevation			SUMMARY DATA				31 23	5-78-5	1 - North
0.0	208.30			Bankfull Flevation (ft) - Based on AB-Bankfull Area	206.60		中国的现在	1 Harris		and the second
0.0	203.50			Bankfull Cross Soctional Area:	200.00	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		《最高限的 》。同	111111111111
6.7	207.95			Total Cross Sectional Area:	6.9		A A COMPANY	Contraction of the second		With The Part
12.7	207.00			Pontfull Width	10.2			the state of the		A STATION
20.5	207.20			Flood Propa Area Elevation:	207.9		3月1日的月月1日		a start of	
20.5	206.60			Flood Prone Width:	82.0	2			222121-12	A A
33.8	206.60			Max Donth at Bankfull:	1.3		SEL STUDIO	NUS C	FISH A	
39.7	206.83			Mean Depth at Bankfull.	0.7	50	A Maria	ACCESS CONTRACT	Kar Lit Mar	122000
42.5	206.63			W / D Ratio:	14.8	18	A MAGA	and the second second		
43.6	206.63			Entrenchment Ratio:	8.0	37	335 1 Unice			
44.6	206.41			Bank Height Ratio:	1.0	24	E CARE			A CALE
45.8	206.11			Thalweg Elevation:	205.3			Clark Maria	Hard Market State	
46.5	205.90				20010					
47.4	205.53				0. T		CIT.			
48.3	205.39		• • • •		Stony F	ork, XS2, Riffl	e, SF			
48.7	205.31		209							
49.0	205.40		200	-						
50.4	205.46		209							
50.9	205.56		208							
51.1	205.86		200							
52.1	206.10		208							
53.2	206.39	<i>(t)</i>								
53.9	206.57	(fee	207							
56.3	206.55) uc	207						F	
60.0	206.52	atic	207 -]	
65.9	206.62	leve	206	F						
72.2	206.75	E	200	-		Ņ				
83.7	206.66		206	-		\ \				
83.7	207.00		200							
			205							
				0 10 20	30	40	50	60	70	80
					- · ·	Station (feet)		~ ~		~ ~
					6	nanon (jeer)				
				Flood Pro	one Area	—— MY00		- MY01	MY02	







River Resin.			Ne	euse Rive	ar.			1	1. A. 8 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CONTRACTOR OF STREET, S	They but
Site.	•		St	ony Fork	1				The second second		and the state	644 A. 67
XS ID			v	\$6								A CARLER AND
Drainage Ar	ea (sa mi):		0 4	46							the second	And a support of the support
Date:			6/	18/2020							the second	
Field Crew:			Τ.	Seelinge	r. A. Gutierrez							
			1.	beeninge					Se la factor	- Law III		
Station	Elevation				SUMMARY DATA					a france in		a constitute define
0.0	183.40				Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Ba	ankfull Area	181.68					
0.2	183.22				Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:		14.5	_			and the second	and the second s
3.4	182.72				Total Cross-Sectional Area:		15.2	- 1	the state of the s			and the second
6.1	182.76				Bankfull Width:		11.5	-	AN STERREY		THE REAL PROPERTY OF	THE SHE
11.2	182.07				Flood Prone Area Elevation:			-			ALC: NO.	
17.1	181.95				Flood Prone Width:			-				A State of the second
19.6	181.80				Max Depth at Bankfull:		2.5	-		N/15	A DECEMBER	
20.9	181.89				Mean Depth at Bankfull:		1.3	-			1 ELSE ROAD	and the second second
21.9	181.68				W / D Ratio:				K- AND K	CASE .		AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF
22.4	181.49				Entrenchment Ratio:				N. C. Sandar	LA LE VIL		Sector States
23.3	180.93				Bank Height Ratio:			-	A THERE	THE NEW KO	A STATE	
24.4	179.72				Thalweg Elevation:		179.2	7		12 10 10 11		MALE ASSAULT
25.9	179.20	_										
26.9	179.35						Sto-	W Fork VSC D.	al SF			
28.5	179.92			194			5101	IY ГОГК, АЗО, РС	ы, э г			
29.7	180.47			184								
30.9	181.23											
32.9	181.40			183								
33.9	181.87											
34.5	181.93			182								
37.7	181.88			102	<u> </u>	~	<i>[</i>					
44.9	181.74		et)									
52.5	181.99		(fe	181 +								
58.9	182.34		ио	F								
69.6	182.47		ati	180 +			1					
78.0	182.66		lev	F								
81.1	183.18		E	170		4						
				1/9								
				F								
				178 -			+ + + +					
				0	10 20		30	40	50	60	70	80
								Station (feet)				
					D1-6-1		MVCO	sianon (jeer)	M3 /01		N0/02	
					= = = Banktull		MY00		MY01		M Y 02	

