

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | | | | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified |
|--|--------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation | |
| TOTALS | | | | | \$93,537,797 | \$4,346,136 | \$2,000,000 | \$43,734,399 | | | | | | | | |
| RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Haw River, Town of | 2013 Haw River Sanitary Sewer Rehab Phase 2 Alley, Williams, Carmen & King, Inc. | Rehabilitation of 3,350 l.f. of 8-inch gravity sewer; rehabilitation of 8 manholes | Alamance | \$277,950 | | \$138,975 | \$138,975 | \$283,510 | 76.85 | 76.85 | 25 | 35 | 5 | 11.85 | |
| 2 | Haw River, Town of | 2013 Haw River Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Alley, Williams, Carmen & King, Inc. | Rehabilitation of 2,045 l.f. of 10-inch gravity sewer; rehabilitation of 2,763 l.f. of 8-inch gravity sewer; rehabilitation of 25 manholes | Alamance | \$450,625 | | \$225,312 | \$225,313 | \$459,625 | 66.85 | 66.85 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 11.85 | |
| 3 | Haw River, Town of | 2013 Haw River Sanitary Sewer Rehab Phase 3 Alley, Williams, Carmen & King, Inc. | Rehabilitation of 1,943 l.f. of 8-inch gravity sewer; replacement of 951 l.f. of 6-inch gravity sewer with 8-inch gravity sewer; rehabilitation of 11 manholes | Alamance | \$276,640 | | \$138,320 | \$138,320 | \$282,190 | 66.85 | 66.85 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 11.85 | |
| 4 | Pender County | Solid Waste Transfer Station Modifications McKim & Creed | Segregation of domestic and process wastewater streams and removal of stormwater infiltration; conversion of process wastewater to a closed loop recycle system | Pender | \$479,706 | | | \$479,706 | \$479,706 | 68.31 | 53.32 | 20 | 25 | 0 | 8.32 | 1. No energy reduction points given because no calculations provided. 2. No points were given for basinwide plans because there was no direct link between the project and how it would fulfill a basinwide strategy or recommendation. 3. No points were given for impaired waters and special waters because there was no direct connection made between the project and how it would benefit impaired waters or special waters. No direct connection made between benefit of project and basinwide strategy recommendation or between benefit of project and impaired waters. |
| 5 | Kinston, City of | Queen Street Sewer Rehabilitation Project | Replacement of 20,064 l.f. of 8-inch, 1,369 l.f. of 10-inch, 371 l.f. of 12-inch, 300 l.f. of 15-inch, 1,320 l.f. of 18-inch, and 2,624 l.f. of 24-inch gravity sewer; replacement of 50 manholes. | Lenoir | \$3,310,000 | | \$1,000,000 | \$2,310,000 | \$3,376,200 | 48.84 | 48.84 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 15.84 | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | | | | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified |
|--|------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation | |
| 6 | Yanceyville, Town of | WWTP Improvements | Replacement of existing sludge lagoon with an aerobic digester and digester storage tank | Caswell | \$1,250,000 | | \$497,393 | \$752,607 | \$1,275,000 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 20.00 | Comment: The authorized representative on the resolution is not who submitted the application |
| | | Alley, Williams, Carmen & King, Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Winston-Salem, City of | South Fork Improvement Program - Elledge WWTP Aeration Systems Upgrade | Replacement of existing aeration equipment | Forsyth | \$1,079,400 | \$1,079,400 | | | \$1,100,988 | 43.04 | 43.75 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 8.75 | |
| | | Black & Veatch International Co. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Biscoe, Town of | Biscoe WWTP Improvement Projects | Construction of new bar screen, flow splitter, aerators, and secondary clarifier; improvements to electrical system; construction of new effluent recirculation pump | Mongtomery | \$1,275,000 | | | \$1,275,000 | \$1,884,500 | 40.67 | 40.67 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 15.67 | |
| | | WK Dickson & Co., Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Brevard, City of | Kings Creek Phase III | Replacement of 10,000 l.f. of gravity sewer; replacement of 46 manholes | Transylvania | \$1,484,150 | | | \$1,484,150 | \$1,513,833 | 42.71 | 40.42 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 10.42 | 1. No points given for SSES because no SSES was provided. 2. No points given for SOC because no SOC was provided. 3. Financial points changed because rates were calculated differently. |
| | | Brown Consultants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Brevard, City of | Neely Road Pump Station. & Force Main Rehabilitation | Expansion of pump station; construction of 3.2 MG flow equalization facility; construction of 12,800 l.f. of 20-inch force main | Transylvania | \$12,597,900 | | | \$12,597,900 | \$12,597,900 | 43.61 | 40.42 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 10.42 | 1. No points given for SOC because no SOC application is pending. 2. Financial points changed because rates were calculated differently. |
