Practical Approaches that Work

Waste Assessments Tracking and Measurement

Diana P. Joyner, Environmental Engineer March 22nd, 2016





Approach





Westinghouse, Columbia Fuel Fabrication Solid Waste Generation Rates (FY)



Ultimate Goal = 0





What Does Your Company Throw Away? CFFF Site Dumpster Dive March 2015



© 2016 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Waste Reduction Opportunities Food Scrap Collection



Waste Assessments



Westinghouse Non-Proprietary Class 3

Waste Assessment Benefits

- In-depth understanding of waste generation for source targeting
- Area Focus (aka "Buy In") to the site's larger goal of ZWTL
- Feedback for site sustainability team on what is and is not working







Waste Assessment Basics

- Count Receptacles
- Describe the Location

 When possible, take pictures
- Assess Receptacles for:
 - Proximity to generation
 - Size / Capacity
 - Usage
 - at the end of the shift/day
 - Contents
 - Currently Recyclable?
 - Potentially Recyclable?
 - Truly Landfill?



114	
6/24/2014	Tool Room
Blue	D By Bostwight's office 10-15% copacity Penove -pink mipes -checkedoth -nitrile gloves -sand paper -solo cup - grocery bags -At fail
Grey	
Grey	3 <u>I-beam IIC (covered)</u> 10-15% capacity - Peplere w ble - pink wipes -tanpersate reals for god rod - nitrile gloves - gum box (paperboard)

Waste Assessments Area: CFFF Tool Room



Waste Assessment Area Focus: CFFF Tool Room



Waste Assessment Area Focus: CFFF Tool Room

20 Trash Receptacles

- 11 rigid receptacles
- 9 portable carts
 - Both used 56-gal capacity bags

Area Assessment

- Recyclable items were being thrown away because recycling receptacles were not easily accessible
- Too many trash receptacles for the work space
- Emptied too frequently
- Oversized receptacles



Waste Assessment Area Focus: CFFF Tool Room

Path Forward

- Area Buy-In
 - Area managers and workers agreed they did not need 20 receptacles
- Receptacle Reduction
 - Reduced by half, with primary decision to use portable carts
 - Recycling receptacles added where needed
- Can Liner Reduction by half
- Service Frequency
 - Discussions initiated with janitorial staff not to remove bags less than half full



Waste Assessments Receptacle: CFFF Tube Prep



A picture is worth



words

Waste Assessment Receptacle: CFFF Tube Prep









After

What recycling programs were implemented at the time?



Waste Assessment Employee Education & Communication







Waste Assessments Receptacle: CFFF Break Room 301/302



Waste Assessment Receptacle: Break Room by 301/302

Opportunity:

- Trash cans located
 near exit
- Simple location of bin did not encourage recycling

estinghouse



Solution:

- Reorganized room to co-locate trash and recycling receptacles
- Improved communication signs

Westinghouse Non-Proprietary Class 3

Waste Assessment Employee Education & Communication



3-Dimensional Signs Created



Waste Assessment Employee Education & Communication





Tracking and Measurement



Tracking and Measurement Why measure and track solid waste?





- To set goals and/or meet company requirements
- Understand your waste stream
- Identify Improvement Opportunities
- Cost accounting
- To establish a baseline



Tracking and Measurement Establish a Baseline

- Create a spreadsheet / use a company template
- Compile several years of waste generation data
 - Note any anomalies or changes that could skew the data:
 - Production
 - Headcount
 - Construction activities, etc.
- Select representative baseline measurement term, usually a calendar year or fiscal year