River Basin:			Neuse Rive	r					1. 1.
Site:			Stony Fork						A CARL
XS ID			XS7			43 1 1 2			194
Drainage Ar	ea (sq mi):		0.83						
Date:			6/29/2020					and the second second	· · · · ·
Field Crew:			T. Seelinge	r, A. Gutierrez			01_A1.08.0		A Call
				·		国家市场的公司		XIII A	的保守 上。在
Station	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA				Ser 1 Contraction	
0.0	177.93			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Bankfull Area	175.99	2 1 1			
5.0	176.69			Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	12.8		The second		Distant in the
10.5	176.44			Total Cross-Sectional Area:	13.3	and the second			
17.4	176.27			Bankfull Width:	14.2	and the second second		A BRANK LT	1 As d
24.9	176.00			Flood Prone Area Elevation:	177.8		and the second	a William -	
30.5	176.08			Flood Prone Width:	92.2				R & W
38.2	176.20			Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8	A NA WAR			A Starter
39.6	175.90			Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9	- the the las		ALAN DE MIN	X
41.0	175.46			W / D Ratio:	15.7	ST 1998 14-	Service of the servic	all a de la	Mr. Salar
41.8	175.18			Entrenchment Ratio:	6.5	A STANK			Star 1
42.5	174.93			Bank Height Ratio:	1.0		1 . Carlos		A WAR HE
43.2	174.54			Thalweg Elevation:	174.2	Contraction in	A Cas	A STATE AS A	The Martin
44.1	174.32								
45.4	174.21				Stony Forly VS7	D:ffla SE			
46.5	174.46		170		Stony Fork, AS7	, Kime, SF			
47.0	174.54		1/9 F						
47.5	174.60		-						
48.1	174.80		170						
49.0	175.09		1/8						
50.5	175.47		-						1
52.1	175.87		177						4
53.7	176.02	<i>it</i>)	· · · · · F						
56.9	176.00	fee	, t		-				
60.6	175.86) ш	176						
66.0	176.02	atic	1/0						
71.2	176.04	eve	-						
76.5	176.19	E	175			/			
81.6	176.47		1,5			//			
87.6	176.76		-						
92.5	177.01		174		····				
92.8	177.15		0	10 20 30	40	50 60	70	80	90
			0	10 20 50	Station (fee	t)	10	50	20
					Siaiton (jee	•/			

River Basin.		N	euse Riv	
Site:		St	ony For	
XS ID		X	58	
Drainage Are	ea (sg mi):	 0.3	83	
Date:		 6/	29/2020	
Field Crew:		 T.	Seeling	per, A. Gutierrez
Station	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA
0.0	176.54			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Bankfull Area 175.21
0.1	175.98			Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area: 20.7
3.9	175.69			Total Cross-Sectional Area: 21.5
10.8	175.61			Bankfull Width: 15.7
18.4	175.61			Flood Prone Area Elevation:
24.9	175.56			Flood Prone Width:
31.1	175.55			Max Depth at Bankfull: 2.5
35.4	175.40			Mean Depth at Bankfull: 1.3
38.3	175.24			W / D Ratio:
40.9	175.19			Entrenchment Ratio:
42.3	175.20			Bank Height Ratio:
43.9	174.89			Thalweg Elevation: 172.7
45.5	174.39			
46.4	174.26			Stony Fork VS9 Pool SF
47.3	173.91		170	Stony Polk, AS6, 1001, SP
48.0	173.82		1/9	
48.7	173.29		170	
49.7	172.85		1/8 -	
50.9	172.75		1.7.7	
52.2	172.71		1// -	
53.0	172.72			
54.0	173.07	et)	176 -	
54.6	173.55	(fe		
55.0	174.20	uo	175 -	
56.3	174.70	ati		
58.1	175.24	lev	174 -	
59.4	175.47	E		
63.0	175.37		173 -	
67.3	175.43			
71.0	175.87		172 -	<u>t</u>
73.6	175.97		(0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80
75.8	176.24			Station (feet)
78.4	176.53			
80.9	176.78			Bankfull — MY00 — MY01 — MY02
81.0	177.63			

liver Basin.			N	euse River						ALL ALL MAR
Site:			St	onv Fork					C. AN CILL ST	A Part
			X	59					1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 . W.A.
Drainage Are	ea (sq mi):		0.0	04					102 800	
Date:			6/	18/2020				A PREAL WAR AND A PREAL	AND	State A
Field Crew:			T	Seelinger	A. Gutierrez				MARGE	
iciu cicii.			1.	Beeninger	, n. Gutenez			AL ALL AND ALL AND		121
Station	Elevation				SUMMARY DATA			A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	Contraction of the second	aspiles to
0.0	210.78				Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Bankfull Area	207.26			Here and the series	1200 200
0.0	210.18				Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.7		A start year and	- College for	A CARLES
6.9	210.06				Total Cross-Sectional Area:	2.0		The second second	A DESTROY	A STATE
10.2	209.83				Bankfull Width:	8.2			Sand Rent of	
12.9	208.84				Flood Prone Area Elevation:		2	EXERNER MISS	Contraction of the	Y 1 10 13
18.8	207.42				Flood Prone Width:			A PERSONAL AND AND	The second	TO LA AST
22.7	207.30				Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.8		and the second		
24.6	207.18				Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.4	8			ALL TAN
26.0	207.03				W / D Ratio:		2	A AL	and the second second	
26.4	207.01				Entrenchment Ratio:			and the state	-01-21/2	So and the second
26.6	206.95				Bank Height Ratio:				and the second second	491942
26.9	206.72				Thalweg Elevation:	206.4				AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF
27.7	206.43	г			Thanney Excitation.	200.1				
28.4	206.48					~ -				
29.2	206.46					Stony I	ork, XS9, Pool,	13		
30.6	206.50			212 F						
31.0	206.98			F						
31.6	207.18			211						
32.4	207.08									
36.5	207.08			210						
41.9	207.00			E						
45.6	207.50		Ð	209 +						
50.0	207.93		eet	Ē						
57.2	207.55		n (f	208 E						
60.4	208.85		tio							
60.7	209.33		ъла	207						
00.7	207.33		Elε	207		Å				
				206		N				
				200						
				205						
				203 +	10 20)	30	40	50	60
				0	10 20)	30	40	30	00
							Station (feet)			
					Bankfull	MY00		MY01	MY02	







