PRESERVATION PROJECT AND BASELINE DOCUMENTATION REPORT

Project Name County, North Carolina EEP Project Identification Number

River Basin & Cataloging Unit

Insert best project photo here

Prepared for: LOGO NCDENR Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652



Prepared by:

If applicable:
Insert consultant logo here

EEP STAFF NAME OR IF APPLICABLE:

Consulting firm Name

Physical address-City, State Zip Code, Phone Number

Month Day, Year

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary should be brief and provide the reader with a high level view of where the site is located and why it is in preservation. It should not be a pre-gurgitation of everything that is found in the later sections of the document.

Include the following statement:

"This preservation report has been written in conformance with the requirements of the following: Federal rule for compensatory mitigation project sites as described in the Federal Register Title 33 Navigation and Navigable Waters Volume 3 Chapter 2 Section § 332.8 paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(14) and 332.3(h); and NCDENR Ecosystem Enhancement Program In-Lieu Fee Instrument signed and dated July 28, 2010."

Site Description

Project Name and EEP Project Number	
County	
General Location	
Basin	
Physiographic Region	
USGS Hydro Unit	
NCDWQ Sub-basin	
Watershed Planning Information	
Preservation Mechanism	
Conservation Values	
Option Recordation Date	
Deed Recordation Date	

Mitigation Assets			
	Cool Cold on Warm	Dinarian Watland	Non singuian Watland
	Cool, Cold or Warm Stream	Riparian Wetland	Non-riparian Wetland
<u>Linear feet or acres</u>			
Restoration Equivalent			
Credits (assume 10:1)			
<u>Totals</u>			

List of conservation values may include (Significant Natural Heritage Area, critical habitat, protection from future development, buffer for water quality) and specific threat of destruction or adverse modification

Deed recordation date may be fee simple deed or conservation easement deed recordation dates

Preservation mechanism is either fee simple deed or conservation easement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Preservation Project Goals and Objectives
- 2. Site Description
 - 2.1. General Description
 - 2.2. Directions
- 3. Site Selection
 - 3.1. Conservation Values
 - 3.2. Threats of Adverse Modification
- 4. Baseline Information
 - 4.1. Physical Features
 - 4.2. Plant and Wildlife Communities
 - 4.3. Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams
 - 4.3.1. Watershed Summary
 - 4.3.2. Reach Summary
 - 4.3.3. Wetland Summary
- 5. Site Protection Instrument
 - 5.1. Site Protection Instrument Summary
 - 5.2. Recordation Date
- 6. Determination of Credits
- 7. Monitoring Requirements
- 8. Long-term Management Plan
- 9. Financial Assurances
- 10. Other Information
 - 10.1. References
 - 10.2. Site Protection Instrument Figure
 - 10.3. Vicinity map
 - 10.4. Watershed map
 - 10.5. Site Map
 - 10.6. Site Photographs (establish photo points)
 - 10.7. Appendix A. Site Protection Instruments
 - 10.8. Appendix B. Baseline Documentation Report
 - 10.9. Appendix C. Stream and Wetland Forms

Items 1 and 3 may be combined if justified by watershed plan. Item 4.2 should be very brief.

Item 4.3.3 should include no more than one paragraph / wetland.

PRESERVATION PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The following Goals and Objectives template is to be used for a project within a Local Watershed Planning Area

The PROJECT NAME Project is located in the LWP NAME Local Watershed planning area (INSERT HYPERLINK). The Project Site watershed includes Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 14 DIGIT HUC which was identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in EEP's YEAR & RIVER BASIN River Basin Restoration Priority (RBRP) Plans (INSERT HYPERLINK) and (if applicable) is identified in the LWP NAME LWP Project Atlas (Atlas Reference Designation).

EEP developed a local watershed plan (LWP) for the <u>DRAINAGE AREA</u> sq. mi drainage area that included land use analysis, water quality monitoring and stakeholder input to identify problems with water quality, habitat and hydrology. The <u>WATERSHED NAME FROM LWP</u> watershed is characterized as primarily <u>AGRICULTURAL/RESIDENTIAL/INDUSTRIAL...</u> and has a history of <u>LIST TYPE(S) OF PROBLEMS</u> due to <u>REASONS FOR ISSUES</u>. EEP completed the <u>LWP NAME</u> LWP in <u>MONTH & YEAR (INSERT HYPERLINK</u>).

