

Prepared for:

North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program

2728 Capital Blvd, Suite 1H 103 Raleigh NC 27604



Final As-Built and Monitoring Baseline Report

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration EEP IMS # 38 Hyde County, North Carolina

Data Collected February 2009 Report Submitted October 2009

Final Mitigation and As-Built Baseline Report

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration EEP IMS # 38 Hyde County, North Carolina

Prepared for:

North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program

Prepared by: ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina, Inc. 801 Corporate Center Drive Suite 300 Raleigh North Carolina 27607 Tel 919.854.1282 Fax 919.854.5448

Project Manager Robert Lepsic Robert.lepsic@arcadis-us.com

Our Ref.: NC606002

Date: Data Collected February 2009 Submitted October 2009

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

Table of Contents

Ex	Executive Summary				
1.	Project	d, and Attributes	3		
	1.1	Location and Setting		3	
	1.2	Project Goals and Ob	jectives	3	
		1.2.1 Goals		4	
		1.2.2 Objectives		4	
		1.2.3 System of M	easurement	4	
	1.3	Project Structure, Res	storation Type, and Approach	4	
		1.3.1 Project Struc	ture	4	
		1.3.2 Restoration	Гуре and Approach	5	
		1.3.2.1 Coa	astal Marsh Wetlands	5	
		1.3.2.2 Nor	1-Riverine Pine Flatwood Wetlands	6	
		1.3.2.3 Rive	erine Forested Wetland	6	
	1.4	Project History, Conta	acts, and Attribute Data	7	
2.	Succes	s Criteria		7	
	2.1	Vegetation		7	
	2.2	Hydrology		8	
		2.2.1 Proposed Ch	langes to the Success Criteria	9	
3.	Monito	ing Plan Guideline	S	9	
	3.1	Hydrology		10	
	3.2	Vegetation		11	
		3.2.1 Number of P	lots Installed	11	
		3.2.2 Vegetation P	lot Records (Levels)	11	
		3.2.3 Photograph	Stations	11	
4.	Mainte	nance and Conting	ency Plans	11	

Table of Contents

5.	As-Built/Baseline Conditions					
	5.1	Vegetation	12			
	5.2	Photo Documentation	12			
6.	Refere	nces	12			

Tables

Table 1	Project Components, Bishop Road Wetland Restoration
Table 2	Project Activity and Reporting History, Bishop Road Wetland Restoration
Table 3	Project Contacts, Bishop Road Wetland Restoration
Table 4	Project Attributes, Bishop Road Wetland Restoration

Figures

Figure 1	Project Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Project Attributes
Figure 3	Monitoring Devise Locations

Appendices

Appendix A	DCM Notice of Violation issued to the North Carolina Department of Transportation
Appendix B	As Built Plan Sheets
Appendix C	Groundwater Monitoring Gauge Data
Appendix D	Vegetation Data Sheets
Appendix E	DCM Acceptance
Appendix F	Vegetation Plot Photographs

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

Executive Summary

The Bishop Road site was first identified for potential wetland mitigation by North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) circa 2001. Work on the project with North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) began in 2005 after the formation of NCEEP. The project was first bid for construction in May 2007 and all bids exceeded the estimated project costs. The project was scaled back and a few construction requirements were eliminated. The project was rebid in July 2007. Construction began in March 2008 and was completed in February 2009. The site is owned by NCDOT but will be managed by NCEEP through the monitoring period.

The overall goals of the restoration project are to:

- Restore hydrology.
- Restore natural diverse wetland communities.
- Protect site from vehicle access, logging or development

These goals will be achieved through the following objectives:

- Remove earthen roads and fill roadside drainage ditches.
- Remove bedding rows in select areas and replant areas to establish natural plant communities, non-riverine pine flatwoods, coastal marshes and riverine forested wetlands
- Purchase property fee simple, put under conservation in perpetuity, and install vehicle access barriers

Mitigation components resulting from the project are coastal marsh restoration and preservations, riverine forested wetland restoration and preservation and non-riverine pine flatwood restoration and preservation. The restoration types and amounts were modified during the construction due mainly to plant community nomenclature and inaccuracy of the topographic survey. These modifications deviate significantly from names and amounts presented in the July 2006 Restoration Plan. Approximately 36 acres of non-riverine pine flatwood restoration was removed to reduce construction costs. The tidal freshwater marsh community is now referred to coastal marsh at the request of NCEEP and the Department of Coastal Management. A 2.2-acre section of tidal freshwater marsh/coastal marsh located west of Old Bishop Road was changed to non-riverine pine flatwood due to inaccurate survey elevations. ARCADIS developed the design based on topographic survey information provided by a third party. Based on the survey elevations and its proximity to open water this area was slated for marsh restoration. After the area was cleared during construction, it was obvious that the area was significantly higher than the survey depicted. DWQ Buffer Rule Clarification #2007-009 (issued after the Bishop Road Restoration Plan was developed) allows for riparian buffer

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

restoration mitigation credit to be generated adjacent to coastal wetlands. Due to this clarification, areas adjacent to the two coastal marsh restoration areas at the project site became available for riparian buffer restoration. At the request of EEP, ARCADIS relabeled these areas from 0.171 acres of non-riverine pine flatwood restoration to 0.171 acres of riparian buffer restoration.

Restoration on the site was accomplished by removing existing earthen roadways, using this material to fill existing roadside ditches, clearing vegetation from non-jurisdictional areas and replanted the areas with appropriate native vegetation. The roadside ditches drain hydric soils on site and prevent hydrologic connectivity across the site. All restoration areas, except coastal marsh, were ripped prior to planting.

The restoration plan includes 0.343 acre of coastal marsh restoration, 0.171 acre of riparian buffer restoration, 184.0 acres of coastal marsh preservation, 56.3 acres non-riverine pine flatwood restoration, 332.5 acres of non-riverine pine flatwood preservation, 1.0 acre of riverine forested wetland restoration and 61.7 acres of riverine forested wetland preservation. Non-jurisdictional areas which are not being claimed for mitigation comprise 51.0 acres. Site performance will be documented for a minimum of five years or until success criteria is met; whichever is longer, with data collected from groundwater monitoring gauges and vegetation monitoring plots. Each monitoring year's data will be compared to the previous year's data to document site progression.

Baseline woody vegetation density ranged from 324 (Plot 25) to 1781 (Plot 18) stems per acre. These tabulations included planted and volunteer species. Plot 25 is located in coastal marsh. The planting density for woody stems in this community was 258 stems per acre. Additional herbaceous vegetation was planted but not tallied because it was dormant and could not be located.

- Several items occurred after the installation of the monitoring devices and the baseline monitoring that may affect the data collection or future data comparison.
- Gauge 25 (located in coastal marsh at the end of Silverthorne Road) was inundated. It is expected to be replaced in the winter of 2009 by the NCEEP monitoring firm.
- Gauge 23 (located on the old Weyerhauser Service Road) was destroyed by a black bear. It is expected to be replaced in the winter of 2009 by the NCEEP monitoring firm.
- Vegetation Plot 21 (located in non-riverine pine flatwoods) did not meet the initial planting density (486 verses 538). This is only 2 stems below the specified density. Given that all other sites were planted well above the specified density and this plot is meeting the year 3 vegetation success criteria of 320 stems per acre, this is not considered an issue.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

1. Project Goals, Background, and Attributes

1.1 Location and Setting

The Bishop Road site (site) is situated along Bishop Road (SR 1156), between US 264 and the Pungo River, in Hyde County (Figure 1). It is approximately 1 mile (1.6 kilometers) north of Scranton, 5 miles (8.05 kilometers) southeast of Leechville, and 10 miles (16.09 kilometers) east of Belhaven. The 691.7-acre site (279.9-hectare) is owned by the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and will be managed by the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) over the monitoring period.

Directions:

From Raleigh, take US 64 east towards Wendell. Merge onto US 264 East. Continue on US 264 approximately 135 miles. Turn right onto Silverthorne Road. The site abuts the intersection of US 264 and Bishop Road and extends to the west and north. Silverthorne Road is approximately 4 miles east of the Intercoastal Waterway/US 264 crossing. For a Project Vicinity Map refer to Figure 1.

The Bishop Road site lies approximately 1 mile (1.6 kilometers) north of Scranton along US 264. The site is bordered to the northwest by Tarklin Creek, the south by Scranton Creek, and the west by the Pungo River. The remainder of the site is bordered by roads, managed timber areas, agricultural fields, and wooded or undeveloped lands (Figure 2). Bishop Road is an improved gravel road. Silverthorne Road and Weyerhaeuser Service Road are unimproved gravel and soil roads. The Bishop Road site is within the lower Tar-Pamlico River basin, United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03020104, and North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) subbasin 03-03-07 (NCDWQ, 2004).

The primary land-use classification within the project vicinity is forested woodland, which includes the pine plantation and riverine forested wetland communities present onsite. Agricultural land, forested woodland, and two residences occur along Bishop and Silverthorne Roads and adjacent to the Bishop Road site.

The project reference communities are located on site. Groundwater monitoring gauges were installed in the reference communities. Refer to as-built sheets for gauge locations.

1.2 Project Goals and Objectives

The NCEEP retained ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina, Inc. (ARCADIS) to conduct restoration activities at the Bishop Road site in Hyde County, North Carolina. This restoration effort was initiated

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

to satisfy mitigation requirements for NCDOT impacts to wetlands that occurred within the Tar-Pamlico River Basin. The goals, objectives and system of measurement of this restoration effort are listed below:

1.2.1 Goals

- Restore site hydrology.
- Restore natural diverse wetland communities.
- Protect site from vehicle access, logging or development

1.2.2 Objectives

- Remove earthen roads and fill roadside drainage ditches.
- Remove bedding rows in selected areas and replant areas to establish natural plant communities, non-riverine pine flatwoods, coastal marshes and riverine forested wetlands
- Purchase property fee simple, put under conservation in perpetuity and install vehicle access barriers
- 1.2.3 System of Measurement
- Document hydrology with groundwater monitoring gauges
- Document vegetation development with permanent 10 m x 10 m plots
- Document vehicle access through visual observation

1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach

1.3.1 Project Structure

Mitigation components resulting from the project are coastal marsh restoration and preservations, riverine forested wetland restoration and preservation and non-riverine pine flatwood restoration and preservation and coastal marsh riparian buffer restoration. Figure 2 shows the location of the project components and Table 1 presents acreage of each component.