River Basin:			Neuse Ri	Ver	1				
Site:			Stony Fo	rk					
VS ID			XS13	IK	-				
Drainage Area (s	sa mi):		0.14				To the state		
Date:	sq mi).		6/29/202	0	-		and the second s		
Field Crew			T Seelin	ger A Gutierrez	-		the second second		
Ficia Crew.			1. Seemi	ger, A. Gutteriez	1		The state of the s		
Station F	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA					
0.0	190.20			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-B	Sankfull Area 1	88 20	the state of the s	Je Je Line	
2.6	189.52			Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:		93	1	A Martin AS	
5.0	189.12			Total Cross-Sectional Area:		11.0			
8.1	189.12			Bankfull Width		9.5	24 PM 14 TH		
19.2	188.42			Flood Prone Area Flevation:		7.5		- A Star	Ser and
26.7	188.18			Flood Prone Width:					The second second
31.1	188 53			Max Denth at Bankfull		17	Store Start	Cat Strate	
33.4	188.48			Mean Depth at Bankfull:		1.0	General Street		
34.3	188.50			W / D Ratio:					
35.4	188.21			Entrenchment Ratio:			SUCCE PARTY IN	Res the Are	
37.1	187.73			Bank Height Ratio:				A Star Washington	
38.7	187.15			Thalweg Elevation:]	86.5			
39.2	186.75								
39.7	186.57					Stand Fault VS12	D1 T2		
40.7	186.48		101			Stony Fork, AS15,	P001, 12		
41.7	186.60		191	-					
42.5	186.84			-					
43.1	187.23		100						
44.3	187.69		190						
45.0	188.37								
45.7	188.41		189						
47.5	188.59	<i>it</i>)	10)						
54.0	189.18	(fer	5						
59.4	189.70	uo	188						
62.5	189.90	ati		_					
62.6	190.51	lev		-					
		ET.	187 -						
				-					
							\sim		
			186		+				
				0 10	20	30	40	50	60
						Station (feet)			
						NIX00	10/01	10/02	
1		1				MIYOU	MX01	M Y 0 2	
						W100		M1102	

River Basin:		Ne	euse Riv	ver					
Site:		 St	onv For	'k					A Link of
XS ID		X	S14					A RANK AND	
Drainage Are	ea (sq mi):	0.	14					1 States R	and the second
Date:		 6/2	29/2020)				L COLOR SAN	
Field Crew:		 T.	Seeling	zer, A. Gutierrez				A SATISFIELD AND	A COLUMN STOR
				2 /]				CARLES AND THE
Station	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA			A STATE OF A		A CONTRACTOR
0.0	190.42			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on Al	B-Bankfull Area 188	3.05			A THE
0.0	189.80			Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5	.8			
3.0	189.56			Total Cross-Sectional Area:	4	.4			
4.5	189.21			Bankfull Width:	11	1.1			Com 1
6.3	189.18			Flood Prone Area Elevation:	18	9.1	· 小学中学校		
8.2	188.80			Flood Prone Width:	47	7.2			
15.2	188.38			Max Depth at Bankfull:	1	.1			
17.4	188.42			Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0	.5			IN STATES
24.3	188.23			W / D Ratio:	21	1.4	Service Strand	A CHENNER AND	N 17-18 -
29.7	188.14			Entrenchment Ratio:	4	.2	7 AND AND	Real Provins	PRUZY
33.3	188.02			Bank Height Ratio:	0	.9		A manufactor	- Y - M 2 1 2 1
34.6	187.85			Thalweg Elevation:	18	7.0			
35.6	187.66								
36.8	187.19					Stony Fork XS14 F	iffle T2		
37.8	186.98		102			Stony FOIK, AS14, F	anne, 12		
38.4	186.99		192						
39.0	187.04								
39.6	187.04		191 -						
39.8	187.28								1
40.7	187.31		190 -	·					
41.8	187.61								
42.6	187.96	et)	100						
42.7	187.97	(fe	189 -					- A	
46.0	188.29	no							
48.7	188.21	ati	188 -						
51.3	188.53	aler		-					
52.8	188.58	F	187	-					
53.8	189.12		10/ -	-					
55.3	189.19			-					
58./	189.39		186 -				· · · · · · ·		
62.4	189.//		() 10	20	30	40	50	60
62.5	190.56					Station (feet)			
				Douldfall	Flood Drong A		0 N 7/01		12
					riood Prone Area	M Y	MY01	MY0	14

