
Calculating Runoff Volume Using the SCS Method as a Voluntary Alternative to the Simple Method

March 7, 2014

	<u>Initials</u>	<u>Date</u>
Approvals: Bradley Bennett, DEMLR Stormwater Program Supervisor	<u>BB</u>	<u>3/7/14</u>
Toby Vinson, DEMLR Acting Land Quality Section Chief	<u>TV</u>	<u>3/7/14</u>

Effective immediately, the Division of Energy, Mineral and Land Resources will allow the use of the **SCS Discrete Curve Number Method** (USDA TR-55) to calculate runoff volume as a **voluntary alternative** to the Simple Method provided that:

- The 90th percentile storm event is used for runoff depth for non-SA waters and the 1-year, 24-hour storm is used for runoff depth in SA waters

AND

- The runoff volume is computed as the difference between pre and post development conditions.

“**Discrete**” means that the SCS Method is run twice: first, to yield runoff volume from the connected impervious surface and second, to yield runoff volume from the remainder of the site. (The total runoff volume is the sum of the two results.)

The 90th percentile storm event depths are available on the attached table compiled by the NC State University Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering.

Any questions regarding the use of this alternative method for runoff volume calculations can be directed to Annette Lucas at (919) 807-6381

Explanation

In the past, the NC Stormwater Program has used the Simple Method as its benchmark for computing stormwater volumes from development projects. This has been based on the language in 15A NCAC 2H .1008(c)(1), which states that the storage volume of the system shall be calculated to provide for the most conservative protection using runoff calculation methods described on pages A.1 and A.2 in "Controlling Urban Runoff: A Practical Manual For Planning

and Designing Urban BMPs" [The Simple Method]... Other engineering methods may be approved if these methods are shown to provide for equivalent protection."

In most cases, the Simple Method results in a higher estimation of runoff volume than the SCS Method when analyzing equivalent storms in equivalent drainage areas. Therefore, the use of the SCS Method has not previously been considered by the state to provide equivalent protection. However, the state is very interested in allowing the use of the SCS Method going forward. One reason is that the SCS Method accounts for soil characteristics, which have a significant impact on the expected volume of surface runoff in response to the relatively small rain events that drive stormwater designs. Unlike the Simple Method, the SCS Method can account for the reduction in runoff volume resulting from disconnecting impervious surfaces and siting impervious surfaces on less permeable soils.

When using the Discrete SCS Method, the runoff depth for non-SA waters shall be based on the 90th percentile storm event (not the arbitrary 1" or 1.5" storm depth traditionally used). Using 90th percentile storm event instead of the arbitrary 1" or 1.5" inch storm is a more "custom fit" for the hydrology across the state. For projects within ½ mile of and draining to SA waters, the runoff depth will be based on the 1-year, 24-hour storm.

Compared with the Simple Method, using the pre/post for the 90th percentile storm even in combination with the Discrete SCS Method generally results in slightly larger BMPs for sites on A and B soils and slightly smaller BMPs for sites on C and D soils. However, the new credits for using disconnected impervious surfaces will allow developers to reduce BMP footprints for all soil types, particularly for A and B soils.

The recommendation for sizing BMPs for the change between pre and post development runoff is based on scientific research showing that impacts to the uses of surface waters are often caused by sudden changes in hydrologic conditions after development. If applied to the post development condition only, the Discrete SCS Method results in larger BMPs for sites on D soils, and smaller BMPs on sites on A soils (because the A soils have higher infiltration capacities, and therefore result in less runoff). However, impervious surfaces installed on top of A soils cause a more drastic change to hydrology than impervious surfaces installed on D soils. Therefore, using the pre/post approach results in slightly larger BMPs on A soils compared with D soils. However, this increase in BMP footprint on A soils can be easily offset by taking advantage of opportunities for infiltration.

Percentile Storms Based on 24-hour Total Precipitation

Station Name	COOP ID	n	P80 (in.)	P85 (in.)	P90 (in.)	P95 (in.)
Asheville WSO AP	COOP:310300	3816	0.9492	1.1187	1.39555	1.878625
Asheville	COOP:310301	8413	0.7684	0.904	1.1074	1.5255
Ashford	COOP:310312	4534	0.904	1.0622	1.356	1.8758
Badin	COOP:310438	4623	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.89727
B Everett Jordan Dam	COOP:310750	1706	0.791	1.017	1.356	1.695
Blue Ridge Post Office	COOP:310909	2004	1.0509	1.2543	1.5481	2.14022
Boomer	COOP:310967	1904	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.808
Bryson City	COOP:311164	2302	0.8588	1.017	1.2317	1.6159
Burlington 3 NNE	COOP:311241	4069	0.791	1.017	1.243	1.695
Cape Hatteras WSO	COOP:311458	4374	1.13	1.4012	1.75941	2.3956
Carthage 8 SE	COOP:311515	3234	1.017	1.2091	1.469	1.95151
Cataloochee	COOP:311564	1917	0.8249	0.9605	1.1526	1.5255
Charlotte WSO Arpt	COOP:311690	4645	0.9605	1.12322	1.4012	1.86224
Clinton	COOP:311881	2721	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.808
Dalton	COOP:312230	4031	0.8814	1.017	1.2882	1.695
Dunn 4 NW	COOP:312388	4954	0.904	1.0961	1.356	1.808
Elizabeth City FAA Air	COOP:312719	3971	0.904	1.12435	1.356	1.808
Elkin	COOP:312732	4399	0.904	1.13	1.4238	1.921
Elkville	COOP:312757	3071	0.9718	1.13	1.4351	1.9436
Fayetteville	COOP:313017	2942	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.808
Franklinton	COOP:313232	4568	0.904	1.0622	1.356	1.808
Gatesville	COOP:313364	1715	1.017	1.1978	1.4577	2.01479
Greensboro WSO Airport	COOP:313630	4755	0.904	1.0735	1.3334	1.7402
Pope AFB	COOP:316891	1425	0.9831	1.1413	1.44188	2.0566
Greenville 2	COOP:313638	3729	0.904	1.13	1.39442	1.921
Hickory FAA Airport	COOP:314020	535	0.9379	1.0735	1.3334	1.90857
Hobucken Bridge	COOP:314136	2781	1.017	1.13	1.4803	2.0792
Kill Devil Hills N M	COOP:314649	2041	0.9718	1.1413	1.4803	2.0905
Lake Lure 2	COOP:314764	3768	1.0283	1.2769	1.5933	2.26
Laurinburg	COOP:314860	4571	0.904	1.13	1.4351	1.93795
Lexington	COOP:314970	2232	0.791	1.017	1.243	1.695
Lexington 7	COOP:314975	2146	1.0057	1.1865	1.469	1.9097
Lumberton Regional Airport	COOP:315182	258	0.95598	1.1187	1.34809	1.599515
Manteo Airport	COOP:315303	1310	0.904	1.13	1.582	2.147
Mooreville	COOP:315814	4644	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.808
Morehead City 2 WNW	COOP:315830	4562	1.017	1.243	1.582	2.282035
Mount Pleasant	COOP:315945	4810	0.904	1.0509	1.2656	1.695
New Bern FAA Airport	COOP:316108	670	0.88366	1.02039	1.32323	2.141915
North Fork	COOP:316231	3044	0.9944	1.1639	1.4125	1.930605
N Wilkesboro 11 SE	COOP:316261	4748	0.9266	1.13	1.44979	1.921
Polkton 2 NE	COOP:316867	3723	0.904	1.13	1.38764	1.9097
Quebec	COOP:317037	1975	1.13	1.356	1.808	2.599
Raleigh Durham WSFO AP	COOP:317069	4699	0.9492	1.09949	1.3447	1.7515
Raleigh NC State Univ	COOP:317079	3445	0.9605	1.13	1.4125	1.8758
Roaring Gap 1 NW	COOP:317324	6066	1.017	1.243	1.5255	2.042475
Shelby	COOP:317850	3516	0.9605	1.13	1.4012	1.889925
Sneads Ferry	COOP:318037	2542	1.12774	1.365605	1.7176	2.39447
Spruce Mountain	COOP:318216	2906	1.017	1.234525	1.469	1.91535
Swannanoa	COOP:318448	2111	0.9266	1.0961	1.3786	1.8645
Wilmington WSO Airport	COOP:319457	4817	1.1526	1.3673	1.7402	2.4521
Wilson 3 SW	COOP:319476	4669	0.904	1.13	1.356	1.808
Yadkinville6 E	COOP:319675	5425	0.791	1.017	1.243	1.695
Eden	COOP:312631	2791	0.791	1.017	1.243	1.808
Helton	COOP:313957	2813	0.678	0.791	1.017	1.356
Roanoke Rapids	COOP:317319	2613	0.791	1.017	1.243	1.695