APPENDIX F STATISTICAL EVALUATION

\$\frac{\frac{5}{5}-125}{0.71}\$ 1 0.23 0 0.56 1 1 1 1 1 18.3 1 20.7 1 10.8 \$\frac{5}{5}-126 0.8 1 0.24 0 0.55 1 0.82 1 19.2 1 6.6 1 12.6 \$\frac{5}{5}-127 0.66 1 0.33 0 0.93 1 0.16 1 9.6 1 7.2 1 16.1 \$\frac{5}{5}-127 0.66 1 0.33 0 0.93 1 0.16 1 9.6 1 7.2 1 16.1 \$\frac{5}{5}-128 0.29 0 0.33 0 0.93 1 0.16 1 6.4 1 4.5 1 5.9 \$\frac{5}{5}-128 0.29 0.33 0 0.38 0 0.61 1 0.21 1 16.8 1 15.6 1 19.2 \$\frac{5}{5}-127 0.60 1 1.7 1 0 1.9 0 1.3 1 0.36 0 18.2 1 3.7 1 5 \$\frac{5}{5}-127 0.60 1 1.9 1		Sb	D_Sb	As	D_As	Ве	D_Be	Cd	D_Cd	Cr	D_Cr	Со	D_Co	Pb	D_Pb
SS-127	SS-125	0.71	1	0.23	0	0.56	1	1	1	18.3	1	20.7	1	10.8	1
SS-128 0.29 0 0.33 0 0.93 1 0.16 1 6.4 1 4.5 1 5.9 SS-129 0.33 0 0.38 0 0.61 1 0.21 1 16.8 1 15.6 1 19.2 FD-17 1.7 0 1.9 0 1.3 1 0.36 0 18.2 1 3.7 1 5 FD-28 1.3 0 5.1 0 1.1 1 0.95 0 18.8 1 1.6 1 7.6 FD-28 1.3 0 1.5 0 1.1 1 0.92 0 12.6 1 13.3 1 5.5 SS-105B 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.66 0 30.1 1 8.9 1 1.5 8 SS-106C 2.5 0 2.8 0 1.4 1 0.66 0 30.1	SS-126	0.8	1	0.24	0	0.55	1	0.82	1	19.2	1	6.6	1	12.6	1
SS-129	SS-127	0.66	1	0.33	0	0.6	1	0.4	1	9.6	1	7.2	1	16.1	1
FD-17	SS-128	0.29	0	0.33	0	0.93	1	0.16	1	6.4	1	4.5	1	5.9	1
FD-22 4.4 0 5.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 0 18 1 1.6 1 7.6 FD-28 1.3 0 1.5 0 1.1 1 0.29 0 12.6 1 13.3 1 5 SS-105B 1.1 0 1.3 0 1.1 1 0.24 0 14.8 1 3.3 1 3.3 SS-105D 2.5 0 2.8 0 1.4 1 0.53 0 12.8 1 7.1 1 4.2 SS-106A 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 1 0.23 0 16.7 1 12.4 1 7.8 SS-106B 2.1 0 2.4 0 1.4 1 0.46 0 20.2 1 8.5 1 5.3 SS-106B 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0	SS-129	0.33	0	0.38	0	0.61	1	0.21	1	16.8	1	15.6	1	19.2	1
FD-28	FD-17	1.7	0	1.9	0	1.3	1	0.36	0	18.2	1	3.7	1	5	1
SS-105B 1.1 0 1.3 0 1.1 1 0.24 0 14.8 1 3.3 1 3.3 SS-105C 3.1 0 6.1 1 2.5 1 0.66 0 30.1 1 8.9 1 15.9 SS-105D 2.5 0 2.8 0 1.4 1 0.53 0 16.7 1 1.4 2.9 SS-106A 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 1 0.23 0 16.7 1 12.4 1 7.8 SS-106C 2.5 0 2.9 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 17.3 1 10.7 1 5.3 SS-106E 2.7 0 3.1 0 1.5 1 0.59 0 14.3	FD-22	4.4	0	5.1	0	1.4	1	0.95	0	18	1	1.6	1	7.6	0
SS-105C 3.1 0 6.1 1 2.5 1 0.66 0 30.1 1 8.9 1 15.9 SS-105D 2.5 0 2.8 0 1.4 1 0.53 0 12.8 1 7.1 1 4.2 SS-106A 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 1 0.23 0 16.7 1 12.4 1 7.8 SS-106B 2.1 0 2.4 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 16.3 1 0.55 1 5.2 SS-106E 2.7 0 3.1 0 1.5 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 0.7 1 1.3 1 0.57 0 13.3 1 2.7 1 11.3 1 0.27	FD-28	1.3	0	1.5	0	1.1	1	0.29	0	12.6	1	13.3	1	5	1
SS-105D 2.5 0 2.8 0 1.4 1 0.53 0 12.8 1 7.1 1 4.2 SS-106A 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 1 0.23 0 16.7 1 12.4 1 7.8 SS-106B 2.1 0 2.4 0 1.4 1 0.46 0 20.2 1 8.5 1 5.3 SS-106C 2.5 0 2.9 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106E 2.7 0 3.1 0 1.5 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.7 1 1.3 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.7 1 1.3 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.7 1 1.3 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.7 1 11.3 1 <t></t>	SS-105B	1.1	0	1.3	0	1.1	1	0.24	0	14.8	1	3.3	1	3.3	1
SS-106A 1.1 0 1.4 1 0.95 1 0.23 0 16.7 1 12.4 1 7.8 SS-106B 2.1 0 2.4 0 1.4 1 0.46 0 20.2 1 8.5 1 5.3 SS-106C 2.5 0 2.9 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 17.3 1 10.7 1 5.1 SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.27 0 13.3 1 4.7 7 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5	SS-105C	3.1	0	6.1	1	2.5	1	0.66	0	30.1	1	8.9	1	15.9	1
SS-106B 2.1 0 2.4 0 1.4 1 0.46 0 20.2 1 8.5 1 5.3 SS-106C 2.5 0 2.9 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 17.3 1 10.7 1 5.1 SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.27 0 13.3 1 2.7 1 11.3 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0	SS-105D	2.5	0	2.8	0	1.4	1	0.53	0	12.8	1	7.1	1	4.2	0
SS-106C 2.5 0 2.9 0 1.4 1 0.54 0 16.3 1 3.5 1 5.2 SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 17.3 1 10.7 1 5.1 SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.2 1 4.7 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0	SS-106A	1.1	0	1.4	1	0.95	1	0.23	0	16.7	1	12.4	1	7.8	1
SS-106D 2.3 0 2.6 0 1.2 1 0.49 0 17.3 1 10.7 1 5.1 SS-106E 2.7 0 3.1 0 1.5 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.2 1 4.7 SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.27 0 13.3 1 2.7 1 11.3 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 1.4 1 7.7 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2	SS-106B	2.1	0	2.4	0	1.4	1	0.46	0	20.2	1	8.5	1	5.3	1
SS-106E 2.7 0 3.1 0 1.5 1 0.59 0 14.3 1 4.2 1 4.7 SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.27 0 13.3 1 2.7 1 11.3 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0	SS-106C	2.5	0	2.9	0	1.4	1	0.54	0	16.3	1		1	5.2	1
SS-107B 1.2 0 1.4 1 1.2 1 0.27 0 13.3 1 2.7 1 11.3 SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1	SS-106D	2.3	0	2.6	0	1.2	1	0.49	0	17.3	1	10.7	1	5.1	1
SS-107C 2.4 0 3.7 1 1.3 1 0.51 0 21 1 8.5 1 5.4 SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 23.6 1	SS-106E	2.7	0	3.1	0	1.5	1	0.59	0	14.3	1	4.2	1	4.7	0
SS-107D 3.1 0 3.5 0 1.8 1 0.65 0 19.5 1 7.3 1 5.2 SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.7 1 9.5 SS-108C 2.8 0 4.6 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-10B 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 <t< th=""><th>SS-107B</th><th>1.2</th><th>0</th><th>1.4</th><th>1</th><th>1.2</th><th>1</th><th>0.27</th><th>0</th><th>13.3</th><th>1</th><th>2.7</th><th>1</th><th>11.3</th><th>1</th></t<>	SS-107B	1.2	0	1.4	1	1.2	1	0.27	0	13.3	1	2.7	1	11.3	1
SS-107E 2.2 0 2.5 0 1.3 1 0.47 0 21.4 1 1.7 1 3.8 SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.7 1 9.5 SS-108C 2.8 0 4.6 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-10BD 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-10BE 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 <t></t>	SS-107C	2.4	0	3.7	1	1.3	1	0.51	0	21	1	8.5	1	5.4	1
SS-108A 1.2 0 1.3 0 0.79 1 0.25 0 17.5 1 11.2 1 6.7 SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.7 1 9.5 SS-108C 2.8 0 4.6 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-10BD 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-10BE 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-10BB 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110B 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0								0.65			1				0
SS-108B 2.2 0 2.6 0 1.5 1 0.48 0 20.2 1 9.7 1 9.5 SS-108C 2.8 0 4.6 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-108E 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-110A 1.6 0 1.9 0 0.81 1 0.35 0 23 1 4.2 1 8 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-11DC 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1<	SS-107E	2.2	0	2.5	0	1.3	1	0.47	0	21.4	1	1.7	1	3.8	0
SS-108C 2.8 0 4.6 1 1.8 1 0.61 0 27.3 1 4.3 1 9.5 SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-108E 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-110A 1.6 0 1.9 0 0.81 1 0.35 0 23 1 4.2 1 8 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 5.3 SS-11D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7<				1.3						_	1				1
SS-108D 2.2 0 2.9 1 1.8 1 0.48 0 23.6 1 7 1 4.1 SS-108E 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-110A 1.6 0 1.9 0 0.81 1 0.35 0 23 1 4.2 1 8 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 6.3 SS-110D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.	SS-108B			2.6				0.48						9.5	1
SS-108E 2.4 0 4 1 1.5 1 0.51 0 17.5 1 2.4 1 7.4 SS-110A 1.6 0 1.9 0 0.81 1 0.35 0 23 1 4.2 1 8 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 6.3 SS-11DD 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 <t< th=""><th>SS-108C</th><th></th><th></th><th>4.6</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.61</th><th>0</th><th>27.3</th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th>9.5</th><th>1</th></t<>	SS-108C			4.6				0.61	0	27.3	1			9.5	1
SS-110A 1.6 0 1.9 0 0.81 1 0.35 0 23 1 4.2 1 8 SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 6.3 SS-110D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5												-			1
SS-110B 3 0 3.5 0 1.3 1 0.65 0 18.4 1 0.51 1 5.2 SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 6.3 SS-110D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 6.4 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0															1
SS-110C 3 0 3.4 0 1.6 1 0.64 0 23.1 1 10.9 1 6.3 SS-110D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0										_				_	1
SS-110D 3.9 0 4.4 0 1.5 1 0.83 0 24.7 1 5.8 1 6.7 SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 6.4 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0		_							-	_					0
SS-111A 0.6 0 0.69 0 0.58 1 0.26 1 19.9 1 5.3 1 11.8 SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 6.4 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0															1
SS-111B 1.3 0 1.5 1 0.89 1 0.28 0 15.3 1 2.5 1 9.1 SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 6.4 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.26 0													_		0
SS-111C 1.2 0 1.8 1 0.86 1 0.26 0 16.8 1 2.5 1 6.4 SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.29 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>															
SS-111D 2.9 0 4.4 1 1.2 1 0.62 0 13.6 1 5.9 1 7.3 SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.051 0															1
SS-111E 2.3 0 4 1 1.2 1 0.48 0 16.4 1 13.5 1 4 SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0												_		_	1
SS-112A 1.1 0 1.7 1 0.7 1 0.23 0 15.2 1 3.3 1 3.7 SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79															1
SS-112B 1.8 0 2.1 0 0.74 1 0.39 0 15.7 1 11.4 1 6.3 SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2															1
SS-112C 1.2 0 2 1 1.4 1 0.26 0 16.1 1 7.7 1 5.1 SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2															1
SS-112D 1 0 1.5 1 0.88 1 0.22 0 17.1 1 20.2 1 6.2 SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2															1
SS-112E 1.4 0 1.9 1 0.87 1 0.29 0 18.3 1 11.6 1 5.5 SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2															1
SS-124 0.25 1 0.35 1 0.54 1 0.051 0 15.4 1 13.3 1 6.7 SS-130 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2												_			1
SS-130 0.39 1 0.26 0 0.67 1 0.79 1 14.8 1 20.6 1 6.2															1
															1
SS-134															
SS-123 0.32 1 0.3 0 0.87 1 0.2 1 16 1 26.4 1 8.3					_										1
SS-1 0.31 1 2 1 0.92 1 0.36 1 16 1 2.6 1 7.7								_		_					1