Tracking and Measurement Waste Generation Data Collection





Tracking and Measurement Set Goals and Track Them

	T32	• (*	f_{x}													
4	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р
4												TDEAMC				
	PLEASE SUBMIT			IN THIS FO	RMAT OR HO	WEVER YO	U TRACK I	HE CATEG	URIZATIUI	OF SEGR	EGATED S	TREAMS				
2 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC 3 Baseline Environmental Performance Indicators		Period:	EVIE													
	3 Baseline Environmental Performance Indicators 4 Waste data collection for Major facilities		Period.	FTID												
5	vvaste uata collect		lilles													
	Location name:	Columbia														
9	Location name.															
	Waste Generatio	n and Dienoeit	ion Data													
11	maste Generatio	in and bisposit	ion bata													
12			Peer Reviewed:													
		Itemized														
		Waste stream	Units (for													
	Toshiba	included in	<u>Toshiba</u>													<u>conversio</u>
13	<u>category</u>	<u>category</u>	category)	Apr-2015	May-2015	Jun-2015	Jul-2015	Aug-2015	Sep-2015	Oct-2015	Nov-2015	Dec-2015	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	6 Mar-2016	conversion
31	Waste Plastics															
32		Waste Tires	pounds													0.45359
33		Plastics	pounds	5220	2580.0	4380	2020	3220	5860	5380	6020	3800	1620			0.45359
	Waste Paper															
	(including general															
34	waste)															
34		Solid waste	tons (short	18.87	3.47	20.55	8.39	9.72	6.2	19.32	17.8	4.87	9,46			
			tons)	10.01	0.41	20.00	0.35	5.12	0.2	10.02	11.0	4.07	0.40			
		not														
		segregated);														
35		Municipal solid														907.19
35		Paper	pounds	10,710	3015.0	5,190	2,780	8,430	5,800	12540	5580.0	2720	5140	F logsd	ocj:	
36		тары	pounda	10,110	3013.0	5,150	2,100	0,430	3,000	12340	3300.0	2120	5140	040103	mixed pape s shred pap	er 0.45359
50		Corrugated	pounds			21,498		21,040			18720		19480		is smea pap	0.40000
37		cardboard	poundo			21,400		21,040			10120		10400			0.45359
42	Wood Scrap															0.4000
42	wood Scrap	Wood waste	tons (short													
		(broken, dirty	tons (snort tons)													
43		(broken, dirty pallets)	tons)													907.19
43		· · · · ·	tons (short	3.73	3.49	3.36	2.44	2.52	3.05	5.43	1.99	3.69	4.49			907.15
		wood waste	tons)	5.75	5.49	3.30	2.44	2.52	3.05	5.45	1.99	5.69	4.49			
44												, I.				907.19
14	🕨 🕨 🔤 Instruc	tions 🏑 Infor	mation 🏑 Offi	cial Waste	Sheet 🔒 2	Combin	ed data b	y categoi	y 🖉 3 W	/aste cate	gories in l	kgs / P	eer Revie	wer Guida	ance 🏑 🛛	Change Reco

🗶 🖌	9 • (° • -	-					Columbia - Jai	n - FY15 Waste	Data.xlsx - I	Microsoft Exe	cel		
File	Home	Insert Page La	ayout Formula	as Data	Review	View Ac	robat Secu	ureZIP					
Ê	∦ Cut ⊫a Copy →	Arial	· 10 · ,	A A 🗏	≡ ≡ ≫	• Wra	ap Text	General					H↑F
Paste		nter B <u>I</u> <u>U</u>	* 🗄 * 🖄	· <u>A</u> • ≡	≣ ≣ (≇	📜 🔤 Me	rge & Center 👻	\$ - %	• .00 .00 .00 →.0	Condition	nal Format Ig ∗as Table s	Cell Styles *	In
	Clipboard	Gi .	Font	G.	Ali	gnment	5	Num	ber 🛛	5	Styles		
	A41	- (e	f _x										_
1	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	T
1	his sheet is	s now MAND	ATORY. How	/ever, yoι	u may inclu	ude this i	nformatio	n as a sep	arate at	tachmen	t if you w	ish.	
		ectric Company LL mental Performanc		Period:	EV4E								
		ata collection for M		Penod.	FTID								
5					= recycled no			А	= attempted	l to report, b	ut quality issi	ue with the	val
9 10 V	laste Generatio	n and Disposition	Data		= recycled with = incinerated		combusted						
11			<u>Dut</u> .		recycled onsite		compacted						
12													_
		Itemized Waste stream included	<u>Units (for</u> Toshiba										
13 <u>7</u>	oshiba category		category)	Apr-2015	May-2015	Jun-2015	Jul-2015	Aug-2015	Sep-2015	Oct-2015	Nov-2015	Dec-2015	i J
	aste Plastics		kg	2,368	1,170	1,987	916	1,461	2,658	2,440	2,731	1,724	-
32		Waste Tires	kg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
33		Plastics	kg	2,368	1,170	1,987	916	1,461	2,658	2,440	2,731	1,724	
	laste Paper ncluding												
	eneral waste)		kg	21,977	4,516	30,748	8,872	22,185	8,255	23,215	27,170	5,652	
		Solid waste											
		(generated and not segregated);											
		Municipal solid											
35		waste	kg	17,119	3,148	18,643	7,611	8,818	5,625	17,527	16,148	4,418	
		Paper											
36		Corrugated	kg	4,858	1,368	2,354	1,261	3,824	2,631	5,688	2,531	1,234	
37		Corrugated cardboard	kg	-	-	9,751		9,544		-	8,491		
	lood Scrap		kg	3,384	3,166	3,048	2,214	2,286	2,767	4,926	1,805	3,348	
		Wood waste						_,					
		(broken, dirty pallets)											
43		Wood waste	kg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		wood waste											