The restoration types and amounts were modified during the construction due mainly to plant community nomenclature and inaccuracy of the topographic survey. These modifications deviate significantly from names and amounts presented in the July 2006 Restoration Plan. Approximately

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

36.0 acres of non-riverine pine flatwood restoration were removed to reduce construction costs. The tidal freshwater marsh community is now referred to coastal marsh at the request of NCEEP and the Department of Coastal Management. A 2.2-acre section of tidal freshwater marsh/coastal marsh located west of Old Bishop Road was changed to non-riverine pine flatwood due to inaccurate survey elevations. ARCADIS developed the design based on topographic survey information provided by a third part. Based on the survey elevations and its proximity to open water, this area was slated for marsh restoration. After the area was cleared during construction, it was obvious that the area was significantly higher than the survey depicted. A small section of non-riverine pine flatwood restoration (0.171 acre) was changed to riparian buffer restoration. This change resulted from the need of riparian buffer credits in the area.

Vehicle access barriers comprised of concrete Jersey barriers, an earthen berm and a metal gate were installed on site. The locations of these structures are shown on the as built drawings (Appendix B). The Jersey barriers were installed in three locations; two adjacent to US 264 (Sheets 2 and 4) and one at the northern end of Bishop Road (Sheet 7). A metal gate was installed at the end of Silverthorne Road on private property (Sheet 3). It was installed at this location at the request of and in coordination with the property owner. The earthen berm was installed at the intersection of Bishop Road (Sheet 2).

1.3.2 Restoration Type and Approach

1.3.2.1 Coastal Marsh Wetlands

The restoration plan includes 0.343 acres of coastal marsh restoration at two locations. The first and larger area (0.246 acre) is located at the northern end of Bishop Road (Sheet 5) on the main branch of Tarklin Creek. The area consisted of an earthen road bed approximately 32 feet wide and approximately 2.5 feet higher than the adjacent marsh. Restoration was accomplished by removing the earthen fill to an elevation within ±0.2 feet of the adjacent marsh. The fill material was used to raise the elevation of the adjacent to the same elevation as the marsh and regarded road. The restored area was planted with vegetation representative of the adjacent marsh, included black needle rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), Sawgrass (*Cladium jamaicense*), smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alternifloria*) and pickerelweed (*Pontederia cordata*). Soils in the marsh consist of Longshoal mucky peat, a hydric A soil.

The second and smaller area (0.097 acre) is located near the end of Silverthorne Road (Sheet 3). Silverthorne Road crosses a small tidal slough of Scranton Creek at this location. There was no culvert under Silverthorne Road at this location. This disconnected the small slough upstream of Silverthorne Road from tidal flow. Sawgrass *(Cladium jamaicense)* is the dominate vegetation on the downstream (the tidal side) of the road. The upstream side was dominated by bare ground. This

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

significant difference in vegetation is a result of the disconnection from tidal flow. The roadway was removed and graded to an elevation within 0.2 foot of the adjacent slough elevations and replanted with the same suite of coastal marsh herbaceous vegetation as the above location. Soils in the area consist of Bolling loamy fine sand, a hydric B soil.

DCM representative Steve Trowell inspected both coastal marsh restoration areas during construction. Final construction elevations of the coastal marsh areas were provided to DCM. They accepted the final grades. A copy of their acceptance letter is shown in Appendix E.

1.3.2.2 Non-Riverine Pine Flatwood Wetlands

The non-riverine pine flatwood restoration areas include 56.3 acres of non-jurisdictional areas within the existing planted pine, and roadbed areas throughout the site. Non-riverine pine flatwood restoration was accomplished by clearing and grubbing non-jurisdictional 10-15 year old loblolly pine plantation then replanting with the appropriate wetland vegetation. The bedding rows were graded to a more natural contour. Existing roadways were also removed and adjacent ditches filled with the roadbed material to the elevation of the adjacent non-riverine pine flatwood community. The depth of cut on the roadways average around 1.5 feet. The depth of the adjacent ditches averaged around 2.5 feet. These areas were also replanted. Soils within the non-riverine pine flatwood restoration consist of Aeredale silt loam, Argent loam, Chapanoke silt loam and Yeopin silt loam, all of which are hydric.

The site was cleared by first removing the pine trees. Trees were cut at the base, leaving the roots in the ground, and then chipped. The chips were hauled off site. Branches and bark were burned on site. The tree roots were grubbed using a "rake" attached to a track excavator. This also removed the bedding rows. Root material was burned on site.

1.3.2.3 Riverine Forested Wetland

The restoration plan provided restoration of 1.0 acre of riverine forested wetland. Riverine forested wetlands restoration was accomplished by removing an earthen road bed. The road material was used to fill drainage ditches adjacent to the roadbed. Target restoration elevations were designed to be within \pm 0.2 foot of the adjacent target community elevations. An initial survey revealed that the desired elevations had not been met. The contractor was required to re-grade the area to design specifications. A post construction topographic survey verified that final elevations were within the target range. Soils within the adjacent riverine wetlands consist of Belhaven muck, a hydric A soil. Trees removed to accomplish the riverine wetland restoration were a few 10-15 year old loblolly pines located on the ditch banks. After clearing, grubbing and grading, the area was replanted with riverine wetland species, including bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*), water tupelo (*Nyssa aquitica*), tag alder (*Alnus serrulata*) and various oak (*Quercus*) species.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

1.4 Project History, Contacts, and Attribute Data

The Bishop Road site was first identified for potential wetland mitigation by NCDOT circa 2001. NCDOT intended to develop the site as a wetland mitigation bank. NCDOT's local division received a notice of violation (NOV) in 2000 from the North Carolina Division of Coastal management (DCM) which required the restoration of 0.016 acre of coastal marsh at the Bishop Road site. Appendix A contains the NOV letter. However, during the design period, the NCEEP formed. The majority of NCDOT's mitigation projects were transferred to NCEEP. Work on the project with NCEEP began in 2005. The project was first bid for construction in May 2007 and all bids exceeded the estimated project costs. The project was scaled back and a few construction requirements were eliminated. The project was rebid in July 2007 and Kris-Gray Construction out of Jamesville, North Carolina, was the lowest bidder. Construction began in March 2008 and was completed in December 2008, including site planting. Tables 2, 3, and 4 summarize the site characteristics.

2. Success Criteria

In order to determine if the restoration site is performing as designed, performance criteria to monitor the development of the site are required. Monitoring provides quantitative data and documentation of changes occurring at the site. The criteria include monitoring vegetation development and changes in groundwater elevations. All post-construction monitoring data will be compared to the preconstruction data and reference data. This comparison will show whether the site is progressing towards the desired outcome. It is expected that as the monitoring period progresses the site will begin to develop a more "natural" appearance.

2.1 Vegetation

Vegetation success within the restored non-riverine pine flatwood and riverine forested areas will be measured on survivability over the 5-year monitoring period or until success criteria are met, whichever is longer. Success in restored non-riverine and riverine wetland areas will be based on criteria established by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE); 320 stems per acre surviving after 3 years, 288 stems after 4 years, and 260 stems after 5 years (USACE 2003). Success in Riparian Buffer Restoration areas will be based on criteria established by the NC Division of Water Quality; 320 stems surviving year 5 (Tar-Pam River basin Buffer Rules). Vegetation monitoring will follow protocol outlined in the NCEEP-CVS Level 2 and 3 guidelines. CVS Level 2 data collection allows for native naturalized plants to be counted towards success criteria. A survey of vegetation during the 230-day growing season (March 27 to November 12) (Gagnon 1999) will be conducted annually over the monitoring period in order to determine survival rate of the installed plantings. This survey will track the survivability on an annual basis and be used to calculate survivability at the end of the monitoring period. Survival of fewer than 320 stems per acre at the end of 3 years and fewer than 260 stems per

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

acre at the end of 5 years will require the identification and implementation of appropriate contingency measures by the NCEEP. If the contingency measures involve re-planting an area, stock of the same size/age as growing planted stems on site that were part of the original planting will be used.

Coastal marsh monitoring will follow NCEEP-CVS Level 3 guidelines in which herbaceous percent cover is calculated. The restored areas will be compared to the reference area percent cover. A significant variation between the two will indicate a possible concern and may require further investigation into the cause of the difference. Restored areas that sustain a plant species commonly found in salt/brackish marshes in the region that are tolerant to flooding or irregular flooding and high salt concentrations will be considered successful. Specifically species would be one or more of the following: smooth cordgrass, black needlerush, glasswort, salt grass, sea lavender, salt marsh bulrush, saw grass, cattail, salt meadow cordgrass, and big cordgrass. In addition, NC DCM's jurisdictional claim of a restored coastal marsh area at the Bishop Road project site will constitute restoration success.

2.2 Hydrology

The regulatory wetland hydrology criteria require saturation (free water) within 1 foot of the soil surface for 5 percent of the growing season under normal climatic conditions or the time period established by the reference gauges (USACE 1987). This equates to 12 consecutive days for the 230-days long growing season in Hyde County (March 27 to November 12) (Gagnon 1999). Thirteen shallow groundwater gauges located within the reference and restored wetland areas will monitor site hydrology throughout the monitoring period. Gauges will measure the water table over a 40-inch vertical column on a daily basis. Gauges will be downloaded at the beginning, middle and end of the growing season each year.

Pre-construction ground water data was collected during 2006, one of the driest years on record in North Carolina. The data are presented in Appendix C. The original intent of collecting these data was to use it to develop success criteria and comparison to post-construction data. However, because of the abbreviated time period of the data collection and it being collected during an atypical year, it will not be used for these purposes. This does not mean that it may not be used in the future. It just will not be currently used to develop success criteria.

Success criteria will be based on the aforementioned USACE criteria. In the event that the restoration sites do not meet those criteria, the restoration area hydroperiods will be compared to the onsite reference hydroperiods. A deviation beyond 25 percent of the hydroperiod of the reference gauges will be considered not to meet the success criteria.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

Restoration areas will be monitored annually for 5 years following construction or until success criteria are met, whichever is longer.

2.2.1 Proposed Changes to the Success Criteria

Proposed changes to the Success Criteria

EEP proposes that the success criteria for restored wetland areas at the Bishop Road site be tied to an increase in pine flats and coastal marsh wetland functions post-construction and on-site reference conditions throughout the monitoring period rather than the typical regulatory success criteria of soil saturation for 5% of the given county's growing season. Proposed success criteria for non-riparian and riparian wetland areas are as follows:

Hydrologic success criteria at the restored site will be met if the site demonstrates saturation for a maximum deviation of 30% from the duration of saturation at the reference site during the growing season. Saturation is defined here as groundwater being present within 12" of the soil surface. The growing season for Hyde County is 230 days (March 27 to November 12) as defined by Gagnon, 1999. Five percent of the growing season in Hyde County equates to 12 consecutive days. However, anything less than 5% saturation for the growing season will not be considered "successful" (USACE 1987).

Hydrological Monitoring Approach

Thirteen shallow groundwater gauges located within the reference and restored wetland areas will monitor site hydrology throughout the monitoring period. Gauges will measure the water table over a 40-inch vertical column on a daily basis. Gauges will be downloaded at the beginning, middle and end of the growing season each year.

Pre-construction ground water data was collected during 2006, one of the driest years on record in North Carolina. The data is presented in Appendix C. The original intent of collecting this data was to use it to develop success criteria and comparison to post-construction data. However, because of the abbreviated time period of the data collection and it being collected during an atypical year, it will not be used for these purposes. Success criteria will be based on the aforementioned yearly criteria and in the event that the yearly criteria is not met, the standard USACE criteria will be used.

Restoration areas will be monitored annually for five years following construction or until success criteria are met, whichever is longer.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

3. Monitoring Plan Guidelines

Monitoring locations were selected by NCEEP. The following language was provided by NCEEP and presents their methodology for selecting the locations. Gauge locations are shown on Figure 3 and the as-built drawing.

Locations of monitoring gauges and vegetation plots were selected using a stratified random method. The site was stratified by treatment (preservation or restoration), vegetation class and soil type.

Previously-established reference gauges in preservation areas were used for the marsh and riverine forest areas (gauges #7 and #14, respectively; see "Bishop Road Wetland Restoration Project, Final Restoration Plan," August 2006). The previous reference gauge for the non-riverine forest (gauge #10) was replaced because it is not in an area determined to be a jurisdictional wetland. Two new reference gauges (#15 and #16) were located at randomly-selected points in two predominant soil types (Argent and Hydeland) in the non-riverine forest preservation area that was determined to be a jurisdictional wetland.

For monitoring the restored portion of the site, at least one gauge and an adjacent vegetation plot were placed at randomly-selected points in each vegetation class. Because of the size and variability of the restored non-riverine forest area, five locations (#17- #21) were chosen, one in each of the five predominant soil types (Acredale, Argent, Chapanoke, Hydeland and Yeopim) with a sixth location (#22) in the southeast corner of the site (due to its distance from the other locations). One location (#23) was chosen in the restored riverine forest area. One location was chosen in each of the two restored coastal marsh areas (#24 and #25) because of expected differences in vegetation composition (*Juncus*-dominant vs *Cladium*-dominant) and lack of proximity.

3.1 Hydrology

Ground water hydrology will be monitored using 13 Remote Data Systems (RDS) water level gauges. Eight gauges were installed in the non-riverine pine flatwoods (including two reference gauges), three gauges in the coastal marsh (including one reference), and two in the riverine forested wetlands (including one reference). The number and location of the gauges were selected by NCEEP (see above). The gauges were installed on February 10-11, 2009, and were programmed to collect a reading once daily at 8:00 am. Two of the reference gauges (#7 and #14) were installed in March 2006 prior to construction activities and are collecting data points daily at 8:00 AM. Gauges will be downloaded at the beginning, middle and end of the growing season. The location and gauge number are shown on the as built drawing in Appendix B and preconstruction gauges data are presented in Appendix C.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

3.2 Vegetation

3.2.1 Number of Plots Installed

Monitoring will follow NCEEP-CVS protocol. CVS Level 2 protocol will be used in wetland restoration areas and Level 3 protocol will be used in the coastal marsh restoration areas. Nine permanent vegetation monitoring plots, each measuring approximately 1,076 square feet (100 square meters), were installed on February 10-11, 2009: five within the non-riverine pine flatwoods, two within the coastal marsh, and one within the riverine forested wetland. The number and location of the plots were selected by NCEEP and are shown on the as-built drawing in Appendix B. Planted and volunteer individuals were documented. Each specimen was flagged after the appropriate data were collected. This ensured that individuals were not recounted and may make individuals easier to locate in subsequent monitoring events.

Monitoring locations are numbered 17-25. This numbering avoids confusing post-construction monitoring locations with pre-construction monitoring locations. Pre-construction locations were numbered 1-14. Two locations, 7 and 14, used for pre-construction monitoring will also be used as post-construction reference sites.

3.2.2 Vegetation Plot Records (Levels)

Level 2 methodology was applied to all plots. This documents all planted and volunteer woody species. The coastal marsh plots had herbaceous vegetation plugs planted in them. However, due to the time of year, the vegetation plugs were dormant and could not be tallied. The planted and volunteer woody stems were recorded in these plots using Level 2.

3.2.3 Photograph Stations

Photographs were taken on February 10-11, 2009, from the origin of each vegetation plot facing the opposite corner. Photographs will be taken from the same perspective in subsequent years monitoring. Vegetation monitoring data sheets are included in Appendix C.

4. Maintenance and Contingency Plans

In the event that the above identified success criteria are not met and it appears that there is a downward trend of the site's performance, remedial actions will be discussed with the NCEEP project manager. Actions could include the installation of additional plants or the reinstallation of a monitoring gauge to ensure correct installation practices were followed.

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

Visual assessments will also be made to determine site success. Concrete barriers were installed to prevent vehicle access to the site. If evidence of vehicle traffic is observed during site monitoring visits, NCEEP will be contacted to identify remedial actions.

5. As-Built/Baseline Conditions

Following construction, a baseline survey of the site was performed. The baseline survey documents the final constructed aspects of the site. Subsequent yearly monitoring will be compared with the baseline data in order to observe performance of the restoration activities. The baseline survey consisted of a topographic survey (conducted by the contractor) of the site to ensure the site was constructed within the designed specifications and tallying vegetation numbers within each vegetation plot. The coastal marsh as-built information was sent to The Division of Coastal management (DCM) for acceptance. Steve Trowell, DCM's field representative, accepted the as-built information via email on May 28, 2009 (Appendix E).

5.1 Vegetation

Baseline vegetation data was collected on February 10 and 11, 2009, concurrently with the installation of the monitoring gauges. The CVS data forms are presented in Appendix D. Baseline woody vegetation density ranged from 324 (Plot 25) to 1781 (Plot 18) stems per acre. These tabulations included planted and volunteer woody species. If necessary, determinations of woody growth habit will be based on characteristics as identified in the <u>USDA PLANTS database</u>. Plot 25 is located in coastal marsh. The planting density for woody stems in this community was 258 stems per acre. Additional herbaceous vegetation was planted but not tallied because it was dormant and could not be located.

5.2 Photo Documentation

Appendix F contains baseline photos of each vegetation plot. Photos were taken on February 10-11, 2009, shortly after planting was completed.

6. References

- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1. United States Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Gagnon, J.A., Jr. 1999. Soil Survey of Hyde County, North Carolina. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service in cooperation with North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, North

Bishop Road Wetland Restoration – Hyde County

Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, Hyde County Soil and Water Conservation District, and Hyde County Board of Commissioners

- North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ). 2004. Tar-Pamlico River Basinwide Water Quality Management Plan. Prepared by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, NCDWQ Water Quality Section.
- North Carolina State University A&T State University Cooperative Extension, Hyde County web page. http://hyde.ces.ncsu.edu/Publications/specialtycrops.php accessed on 2/17/09
- Schafale, M.P., and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina, A Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, Raleigh, NC.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. Website <u>http://www.saw.usace.army.mil/wetlands/Mitigation/Documents/Stream/STREAM%20MITIGATI</u> <u>ON%20GUIDELINE%20TEXT.pdf</u>.
- United States Geological Survey. 7.5-minute topographical quadrangle maps. Ponzer, North Carolina. Revised 1983.

TABLES

Table 1. Project Components							
Bishop Road Wetland Restoration, Hyde County, SCO # 050653801							
Buffer Acres Buffer Acres Approach Approach Comments							
Non-Riverine Pine Flatwoods		R	R	56.3	N/A	N/A	Loblolly pine and road beds removed and replanted with suite of native species
Non-Riverine Pine Flatwoods	332.5	N/A	Р	332.5	N/A	N/A	
Coastal Marsh							
Bishop Road		R	R	0.246	N/A	N/A	Road beds removed and replanted with suite of native species
Silverthorne		R	R	0.097	N/A	N/A	Road beds removed and replanted with suite of native species
Coastal Marsh	184.0	N/A	Р	184.0	N/A	N/A	
Coastal Marsh Riparian Buffer		R	R	0.171	N/A	N/A	Road beds removed and replanted with suite of native species
Riverine Forested		R	R	1.0	N/A	N/A	Road beds removed and replanted with suite of native species
Riverine Forested	61.7	N/A	Р	61.7	N/A	N/A	

Component Summations							
Restoration	Stream	Riparian	Wetland	Non-	Upland (Ac)	Buffer	Coastal
Level	(lf)	(A	lc)	Riparian		(Ac)	Marsh
				Wetland			
				(Ac)			
		Riverine	Non-				
			Riverine				
Restoration	N/A	1.0	56.3	0	N/A	0.171	0.343
Enhancement	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enhancement I	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enhancement II	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Creation	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Preservation	N/A	61.7	332.5	0	N/A	N/A	184.0
HQ Preservation	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	62.7	388.8	0	N/A	0.171	184.343
		02.7	000.0	Ŭ		0.171	

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Bishop Road Wetland Restoration Site HydeCounty, SCO # 050653801					
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery			
Restoration Plan	December 2006	August 2006			
Construction	N/A	December 2008			
Planting Activities	N/A	January 2009			
Mitigation Plan / As-Built (Year 0 Monitoring – Baseline)	February 2009	July 2009			

Table 3. Project Contacts Table Bishop Road Wetland Restoration Site Hyde County, SCO # 050653801				
Designer ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina, Inc.	801 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27607 Robert Lepsic (919) 854-1282 x.195 (office)			
Construction Contractor Kris-Grey Construction, Inc.	P.O. Box 499 Jamesville, NC 27846 Mitch Dotson (252) 799-6607 (mobile)			
Planting Contractor Habitat Assessment and Restoration Program, Inc.	9305-D Monroe Road Charlotte, NC 28270 Alan Peoples (704) 841-2841 (office) (704) 975-0881 (mobile)			
Seed Mix Supplier	Permanent Seed – ERNST Seeds Meadville PA 16335 800-873-3321 Temporary Seed – Indian Creek Farms Midway, AL 888-307-8773 Evergreen Seed, LLC Rice, VA 23966			
Nursery Stock Supplier	Mellow Marsh Farms Siler City, NC 919-742-1200 Coastal Plain Conservation Nursery Edenton, NC 252-482-5707			

	South Carolina Super Tree Nursery
	Blenheim, SC
	843-528-3943
	Weyerhaeuser NR Company
	Atlanta, GA
	800-221-4898
Monitoring Performers	Not yet selected

Table 4. Project Attributes					
Bishop Road Wetland Restoration, Hyde County, SCO # 050653801					
Project County	Hyde				
Physiographic Region	Coastal				
Ecoregion	Chesapeake-Pamlico Lowlands and Tidal Marshes				
Project River basin	Tar-Pamilco				
USGS HUC for Project (14	030401020100				
digit)	000101020100				
NCDWQ Sub-basin for	03-03-07				
Project					
Identify Planning Area (LWP,	Not in RBRP or LWP				
RBRP, other)					
WRC Classification (Warm,	N/A				
Cool, Cold)					
% of project easement	0%				
fenced or demarcated					
Beaver activity observed	Νο				
during design phase?					
	Restoration Components Attributes				
Drainage Area	N/A				
Stream Order	N/A				
Enhancement level I Length	N/A				
(ft)					
Perennial or Intermittent	N/A				
Watershed Type (Rural,	Rural				
Urban(izing), etc.)					
Watershed LULC					
Distribution					
	0%				
Residential					
	0%				
Agricultural- Row Crop					
	0%				
Agricultural, Livestock,					
Forested	100%				
Watershed Impervious	<1%				
cover %					
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	N/A				
NCDWQ Classification	N/A				
303d listed?	No				
Upstream of 303d listed	Νο				
Segment?					
Reason for 303d listing or	N/A				
stressor					
Total acreage of easement	683.0				

Total vegetated acreage		683.0			
within the easement					
Total planted acreage as		57.8			
part of the restoration					
Rosgen classification of pre-		N/A			
existing					
Rosgen classification of As-		N/A			
built					
Valley type		N/A			
Valley slope %		N/A			
Valley side slope range %	N/A				
Valley toe slope range %	N/A				
Trout waters designation	None				
Species of concern,		Yes			
endangered, etc (Y/N)					
Dominant soil series and					
characteristics					
Series	Acredale	Argent	Hydeland		
Depth Class	Very deep	Very deep	Very deep		
Clay %	5-34	10-60	5-35		
к	0.37	0.24	0.17		
Т	3	5	5		

FIGURES





PROJECT ATTRIBUTES Bishop Road Wetland Mitigation Site HYDE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA Image: 1993 USGS DOQQ

Figure No.



Appendix A

DCM Notice of Violation issued to the North Carolina Department of Transportation



North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Table Constant Management and an an

Michael F. Easley, Governor Charles S. Jones, Director William G. Ross Jr., Secretary · In order when intend was making with Northelick start created as a second and a first support of the October 6, 2005

Clay Willis N.C. Department of Transportation 113 Airport Drive Suite 100 Edenton, NC 27932

and a second SUBJECT: CD05-048 - Consistency Concurrence for Proposed Impact to 0.09 Acres of Wetlands to complete widening of SR 1149/1150 (Montgomery Road) near SR 1143 (Sladesville Road), Hyde County (DCM#20050076)

and the state of the second second

Dear Mr. Willis:

Manufacture

معادة وأرتبه المحكم ومعقو وماريه The Division of Coastal Management (DCM) received on August 31, 2005 the consistency certification by the N.C. Department of Transportation (NCDOT) that the above referenced project would be consistent with the enforceable policies of North Carolina's coastal management program. NCDOT proposes to impact 0.09 acres of wetland when it widens 0.49 miles of SR 1149/1150 (Montgomery Road) near SR 1143 (Sladesville Road) in Hyde County. This project is part of a larger 4-mile long road-widening project that began in January 1999. The project was initiated without benefit of a CAMA permit and the NCDOT was issued a violation notice by DCM on September 18, 2000, for unauthorized impacts to 0.016 acres of coastal wetlands. To correct this situation, NCDOT, as part of this project, proposes to mitigate the impacts to the 0.016 acres of coastal wetlands at a 2:1 restoration ratio.

North Carolina's coastal management program consists of, but is not limited to, the Coastal Area Management Act, the State's Dredge and Fill Law, and the land use plan of the County and/or local municipality in which the proposed project is located. It is the objective of DCM to manage the State's coastal resources to ensure that proposed activities are compatible with safeguarding and perpetuating the biological, social, economic and aesthetic values of the State's coastal resources.

To solicit public comments, DCM published a public notice in the "Washington Daily News" on September 8, 2005 and circulated a description of the proposed project to State agencies that would have a regulatory interest in the proposed development. No comments were received asserting that the proposed project would be inconsistent with North Carolina's coastal management program.

DCM has reviewed the consistency submission pursuant to the enforceable policies of North Carolina's coastal management program, including the management objectives of Section 15A NCAC 07M of North Carolina's Administrative Code. DCM concurs, as conditioned below, that the proposed project is consistent with the enforceable policies of North Carolina's coastal RECEIVED management program.

JUN 21 2007

400 Commerce Avenue, Morehead City, North Carolina 28557-3421 NC ECOSYSTEM Phone: 252-808-2808 \ FAX: 252-247-3330 \ Internet: www.nccoastalmanagement.net

In order to be found consistent with North Carolina's coastal management program, a 401 Water Quality Certification shall be obtained and a copy provided to DCM prior to initiation of construction. The N.C. Division of Water Quality (DWQ) is currently reviewing the proposed project (DWQ Project Noi 00-0895) under General Water Quality Certification No. 3536

- In order to be found consistent with North Carolina's coastal management program, DCM requires that compensatory mitigation for the wetland impacts incurred by the widening of the full 4 miles of SR 1149/1150 (2.35 acres of non-riverine wetland impacts and 0.03 acres of coastal marsh wetland impacts) be provided through wetland restoration at a 2:1 ratio at the Bishop Road mitigation site in Hyde County. In accordance with the consistency certification submitted by NCDOT, mitigation for the project will be mitigated for at the Bishop Road Mitigation Site. In accordance with the letter from the Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, dated 5/31/05, the EEP has committed to provide the mitigation for the 2.35 acres of non-riverine wetland and 0.03 acres of coastal marsh wetland impact associated with this project.
- In order to be found consistent with North Carolina's coastal management program, DCM requires that the wetland restoration for the 2.35 acres of non-riverine wetland and 0.03 acres of coastal marsh wetland impact associated with this project be implemented as soon as possible. DCM remains very concerned that wetland impacts incurred in 1999 have not yet been mitigated. Failure to quickly initiate this mitigation could lead to the issuance of a notice of continuing violation to NCDOT.

Should the project be modified, a revised consistency certification could be necessary. This might take the form of either a supplemental consistency certification pursuant to 15 CFR 930.66, or a new consistency certification pursuant to 15 CFR 930.57. Likewise, if further project assessments reveal environmental impacts not previously considered by the proposed development, a supplemental consistency certification might be required. If you have any questions, please contact Cathy Brittingham at (919) 733-2293 x238 or via e-mail at Cathy.Brittingham@ncmail.net. Thank you for your consideration of the North Carolina coastal management program.

Sincerely

ourlas V Huggett Doug Huggett

Manager, Major Permits and Consistency Unit

cc: Bill Biddlecome, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Bill Gilmore, Ecosystem Enhancement Program Terry Moore, Division of Coastal Management Stephen Rynas. Division of Coastal Management Wanda Gooden, Division of Coastal Management Garcy Ward, NC Division of Water Quality Bill Moore, NC Division of Water Quality

Appendix B

As-Built Plan Sheets







BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION, CURRITUCK TWP., HYDE COUNTY, NC REFERENCE TO PLAT CAB. C SLIDES 77-K THRU 78-F AND PLANS PREPARED BY ARCADIS G & M OF NORTH CAROLINA, 801 CORPORATE CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 300, RALEIGH, NC 27607

AS BUILT OVERLAY LAYER BISHOP ROAD



DISTANCES HORIZONTAL COORIDINATES ARE NCDOT LOCAL SYSTEM BASED ON USGS MONU N-244

NC GRID



BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT SCO # 050653801 NCDERN PROJECT # D08017S REVISED 5-13-2009 REMOVED CONC. BARRIER SILVERTHORNE RD SR 1157



LEGEND	
≜≜	TREE LINE
	NON-RIVERINE RESTORATION AREA
	COASTAL MARSH OR RIVERINE RESTORATION AREA
	MARSH LINE
MW or 🖄	MONITORING WELL
EIP OR EIR	EXISTING IRON PIPE OR ROD
WS	WOOD STAKE
. or NPS	NO POINT SET
	PLOTTED OR COMPUTED LINES

Ν	654889.6310
Е	2760812.1492


BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION, CURRITUCK TWP., HYDE COUNTY, NC REFERENCE TO PLAT CAB. C SLIDES 77-K THRU 78-F AND PLANS PREPARED BY ARCADIS G & M OF NORTH CAROLINA, 801 CORPORATE CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 300, RALEIGH, NC 27607

AS BUILT OVERLAY LAYER PART OF ALIGN 2







NC GRID

DISTANCES HORIZONTAL COORIDINATES ARE NCDOT LOCAL SYSTEM BASED ON USGS MONU N-244



	BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT HYDE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA SCO # 050653801 NCDERN PROJECT # D08017S SHEET 9 OF 12 SHEETS MAY 4, 2009
÷	AS BUILT OVERLAY LAYER LOWER (SOUTHERN) PART OF ALIGN 4
	LEGEND
	NON-RIVERINE RESTORATION AREA COASTAL MARSH RESTORATION AREA
	MARSH LINE MW OF MONITORING WELL EIP OR EIR EXISTING IRON PIPE OR ROD WS WOOD STAKE
	or NPS NO POINT SET
	564.03.10"W. 2.0 3015 × 1.8 1.8 × 1.8 1.8 × 1.8 1.8 × 1.8
	2.4 NIR CP
	BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION, CURRITUCK TWP., HYDE COUNTY, NC REFERENCE TO PLAT CAB. C S AND PLANS PREPARED BY ARCADIS G & M OF NORTH CAROLINA, 801 CORPORATE CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 300





BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT HYDE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA SCO # 050653801 NCDERN PROJECT # D08017S SHEET 10 OF 12 SHEETS MAY 4, 2009 SHEET 11 OF 12 SHEETS MAY 4, 2009







ω ΤΟ Ο I OZH M 000 пΞ # O σŪ <u>ь ОО</u> ę $\Omega \prec \Box$ ω WETLA NORTI 8801 ⊢ N SHEE NHŃ CAROL DERN $\Box \cap$ \square -INA -PROJEC MAY 4, 0 Z \cap --16002 (0 # _ PROJE D0801 \cap 1 ഗ

Appendix C

Groundwater Monitoring Gauge Data





























Appendix D

Vegetation Data Sheets

BISHOP ROAD WETLAND RESTORATION 2009 BASELINE VEGETATION MONITORING DATA AND RESULTS

.

Note: Each plot totals 0.0247 acre in size.

Species*	Plot 17	Plot 18	Plot 19	Plot 20	Plot 21	Plot 22	Plot 23	Plot 24	Plot 25	Total Stems for Plots 17-25	Total Stems/Acre
Planted											
Aronia arbutifolis			-	7						Э	121
Baccharis halimifolia						6				6	364
Hibiscus moscheutos										1	40
llex opaca	1	3								4	162
Myrica cenifera	5	4	2	3	1		7			22	891
Nyssa aquatica							2			2	81
Pinus serotina	1									1	40
Quercus sp.	4	3	6	3	6	2	5			32	1296
Quercus phellos				2			1			3	121
Rosa palustris				4	1		1	6	8	23	931
Sambucus canadensis					3					e	121
Volunteer											
Acer rubrum	4	2	5	1		15				27	1093
Baccharis halimifolia				2		6				11	445
Clethra alnifolia	2	4	1							7	283
Liquidambae styraciftua	3	10	6	1	I					24	972
Myrica cenifera	4	4		2						01	405
Rhus copallina	14	12	3	6		3				41	1660
Quercus sp.		2								2	81
TOTAL STEMS/PLOT	38	44	30	29	12	38	16	10	8	225	6016
TOTAL STEMS/ACRE	1538	1781	1215	1174	486	1538	648	405	324		

•



Page __ of ____

vader: R.54	Project	Rich	a) Team	AS K		ot: /	>	Date: c	2 1/0	100		
		<u></u>	Coord	inate	<u>s</u>	dd	ź łh	Height		<u></u>	<u></u>	
Species Name	<u>Sou</u>	rce X	(0.1 m)	Y (0	- .1 m)	(1 n	am)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)) <u>Vi</u>	<u>gor</u>	Damage
QUEVEUS 3D	R		1.4	1.)	Ś,	8	58		6	1	<u> </u>
Myrica canifers	Ŕ		6.0	de	7	4.	ଟ	25	_	6	1	·
Quarant SD	R		7.0	5.	D	ы.	/	55	<u> </u>		/	·
M. coniters	R		. .4	8.	1	Ц.	Ø	44		4	/	
Pinus sensting	R).d	9.	8	Ч.	6	18	<u> </u>	۷		<u> </u>
M. coniters	R		5.6	q.	/	4.	3	18		4	/	
ILEX OPACS	R		5.5	5.	8	6.2	2	24	<u> </u>	6	1	
M. cenifera	R		0.5	Ч.	4	٦.	/	18	<u>~</u>	L	/	
Quercos SD	B		3,4	4.0	6	<u>5</u> .	9	57	<u> </u>			
M coniters	R		<u>3, d</u>	6.	4	6.4	1	47	<u> </u>	C	/	
QUEREDS	R		d.d	8.	5	6	4	64		6	1	<u> </u>
Machalia Virginia	R		1.5	9.	3	6.0	/	35	<u> </u>		1	
												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>(</u>	<u> </u>							۰.				
···									b er			
	<u> </u>											
												<u> </u>
<u></u>								ţ				
												1
Source: <u>Tr</u> ansplant, <u>L</u> ive <u>Tubling</u> , Bare <u>R</u> oot, <u>Mec</u>	e stake.] hanicall	<u>B</u> all and t y planted	ourlap, <u>P</u> ot, , <u>U</u> nknown				<u>1</u> =un	V 1gor: <u>4</u> = likely to survi	=excellent, <u>3</u> ve year, <u>0</u> ==E	=good, <u>2</u>)ead, <u>M</u> is	=fair, sing.	$\mathbf{+}$
*Height precision drops to 10cm if	Dar	nage: Ren Site	noval, Cut, N Too Wet, Sit	lowing, e Too D	Beaver, ry Floo	Deer, F	lodents	, Insects, Game	, Livestock, C Diseased, Vi)ther/Unki	own An	imal, Human Trampled, Unknown, specify other,
			100 110, 01		.,	а, <i>р</i> ло.	E	planation of c	ut-off		·····, ·	
Natural Woody	Stem	s - tal	lied by	spec	ies	, , , ,		subsampling*	<u>*:</u>			
Height Cut-OII (All stehls shoner up		EDLING	$\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{HE}$			SSES	SA	APLINGS -	$-\mathbf{DBH}$		TREE	s — DBH
Spacies Name	7 Sub-	10 ci	m- 50	cm-	100	cm-	Sub-				,	≥10
<u>Species Itame</u>	c Seed	50 c	m 100) cm	137	' cm	Sapi	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5-	5-	(write DBH)
AGEr robrom		2					<u> </u>					
Liquidamber styrifly		0		, . <u>.</u>	[ļ	
M. Geniters			•									
Clathra d'nitolia					9Uj				·			
Rhus copalling		X	00	<u>.</u>	then		. <u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

EntryTool2.2.6

.6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

1 of 1	I	cation, photos and posts. Edit shape if ch as streams, banks, fences, etc.)m •Posts Key	(meters) OPlot origin	$(\underline{\mathscr{O}}, \underline{\mathscr{O}}) = (0, 0) \text{ point}$	X (10.0) Opint	(,) with direction		S Main	e back of datasheets.				D more	P I	, d			D more					the respection.			D more
C' Levels 1 & 2	PLOT DIAGRAN	It in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS Ic at doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, su	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 2	(14.14.2m diagonal): (20.61.6m diagonal): Y-axis 📥 Y		aring of	Axis:	ut Size (area, default=1):	n "are" is 100 m ²) [Identifier(s):	If more space is needed, check the box and us	ayout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)				tot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)	develop has a provide the sol			lot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)	Scleeted by NCEED	Opened the C D DIA	representative of the verices	ther Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)	Recently deried and shred	· · ·		
Plot Data:	LOCATION	General: SE of Muriel / Bishap Rd ple	State: NL County: Hyde	Quadrangle: Pohzer	Place Names: 1) Server for CY	EPReach: 3) Server 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Land Owner: NC DeT	$\bigotimes \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location (m)}}{x^{-1}} \bigotimes \frac{1}{y^{-1}} \bigvee \frac{1}{$	Coordinate System: Coord, Units: (A	C Lat/Long C UTM X State Plane C deg. C deg. min. C Other (specify): C m XA C	Datum: Zone: La	I at the result of the second	653410.3682	Long: 275 25, 57 dor Easting)	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	e.g. 30 < /	GPS File Name:	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Elevation: $\int \pm \frac{\Box m}{P}$	Slope (degrees):	Aspect (degrees):	Compass Lype: Amagnetic a true Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	K Representative	C Kandom Further details of placement can be	 Itansect component Systematic (grid) Rationale. Canture suscific feature 	USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	~/ , Publ. Date: /58>
	GENERAL INFORMATION	Project Label:	Project Name: Bisches, 2 /	Team: R.S. KAD	Plat: Orace 18	Level 1 (planted stems only) (planted and natural stems)	Start Date: 11 / F.b / Jeb 5 dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15/ JAN / 2007	End Date (if different): / /	Party Role**	RSL Plot Leader	KAD Perdov						**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner. Taxonomist, Other	Soil Drainage*	T Excessively drained	□ Somewhat excessively drained	 Weil drained Moderately well drained 	X Somewhat poorly drained	□ Very poorly drained	WATER Dercent of Diot Submerged	Mean Water Depth: 5 cm	TAXONOMIC STANDARD	Authority: Red freel et

ِ 1 of 1

į

Page __ of ____

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

141	n	o.i.o4-	Bishop		RE	. 101	ate la		B Data	. 11	IEL	مدل ا	<	
	<u>rr</u>	oject:	<u></u>	<u>i eam</u>	<u>15/41</u>	<u>> ri</u>	<u>91: 04</u> 	<u>wa //</u> h	<u>– Date</u> Haial		<u>ט<i>אי ו</i></u> עמת	<u>, aæ</u>	<u> </u>	
Species Name		Sou	$\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{c}} X (0)$	1 m)	Y (0.	2 1 m)	(1 n		(1* cn	11 n)	(1 cm)	Vi	<u>gor</u>	Damage
Myrica coniters		R	2.4	3	3,2	>	7.0)			·		_	
QUEVEUS SD		R	D.	5	4.2		4.2	>						,
Myrice Canifera		R	0.0		8.0	>	3, (5						
Quercos 3D		R	3,5		3,	3	4.*	7						
Ilex object		R	5.4	1	1.7	>	2.0		·					
Ilax opqua		R	6.	5	1.7)	3	/						
OULYLUS SD		R	3.7		5.1		5.4	2	<u> </u>					
Ilex object		R	3.4	į	8,	5	d	{						
Myrica confers		R	6.2	•	5.2		4.9	;						
Ilex obaca		R	9.0	2	7.2		2.2	3						
Myrica Geniters		R	9.2		3.3	>	3, 4	ź						
· · · ·														
<u> </u>									s.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									-					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														
									<u> </u>					
Source: Transplant, Liv Tubling Bare Boot Me	ve s cha	take, <u>B</u>	all and burk	ip, <u>P</u> ot, known				1=un	Vigo: likely to s	r: <u>4</u> =	excellent, <u>3</u> /e vear, 0=E	=good, <u>2</u> = Dead. Mis	=fair, sing.	\downarrow
*Height precision drops to 10cm if		Dam	age: Remova	l, Cut, N	fowing,	Beaver,	Deer, F	todents	, Insects, C	Fame,	Livestock, C)ther/Unkr	own An	imal, Human Trampled,
>2.5m and 50cm if >4m.			Site 100	wer, Sil	E 100 D	iy, Plo0	ia, DTO	igitt, Si	nlanation	of cu	t-off	me oranĝi	nauvii, I	שמושיאה ארייווא האיירייאיי
Natural Woody	S	tem	s - tallie	d by	spec	ies			subsampli	ing**	100		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Height Cut-Off (All stems shorter t	han	this are	ignored. If >	10cm, ex	cplain wi	ny to th	e right.) SSEC	: 🗆 l		50CI S	- DRH		D/CM Frff	S DRH
		I JOLL	10 cm-	50	cm-		cm-			<u> </u>				
Species Name	c V	Sub- Seed	50 cm	10	0 cm	137	7 cm	Sub- Sapl	0-1 c	m	1-2.5	2.5-	5-	(write DBH)
Glethra almitolia		<u> </u>	•	•										
L. Stylac: flug												·		
Myrica conifere			P &									e		
Rhus conalling			• •	Π										
ROLLING 33		·	8) Ø											
Acar c. hain				0										
				Ť	•••									
			L			L		·	1					

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

i

6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

NERAL INFORMATION ect Label:	Plot Data Location General: Dishos Rd	1: C. Levels 1 & 2 PLOT DIAGRAM Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
ame:Bisher Rel & KAD	State: NL County: Hyd Quadrangle: Phy Zer Place Names: 1) Secon Crack	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m Posts (14.142m diagonal): (20.616m diagonal): (x,y) (x,
(planted atems only) (planted atems only) (planted and natural stems) (planted and natural stems) (planted atems) (planted atems) (planted atems)	2) Texk () h L (3) S. 12 h b h EEP Reach: Bishow R Land Owner: NEDET S. GPS Receiver Lacation (m):	Bearing of Plot(\sim , \sim)Offs locationRearing of Plot(\sim , \sim) \sim Plot (\sim , \sim)(\sim , \sim) \sim \sim \times (\sim , \sim) \sim
Party Role**	X= y= X= y= Coordinate System: Coord, Units: D Lat/Long D UTM X State Plane D Other (specify): D deg. D deg. min. sec.	Plot Size (ares, default=1): Photo Ac ひまん は こう / そうし / とうしょ / そうし / An "are" is 100 m ²) / Identifier(s): イュー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
value C	Datum: Zone: Zone: Datum: Datum: Cone: Con	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape) $G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}G_{2}$
	Long: 27 5 & 533,557 (Jor Easting)	Dirt Constion: (directions to alot landonus context)
ces: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):e.g. 30<)	South of old Mousting Real Real
Land owner, laxonomist, Other Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS Elevation:	
ssively drained what excessively drained drained rrately well drained what poorly drained	Slope (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot) Subertal by NCE B? Regressents Pine Retriend
y drained poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more) & Representative	Dther Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
WATER of Plot Submerged: くろ % Vater Depth: ろ cm	A Kandom Further details of placement can be placement can be placement can be control in Plot Caratic (grid) Rationale. A Systematic (grid) Rationale. A Capture specific feature Rationale.	Recently classed and planted to
ONOMIC STANDARD I	JSED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	
<u>ields in Bold and Underlined.</u>	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CV	S Field Guide. Entry Tool2.2.6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 8.3

1 of 1

į

Page __ of ___

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

ader: R54	Pro	ject:Z	Sishar	<u>Team</u> :	K <u>K</u> AÌ	<u>> PI</u>	<u>ot: _/</u>	9	<u>Date: //</u>	IFEB	1000	5		
Species Name		Sourc	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{X}}$	oord 1 m)	inates Y (0.	1 m)	dd (1 m	h m)	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)		or	I	Damage
Quarters 50		R), 4	5	1.5	5	7.	7	5.4		4	/	F	-
		R	9,2	>	4.2	}	5.3	3	56	·	4	/	/	
· :		R	6.	>	4.2	٥	d.1	(43		4	/ _		~
. t		R	5.	0	3.	3	8.3	8	39	-	4	′		-
, •		<u>ک</u>	Ч.,	2	3,8	ଟ	4.0	1	54		4			
1		Ř	0.	୫	de	4	3,	3	40		4			<u> </u>
••		R	3,	D	6.2	8	5.2	୭	56		4			<u> </u>
Myrias constars		R	7,0	>	7,1	1	4.0	>	4)		9	/		
Armic crustifolis		R	2.)	8.	0	d.	5	35	-	4	/		
Quaraus SP		R	2.	/	9.0	Ģ	6.4	ŝ	43		4			
M. constars		R	5.	5	9,9	7	3.8	3	38	<u>_</u>	4	′ T		~
Querous si		R	9.)	8,	5	5.	3	55	· ·	4	$^{\prime}$		
/														
										· · · · · · ·		·		
,									N.					· ····
			-											
													х.	
						-					1			
									ţ			i		
Source: Transplant, Liv	ve st	ake, <u>B</u> a	ll and burla	p, <u>P</u> ot,					Vigor: 4=	excellent, 3	=good, <u>2</u> =	=fair,		t
<u>Tubling, Bare Root, Me</u> Height precision drops to 10cm if	char	Dama	planted, <u>Un</u> ge: Remova	known , Cut, N	lowing, E	Beaver,	Deer, R	<u>I</u> =uni odents	Ikely to survi Insects, Game	ve year, g=1 , Livestock, C	ther/Unkn	sing. iown A	nimal,)	Human Trampled,
>2.5m and 50cm if >4m.			Site Too	Wet, Sit	e Too Dr	y, Floc	od, Drou	ight, St	orm, Hurricane	Diseased, Vi	ne Strange	lation,	Unkno	wn, specify other.
Natural Woody	St	tems	- tallie	d by	speci	ies	,		planation of co subsampling**	<u>11-011</u>		<u> </u>		
Height Cut-Off (All stems shorter t	han t	his are i	gnored. If >	l0cm, ex	cplain wh	iy to th	e right.)			m □ 1000	m 🗆 13	37cm	P.C.	DBR
· · · ·		SEED	LINGS -	- HE	IGHT Cm-		SSES	SA	rlings —			IKE	<u></u>	
Species Name		Sub- Seed	50 cm	10	0 cm	137	7 cm	Sub- Sapl	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5-	5-		≤ 10 (write DBH)
Acre asprosen	Ħ		<i>•</i>	6 •							-			
1 Stranflur			 N.	1										
Phase and the			•	-										
sith lift			•		·. ·					1				
LIE TE CIMPIONS	╞╼╋			+										
· : 	┝╺┠	·		+		<u> </u>								
	┝╌┨	=		╂──									+	
	Ļ	<u> </u>		1		-			<u> </u>	L	L	I		

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

EntryTool2.2.6



1 of 1

Page __ of __

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

ader: R.5L	Pr	oject: E	Bishop 1	<u>Feam</u> :	<i>R\$</i> ∠ _K∕A⊋	<u>Pl</u>	ot: 6205	<u>_</u>	⊃ <u>Date</u> : <i>F</i>	6110	1 door	ī.	
<u>Species</u> <u>Name</u>		Sour	<u>ce</u> <u>C</u> X (0.1	oordi m)	i <u>nates</u> Y (0.	1 m)	ddh (1 mn	1)	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vis	<u>sor</u>	Damage
Myssica constary		R	8.1		0.2	>	3.6	\uparrow	25		4		
Quaraus 5D		R	7.0		2.3	,	3.9		34		4		
Aronia arbutitolia		R	d.5		2.9		5,5		54		4		******
Rosa Delustris		R	6.1		4.d		3,1		54		4		
Myrica conifers		R	10.2	>	3.0	,	3,8		d1		4		
Rosa palistris		R	9.5	<u> </u>	<u>5. z</u>	>	3.2		47		4		
Quericus Sp.		R	5.	>	8,-	5	J.9		33	·	4		
Quereus Sp.		R	8.3		4.4	ĩ	3.2	4	33		4		
Rosa palustri's		R	5.2	>	6,3	;	4.1	\downarrow	48		4		
Myrics conifers		R	4,4	/	5,4	5	3,9	\downarrow	38	·	4	,	
Aronia arbutitolia		R	3,<	i	6,6		4.8		38	,	4	'. 	
Quarzus phallos		R	6,>		4.6	>	4.8		54		4		
Queraus phallos		R	0.9		9,4	/	3,5	_	35		4		
Rosa pelustris		R	3,5	5	9.4	1	3,3	-	Ged		4		
<u>}</u>							<u></u>	\downarrow					
								+					
	_	,											
								+					<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								-+	ţ				······································
Source: Transplant, Li Tubling Bare Root, Me	ve s	take, <u>B</u> a nically r	ll and burlap	, <u>P</u> ot, nown			1-	 	Vigor: <u>4</u> =	excellent, <u>3</u> ve year, 0=D] =good, <u>2</u> =)ead, Mis	fair,	\downarrow
leight precision drops to 10cm if		Dama	ge: Removal,	Cut, M	lowing, E	Beaver,	Deer, Rod	lents,	Insects, Game	, Livestock, O	ther/Unkn	own Ar	nimal, Human Trampled
Natural Woody	'S	tems	- tallied	l by	speci	ies	, <i>b</i> og. /	Ex & s	planation of c subsampling*	<u>ut-off</u>	q		
ight Cut-Off (All stems shorter t	han	this are in	gnored. If >1(Dom, ex	plain wh	y to the	e right.):	<u> </u>		m □ 100c	m 🗆 13	B7cm Foff	S DRH
Species Name	Ø	Sub-	10 cm-	50	cm-	100 137	cm-	Sub-	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2,5-	5-	≥10 (write DBH)
	C					. 1.57		- ~P1					
nus Lopallina		<u> </u>	• •	-									
[] []				* 2				<u> </u>					
yrice coniters				-			1-		1	1		ļ	
<u>vrice ceniters</u>	-		•		·.								
kor rubrum kor rubrum kaharis		 	<u>,</u> •	•	·.								
lyrice conitiene lear rubrum gechanis guidember styrzerflug			<u>.</u>	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						:		

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

|--|

.

¢

Page __ of ___

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2	
Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured	l

vadar: R5/- F)	iect - Zi	chas Teau	$\frac{R}{R}$	<u>У</u> 4 л рі	ot	1	Date:	2 111	100	3	
	T		Coor	dinate	<u>TD 11</u> 25		h	Height	DBH	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
<u>Species Name</u>	1	Source	X (0.1 m) Y ((<u>∞</u>).1 m)	(1 n	 1111)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)		<u>tor</u>	Damage
Querous 3D		R	d.0	1.	8	6.	5	52		9	/	<u></u>
Rosa valuotris		Ŕ	4.5	6	.9	2.	0	43	-	9	/	
QUEREUS 5D		R	5.7	1.	9	2.1	/	25	<u> </u>	4		
/		Ŕ	8.4	ړ	6	3.	6	37		4	'	<u> </u>
1 8	ļ	R	8.1	Ч.	>	4.	5	60.		4	/	••••
		R	7.4	9	.)	4.0	4	58		4		
{1		Ř	4.3	2	8	4.	>	48	<u> </u>	4		
Sanbugus canadan	5,5	s R	5.7	5	.9	Ч.	7	34	<u> </u>	4		
11		Ŝ	2.7	8	.1	d.	/	17	-	4		
Munica Lanitera		R	1.2	5	.5.	3,	8	ිනි		9		
Sambucus asuadons	<u>k.</u>	R	0.6	3	.4	Ч.	>	43		4		<u> </u>
			· · ·									
						:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								х.				
. <u></u>												<u></u>
												-
								ţ				
Source: <u>Transplant</u> , <u>Live</u> Tubling Bare Root Mec	sta han	ake, <u>B</u> ali a	nd burlap, <u>P</u> o nted Unknow	t, n			1=un	Vigor: <u>4</u> =	excellent, <u>3</u> - ve vear, 0= D	=good, <u>2</u> = lead. Mis	fair.	\downarrow
*Height precision drops to 10cm if		Damage:	Removal, Cut,	Mowing	, Beaver,	Deer, F	Rodents	, Insects, Game	Livestock, O	ther/Unkn	own An	imal, Human Trampled,
>2.5m and $50cm$ if $>4m$.			Site 100 wet, s	ine 100 i	Jry, F100	ia, Droi	igat, St	orm, Hurricane,	Diseased, Vi	ne Suango	liauon,	Unknown, specify duler.
Natural Woody	Sto	ems -	tallied by	y spe	cies			subsampling*			7	
Height Cut-Off (All stems shorter the	an th	his are igno	red. If >10cm,	explain v	why to the	e right.) SSFS				an 🗆 13 1	ren Fref	S DBH
	_	1	$\frac{1}{0}$ cm $\frac{1}{5}$	0 cm-		cm-						
Species Name	2 c	Sub- Seed 5	0 cm 1	00 cm	137	cm cm	Sub- Sapl	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5-	5-	≥10 (write DBH)
L. Storgerflue	T		:				<u> </u>		-			
	Ţ		_									
	1											
	-			· · · ·								
:		· .					<u>, </u>					
	1	· ·								-		
	1_											
	1 -							<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

Form WS2, ver 8.3

INFORMATION	Locarton	1: C' Levels 1 & 2 PLOT DIAGRAM
	General: Bisher Rd	Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
	State: N/ County: Hyde Quadrangle: Pohzer Place Names: 1)5.2.4.4.6.	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m \bigcirc Posts (14.142m diagonal): (20.616m diagonal): (x,y) (x,y
ems)	2) Lecklin Gr 3) Eren by REP Reach: R. 1	Bearing of April (Co.) (Co.)
12007	Land Owner: NG DOT	$\frac{\overline{X-Axis}}{\overline{X-Axis}} \qquad $
~	$\bigotimes \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location (m)}}{x^{+}} \bigotimes \frac{y^{+}}{2} \bigotimes$	Plot Size (ares, default=1): O->Photo
Role** lot cader	Coordinate System: LatLong DVTM X State Plane deg. Ddeg. min. DOther (specify): Dother	(An "are" is 100 m ²) Identifier(s): NOTES If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
455t	Datum: X NAD83/WGS84 🗆 NAD27 (ff applicable)	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	1.at:64645,7835 (or Northing)	baise da at anisin
	Long: 2754606, 9949 (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
ant, Guide,	e.g. 30 < 4 GPS File Name:	Mest at section portream of Cid Finan RJ
mist, Other	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	tal dansit
	Elevation: $\checkmark \pm$	0 more Dick Rationalas (1944) Ionation 1944 Annon 6-144 an 144
/ drained	Slope (degrees):	Sclert by NCEEP
ed	Aspect (degrees):	
ned	Compass Type: Anagnetic a true	represents 1 me "aftraceds
	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	Dther Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
ed:	A Random Further details of Placement can be Transect component recorded in Plot	Recently dered and Dental Er
2 8 1 1 2 1 2	D Systematic (grid) Regionale.	Pestoration /
DARD [SED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	
erlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CV	S Field Guide. EntryTool2.2.6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 8.3

ĺ

Page __ of ___

rader: KAD Project: Bishap Team: 13D Plot: 12 Date: 2 112105								
<u>Species Name</u>	Source	Coord X (0.1 m)	<u>inates</u> Y (0.1 m)	ddh (1 mm)	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
Baccheri's herbinited	z R	del	2.3	4.)	52	-	d	unknown
('	R	2.7	7.5	2.4	27		4	
11	R	4.4	8,4	9.0	64	(4	
Quereus 5D	R	5.4	8.4	4.1	46		9	
B. halimitalis	R	9.0.	7.4	7.6	74		4	
()	R	5.8	5.4	8.9	54	~	4	-
1.1	2	d.3	1.2	8.4	72	· · · · ·	4	-
()	א	2.4	0.7	7.0	43	-	id	
Querous 5D	R	2.9	0.6	6.4	50		4	_
R hadimitolia	R	8.1	d-1.	4,9	34		4	
11	R	9.9	0.7	7.7	50		4	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
·····	-							
<u></u>							 .	
					1			
Source: <u>Transplant, Live stake, Ball and burlap, Pot,</u> <u>Tubling, Bare Root, Mechanically planted, Unknown</u> <u>1=unlikely to survive year, 0=Dead, Missing.</u>								↓
*Height precision drops to 10cm if >2.5m and 50cm if >4m.	Damage	: Removal, Cut, N Site Too Wet, Sit	lowing, Beaver, e Too Dry, Floo	Deer, Roder d, Drought,	its, Insects, Game Storm, Hurricane	e, Livestock, Ot , Diseased, Vin	her/Unknown ie Strangulatio	Animal, Human Trampled, n, Unknown, specify other.
Natural Woody Stems - tallied by species								
Height Cut-UII (All stems shorter that	n this are ign	SEEDLINGS — HEIGHT CLASS			APLINGS -	$-\mathbf{DBH}$	TREES - DBH	
Species Name	Z Sub-	0 cm- 50	cm- 100 0 cm 137	cm- cm Sul	- 0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5- 5	≥ 10 (write DBH)
2 holing fin	•	1			·			
D. Maiminolis	- 6	•			-	1 1	· · · ·	
Acar rubrum	¥	1			_			
					_			
· · ·					_			
· ·					-			
	1				_			
	. L	I						1

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

.

Ξ.
Plot Data: C' Levels 1 & 2	LOCATIONPLOT DIAGRAMGeneral: \overrightarrow{b} , \overrightarrow{b} , \overrightarrow{b} General: \overrightarrow{b} , \overrightarrow{b} , \overrightarrow{b} , \overrightarrow{b} Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape ifState: \bigvee_{c} doubty:State: \bigvee_{c} doubty:State: \bigvee_{c} doubty:State: \bigvee_{c} doubty:PlaceStandard 10m x 10mNon-standard 5m x 20m \overrightarrow{Posts} , fences, etc.State: \bigvee_{c} doubty:PlaceNon-standard 5m x 20mQuadrangle: \bigvee_{c} doupty: $2) \top_{c}$, b , d \bigvee_{c} <th>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</th> <th>Long: $\frac{276014}{2397}$ (or Easting) Image: Image of the second sec</th> <th>Slope (degrees): ± #n. Plot Rationale; (why location was chosen for the plot) Slope (degrees): Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compase Type: Compase Type: Plot Placement (check 1 or more) Compase</th> <th>U Representative C Random C Random C Random C Random C Random C Remetical C Remetical C Remetic (grid) Rationale. Remetical Remetica</th>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Long: $\frac{276014}{2397}$ (or Easting) Image: Image of the second sec	Slope (degrees): ± #n. Plot Rationale; (why location was chosen for the plot) Slope (degrees): Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compass Type: Compase Type: Compase Type: Plot Placement (check 1 or more) Compase	U Representative C Random C Random C Random C Random C Random C Remetical C Remetical C Remetic (grid) Rationale. Remetical Remetica
	LOCATION General: <i>Exhap R</i> <u>State:</u> <i>NC</i> County: <i>I</i> Quadrangle: <i>P</i> Quadrangle: <i>P</i> Place Names: 1) <i>S</i> <i>Place Names</i> : 1) <i>S</i> <i>Place Names</i> : 1) <i>S</i> <i>Land Owner: NL De</i>	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Long: 27601/4, 22 Coordinate Accuracy (m r e.g. 30 < 1 GPS File Name: SITE CHARACTEI Filevotion:	Slope (degrees): 5 Aspect (degrees): 7 Compass Type: 4 Plot Placement	
	GENERAL INFORMATION Project Label: Project Name: Bishep Rd Team: RSL KAD Zeam: RSL KAD Dot: Caue W Plot: Caue W Start Date: 11 / Feb / Joog dd/mmm/yyy e.g. 15 / Jan / 2007	End Date (if different): / / Party Role** R5L Leader KAD (Plot Eader	**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other Soil Drainage*	 Excessively drained Somewhat excessively drained Well drained Moderately well drained Somewhat poorly drained Poorly drained Very moorly drained 	Percent of Plot Submerged: Mean Water Depth: 20 cm TAXONOMIC STANDARD

Page <u>l</u> of <u>l</u>

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

ader: RS/	Project:	Bishep Team	RSL KAD P	lot: George	JS Date: 1	1 IFeb 1	1 005	
Creating Name	Gam	Coord	linates	ddh	Height	DBH	Vigor	Damaga
Species Maine		<u>ce</u> X (0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)) (1 mm)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)	<u>vigor</u>	Daillage
QUEVEUS SD		1.0	0,8	7.0	47	,	4	
Myrica conifera	R	1.3	d.1	4.0	35		4	
Nysse agostics	R	2.1	5.4	6.8	37		4	
Myrice coniters	R	0,0	8,8	4.9	48		4	
Rose pelustris	R	d.9	9.4	5.2	69		4	
Rosa Dalustris	R	5.3	9.5	4.4	22		2	Unkn - broken
Quercus 32	R	4.9	8.2	4.8	53	,	4	
Myriag Lanitora	R	5.1	6.4	1.8	3/		4	
QUEREUS 3D	R	6.3	7.0	5.6	52		4	
Myrics conifers	R	7,4	7.6	9.2	51	,	4	
QUEIEUS SD	R	9.1	9.9	62	55		4	· · ·
Nyssa gratica	R	9,8	8.9	6.1	45		4	
Myrica conitors	R	8.1	4.5	3.0	30	·,	4	
Unknown	R	8,8	3.0	2.5	15	-	4	-
Myrica conifera	R	64	1.8	3.5	32		4	
Myrike consters	R	4.3	3,2	5.5	45		4	
Alnus serviciata	R	4.2	0,8	3.8	27	<u> </u>	4	
Quercos 50	R	3,1	d-4	6.9	52	•	4	
Quereus phillos	R	2.0	4.3	6.2	51		4	
/				:				
Source: <u>Transplant</u> , Liv	e stake, <u>B</u> anically	all and burlap, <u>P</u> ot, planted Unknown		1	Vigor: <u>4</u> =	excellent, <u>3</u> =	good, <u>2</u> =fair, ad Missing	↓ ↓
*Height precision drops to 10cm if	Dam	age: Removal, Cut, N	I Mowing, Beaver	, Deer, Rodent	s, Insects, Game	, Livestock, Otl	ner/Unknown	Animal, Human Trampled,
>2.5m and 50cm if >4m.		Site Too Wet, Sr	te Too Dry, Floo	od, Drought, S	storm, Hurricane	, Diseased, Vin	e Strangulatio	n, Unknown, specity other.
Natural Woody	Stems	- tallied by	species		subsampling*	<u>ac-oss</u> t		
Height Cut-Off (All stems shorter th	an this are	ignored. If >10cm, e	xplain why to th	e right.):	APLINGS -	- DBH		n EES DBH
		10 cm- 50	cm- 100) cm-				>10
<u>Species Name</u>	c Seed	50 cm 10	0 cm 137	7 cm Sub	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5- 5	- (write DBH)
			- 1					
		,			-			
· :								
					1	<u>† </u>		
			<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

.6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

Form WS2, ver 8.3



1 of 1

Page __ of ___

Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 2 Planted Woody Stems - individual stems measured

ader: R56	Pr	oject: Bi	shes I	Геат:	RSC	s <u>Pl</u> e	<u>ot</u> : d	Ц	Date:	2111	109		
Species Name Sour			$\begin{array}{c} \underline{C} \\ X \\ \end{array}$	i <u>nates</u> Y (0.1 m)		ddh (1 mm)		$\frac{\text{Height}}{(1^* \text{ cm})}$	DBH (1 cm)		gor	Damage	
Rose Delustris		R	à.z	>	7.2	, ک	3.:	ź	69		د	/	
4 ····		R	J.2	>	J.E	>	4.0	à	105		4	/	
ί،		R	63	3	7.2	୭	5.	λ	106	-	4	/	
. I		R	8.0	0	5.	3	۵.4	B	66	-	4	/	4
t i		R	10.0	>	6.4	()	3, 3	3	62	/	C	1	
ίι		R	8.0	>	9.0	ļ	J. (6	46	1	6	1	
t s		R	6.3)	4.8	୭	3	>	63	Ĵ	Ċ	1	_
1.1		R	10.8	5	3.5		2.4		60 -		4		
X X		R	6.2	>	1.9	7	۵.)	>	66		4	1	
tibiscos mascherte	>5	R	1.2		5.	<u>ک</u>	J. ?	3	31	~	4	/	
<u>}</u>								i	8 .				
	•												-
·				·					ş				
										- 			
Source: <u>Transplant</u> , <u>Liv</u> Tubling Bare Boot Me	ve si	take. <u>B</u> all a	and burlap), <u>P</u> ot,				1	Vigor: <u>4</u> =	excellent, <u>3</u>	=good, <u>2</u>	=fair,	↓ I
Leight precision drops to 10cm if		Damage	: Removal,	Cut, M	lowing, 1	Beaver,	Deer, R	Lodents	, Insects, Game	Livestock, C)ther/Unki	iown An	imal, Human Trampled,
.5m and 50cm if >4m.			Site Too V	Vet, Site	e Too Di	y, Floo	d, Drou	ight, St	orm, Hurricane,	, Diseased, Vi	ne Strang	ulation, (Unknown, speciry other.
Natural Woody	S	tems -	tallied	l by	spec	ies			subsampling**		<u>-</u>	07]
agnt <u>Cut-Off</u> (All stems shorter the state of the state	han	this are igno	ired. If >10	- HF:	plain wh GHT	iy to the	SSES		VCM D 50CI	- DBH	m 01	57cm TREE	s — DBH
Species Name	Ø	Sub- Seed	0 cm-	50	cm-	100	cm-	Sub- Sanl	0-1 cm	1-2.5	2.5-	5-	≥10 (write DBH)
								F *		 			
											· · · · ·		- <u> </u>
				<u> </u>				· ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					·							+	
		·											1
: 										[-		
				<u> </u>									
								. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

**Required if cut-off >10cm or subsample ≠100%.

EntryTool2.2.6 ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu

Form WS2, ver 8.3

🗅 more... D more... Form PLT12, ver 8.3 C more C more. with direction GPS location → photo taken. Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if O Plot origin (0,0) point Key plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc. posts the second λ ζ 010 If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets. (Q) (Q) Q Q ©2008 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu (x,y) (meters) **Posts** 500 75 5 heren **≜**⊠ コンシンの V S Non-standard 5m x 20m PLOT DIAGRAM Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.) PX NABEP Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape) Identifier(s): (20.616m diagonal): Plot Rationale: (why/location was chosen for the plot) NOTES Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content O-Photo 19550 J 1000 720 ™X-axis ៙ 2 4 Plot Data: C Levels 1 & 2 Standard 10m x 10m EntryTool2.2.6 LD RESENTS (14.142m diagonal); 5 Plot Size (ares, default=1): ц И И Y-axis 🌲 (An "are" is 100 m²) (Jacks 5214 *Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide. <u>5</u>2° **Bearing of** X-Axis: <u>Plot</u> placement can be recorded in Plot Rationale. Coord. Units: deg. deg. min. deg. min. sec. om Aft o Further details of (check 1 or more) (or Northing) Long: 2757337,826 (Jor Easting) 튑뜛 TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION Seventon 1561 Zone: (if applicable) Compass Type: Amagnetic

true SITE CHARACTERISTICS 582 Coordinate Accuracy (m radius): $\bigotimes \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location (m)}}{x^2} \xrightarrow{y^2} (x)$ H Place Names: 1) 5 22 1 104 0 No. 2 LOCATION 口 Systematic (grid) 英 Capture specific feature County: Land Owner: NZD <u>, Publ. Date:</u> Coordinate System: □ Lat/Long □ UTM X State Plane □ Other (specify): Quadrangle: 704201 ŝ Lat: 650114.3159 A Stratified **Plot Placement** Datum: K nad83/wgs84 [] nad27 General: 61/5/40 d Representative б Aspect (degrees): **GPS File Name:** Slope (degrees): (2) Tark 11. **EEP** Reach: Ο State: NC Elevation: e.g. 30 12007 **Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Somewhat excessively drained Land owner, Taxonomist, Other **Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.** Role** GENERAL INFORMATION Mean Water Depth: AC cm % AssT &Level 2 (planted and natural stems) <u>Plot</u> Leader \sim Excessively drained
 Somewhat excessively drained
 Well drained
 Moderately well drained
 Somewhat poorly drained Somewhat poorly drained Percent of Plot Submerged: Soil Drainage* 4004 000 Start Date: / / / RL dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN Level 1 (planted stems only) Project Name: Biskey Poorly drained
 Very poorly drained WATER End Date (if different): Party Project Label 24 2 V) C F <u>Authority:</u> б Team: <u>Plot:</u>

1 of 1

	Plan	ted Woo	dy Stem	Data:	CVS L	evel 1		
Leader: KAD	Project: B	<u>Team</u> : <u>دمان</u>	KAD IJS PI	ot: 25	<u>Date</u> :	2 112 1.	<u>05</u>	Page of
Spacios Nomo	Source	[/] Coord	inates	ddh	<u>Height</u>	DBH	Vigor	Damage
<u>Species Ivallie</u>	Source	X (0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)	(1 mm)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)	TIGOT	
Kosa palistris	R	26	. 5.7	1.4	53	·	4	
/	R	1.0	7.5	3.1	58		4	
t s	R	4.3	8.1	2.9	<u> </u>		4	
с х	R	4.)	64	4.9	73		4	\sim
18	R	3.3	3.9	did	$\langle \rangle$		4	
()	R	4,0	1.8	d.1	51		9	
~ ! !	R	8.0	2.8	d.4	69	·	4	
Kosa galustris	R	7.4	6.2	3.1	- 77	_ 	9	
/								
							<u> </u>	
								•
·						··· ·		
								
·	· .							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u></u>	· · ·	
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			<u> </u>	<u></u>	·			
							 	
· · · ·								
							-	
·							1	
·	<u> </u>							· · ·
-]								
Source: <u>Tr</u> ansplant, <u>L</u> i <u>Tu</u> bling, Bare <u>R</u> oot, <u>M</u> e	ve stake, <u>B</u> all as echanically plan	nd burlap, <u>P</u> ot, ited, <u>Unknown</u>		<u>1</u> =un	Vigor: <u>4</u> = likely to surviv	excellent, <u>3</u> =go e year, <u>0</u> =Deac	od, <u>2</u> =fair, I, <u>M</u> issing.	\downarrow
· .	Damage: Re	moval, <u>Cut</u> , <u>Mow</u> Too Wet Site To	ing, <u>Beaver, De</u> to Dry, Flood	er, <u>Rod</u> ents, <u>J</u> Drought Stor	Insects, Game, I rm. Hurricane	Livestock, Other/ Diseased, Vine S	Unknown <u>An</u> trangulation	imal, Human <u>Trample</u> Unknown, specify othe
leight precision drops to 10cm	n if >2.5m and	1 50cm if >4m	EntryTool2.	2.6 ©20	08 Carolina Veg	etation Survey. c	vs.bio.unc.edu	Form PWS12, ver

Appendix E

DCM Acceptance

From: Trowell, Steve Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 9:49 AM To: Kemp, Jessica; Steve Trowell Cc: Schaffer, Jeff Subject: RE: Bishop Road: As-Built elevations

Jessica:

It appears from the survey data that the restored elevations are within acceptable ranges that should promote the reestablishment of the marsh. My onsite observations of the elevation at Silverthorne, the smaller of the two sites, was to low and appeared to be ponding water but too low is better than too high.

Steve Trowell Division of Coastal Management Coastal Management Representative 943 Washington Sq Mall Washington, NC 27889 252-948-3854 - Office 252-948-0478 - Fax

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Kemp, Jessica [mailto:jessica.kemp@ncdenr.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 3:28 PM
To: Steve Trowell
Cc: Schaffer, Jeff
Subject: FW: Bishop Road: As-Built elevations

Hi Steve,

Just wondering if you've had time to look these final elevations over. The contractor has completed their work on the As-Builts and I expect their final invoice to be submitted for review next week.

I will be out of the office May 25th-June4th. If you have any questions, please call Jeff Schaffer at 919-715-1952.

Thanks, Jessica

Jessica Kemp Eastern Project Manager DENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program (<u>www.nceep.net</u>) Mailing: 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652 Physical: 2728 Capital Blvd., Suite 1H 103, Raleigh, NC 27604 Office: (919) 715-5838 Cell: (919) 215-7300 Fax: (919) 715-2001

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: Jessica.Kemp@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Kemp, Jessica Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 3:05 PM To: 'Steve Trowell' Cc: Schaffer, Jeff Subject: Bishop Road: As-Built elevations

Hi Steve,

I got the revised As-builts in today for Bishop Road. I've attached a few excerpts that show the Silverthorne marsh restoration areas for your review. Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks, Jessica

Jessica Kemp Eastern Project Manager DENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program (<u>www.nceep.net</u>) Mailing: 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652 Physical: 2728 Capital Blvd., Suite 1H 103, Raleigh, NC 27604 Office: (919) 715-5838 Cell: (919) 215-7300 Fax: (919) 715-2001

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: Jessica.Kemp@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Appendix F

Vegetation Plot Photographs



Plot # 17 looking southwest 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 18 looking northwest 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 19 looking southwest 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 20 looking east 10 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 21 looking west 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 22 looking west 12 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 23 looking north 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 24 looking north 11 February 2009 Baseline



Plot # 25 looking north 12 February 2009 Baseline