Mary's Creek (EEP #241) Restoration Site

2011 Annual Monitoring Report (Year 5)

Alamance County EEP Project No. 241 Design Firm: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.



March 2012

Prepared for:



NCDENR/ Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1619 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1619 **Prepared by:**

The Catena Group 410-B Millstone Dr. Hillsborough, NC 27278 Ph: 919-732-1300 Fax: 919-732-1303

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. 1	Executive Summary	1
II.	Methodology	3
A.	Vegetation Methodologies	3
B.	Stream Methodologies	3
III.	References	3

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables	4
Figure 1. Vicinity Map	5
Table 1a and b. Project Components and Summations	6
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	7
Table 3. Project Contact Table	7
Table 4. Project Attribute Table	8
Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data	9
Figure 2. Current Conditions Plan View (CCPV) 1	0
Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table	5
Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment 1	17
Annendix C Vegetation Assessment Data	•1
Table 7 Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table	/ -
Table 8 Vegetation Metadata Table	.5
Table 9. Stem Count Total Planted by Plot and Species	27
Appendix D. Stream Assessment Data 2	28
Figure 3. Cross-Section 1	29
Figure 4. Cross-Section 2	30
Figure 5. Cross-Section 3	31
Figure 6A and B. Longitudinal Profile – Main channel and Tributary 3	35
Figure 7. Pebble Count Plots - Cross-Section 2 - Mary's Creek (EEP #241) 3	37
Figure 8. Pebble Count Plots - Cross-Section 4 - Mary's Creek (EEP #241)	38
Figure 9. Pebble Count Plots - Cross-Section2 - Mary's Creek (EEP #241) 3	39
Table 10a and b. Baseline Stream Data Summary Tables 4	10
Table 11a and 11b. Monitoring – Cross-Section Morphology Data Table and Stream	
Reach Data Summary Table	2
Annendix F. Stream Assessment Data	15
Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events	16

I. Executive Summary

The Mary's Creek (EEP #241) stream restoration project consists of 2,082 linear feet of stream restoration, and of this length, only 2034 linear feet are counted as the stream restoration assets, which excludes a reserved crossing not within the conservation easement and the cattle crossing. The project is in Alamance County north of Siler City, north of Greensboro Chapel Hill Road (SR 1005) and east of Lindley Mill Road (SR 1003) (Figure 1). Site construction and plantings were completed in March of 2006. The goals and objectives for Mary's Creek (EEP #241) stream restoration are:

- Improving water quality
- Providing wildlife habitat through the creation of a riparian zone
- Improving aquatic habitat with the use of natural material stabilization structures and a riparian buffer
- Excluding cattle from the stream
- Reducing nutrient loads from entering the stream through a filtration buffer
- Increasing the streams access to its floodplain
- Reducing erosion and sedimentation

There are five vegetation monitoring plots within the conservation easement which are all meeting the stem density criteria for total stems with 2894 total stems/acre. The success criterion for total woody stems is 260 stems/acre for Monitoring Year (MY)-05. Post construction only two plots (Plot 4&5) were established. An additional three plots (1, 2, &3) were added during MY-02. Since planted vs. natural stems were indistinguishable, stems, planted or not, were identified as natural stems within the added plots. Three black willow livestakes located within Plot 4, and four black willow livestakes located within Plot 5 were identified as planted stems. Level II of the CVS-EEP protocol was administered for Monitoring Year (MY)-05, which includes planted and natural woody stems. An accurate number of planted stems /acre could not be determined since the planted stems could not be distinguished from natural stems.

Invasive exotics are the only notable vegetation problems areas for MY-05. Tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*) and Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) have reached levels of concern. Tree of Heaven and Chinese privet are considered a species of "High Concern" according to EEP's invasive plant ranking list. Tree of Heaven is concentrated in patches near southern end of the conservation easement. Chinese privet is scattered throughout with high concentrations occurring between stations 16+00 to 26+00. Areas of low planted stem densities occur in areas near the outer limits of the conservation easement where the invasice exotic, tall fescue (*Schedonurus arundinaceus*), is dominant. These areas were cattle pastures previous to construction. See Current Conditions Plan View (Appendix B). Other invasive exotics observed sparsely scattered within the conservation easement include Gill over the ground (*Glechoma hederacea*), Johnson grass (*Sorghum halapense*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), Japanese stiltgrass (*Microstegium vimineum*), and multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*). Tall fescue, Johnson grass, and Japanese stiltgrass are species of "Low/Moderate Concern".

the project is not expected to be impaired significantly by these species. For additional information relating to vegetation, see Appendix C.

Overall, the stream banks are stable and well vegetated on Mary's Creek and the unnamed tributary (UT) to Mary's Creek. Neither monitoring reach has notably changed in pattern, profile or dimension as compared to MY-04. The UT and Mary's Creek upstream of the confluence both have large amounts of submerged organic material and woody debris within the channel. This is not affecting the stability of the channel. A woody debris pile is present at station 16+65, Stream Problem Area (SPA) 1. This debris is not causing noticeable backwater or stream stability effects. A large beaver dam was removed at approximate station 24+25 during MY-05. There is still a remnant beaver dam present with a small opening to allow the channel to flow. Debris accumulation is expected to occur at this location in the future. No further beaver activity was observed. The former beaver dam at station 24+25 created substantial impacts upstream to the culvert crossing. The backwater and beaver activity impacted the vegetation of the floodplain and stream banks but vegetation regeneration is evident. The majority of the structures are stable and functioning. The two structures at stations 25+25 and 26+00 still have dislodged boulders that have moved into the center of the channel, however, no bank degradation has occurred in the past two monitoring years. The main channel pebble counts remain stable and consistent comparable to the previous monitoring year data. The tributary pebble count is trending slightly coarser, which is largely due to the absence of the backwater that was a result of the woody debris obstructions, reported in the initial site visit letter.

Summary information/data related to the occurrences of items such as beaver or encroachment, and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements, can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation plan and restoration plan documents available on EEPs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

II. Methodology

Methodologies follow the current EEP monitoring report template, Version 1.3 1/15/10, and the version 4.2 of the CVS-EEP protocol for recording vegetation (Lee et al 2008). Photos were taken with a digital camera. A Trimble Geo XT handheld unit with submeter accuracy was used to locate stream and vegetation problem areas.

A. Vegetation Methodologies

Level II of the EEP/CVS protocol Version 4.2, which includes natural stems, was used to collect data for MY-05 for five vegetation monitoring plots on August 30, 2011. Data collected for these plots are in Appendix C.

B. Stream Methodologies

Stream profile and cross-sections were surveyed on January 18, 2012 using total station equipment and methods. The survey data was plotted using AutoCAD Civil3D. The longitudinal profile was generated using the MY-02 alignment. Cross sectional data was

extracted based on a linear alignment between the end pins. Pattern parameters were calculated by measuring the plotted dimensions of the MY-05 surveyed thalweg. Profile parameters were determined through analysis of a Microsoft Excel generated plot of the profile based on the aforementioned baseline alignment.

III. References

Lee, Michael T. Peet, Robert K. Roberts, Steven D., Wentworth, Thomas R. (2008). *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation Version 4.2.*

Weakley, Alan (2007). Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas. http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/flora.htm.

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables



Table 1.a. Project Components Mary's Creek (EEP #241)									
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Buffer Acres	BMP Elements ¹	Comment	
Mary's Creek	1750	R	P2	1565 lf*	10+00- 26+62	5.3	CF=4505	Instream Structure and Vegetated Buffers	
UT to Mary's Creek	360	R	P2	469 lf	10+00- 14+69	1.5	lf	Instream Structure and Vegetated Buffers	

Table 1a and b. Project Components and Summations

CF = Cattle Fencing; WS = Watering System; CH = Livestock Housing

*Excludes the 68ft reserved crossing length outside of the Conservation Easement and the 30lf culvert within the cattle crossing

Table 1.b. Component Summations Mary's Creek (EEP #241)							
Restoration	Stream	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Non- Binarian	Unlond	Buffor	
Level	(lf)	Riverine	Non- Riverine	(Ac)	(Ac)	(Ac)	BMP
Restoration	2034						
Enhancement							
Enhancement I							
Enhancement II							
Creation							
Preservation							
HQ Preservation							
		0	0				
Totals	2034)	0	0	0	Count

Table 1b. Component Summations

Table 2.	Project Activity	and Reporting	History

Mary's Creek (EEP #241)							
Activity or Reporting	Scheduled Completion	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion Date				
Restoration Plan	N/A	-	April 2003				
Final Design-90%	N/A	N/A	October 2005				
Construction	N/A	N/A	March 2006				
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area	N/A	N/A	March 2006				
Permanent seed mix applied to entire project area	N/A	N/A	March 2006				
Bare-Root and Livestake planting	N/A	N/A	March 2006				
Mitigation Plan/As-built (Year 0 Monitoring-baseline)	N/A	May 2006	June 2006				
Year 1 Monitoring	N/A	February 2007	March 2007				
Year 2 Monitoring	N/A	July 2008	December 2008				
Year 3 Monitoring	N/A	November 2009	March 2010				
Year 4 Monitoring	N/A	January 2011	March 2011				
Year 5 Monitoring	N/A	January 2012	March 2012				

Table 3. Project Contact Table

Project Contact Table Mary's Creek (EEP #241)					
Designer	Stantec Consulting Services Inc 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, North Carolina 27606 David Bidelspach - (919) 851-6866				
Construction Contractor	Shamrock Environmental Corp. 6101 Corporate Park Drive Browns Summit, North Carolina 27699 Bill Wright - (800) 881-1098				
Planting Contractor POC	Seal Brothers Contracting, LLC P.O. Box 86 Dobson, North Carolina 27017 Brian Seal				
Seeding Contractor POC	Shamrock Environmental Corp. 6101 Corporate Park Drive Browns Summit, North Carolina 27699 Bill Wright - (800) 881-1098				
Seed Mix Sources	contact Shamrock Environmental Corp.				
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Hills Nursery Co., Inc. (931) 668-4364				
Monitoring Performers					
Stream Monitoring	Ward Consulting Engineers 8368 Six Forks Road, Suite 104 Raleigh, NC 27613-5083				
Vegetation Monitoring	The Catena Group 410-B Millstone Dr. Hillsborough, NC 27278				

Table 4. Project Attribute Table

Project County	Alamance						
Physiographic Region	Piedmont						
Ecoregion	Carolina Slate Belt						
River Basin	Cape Fear						
USGS HUC for Project (14 digit)	03030002050020						
NCDWQ Subbasin for the Project	Mary's Creek						
Within extent of EEP Watershed Plan?	Watershed Restoration Plan for th	ne Cape Fear River Basin 2001					
WRC Hab Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm water						
% of Project easement fenced or demarcated	100% fenced beyond the 50 ft eas	sement buffer					
Beaver activity observed during the design phase?	Unknown						
Restoration Component Attribute Table	Reach 1 (Main)	Reach 2 (Trib)					
Drainage Area	815acres	330 acres					
Stream Order	3rd	1st					
Restored Length	1632	450					
Perennial or Intermittent	Perennial	Perennial					
Watershed Type (Rural, Urban, Developing, etc.)	Rural	Rural					
Watershed LUL Distribution:	1	1					
Residential	10%*	5%*					
Ag – Row Crop	25%*	25%*					
Ag – Livestock	20%*	35%*					
Forested	45%*	35%*					
Watershed Impervious cover (%)	<5%	<5%					
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	16-26	16-26					
NCDWQ Classification	C, NSW C, NSW						
303d listed?	Downstream of the site, Mary's C but removed fro	The was listed on the 2002 list, om the 2006 list					
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	U	U					
Total acreage of easement	7.3 a	cres					
Total Vegetated Acreage within Easement	7.3 a	cres					
Total Planted Acreage as Part of the Restoration	7.3 a	cres					
Rosgen Classification of Pre-Existing	C4/F4	C4					
Rosgen Classification of As-built	С	С					
Valley Type	VIII	VIII					
Valley Slope	0.0096 ft/ft	0.0096ft/ft					
Valley Side Slope Range	0.1076-0.3285 ft/ft	0.1076-0.3285 ft/ft					
Valley Toe Slope Range	0.0111-0.0285ft/ft	0.0111-0.0285ft/ft					
Cowardin Classification	Stream (R3UB1)	Stream (R3UB1)					
Trout Waters designation	No	No					
Species of Concern, Endangered, etc.	No	No					
Dominant Soil Series Type							
Series	Herndon	Herndon					
Depth	U	U					
Clay %	U	U					
	U	U					
Т	Ŭ	U					

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241 Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data











Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment

Reach ID Assessed Length

Main Channel 1632

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	 <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) 					100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting					100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	22	23			96%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	 <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6) 	21	21			100%			
		 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle) 	21	21			100%			
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	20	21			95%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	21	21			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion					100%			100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					100%			100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse					100%			100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	15	17			88%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	14	17			82%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	14	17			82%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	16	17			94%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining \sim Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio \geq 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	14	17			82%			

Table 5 Reach ID Reach ID Assessed Length

Major Channel Category 1. Bed	Channel Sub-Category 1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	Metric 1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended 100%	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	ç,	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting					100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	6	10			60%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	 <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6) 	6	11			55%			
		 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle) 	7	11			64%			
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	3	11						
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	11			27%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion					100%			100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					100%			100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse					100%			100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	5	5			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	5	5			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%			

Table 5

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment

Table 6	Vegetation Condition Assessment					
Planted Acreage ¹	4.56					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based visual observation.	0.1 acres	yellow hatch	3	1.59	34.9%
			Total	3	1.59	34.9%
Easement Acreage ²	7.3					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
2. Invasive Areas of Concern ⁴	Areas of Ailanthus altissima.	1000 SF	Solid Yellow	4	0.08	1.1%
3. Invasive Areas of Concern ⁴	Areas of Ligustrum sinense.	1000 SF	Solid Green	5	1.42	19.5%
			-			

1 = Enter the planted acreage within the easement. This number is calculated as the easement acreage minus any existing mature tree stands that were not subject to supplemental planting of the understory, the channel acreage, crossings or any other elements not directly planted as part of the project effort.

2 = The acreage within the easement boundaries.

3 = Encroachment may occur within or outside of planted areas and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. In the event a polygon is cataloged into items 1, 2 or 3 in the table and is the result of encroachment, the associated acreage should be tallied in the relevant item (i.e., item 1,2 or 3) as well as a parallel tally in item 5.

4 = Invasives may occur in or out of planted areas, but still within the easement and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. Invasives of concern/interest are listed below. The list of high concern spcies are those with the potential to directly outcompete native, young, woody stems in the short-term (e.g. monitoring period or shortly thereafter) or affect the community structure for existing, more established tree/shrub stands over timeframes that are slightly longer (e.g. 1-2 decades). The low/moderate concern group are those species that generally do not have this capacity over the timeframes discussed and therefore are not expected to be mapped with regularity, but can be mapped, if in the judgement of the observer their coverage, density or distribution is suppressing the viability, density, or growth of planted woody stems. Decisions as to whether remediation will be needed are based on the integration of risk factors by EEP such as species present, their coverage, distribution relative to native biomass, and the practicality of treatment. For example, even modest amounts of Kudzu or Japanese Knotweed early in the projects history will warrant control, but potentially large coverages of Microstegium in the herb layer will not likley trigger control because of the limited capacities to impact tree/shrub layers within the timeframes discussed and the potential impacts of treating extensive amounts of ground cover. Those species with the "watch list" designator in gray shade are of interest as well, but have yet to be observed across the state with any frequency. Those in *red italics* are of particular interest given their extreme risk/threat level for mapping as points where <u>isolated</u> specimens are found, particularly ealry in a projects monitoring history. However, areas of discreet, dense patches will of course be mapped as polygons. The symbology scheme below was one that was found to be helpful for symbolizing invasives polygons, particularly for situations where the condit

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration	
NCEEP Project number: 241	

The Catena Group

Mary's Creek EEP# 241 MY-05 Photo Points



Main Channel Cross Section #1 Looking Downstream



Main Channel Cross Section #2 Looking Downstream

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241 The Catena Group



Main Channel Cross Section #3 Looking Downstream



Main Channel Cross Section #4 Looking Downstream



Tributary Channel Cross Section #1 Looking Downstream



Tributary Channel Cross Section #2 Looking Downstream

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241 The Catena Group

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241 The Catena Group



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241 The Catena Group



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5

Appendix C. Vegetation Assessment Data

	Mary's Creek (EEP #241)													
Veg Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met? (260 total woody stems/acre)	Tract Mean												
VP1	Yes													
VP2	Yes													
VP3	Yes	100%												
VP4	Yes													
VP5	Yes													

 Table 7. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table

databasa nama	cys een entrytool y2 2 7 mdh
	cvs-cep-entrytooi-v2.2.7.11d0
database location	11/5/2011
computer name	
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS	
DOCUMENT	
	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a
Metadata	summary of project(s) and project data.
	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for
Proj, planted	each year. This excludes live stakes.
	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for
	each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and
Proj, total stems	all natural/volunteer stems.
	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live
Plots	stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
***	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all
Vigor	plots.
Vices by See	Ensurement distribution of sizes alarges listed has succeed
vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Domogo	List of most frequent damage classes with number of
Damage by Spp	Demage values tallied by type for each species
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each plot
	A matrix of the count of total living stams of each species
	(planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot:
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	241
project Name	Mary's Creek (EEP #241)
Description	2096 lf of stream restoration; no wetlands
River Basin	Cape Fear
length(ft)	2096
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	5

EEP Project Code 241. Project Name: UT to Marγ's Creek

			Current Plot Data (MY5 2011)													ļ			Anı	nual Me	eans					
			E2	241-01-\	/P1	E2	41-01-V	/P2	E2	241-01-\	VP3	E2	41-01-\	/P4	E2	41-01-\	/P5	IV	1Y5 (20	11)	N	IY4 (20:	10)	M	Y3 (200)9)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree					1				1			26			3			30						
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub Tree		ľ							1					ľ			1	1						
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Shrub Tree			14															14						
Celtislaevigata	sugarberry	Shrub Tree									1									1						
Cornusamomum	silky dogwood	Shrub									3					1	1		1	4						
Diospyros virginiana	common persimm on	Tree			2			-						1						3						
Fraxinu s penn sylvani ca	green ash	Tree			28			22			7			13			6			76						
Gleditsia triacanthos	honeylocust	Shrub Tree			1															1						
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree			5			3			1			5			115.5			129.5						
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			6			5			6			20			24			61						
Pinu s taeda	loblolly pine	Tree			1	l. X					1						3	1		5						
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree									1		2							1						
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Shrub Tree						1					2				2			3						
Pyrus	pear	Tree			1						1 (1		Ì	1=	1	1			172 - 27 ¹			
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree						1			1		3	3		4	5		7	10			502 - 53 		3	3
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub Tree			3						5			4						12						
Ulmus	elm	Tree												1			1			2						
Ulmusalata	winged elm	Tree		2			_				1						()			1						
Ulmusrubra	slippery elm	Tree			1			1												2						
		Stem count	0	0	62	0	0	33	0	0	29	0	3	73	0	5	160.5	0	8	357.5	0	0	0	0	3	3
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			5	-		5			5	
		size (ACRES)	0.	024710	538	0.0	0247105	538	0.	024710	538	0.	024710	538	0.	024710	538	0.	123552	691	0.1	123552	691	0.1	23552	591
		Species count	nt 0 0 10			0	0	6	0	0	12	0	1	8	0	2	9	0	2	19	0	0	0	0	1	1
		Stems per ACRE	0	0	2509	0	0	1335	0	0	1174	0	121.4	2954	0	202.3	6495	0	64.75	2894	0	0	2695	0	24.28	24.28

27

Appendix D. Stream Assessment Data

















			PEBBLE C	COUNT				
Project:	Mary's Creek					Date:	1/18/2012	
Location:	Cross Section	#2						
				Particle	Counts			
Inches	Particle	Millimeter		Riffles	Pools	Total No.	Item %	% Cumulative
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	8	0	8	7%	7%
	Very Fine	.062125	S	4	0	4	4%	11%
	Fine	.12525	Α	4	0	4	4%	15%
	Medium	.2550	Ν	2	0	2	2%	17%
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D	6	0	6	6%	22%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	S	0	0	0	0%	22%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0		4	0	4	4%	26%
.1622	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	G	12	0	12	11%	37%
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	R	6	0	6	6%	43%
.3144	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	Α	0	0	0	0%	43%
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	V	8	0	8	7%	50%
.6389	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	E	4	0	4	4%	54%
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	L	8	0	8	7%	61%
1.26 - 1.77	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	S	12	0	12	11%	72%
1.77 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0		6	0	6	6%	78%
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90	С	8	0	8	7%	85%
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	0	2	0	2	2%	87%
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	В	0	0	0	0%	87%
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		0	0	0	0%	87%
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	В	0	0	0	0%	87%
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	L	0	0	0	0%	87%
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	D	0	0	0	0%	87%
40 - 80	Lrg-Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	0	0	0	0%	87%
	Bedrock		BDRK	14	0	14	13%	100%
			Totals	108	0	108	100%	100%

d16	d35	d50	d84	d95
0.4	5.6	16.0	85.8	Bedrock



			PEBBLE C	OUNT		97.		
Project:	Mary's Creek					Date:	1/18/2012	
Location:	Cross Section	#4						
				Particle	Counts	-		
Inches	Particle	Millimeter		Riffles	Pools	Total No.	Item %	% Cumulative
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6	0	6	5%	5%
	Very Fine	.062125	S	4	0	4	3%	9%
	Fine	.12525	Α	2	0	2	2%	10%
	Medium	.2550	N	2	0	2	2%	12%
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D	10	0	10	9%	21%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	S	12	0	12	10%	31%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0		0	0	0	0%	31%
.1622	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	G	0	0	0	0%	31%
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	R	6	0	6	5%	36%
.3144	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	Α	6	0	6	5%	41%
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	V	8	0	8	7%	48%
.6389	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	E	6	0	6	5%	53%
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	L	24	0	24	21%	74%
1.26 - 1.77	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	S	8	0	8	7%	81%
1.77 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0		4	0	4	3%	84%
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90	С	8	0	8	7%	91%
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	0	0	0	0	0%	91%
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	В	0	0	0	0%	91%
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	L	0	0	0	0%	91%
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	В	0	0	0	0%	91%
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	L	0	0	0	0%	91%
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	D	0	0	0	0%	91%
40 - 80	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	0	0	0	0%	91%
	Bedrock		BDRK	10	0	10	9%	100%
			Totals	116	0	116	100%	100%

d16	d35	d50	d84	d95
0.7	7.5	18.0	61.3	Bedrock



			PEBBLE C	COUNT		14.		
Project:	Mary's Creek	Tributary				Date:	1/18/2012	
Location:	Cross Section	#2						
				Particle	Counts			
Inches	Particle	Millimeter		Riffles	Pools	Total No.	Item %	% Cumulative
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	20	0	20	19%	19%
	Very Fine	.062125	S	4	0	4	4%	23%
	Fine	.12525	A	4	0	4	4%	26%
	Medium	.2550	N	0	0	0	0%	26%
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D	0	0	0	0%	26%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	S	14	0	14	13%	40%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0		0	0	0	0%	40%
.1622	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	G	24	0	24	23%	62%
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	R	14	0	14	13%	75%
.3144	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	Α	14	0	14	13%	89%
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	V	6	0	6	6%	94%
.6389	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	E	2	0	2	2%	96%
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	L	0	0	0	0%	96%
1.26 - 1.77	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	S	0	0	0	0%	96%
1.77 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0		0	0	0	0%	96%
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90	С	2	0	2	2%	98%
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	0	2	0	2	2%	100%
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	В	0	0	0	0%	100%
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	Ŀ	0	0	0	0%	100%
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	В	0	0	0	0%	100%
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	L	0	0	0	0%	100%
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	D	0	0	0	0%	100%
40 - 80	Lrg-Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	0	0	0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK	0	0	0	0%	100%
			Totals	106	0	106	100%	100%

d16	d35	d50	d84	d95
0.1	1.7	4.9	9,9	18.1



						N	Table ⁄ary's (10a. E Creek	Baselii (241)	ne Stre - Mair	eam D 1 Chan	ata Su nel (16	immar 632 fe	y et)											
Parameter	Gauge ²	Reg	jional (Curve		Pre-	Existin	g Conc	lition			Refere	ence R	each(e	s) Data			Desigr	1		Mo	nitorin	g Base	line	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		Ш	UL	Eq.	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^5	n
Bankfull Width (ft)						34.5											18				26.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)						37											54				54			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)						0.7											1.5				1.1			
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)						24.1											28				28.1			
Width/Depth Ratio	D						50											12				25			
Entrenchment Ratio	þ						1.07											3				2			
¹ Bank Height Ratio							2.9											1				1			
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)																			17		45	31		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)						0.023											0.005		0.004		0.01	0.007		
Pool Length (ft)																			20		34	27		
Pool Max depth (ft)																								
Pool Spacing (ft)				28			148										41		30		90	45		
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)						105										54	81	108	30		65	100		
Radius of Curvature (ft)																36	45	54	40		59	78		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)				330		585	840									54	99	144	68		100	133		
Meander Width Ratio	c						3										3	4.5	6	1.1		2.5	3.8		
Transport parameters					r												1								
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ŕ	2																								
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful	1																								
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m	2																								
Additional Reach Parameters	-				-						T						-			-					
Rosgen Classification	n		-	1			F	4										C4				C	74		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)																								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)																								
Valley length (ft)																								
Channel Thalweg length (ft)				1750													1632				16	32		
Sinuosity (ft)						1.	03										1.2				1	.2		
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				0.0057													0.0031				0.0	033		
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.0	057										0.0031				0.0	034		
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																								
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks	\$																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									
Biological or Othe	r																								

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241

							Table Mary	10b. I /ˈs Cre	Baselii eek (24	ne Stro 11) - T	eam D ributai	ata Su y (450	ımmar feet)	У											
Parameter	Gauge ²	Reg	jional C	urve		Pre-	Existin	g Conc	lition			Refere	ence R	each(e	s) Data			Desigr	1		Мо	nitorin	g Base	line	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD⁵	n
Bankfull Width (ft))																	12							
Floodprone Width (ft))																	36							
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft))																	1							
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft))																								1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²))																	11							1
Width/Depth Ratio)																	12							1
Entrenchment Ratio	D																	3							
¹ Bank Height Ratio	b																	1							
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft))																			16		30	44		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft))																	0.008		0.005		0.007	0.01		I
Pool Length (ft))																								
Pool Max depth (ft))																			14		28	41		
Pool Spacing (ft))																	28		45		56	67		
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft))																36	54	72	28			35		
Radius of Curvature (ft))																24	30	36	38		46	54		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft))																								
Meander Wavelength (ft))																36	66	96	na		108	na		
Meander Width Ratio)																3	4.5	6	2.4			3		
					_															_					
Transport parameters					-																				
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f	2																								
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful	I																								
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²	2																								
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification	ı																	C4				C	24		
Bankfull Velocity (fps))																								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs))																								
Valley length (ft))																								
Channel Thalweg length (ft))																	450				4	50		
Sinuosity (ft))																	1.2				1	.2		
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft))																	0.0044				0.0	039		
BF slope (ft/ft))																	0.0044				0.0	037		
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres))																								
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks	6																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	>																								
Biological or Other	r																								

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1= The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2= For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Mary's Creek Stream Restoration NCEEP Project number: 241

The Catena Group

Table	e 11a	. Mo	nitor	ing D)ata -	Dime	ensio	nal N	/lorpl	nolog	y Su	mma	iry (D	imen	sion	al Pa	rame	ters	- Cro	oss S	ectio	ons)						
Mary's Creek (241) - Main Channel (1632 feet)																												
		С	ross S	ection	1 (Po	ol)			Ci	ross Se	ection	2 (Riff	le)			С	ross S	ection	3 (Poo	ol)			C	oss Se	ection	4 (Riff	le)	
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation ¹	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used		505.9	505.8	505.2	505.9	505.9			505.3	505.2	505.5	505.3	505.3			NA	N/A	502.1	504.3	504.3			NA	500.5	500.4	500.5	500.4	
Bankfull Width (ft)		18.3	18	18.2	17.95	18.14			26.6	20.19	24.74	16.84	16.84			NA	25.22	26.63	24.92	24.92			NA	21.28	23.29	24.97	24.97	
Floodprone Width (ft)		54	54	54	54	54			54	54	54	54	54			NA	81.88	88.06	82	82			NA	81.77	84.45	82	82	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1.5	1.361	1.259	1.337	1.349			1	1.061	1.127	1.265	1.265			NA	0.935	0.961	0.95	0.95			NA	1.381	1.45	1.936	1.936	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		2.8	2.77	2.7	2.87	2.93			2.2	2.21	2.425	2.44	2.44			NA	2.055	2.25	2.32	2.32			NA	2.47	2.58	2.92	2.92	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		27.3	24.49	22.92	24	24.46			26.6	21.41	27.87	21.3	21.3			NA	23.57	25.59	23.66	23.66			NA	29.4	33.78	48.35	48.35	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		12.3	13.22	14.46	13.43	13.45			26.6	19.04	21.96	13.32	13.32			NA	26.98	27.71	26.25	26.25			NA	15.4	16.06	12.9	12.9	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		2.95	3.001	2.966	3.008	2.977			2	2.675	2.183	3.206	3.206			NA	3.247	3.307	3.29	3.29			NA	3.843	3.626	3.284	3.284	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1	1	1	1.098	1.085			1	0.873	0.915	0.918	0.918			NA	0.701	0.978	0.832	0.832			NA	1	1	0.925	0.925	
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)					35.79	36.69						61.85	61.85						173.9	173.9						282.2	282.2	
d50 (mm)		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.23	21.75	47.7	8.9	16			NA	N⁄A	NA	NA	NA			NA	50.7	17.3	12.3	18	

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)Mary's Creek (241) - Tributary (450 feet)																				
		С	ross S	ection	1 (Poo	ol)		Cross Section 2 (Riffle)												
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+						
Record elevation (datum) used	506.2	506.2	506.2	506.2	506.2	506.2		506.7	505.8	505.9	505.9	505.7	505.7							
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.1	14.7	13.39	12.76	8.727	9.754		11.8	11.2	12.2	12.02	10.1	10.61							
Floodprone Width (ft)	36	36	36	36	36	36		36	36	36	36	36	36							
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	0.9	0.845	0.74	0.9	0.705		8	0.8	0.728	0.617	0.7	0.6							
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.8	1.81	1.47	1.8	1.45		1.4	1.4	1.3	1.385	1.4	1.36							
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	17.2	13	11.32	9.443	7.4	6.875		10	8.8	8.881	7.421	7.3	6.373							
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.3	16.5	15.85	17.24	10.2	13.84		13.9	14.3	16.76	19.47	14	17.68							
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		2.4	2.688	2.821	4.125	3.691			3.2	2.951	2.995	3.564	3.392							
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1	1	0.966	0.977	0.897			1	1	0.794	1.032	0.735							
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)					103.2	103.6						54.08	53.67							
d50 (mm)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	1.8	0.18	1.4	3.8	4.9							

	Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary																																								
														Ma	ry's (Creel	k (24 ⁻	1) - N	lain (Chanı	nel (1	632 f	ieet)																		
Parameter			Bas	seline					М	Y-1				MY-2							MY-3							MY- 4							MY-5						
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Mn	Mear	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Mn	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n					
Bankfull Width (ft)			28						29.3				20.2		25.4	30.6			22.1		27.1	32.1			16.8		20.9	25			17.6		25.6	33.6							
Floodprone Width (ft)			54						54				54		68	82			54		68	82			54		68	82			54		68	82	1						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			1.06						1.04				1.06		1.11	1.15			1.07		1.11	1.15			1.26		1.6	1.94			1.17		1.22	1.26							
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			2.14						2.37				2.21		2.46	2.71			2.33		2.5	2.66			2.44		2.68	2.92			2.59		2.77	2.95							
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)			29.6						30.5				21.4		28.3	35.2			25.4		29.8	34.2			21.3		34.8	48.4			22.3		30.8	39.4							
Width/Depth Ratio			26.4						28.1				19		22.8	26.6			19.2		24.6	30.1			12.9		13.1	13.3			14		21.3	28.6							
Entrenchment Ratio			1.93						1.85				2.67		2.68	2.68			2.45		2.5	2.56			3.21		3.24	3.28			2.44		2.75	3.06							
¹ Bank Height Ratio			0.93						0.77				0.87		0.89	0.91			0.95		0.96	0.97			0.92		0.92	0.92			1		1	1							
Profile																																									
Riffle Length (ft)							18		19.5	23			2.2		27	108			3.3		20.5	65.1			2.55	24.5	16.4	66.3	19	23	3.1	23.4	18.2	60.3	17.5	21					
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.01		0.01	0.02			0		0.02	0.05			0.01		0.02	0.05			0	0.03	0.02	0.1	0.02	20	0	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.03	18					
Pool Length (ft)							22		31	67			7.7		41.6	98			15		30	89			14.6	39.8	33.8	93.4	21.6	25	17.8	56.8	42.6	252	52.3	20					
Pool Max depth (ft)																									1.88	2.82	2.69	4.42	0.61	25	1.94	8.14	3.27	103	22.4	20					
Pool Spacing (ft)							35		70	92			36		85	222			27		57	148			20.8	64.2	59.4	125	29.3	24	21.3	81.4	71.3	178	46.1	19					
Pattern																																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																									
Radius of Curvature (ft)																Potto	arn data	will no	t typical	lly he co	llactad	unloce v	vicual de	ata dim	onciona	i data i	or profile	e de b e													
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																1 allo	uala		riypicai	indicate	signific	ant shif	ts from	baselin	e 1310112 e	ii uala (n piorii	c uala													
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																									
Meander Width Ratio																																									
Additional Reach Parameters																																									
Rosoen Classification							1			74					0	74						74					0	74					0								
Channel Thalweg length (ft)									16	372 372					16	л Ж2					16						16	ж Ж					16	<u>~</u>							
Sinuosity (ft)									1	2					1	<u> </u>					1	<u> </u>					1	.0∠ 11					1	11							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)									00	1178					0.0	1062					00	.00 1065					00	063					0.0	0655							
BF slope (ft/ft)									0.0	1034					0.0	002 057					0.0	006					0.0	063				0.00636									
³ Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%			1		1				0.0				26%		43%				39%		55%				36%		61%		1		30%		70%		Γ						
³ SC%/ Sa%/ G%/ C%/ B%/ Be%													5%	10%	51%	15%	0%	19%	0%	10%	60%	7%	0%	23%	12%	12%	57%	5%	0%	12%	6%	20%	55%	8%	0%	11%					
³ d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/													2.64	20.7	36.2	53	Be		10.5	22.9	32.5	33.1	124		0.53	6.54	10.6	22.5	Bdrk		0.57	6.58	17	73.6	Bdrk						
² % of Reach with Eroding Banks															2	%					1	%					2	%					0)%							
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							1						1			-			1			-					_	-			1			-							
Biological or Other																																									

43

				E	xhibi	t Tab	le 1	1b. N	Ioni	torin	g Da	ata - S	Stre	am I	Reac	h Da	ta Su	mma	ry N	lary'	s Cre	eek (2	241) -	Trib	utary	(450	feet)											
Parameter			Bas	eline						MY-1						М	Y-2					M	Y- 3					M	Y- 4					М	Y- 5				
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Mir	Mea	n Me	ed Ma	IX S	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mear	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)								11.2	2						12.2						12					1	10.1					1	10.6						
Floodprone Width (ft)								36							36						36					1	36					1	36						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)								0.8							0.73						0.62						0.72					1	0.6						
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							Î	1.4					Î		1.3						1.39					1	1.42					1	1.36						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)								8.8							8.88						7.42					1	7.28					1	6.37						
Width/Depth Ratio							Î	14.3	3				Î		16.8						19.5					1	14					1	17.7						
Entrenchment Ratio								3.2							2.95						2.99						3.56						3.39						
¹ Bank Height Ratio							Î	1					Î		1						0.79					1	1.03					1	0.74						
Profile																																							
Riffle Length (ft)			T		1		17	1	2	9 34	1			3		21	42			3		10	38			2.43	16.1	11.7	42.8	12.4	11	4.79	13	11.7	21.5	5.8	9		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.0	1	0.0	0.0	12		Î	0		0.02	0.03			0		0.01	0.03			0.01	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.02	10	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	8		
Pool Length (ft)							13		1	8 5)			10		18	30			3		12	31			7.24	23.1	17.9	79	20.3	11	8.22	38.9	26	81.6	30.2	9		
Pool Max depth (ft)							Î						Î													1.22	1.84	1.92	2.22	0.32	11	1.09	1.68	1.6	2.69	0.47	9		
Pool Spacing (ft)							32		6	5 74	1			26		44	67			12		26	56			19.4	41.4	38.8	68.7	16.7	10	17.5	55	45.7	126	34.2	8		
Pattern													ľ																										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)			1	1	1																																		
Radius of Curvature (ft)																	Dem																						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																	Patt	em data	WIII NOT	indicate significant shifts from baseline																			
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																							
Meander Width Ratio																																							
Additional Reach Parameters																																							
Rosgen Classification										C4						(C4					(24					C	24				C4						
Channel Thalweg length (ft)										450						4	69					4	69					4	69					4	69				
Sinuosity (ft)										1.2						1	.11					1	.11					1.	.15					1	.15				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)										0.0034						0.0	0076					0.0	076					0.0	073					0.0	0621				
BF slope (ft/ft)										0.0037						0.0	0062					0.0	052					0.0	003					0.0	0376				
³ Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%														37%		28%				40%		53%				40%		57%				25%		75%					
³ SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%														29%	61%	0%	0%	0%	10%	9%	64%	25%	2%	0%	0%	43%	1%	54%	2%	0%	0%	19%	21%	56%	2%	2%	0%		
³ d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																0.18	1.38			SC	0.5	1.4	12.9	54.5		0.1	0.1	3.8	10.9	15.7		0.11	1.65	4.92	9.94	18.1			
² % of Reach with Eroding Banks																()%					0	1%					0	1%					()%				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																							
Biological or Other																																							
Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not	be fille	d in.	on from	both the	Cross -	section	6110/01	e and #		itudinal	profile	•																				<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are	eroding	based	on the v	isual su	rvey fro	m visual	asse	sanuti	able	nuunai	PIONE	0.																											
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, San	id, Grav	vel, Cob	ble, Bou	lder, Be	edrock;	dip = m	nax pa	ve, disp	= ma	< subpa	<i>i</i> e																												
 Ut value/needed only if the n exceeds 3 							1																																

Appendix E. Stream Assessment Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankful Events

Mary's Creek (EEP #241)												
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo #									
		Visual during										
Late 2005/Early 2006	Late 2005/Early 2006	construction	N/A									
September 18, 2008	September 7, 2008	Wrack lines	N/A									
July 24, 2009	Unsure (June 6, 2009)	Crest Gauge	N/A									
	May 17, 2010 (3.3" rain											
June 15, 2010	event)	Wrack lines/Crest Gauge	N/A									
August 30, 2011	Unknown	Wrack lines	N/A									