Tracking and Measurement Rates

• Waste to Landfill (WTL) / Final Disposal Rate (FDR)

 $Ratio = \frac{total waste sent to landfill}{total waste}$ $Percent = \frac{total waste sent to landfill}{total waste} \times 100\%$

Diversion Rate

 percentage of waste materials diverted from traditional disposal such as landfilling or incineration to be recycled, composted, re-used, waste to energy, or otherwise kept from going to a landfill



• Waste to Landfill (WTL) / Final Disposal Rate (FDR)



Diversion Rate

percentage of waste materials diverted from traditional disposal such as landfilling or incineration to be recycled, composted, re-used, waste to energy, or otherwise kept from going to a landfill

	Ratio $-\frac{tot}{dt}$	al materials recycled, compos	ted, reus	ed, etc							
	π	total waste									
	Percent =	total materials recycled, com total waste	posted, r	eused, et	$\frac{c}{2}$ x10	$0\% = \frac{11}{1}$	29,198 kg 46.317 k	$\frac{g}{a} \ge 100$)% =	88.3	%
1											
	C1m+D1m+F1m	Total recycled amount(material)	(kg)	129198	124096	157271	151239	207296	165737	121336	1:
	C1t+D1t+F1t	Total recycled amount(thermal)	(kg)	0	6358	0	3106	0	5469	0	
	(C1m+D1m+F1m)/A1		(%)	88.30%	92.87%	89.40%	92.78%	95.92%	92.86%	87.38%	8!
	(C1t+D1t+F1t)/A1	Total recycled rate(thermal)	(%)	0.00%	4.76%	0.00%	1.91%	0.00%	3.06%	0.00%	:
	(C1+D1+F1)/A1	Total recycled rate(material+thermal)	(%)	88.30%	97.63%	89.40%	94.68%	95.92%	95.92%	87.38%	8:
	G1	Direct final disposal amount	(kg)	17119	3168	18643	8666	8818	7282	17527	1
	G1c	Payment2	USD,\$	\$747	\$24,868	\$814	\$15,474	\$385	\$4,312	\$765	:
1	H1	Final disposal amount after intermediate treatm	ie (kg)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	G1+H1	Total final disposal amount (FDA)	(kg)	17119	3168	18643	8666	8818	7282	17527	1
1	C1c	Total sale of waste with value	USD,\$	\$0	\$672	\$629	\$0	\$7,370	\$724	\$148	\$
1	D1c+E1c+G1c	Total payment	USD,\$	\$8,705	\$65,090	\$9,894	\$59,772	\$29,427	\$73,511	\$8,468	\$
1	(G1+H1)/A1	Final disposal rate	(%)	11.7%	2.4%	10.6%	5.3×	4.1%	4.1%	12.6%	1
		Site Production/Activity level**>	equivalent units	293	200	287	313	301	254	300	
		Waste generation intensity (kg/activity unit)	<	499.374	668,110	612.941	520.804	717.985	702.715	462.877	47
		FDA Sustainability Index Subscore (YT	וס	0.586	0.413	0.501	0.437	0.406	0.388	0.418	
		JPY/USD Conversion rate:	Currency Con	119.87	124.16	122.16	123.93	121.23	119.77	120.62	12

Tracking and Measurement Waste To Landfill (Final Disposal Rate)



Westinghouse

Tracking and Measurement Benchmarking

- WTL / FDR
- Diversion Rate
- Per capita (generation and reduction)
- Normalization with production metric
- Cost

(including source reduction and other avoided costs)





Reassess



Reassess Area Focus: Component Area





Reassess-Communicate Nylon Glove Recycling

Maintenance Corner beside Lamp Closet (central collection)



Westinghouse

- 14% Component Area Waste (by weight)
- 6% of Total Site Waste
- Final Assembly began the pilot program in May 2015
- All other Mechanical Areas begin collection in August 2015
- Area personnel are responsible for taking the gloves to the central collection point

100% collection for recycling = 588 lb/mo or 7,056 lb annually diverted from landfill

Reassess-Communicate Recycle Rates: Plastic Film & Nitrile Gloves







Diana P. Joyner joynerdp@westinghouse.com 803.647.1920 (office)