River Basin:			Neuse Riv	/er		
Site:			Stony For	k		
XS ID			XS15			
Drainage Ar	ea (sg mi):		0.22			
Date:			6/29/2020)		
Field Crew:			T Seeling	ver A Gutierrez		
			11 See ing			
Station	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA		
0.0	183.66			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Based on AB-Bankfull Area	180.73	
2.5	182.71			Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	11.2	
4.0	182.43			Total Cross-Sectional Area:	12.8	
5.2	182.31			Bankfull Width:	10.9	
6.3	182.17			Flood Prone Area Elevation:		
11.7	181.78			Flood Prone Width:		
16.9	181.55			Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8	
22.7	181.48			Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0	
28.7	181.11			W / D Ratio:		
33.2	181.07			Entrenchment Ratio:		and the second sec
35.3	180.97			Bank Height Ratio:		
35.9	180.89			Thalweg Elevation:	178.9	
37.1	180.81				-	
38.5	180.62				Stony Ford	dr VS15 Dool T2
39.7	180.39		105		Stony For	к, дэтэ, гоот, 12
40.6	180.12		185 -	-		
41.5	179.65		104	-		
42.1	179.46		184 -	-		
43.3	179.11		102			
44.6	178.93		183 -			
45.5	178.99		100			1
46.2	179.04	et)	182 -			
46.8	179.32	(fee				
47.2	179.54	ио	181 -			
48.1	180.36	ati				
49.4	181.30	lev	180 -	- 		
50.7	181.26	E		-		
53.9	181.33		179 -			
55.4	181.82			-		
60.5	182.01		178 -			
66.2	181.91		(10 20	30	40 50 60 70
73.1	181.86				Stati	tion (feet)
76.5	181.91			D 141	Siun	
/6.6	182.51			Bankfull	—— MY00	—MY01MY02

River Rasin	•		Neuse Ri	ver								
Site:			Stony Fo	rk				100 100 10				17
(S ID			XS16					100 Starter			17 dije 15 : 20	1
Drainage Ar	ea (sq mi):		0.22								1 2 5 1 1 St	
Date:	(6/29/202	0				X.	N N			1 AN
Field Crew:			T. Seelin	ger. A. Gutierrez							212 6 6	9-9-5
				6 /					weit !	A COM		the sector
Station	Elevation			SUMMARY DATA				R AV	A State of States	a state of the		1.55
0.0	183.72			Bankfull Elevation (ft) - Ba	ased on AB-Bankf	ull Area 18	0.81	10 14	and the same			THE ST
2.5	182.78			Bankfull Cross-Sectional A	rea:	6	5.0	10 S. W		AND AND		and the second
4.0	182.43			Total Cross-Sectional Area	l:	5	5.2	is the second	Marine and			1814
6.5	182.12			Bankfull Width:		1	0.0				ALCON AND A LOCAL	and set of the set
9.8	181.79			Flood Prone Area Elevation	n:	18	31.8		The second second		ANDE VOI	
12.2	181.42			Flood Prone Width:		7.	5.5				and a second	Contraction of the second
14.8	181.41			Max Depth at Bankfull:		1	.0		The second second	ALC NUS	COLOR STREET	
17.4	181.32			Mean Depth at Bankfull:		C).6		San Standard			1 Alert
22.1	181.23			W / D Ratio:		1	6.6	STOR	A AN		1 19 - Sec.	CAR .
27.4	181.05			Entrenchment Ratio:		7	7.6					141
32.0	180.95			Bank Height Ratio:		C).9	0.5%	CH CARLES	A FIL	A HARRY	
32.8	180.84			Thalweg Elevation:		17	9.8					
34.2	180.92											
35.8	180.56						Stony Fork	XS16 Riffle T2				
36.8	180.15		185				Stony Fork, 2	A510, Kille, 12				
37.3	180.02		165	-								
38.0	179.88			-								
38.9	179.95		184	-								
39.9	179.88											
40.6	179.80		183									
41.3	179.90		100									
41.9	180.02	et)	3									1
42.8	180.38	(fe	s 182 -									
43.4	180.62	uo										
44.7	180.73	ati	181						<u> </u>			
54.2	180.95	lev										
60.6	181.40		180									
/0.5	181.60		160									
80.0	181.90			Ł			•					
× / 11	181.65		179	+ · · · · + · · ·								
07.9	101 40			0 10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
90.5	181.48											
90.5 90.5	181.48 182.27						Station	(feet)				
90.5 90.5	181.48 182.27			Bankfull		Flood Prone Area	Station	(feet) 	MY01		MY02	