The LWP NAME LWP identified LIST STRESSORS (i.e nutrients, streambank erosion and livestock access to streams) as major stressors within this watershed. The watershed restoration goals found within the LWP that this project addresses includes: GOALS (found in the findings and recommendations section of the LWP). If the project was specifically identified in a project atlas, then add the following: The LWP project atlas identified the PROJECT NAME Project (Atlas Reference Designation) as a STREAM/WETLAND/BUFFER preservation opportunity to address WATERSHED STRESSORS within the WATERSHED NAME FROM LWP watershed.

(Watershed plans developed by entities other than EEP, local and regional planning documents and Basinwide Assessment Reports may also by referenced here.)

PRESERVATION PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The following Goals and Objectives template is to be used for a project located within EEP Targeted Local Watershed but located outside of an EEP Local Watershed Planning area.

EEP develops River Basin Restoration Priorities to guide its activities within each of the state's 54 cataloging units. RBRPs delineate specific watersheds that exhibit both the need and opportunity for wetland, stream and riparian buffer conservation and restoration. These watersheds are called Targeted Local Watersheds (TLWs) and receive priority for EEP planning and preservation project funds. List problems or major stressors as identified in the RBRP.

The <u>YEAR & RIVER BASIN</u> River Basin RBRP identified HUC <u>14 DIGIT HUC</u> as a Targeted Local Watershed (<u>INSERT HYPERLINK</u>). The watershed is characterized by <u>STATE PERCENTAGE OF PREDOMINATE LAND USE (i.e agriculture...)</u> area with <u>LIST DEGRADED WATERSHED CONDITIONS</u>.

The <u>YEAR & RIVER BASIN</u> River Basin RBRP identified <u>LIST STRESSORS</u> (i.e nutrients, streambank erosion and livestock access to streams) as major stressors within this TLW. The <u>PROJECT NAME</u> Project was identified as a <u>STREAM/WETLAND/BUFFER</u> opportunity to address the following restoration goals of the RBRP for this TLW: <u>GOALS</u> (found in goals for the TLW and the general CU goals of the RBRP).

(Conservation plans developed by entities other than EEP, local and regional planning documents and Basinwide Assessment Reports may also by referenced here)

PRESERVATION PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The following Goals and Objectives template is to be used for projects located outside of EEP Targeted Local Watersheds and outside of EEP Local Watershed Planning areas.

The <u>PROJECT NAME</u> Project is located in the <u>8 DIGIT CU</u> Catalogue Unit (CU), in the <u>RIVER BASIN NAME</u> River Basin. The project is not located within an LWP or TLW. However, it does address issues within the watershed. Based on the RBRP (and other planning documents like the basinwide, or other regional or local plans if applicable) stressors within this watershed include: <u>STRESSORS</u>. Restoration goals within this riverbasin and 8 digit cataloguing unit include: <u>GOALS</u>.

The PROJECT NAME Project addresses the following watershed restoration goals: GOALS.

SITE DESCRIPTION

General Description

Site Description (ownership, PIN, Deed Book and Page, past/current/future land use, adjacent/upstream land use site, describe any management plans, preservation plans, conservation measures, City/County zoning classifications, Baseline Documentation Report date should be near or at time of closing. Include utilities, easements, property ownership, site access).

One latitude/longitude of the center point of preserved stream(s) or wetland(s) must be included and reported in decimal degrees using the World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84) as the required datum.

Directions

Provide directions to Project Site [Reference map showing site location, highways and roads, location within the state.]

SITE SELECTION

The Site Selection narrative should not exceed one page of text unless it was a project that was originally procured for restoration but is now preservation only. In those cases, provide a brief history of why it is no longer restoration but is still a valuable watershed project as preservation.

Conservation Values

Explain why this project is important to preserve. Tie it to the watershed goals and Note special status, as designated by local or other agencies, of any of the site's natural features (i.e. High Quality Waters, Unique Wetlands, etc.) if applicable. Also, if applicable, tie any of the items listed below which were required for HQP preservation justification.

- Significant Natural Heritage Element Occurrences and protection status, important habitats (SNHA, critical habitat, habitat protection corridors)
- Buffer for water quality & status of protected water, such as Outstanding Resource Water or High Quality Water as identified by the Environmental Management Commission
- Lands benefiting existing conserved lands or contained within a corridor planned by a local, regional, or state agency
- Lands designated as Unique Wetlands or wetlands of Exceptional Functional Significance by DENR DWQ and DCM

Reference any applicable maps

Threats of Adverse Modification

Provide brief discussion of historical condition, site modifications, evolutionary and/or succession trends of the project site and/or watershed which preservation will result in benefit to site and/or watershed.