	Mn	D_Mn	Hg	D_Hg	Ni	D_Ni	Se	D_Se	Ag	D_Ag	TI	D_TI	Zn	D_Zn
SS-125	564	1	0.0032	1	15.8	1	0.6	1	0.082	1	0.8	1	50	1
SS-126	384	1	0.015	1	10.2	1	0.36	1	0.023	0	0.4	1	47.6	1
SS-127	232	1	0.025	1	5.7	1	0.46	1	0.031	0	0.58	1	21.9	1
SS-128	2160	1	0.025	1	8.1	1	0.41	1	0.031	0	0.27	0	19.1	1
SS-129	307	1	0.028	1	7.4	1	0.74	1	0.036	0	0.31	0	23.8	1
FD-17	370	1	0.0061	1	11.8	1	2.3	0	0.18	0	1.5	0	72.4	1
FD-22	249	1	0.001	1	14.6	1	6	0	0.47	0	4.1	0	90.1	1
FD-28	681	1	0.000086	0	8.7	1	1.8	0	0.14	0	1.5	1	82.5	1
SS-105B	266	1	0.01	1	12.1	1	1.5	0	0.12	0	1	0	52.7	1
SS-105C	3130	1	0.0016	1	24.6	1	4.2	0	0.33	0	2.9	0	128	1
SS-105D	2030	1	0.000087	0	8.7	1	3.3	0	0.26	0	2.4	1	45.5	1
SS-106A	306	1	0.022	1	9.1	1	1.5	0	0.14	1	1	0	47.8	1
SS-106B	546	1	0.0074	1	10.9	1	2.9	0	0.23	0	2.4	1	85.8	1
SS-106C	354	1	0.00073	1	9.8	1	3.4	0	0.27	0	2.3	0	75.4	1
SS-106D	813	1	0.000092	0	9.1	1	3.1	0	0.25	0	2.1	0	46	1
SS-106E	426	1	0.00014	1	9.5	1	3.7	0	0.29	0	2.5	0	66.4	1
SS-107B	2510	1	0.01	1	10.4	1	1.7	0	0.13	0	2.1	1	59.2	1
SS-107C	284	1	0.00018	1	20.6	1	3.3	0	0.26	0	2.2	0	81.7	1
SS-107D	257	1	0.000068	0	11.8	1	4.1	0	0.33	0	2.8	0	77.1	1
SS-107E	294	1	0.000056	0	15.5	1	3	0	0.24	0	2.1	0	74.5	1
SS-108A	116	1	0.051	1	8.5	1	1.6	0	0.12	0	1.1	0	59.2	1
SS-108B	393	1	0.0075	1	17.6	1	3	0	0.24	0	2.1	0	115	1
SS-108C	664	1	0.0046	1	17.5	1	3.9	0	0.31	0	2.6	0	93.5	1
SS-108D	509	1	0.000087	0	16	1	3.5	1	0.24	0			88	1
SS-108E	502	1	0.00011	0	15.5	1	3.3	0	0.26	0	2.2	0	61.4	1
SS-110A	228	1	0.041	1	10.6	1	2.2	0	0.18	0	1.5	0	49.1	1
SS-110B	221	1	0.0031	1	14.6	1	4.1	0	0.33	0	2.8	0	84.1	1
SS-110C	599	1	0.00047	1	13.8	1	4.1	0	0.32	0	2.8	0	90	1
SS-110D	380	1	0.00037	1	11.1	1	5.3	0	0.42	0	3.6	0	67.5	1
SS-111A	134	1	0.069	1	6.1	1	0.81	0	0.064	0	0.56	0	25.1	1
SS-111B	586		0.0031	1	11.7	1	1.7	0	0.14	0	1.2	0	77	1
SS-111C	269					1	1.7	0	0.13	0	1.1	0	78.9	1
SS-111D	552			0	8.5	1	3.9	0		0	2.7	0	56.7	1
SS-111E	304			1	12.3	1	3.1	0	0.24	0	2.4	1	88.8	1
SS-112A	150						1.5	0		0	1	0	53.2	1
SS-112B	230					1	2.5	0			2		73.6	1
SS-112C	368		0.00063		10.1	1	1.7	0		0	1.1	0	61.5	1
SS-112D	240		0.000074				1.4			0	0.93		63.1	1
SS-112E	209						1.8				1.3	0	60.1	1
SS-124	394							0		0	0.22	0	45.8	
SS-130	244							1			0.21	0	51.5	
SS-133	104				4.1		0.29	0	0.023		0.2	0	16.8	
SS-134	210		0.0041	1	10.5	1	2.6	1	0.11	0	0.97	0	49.5	1
SS-123	458						0.87	1	0.028	0	0.24	0	19.3	1
SS-1	560	1	0.0025	0	14	1	0.1	0	0.84	1	0.094	0	59	1

Summary Statistics for Raw Data Sets with NDs using Detected Data Only

:			ndw Statistics using Detected Observations	א מאווונע הכנכ	רובת סמפר				í	
≽	Num Ds NumNDs % NDs		Minimum Maximum Mean	laximum M		Median SD		MAD/0.675 Skewness	cewness CV	
	37	82.22%	0.25	1.2	0.58	0.525	0.325	0.311	0.948	0.561
	28	62.22%	0.35	6.1	2.662	2	1.534	0.89	0.726	0.576
	0	0.00%	0.33	2.5	1.115	1.1	0.434	0.445	0.662	0.389
	35	77.78%	0.064	T	0.426	0.31	0.325	0.193	0.83	0.762
	0	0.00%	6.4	30.1	17.46	17.1	4.414	3.113	0.321	0.253
	0	0.00%	0.51	26.4	8.091	7	5.83	5.486	1.244	0.721
	7	15.56%	3.3	19.2	7.834	9.65	3.618	2.298	1.446	0.462
	0	0.00%	104	3130	550.8	368	9.989	204.6	2.886	1.156
	12	26.67%	1.40E-04	0.069	0.0119	0.0051	0.016	0.00667	2.106	1.344
	0	0.00%	4.1	24.6	11.57	10.6	3.913	2.817	1.041	0.338
	36	80.00%	0.36	3.5	1.13	0.63	1.123	0.326	1.703	0.994
	42	93.33%	0.082	0.84	0.354	0.14	0.422	0.086	1.695	1.192
	35	79.55%	0.4	2.4	1.62	7	0.826	0.593	-0.543	0.51
	0	0.00%	16.8	128	63	61.4	24.52	22.83	0.131	0.389

^{*} Thallium outlier of 17.5 removed.

ntimony			
•			
	Genera	Il Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data 8	3
Number of Distinct Detected Data	8	Number of Non-Detect Data 3	
		Percent Non-Detects 8	52.22%
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected	1 0.25	Minimum Detected -	1.386
Maximum Detected	I 1.2	Maximum Detected 0	0.182
Mean of Detected	1 0.58	Mean of Detected -	0.68
SD of Detected	0.325	SD of Detected 0).555
Minimum Non-Detect	t 0.22	Minimum Non-Detect -	
Maximum Non-Detect	t 4.4	Maximum Non-Detect 1	1.482
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
Data with Multiple Detection Limits ote: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	anded	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL 4	15
or all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),	enueu	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL 4 Number treated as Detected with Single DL 0	
Observations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage 1	
it is recommended to have 10-15 or m		observations for accurate and meaningful results. Ind Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only		ind Statistics	
rioma Biombado. Tool mai Bolooloa Talaco om	y	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	/
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	<u>'</u>	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0	
<u> </u>	0.891		0.932
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.891	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0	0.932
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	0.891	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	0.932
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.891	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0	0.932
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method	0.891	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution	D.932 D.818
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	0.891	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method	0.932
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	0.891 0.818 0.818 0.899 0.489	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3	0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C SD in Original Scale C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C SD in Original Scale C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387 0.184 -1.032
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C 95% UPL (t) C 90% Percentile (z) C 99% Percentile (z) C 99% Percentile (z) C SD in Original Scale C Mean in Log Scale C SD in Log Scale C SD in Log Scale C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387 0.184 1.032 0.396
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C SD in Original Scale C Mean in Log Scale - SD in Log Scale C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387 0.184 1.032 0.688 0.698
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C SD in Original Scale C Mean in Log Scale - SD in Log Scale C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387 0.184 -1.032 0.396 0.688 0.698
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.891 0.818 0.899 0.489 1.712 1.73 1.526 1.704 2.037	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic C 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value C Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) - SD (Log Scale) C 95% UTL 90% Coverage 2 95% UPL (t) 2 90% Percentile (z) 1 95% Percentile (z) 2 99% Percentile (z) 3 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale C SD in Original Scale C Mean in Log Scale - SD in Log Scale C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C 95% UTL 90% Coverage C	0.932 0.818 0.294 0.687 2.336 2.395 1.798 2.308 3.687 0.387 0.184 1.032 0.688 0.698 0.592 0.683

Nonparametric Statistics Nonparametric Statistics Nonparametric Statistics	Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	<i>'</i>	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
A-D Test Statistic 0.367	k star (bias corrected)	2.498	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
A-D Test Statistic 5% A-D Critical Value 0.719 Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method K-S Test Statistic 0.211 Mean 0.44 5% K-S Critical Value 0.295 Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level SE of Mean 0.07 95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage 0.88 Assuming Gamma Distribution Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data Mean 0.669 95% KM Utl with 90% Percentile (z) 0.78 Median 0.73 95% Percentile (z) 0.87 SD 0.189 99% Percentile (z) 1.05 k star 10.09 Theta star 0.0663 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UTL 1.05 95% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 90% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05 1.05	Theta Star	0.232		
Second Color	nu star	39.97		
K-S Test Statistic 0.211 Mean 0.44	A-D Test Statistic	0.367	Nonparametric Statistics	
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level SE of Mean 0.073	5% A-D Critical Value	0.719	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level SE of Mean 0.07. 95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage 0.88 Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM Chebyshev UPL 1.59. Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data 95% KM UPL (t) 0.89 Median 0.73 95% Percentile (z) 0.78. SD 0.189 99% Percentile (z) 1.05. k star 10.09 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.05. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04. 90% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05.	K-S Test Statistic	0.211	Mean	0.449
95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage 0.88 Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM Chebyshev UPL 1.59 1.59	5% K-S Critical Value	0.295	SD	0.259
Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM Chebyshev UPL 1.59. Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data 95% KM UPL (t) 0.89	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel	SE of Mean	0.073
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data 95% KM UPL (t) 0.89			95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	0.88
Mean 0.669 90% Percentile (z) 0.78 Median 0.73 95% Percentile (z) 0.87 SD 0.189 99% Percentile (z) 1.05 k star 10.09 10.06 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data 10.06 Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.05 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 95% Percentile 1.049 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05	Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	1.592
Median 0.73 95% Percentile (z) 0.876 SD 0.189 99% Percentile (z) 1.056 k star 10.09 1.056 Theta star 0.0663 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.056 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.066 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.049 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.056 95% Percentile 1.049 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.056	Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data		95% KM UPL (t)	0.89
SD 0.189 99% Percentile (z) 1.05	Mean	0.669	90% Percentile (z)	0.782
k star 10.09 Theta star 0.0663 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.05 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05 95% Percentile 1.049 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05	Median	0.73	95% Percentile (z)	0.876
Theta star 0.0663 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.05. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04. 90% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05.	SD	0.189	99% Percentile (z)	1.053
Nu star 907.9 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.05 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05 95% Percentile 1.049	k star	10.09		
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 31.63 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 1.06 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05 95% Percentile 1.049	Theta star	0.0663	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.04 90% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05 95% Percentile 1.049	Nu star	907.9	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	1.055
90% Percentile 0.949 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 1.05	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	31.63	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	1.068
95% Percentile 1.049			95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1.045
	90% Percentile	0.949	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1.057
99% Percentile 1.253	95% Percentile	1.049		
55.57.57.57.57	99% Percentile	1.253		

Arsenic			
	General S	Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data	
Number of Distinct Detected Data	13	Number of Non-Detect Data	
		Percent Non-Detects	62.22%
	T		
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected		Minimum Detected	
Maximum Detected		Maximum Detected	
Mean of Detected		Mean of Detected	
SD of Detected		SD of Detected	
Minimum Non-Detect		Minimum Non-Detect	
Maximum Non-Detect	5.1	Maximum Non-Detect	1.629
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
Note: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ndod	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	11
for all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),	nueu	Number treated as Noti-Detect with Single DL	
Observations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	
buservations - Largest ND are freated as NDs		Single DE Non-Detect Percentage	37.7070
	Background	l Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only		Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On	ly
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	-
5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
DL/2 Substitution Method		DL/2 Substitution Method	
Mean	1.595	Mean (Log Scale)	0.0145
SD	1.364	SD (Log Scale)	1.103
95% UTL 90% Coverage	3.862	95% UTL 90% Coverage	6.352
95% UPL (t)	3.912	95% UPL (t)	6.612
90% Percentile (z)	3.343	90% Percentile (z)	4.172
95% Percentile (z)	3.838	95% Percentile (z)	6.23
99% Percentile (z)	4.768	99% Percentile (z)	13.21
Maximum Likelihood Estimate(MLE) Method	N/A	Log ROS Method	
		Mean in Original Scale	1.429
		SD in Original Scale	1.352
		Mean in Log Scale	0.0318
		SD in Log Scale	0.769
		95% UTL 90% Coverage	3.705
		95% UPL (t)	3.81
			0.705
		90% Percentile (z)	2.765
		90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - ProU	CL Ou
Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	y	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected)	2.425	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star	1.098		
nu star	82.46		
A-D Test Statistic	0.556	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.746	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statistic	0.192	Mean	1.414
5% K-S Critical Value	0.211	SD	1.425
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	evel	SE of Mean	0.234
_		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	3.783
Assuming Gamma Distribution	1	95% KM Chebyshev UPL	7.693
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data	ı	95% KM UPL (t)	3.834
Mean	2.795	90% Percentile (z)	3.24
Median	2.572	95% Percentile (z)	3.757
SD	1.151	99% Percentile (z)	4.728
k star	4.89		
Theta star	0.572	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu star	440.1	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	5.185
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	18	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	5.298
		95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	5.118
90% Percentile	4.488	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	5.225
95% Percentile	5.145		
000/ D ::!	6.537		
99% Percentile			

		Willis Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - F100	1
Beryllium			
		10	
		al Statistics	
Total Number of Observations	45	Number of Distinct Observations	28
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	0.33	Minimum	-1.109
Maximum	2.5	Maximum	0.916
Second Largest	1.8	Second Largest	0.588
First Quartile	0.81	First Quartile	-0.211
Median	1.1	Median	0.0953
Third Quartile	1.4	Third Quartile	0.336
Mean	1.115	Mean	0.0308
SD	0.434	SD	0.411
Coefficient of Variation	0.389		
Skewness	0.662		
	<u>l</u>		
	Backgrou	and Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test		Lognormal Distribution Test	
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.957	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.97
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945	Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	1 837	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	2 042
95% UPL (t)		95% UPL (t)	
90% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z)	
99% Percentile (z)		99% Percentile (z)	
30701 0.00011110 (2)		35751 515511110 (2)	
Gamma Distribution Test		Data Distribution Test	
k star	6.154	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star			
MLE of Mean			
MLE of Standard Deviation	0.449		
nu star	553.8		
A-D Test Statistic	0.455	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.752	90% Percentile	1.56
K-S Test Statistic	0.115	95% Percentile	1.8
5% K-S Critical Value	0.132	99% Percentile	2.192
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel		
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% UTL with 90% Coverage	
90% Percentile		95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% Percentile		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile	2.416	95% UPL	
		95% Chebyshev UPL	3.028
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL	1.958	Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	2.285
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL	1.981		
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1.934		
95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1.956		
	1		

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - ProU	OL Output
Cadmium			
	Genera	I Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data	10
Number of Distinct Detected Data	10	Number of Non-Detect Data	35
		Percent Non-Detects	77.78%
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected	0.064	Minimum Detected	-2.749
Maximum Detected	1	Maximum Detected	0
Mean of Detected	0.426	Mean of Detected	-1.147
SD of Detected	0.325	SD of Detected	0.855
Minimum Non-Detect	0.051	Minimum Non-Detect	-2.976
Maximum Non-Detect	0.95	Maximum Non-Detect	-0.0513
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
Note: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ended	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	44
For all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),		Number treated as Detected with Single DL	1
Observations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	97.78%
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	-	nd Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On	ly
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.868	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.951
5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.842	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.842
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
DL/2 Substitution Method		DL/2 Substitution Method	
Mean	0.265	Mean (Log Scale)	-1.528
SD	0.191	SD (Log Scale)	0.65
95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.583	95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.639
95% UPL (t)	0.59	95% UPL (t)	0.654
90% Percentile (z)	0.51	90% Percentile (z)	0.499
95% Percentile (z)	0.58	95% Percentile (z)	0.632
99% Percentile (z)	0.71	99% Percentile (z)	0.984
Maximum Likelihood Estimate(MLE) Method	N/A	Log ROS Method	
		Mean in Original Scale	
		SD in Original Scale	
		Mean in Log Scale	
		SD in Log Scale	
		95% UTL 90% Coverage	
		95% UPL (t)	
		90% Percentile (z)	
		95% Percentile (z)	
		99% Percentile (z)	0.642

Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values On	ly	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected	1.359	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Sta	r 0.314		
nu sta	r 27.18		
A-D Test Statisti	0.328	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	e 0.737	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statistic	0.179	Mean	0.217
5% K-S Critical Value	e 0.27	SD	0.2
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance L	evel	SE of Mean	0.040
		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	0.549
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	1.098
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data	а	95% KM UPL (t)	0.557
Mear	n 0.437	90% Percentile (z)	0.473
Media	0.452	95% Percentile (z)	0.546
SI	0.151	99% Percentile (z)	0.682
k sta	r 6.941		
Theta sta	r 0.063	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu sta	r 624.7	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	0.744
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 23.53	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	0.759
		95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	0.736
90% Percentile	0.658	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	0.75
95% Percentile	0.741		
99% Percentile	e 0.912		

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - Prou	<u></u>
Chromium			
	Genera	al Statistics	
Total Number of Observations	45	Number of Distinct Observations	38
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	6.4	Minimum	1.856
Maximum	30.1	Maximum	3.405
Second Largest	27.3	Second Largest	3.307
First Quartile	15.3	First Quartile	2.728
Median	17.1	Median	2.839
Third Quartile	19.5	Third Quartile	2.97
Mean	17.46	Mean	2.826
SD	4.414	SD	0.276
Coefficient of Variation	0.253		
Skewness	0.321		
	Backgrou	and Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test		Lognormal Distribution Test	
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.97	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.93
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945	Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	24.8	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	26.68
95% UPL (t)	24.96	95% UPL (t)	26.95
90% Percentile (z)	23.12	90% Percentile (z)	24.02
95% Percentile (z)	24.72	95% Percentile (z)	26.55
99% Percentile (z)	27.73	99% Percentile (z)	32.04
Gamma Distribution Test		Data Distribution Test	
k star	13.79	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star	1.266		
MLE of Mean	17.46		
MLE of Standard Deviation	4.701		
nu star	1241		
A-D Test Statistic	0.749	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value		90% Percentile	23.06
K-S Test Statistic	0.12	95% Percentile	24.48
5% K-S Critical Value	0.132	99% Percentile	28.87
Data follow Appx. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance	Level		
-			
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% UTL with 90% Coverage	24.7
90% Percentile	23.69	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% Percentile		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile		95% UPL	
		95% Chebyshev UPL	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL	25.96	Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL			
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage			
95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage			
5575 THE Applox. Gaining OTE Will 5070 COVERAGE	_5.54		

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Copper			
	General	Statistics	
Total Number of Observations		Number of Distinct Observations	3ጸ
1000111001010000110000		Number of Siemer Open Landing	
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	0.51	Minimum	-0.673
Maximum	26.4	Maximum	3.273
Second Largest	20.7	Second Largest	3.03
First Quartile	3.5	First Quartile	1.253
Median		Median	
Third Quartile		Third Quartile	
Mean		Mean	
	5.83	SD	0.797
Coefficient of Variation			-
Skewness	1.244		
	Backgroun	d Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test	- 000	Lognormal Distribution Test	- 074
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945	Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945
Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Named Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
Assuming Normal Distribution	47 70	Assuming Lognormal Distribution	22.22
95% UTL with 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)		95% UTL with 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	
95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)		95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	
90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	
33 /0 Felletiule (2)	21.00	33 /0 F 61 C61 tule (2)	39.4∠
Gamma Distribution Test		Data Distribution Test	
k star	1 886	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel
Theta Star		Data appour darring processes at 575 Significance at	VO I
MLE of Mean			
MLE of Standard Deviation			
nu star			
A-D Test Statistic	0.218	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value		90% Percentile	14.76
K-S Test Statistic		95% Percentile	
5% K-S Critical Value	0.133	99% Percentile	23.89
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel		
_			
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% UTL with 90% Coverage	20.6
90% Percentile	15.96	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	20.6
95% Percentile	19.56	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile	27.56	95% UPL	
		95% Chebyshev UPL	33.78
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL		Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	22.75
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL	20.4		
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	19.4		
95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	10 08		

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - ProU	
Lead			
	General	Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data	38
Number of Distinct Detected Data	32	Number of Non-Detect Data	7
		Percent Non-Detects	15.56%
		-	
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected	3.3	Minimum Detected	1.194
Maximum Detected		Maximum Detected	
Mean of Detected		Mean of Detected	
SD of Detected		SD of Detected	
Minimum Non-Detect		Minimum Non-Detect	
Maximum Non-Detect	7.6	Maximum Non-Detect	2.028
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
Note: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ended	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	
For all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),		Number treated as Detected with Single DL	
Observations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	66.67%
	B	10	
No. of Park Co. To a St. Date of 1911 and 1911 a	-	nd Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only		Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On	-
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	
5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.938	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.938
Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
DL/2 Substitution Method	1	DL/2 Substitution Method	
	7.031	Mean (Log Scale)	1 012
	3.828	SD (Log Scale)	
95% UTL 90% Coverage		95% UTL 90% Coverage	
95% UPL (t)		95% UPL (t)	
90% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z)	
99% Percentile (z)		99% Percentile (z)	
55% reiceitüle (2)	13.34	33 % refterfule (2)	∠ 1. † /
Maximum Likelihood Estimate(MLE) Method		Log ROS Method	
	5.001	Mean in Original Scale	7 252
	5.83	SD in Original Scale	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage		95% UTL with 90% Coverage	
55% STE Will 55% GOVERAGE	50	95% BCA UTL with 90% Coverage	
		95% Bootstrap (%) UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% UPL (t)	14.9	95% UPL (t)	
90% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z)	
99% Percentile (z)		99% Percentile (z)	
5575 T 5166114116 (2)		33 % 1 376611tile (2)	

R star (bias corrected) 5.383 Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level	Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	'	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
A-D Test Statistic 0.728	k star (bias corrected)	5.383	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	evel
A-D Test Statistic 0.728	Theta Star	1.455		
Second S	nu star	409.1		
S% A-D Critical Value 0.75	A-D Test Statistic	0.728	Nonparametric Statistics	
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level	5% A-D Critical Value	0.75	·	
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level SE of Mean 0.53 Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage 13. Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data 95% KM UPL (t) 13. Mean 7.353 90% Percentile (z) 11. Median 6.4 95% Percentile (z) 13. SD 3.584 99% Percentile (z) 15. K star 4.745 4.745 Theta star 1.55 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 427 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13. 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13. 95% Percentile 13.64 13.64	K-S Test Statistic	0.143	Mean	7.274
Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage 13.	5% K-S Critical Value	0.143	SD	3.552
Assuming Gamma Distribution 95% KM Chebyshev UPL 22.15	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel	SE of Mean	0.539
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data 95% KM UPL (t) 13.3			95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	13.18
Mean 7.353 90% Percentile (z) 11.3 Median 6.4 95% Percentile (z) 13. SD 3.584 99% Percentile (z) 15.3 k star 4.745 4.745 Theta star 1.55 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 427 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 95% Percentile 13.64 13.64	Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	22.93
Median 6.4 95% Percentile (z) 13. SD 3.584 99% Percentile (z) 15.5 k star 4.745 4.745 Theta star 1.55 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 427 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 95% Percentile 13.64 13.64	Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data		95% KM UPL (t)	13.3
SD 3.584 99% Percentile (z) 15.1	Mean	7.353	90% Percentile (z)	11.83
K star 4.745 Theta star 1.55 Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	Median	6.4	95% Percentile (z)	13.12
Theta star 1.55 Qamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data Nu star 427 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13. 90% Percentile 11.87 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.	SD	3.584	99% Percentile (z)	15.54
Nu star 427 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13. 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13. 90% Percentile 11.87 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13. 95% Percentile 13.64	k star	4.745		
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k) 17.6 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL 13.4 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.4 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.4	Theta star	1.55	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 90% Percentile 11.87 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.9 95% Percentile 13.64	Nu star	427	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	13.7
90% Percentile 11.87 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 13.04 95% Percentile 13.64	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	17.6	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	13.8
95% Percentile 13.64			95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	13.5
	90% Percentile	11.87	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	13.6
99% Percentile 17.38	95% Percentile	13.64		
	99% Percentile	17.38		
	DL/2 is not a recommended method.			

		Ivillis Gap Noad Site - Background Soil Evaluation - P100	
Manganese			
	Genera	I Statistics	
Total Number of Observations	45	Number of Distinct Observations	45
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	104	Minimum	4.644
Maximum	3130	Maximum	
Second Largest	2510	Second Largest	7.828
First Quartile		First Quartile	
Median	368	Median	
Third Quartile		Third Quartile	
	550.8	Mean	
	636.6		0.743
Coefficient of Variation			
Skewness			
Orewiess	2.000		
	Backgrou	nd Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test	Duongrou	Lognormal Distribution Test	
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.577	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0 91
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	
Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level	0.343	Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	0.343
Data not Normal at 3 % Significance Level		Data not cognomial at 5 % Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	1609	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	1343
95% UPL (t)	1632	95% UPL (t)	1380
90% Percentile (z)	1367	90% Percentile (z)	1012
95% Percentile (z)	1598	95% Percentile (z)	1326
99% Percentile (z)	2032	99% Percentile (z)	2200
	1		
Gamma Distribution Test	-	Data Distribution Test	
k star	1.508	Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)	
Theta Star	365.2		
MLE of Mean	550.8		
MLE of Standard Deviation	448.5		
nu star	135.7		
A-D Test Statistic	3.019	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.766	90% Percentile	760.2
K-S Test Statistic	0.199	95% Percentile	2134
5% K-S Critical Value	0.134	99% Percentile	2857
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Levi	el		
Assuming Gamma Distribution	Tare	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	
90% Percentile	-	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% Percentile		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile	2078	95% UPL	
		95% Chebyshev UPL	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL		Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	1014
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL			
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1381		
95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	1364		

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - ProU	or oatput
Mercury			
•			
	General S	Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data	33
Number of Distinct Detected Data	30	Number of Non-Detect Data	12
		Percent Non-Detects	26.67%
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected	0.00014	Minimum Detected	-8.874
Maximum Detected	0.069	Maximum Detected	-2.674
Mean of Detected		Mean of Detected	
SD of Detected		SD of Detected	1.656
Minimum Non-Detect	0.000056	Minimum Non-Detect	-9.79
Maximum Non-Detect	0.0025	Maximum Non-Detect	-5.991
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
Note: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ended	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	
For all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),		Number treated as Detected with Single DL	
Observations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	48.89%
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	Background	d Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On	lv
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	
5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	
Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level	0.501	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	0.001
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
DL/2 Substitution Method		DL/2 Substitution Method	
Mean	0.0088	Mean (Log Scale)	-6.592
SD	0.0147	SD (Log Scale)	2.464
95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.0332	95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.0825
95% UPL (t)	0.0337	95% UPL (t)	0.0902
90% Percentile (z)	0.0276	90% Percentile (z)	0.0323
95% Percentile (z)	0.0329	95% Percentile (z)	0.079
99% Percentile (z)	0.0429	99% Percentile (z)	0.423
Maximum Likelihood Estimate(MLE) Method		Log ROS Method	
Mean	0.0006786	Mean in Original Scale	0.00879
SD	0.0226	SD in Original Scale	0.0147
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	0.0383	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	0.0601
		95% BCA UTL with 90% Coverage	
		95% Bootstrap (%) UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% UPL (t)	0.0391	95% UPL (t)	
90% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z)	
99% Percentile (z)	0.0533	99% Percentile (z)	0.25

		Mills Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - ProU	CL Outp
Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values On	ly	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected	0.581	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Lo	evel
Theta Sta	r 0.0206		
nu sta	r 38.33		
A-D Test Statisti	c 0.303	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	e 0.8	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statisti	c 0.0863	Mean	0.0088
5% K-S Critical Value	e 0.161	SD	0.0145
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance L	.evel	SE of Mean	0.0022
_		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	0.0329
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	0.0727
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data	а	95% KM UPL (t)	0.0334
•	n 0.0088	90% Percentile (z)	0.0274
Media	n 0.0031	95% Percentile (z)	
SI	0.0147	99% Percentile (z)	
k sta	r 0.134	()	
Theta sta	r 0.0655	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu sta	r 12.09	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	0.0377
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k	1.508	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	
	,	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	
90% Percentil	e 0.0256	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	
		22.2 pp. 3 aaa 2.2 30% 30% daga	0.0
95% Percentili	0.0.0.		
95% Percentile 99% Percentile	0 12		

		Willis Gap Road Site - Dackground Soli Evaluation - P100	OL Output
Nickel			
		2. 4. 4.	
	General		
Total Number of Observations	45	Number of Distinct Observations	36
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	4.1	Minimum	1.411
Maximum	24.6	Maximum	3.203
Second Largest	20.6	Second Largest	3.025
First Quartile	9.1	First Quartile	2.208
Median	10.6	Median	2.361
Third Quartile	14	Third Quartile	2.639
Mean	11.57	Mean	2.394
SD	3.913	SD	0.336
Coefficient of Variation	0.338		
Skewness	1.041		
	Background		
Normal Distribution Test		Lognormal Distribution Test	
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945	Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945
Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	18 07	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	19 14
95% UPL (t)		95% UPL (t)	
90% Percentile (z)		90% Percentile (z)	
95% Percentile (z)		95% Percentile (z)	
99% Percentile (z)		99% Percentile (z)	
		,	
Gamma Distribution Test		Data Distribution Test	
k star	8.808	Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	vel
Theta Star	1.313		
MLE of Mean	11.57		
MLE of Standard Deviation	3.897		
nu star	792.8		
A-D Test Statistic	0.416	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.749	90% Percentile	15.92
K-S Test Statistic	0.0947	95% Percentile	17.58
5% K-S Critical Value	0.132	99% Percentile	22.84
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Le	evel		
Assuming Gamma Distribution	10	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	
90% Percentile		95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% Percentile		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile	22.5	95% UPL	
<u> </u>	10 = 1	95% Chebyshev UPL	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL		Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	21.35
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL			
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage			
OFO/ 104/ A O UTI	18 67		

elenium		
	General	Statistics
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data 9
Number of Distinct Detected Data	9	Number of Non-Detect Data 36
		Percent Non-Detects 80.0
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics
Minimum Detected		Minimum Detected -1.02
Maximum Detected		Maximum Detected 1.25
Mean of Detected		Mean of Detected -0.21
SD of Detected		SD of Detected 0.79
Minimum Non-Detect		Minimum Non-Detect -2.30
Maximum Non-Detect	: 6	Maximum Non-Detect 1.79
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario
te: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ended	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL 45
r all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),		Number treated as Detected with Single DL 0
servations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage 100.
Worning: Thor	o oro only 0	Detected Values in this data
-	•	ootstrap may be performed on this data set
		reliable enough to draw conclusions
		observations for accurate and meaningful results.
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	Backgroui	nd Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	Backgroui	nd Statistics
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	Background 0.698	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	Background 0.698	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level	Background 0.698	Considerable Advanced Point Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	Backgroui / 0.698 0.829	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method	Backgroui / 0.698 0.829	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	Backgroun / 0.698 0.829	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage	Backgroui (0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275	Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage JOASS Distribution Lognormal Stribution SD (Log Scale) 95% UPL (t) 4.07
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 3.89
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 3.89
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Assuming Lognormal Distribution By Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic Constitution Constitution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 6.86
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 99% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 99% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale 0.56
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 95% Percentile (z) 3.89 99% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale 0.56 SD in Original Scale 0.58
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 95% Percentile (z) 3.89 99% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale 0.56 SD in Original Scale 0.58 Mean in Log Scale -0.80
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 95% Percentile (z) 3.89 99% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale 0.56 SD in Original Scale 0.58 Mean in Log Scale -0.80 SD in Log Scale -0.80 SD in Log Scale -0.80 SD in Log Scale 0.59
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.82 Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) -0.00 SD (Log Scale) 0.83 95% UTL 90% Coverage 3.95 95% UPL (t) 4.07 90% Percentile (z) 2.87 95% Percentile (z) 3.89 95% Percentile (z) 6.86 Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale 0.56 SD in Original Scale 0.58 Mean in Log Scale 0.80 SD in Log Scale 0.59 95% UTL 90% Coverage 1.20
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroui 0.698 0.829 1.272 0.783 2.573 2.602 2.275 2.559 3.093	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 99% Percentile (z) 10.82 Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale SD in Log Scale 95% UTL 90% Coverage 1.20 95% UTL 90% Coverage 1.20 95% UTL 90% Coverage 1.20

Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	<i>'</i>	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected)	1.174	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star	0.963		
nu star	21.13		
A-D Test Statistic	0.912	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.733	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statistic	0.3	Mean	0.67
5% K-S Critical Value	0.284	SD	0.64
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Leve	əl	SE of Mean	0.12
		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	1.74
Assuming Gamma Distribution	I.	95% KM Chebyshev UPL	3.50
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data		95% KM UPL (t)	1.76
Mean	1.219	90% Percentile (z)	1.49
Median	1.313	95% Percentile (z)	1.73
SD	0.735	99% Percentile (z)	2.16
k star	1.981		
Theta star	0.616	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu star	178.3	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	2.94
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	9.425	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	3.09
		95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	2.88
90% Percentile	2.377	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	3.03
95% Percentile	2.901		
99% Percentile	4.064		
J2 is not a recommended method.			

lver			
11461			
	Genera	I Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	45	Number of Detected Data	3
Number of Distinct Detected Data	3	Number of Non-Detect Data	42
		Percent Non-Detects	93.33%
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected		Minimum Detected	
Maximum Detected		Maximum Detected	
Mean of Detected		Mean of Detected	
SD of Detected		SD of Detected	
Minimum Non-Detect		Minimum Non-Detect	
Maximum Non-Detect	0.47	Maximum Non-Detect	-0./55
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
ote: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	anded	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	44
or all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),	. raca	Number treated as Non-Beteck with Single DL	
bservations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	
	_	9 distinct values may not be reliable. ons for accurate and meaningful results and estimates.	
	e observation	ons for accurate and meaningful results and estimates.	
	e observation Backgroun		ly
It is recommended to have 10 to 15 or more	Backgroun	ons for accurate and meaningful results and estimates. Ind Statistics	•
It is recommended to have 10 to 15 or more Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	Backgroun 0.807	and Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	0.911
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	Backgroun 0.807	nd Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.911
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	Backgroun 0.807	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	0.911
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution	Backgroun / 0.807 0.767	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution	0.911
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method	Backgroun 0.807 0.767	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method	0.911 0.767
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	Backgroun / 0.807 0.767	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale)	0.911 0.767 -2.596
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale)	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952 0.363
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	Backgroun (0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Duta appear Lognormal Distribution Duta appear Lognormal Distribution Duta Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	Backgroun (0.807	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	Backgroun (0.807	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Duta appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Duta appear Lognormal Distribution Duta Substitution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Duta Substitution Duta Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UTL 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Duta appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.0293 0.126
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UTL 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.0293 0.126 -5.211
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale Mean in Log Scale	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.0293 0.126 -5.211 1.335
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UTL 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) SD (Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale Mean in Log Scale SD in Log Scale	-2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.0293 0.126 -5.211 1.335 0.0502
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.126 -5.211 1.335 0.0502 0.0527
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	Backgroun 0.807 0.767 0.111 0.125 0.318 0.323 0.27 0.316 0.401	Ind Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values On Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.911 0.767 -2.596 0.952 0.363 0.376 0.253 0.357 0.683 0.0293 0.126 -5.211 1.335 0.0502 0.0527 0.0302

nma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only		Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected)	N/A	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star	N/A		
nu star	N/A		
A-D Test Statistic	N/A	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	N/A	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statistic	N/A	Mean	0.102
5% K-S Critical Value	N/A	SD	0.112
not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level		SE of Mean	0.020
		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	0.288
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	0.595
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data		95% KM UPL (t)	0.292
Mean	N/A	90% Percentile (z)	0.245
Median	N/A	95% Percentile (z)	0.286
SD	N/A	99% Percentile (z)	0.362
k star	N/A		
Theta star	N/A	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu star	N/A	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	N/A
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	N/A	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	N/A
<u> </u>		95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	N/A
90% Percentile	N/A	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	N/A
95% Percentile	N/A		
99% Percentile	N/A		

'hallium			
	General	Statistics	
Number of Valid Data	44	Number of Detected Data	9
Number of Distinct Detected Data	7	Number of Non-Detect Data	35
Number of Missing Values	1	Percent Non-Detects	79.55%
	I.		
Raw Statistics		Log-transformed Statistics	
Minimum Detected	0.4	Minimum Detected	-0.916
Maximum Detected	2.4	Maximum Detected	0.875
Mean of Detected	1.62	Mean of Detected	0.309
SD of Detected	0.826	SD of Detected	
Minimum Non-Detect	0.094	Minimum Non-Detect	-2.364
Maximum Non-Detect	4.1	Maximum Non-Detect	1.411
Data with Multiple Detection Limits		Single Detection Limit Scenario	
te: Data have multiple DLs - Use of KM Method is recomme	ended	Number treated as Non-Detect with Single DL	
r all methods (except KM, DL/2, and ROS Methods),		Number treated as Detected with Single DL	
servations < Largest ND are treated as NDs		Single DL Non-Detect Percentage	100.009
_	•	Detected Values in this data	
		ootstrap may be performed on this data set	
the resulting calculations	may not be	reliable enough to draw conclusions	
It is recommended to have 10-15 or m		observations for accurate and meaningful results. nd Statistics	
It is recommended to have 10-15 or m Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	Backgrour		ly
	Backgrour	nd Statistics	
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	Backgrour 0.84	nd Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl	0.815
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	Backgrour 0.84	nd Statistics Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.815
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	Backgrour 0.84	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.815
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	Backgrour 0.84	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.815
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	Backgrour 0.84 0.829	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	0.815
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean	Backgrour 0.84 0.829 0.963	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale)	0.815 0.829 -0.392
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale)	0.815 0.829 -0.392 0.988
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage	0.815 0.829 -0.392 0.988 3.511
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	-0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	-0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	-0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	-0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z)	-0.392 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method	-0.392 0.883 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale	-0.392 0.888 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 100 ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale	-0.392 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale	-0.392 0.888 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 100 ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale	-0.392 0.8829 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651 -0.901
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) SD in Original Scale Mean in Log Scale	-0.392 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651 -0.901 0.781
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) SD in Original Scale Mean in Log Scale SD in Log Scale	-0.392 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651 -0.901 0.781 1.494
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Onl Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) SD in Original Scale Mean in Log Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale	-0.392 0.815 0.829 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651 -0.901 0.781 1.494 1.533
Normal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level Assuming Normal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean SD 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% UPL (t) 90% Percentile (z) 95% Percentile (z)	0.84 0.829 0.963 0.682 2.1 2.122 1.837 2.085 2.549	Assuming Lognormal Distribution Assuming Lognormal Distribution DL/2 Substitution Method Mean (Log Scale) SD (Log Scale) 95% UTL 90% Coverage 95% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) 99% Percentile (z) Log ROS Method Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale	0.815 0.829 -0.392 0.988 3.511 3.625 2.398 3.433 6.731 0.589 0.651 -0.901 0.781 1.494 1.533 1.105

Gamma Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	y	Data Distribution Test with Detected Values Only	
k star (bias corrected)	2.103	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star	0.77		
nu star	37.85		
A-D Test Statistic	0.759	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value	0.727	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Method	
K-S Test Statistic	0.271	Mean	0.763
5% K-S Critical Value	0.281	SD	0.67
Data follow Appx. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance	Level	SE of Mean	0.127
		95% KM UTL with 90% Coverage	1.881
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% KM Chebyshev UPL	3.718
Gamma ROS Statistics with Extrapolated Data		95% KM UPL (t)	1.903
Mean	1.822	90% Percentile (z)	1.622
Median	1.888	95% Percentile (z)	1.866
SD	0.54	99% Percentile (z)	2.323
k star	8.009		
Theta star	0.227	Gamma ROS Limits with Extrapolated Data	
Nu star	704.8	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	3.013
95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)	26.32	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	3.072
		95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	2.985
90% Percentile	2.68	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage	3.041
95% Percentile	2.993		
99% Percentile	3.642		
	1		

		Iviliis Gap Road Site - Background Soil Evaluation - P100	OL Outpo
Zinc			
	General Statis		
Total Number of Observations	45	Number of Distinct Observations	44
Raw Statistics		Log-Transformed Statistics	
Minimum	16.8	Minimum	2.821
Maximum	128	Maximum	4.852
Second Largest	115	Second Largest	4.745
First Quartile	49.1	First Quartile	3.894
Median	61.4	Median	4.117
Third Quartile	78.9	Third Quartile	4.368
Mean	63	Mean	4.05
SD	24.52	SD	0.475
Coefficient of Variation	0.389		
Skewness	0.131		
	Background Sta	ntistics	
Normal Distribution Test		Lognormal Distribution Test	
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945	Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.945
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
Assuming Normal Distribution		Assuming Lognormal Distribution	
95% UTL with 90% Coverage	103.8	95% UTL with 90% Coverage	126.3
95% UPL (t)	104.7	95% UPL (t)	128.5
90% Percentile (z)	94.42	90% Percentile (z)	105.4
95% Percentile (z)	103.3	95% Percentile (z)	125.3
99% Percentile (z)	120	99% Percentile (z)	173.1
Gamma Distribution Test		Data Distribution Test	
k star	5 151	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
Theta Star		Data appear (10/mar at 0 /0 Cig/miloanico 20/0/	
MLE of Mean			
MLE of Standard Deviation			
nu star			
na star	400.0		
A-D Test Statistic	1.12	Nonparametric Statistics	
5% A-D Critical Value		90% Percentile	89.52
K-S Test Statistic		95% Percentile	
5% K-S Critical Value		99% Percentile	
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Leve			
Assuming Gamma Distribution		95% UTL with 90% Coverage	
90% Percentile		95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
95% Percentile		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 90% Coverage	
99% Percentile	144.8	95% UPL	
		95% Chebyshev UPL	
95% WH Approx. Gamma UPL		Upper Threshold Limit Based upon IQR	123.6
95% HW Approx. Gamma UPL	118.1		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 90% Coverage			