Cross-Section 2 Riffle - MY02														
Particle	Millimeter		Count	Particle Size Distribution Stony Fork										
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	2	XS 2 Riffle										
Very Fine	.062125	S	7											
Fine	.12525	А	2											
Medium	.2550	Ν	4											
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2											
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	4											
Very Fine	2 - 4		5	\$0%										
Fine	4 - 5.7	G												
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	3		As Built									
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	1		- MY02									
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	8											
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	10											
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	9											
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	10	20%										
Very Coarse	45 - 64		13											
Small	64 - 90	С	5											
Small	90 - 128	0	11	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000 1000										
Large	128 - 180	В	3	Particle Size - Millimeters										
Large	180 - 256	L	1											
Small	256 - 362	В		Size (mm) Size Distribution Type	3									
Small	362 - 512	L		D16 0.71 mean 7.7 silt/clay	2%									
Medium	512 - 1024	D		D35 14 dispersion 18.7 sand	19%									
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R		D50 24 skewness -0.34 gravel	59%									
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK		D65 42 cobble	16%									
		Total	100	D84 84 boulder	0%									
Note:				D95 120 bedrock	0%									
				hardpan	0%									
				wood/det	0%									
				artificial	0%									
Cro	1													
---------------	-------------	-------	-------	-------	--------	---------	-----	--------	--------------------	-------------	------	------------	----------	--
Particle	Millimeter		Count					F	article Size Disti	ibution				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C							XS 3 Riffle	x				
Very Fine	.062125	S	6											
Fine	.12525	А	3											
Medium	.2550	Ν	4		1									
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	3		100% -									
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	2	ive)						A				
Very Fine	2 - 4		7	ulati	80% -									
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1	Ĩ						<u>F1</u>				
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	2	- C	60% -					▲ ↓■		[As Built	
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	9	Chai					r f				MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	6	er]	40% -								MY02	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	12	Fin	1070				f i	/				
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	8	%										
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	9		20% -									
Very Coarse	45 - 64		12											
Small	64 - 90	С	6		0% -					100	1000			
Small	90 - 128	0	6		0.0)1	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	3					Partio	cle Size - Millime	ters				
Large	180 - 256	L	2											
Small	256 - 362	В				Size (1	mm)		Size Distr	ibution	_	Туј	pe	
Small	362 - 512	L			D1	6	1.1		mean	8.6		silt/clay	0%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D3	5	10		dispersion	10.8		sand	18%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D5	0	20		skewness	-0.27		gravel	65%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D6	5	35					cobble	17%	
		Total	101		D8	4	67					boulder	0%	
Note:					D9	5	130					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

Cro	2													
Particle	Millimeter		Count					ł	Particle Size Dist Stony For	ribution •				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C							XS 5 Riff	le				
Very Fine	.062125	S	7											
Fine	.12525	Α	2											
Medium	.2550	N	3]									
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	1		100% -						-8 8 8			
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	6	ve)						ter a second				
Very Fine	2 - 4		11	lati	80% -					<u> </u>				
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1	nur						↓ ↓				
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	4	Ū	60%					_/ /			As Built	ן
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	3	han									MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4	L	40%					× /,			MY02	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	7	Fine	4070				JA A					
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	6	- %										I
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	16		20% -				*/	•				ſ
Very Coarse	45 - 64		11			•	1							
Small	64 - 90	С	12		0%	_								
Small	90 - 128	0	7	1	0.0)1	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	1					Partie	cle Size - Millim	eters				
Large	180 - 256	L												
Small	256 - 362	В	L		5	Size (mm)	_		Size Distr	ribution	-	Туре	2	_
Small	362 - 512	L			D16	1.5	5		mean	10.3		silt/clay	0%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D35	8.6	5		dispersion	9.8		sand	19%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D50	25	5		skewness	-0.30		gravel	62%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D65	41						cobble	20%	
		Total	102		D84	71						boulder	0%	
Note:					D95	100	0					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

Cro	oss-Section 7 R	iffle -MY02												
Particle	Millimeter		Count]	Particle Size Dist	ribution				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6						XS 7 Riffl	e				
Very Fine	.062125	S	6											
Fine	.12525	А												
Medium	.2550	Ν	1		Г									
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	1		100% +						-0-0-0-			
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	3	ive)						F				
Very Fine	2 - 4		4	ılati	80% +									
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	2	Iun						<u>, * [</u>				
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	3	ı(C	60% -				/	#_^			As Built	t
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	1	har					•	, ≠ ≠			MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4	er 1	40%				¢					
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	6	Fin	4070									_
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	11	%			-							
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	14		20% +		-							
Very Coarse	45 - 64		13				-							
Small	64 - 90	С	19		0%									
Small	90 - 128	0	15		0.0	1	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	5					Parti	cle Size - Millime	eters				
Large	180 - 256	L	1		-									
Small	256 - 362	В	2			Size (m	m)		Size Distr	ibution		Тур	e	
Small	362 - 512	L			D16	5	2.7		mean	16.4		silt/clay	5%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D35	5	25		dispersion	8.8		sand	9%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D50)	41		skewness	-0.32		gravel	50%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D65	5	65					cobble	33%	
		Total	117		D84	1	100					boulder	2%	
Note:					D95	5	150					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

Cross-Section 10 Riffle - MY02															
Particle	Millimeter		Count						Р	article Size Dist	ribution				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	12							XS 10 Riff	le				
Very Fine	.062125	S	17												
Fine	.12525	А	6												
Medium	.2550	Ν			ſ										
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	8		100% -							<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	6	ive)											
Very Fine	2 - 4		8	ılati	80% -										
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1	Ĩ						×	× •				
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	2	C C	60% -						<u>,</u>			As Built]
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	2	har										MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	5	er T	40%			x	<u> </u>					MY02	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	4	Fin	4070					×					_
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	5	%					_						
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	6		20% -				-						
Very Coarse	45 - 64		9												
Small	64 - 90	С	9		0%										
Small	90 - 128	0	3		0.0)1	0.1	1		10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В						Pa	artic	ele Size - Millime	eters				
Large	180 - 256	L			-										
Small	256 - 362	В				Size (m	m)			Size Distr	ibution		Ty	pe	
Small	362 - 512	L			D1	6	0.075			mean	2.0		silt/clay	12%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D3:	5	0.55			dispersion	27.5		sand	36%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D5	0	2.5			skewness	-0.06		gravel	41%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D6:	5	16						cobble	12%	
		Total	103		D84	4	54						boulder	0%	
Note:					D9:	5	83						bedrock	0%	
													hardpan	0%	
													wood/det	0%	
													artificial	0%	