BASELINE INFORMATION

Physical Features

Plant and Wildlife Communities

Describe forest and natural communities located within the easement:

- riparian communities
- transitional upland communities
- presence of any rare natural communities
- presence of invasive species

If there are unique communities or rare species to highlight, consider developing a map that signifies the location of those.

Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams

Watershed Summary Wetland Summary Reach Summary

Describe the jurisdictional and aquatic components within the site:

- wetland acreages
- *wetland types*
- *stream footage (intermittent and perennial)*
- special water quality classifications (HQ waters, 303d list, etc.)
- *stream reach stability*
 - o BEHI
 - o Simon Channel Evolutionary Stage (Simon, 1989)
 - o Bank Height Ratio
 - o Substrate-dominant & subdominant
- riparian buffer widths

SITE PROTECTION INSTRUMENT

The land required for stewardship of this mitigation project includes portions of the following parcels. A copy of the land protection instrument(s) is included in Appendix A.

	Landowner	PIN	County	Site	Deed	Date	Date	Acreage
			-	Protection	Book	Option	Deed	protected
				Instrument	and	Signed	Signed	
					Page			
					Number			
Parcel								
A								
Parcel								
B								
Parcel								
C								
Parcel								
D, etc.								

Note: When available, the recorded document(s) will be provided. If the recorded document(s) are not yet available, the template documents will be provided. Provide reference (appendix x).

State: "All site protection instruments require 60-day advance notification to the Corps and the State prior to any action to void, amend, or modify the document. No such action shall take place unless approved by the State."

Encumbrances

Describe any existing roads or trails and public utility rights-of-way, including electric, sewer, greenway or other trails.

DETERMINATION OF CREDITS

For projects that were originally developed for restoration surveys may be available to delineate credit amounts. For projects that were developed for preservation, stream and wetland surveys are not required. If the credits were not delineated from surveys then state: "Project mitigation assets were assessed through GIS analysis, including aerial photography, followed by field reconnaissance with Global Positioning Systems to validate perennial and intermittent stream lengths and/ or jurisdictional wetland acreages."

State: "All credits are released." (if acquired prior to July 28, 2010) or "All credits will be released upon IRT approval." (if acquired after July 28, 2010)

State: "The project service area will be in compliance with the EEP In-Lieu Fee Instrument."

Project Name, County, River Basin, 8-digit Cataloging Unit EEP Project Number **Mitigation Credits*** Nitrogen Phosphorous Cool, Cold or Non-riparian Riparian Wetland Nutrient Nutrient Buffer Warm Stream Wetland Offset Offset R Type RE R RE **RE** NA NA Totals NA NA NA NA *Compensatory mitigation credits are calculated by dividing the stream footage or wetland acreage by 5 unless otherwise indicated in the notes below. **Component Summation** Cool, Cold or Non-riparian Preservation Riparian Wetland Buffer Upland Warm Stream Wetland components (acres) (square feet) (acres) (linear feet) (acres) Non-Riverine Riverine Stream Reach 1 Stream Reach 2

Notes

Standard credit determination: GPS stream centerline and divide by 5. Delineate hydric soils for wetland credits. Do not cut out fingers or hummocks from credits.

Include stream thermal regime.

Wetland 1
Wetland 2

Jurisdictional Stream Characteristics				
	Type	Drainage Area	USACE Form	Length
		(acres)	Score	(linear Feet)
Reach 1	Perennial			
Reach 2	Intermittent			
Total				

Jurisdictional Wetland Characteristics				
	Type (Riparian,	Description	Acreage	
	non-Riparian,	(Floodplain		
	etc.)	depression,		
		seepage slope,		
		etc.)		
Wetland 1				
Wetland 2				
Total				

LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT PLAN

State: "Upon approval for close-out by the Interagency Review Team (IRT), the site will be transferred to the [insert name of responsible party]. This party shall be responsible for periodic inspection of the site to ensure that restrictions required in the conservation easement or the deed restriction document(s) are upheld."