| | | CDM Smith | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Comments |
| 11 | Pittsboro, Town of | Sanitary Sewer Infiltration and Inflow Imp. | Evaluation of 32,000 l.f. of 8-, 10-, and 12-inch sewer and rehabilitation of 8,000 l.f. via "find and fix." | Chatham | \$494,500 | | | \$494,500 | \$494,500 | 72.79 | 37.67 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 12.67 | 1. No points were given for basinwide points because no direct link was made between the project and how it will fulfill a basinwide plan or strategy. 2. No points were given for impaired waters because no direct link was made between the project and how the project benefits impaired waters. |
| 12 | Granite Falls, Town of | Replacement of Laurel Street Central Avenue Wastewater Pump Station | Replacement of two 45 year old pump stations | Caldwell | \$610,000 | | | \$610,000 | \$622,200 | 51.90 | 36.89 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 6.89 | 1. No environmental points given because of a lack of direct connection between the project and benefits to impaired waters and lack of an approved Source Water Protection Plan. |
| 13 | Goldsboro, City of | Stoney Creek Sanitary Sewer Outfall Rehabilitation Project | Rehabilitation of 690 l.f. of 24-inch; 2,043 l.f. of 27-inch; 7,730 l.f. of 42-inch; and associated manhole rehabilitation. | Wayne | \$3,521,438 | | | \$3,521,438 | \$3,521,438 | 52.50 | 36.22 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 11.22 | 1. No SSES points given because the SSES did not meet the guidance requirements. 2. No system management points given because the City did not meet the requirements of the asset management plan guidance and does not own and operate the collection systems of the towns whose wastewater it treats. |
| 14 | Belmont, City of | Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Program | Replacement of 5,000 l.f. of 8-inch sewer main; Replacement of 1,700 l.f. of 6-inch gravity sewer with 8-inch gravity sewer; rehabilitation of 3,300 l.f. of gravity sewer. | Gaston | \$2,206,490 | | | \$2,206,490 | \$2,250,620 | 39.20 | 36.20 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 6.20 | 1. No points given for SSES because the plan was outdated (1975). 2. No points given for asset management plan because the City does not have one. |
| 15 | Kinston, City of | KRWRF Biosolids Dryer Project | Installation of biosolids dryer facilities | Lenoir | \$1,600,000 | | | \$1,600,000 | \$1,632,000 | 60.84 | 35.84 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 15.84 | 1. No environmental points given because there was no direct connection made between the project and fulfillment of a basinwide plan recommendation or strategy. |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------|----------|---|---|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | | | | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Johnston County | 2014 Sewer Rehab Project | Rehabilitation of approximately 7,800 l.f. of sewer and 140 v.f. of manholes | Johnston | \$1,200,000 | | | \$1,200,000 | \$1,249,000 | 53.62 | 35.62 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 10.62 | 1. No SSES points given because no SSES description was provided. 2. No environmental points given because there was no SSO mapping or PWS letter for water supply protection plan for special waters. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Charlotte, City of | McAlpine Creek WWTP Combined Heat and Power | Construction of combined Heat and Power generation (1MW and 7,884,000 kWh per year) | Mecklenburg | \$3,266,736 | \$3,266,736.00 | | \$3,332,071 | 55.00 | 32.50 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7.50 | 1. No equipment age points given because 20-plus year-old boilers will be supplemented by the project, not replaced. 2. No operating ratio points given because operating ratio was less than 1. 3. No explanation was provided by applicant for claimed financial points. Points were calculated by staff based upon information in application. | |
| | | CDM Smith | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Granite Falls, Town of | Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Phase 1 | Replacement of existing arc screen and grit air lift pump; construction of new liquid lime feed system and bulk storage tank; construction of new chlorine and dechlor flow pacing system; rehabilitation of the existing aerobic sludge digestion tank; construction of new sludge stabilization tank | Caldwell | \$1,900,000 | | | \$1,938,000 | 46.90 | 31.89 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 6.89 | 1. No environmental points given because of a lack of direct connection between the project and benefits to impaired waters and lack of an approved Source Water Protection Plan. | |