Cro	2													
Particle	Millimeter		Count]	Particle Size Dist	ribution L				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	10						XS 11 Riff	k le				
Very Fine	.062125	S	3											
Fine	.12525	А	6											
Medium	.2550	Ν	1											
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2		100%						000	• •		
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	3	ive)						E Contraction of the second se				
Very Fine	2 - 4		3	ılat	80% -									
Fine	4 - 5.7	G		Ē						H				
Fine	5.7 - 8	R		C C	60% -					/•			As Built	t
Medium	8 - 11.3	А		har						<u>†</u>				
Medium	11.3 - 16	V		er T	40%									
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е		Fin	4070					/ <mark>/</mark>				
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1	%										
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	9		20% -									
Very Coarse	45 - 64		20											
Small	64 - 90	С	15		0%		1			1	1			
Small	90 - 128	0	24		0.	01	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	6					Parti	icle Size - Millimo	eters				
Large	180 - 256	L												
Small	256 - 362	В				Size (mm)		Size Distr	ibution	_	Тур	e	_
Small	362 - 512	L			D1	6	0.19		mean	4.6		silt/clay	10%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D3	5	42		dispersion	151.0		sand	15%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D5	0	57		skewness	-0.66		gravel	32%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D6	5	78					cobble	44%	
		Total	103		D8	4	110					boulder	0%	
Note:					D9	5	130					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

Cros	2													
Particle	Millimeter		Count					J	Particle Size Dist	ribution 1-				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	3	1					XS 14 Riff	le				
Very Fine	.062125	S	5											
Fine	.12525	Α	8											
Medium	.2550	N	25											
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	8		100% -							0 0		
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	4	ive)										
Very Fine	2 - 4		4	nlat	80% -									
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1]										
Fine	5.7 - 8	R			60% -					<u> </u>			As Built	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	Α		[har				-		1			MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	1 '	ler]	40% -								MY02	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	2	Fin	1070			l 🖡 🖌				_		-
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	2	8										
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	6]	20% +			* •						
Very Coarse	45 - 64		15]										
Small	64 - 90	С	6		0% +			<u> </u>						
Small	90 - 128	0	9		0.0	/1	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	3					Parti	cle Size - Millime	ters				
Large	180 - 256	L												
Small	256 - 362	В				Size (r	nm)		Size Distr	ibution		Тур	e	
Small	362 - 512	L			D1	6	0.25		mean	4.2		silt/clay	3%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D3:	5	0.43		dispersion	27.8		sand	49%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D5	0	1.4		skewness	0.30		gravel	30%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D6	5	39					cobble	18%	
		Total	102		D8-	4	70					boulder	0%	
Note:					D9	5	120					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

Cros	2													
Particle	Millimeter		Count]	Particle Size Dist	ribution				
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C							XS 16 Riff	le				
Very Fine	.062125	S	2											
Fine	.12525	А	5											
Medium	.2550	Ν	2		ſ									
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2		100% -						<u></u>			
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	3	ive)						* *				
Very Fine	2 - 4		8	ulati	80% -									
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	3	Im										
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	3	UC	60% -								As Built]
Medium	8 - 11.3	А	3	Chai						-• ·]			MY01	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	1	er 1	40%					<u> </u>			MY02	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	Е	7	Fin	1070			1	je stali na					1
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	8	%										
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	14		20% +			•	/ -					
Very Coarse	45 - 64		16						Y					
Small	64 - 90	С	18		0% +									
Small	90 - 128	0	9		0.0	01	0.1	1	10	100	1000	10000		
Large	128 - 180	В	3					Parti	cle Size - Millime	eters				
Large	180 - 256	L			•									
Small	256 - 362	В				Size (m	nm)		Size Distr	ibution	_	Tyj	pe	
Small	362 - 512	L			D10	5	2.6		mean	14.6		silt/clay	0%	
Medium	512 - 1024	D			D3:	5	21		dispersion	8.2		sand	13%	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R			D50	0	37		skewness	-0.33		gravel	59%	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK			D6:	5	54					cobble	28%	
		Total	107		D84	4	82					boulder	0%	
Note:					D9:	5	120					bedrock	0%	
												hardpan	0%	
												wood/det	0%	
												artificial	0%	

APPENDIX E

Hydrologic Data

Stony Fork Restoration Site Hydrograph Stream Gauge SF Main



	Table 10. Verification of Stream Flow Stony Fork Restoration Site, DMS Project #97085												
	Gauge		Camera										
Reach	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days									
T1	January 1 – April 5; June 3 – November 1	152	*	*									
T1A	January 1 – April 5; June 3 – November 1	152	January 1 – July 1	183									
T2	January 1 – April 5; June 3 – November 1	152	January 1 – February 22	53									
Т3	January 1 – April 5; June 3 – November 1	152	*	*									