Note: If the project is being transferred to NCDENR Division of Natural Resource Planning and Conservation's Stewardship Program with an endowment, then insert the following language:

The NCDENR Division of Natural Resource Planning and Conservation's Stewardship Program currently houses EEP stewardship endowments within the non-reverting, interest-bearing Conservation Lands Stewardship Endowment Account. The use of funds from the Endowment Account is governed by North Carolina General Statute GS 113A-232(d)(3). Interest gained by the endowment fund may be used only for the purpose of stewardship, monitoring, stewardship administration, and land transaction costs, if applicable. The NCDENR Stewardship Program intends to manage the account as a non-wasting endowment. Only interest generated from the endowment funds will be used to steward the compensatory mitigation sites. Interest funds not used for those purposes will be re-invested in the Endowment Account to offset losses due to inflation.

Note: If the project is being transferred to NCDENR Stewardship Program without an endowment, then insert the following language:

The NCDENR is currently evaluating the endowment model. Until the evaluation is complete and any changes resulting from the model are implemented, the long-term management of this project will be funded annually.

NOTE: If other responsible party, insert the following language:

The [insert name of responsible party] will house the stewardship endowment within a non-reverting, interest bearing account. The account shall be managed as a non-wasting endowment. Interest gained by the endowment fund may be used only for the purpose of stewardship, monitoring, stewardship administration, and land transaction costs (if applicable). Interest funds not used for those purposes will be re-invested in the endowment account to offset losses due to inflation.

FINANCIAL ASSURANCES

State: "Pursuant to Section IV H and Appendix III of the Ecosystem Enhancement Program's In-Lieu Fee Instrument dated July 28, 2010, the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources has provided the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wilmington District with a formal commitment to fund projects to satisfy mitigation requirements assumed by EEP. This commitment provides financial assurance for all mitigation projects implemented by the program."

REFERENCES

Include only references that are cited within the document.

Schafale, M.P. and Weakley, A. S. (1990), *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina, Third Approximation*, NC Natural Heritage Program, Raleigh, NC

Stream Mitigation Guidelines, April 2003, US Army Corps of Engineers Wilmington District

Simon, A. 1989. A model of channel response in distributed alluvial channels. Earth Surfaces Processes and Landforms 14 (1):11-26.

	Site Protection Instrument Figure	
NOTE: • Figure must be in either	8.5"x11" –or- 11"x17" format	
<mark>of photograph required</mark>	rcel boundaries and site protection	
• This is a view of the pare	cel(s) with the conservation easen	<mark>nent boundary overlaid on it.</mark>
Scale	Site Name	North Arrow

	Project Site Vicinity Map	
NOTE:		
	.5"x11" –or- 11"x17" format	
• Show the state of NC, co	ounty, roads, etc. aka location ma	<mark>p</mark>
	T	
Scale	Site Name, County	North Arrow

	Project Site Watershed Map			
NOTE:				
	5"x11" –or- 11"x17" format			
	or the grant years.			
• USGS 1:24000 scale topographic map is required				
Scale	Site Name	North Arrow		

		Aerial photography		
NOTE:				
•		r 8.5"x11" –or- 11"x17" format		
•	Most recent aerial phot	ography is required		
•	Date of Photograph req	<mark>uired</mark>		
•	<mark>Aerial photo, project or</mark>	conservation easement boundary	<mark>v</mark>	
•	Include depiction of all	project mitigation assets		
Scale		Site Name	North Arrow	

Soils (NRCS)
NOTE: Only include soils map if wetland credits are claimed.
• Figure must be in either 8.5"x11" –or- 11"x17" format
• Provide historical aerials, as is necessary, to depict historical beaver activity, date of photograph(s) required
• Color coded by soil
• If wetland credits exist on this project, show wetland determination with soils on a separate map, labeled "Determination Map"

Note: do not include photos here if the attached baseline documentation report includes photos.

Note: The IRT has requested prolific number of photographs to sufficiently depict the site conditions.

Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph	Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph
Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph	Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph
Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph	Site Photograph with caption NOTE: caption should provide context for the photo (e.g. channel condition); include date and location of photograph

APPENDIX A SITE PROTECTION INSTRUMENT(S)

Parcel A. Recorded Conservation Easement or Deed Restriction Parcel B. Recorded Conservation Easement or Deed Restriction Etc.

APPENDIX B BASELINE DOCUMENTATION REPORT

Note: Attach a baseline documentation report at Appendix B if such a report has already been completed as part of the project development.

APPENDIX C STREAM & WETLAND FORMS

Note: Attach a baseline documentation report at Appendix B if such a report has already been completed as part of the project development.