| | | The Wooten Company | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Hoffman, Town of | Town of Hoffman Wastewater Collection System Project Phase I | Installation of 72,000 l.f. of 8-inch gravity sewer, four lift stations, 32,000 l.f. of 8-inch force main, and 9,700 l.f. of 3-inch force main | Richmond | \$500,000 | | | \$7,877,118 | 50.00 | 30.88 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10.88 | 1. No environmental points were given because there was no supporting information supplied for special waters as required by the guidance. 2. No system management points were given because the operating ratio cannot be calculated since there is no operating utility. 3. Financial points changed because the system is a new system with no user rates and ACS data were used. Comment: Application submitted on 9/13 asked for approximately \$7M. Subsequent correspondence has requested CWSRF reduction of amount to \$500k if CDBG application is successful. CDBG application in house for eastern | |
| | | McGill Associates, P.A. | | 231 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | \$2,165 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Raleigh, City of | Crabtree Basin Wastewater System Conveyance Improvements Phase II | Installation of 20,000 l.f. of new 54-inch gravity sewer | Wake | \$22,444,281 | | | \$44,002,215 | 36.85 | 27.64 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 7.64 | 1. No environmental points were given because there was no direct link made between the project and the benefit to the impairment. Comment: The "will proceed if no principal forgiveness" box was not checked. \$17,000,000 of the project has been previously funded through CWSRF. | |
| | | Hazen and Sawyer/McKim & Creed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------|----------|---------|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | | | | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation |
| 21 | Raleigh, City of | Richland Creek Sanitary Sewer System Conveyance Improvements WK Dickson & Co., Inc. | Replacement of 29,183 l.f. of existing sewer line with 8-inch to 36-inch gravity sewer due to upsizing | Wake | \$8,783,055 | | | | \$9,329,646 | 26.85 | 27.64 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 7.64 | Comment: The "will proceed if no princial forgiveness" box was not checked. | | | |
| 22 | Raleigh, City of | Smith Creek Sanitary Sewer System Conveyance Improvements The Wooten Company | Replacement of 43,283 l.f. of existing sewer line with 16-inch to 42-inch gravity sewer due to upsizing | Wake | \$9,468,652 | | | | \$9,658,025 | 26.85 | 27.64 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 7.64 | Comment: The "will proceed if no princial forgiveness" box was not checked. | | | |
| 23 | Granite Falls, Town of | Waste Sludge Storage Tank The Wooten Company | Construction of a 250,000-gallon alum sludge treatment/storage tank at water treatment plant to provide additional sludge storage | Caldwell | \$600,000 | | | | \$612,000 | 46.90 | 26.89 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 6.89 | 1. No age points given since the project does not replace the existing sludge storage. 2. No enviornmental points given because of a lack of direct connection between the project and benefits to impaired waters and lack of an approved Source Water Protection Plan. | | | |
| 24 | Eden, City of | Meadow Green and Covenant Branch Force Main Relief WK Dickson & Co., Inc. | Construction of force main interconnection and pump station improvements, including SCADA-monitored control valves. | Rockingham | \$560,000 | | | | \$570,962 | 84.72 | 26.71 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 11.71 | 1. Points given for expansion because the project will shift flows from force mains and pump stations that are over-capacity to force mains that are under-capacity. 2. No environmental points given because SSOs exist and impairments are not for fecal coliform. 3. No regionalization points given given because there was no evidence that the applicant owned and operated other systems. Comment: The "will proceed if no princial forgiveness" box was not checked. | | | |
| 25 | Cumberland County | Bragg Estates Sewer Extension McGill Associates, P.A. | Construction of 8,200 l.fl of 8-inch gravity sewer; new pump station; and 1,500 l.f. of 4-inch force main to eliminate failing septic systems | Cumberland 173 \$9,827 | \$1,700,000 | | | | \$1,700,000 | 51.73 | 26.58 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6.58 | 1. No environmental points given because there was no direct connection between the project and and how it would benefit impairments and special waters. 2. No regionalization points given because applicant does not own and operate multiple water and sewer systems. 3. No financial points given since the system is a new system. Comment: Application is submitted by Cumberland County though resolution is for Bragg Water and Sewer District. | | | |
| 26 | Duplin County | B.F. Grady Elementary School Sanitary Sewer McDavid Associates | Installation of a new pump station and force main to serve school which has an over-capacity onsite system | Duplin | \$1,725,000 | | | | \$1,757,000 | 77.29 | 26.38 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6.38 | 1. No environmental points given because the system is noncompliant and cannot receive basinwide points and because no documentation was provided for groundwater violations. 2. No points given for system management because the County does not have a water and sewer fund and therefore no operating ratio and because there was no narrative for regionalization. 3. No points given for utility bill compared to MHI because there are no user rates. | | | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------|----------|---------|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | | | | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation |
| 27 | Ayden, Town of | Ayden 2013 Stormwater BMP Improvements | Installation of a dry detention BMP and wetland BMP to treat existing downtown Ayden stormwater flows | Pitt | \$361,000 | | | | \$368,220 | 83.80 | 25.80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5.80 | 1. No points given because no percent reduction or adherence to state BMP requirements. 2. No points given because there was no connection between project and how it fulfills basinwide recommendation and addresses impairment. 3. No points given because no stormwater rate information supplied. | | | |
| | | McDavid Associates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Lake Lure, Town of | Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade | Installation of geo-tubes, new aeration, chemical feed lines, and sludge wasting lines | Rutherford | \$225,050 | | | | \$225,050 | 27.93 | 23.44 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8.44 | 1. No points given for facility age because there was no documentation verifying WWTP age submitted. Comment: The "will proceed if no principal forgiveness" box was not checked. | | | |
| | | Brown Consultants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Stanly County | Airport Road Corridor Sanitary Sewer Improvements | Expansion of pump station; installation of 13,300 l.f. of 6-inch force main | Stanly | \$1,121,043 | | | | \$1,121,043 | 26.70 | 16.73 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11.73 | 1. Points were not given for asset management plan because no plan was included in the application. 2. Points were not given for regionalization because the County does not own and operate other municipal water and sewer infrastructure. | | | |
| | | Chambers Engineering, P.A. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Belmont, City of | Southwest Outfall Improvements | Installation of 2,700 l.f. of 8-inch force main to relieve surcharging and eliminate SSOs | Gaston | \$294,550 | | | | \$300,441 | 33.20 | 16.20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6.20 | 1. Project scored as an expansion because it is a capacity increase since flow from a limited-capacity outfall is moved to an outfall that has capacity. 2. No points given for asset management plan because the City does not have one. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Pittsboro, Town of | Sanitary Sewer Reclaimed Water Bulk Delivery Station | Construction of a bulk reclaimed water station | Chatham | \$227,500 | | | | \$227,500 | 62.79 | 12.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.67 | 1. No points given for project type because the applicant did not supply documentation necessary to be considered green for reclaimed water. 2. No points were given for basinwide points because no direct link was made between the project and how it will fulfill a basinwide plan or strategy. 3. No points were given for impaired waters because no direct link was made between the project and how the project benefits impaired waters. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Lake Lure, Town of | Buffalo Shoals Rd Sewer Line Ext. | Installation of 1,000 l.f. of 4-inch force main and 200 l.f. of 2-inch force main to eliminate existing septic system | Rutherford | \$236,390 | | | | \$236,390 | 12.90 | 8.44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.44 | 1. No points given for project type because the project is a new line to serve existing entities. | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$78,797 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2014 Leachate System Upgrades | | Stanly | | | | | | | | | | | | 1. No points given because project does not match any project type associated with priority system. | | | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|---------|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation | Comments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Cat. I | Cat. II |
| 33 | Albemarle, City of | Hodges, Harbin, Newberry and Tribble | Construction of a new leachate pump station; construction of 20,000 l.f. of force main to the Albemarle WWTP | | \$2,435,450 | | | | \$2,435,450 | 33.20 | 5.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.20 | <p>type associated with priority system.</p> <p>2. No rate information was provided for the solid waste program which is funding the project, therefore financial points are for poverty rate only.</p> <p>Comment: Project provides a new sewer line to serve the landfill which currently trucks leachate to the WWTP.</p> | |
| APPLICATIONS THAT WILL NOT ACCEPT FUNDING WITHOUT PRINCIPAL FORGIVENESS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Taylorsville, Town of | 2014 Collection System Rehabilitation Project West Consultants, P.L.L.C. | Rehabilitation of 16,755l.f. of 8- to 15-inch gravity main, replacement of 1,330 l.f. of VCP; 30 spot repairs; 22,008l.f. of CCTV and cleaning; smoke testing of 68,000 l.f. of gravity pipe | Alexander | \$792,476 | | | | \$808,326 | 47.54 | 44.01 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 14.01 | <p>1. No points were given for regionalization because the applicant must own the sewer lines.</p> | |
| 35 | Bay River Metropolitan Sewer District | Oriental Sewer Collection System Rehabilitation Phase 2 Stroud Engineering, P.A. | Rehabilitation of 5 pump stations; replacement of 460 l.f. of 8-inch gravity manhole rehabilitation manholes. | Pamlico | \$745,675 | | | | \$756,409 | 66.21 | 43.20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 13.20 | <p>1. Since Phase 1 has yet to be constructed, it was impossible to verify that l/i reductions will still exist. Therefore, no points given for SSES.</p> <p>2. No environmental points given because environmental points were claimed based on Phase 1 of project and not Phase 2.</p> <p>3. Np points given for operating ratio because it was incorrectly calculated.</p> | |
| 36 | Kinston, City of | Briery Run Phase IV Sewer Rehabilitation Project | Rehabilitation of 8,453 l.f. of 30-inch gravity sewer and 34 manholes | Lenoir | \$2,100,000 | | | | \$2,142,000 | 46.97 | 40.84 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 15.84 | <p>1. No SSES points given because the documentation to claim points did not fulfill the guidance requirements.</p> | |
| 37 | Taylorsville, Town of | 2014 WWTP Rehabilitation Project West Consultants, P.L.L.C. | Improvements to the clarifier, pump station, aeration, generator, and administrative/ laboratory improvements at the WWTP | Alexander | \$726,400 | | | | \$740,928 | 42.54 | 39.01 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 14.01 | <p>1. No points were given for regionalization because the applicant must own the sewer lines.</p> | |

| North Carolina Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) - April 2014 Applications and Recommendations for Loans - Agenda Items E & F | | | | | TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED: \$50,080,535 | | | | | | | Priority Rating System Points - By Category | | | | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name/ Engineering Firm | Project Description | County / Number of Connections Served / \$ per Connection | CWSRF Funding Request | Green Project Reserve \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Principal Forgiveness \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Base SRF \$ Approved May 12, 2014 | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Cat. I | Cat. II | Cat. III | Cat. IV | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Project Type | Environmental | System Mgmt. | Financial Situation | |
| 38 | Elizabethtown, Town of | Lower Street Pump Station & Force Main Rehabilitation | Upgrade of existing pump station to increase capacity in order to prevent future overflows | Bladen | \$872,100 | | | | \$872,100 | 50.00 | 31.92 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 16.92 | 1. Points given for expansion because the project is intended to alleviate I/I issues. 2. Environmental points not given because no stream classification and index number were provided. 3. Points changed because the poverty rate and MHI were updated to reflect use of ACS data. |
| | | WK Dickson & Co., Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Laurinburg, City of | Leith Creek Outfall Rehabilitation | Rehabilitation of 1,900 l.f. of sewer main and 22 manholes | Scotland | \$338,640 | | | | \$338,640 | 45.55 | 31.76 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 11.76 | 1. Points not given for system age because the sewer is not old enough. 2. Points not given for SSSES because the supplied information did not meet the requirements of the guidance. 3. Points not given for system management because the operating ratio is less than 1. |
| | | WK Dickson & Co., Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |