Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Stream Restoration EEP Project # 94 DENR Contract # 5360

Annual Monitoring Report Year 3 of 5 Cabarrus County, North Carolina



Prepared for:



North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1601

> Construction Completed: March 27, 2011 Data Collected: September 2014 Report Submission: December 2014

Prepared by:



SEPI Engineering and Construction 1025 Wade Avenue Raleigh, NC 27605

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Stream Restoration Project # 94

Annual Monitoring Report Year 3 of 5 Cabarrus County, North Carolina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	METHODOLOGY	3
3.0	REFERENCES	4

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A PROJECT VICINITY MAPS AND BACKGROUND TABLES

Figure 1 – Restoration Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – USGS Concord SE Quad Map Table 1a – Project Components Table 1b – Component Summations Table 2 – Project Activity and Reporting History Table 3 – Project Contacts Table 4 – Project Attributes

APPENDIX B VISUAL ASSESSMENT DATA

Figure 3 – Current Condition Plan View Table 5a – Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment – Upper Reach Table 5b – Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment – Lower Reach Table 6 – Vegetation Condition Assessment Photos – Permanent Photo Points Photos – Vegetation Plots

APPENDIX C VEGETATION PLOT DATA

Table 7 – Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table 8 – CVS Vegetation Metadata Table 9 – Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)

APPENDIX D STREAM SURVEY DATA

Cross-Sections with Annual Overlays Longitudinal Profiles with Annual Overlays Pebble Count Plots with Annual Overlays Table 10a – Baseline Stream Data Summary – Upper Reach Table 10b – Baseline Stream Data Summary – Lower Reach Table 11a – Monitoring Data – Dimensional Morphology Summary Table 11b – Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary – Upper Reach Table 11c – Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary – Lower Reach

APPENDIX E HYDROLOGIC DATA

Table 12 - Verification of Bankfull Events

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Stream Restoration Project, completed in March 2011, enhanced (level1) or restored a total of 2,270 linear feet of stream in the Upper Rocky River watershed including restoring 6.17 acres of riparian buffer. In addition, approximately 1,540 linear feet of stream was preserved within the 19.61 acre conservation easement. The project is located in the USGS Hydrologic Unit (HU) 03040105020010 of the Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin. This HU is within the EEP's Upper Rocky River Local Watershed Plan and is also listed as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in EEP's Lower Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin Restoration Priorities Plan 2009. The project site, which is protected by a 19.61-acre permanent conservation easement held by the State of North Carolina, is situated in Cabarrus County in the Southern Outer Piedmont ecoregion of the Piedmont physiographic province. Coddle Creek, from 0.2 miles upstream of NC Highway 73 (NC-73) to Rocky River, is currently listed on the NC 303(d) List as impaired due to turbidity (NCDENR 2012). In addition to the current non-supporting use classification for the lower portions of Coddle Creek, anticipated high rates of development in the watershed pose critical challenges in managing the region's aquatic resources. The project goals and objectives are listed below.

Project Goals

- Improve local water quality by reestablishing stream stability and capacity to transport watershed flows and sediment load.
- Provide additional floodplain storage by increasing the capacity of the stream to mitigate flood flows.
- Restore aquatic and riparian habitat.
- Reducing non-point source sedimentation and nutrient inputs into the project reaches.

Project Objectives

- Restore/Enhance (level 1) 2,270 linear feet of stable stream channel morphology, supported by instream habitat and grade/bank stabilization structures.
- Preserve 852 linear feet of stream within the conservation easement.
- Eliminate accelerated bank erosion by creating a bankfull bench, floodplain, and laying back slopes.
- Reestablish a native riparian buffer.

Vegetation Assessment

The vegetative success of the restoration site is based on criteria established in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003). Vegetation monitoring will be considered successful if a minimum of 260 planted stems/acre are surviving at the end of five years. The interim measure of vegetative success for the site will be the survival of a minimum of 320 planted stems/acre in year three and 288 stems/acre at the end of year four. The Monitoring Year 3 (MY3) stem counts are located in Tables 7 and 9 in Appendix C. Currently, only Vegetation Plot 8 is not meeting the interim measure of success. Vegetation throughout the reach appears to be growing at acceptable rates and the mortality rate appears to be fairly low. Areas noted in the previous monitoring year as having sparse vegetation or being bare now include herbaceous plants and small woody stems.

Cattails (*Typha latifolia*) continue to dominate the bed and banks throughout both reaches. These locations are noted on the CCPV and represent approximately 265 linear feet of the reach or 11 % of the total reach. The cattails are likely to continue to grow and take over additional stream footage without

maintenance activities to control the growth. The cattails aren't currently creating issues to the current vegetation; however, they may start outcompeting other riparian herbaceous species and appear to be having some effects on channel morphology. No other invasive species were observed. No new easement encroachments were noted.

Stream Assessment

The upper and lower reaches of the restoration project were observed to be in stable condition. The channel's profile and cross-sections adjusted minimally from the baseline conditions. The channel accesses its floodplain and evidence of bankfull events were observed during Year 3 monitoring. This evidence included the presence of wrack lines, sediment deposits, and a crest gauge reading of 35" above bankfull. The substrate continues to shows a gradual change to more coarse material in the upper reach although the lower reach still has finer sediment. This is expected as the lower reach is an offline channel restoration and the larger particles haven't yet migrated downstream.

One notable area of aggradation was observed on the lower reach at Sta. 10+68 which could be the result of the cattail growth near that location. Three areas of bar formation were noted at Sta. 25+29 - 25+62 and Sta. 26+50 - 26+67 on the upper reach and Sta. 11+35 on the lower reach. One area of bank erosion was noted between Sta. 22+12 and 23+00 on the upper reach which could have resulted from effects of the beaver dam. The terrace rill at approximately Sta. 18+00 on the left bank of the upper reach was noted in the Monitoring Year 1 report, but appears to have stabilized. This area will continue to be monitored for erosion. Two headcuts were noted at Sta. 20+00 on the upper reach and Sta. 17+75 on the lower reach. Both headcuts are outside of the stream channel and seem to be due to overland flow. A debris jam was noted at Sta. 15+60 on the lower reach. One beaver dam was noted at Sta. 22+12 on the upper reach and was removed in September 2014. Other than the previously mentioned bank erosion, this area did not appear to be negatively impacting channel morphology. A second beaver dam was noted at Sta. 14+43 on the lower reach and was removed in October 2014. The channel morphology upstream of this area shows evidence of minor impact in channel morphology. All problem areas are noted on the Current Conditions Plan View (CCPV) sheets in Appendix B.

In response to continued observations of beaver activity in the stream, EEP has placed the project site on a quarterly inspection schedule for beaver and beaver dam removal with the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA-APHIS).

Pebble count data for the upper reach cross sections indicate similar or coarser values compared to baseline. This indicates a good movement of material at least in the upstream parts of the upper reach. The lower reach riffle at cross section 8 still exhibits a small particle size making up the riffle section; however, the riffle at cross section 5 exhibits larger particle sizes. As the lower reach was constructed as an offline segment, these values are not unexpected. It will take longer for coarser material to progress to the lower reach from upstream areas. Also, effects from the beaver dam on the lower reach downstream of Cross Section 6 may play a role in the type of bed material. Since the cross section dimensions have remained relatively the same for the lower reach, the sections are stable despite the smaller bed material.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting documentation formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The following methods were utilized during the Year 3 monitoring for data collection and postprocessing:

- Geomorphic topographic data collections were performed in the field using a survey grade GPS such that each survey point has three-dimensional coordinates, and is georeferenced (NAD83-State Plane Feet FIPS3200).
- Longitudinal stationing was developed using the as-built survey thalweg as a baseline.
- The particle size distribution protocol used was the Modified-Wolman pebble count.
- The CVS Level 2 methodology was utilized for the vegetation plot data collection.

3.0 REFERENCES

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2007. Final Stream Restoration Plan for Indian Run (Trib. to Coddle Creek).

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2009. Indian Run Stream Restoration Final Plans (90%).

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2011. Baseline Monitoring and As Built Baseline Report.

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2012. Monitoring Report Year 1 of 5.

- Lee, Michael T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.0. (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)
- North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2011. Procedure Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports. Version 1.4 (http://www.nceep.net/business/EEP_Mon_Rep_Temp_1.3_01-15-10.pdf)
- SEPI Engineering & Construction, Inc. 2013. Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Annual Monitoring Report Year 2 of 5.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. North Carolina Division of Water Quality (DWQ), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV (EPA), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC).

Appendix A Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables





					,	ect Compone			
				Coddl	e Creek Tribu	itary (Indian R	un) / 94	•	
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Units	BMP Elements	Comment
Reach 1 - Upper	1275 lf	E (Level 1)	P3	1275 lf	15+00-26+26 & 26+46- 27+95	1.5:1	850		Restored bankfull dimension within the existing channel, utilized a partial floodplain bench to restore floodprone conditions, and enhanced existing pattern and profile.
Reach 1 - Upper	20 lf	E (Level 1)	P3	20 lf	26+26-26+46	3:1	7		Restored bankfull dimension within the existing channel, utilized a partial floodplain bench to restore floodprone conditions, and enhanced existing pattern and profile.
Reach 1 - Upper	415 lf	Ρ		415 lf	07+52-09+10 & 09+34- 11+72 & 14+45-14+64	10:1	42		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the conservation easement.
Reach 1 - Upper	327 lf	Ρ		297 lf*	09+10-9+34 & 11+72-14+45	20:1	15		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the utility easement. *30 feet of sanitary sewer easement will not receive mitigation credits
Reach 2 - Lower	735 lf	R	P2	975 lf	10+00-19+75	1:1	975		Fully restored pattern, dimension and profile, excavated a new channel within an adjoining floodplain bench to restore floodplain conditions.
Reach 2 - Lower	434 lf	Р		434 lf	21+72-23+58 & 24+45- 26+93	20:1	22		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the utility easement.
Reach 2 - Lower	394 lf	Ρ		394 lf	19+75-21+72 & 23+58- 24+45 & 26+93-28+03	10:1	39		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the conservation easement.

	Table 1b. Component Summations Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94											
		Stream	Riparian Wetland (Ac)			Potential	Total					
Restoration Level	Stream (If)	Mitigation Units	Riverine	Non- Riverine	Planted Area (Ac)	Buffer Area (Ac)	Conservation Area (Ac)	BMP				
Restoration (Lower)	975	975			4.21	2.58	10.11					
Enhancement (Upper)	1295	857			4.30	3.59	9.50					
Preservation	1540	118				1.89						
Totals (Feet/Acres)	3,810	1.050			8.51	8.06	19.61					
MU Totals		1,950										

	Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting His Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 9	
	lapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 3 yrs 9 lapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 3 yrs 9 Number of Reporting Years: 3	
	Data Collection	Completion or
Activity or Deliverable	Complete	Delivery
Restoration Plan	Jun-07	Aug-07
Final Design – Construction Plans	Jun-07	Jul-09
Construction/Grading	NA	Mar-11
Planting	NA	Mar-11
Final Inspection	NA	Mar-11
Monitoring – baseline)	May-11	Aug-11
Year 1 Monitoring	5/29/2012 - 5/30/2012	Sep-12
Year 2 Monitoring	Nov-13	Mar-14
Year 3 Monitoring	Oct-14	Dec-14
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

	Table 3. Project Contacts Table	
	Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94	
Designer	HDR Engineering Inc. of the Carolinas	
	3733 National Drive, Suite 207, Raleigh, NC 27612	
Primary project design POC	Jonathan Henderson, PE (919) 785-1118	
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.	
	126 Circle G Lane, Willow Spring, NC 27592	
Construction contractor POC	Lloyd Glover, (919) 639-6132	
Survey Contractor	Stewart Proctor Pllc	
	319 Chapanoke Road #106, Raleigh, NC 27603	
Survey contractor POC	Herb Proctor, (919) 799-1855	
Planting Contractor	HARP, Inc.	
	301 McCullough Drive, 4th Floor, Charlotte, NC 28262	
Planting contractor POC	Alan Peoples, (704) 841-2841	
Seeding Contractor	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.	
	126 Circle G Lane, Willow Spring, NC 27592	
Contractor point of contact	Lloyd Glover, (919) 639-6132	
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource, Charlotte, NC	
	Phone: (704) 927-3100	
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Cure Nursery, Pittsboro, NC - (919) 542-6186	
	ArborGen, Blenheim, SC - (843) 528-3203	
	Foggy Mountain Nursery Ilc, Creston, NC - (336) 384-5323	
	Habitat and Restoration Plants, Lexington, NC - (336) 362-6776	
	NC Division of Forest Resources, Greensboro, NC - (919) 731-7988	
Monitoring Performers - Baseline & Year 1	HDR Engineering Inc. of the Carolinas	
	3733 National Drive, Suite 207, Raleigh, NC 27612	
Monitoring Performers - Year 2	SEPI Engineering & Construction, Inc.	
	1025 Wade Avenue, Raleigh, NC 27605	
Stream Monitoring POC	Phil Beach, PWS (919) 787-9977	
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kim Hamlin, Project Scientist (919) 787-9977	

Table / Dro	oject Attribute Table	
	ibutary (Indian Run) / 94	
Project County	Cabarrus	
Physiographic Region	Piedmont	
Ecoregion	Southern Outer Piedmont	
Project River Basin	Yadkin / Pee Dee	
USGS HUC for Project (14 digit)	3040105020010	
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project	03 - 07 - 11	
Within extent of EEP Watershed Plan?	Upper Rocky River	
WRC Hab Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm	
% of project easement fenced or demarcated	100% marked with EEP easement sig	jnage
Beaver activity observed during design phase?	No	
Restoration Con	mponent Attribute Table	
	UPPER	LOWER
Drainage area (ac)	1.5	
Stream order	2nd	
Restored length (feet)	1295	975
Perennial or Intermittent	Per	
Watershed type (Rural, Urban, Developing etc.)	Devel	l.
Watershed LULC Distribution (e.g.)		
Medium Density Residential		
Low Density Residential / Open Fields/ Lawns	34	
Forested		
Watershed impervious cover (%)	3	
NCDWQ AU/Index number	-	
NCDWQ classification	С	
303d listed?	No	
Upstream of a 303d listed segment?	Yes	T 1110
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Bio. Integ.	Turbidity
Total acreage of easement	9.5	10.11
Total vegetated acreage within the easement Total planted acreage as part of the restoration	9.5 4.3	4.21
Rosgen classification of pre-existing	4.5 Imp. C4	Ditch
Rosgen classification of pre-existing	C4	C4
Valley type	VIII	VIII
Valley slope	0.63%	0.61%
Valley side slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)	-	-
Valley toe slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)		-
Cowardin classification	NA	
Trout waters designation	No	
Species of concern, endangered etc.? (Y/N)	No	
Dominant soil series and characteristics		
Series	Chewa	cla
Depth	U	U
Clay%	U	U
K	U	U
т	U	U
	1	

Appendix B Visual Assessment Data



		کے		2
C		10	5/	555 C
and a				
Boundary		em Locations		
y Easemer		Headcut		100
ement	•	Beaver Dam		
Easement		m Condition		8 - A
		Aggradation		
		Area of Cattails		19 an
ions		Bank Erosion		
le		Bar Formation		100
ts		Terrace Slope E		2.77
		Vegetation Plot		100 C
Sec. Sec. 1	Carlo Log No. 1	Vegetation Plot		
	Prepa	red By	γ	1
	CON	STRUCTION	Ŷ	~





December 2014 Project # 94 Figure 3B





Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 3 MonitoringStructure Conditions Upper ReachCabarrus County, NCDecember 2014Project # 94Figure 3C

ENGINEERING &

CONSTRUCTION





<u>Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment</u> Upper Reach 1295 Table 5a Reach ID

Assessed Length

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			2	52	96%			
	(Rime and Run units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	11	11			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	 <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth <u>></u> 1.6) 	15	15			100%			
		 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle) 	15	15			100%			
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	15	15			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	15	15			100%			
							-	-	-	
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			1	88	97%	1	88	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	1	88	97%	1	88	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	14	14			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	8	8			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	14	14			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	13	13			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio \geq 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	13	13			100%			

Table 5b Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Reach ID Lower Reach

Assessed Length

Lower Reach 975

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	-	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect			1	31	97%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	flow laterally (not to include point bars) 2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting 1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	6	6	0	0	100%	1		
	3. Meander Pool Condition	<u>restare obstrate</u> Nine maintains coarse substrate 1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6)	7	7			100%			
		 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle) 	7	7			100%			
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	7	7			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	7	7			100%			
			I				1	1	-	
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	9	9			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	8	9			89%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	9	9			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio \geq 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	9	9			100%			

*Riffles were not supplied with coarse substrate in the as-built condition. Aside from minor aggradation, riffles remain stable.

Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment

Planted Acreage ¹	8.51					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
		Cu	mulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%

Easement Acreage ²	19.61					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern ⁴	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas ³	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%



Photo Station 1 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 1 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 2 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 2 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 3 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 3 Upstream (Year 3 - 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 4 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 4 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 5 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 5 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 6 Downstream (Year 3 - 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 6 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 7 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 7 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 8 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 8 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 9 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 9 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 10 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 10 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 11 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 11 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 12 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 12 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014


Photo Station 13 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 13 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5



Photo Station 14 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 14 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5



Photo Station 15 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 15 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5





Photo Station 16 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014

Photo Station 16 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 17 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 17 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)



Photo Station 18 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 18 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5



Photo Station 19 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 19 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5



Photo Station 20 Downstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

Photo Station 20 Upstream (Year 3 – 10/21/2014)

SEPI Engineering and Construction Annual Final Monitoring Report Monitoring Year 3 of 5



Photo Station 21 Downstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)

Photo Station 21 Upstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Photo Station 22 Downstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)



Photo Station 22 Upstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)



Photo Station 23 Downstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)



Photo Station 23 Upstream (Year 3 – 11/12/2014)



Vegetation Plot 1 – 5m x 20m (Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 2 – 10m x 10m (Year 3 of 5)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) EEP Project #94 December 2014



Vegetation Plot 3 – 10m x 10m (Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot $4 - 5m \ge 20m (10/22/2014 \text{ Year } 3 \text{ of } 5)$



Vegetation Plot 5 – 5m x 20m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 6 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 7 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 8 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 9 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 10 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)



Vegetation Plot 11 – 10m x 10m (10/22/2014 Year 3 of 5)

Appendix C Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetati	Table 7. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary								
Coddle Cre	ek Tributary (Indian	n Run) / 94							
Plot	Planted Stems/Ac	Meeting Criteria							
1	1093	Yes							
2	485.6	Yes							
3	728.4	Yes							
4	607	Yes							
5	728.4	Yes							
6	728.4	Yes							
7	404.7	Yes							
8	242.8	No							
9	485.6	Yes							
10	607	Yes							
11	445.2	Yes							

	Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
	Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) - 94
Report Prepared By	Kim Hamlin
Date Prepared	12/3/2014 18:56
Date I Tepareu	12/5/2014 16:50
database name	Coddle_Creek_Trib_94_MY3_2014.mdb
database location	G:\Environmental\NCEEP Coddle Creek SMS\MY03\AnnualMonitoringReport-MY03\CVS
computer name	W93
file size	49975296
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHI	EETS IN THIS DOCUMENT
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes lot stems for an plots.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by 1 lot and spp	A matrix of the count of total fiving stems of each species (planed and natural volunce)s combined for each plot, dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	94
project Name	Indian Run Tributary to Coddle Creek
Description	Stream Restoration
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
length(ft)	2270
stream-to-edge width (ft)	100
area (sq m)	42173.71
Required Plots (calculated)	11
Sampled Plots	11

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means) EEP Project Code 94. Project Name: Indian Run Tributary to Coddle Creek

															Cu	rrent Plot	Data (MY3 20	14)																Annua	l Means	s			
			094	4-HDR-0	0001	094	1-HDR-0002	2 ()94-HDI	R-0003	094-	HDR-000	04	094-HDI	R-0005	094-	HDR-0	006	094-HI	DR-0007	09	94-HDR-(0008	094	HDR-0009	094	-HDR-0	010	094-HDR-	0011	MY3	(2014)	MY2 (2	2013)	M	IY1 (2012)		MY0 (20	11)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all T	Pno	LS P-al	I T	PnoLS	P-all T	Pno	oLS P-al	ΙT	PnoLS	P-all	T I	PnoLS P-a	all T	PnoL	S P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS P-all	Т	PnoLS P-	all T	F	PnoLS P-al	ΙT	PnoLS	P-all T	Pno	oLS P-all	Т
Acer negundo	boxelder	Tree														3		6			4												13		1	L		9		
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree	10	0 10	0 10	3 3	3	3	4	4	4			5	5	5 3	3	3	1	1	1										26	26	26	23	23 24	28	28	36	30 30	38
Albizia julibrissin	silktree	Exotic																1															1							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub	5	5 5	5 5	5		1			3 2	2	4	5	5	5 2	2	2	5	5	6		1	1	1 1	L 1	1	2		2	21	21	32	21	21 31	21	21	21	19 19	/ 19
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	Shrub						1																									1		1	L				
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree			148	8							8	3	3	5 3	3	6					2	4	4 9	2	2	5	7	7 11	19	19	194	19	19 865	20	20	674	28 28	28 ة
Callicarpa americana	American beautyberry	/ Shrub														1	1	1													1	1	1	5	5 5	5 7	7	7	8 8	8 8
Calycanthus floridus	eastern sweetshrub	Shrub																																1	1 1	1 1	1	1	2 2	. 2
Celtis laevigata	sugarberry	Tree			1																1												1	1	1 1	10	10	10	15 15	, 15
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	Shrub	1	1 1	. 1	1 3	3	3			8	8	9			3 4	4	4	3	3	4	3 3	3 3	6	6 7	7 1	1	3	1	1 2	30	30	39	29	29 31	34	34	34	32 32	32
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree							2	2	2			1	1	1 1	1	2						1	1 1	L 2	2	2			7	7	8	4	4 4	18	18	18	21 22	. 21
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	6	6 6	6 6	5 4	4	4	7	7	7 2	2	2	2	2	2		1													21	21	22	20	20 21	21	21	21	21 22	. 21
luglans nigra	black walnut	Tree									1	1	1			1	1	1													2	2	2	2	2 2	2 5	5	5	6 6	6
Ligustrum sinense	Chinese privet	Exotic																1															1		1					
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree									7		1		2	8		11															47		17	7		9		
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree																										1					1							
Morella cerifera	wax myrtle	shrub											2															1					3		1	L				
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	1	1 1	. 1	1		1	2	2	2																				3	3	4	3	3 3	3				
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree											1																				1		2	2				
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree			24	4		25		3	38		632		9	6		13							1	L							829		679	9		1536		
Populus deltoides	eastern cottonwood	Tree			20	D		3			1		26			3		9		e	58		217		108	3		69		14			538		174	t.		662		655
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree																											1 1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1 2	2				
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree							1	1	1			1	1	1 1	1	1								1	1	1			4	4	4	6	6 8	8 8	8	8	12 12	12
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	4	4 4	4 4	4 2	2	3	2	2	2 2	2	2	1	1	1 1	1	4											2 2	2 2	14	14	18	16	16 16	5 21	21	21	21 22	. 21
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree			1	1							6		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3 3	3 3		2	2 8	8	13			12	14	28	14	16 24	11	13	18	6 8	<mark>ا 8</mark>
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub														1	1	1													1	1	1	1	1 7	2	2	2	2 2	2
Ulmus	elm	Tree																																	2	2		33		
Ulmus rubra	slippery elm	Tree																																	31	L				
		Stem count	27	27	220	12	12	44	18	18 6	57 15	15	694	18	19 15	4 18	19	68	10	10 8	35 (6 6	5 226	12	12 129	9 15	15	97	11 11	1 32	162	164	1816	166 1	68 1954	207	209	3125 2	223 225	5 888
		size (ares)		1			1		1			1		1		1	1			1		1			1	1	1		1			11		11	1	1	11		11	
		size (ACRES)		0.02		1	0.02		0.0)2	1	0.02		0.0)2	1	0.02		0.	02	1	0.02		1	0.02	1	0.02		0.02		().27		0.2	27	T I	0.27		0.27	
		Species count	t 6	6 6	5 10	0 4	4	9	6	6 1	10 5	5	12	7	8 1	3 10	11	18	4	4	7	2 2	2 5	4	4 7	7 6	6	9	4 4	4 6	14	14	25	16	16 26	5 14		19	14 14	
	9	Stems per ACRE	1093	1093	8903	3 485 6	485.6 1	781 728	3 4 729	8.4 271	11 607	607 2	8085 72	8 / 768	20 622	2 728.4	768.0	2752	404.7 40	14 7 24/	10 242	0 242 0	0146	105 6	485.6 5220	0 607	607	2025	445.2 445.2	2 1295	596 6		6681	610.7 618	2 1 7190			1/197 82	0.4 827.8	

Appendix D Stream Survey Data

Reach		Indian Run	, Upper Reac	h									100	12 7	28				
River Basin		Yadkin/Pee	e Dee										1	a sale of	e sal				
Cross Section ID		XSC-1, Rif	XSC-1, Riffle, Upper Reach, 18+40							1	A State	1	Sal and		5 - 53				
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)		1.5							24	S	A L	dest a little		Carla .					
Date		10/21/2014	r						and the second			A CONTRACTOR	No.	Star lett	300				
Observers		P. Beach, C. Flowers							1	S T		Ser .	- Aries						
									100	心君主	JAN S	and the second	and and	F.F.					
	SUM	IMARY DATA	4							in and	Shew.	Phone III		12	Sector				
Baseline Bankfull Datum,	ť			542.62					1	1			Se an		in the				
Bankfull Cross Sectional A	rea, ft ²			22.3							2 3		and the	a start	1				
Bankfull Width, ft				19.93									Participant in the second	- and the lite					
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft			2.43						965	-	-	10	-19						
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft			1.12							-	- Stant	AFe :	194	- 54 - 1	North				
Width/Depth Ratio				17.81						Contra Mary	1 3 4	-	1						
Flood Prone Width, ft	*			96					1	Carlo	7-5-5	-		100	- 17				
Flood Prone Area Elevatio		·	545	·					Alman .	LE BY B	100	- C							
Entrenchment Ratio	trenchment Ratio			4.82								74		per '	1 de	-	教授	and the second	
Bank Height Ratio			0.93				Stream T	уре	C4		Sta.	18+40	Looking	Downstr	eam				
549					,														
548																			
																/			
547									•†						/				
- 546																1			
ee e																			
545	1								-++-						+				
÷ 544														<u>/</u>					
eva													7						
543				-			-] [-			
542		<u> </u>		/															
541 +									-++-		·					-+			
541			<u>Z</u>																
									1 1	1									
540					1 1				1 1	i	i .				1				
540			_												_	-			
540	10 15	20 25	30 35	40	45 5	0 55	60	65	70 75	80	85	90	95	100	105 1	110			
540	10 15	20 25	30 35	40	45 5	0 55	60	65	70 75	80	85	90	95	100	105	110			
540	10 15	20 25	30 35	40	45 5	0 55 Distanc		65	70 75	80	85	90	95	100	105	110			
540	10 15	20 25	30 35	40	45 5			65	70 75	80	85	90	95	100	105	110			



ation	Elevation		Reach					Indian	Run, Upp	er Reac	ch					1	22	1						
1.46	545.01		River Ba	sin				Yadki	n/Pee Dee							4	Alt	1						
3.91	544.81		Cross Se	ction ID				XSC-3	, Riffle, U	Jpper Re	each, 25+	-40				CIN CIN	and at	SHERE AN	1					
4.91	544.38		Drainag	e Area (S	q Mi)			1.5									and the second	S. Cont	1			diffe	1 ~	
7.04	543.92		Date					10/21/	2014							1	10	P. S. M.	States -	(Bread	an still	Sec. 4	His S	
9.8	543.4		Observe	rs				P. Bea	ch, C. Flo	wers						144	4	AN CO				A CTE	1. 20	
17.09	539.75																	2.3	Sec.		- Called	14		
19.14	539.71						SUN	MARY	DATA								- (1)		Der.	2.0				
20.33	539.37			Bankfull		,				53	39.00						7-7	the set	200		100 3			
21.43	538.92		Bankfull	Cross Se	ctional	Area, ft ²	2			2	22.34									- L			See.	
26.21	537.61		Bankfull	Width, f	t					2	21.9						133	1	10.0	-			L BOOK	
27.5	537.4		Max Dep	oth at Ba	ıkfull, ft	t				2	2.06						and a second		X	ANTE .				
28.48	537.14		Mean Do	epth at B	nkfull,	ft				1	1.02					NO P		The		- West	MITT	No.		
28.85	537		Width/D	epth Rat	0					2	21.47					0.0	a for	The set		1000	and the second	AN CONTRACT		
29.21	537.01		Flood Pr	one Wid	Width, ft 35.2								242	Such	A land	-	THE R	100	AL REAL	Call and				
29.97			Flood Pr	one Area	Elevati	ion					541							1/1/	A state					
30.27	537.08		Entrencl	hment Ra	tio						1.61				_						and the second	10		
31.32	537.02		Bank He	eight Rati	D					(0.85				Sti	eam Typ	e C	24		Sta. 25	5+40 Loo	king D	ownstre	am
33.17	537.76	•													-					-				
33.93	537.9											-				6 7								
36.04	538.05										Indi	an Ri	n X	SC 3	- Rit	fle								
38.49								D	L' D	10115			D 1.			67/1				1072				
38.49 39.93	537.55						-	- - Bas	seline Baı	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne	<u> </u>	4Y1		MY2		-MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09	537.55 539.08			546			•	- - Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ine	N	/IY1		MY2		-MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58	537.55 539.08 539.31			546				- - Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne	 	/IY1		MY2		-MY3			1	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05			546 545				- - Bas	seline Ba	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne	۲ <u> </u>	/IY1		MY2		-MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3			545				– – Bas	seline Ba	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne	N	4Y1		MY2		-MY3			/	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3							– – Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne		/Y1		MY2		- MY3			/	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		()	545				– – Bas	seline Bar	nkfull D	Datum		Baseli	ine	P	/IY1		MY2		- MY3		•	/	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		feet)	545 544 543				– – Bas	seline Bar	nk full E	Datum		Baseli	ine	^	4Y1	; 	MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		m (feet)	545 544				– – Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ine		/Y1		MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		ation (feet)	545 544 543				Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne		/Y1		MY2		- MY3		•	<u></u>	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		levation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541				Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne		/Y1		MY2		- MY3			<u></u>	
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542				- Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ne		/Y1		MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541				- Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ine		/Y1		MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541 540 539				- Bas	seline Bar	nkfull D	Datum		Baseli	ine				MY2		- MY3				
88.49 99.93 13.09 17.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541 540				Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum		Baseli	ine				MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541 540 539 538				Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum			ine				MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 545 544 545 547 548 539 538 537				Bas	seline Bar	nkfull E	Datum			ine				MY2		- MY3				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541 540 539 538 537 536																				
38.49 39.93 43.09 47.58 51.86 59.02	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 543 544 545 544 545 547 548 539 538 537		5	1		15	20		25	Baseli		35	4Y1		MY2	50		55	6	0	
38.49 39.93	537.55 539.08 539.31 541.05 544.3		Elevation (feet)	545 544 543 542 541 540 539 538 537 536		5							30		35							6	0	

Station	Elevation
0.66	545.28
1.31	545.23
3.96	543.93
6.8	542.62
8.95	541.99
11.44	540.9
14.26	539.98
16.82	539.7
18.98	539.44
20.87	538.7
23.16	537.41
24.41	537.09
26.21	537.12
26.56	536.79
27.47	535.71
28.36	535.61
30.96	536.06
31.96	537.54
33.53	537.78
39.34	538.16
41.22	538.06
43.2	537.98
44.76	538.32
45.76	538.47
47.86	538.46
50.21	538.64
54.09	538.94
56.65	539.92
61.14	542.29 545.34
68.1	545.34

Entrenchment Ratio

Bank Height Ratio

Reach	Indian Run, Upper Reach	
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee	
Cross Section ID	XSC-4, Pool, Upper Reach, 25+92	
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5	
Date	10/21/2014	
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers	
S	UMMARY DATA	
S Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	UMMARY DATA 538.77	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	538.77	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ²	538.77 33.86	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ² Bankfull Width, ft	538.77 33.86 33.96	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ² Bankfull Width, ft Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	538.77 33.86 33.96 3.15	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ² Bankfull Width, ft Max Depth at Bankfull, ft Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	538.77 33.86 33.96 3.15 1.00	

1.62

0.96





		Indian Run, Lower F	Reach				
River Basin		Yadkin/Pee Dee			ANT ANT	S. A.	
Cross Section	ID	XSC-5, Riffle, Lowe	er Reach, 11+15		10 miles - 1	and the	
Drainage Area	ı (Sq Mi)	1.5				A States	
Date		10/21/2014					
Observers		P. Beach, C. Flower	:S				
					200	ALC: N	
		IMARY DATA			in the	and the second	
	full Datum, ft		536.34				The states of
	s Sectional Area, ft ²		22.7			and the second	
ankfull Widt			37		and the second		
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft			1.36				
			0.61 60.31			AND I THE REAL OF	A ANA MA
Width/Depth Flood Prone V			139.5		and the second	4-5	
	rea Elevation		537.7		- 10-3		
Entrenchment			3.77			-	
Bank Height I			1.01		Stream Type	C4	Sta. 11+15 Looking Downstream
					211 June - 5 F -		
			Indian	Run XSC :	5 - Riffle		
		Develo	e Bankfull Datum	Developer	N (N71	1022	NG/2
		Baseline	Bankfull Datum	-Baseline		- M Y 2	IVI Y 3
542	·		·····				
541	+		+				
540							
540	+	i i					
			++-				
(teet) 539							
						<u>+ + </u>	
Election (feet) Election (feet) 537 536							
Elevation (feet) Elevation (feet) 537							
Election (feet) Electron (Eet) Electron (Eet) Elect							
1 539 1 538 1 537 5 537 5 536 535 534							
Election (feed) 539 538 537 536 536 535							
1 539 1 538 1 537 5 537 5 536 535 534	0 10 20	30 40	50 60 70	80 90	100 110	120 130	140 150 160 170
(j) 539 538 538 537 536 535 535 534	0 10 20	30 40	50 60 70			120 130	140 150 160 170
(1) 539 10 538 10 537 11 537 12 536 535 534	0 10 20	30 40	50 60 70	80 90 Distance		120 130	140 150 160 170



Station	Elevation
0.28	540.82
1.65	540.43
6.44	538.67
10.92	537.48
11.81	537.24
12.55	536.88
14.23	536.49
16.39	536.02
18.72	536
20.69	535.89
22.57	535.82
24.44	534.76
25.47	533.64
26.36	533.19
27.59	533.02
29.74	532.85
31.67	532.88
33.47	532.94
34.27	533.94
36.44	534.74
38.6	535
40.3	535.32
45.61	535.57
55.82	535.76
64.99	535.68
72.18	
74.1	535.68
77.91	535.77
84.49	535.82
99.95	535.82
102.29	536.43
113.4	539.84
114.95	540

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-6, Pool, Lower Reach, 13+10
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/21/2014
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers

SUMN	MARY DATA
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	535.56
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ²	30.82
Bankfull Width, ft	26.48
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	2.46
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.16
Width/Depth Ratio	22.75
Flood Prone Width, ft	99
Flood Prone Area Elevation	538.02
Entrenchment Ratio	3.74
Bank Height Ratio	1.02





Station	Elevation
0	539.42
1.41	539.27
2.4	538.97
9.05	535
11.37	534.75
14	534.62
15.8	534.45
16.66	534
17.32	533.56
18.03	533.21
18.52	532.95
19.14	531.54
20.61	531.51
22.45	531.79
23.94	532.18
26.22	533.12
28.73	533.29
31.97	533.43
34.53	533.76
37.46	534.07
45.01	534.7
61.7	534.48
74.43	534.45
78.83	534.52
87.28	534.69
90.59	534.99
98.78	537.09
102.78	538.57
104.35	539.03
105.75	539.12

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-7, Pool, Lower Reach, 15+89
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	11/12/2014
Observers	P. Beach, K. Hamlin

SUMMARY DATA				
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft 534.62				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft ²	32.89			
Bankfull Width, ft	36.4			
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	3.22			
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	0.90			
Width/Depth Ratio	40.28			
Flood Prone Width, ft	96			
Flood Prone Area Elevation	537.84			
Entrenchment Ratio	2.64			
Bank Height Ratio	0.96			





Station	Elevation
0.3	538.64
3.62	538.19
5.97	537.89
10.26	537.21
14.4	536.24
17.77	535.58
20.5	534.99
24.53	534.83
31.4	534.8
39.68	534.55
45.38	534.73
53.62	534.1
58.75	534.4
61.72	534.34
64.48	533.02
66.5	532.18
67.83	532.31
68.92	532.35
69.9	533.07
70.77	533.08
71.74	533.01
72.62	533.03
73.64	533.24
74.66	533.41
75.91	533.72
77.78	534.13
80.5	534.7
86.7	534.96
89.97	535.02
102.41	534.89
110.22	534.99
115.19	535.77
120.13	536.91
123.57	537.62
128.02	538.61
132.2	539.44

532 531

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35

	Indian Run, Lower Reach				
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee		24	2	
Cross Section ID	XSC-8, Riffle, Lower Reach, 16+50		A Starter		
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5		A SALARES	the set of the	
Date	11/12/2014				
Observers	P. Beach, K. Hamlin	T al a for	X PARTY		
			A STATE		
	SUMMARY DATA		R A COMP	State of the second second	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	534.36	12 2	San She	A State of the second s	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, f	it ² 26.2				
Bankfull Width, ft	32.52	9			
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	2.39				
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	0.81		- 14 14 4	A MARCENEL COMPANY	
Width/Depth Ratio	40.36	a series			
Flood Prone Width, ft	112				
Flood Prone Area Elevation	536.75	the second second	MAL ST		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.44		ALL ALL AND		
Bank Height Ratio	0.95	Stream Type	C4	Sta. 16+50 Looking Upstream	
			C4	Sta. 10+50 Looking Opsitean	
Jank Height Ruto	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle Baseline MY1 –			
540 539	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538 537 536 536 535	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			
540 539 538	Indian	Run XSC 8 - Riffle			

*The pins for XS8 were not located in the field. The location of the cross section for MY3 is approximate and was set during MY2.

Distance (feet)

40 45 50 55

60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120 125 130 135





Coddle Creek Triburaty - Indian Run - UR - XS1 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 18+40

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062		27	27	27
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	0	0	27
	Fine	0.125-0.25	А	0	0	27
	Medium	0.25-0.50	Ν	0	0	27
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	18	18	45
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		0	0	45
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		0	0	45
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7	C	0	0	45
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	G R	1	1	46
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3	K A	3	3	49
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16	A V	8	8	57
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	v E	6	6	63
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32	L L	12	12	75
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45	L	9	9	84
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		12	12	96
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C O	3	3	99
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	В	1	1	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B L	0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	Е	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B O	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	U L	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024	D	0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048	E R	0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
	Total Counted 100					

Summary Data		
D50	11.3	
D84	45	
D95	60	





Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run -UR - XS3 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 25+40

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062		0	0	0
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	0	0	0
	Fine	0.125-0.25	А	0	0	0
	Medium	0.25-0.50	Ν	0	0	0
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	0	0	0
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		1	1	1
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		2	2	3
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7	C	0	0	3
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	G R	1	1	4
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3	K A	3	3	7
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16		3	3	10
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	V E	18	18	28
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32	L L	20	20	48
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45	L	19	19	67
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		17	17	84
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C O	8	8	92
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	B	6	6	98
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B L	2	2	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	L E	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B O	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	U L	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024	D	0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048	E R	0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
		Tota	l Counted	100		

Summary Data			
D50	33		
D84	64		
D95 95			





Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run - LR - XS5 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 11+15

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062		0	0	0
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	0	0	0
	Fine	0.125-0.25	А	23	23	23
	Medium	0.25-0.50	Ν	0	0	23
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	10	10	33
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		0	0	33
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		1	1	34
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7	G	3	3	37
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	R	5	5	42
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3	R A	20	20	62
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16	A V	16	16	78
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	E V	10	10	88
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32	L E	6	6	94
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		1	1	95
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		5	5	100
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C O	0	0	100
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	B	0	0	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B L	0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	L E	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B O	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	U L	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024	D	0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048	E R	0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
		Tota	l Counted	100		

Summary Data		
D50	9	
D84	20	
D95	32	





Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run - LR - XS8 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 16+50

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062		48	48	48
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	0	0	48
	Fine	0.125-0.25	А	38	38	86
	Medium	0.25-0.50	Ν	0	0	86
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	9	9	95
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		0	0	95
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		0	0	95
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7	G	0	0	95
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	R	2	2	97
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3		1	1	98
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16	A V	2	2	100
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	E V	0	0	100
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		0	0	100
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		0	0	100
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		0	0	100
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C O	0	0	100
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	B	0	0	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B L	0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	E E	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B O	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	U L	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024	D	0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048	E R	0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
		Tot	al Counted	100		

Summary Data		
D50	0.125	
D84	0.25	
D95	5.7	



				Ca	ddlo (rook T					am Da - Segm			Innor (1205 fr	(act)									
Parameter	Gauge ²	Reg	jional C				Existin			1) / 94	- Segn			each(es		el)		Design	1		Mc	nitorin	n Base	ine	
	Jaugo									r					'								-		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean		Max	SD⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							20.0 53.7				8.0 20.0			9.2 92.0				20.0		19.3	20.1		20.8		2
Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																		35.0		35.4 1.0	62.1 1.2		88.7		2
							3.1 4.6				1.2 1.3			1.5 1.9				1.6 1.8		1.0			1.4 2.1		2
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							61.3				1.3			12.3				29.3		1.6	1.9 24.7		2.1		2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²) Width/Depth Ratio)						6.5				5.3			7.5				12.0		19.9	24.7 16.8		29.5 18.8		2
Entrenchment Ratio							2.7				2.5			10.0				1.8		14.7	3.2		4.6		2
¹ Bank Height Ratio	,						2.1				1.6			1.7				1.0		1.0	1.0		4.0		2
Profile	<u>'</u>				<u>I</u>						1.0			1.7				1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0		2
		-	r –	1	-	r –	44.5			-	r –			1				1	r	11.0	27.0	24.5	62.0	10.0	0
Riffle Length (ft) Riffle Slope (ft/ft)		_					11.5 0.027				0.017			0.022				0.0117		11.0 0.006	27.9 0.013	24.5 0.011	62.0 0.031	16.2 0.008	8
											0.017			0.033				0.0117							8
Pool Length (ft)							40 4.79				10.8 2.0			14.0 2.7				2.85		18.0 2.6	31.6 3.3	30.0 3.3	55.0 3.8	12.2 0.5	7 6
Pool Max depth (ft)							4.79				4.4						52.0	2.85	101.0		3.3 91.4				6 7
Pool Spacing (ft)							10				4.4			47.2			52.0		101.0	47.0	91.4	91.0	126.0	25.4	/
Pattern Channel Beltwidth (ft)	-	-	r	1	-	r	400.0			-	00.0			00.0			50.0	1	173.0	50.0	55.0	54.0	67.0	0.7	6
Radius of Curvature (ft)							130.0 25.0				20.0 6.0			69.0 37.0			50.0 20.0		173.0 60.0	50.0 30.0	55.6 44.9	54.0 50.0	67.0 65.0	6.7 9.0	5 16
Radius of Curvature (it) Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							1.3				0.7			4.6			20.0		4.6	1.6	2.2	50.0	3.1	9.0	16
Meander Wavelength (ft)							115.0				48.0			85.0			104.0		213.0	135.0	168.4	171.5	208.0	21.3	8
Meander Wavelength (it) Meander Width Ratio							5.8				2.5			85.0			2.5		213.0 8.6	2.6	2.8	171.5	3.2	21.3	0
Meander Width Ratio							5.6				2.5			0.0			2.5		0.0	2.0	2.8		3.Z		
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f ²	2				<u> </u>	_	0.	53	_	_	T							0.47	_	—	_	0.	42		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull							38	3.7										35.4				32	2.0		
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²	2				1																				
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification							Impair	ed C4					С	:4				C4				0	;4		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)								.4										3.49							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							32	8.4																	
Valley length (ft)								38										1548				11	22		
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							19	00										1796				12	95		
Sinuosity (ft)							1.	16					1	.3				1.16				1.	15		
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0	051			1		0.0061 ·	- 0.0130				0.0047				0.0	056		
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0	051										0.0047				0.0	057		
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							_											_						_	
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks	5																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									
Biological or Other																									

					Cadall								Summa		(07	- f = = t)									
Parameter	Gauge ²	Peg	ional C		Codale		Existin			Run) /	94 - 56	-	t/Reac		ver (97	5 feet)		Design			M	onitoring	n Bacoli	no	
	Gauge		, T	T	I	-		-		1				. `	,				1		7		-		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD⁵	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							20.0				8.0			9.2				20.0		20.4	21.7		22.9		2
Floodprone Width (ft)							75.0				20.0			92.0				100.0		96.4	123.4		150.3		2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							3.7				1.2			1.5				1.7		1.3	1.3		1.3		2
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							5.1				1.3			1.9				1.8		2.1	2.2		2.2		2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)							74.5				11.3			12.3				29.3		27.1	28.0		28.8		2
Width/Depth Ratio							5.4				5.3			7.5				12.0		15.3	16.8		18.2		2
Entrenchment Ratio							3.8				2.5			10.0				5.0		4.7	5.7		6.6		2
¹ Bank Height Ratio											1.6			1.7				1.1		1.0	1.0		1.0		2
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)							6.0													18.0	32.0	31.0	48.0	12.3	5
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.035				0.017			0.033				0.0114		0.0057	0.0090	0.0076	0.0150	0.0042	4
Pool Length (ft)							81.0				10.8			14.0						14.0	47.4	35.0	48.0	30.5	7
Pool Max depth (ft)							5.8				2.0			2.7				2.85		2.4	3.0	3.1	3.5	0.4	6
Pool Spacing (ft)							7.5				4.4			47.2			52		101	92.0	112.8	114.0	131.0	19.7	4
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)											20.0			69.0			50.0		173.0	67.0	77.2	75.0	89.0	9.1	5
Radius of Curvature (ft)											6.0			37.0			35.0		56.0	45.0	48.9	50.0	50.0	3.9	7
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)											0.7			4.6			0.7		4.6	2.2	2.3		2.2		
Meander Wavelength (ft)											48.0			85.0			104.0		213.0	190.0	204.2	210.0	211.0	9.4	5
Meander Width Ratio											2.5			8.6			2.5		8.6	3.3	3.6		3.9		
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f ²							0.	53										0.36				0.3	34		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful							38	3.7										27.3				25	5.4		
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²																									
Additional Reach Parameters	_				_						_						_			-					
Rosgen Classification							Modified	Channe	əl				C	24				C4				С	4		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							5	.9										3.49				_			
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							44	2.9																	
Valley length (ft)							15	50										1550				76	63		
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							17	00										1922				97	75		
Sinuosity (ft)							1	.1					1	.3				1.24			-	1.2	28		
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)								052					0.0061	- 0.0130)			0.0035				0.0			
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0	052										0.0035				0.00	042		
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																		_				_			
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks																									
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									
Biological or Other																									

				-	Table	11a. I	Noni	toring	Data ·	Dime	nsion	al Mo	rphol	ogy S	umma	ary (Dii	nensio	onal Pa	arame	ters -	- Cro	ss Sec	tions)												
					Cod	dle Cr	eek 1	Tribut	ary (In	dian R	un) / 9	94 S	egme	ent/Re	ach: L	Jpper (1295',	XS 1-4	4) and	Low	er (97	'5', XS	5-8)												
		Cr	oss Sect	ion 1 (R	iffle)				C	ross Se	ction 2	(Pool)				C	ross Se	ction 3 (Riffle)				C	ross Se	ction 4 ((Pool)									
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	542.62	542.62	542.62	542.62				541.18	541.18	541.18	541.18				539.00	539.00	539.00	539.00				538.77	538.77	538.77	538.77										
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.31	22.90	16	19.93				34.10	35.59	23	30.49				20.80	25.86	21.66	21.9				33.00	33.51	29.34	33.96										
Floodprone Width (ft)	88.70	92.50	91	96				56.20	60.70	65	64.5				35.40	37.80	36.9	35.2				45.70	47.90	51.5	55										
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.03	0.99	1.71	1.12				1.20	1.16	1.82	0.94				1.40	1.31	1.13	1.02				1.30	1.30	1.06	1										
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.60	2.09	2.16	2.43				3.30	3.32	3.5	3.1				2.10	2.39	2	2.06				2.60	2.46	3.16	3.15										
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ff)	19.90	22.60	27.3	22.3				39.43	41.46	41.97	28.8				29.50	33.89	24.53					43.50	43.72	30.99	33.86										
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	18.80	23.20	7.41	17.81				29.50	30.55	12.6	32.28				14.70	19.73	19.13	21.47				25.00	25.68	27.78	34.06										
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.60	4.04	5.69	4.82				1.60	1.71	2.83	2.12				1.70	1.46	1.7	1.61				1.40	1.43	1.76	1.62										
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.00	0.98	1.16	0.93				1.00	1.00	1	0.9				1.00	1.00	1.04	0.85				1.00	1.00	0.96	0.96										
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft)	421.80	411.70	429.37	433.7				457.50		455.94	451.7				248.40		264.04	232.26				358.10	361.90	349.78	362.21										
d50 (mm)	4.90	32.00	19	11.3				12.00	27.00						6.00	6.50	8.5	33				0.34	4.40												
		Cr	oss Sect	ion 5 (R	iffle)				С	ross Se	ction 6	(Pool)				c	ross Se	ction 7	(Pool)				С	ross Se	ction 8 (Riffle)									
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+							
Record elevation (datum) used	536.34	536.34	536.34	536.34				535.56	535.56	535.56	535.56				534.62	534.62	534.62	534.62				534.36	534.36	534.36	534.36										
Bankfull Width (ft)	22.90	19.98	18.49	37				19.30	19.03	22.11	26.48				69.30	34.53	31.01	36.4				20.40	22.02	16.78	32.52										
Floodprone Width (ft)	150.30	150.10	138	139.5				95.20	104.40	100.4	99				93.00	99.00	96	96				96.40	95.60	89.5	112										
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.30	1.40	0.97	0.61				1.50	1.40	1.58	1.16				0.70	1.07	1.20	0.9				1.30	1.30	1.18	0.81										
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.10	1.94	1.15	1.36				2.40	2.75	2.71	2.46				3.00	3.14	3.11	3.22				2.20	2.33	2.18	2.39										
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ff)	28.80	27.92	18	22.7				28.20	26.71	34.9	30.82				48.90	37.08	37.17	32.89				27.10	28.64	19.78	26.2										
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	18.20	14.30	18.99	60.31				13.10	13.56	14.01	22.75				96.30	32.16	25.87	40.28				15.30	16.93	14.28	40.36										
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	6.60	7.51	7.46	3.77				5.00	5.49	4.54	3.74				1.30	2.87	3.1	2.64				4.70	4.34	5.33	3.44										
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.00	0.83	0.79	1.01				1.00	0.94	1	1.02				1.00	1.00	1.03	0.96				1.00	0.94	0.99	0.95										
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft)	823.40	870.60	807.93	780.65				467.00		540.64	520.1				458.80		480.99	423.02					431.60	444.59	442.99										
d50 (mm)	1.60	0.062	17	9				0.30	0.29						0.82	0.15						0.42	0.074	0.062	0.125										

											E Codd										ch Da each:)											٦
Parameter			Base	line					MY	'-1					M	Y-2					M	/- 3					MY	- 4					MY	- 5		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med		SD^4	n	Min	Mear			SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	${\rm SD}^4$	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.3	20.1		20.8		2	22.9	24.4		25.9		2	16	18.8		21.6		2	19.93			21.9		2												
Floodprone Width (ft)	35.4	62.1		88.7		2	37.8	65.2		92.5		2	36.9	63.9		91		2	35.2	65.6		96		2												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.2		1.4		2	1.0	1.2		1.3		2	1.71	1.42		1.13		2	1.02	1.07		1.12		2												
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.9		2.1		2	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.4	0.2	10	2	2.1		2.16		2	2.06	2.25		2.43		2												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft 2)	19.9	24.7		29.5		2	22.6	28.2		33.9		2	24.53	25.9		27.3		2	22.3	22.32	2	22.34		2												
Width/Depth Ratio	14.7	16.8		18.8		2	19.7	21.5		23.2		2	7.41	13.27		19.13		2	17.81	19.64	ļ _	21.47		2												
Entrenchment Ratio	1.7	3.2		4.6		2	1.5	2.8		4.0		2	1.7	3.7		5.69		2	1.61	3.22		4.82		2												
¹ Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	1.04	1.1		1.16		2	0.85	0.89		0.93		2												
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	11.0	27.9	24.5	62.0	16.2	8	4	13.1	12	23	6.6	11	12.1	25.2	26	39	19.02	5	13.6	28.2	27.8	45.5	12.5	6												-
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0060	0.0126	0.0107	0.0310	0.0078	8	0.0077	0.0234	0.0236	0.0425	0.0124	11	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.007	5	0.01	0.015	0.013	0.03	0.01	6												
Pool Length (ft)	18.0	31.6	30.0	55.0	12.2	7	13	25.2	20	63	13.3	15	25	48.7	50.1	67.8	21.51	5	24.96	33.14	32.19	45.29	6.917	6												
Pool Max depth (ft)	2.6	3.3	3.3	3.8	0.5	6	2.37	3.23	3.3	4.33	0.63	15	2.3	3.3	3.4	4.3	1.002	5	2.01	2.35	2.22	3.18	0.44	6												
Pool Spacing (ft)	47.0	91.4	91.0	126.0	25.4	7	35	80.9	80	122.5	30.3	10	83.8	125.9	127	158.8	37.64	5	47.1	84.6	72.9	159.8	43.1	6												
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	50.0	55.6	54.0	67.0	6.7	5																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	30.0	44.9	50.0	65.0	9.0	16																						r								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.6	2.2		3.1												Patter	n data wi	II not ty	/pically c		cted unie gnificant				nal data d	or profile	e data ini	dicate								
Meander Wavelength (ft)	135.0	168.4	171.5	208.0	21.3	8													-		-															
Meander Width Ratio	2.6	2.8		3.2																																
													_																		_					
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification			C	4					С	4					C	24					(24														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)			129	95					12	95					12	95					12	295														
Sinuosity (ft)			1.1	5					1.1	15					1.	15					1	.15														
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)			0.00	56					0.00)58					0.0	054					0.0	057														
BF slope (ft/ft)			0.00	57					0.00)55					0.0	054					0.	006														
³ Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																																				
³ SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
³ d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																																				
² % of Reach with Eroding Banks									2.	3						0						3														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

														le 11c ributa										foot)												Т
Parameter			Base	line					MY	-1	000			Tibuta	M)		ixuny <i>i</i>	34-	l			- 3	(373	1001)			MY	- 4					MY	- 5		-
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁴	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD^4	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	20.4	21.7		22.9		2	20.0	21.0		22.0		2	16.78	17.64		18.49		2	32.52	34.76		37		2												
Floodprone Width (ft)	96.4	123.4		150.3		2	95.6	122.9		150.1		2	89.5	113.8		138		2	112	125.8		139.5		2												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3		1.3		2	1.3	1.4		1.4		2	0.97	1.07		1.18		2	0.61	0.71		0.81		2												
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.2		2.2		2	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.4	0.2	7	1.15	1.66		2.18		2	1.36	1.875		2.39		2												
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft 2)	27.1	28.0		28.8		2	27.9	28.3		28.6		2	18	18.89		19.78		2	22.7	24.45		26.2		2												
Width/Depth Ratio	15.3	16.8		18.2		2	14.3	15.6		16.9		2	14.28	16.63		18.99		2	40.36	50.34		60.31		2												
Entrenchment Ratio	4.7	5.7		6.6		2	4.3	5.9		7.5		2	5.33	6.39		7.46		2	3.44			3.77		2												
¹ Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	0.8	0.9		0.9		2	0.79	0.89		0.99		2	0.95	0.98		1.01		2												
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	18.0	32.0	31.0	48.0	12.3	5	4.0	13.5	14.5	24.0	7.2	6	10.78	18.17	17.8	27.19	6.162	6	15.48				1.83	5												
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0057	0.0090	0.0076	0.0150	0.0042	4	0.0088	0.0141	0.0152	0.0188	0.0036	6	0.004	0.012	0.012	0.021	0.007	6	0.016	0.030	0.029	0.033	0.010	5												
Pool Length (ft)	14.0	47.4	35.0	48.0	30.5	7	26.0	45.6	48.0	71.0	17.6	7	16.41	41.3	45.6	66.8	18.71	5	14.79	26.27	28.57	41.33	11.06	5												
Pool Max depth (ft)	2.4	3.0	3.1	3.5	0.4	6	2.4	3.0	2.8	3.9	0.5	7	14.79	18.1	18.37	20.7	2.167	5	2.41	2.84 3.07 3.21			0.39	5												
Pool Spacing (ft)	92.0	112.8	114.0	131.0	19.7	4	45.0	93.1	107.0	141.0	38.0	6	67.6	122.2	122.6	176.1	44.65	4	40.61	50.48	47.13	66.96	10.68	5												
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	67.0	77.2	75.0	89.0	9.1	5																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	45.0	48.9	50.0	50.0	3.9	7																														
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	2.2	2.3		2.2												Patter	rn data v	will not t	ypically		cted unles				al data d	or profile	data inc	dicate								
Meander Wavelength (ft)	190.0	204.2	210.0	211.0	9.4	5															,			-												
Meander Width Ratio	3.3	3.6		3.9																																
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification			C	4					C	4					С	4					C	4														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)			97	5					97	5					97	75					9	75														
Sinuosity (ft)			1.2	28					1.2	28					1.3	28					1.	28														
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)			0.00)42					0.00)42					0.0	051					0.0	051														
BF slope (ft/ft)			0.00)42					0.00)46					0.0	054					0.0	105														
³ Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%			_										_			_	_			_																
³ SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
³ d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																																				
² % of Reach with Eroding Banks									0						. ())														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													_																							
Biological or Other																																				

Appendix E Hydrologic Data

	Table 12. V	erification of Bankfull E	Events
Coddle C	reek Tributary (I	ndian Run)/ 94 Segme	ent/Reach: 2270 feet
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo
5/30/2012	Between 5/11/2011 - 5/30/2012	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge	Photo in MY1 Report
11/4/2013	Between 5/30/2012 - 11/04/2013	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge	Photo in MY2 Report
11/4/2013	Between 11/04/2013 - 9/19/2014	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge reading at 35" above bankfull	Photo below