*Camera obscured or malfunctioned for most of the year

	St	Table 11. S tony Fork R	Stream Flov estoration S	w Criteria A Site, DMS P	Attainment Project #970	85	
		Great	er than 30 Da	ys of Flow/Ma	ax Consecutive	e Days	
Reach	MY-01 2019	MY-02 2019	MY-03 2020	MY-04 2021	MY-05 2022	MY-06 2023	MY-07 2024
T1 (Gauge)	Yes/60	Yes/152					
T1 (Camera)	*	*					
T1A (Gauge)	Yes/182	Yes/152					
T1A (Camera)	Yes/46	Yes/183					
T2 (Gauge)	Yes/85	Yes/152					
T2 (Camera)	Yes/84	Yes/53					
T3 (Gauge)	Yes/55	Yes/152					
T3 (Camera)	Yes/55	*					

*Camera obscured or malfunctioned for most of the year

Stony Fork Restoration Site Hydrograph T1 Stream Flow Gauge





Stony Fork Restoration Site Hydrograph T2 Stream Flow Gauge





APPENDIX F

Additional Information



ISO 9001:2008 CERTIFIED

ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS

4505 Falls of Neuse Rd., Suite 400 • Raleigh, NC 27609 • Phone 919-783-9214 • Fax 919-783-9266

February 12, 2021

Jerry Parrish and Lisa Parrish 4141 Elevation Road Benson, NC 27504

RE: Conservation Easement Encroachment

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Parrish

I am writing to inform you that at a recent visit to the site (February 9, 2021), 4-wheeler tracks were noted entering the conservation easement from your property. These tracks entered the easement from the path along the eastern edge of your property and continued for about 400 feet before exiting the easement near the end of Sherrill Farm Drive. The Conservation Easement is associated with a stream restoration project and the purpose of this project was to restore portions of Stony Fork (the stream near your property) and several feeder streams to mitigate the impacts to streams associated with development projects in the area. As such, the use of motorized vehicles within the easement is prohibited. Specifially, Section II, Item B of the recorded conservation easement states:

Motorized Vehicle Use. Motorized vehicle use in the Conservation Easement Area is prohibited except within a Crossing Area(s) or Road or Trail as shown on the recorded survey plat.

I have included a copy of the recorded survey plat for your reference. Additionally, the easement line is clearly marked with signage along its length, including in the area of 4-wheeler access. We appreciate your efforts to abide by the terms of the easement and to help resolve this issue. If you would like further assistance in ensuring there is no unauthorized access to the easement or have any other questions, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Jug q. Manis

Tim Morris Senior Environmental Scientist KCI Technologies Inc. 4505 Falls of Neuse Road, Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 <u>tim.morris@kci.com</u> Office 919.278.2511 Cell 919.793.6886 www.kci.com

OWNER CERTIFICATION (CE #1&6) SPO FILE NO. 51-CD I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE SUBDIVISION JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY OF JOHNSTON AND THAT I HEREBY ADOPT THIS SUBDIVISION PLAN WITH MY FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH MINIMUM SETBACK LINES, AND DEDICATE ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, PARKS AND OTHER SITES AND EASEMENTS TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE USE AS NOTED. and Mellin OWNER CERTIFICATION (CE #2) SPO FILE NO. 51-CH I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE SUBDIVISION JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY OF JOHNSTON AND THAT I HEREBY ADOPT THIS SUBDIVISION PLAN WITH MY FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH MINIMUM SETBACK LINES, AND DEDICATE ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, PARKS AND OTHER SITES AND EASEMENTS TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE USE AS NOTED. FatichaBothBlech vev DEVAN BARBOU OWNER CERTIFICATION (CE #3) SPO FILE NO. 51-CG **DEVAN BARBOUR, IV** I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE SUBDIVISION JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY OF JOHNSTON AND THAT I HEREBY ADOPT THIS SUBDIVISION PLAN WITH MY FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH MINIMUM SETBACK LINES, AND DEDICATE ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, PARKS AND OTHER SITES AND EASEMENTS TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE USE AS NOTED. Jarry W. PARRISH . Varial 10/12/17 JERRY W. PARRISH DATE DATE DATE I, JAMES M. GELLENTHIN, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THIS MAP WAS DRAWN UNDER MY SUPERVISION FROM A SURVEY MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION, THAT THE BOUNDARIES NOT SURVEYED ARE CLEARLY INDICATED, AS DRAWN FROM INFORMATION AS SHOWN HEREON: THAT THE RATIO OF 11111 PRECISION AS CALCULATED IS GREATER THAN 1:10,000; THAT, THIS MAP A R O DOES REPRESENT AN OFFICIAL BOUNDARY SURVEY (OF THE EASEMENT A R O MAXINE K. MEDLIN, HEIF PIN 164100-07-3890 DEED BOOK 1025, PAGE DOES REPRESENT AN OFFICIAL BOUNDARY SURVEY (UF THE EADER THE ADER SEAL -3860 CAROLINA REGISTRATION NUMBER L-3850 /JAMES M. GELLENTHIN GE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA OF JOINSTON COUNTY, CERTIFY THAT THE MAP OR PLAT WHICH THIS CERTIFICATION IS AFFIXED I, JAMES M. GELLENTHIN, PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR, NO. L-3860 CERTIFY TO THE FOLLOWING AS REQUIRED IN G.S. 47-30 (F)(11): THAT THE SURVEY IS OF ANOTHER CATEGORY, SUCH AS THE RECOMBINATION OF EXISTING PARCELS, A COURT ORDERED SURVEY, OR MEETS ALL STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR OTHER EXCEPTION TO THE DEFINITION OF SUBDIVISIO RECORDING. M, tephanin Kickler 10/13/17 AROLINA REGISTRATION NUMBER

JAMES M. GELLENTHIN

OWNER CERTIFICATION (CE #4) SPO FILE NO. 51-CF

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE SUBDIVISION JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY OF JOHNSTON AND THAT I HEREBY ADOPT THIS SUBDIVISION PLAN WITH MY FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH MINIMUM SETBACK LINES, AND DEDICATE ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, PARKS AND OTHER SITES AND FASEMENTS TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE USE AS NOTED.

EASEMENTS TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE USE AS NOTED. 10.12.12 10/12/17 10.11.17

ELL NCSR N/F JERRY W. PARRISH PIN 164100-19-1598 DEED BOOK 1147, PAGE 2 SHERRILL FARM, LLC PIN 164100-28-7593 DEED BOOK 4702, PAGE 5 N/F GARY THOMAS BENSON PIN 164100-08-6845 DEED BOOK 3701 PAGE 90

DATE

10-11-11

DATE

10/2/17

DATE

71

10/11

JOHNSTON COUNTY FILED FOR REGISTRATION AT _1/:33:22 AM DC+061 13 .20 17 IN THE RECORDED IN BOOK 85 PAGE 112 Crain Oline Resister Breds 12/12/17 BY Petty B. Windell Ast. BY Petty B. Windell Ast.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

N/F WILLIAM R MEDLIN & BONNIE J MEDLIN PIN 164100-17-2357 DEED 4834 PAGE 637

N/F FATISHA BETH BLACKMON ---- PIN 164100-07-4699 DEED BOOK 3358, PAGE 9-

OWNER CERTIFICATION (CE #5) SPO FILE NO. 51-CE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE SUBDIVISION JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY OF JOHNSTON AND THAT I HEREBY ADOPT THIS SUBDIVISION PLAN WITH MY FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH MINIMUM SETBACK LINES, AND DEDICATE ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, PARKS AND OTHER SITES AND



NOTES:

2.

- THIS PLAT DOES NOT REPRESENT A BOUNDARY SURVEY OF THE PARENT TRACTS. THE PARENT 1. TRACT BOUNDARIES ADJACENT TO THIS EASEMENT ARE NOT CHANGED BY THIS PLAT. BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS DERIVED FROM DEEDS AND MAPS OF RECORD IN JOHNSTON COUNTY AND MONUMENTATION FOUND IN THE FIELD.
- DISTANCES SHOWN ARE HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCES IN U.S. SURVEY FEET UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 3. AREA COMPUTED BY COORDINATE METHOD.
- THE BASIS OF THE MERIDIANS AND COORDINATES FOR THIS PLAT IS THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983 (NAD 83), BASED ON DIFFERENTIAL GPS OBSERVATIONS PERFORMED IN OCTOBER 2016. ALL DISTANCES ARE GROUND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 5. DEED REFERENCES: AS SHOWN HEREON.
- 6. SUBJECT PROPERTIES KNOWN AS TAX NUMBER: AS SHOWN HEREON.
- SUBJECT PROPERTIES PARTIALLY LIE WITHIN THE AREA DESIGNATED AS ZONE "X", BASED ON FEDERAL FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP 3720164100J EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 2, 2005
- NO UNDERGROUND UTILITY LOCATING PERFORMED DURING THE COURSE OF THIS
- THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, ITS EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS. RECEIVE A PERPETUAL RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE EASEMENT AREA OVER THE PROPERTY AT REASONABLE TIMES TO UNDERTAKE ANY ACTIVITIES TO RESTORE, CONSTRUCT, MANAGE, MAINTAIN, ENHANCE, AND MONITOR THE STREAM, WETLAND AND ANY OTHER RIPARIAN RESOURCES IN THE EASEMENT AREA, IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESTORATION ACTIVITIES OR A LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT PLAN AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION III-A OF THE CONSERVATION EASEMENT AGREEMENT



REBECCA C CLIFTON -PIN 164100-39-8527 EED BOOK 3381, PAGE 3 N/F GARY T BENSON PIN 164100-29-9457 DEED BOOK 1430, PAGE 674 CONSERVATION EASEMENT SEE SHEET 2

THIS PLAT IS EXEMPT FROM THE DEFINITION OF A SUBDIVISION WITHIN THE ZONING JURISDICTION OF THE JOHNSTON COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

> Berry JOHNSTON COUNTY-PLANNER

> > REVISED: 9/7/17

DATE:

AUGUST 16, 2017

KC]

ASSOCIATES OF NORTH CAROLINA

C-0764

4505 FALLS OF NEUSE ROAD, FLOOR 4 RALEIGH, NC 27607 PHONE (919) 783-9214 * FAX (919) 783-9266

KCI ASSOCIATES OF N.C.

ENGINEERS, SURVEYORS AND PLANNERS

SHEET:

1 OF 2

DIVISION OF MITIGATION SERVICES PROJECT NAME: STONY FORK RESTORATION PROJECT

DMS PROJECT #: 97085

SPO FILE NO. 51-CD, 51-CE, 51-CF, 51-CG, 51-CH **ELEVATION TOWNSHIP, JOHNSTON COUNTY**

NORTH CAROLINA

N/A

SCALE:

