Coddle Creek Tributary
(Indian Run) Stream Restoration
EEP Project # 94
DENR Contract # 5360

Annual Monitoring Report Year 4 of 5
Cabarrus County, North Carolina

Prepared for:

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services (DMS)
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

Construction Completed: March 27, 2011
Data Collected: September & October 2015
Report Submission: December 2015

Prepared by:

SEPI Engineering and Construction
1025 Wade Avenue
Raleigh, NC 27605

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Stream Restoration
Project # 94

Annual Monitoring Report Year 4 of 5
Cabarrus County, North Carolina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A PROJECT VICINITY MAPS AND BACKGROUND TABLES

APPENDIX B VISUAL ASSESSMENT DATA

APPENDIX C VEGETATION PLOT DATA

APPENDIX D STREAM SURVEY DATA

APPENDIX E HYDROLOGIC DATA

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Stream Restoration Project, completed in March 2011, enhanced (level 1) or restored a total of 2,270 linear feet of stream in the Upper Rocky River watershed including restoring 6.17 acres of riparian buffer. In addition, approximately 1,540 linear feet of stream was preserved within the 19.61 acre conservation easement. The project is located in the USGS Hydrologic Unit (HU) 03040105020010 of the Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin. This HU is within the Division of Mitigation Service's (DMS) Upper Rocky River Local Watershed Plan and is also listed as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in DMS's Lower Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin Restoration Priorities Plan 2009. The project site, which is protected by a 19.61-acre permanent conservation easement held by the State of North Carolina, is situated in Cabarrus County in the Southern Outer Piedmont ecoregion of the Piedmont physiographic province. Coddle Creek, from 0.2 miles upstream of NC Highway 73 (NC-73) to Rocky River, is currently listed on the NC 303(d) List as impaired due to turbidity (NCDENR 2012). In addition to the current non-supporting use classification for the lower portions of Coddle Creek, anticipated high rates of development in the watershed pose critical challenges in managing the region's aquatic resources. The project goals and objectives are listed below.

Project Goals

- Improve local water quality by reestablishing stream stability and capacity to transport watershed flows and sediment load.
- Provide additional floodplain storage by increasing the capacity of the stream to mitigate flood flows.
- Restore aquatic and riparian habitat.
- Reducing non-point source sedimentation and nutrient inputs into the project reaches.

Project Objectives

- Restore/Enhance (level 1) 2,270 linear feet of stable stream channel morphology, supported by instream habitat and grade/bank stabilization structures.
- Preserve 852 linear feet of stream within the conservation easement.
- Eliminate accelerated bank erosion by creating a bankfull bench, floodplain, and laying back slopes.
- Reestablish a native riparian buffer.

Vegetation Assessment

The vegetative success of the restoration site is based on criteria established in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003). Vegetation monitoring will be considered successful if a minimum of 260 planted stems/acre are surviving at the end of five years. The interim measure of vegetative success for the site will be the survival of a minimum of 320 planted stems/acre in year three and 288 stems/acre at the end of year four. The Monitoring Year 4 (MY4) stem counts are located in Tables 7 and 9 in Appendix C. Currently, only Vegetation Plot 8 is not meeting the interim measure of success (283 stems/acre). However, when including volunteer stems, Plot 8 exceeds the interim success criteria (5,787 total stems/acre). Vegetation throughout the reach appears to be growing at acceptable rates and the mortality rate appears to be fairly low. Areas noted in previous monitoring years as having sparse vegetation or being bare now include herbaceous plants and small woody stems.

Cattails (*Typha latifolia*) growth has notably decreased throughout both reaches. Only one area of cattails was noted during monitoring. The location of the cattails are noted on the CCPV and represent

approximately 24 linear feet of the reach or 1 % of the total reach. The areas of current and historical cattails will continue to be monitored. Other invasive plant species noted include lespedeza (*Lespedeza* sp.), kudzu vine (*Pueraria lobata*), and mimosa (*Albizia julibrissin*). Kudzu vine is sporadic throughout the upper reach and some mimosa trees have reached the canopy. The species will be monitored for spread. The project site will be treated for invasive species until project closeout by a DMS invasive species contractor. Lespedeza is found commonly throughout both reaches, sometimes overtaking the established vegetation plots. Vegetation in these plots will be monitored for signs of stress due to competition with lespedeza. No new easement encroachments were noted.

Stream Assessment

The upper and lower reaches of the restoration project were observed to be in stable condition. The channel's profile and cross-sections adjusted minimally from the baseline conditions. The channel accesses its floodplain and evidence of bankfull events were observed during Year 4 monitoring. This evidence included the presence of wrack lines, sediment deposits, and a crest gauge reading of 10.5" above bankfull. The substrate continues to shows a gradual change to more coarse material in both reaches.

Two areas of bar formation were noted at Sta. 25+29 – 25+62 and Sta. 26+50 – 26+67 on the upper reach. The bar formation on the lower reach at Sta. 11+35 was not present this monitoring year. Areas of bank erosion noted in previous monitoring reports were stable this year and will continue to be monitored. The terrace rill at approximately Sta. 18+00 on the left bank of the upper reach was noted in the Monitoring Year 1 report, but appears to have stabilized. This area will continue to be monitored for erosion. One headcut was noted at Sta. 17+75 on the lower reach. The headcut is outside of the stream channel and seems to be due to overland flow. A debris jam noted at Sta. 15+60 on the lower reach in the previous monitoring year has been resolved. A small area of bare bank was noted just downstream of cross section 7 on the right bank. A relict beaver lodge was noted at the downstream section of the bare area. All problem areas are noted on the Current Conditions Plan View (CCPV) sheets in Appendix B.

In response to continued observations of beaver activity in the stream, DMS has placed the project site on a quarterly inspection schedule for beaver and beaver dam removal with the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA-APHIS).

Pebble count data for the cross sections indicate similar or coarser values compared to baseline except in cross sections 1 and 8. This indicates a good movement of material at least in the upstream parts of the upper reach. The smaller particle size at cross section 1 may be from the upstream terrace rill erosion. The lower reach riffle at cross section 8 still exhibits a small particle size making up the riffle section. Effects from the relict beaver dam upstream of this area may play a role in the type of bed material observed this monitoring year. The riffle at cross section 5 exhibits larger particle sizes. As the lower reach was constructed as an offline segment, these values are not unexpected. It will take longer for coarser material to progress to the lower reach from upstream areas. Since the cross section dimensions have remained relatively the same for the lower reach, the sections are stable despite the smaller bed material.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting documentation formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on DMS's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from DMS upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The following methods were utilized during the Year 4 monitoring for data collection and post-processing:

- Geomorphic topographic data collections were performed in the field using a survey grade GPS such that each survey point has three-dimensional coordinates, and is georeferenced (NAD83-State Plane Feet – FIPS3200).
- Longitudinal stationing was developed using the as-built survey thalweg as a baseline.
- The particle size distribution protocol used was the Modified-Wolman pebble count.
- The CVS Level 2 methodology was utilized for the vegetation plot data collection.

3.0 REFERENCES

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2007. Final Stream Restoration Plan for Indian Run (Trib. to Coddle Creek).

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2009. Indian Run Stream Restoration Final Plans (90%).

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2011. Baseline Monitoring and As Built Baseline Report.

HDR Engineering, Inc. 2012. Monitoring Report Year 1 of 5.

Lee, Michael T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.0. (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)

North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2011. Procedure Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports. Version 1.4 (http://www.nceep.net/business/EEP_Mon_Rep_Temp_1.3_01-15-10.pdf)

SEPI Engineering & Construction, Inc. 2013. Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Annual Monitoring Report Year 2 of 5.

SEPI Engineering & Construction, Inc. 2014. Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Annual Monitoring Report Year 3 of 5.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. North Carolina Division of Water Quality (DWQ), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV (EPA), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC).

Appendix A

Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables



Prepared For

NC Depatment of Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services

Restoration Site Vicinity

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 4
Cabarrus County, NC
December 2015 Project # 94 Figure 1

N
W E
S



Prepared For

NC Depatment of Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services

USGS Concord SE Quadrangle, 2013

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 4
Cabarrus County, NC
December 2015 Project # 94 Figure 2

N
W E
S

Table 1a. Project Components									
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94									
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Units	BMP Elements	Comment
Reach 1 - Upper	1275 lf	E (Level 1)	P3	1275 lf	15+00-26+26 & 26+46-27+95	1.5:1	850		Restored bankfull dimension within the existing channel, utilized a partial floodplain bench to restore floodprone conditions, and enhanced existing pattern and profile.
Reach 1 - Upper	20 lf	E (Level 1)	P3	20 lf	26+26-26+46	3:1	7		Restored bankfull dimension within the existing channel, utilized a partial floodplain bench to restore floodprone conditions, and enhanced existing pattern and profile.
Reach 1 - Upper	415 lf	P		415 lf	07+52-09+10 & 09+34-11+72 & 14+45-14+64	10:1	42		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the conservation easement.
Reach 1 - Upper	327 lf	P		297 lf*	09+10-9+34 & 11+72-14+45	20:1	15		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the utility easement. *30 feet of sanitary sewer easement will not receive mitigation credits
Reach 2 - Lower	735 lf	R	P2	975 lf	10+00-19+75	1:1	975		Fully restored pattern, dimension and profile, excavated a new channel within an adjoining floodplain bench to restore floodplain conditions.
Reach 2 - Lower	434 lf	P		434 lf	21+72-23+58 & 24+45-26+93	20:1	22		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the utility easement.
Reach 2 - Lower	394 lf	P		394 lf	19+75-21+72 & 23+58-24+45 & 26+93-28+03	10:1	39		Preserved channel in its existing condition within the conservation easement.

Table 1b. Component Summations Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94								
Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Stream Mitigation Units	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Planted Area (Ac)	Potential Buffer Area (Ac)	Total Conservation Area (Ac)	BMP
			Riverine	Non-Riverine				
Restoration (Lower)	975	975			4.21	2.58	10.11	
Enhancement (Upper)	1295	857			4.30	3.59	9.50	
Preservation	1540	118				1.89		
Totals (Feet/Acres)	3,810				8.51	8.06	19.61	

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94		
Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 4 yrs 8 months		
Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 4 yrs 8 Months		
Number of Reporting Years: 4		
	Data Collection	Completion or
Activity or Deliverable	Complete	Delivery
Restoration Plan	Jun-07	Aug-07
Final Design – Construction Plans	Jun-07	Jul-09
Construction/Grading	NA	Mar-11
Planting	NA	Mar-11
Final Inspection	NA	Mar-11
Monitoring – baseline)	May-11	Aug-11
Year 1 Monitoring	5/29/2012 - 5/30/2012	Sep-12
Year 2 Monitoring	Nov-13	Mar-14
Year 3 Monitoring	Oct-14	Dec-14
Year 4 Monitoring	Oct-15	Nov-15
Year 5 Monitoring		

Table 3. Project Contacts Table Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94	
Designer	HDR Engineering Inc. of the Carolinas
	3733 National Drive, Suite 207, Raleigh, NC 27612
Primary project design POC	Jonathan Henderson, PE (919) 785-1118
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.
	126 Circle G Lane, Willow Spring, NC 27592
Construction contractor POC	Lloyd Glover, (919) 639-6132
Survey Contractor	Stewart Proctor Pllc
	319 Chapanoke Road #106, Raleigh, NC 27603
Survey contractor POC	Herb Proctor, (919) 799-1855
Planting Contractor	HARP, Inc.
	301 McCullough Drive, 4th Floor, Charlotte, NC 28262
Planting contractor POC	Alan Peoples, (704) 841-2841
Seeding Contractor	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.
	126 Circle G Lane, Willow Spring, NC 27592
Contractor point of contact	Lloyd Glover, (919) 639-6132
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource, Charlotte, NC
	Phone: (704) 927-3100
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Cure Nursery, Pittsboro, NC - (919) 542-6186
	ArborGen, Blenheim, SC - (843) 528-3203
	Foggy Mountain Nursery llc, Creston, NC - (336) 384-5323
	Habitat and Restoration Plants, Lexington, NC - (336) 362-6776
	NC Division of Forest Resources, Greensboro, NC - (919) 731-7988
Monitoring Performers - Baseline & Year 1	HDR Engineering Inc. of the Carolinas
	3733 National Drive, Suite 207, Raleigh, NC 27612
Monitoring Performers - Year 2 - 4	SEPI Engineering & Construction, Inc.
	1025 Wade Avenue, Raleigh, NC 27605
Stream Monitoring POC	Phil Beach, PWS (919) 787-9977
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kim Hamlin, Project Scientist (919) 787-9977

Table 4. Project Attribute Table Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94		
Project County	Cabarrus	
Physiographic Region	Piedmont	
Ecoregion	Southern Outer Piedmont	
Project River Basin	Yadkin / Pee Dee	
USGS HUC for Project (14 digit)	3040105020010	
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project	03 - 07 - 11	
Within extent of EEP Watershed Plan?	Upper Rocky River	
WRC Hab Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm	
% of project easement fenced or demarcated	100% marked with EEP easement signage	
Beaver activity observed during design phase?	No	
Restoration Component Attribute Table		
	UPPER	LOWER
Drainage area (ac)	1.5	
Stream order	2nd	
Restored length (feet)	1295	975
Perennial or Intermittent	Per	
Watershed type (Rural, Urban, Developing etc.)	Devel.	
Watershed LULC Distribution (e.g.)		
Medium Density Residential	11	
Low Density Residential / Open Fields/ Lawns	34	
Forested	52	
Watershed impervious cover (%)	3	
NCDWQ AU/Index number	-	
NCDWQ classification	C	
303d listed?	No	
Upstream of a 303d listed segment?	Yes	
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Bio. Integ.	Turbidity
Total acreage of easement	9.5	10.11
Total vegetated acreage within the easement	9.5	10.11
Total planted acreage as part of the restoration	4.3	4.21
Rosgen classification of pre-existing	Imp. C4	Ditch
Rosgen classification of As-built	C4	C4
Valley type	VIII	VIII
Valley slope	0.63%	0.61%
Valley side slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)	-	-
Valley toe slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)	-	-
Cowardin classification	NA	
Trout waters designation	No	
Species of concern, endangered etc.? (Y/N)	No	
Dominant soil series and characteristics		
Series	Chewacla	
Depth	U	U
Clay%	U	U
K	U	U
T	U	U

Appendix B
Visual Assessment Data



Prepared For

NC Depatment of
Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services

Current Conditions Plan View Site Index

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 4
Cabarrus County, NC
December 2015 Project # 94 Figure 3

N
W E
S



Prepared for:

NC Department of
Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 4 Annual Report

Current Conditions Plan View - Upper Reach Cabarrus County, NC

December 2015 Project #94 Figure 3A



Prepared for:

NC Department of
Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Year 4 Annual Report

Current Conditions Plan View - Lower Reach Cabarrus County, NC

December 2015 Project #94 Figure 3B

Table 5a **Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**
Reach ID **Upper Reach**
Assessed Length **1295**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	11	11			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6)	15	15			100%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	15	15			100%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	15	15			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	15	15			100%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	14	14			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	8	8			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	14	14			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	13	13			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	13	13			100%			

Table 5b **Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**
Reach ID **Lower Reach**
Assessed Length **975**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	6	6			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6)	7	7			100%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	7	7			100%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	7	7			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	7	7			100%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	9	9			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	8	9			89%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	9	9			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	9	9			100%			

*Riffles were not supplied with coarse substrate in the as-built condition. Aside from minor aggradation, riffles remain stable.

Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment

Planted Acreage[1] **8.51**

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Cumulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%

Easement Acreage[2] **19.61**

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern[4]	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas[3]	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%

Photo Station 1 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 1 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 2 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 2 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 3 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 3 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 4 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 4 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 5 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 5 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 6 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 6 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 7 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 7 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 8 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 8 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 9 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 9 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 10 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 10 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 11 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 11 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 12 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 12 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 13 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 13 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 14 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 14 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 15 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 15 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 16 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 16 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 17 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 17 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 18 Downstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 18 Upstream (Year 4 -10/21/2015)

Photo Station 19 Downstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 19 Upstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 20 Downstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 20 Upstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 21 Downstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 21 Upstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 22 Downstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 22 Upstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 23 Downstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Photo Station 23 Upstream (Year 4 -10/22/2015)

Vegetation Plot 1 – 5m x 20m (Year 4 of 5) 9/21/2015

Vegetation Plot 2 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/21/2015

Vegetation Plot 3 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/21/2015

Vegetation Plot 4 – 5m x 20m (Year 4 of 5) 9/21/2015

Vegetation Plot 5 – 5m x 20m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 6 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 7 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 8 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 9 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 10 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Vegetation Plot 11 – 10m x 10m (Year 4 of 5) 9/22/2015

Appendix C
Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) - 94		
Plot	Planted Stems/Ac	Meeting Criteria
1	1093	Yes
2	486	Yes
3	728	Yes
4	607	Yes
5	769	Yes
6	769	Yes
7	405	Yes
8	283	No
9	526	Yes
10	607	Yes
11	486	Yes

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) - 94

Report Prepared By	Kim Hamlin
Date Prepared	9/25/2015 11:07
database name	CoddleCr(IndianRun)_94_MY4_2015_CVS.mdb
database location	G:\Environmental\NCEEP Coddle Creek SMS\MY04\AnnualReport\Coddle_Cr(IndianRun)_94_MY4_2015_DRAFT\Support Files\3 - Vegetation Plot Data
computer name	W93
file size	49975296

DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT----------

Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.

PROJECT SUMMARY----------

Project Code	94
project Name	Indian Run Tributary to Coddle Creek
Description	Stream Restoration
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
length(ft)	2270
stream-to-edge width (ft)	100
area (sq m)	42173.71
Required Plots (calculated)	11
Sampled Plots	11

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)
EEP Project Code 94. Project Name: Indian Run Tributary to Coddle Creek

			Current Plot Data (MY2015 2015)																	
			094-HDR-0001			094-HDR-0002			094-HDR-0003			094-HDR-0004			094-HDR-0005			094-HDR-0006		
Scientific Name	**Common Name**	**Species Type**	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer negundo	boxelder	Tree															2			9
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree	10	10	10	3	3	3	4	4	4				5	5	5	3	3	3
Albizia julibrissin	silktree	Exotic															1			3
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub	5	5	13			2			3	2	2	3	5	5	5	2	2	3
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	Shrub																		
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree			112			3			6			8	3	3	4	3	3	3
Callicarpa americana	American beautyberr	Shrub																		
Calycanthus floridus	eastern sweetshrub	Shrub																		
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree												1						
Celtis laevigata	sugarberry	Tree																		3
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub						1												1
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	Shrub	1	1	1	3	3	3				8	8	8				4	4	4
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree							1	1	1				1	1	1	1	1	1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	6	6	6	4	4	4	7	7	7	2	2	2	2	2	2			1
Juglans nigra	black walnut	Tree															1	1	1	1
Ligustrum sinense	Chinese privet	Exotic																		1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree									4			2			11			6
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree																		
Morella cerifera	wax myrtle	shrub												1						
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	1	1	1				3	3	3									
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree												1						
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree			84			16			26			500			48			18
Populus deltoides	eastern cottonwood	Tree			44			3			2			41.67			4			4
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Tree																		1
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree																		
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree							1	1	1				1	1	1	2	2	2
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	1	1	3
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree						1												
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree						1						2		1	5		1	1
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub									1							1	1	1
Ulmus	elm	Tree																		
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree																		1
Ulmus rubra	slippery elm	Tree																		
		Stem count	27	27	275	12	12	39	18	18	60	15	15	572.7	18	19	92	18	19	70
		size (ares)	1			1			1			1			1			1		
		size (ACRES)	0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02		
		Species count	6	6	9	4	4	11	6	6	12	4	4	12	7	8	14	9	10	21
		Stems per ACRE	1093	1093	11129	485.6	486	1578	728.4	728	2428	607	607	23175	728.4	769	3723	728.4	769	2833

	Current Plot Data (MY2015 2015)														
	094-HDR-0007			094-HDR-0008			094-HDR-0009			094-HDR-0010			094-HDR-0011		
Scientific Name	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer negundo			14						2			1			
Acer rubrum	1	1	1			3						4			
Albizia julibrissin															
Alnus serrulata	5	5	5			1	1	1	1	1	1	2			3
Baccharis halimifolia															
Betula nigra						2	4	4	6	2	2	4	7	7	12
Callicarpa americana															
Calycanthus floridus															
Carpinus caroliniana															
Celtis laevigata							1	1	1						
Cephalanthus occidentalis															
Cornus amomum	3	3	3	3	3	4	6	6	7	1	1	4	1	1	1
Diospyros virginiana							1	1	1	1	1	1			
Fraxinus pennsylvanica			2												
Juglans nigra															
Ligustrum sinense															
Liquidambar styraciflua															
Liriodendron tulipifera												1			
Morella cerifera												1			
Nyssa sylvatica										1	1	2			
Pinus taeda									1			2			
Platanus occidentalis									1			1			
Populus deltoides			71			128			105			71			13
Prunus serotina															
Quercus lyrata													1	1	1
Quercus nigra										1	1	1			
Quercus phellos													3	3	3
Quercus rubra															
Salix nigra	1	1	1	4	4	5				8	8	10			2
Sambucus canadensis															
Ulmus															
Ulmus americana															
Ulmus rubra															
Stem count	10	10	97	7	7	143	13	13	125	15	15	105	12	12	35
size (ares)	1			1			1			1			1		
size (ACRES)	0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02		
Species count	4	4	7	2	2	6	5	5	9	7	7	14	4	4	7
Stems per ACRE	404.7	405	3925	283.3	283	5787	526.1	526	5059	607	607	4249	485.6	486	1416

	Annual Means														
	MY2015 (2015)			MY2014 (2014)			MY2013 (2013)			MY2012 (2012)			MY2011 (2011)		
Scientific Name	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer negundo			28			13			1			9			
Acer rubrum	26	26	33	26	26	26	23	23	24	28	28	36	30	30	38
Albizia julibrissin			4			1									
Alnus serrulata	21	21	41	21	21	32	21	21	31	21	21	21	19	19	19
Baccharis halimifolia						1			1						
Betula nigra	19	19	160	19	19	194	19	19	865	20	20	674	28	28	28
Callicarpa americana				1	1	1	5	5	5	7	7	7	8	8	8
Calycanthus floridus							1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Carpinus caroliniana			1												
Celtis laevigata	1	1	4			1	1	1	1	10	10	10	15	15	15
Cephalanthus occidentalis			2												
Cornus amomum	30	30	35	30	30	39	29	29	31	34	34	34	32	32	32
Diospyros virginiana	5	5	5	7	7	8	4	4	4	18	18	18	21	21	21
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	21	21	24	21	21	22	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Juglans nigra	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	6	6	6
Ligustrum sinense			1			1			1						
Liquidambar styraciflua			23			47			17			9			
Liriodendron tulipifera			1			1									
Morella cerifera			2			3			1						
Nyssa sylvatica	5	5	6	3	3	4	3	3	3						
Pinus taeda			4			1			2						
Platanus occidentalis			694			829			679			1536			
Populus deltoides			487			538			174			662			655
Prunus serotina			1												
Quercus lyrata	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2						
Quercus nigra	5	5	5	4	4	4	6	6	8	8	8	8	12	12	12
Quercus phellos	16	16	19	14	14	18	16	16	16	21	21	21	21	21	21
Quercus rubra			1												
Salix nigra	13	15	27	12	14	28	14	16	24	11	13	18	6	8	8
Sambucus canadensis	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	7	2	2	2	2	2	2
Ulmus									2			33			
Ulmus americana			1												
Ulmus rubra									31						
Stem count	165	167	1614	162	164	1816	166	168	1954	207	209	3125	223	225	888
size (ares)	11			11			11			11			11		
size (ACRES)	0.27			0.27			0.27			0.27			0.27		
Species count	14	14	28	14	14	25	16	16	26	14	14	19	14	14	15
Stems per ACRE	607	614	5937	596	603	6681	610.7	618	7189	761.5	769	11497	820.4	828	3267

Appendix D
Stream Survey Data

Station	Elevation
0.00	547.61
2.20	547.19
3.56	546.98
6.42	545.88
11.07	542.78
13.61	542.66
16.22	542.63
17.82	542.94
20.14	542.8
20.20	542.79
21.84	542.48
24.58	540.35
26.50	540.36
28.22	540.43
29.22	540.88
31.74	541.48
32.26	541.6
34.87	541.82
38.35	542.74
45.35	542.97
48.56	542.62
50.00	542.8
52.84	543.37
58.66	543.37
67.14	543.41
80.11	543.14
87.64	543.25
94.36	543.5
99.38	544.66
104.04	545.73
107.49	546.53
110.14	549.73

Reach	Indian Run, Upper Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-1, Riffle, Upper Reach, 18+40
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/21/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	542.62
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	20.72
Bankfull Width, ft	16.51
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	2.27
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.25
Width/Depth Ratio	13.16
Flood Prone Width, ft	92
Flood Prone Area Elevation	544.89
Entrenchment Ratio	5.57
Bank Height Ratio	0.94

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 18+40 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	547.59
1.01	547.25
2.43	546.71
4.85	545.37
8.44	543.58
11.36	541.66
12.24	541.27
15.24	541.02
17.1	540.99
17.87	540.78
17.98	540.86
18.79	540.24
19.32	539.66
19.74	538.15
21.2	537.4
23.91	537.52
26.09	538.02
27.3	538.29
28.15	539.42
29.23	540.22
30.67	540.59
33.01	540.68
36.61	540.57
40.72	540.75
46.83	540.83
50.4	540.89
56.95	541.14
62.67	541.72
64.77	542.33
70.51	544.01
77.21	545.97
80.64	547.48
84.07	548.99

Reach	Indian Run, Upper Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-2, Pool, Upper Reach, 20+62
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/21/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	541.18
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	35.52
Bankfull Width, ft	40
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	3.78
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	0.89
Width/Depth Ratio	45.05
Flood Prone Width, ft	67.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation	544.96
Entrenchment Ratio	1.69
Bank Height Ratio	0.95

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 20+62 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	545.28
2.34	544.25
5.58	543.35
9.81	541.9
10.8	541.33
13.15	540.1
15.19	539.26
18.74	538.7
21.07	538.19
23.75	537.46
24.75	536.64
26.09	536.65
27.29	536.69
28.96	537.52
32.02	537.61
33.27	537.69
33.86	536.98
35.43	537.26
37.8	538.37
38.86	539.12
41.11	538.88
43.37	539.15
51.6	543.02
55.7	544.54
57.16	546.16

Reach	Indian Run, Upper Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-3, Riffle, Upper Reach, 25+40
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/21/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	539.00
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	34.75
Bankfull Width, ft	21.86
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	2.36
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.59
Width/Depth Ratio	13.75
Flood Prone Width, ft	37
Flood Prone Area Elevation	541
Entrenchment Ratio	1.69
Bank Height Ratio	1.05

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 25+40 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	545.57
1.56	544.36
3.54	543.47
6.9	542.17
9.37	541.07
12.03	540.04
14.55	539.29
17.25	538.97
18.81	538.77
20.52	537.96
21.39	537.84
22.37	535.92
24.68	533.81
29.52	534.71
31.71	535.71
33.68	537.69
36.62	537.98
38.51	537.88
42.52	538.04
47.57	538.29
51.5	538.45
54.53	539.23
66.07	545.96

Reach	Indian Run, Upper Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-4, Pool, Upper Reach, 25+92
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/21/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	538.77
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	57.6
Bankfull Width, ft	34
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	4.96
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.69
Width/Depth Ratio	20.07
Flood Prone Width, ft	59
Flood Prone Area Elevation	543.73
Entrenchment Ratio	1.74
Bank Height Ratio	1

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 25+92 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	541.52
2.26	541.16
7.51	540.11
16.35	538.19
23.23	536.67
28.48	535.91
34.39	535.83
44.24	535.42
54.99	535.8
68	535.96
85.02	536.19
97.24	536.47
103.76	536.13
109.08	536.64
112.19	536.78
114.3	536.13
115.99	535.72
117.3	534.98
119.41	534.82
119.88	534.21
121.16	534.19
122.05	534.29
123.43	535.59
125.97	535.68
128.59	535.29
130.7	535.69
132.4	536.17
136.9	536.24
143.57	536.14
149.67	535.98
156.19	536.78
161.85	538.77

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-5, Riffle, Lower Reach, 11+15
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/22/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers, K. Hamlin

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	536.34
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	17.21
Bankfull Width, ft	22.9
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	2.15
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	0.75
Width/Depth Ratio	30.47
Flood Prone Width, ft	145.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation	538.5
Entrenchment Ratio	6.35
Bank Height Ratio	0.9

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 11+15 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	540.87
0.98	540.55
4.57	539.49
8.92	537.99
13.59	536.23
17.73	535.98
20.95	535.87
22.29	535.89
23.82	534.97
24.4	534.58
25.43	532.97
26.67	532.77
28.44	532.62
30.09	532.67
31.94	532.54
32.63	532.84
32.74	533.09
33.02	533.25
33.36	534.18
34.17	534.43
34.94	534.83
35.53	534.99
36.89	535.01
48.29	535.68
54.73	535.66
63.05	536.08
72.33	535.58
84.2	535.69
91.69	535.62
103.58	537.36
113.82	539.95

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-6, Pool, Lower Reach, 13+10
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/22/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers, K. Hamlin

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	535.56
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	37.45
Bankfull Width, ft	26
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	3.02
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.44
Width/Depth Ratio	18.05
Flood Prone Width, ft	100.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation	538.58
Entrenchment Ratio	3.87
Bank Height Ratio	1.04

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 13+10 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	539.9
0.12	539.45
1.57	539.31
2.8	538.81
7.23	536.55
10.71	534.82
11.73	534.71
14.49	534.68
16.81	534.34
17.88	534.02
18.23	533.42
18.71	533.07
19.32	531.61
21.64	531.14
22.23	531.19
24.17	531.23
27.19	531.21
27.27	533.46
28.02	533.57
29.44	533.49
35.82	533.76
42.8	534.65
47.77	534.77
61.22	534.66
75.28	534.51
89.32	534.74
92.3	535.33
104.12	538.85
106.04	539

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-7, Pool, Lower Reach, 15+89
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/22/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C. Flowers, K. Hamlin

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	534.62
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	39.5
Bankfull Width, ft	28.31
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	3.48
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	1.40
Width/Depth Ratio	20.29
Flood Prone Width, ft	98
Flood Prone Area Elevation	538.1
Entrenchment Ratio	3.46
Bank Height Ratio	1

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 15+89 Looking Downstream



Station	Elevation
0	538.91
2.54	537.9
4.48	537.44
6.27	537.17
26.17	534.42
38.71	534.35
47.7	534.22
55.04	533.95
58.91	534.18
62.11	533.72
63.01	533.24
64.24	532.75
64.98	532.01
66.93	531.07
68.84	531.16
70.07	532.74
70.52	532.77
73.07	532.54
74.37	533.08
77.16	533.88
80.63	534.17
86.18	534.29
97.72	534.18
108.43	534.52
112.18	535.11
121.76	537.02
130.82	538.94

Reach	Indian Run, Lower Reach
River Basin	Yadkin/Pee Dee
Cross Section ID	XSC-8, Riffle, Lower Reach, 16+50
Drainage Area (Sq Mi)	1.5
Date	10/22/2015
Observers	P. Beach, C.Flowers, K. Hamlin

SUMMARY DATA	
Baseline Bankfull Datum, ft	534.36
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area, ft^2	29.3
Bankfull Width, ft	38.48
Max Depth at Bankfull, ft	3.29
Mean Depth at Bankfull, ft	0.76
Width/Depth Ratio	50.54
Flood Prone Width, ft	121
Flood Prone Area Elevation	537.65
Entrenchment Ratio	3.14
Bank Height Ratio	0.95

Stream Type	C4

Sta. 16+50 Looking Upstream



*The pins for XS8 were not located in the field. The location of the cross section for MY4 is approximate and was set during MY2.

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Upper Reach - Longitudinal Profile



Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) Lower Reach - Longitudinal Profile



Coddle Creek Triburaty - Indian Run - UR - XS1 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 18+40

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	<0.062	SAND	20	20	20
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125		2	2	22
	Fine	0.125-0.25		0	0	22
	Medium	0.25-0.50		0	0	22
	Coarse	0.50-1.0		23	23	45
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		7	7	52
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4	GRAVEL	1	1	53
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7		4	4	57
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8		2	2	59
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3		6	6	65
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16		8	8	73
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6		14	14	87
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		5	5	92
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		1	1	93
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		5	5	98
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	COBBLE	1	1	99
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		0	0	99
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180		1	1	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256		0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	BOULDER	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512		0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024		0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048		0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
			Total Counted	100		

Summary Data	
D50	2
D84	21
D95	50


Pebble Count - XSC1 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Total
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
0.062
0.125
0.25
0.5
1
2
4
5.7
8
11.3
16
22.6
32
45
64
90
128
180
256
362
512
1024
2048
40096
Particle Size (mm)


Cumulative Percent
Pebble Count - XSC1 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Cumulative
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0.01
0.1
1
10
100
1000
10000
100000
Particle Size (mm)

Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run -UR - XS3 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 25+40

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	<0.062		0	0	0
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	0	0	0
	Fine	0.125-0.25	A	0	0	0
	Medium	0.25-0.50	N	0	0	0
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	4	4	4
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		5	5	9
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		10	10	19
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7		7	7	26
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	G	10	10	36
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3	R	20	20	56
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16	A	17	17	73
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	V	18	18	91
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32	E	4	4	95
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45	L	3	3	98
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		0	0	98
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C	2	2	100
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	O	0	0	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B	0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	B L E	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	O U L D E R	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024		0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048		0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
			Total Counted	100		

Summary Data	
D50	10
D84	20
D95	32


Pebble Count - XSC3 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Total
25
20
15
10
5
0
<0.062
0.062-0.125
0.125-0.25
0.25-0.50
0.50-1.0
1.0-2
2-4
4-5.7
5.7-8
8-11.3
11.3-16
16-22.6
22.6-32
32-45
45-64
64-90
90-128
128-180
180-256
256-362
362-512
512-1024
1024-2048
Bedrock
Particle Size (mm)


Cumulative Percent
Pebble Count - XSC3 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Cumulative
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0.01
0.1
1
10
100
1000
10000
100000
Particle Size (mm)

Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run - LR - XS5 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 11+15

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	<0.062	SAND	0	0	0
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125		0	0	0
	Fine	0.125-0.25		0	0	0
	Medium	0.25-0.50		0	0	0
	Coarse	0.50-1.0		18	18	18
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		2	2	20
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4	GRAVEL	16	16	36
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7		9	9	45
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8		6	6	51
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3		11	11	62
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16		9	9	71
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6		13	13	84
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		9	9	93
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		4	4	97
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	98
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	COBBLE	2	2	100
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		0	0	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180		0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256		0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	BOULDER	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512		0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024		0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048		0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
			Total Counted	100		

Summary Data	
D50	8
D84	22.6
D95	35


Pebble Count - XSC5 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Total
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
<0.062
0.062-0.125
0.125-0.25
0.25-0.50
0.50-1.0
1.0-2
2-4
4-5.7
5.7-8
8-11.3
11.3-16
16-22.6
22.6-32
32-45
45-64
64-90
90-128
128-180
180-256
256-362
362-512
512-1024
1024-2048
Bedrock
Particle Size (mm)


Cumulative Percent
Pebble Count - XSC5 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Cumulative
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0.01
0.1
1
10
100
1000
10000
100000
Particle Size (mm)

Coddle Creek Tributary - Indian Run - LR - XS8 Riffle Pebble Count

Location: STA 16+50

Inches	Particle	Millimeters		Count	% Total	% Cum.
	Silt/Clay	<0.062		28	28	28
	Very Fine	0.062-0.125	S	36	36	64
	Fine	0.125-0.25	A	0	0	64
	Medium	0.25-0.50	N	0	0	64
	Coarse	0.50-1.0	D	6	6	70
0.04-0.08	Very Coarse	1.0-2		0	0	70
0.08-0.16	Very Fine	2-4		1	1	71
0.16-0.22	Fine	4-5.7		3	3	74
0.22-0.31	Fine	5.7-8	G	2	2	76
0.31-0.44	Medium	8-11.3	R	13	13	89
0.44-0.63	Medium	11.3-16	A	8	8	97
0.63-0.89	Coarse	16-22.6	V	2	2	99
0.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32	E	1	1	100
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45	L	0	0	100
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		0	0	100
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90	C	0	0	100
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128	O	0	0	100
5.0-7.1	Medium	128-180	B	0	0	100
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256	B L E	0	0	100
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362	B	0	0	100
14.3-20	Small	362-512	O U	0	0	100
20-40	Medium	512-1024	L D	0	0	100
40-80	Large	1024-2048	E R	0	0	100
	Bedrock	Bedrock	Bedrock	0	0	100
			Total Counted	100		

Summary Data	
D50	0.09
D84	10
D95	15


Pebble Count - XSC8 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Total
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
<0.062
0.062-0.125
0.125-0.25
0.25-0.50
0.50-1.0
1.0-2
2-4
4-5.7
5.7-8
8-11.3
11.3-16
16-22.6
22.6-32
32-45
45-64
64-90
90-128
128-180
180-256
256-362
362-512
512-1024
1024-2048
Bedrock
Particle Size (mm)


Cumulative Percent
Pebble Count - XSC8 - Riffle
Baseline
MY01
MY02
MY03
MY04
% Cumulative
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0.01
0.1
1
10
100
1000
10000
100000
Particle Size (mm)

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94 - Segment/Reach: Upper (1295 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							20.0				8.0			9.2				20.0		19.3	20.1		20.8		2
Floodprone Width (ft)							53.7				20.0			92.0				35.0		35.4	62.1		88.7		2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							3.1				1.2			1.5				1.6		1.0	1.2		1.4		2
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							4.6				1.3			1.9				1.8		1.6	1.9		2.1		2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)							61.3				11.3			12.3				29.3		19.9	24.7		29.5		2
Width/Depth Ratio							6.5				5.3			7.5				12.0		14.7	16.8		18.8		2
Entrenchment Ratio							2.7				2.5			10.0				1.8		1.7	3.2		4.6		2
[1]Bank Height Ratio											1.6			1.7				1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0		2
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)							11.5													11.0	27.9	24.5	62.0	16.2	8
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.027				0.017			0.033				0.0117		0.006	0.013	0.011	0.031	0.008	8
Pool Length (ft)							40				10.8			14.0						18.0	31.6	30.0	55.0	12.2	7
Pool Max depth (ft)							4.79				2.0			2.7				2.85		2.6	3.3	3.3	3.8	0.5	6
Pool Spacing (ft)							10				4.4			47.2			52.0		101.0	47.0	91.4	91.0	126.0	25.4	7
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							130.0				20.0			69.0			50.0		173.0	50.0	55.6	54.0	67.0	6.7	5
Radius of Curvature (ft)							25.0				6.0			37.0			20.0		60.0	30.0	44.9	50.0	65.0	9.0	16
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							1.3				0.7			4.6			0.7		4.6	1.6	2.2		3.1		
Meander Wavelength (ft)							115.0				48.0			85.0			104.0		213.0	135.0	168.4	171.5	208.0	21.3	8
Meander Width Ratio							5.8				2.5			8.6			2.5		8.6	2.6	2.8		3.2		
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2							0.53										0.47			0.42					
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull							38.7										35.4			32.0					
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2																									
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification							Impaired C4							C4			C4			C4					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							5.4										3.49								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							328.4																		
Valley length (ft)							1638										1548			1122					
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							1900										1796			1295					
Sinuosity (ft)							1.16							1.3			1.16			1.15					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0051							0.0061 - 0.0130			0.0047			0.0056					
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0051										0.0047			0.0057					
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																									
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks																									
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									
Biological or Other																									

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94 - Segment/Reach: Lower (975 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							20.0				8.0			9.2				20.0		20.4	21.7		22.9		2
Floodprone Width (ft)							75.0				20.0			92.0				100.0		96.4	123.4		150.3		2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							3.7				1.2			1.5				1.7		1.3	1.3		1.3		2
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							5.1				1.3			1.9				1.8		2.1	2.2		2.2		2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)							74.5				11.3			12.3				29.3		27.1	28.0		28.8		2
Width/Depth Ratio							5.4				5.3			7.5				12.0		15.3	16.8		18.2		2
Entrenchment Ratio							3.8				2.5			10.0				5.0		4.7	5.7		6.6		2
[1]Bank Height Ratio											1.6			1.7				1.1		1.0	1.0		1.0		2
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)							6.0													18.0	32.0	31.0	48.0	12.3	5
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.035				0.017			0.033				0.0114		0.0057	0.0090	0.0076	0.0150	0.0042	4
Pool Length (ft)							81.0				10.8			14.0						14.0	47.4	35.0	48.0	30.5	7
Pool Max depth (ft)							5.8				2.0			2.7				2.85		2.4	3.0	3.1	3.5	0.4	6
Pool Spacing (ft)							7.5				4.4			47.2			52		101	92.0	112.8	114.0	131.0	19.7	4
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)											20.0			69.0			50.0		173.0	67.0	77.2	75.0	89.0	9.1	5
Radius of Curvature (ft)											6.0			37.0			35.0		56.0	45.0	48.9	50.0	50.0	3.9	7
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)											0.7			4.6			0.7		4.6	2.2	2.3		2.2		
Meander Wavelength (ft)											48.0			85.0			104.0		213.0	190.0	204.2	210.0	211.0	9.4	5
Meander Width Ratio											2.5			8.6			2.5		8.6	3.3	3.6		3.9		
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft^2							0.53											0.36				0.34			
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull							38.7											27.3				25.4			
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2																									
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification							Modified Channel							C4				C4				C4			
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							5.9											3.49							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							442.9																		
Valley length (ft)							1550											1550				763			
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							1700											1922				975			
Sinuosity (ft)							1.1							1.3				1.24				1.28			
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0052							0.0061 - 0.0130				0.0035				0.0042			
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0052											0.0035				0.0042			
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																									
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks																									
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									
Biological or Other																									

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)

Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94 Segment/Reach: Upper (1295', XS 1-4) and Lower (975', XS 5-8)

	Cross Section 1 (Riffle)							Cross Section 2 (Pool)							Cross Section 3 (Riffle)							Cross Section 4 (Pool)													
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	542.62	542.62	542.62	542.62	542.6			541.18	541.18	541.18	541.18	541.2			539.00	539.00	539.00	539.00	539.00			538.77	538.77	538.77	538.77	538.77									
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.31	22.90	16	19.93	16.51			34.10	35.59	23	30.49	40			20.80	25.86	21.66	21.9	21.86			33.00	33.51	29.34	33.96	34									
Floodprone Width (ft)	88.70	92.50	91	96	92			56.20	60.70	65	64.5	67.5			35.40	37.80	36.9	35.2	37			45.70	47.90	51.5	55	59									
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.03	0.99	1.71	1.12	1.25			1.20	1.16	1.82	0.94	0.89			1.40	1.31	1.13	1.02	1.59			1.30	1.30	1.06	1	1.69									
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.60	2.09	2.16	2.43	2.27			3.30	3.32	3.5	3.1	3.78			2.10	2.39	2	2.06	2.36			2.60	2.46	3.16	3.15	4.96									
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	19.90	22.60	27.3	22.3	20.72			39.43	41.46	41.97	28.8	35.52			29.50	33.89	24.53	22.34	34.75			43.50	43.72	30.99	33.86	57.6									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	18.80	23.20	7.41	17.81	13.16			29.50	30.55	12.6	32.28	45.05			14.70	19.73	19.13	21.47	13.75			25.00	25.68	27.78	34.06	20.07									
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.60	4.04	5.69	4.82	5.57			1.60	1.71	2.83	2.12	1.69			1.70	1.46	1.7	1.61	1.69			1.40	1.43	1.76	1.62	1.74									
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.00	0.98	1.16	0.93	0.94			1.00	1.00	1	0.9	0.95			1.00	1.00	1.04	0.85	1.05			1.00	1.00	0.96	0.96	1.00									
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)	421.80	411.70	429.37	433.7	458.5			457.50	471.20	455.94	451.7	458.9			248.40	262.10	264.04	232.26	289.8			358.10	361.90	349.78	362.21	416.8									
d50 (mm)	4.90	32.00	19	11.3	2			12.00	27.00						6.00	6.50	8.5	33	10			0.34	4.40												
	Cross Section 5 (Riffle)							**Cross Section 6 (Pool)**							**Cross Section 7 (Pool)**							**Cross Section 8 (Riffle)**													
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+							
Record elevation (datum) used	536.34	536.34	536.34	536.34	536.3			535.56	535.56	535.56	535.56	535.6			534.62	534.62	534.62	534.62	534.62			534.36	534.36	534.36	534.36	534.36									
Bankfull Width (ft)	22.90	19.98	18.49	37	22.9			19.30	19.03	22.11	26.48	26			69.30	34.53	31.01	36.4	28.31			20.40	22.02	16.78	32.52	38.48									
Floodprone Width (ft)	150.30	150.10	138	139.5	145.5			95.20	104.40	100.4	99	100.5			93.00	99.00	96	96	98			96.40	95.60	89.5	112	121									
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.30	1.40	0.97	0.61	0.75			1.50	1.40	1.58	1.16	1.44			0.70	1.07	1.20	0.9	1.4			1.30	1.30	1.18	0.81	0.76									
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.10	1.94	1.15	1.36	2.15			2.40	2.75	2.71	2.46	3.02			3.00	3.14	3.11	3.22	3.48			2.20	2.33	2.18	2.39	3.29									
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	28.80	27.92	18	22.7	17.21			28.20	26.71	34.9	30.82	37.45			48.90	37.08	37.17	32.89	39.5			27.10	28.64	19.78	26.2	29.3									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	18.20	14.30	18.99	60.31	30.47			13.10	13.56	14.01	22.75	18.05			96.30	32.16	25.87	40.28	20.29			15.30	16.93	14.28	40.36	50.54									
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	6.60	7.51	7.46	3.77	6.35			5.00	5.49	4.54	3.74	3.87			1.30	2.87	3.1	2.64	3.46			4.70	4.34	5.33	3.44	3.14									
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.00	0.83	0.79	1.01	0.9			1.00	0.94	1.00	1.02	1.04			1.00	1.00	1.03	0.96	1.00			1.00	0.94	0.99	0.95	0.95									
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)	823.40	870.60	807.93	780.65	805.4			467.00	467.40	540.64	520.1	533.6			458.80	441.30	480.99	423.02	529.8			442.50	431.60	444.59	442.99	530.4									
d50 (mm)	1.60	0.062	17	9	8			0.30	0.29						0.82	0.15						0.42	0.074	0.062	0.125	0.09									

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94 - Segment/Reach: Upper (1295 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.3	20.1		20.8		2	22.9	24.4		25.9		2	16	18.8		21.6		2	19.9	20.92		21.9		2	16.5	19.2		21.9		2						
Floodprone Width (ft)	35.4	62.1		88.7		2	37.8	65.2		92.5		2	36.9	63.9		91		2	35.2	65.6		96		2	37	64.5		92		2						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.2		1.4		2	1.0	1.2		1.3		2	1.71	1.42		1.13		2	1.02	1.07		1.12		2	1.25	1.42		1.59		2						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.9		2.1		2	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.4	0.2	10	2	2.1		2.16		2	2.06	2.25		2.43		2	2.27	2.32		2.36		2						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft[2])	19.9	24.7		29.5		2	22.6	28.2		33.9		2	24.53	25.9		27.3		2	22.3	22.32		22.3		2	20.7	27.7		34.8		2						
Width/Depth Ratio	14.7	16.8		18.8		2	19.7	21.5		23.2		2	7.41	13.27		19.13		2	17.8	19.64		21.5		2	13.2	13.5		13.8		2						
Entrenchment Ratio	1.7	3.2		4.6		2	1.5	2.8		4.0		2	1.7	3.7		5.69		2	1.61	3.22		4.82		2	1.69	3.63		5.57		2						
[1]Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	1.04	1.1		1.16		2	0.85	0.89		0.93		2	0.94	1		1.05		2						
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	11.0	27.9	24.5	62.0	16.2	8	4	13.1	12	23	6.6	11	12.1	25.2	26	39	19	5	13.6	28.2	27.8	45.5	12.5	6	10.4	18.2	15.4	29.6	7.29	6						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0060	0.0126	0.0107	0.0310	0.0078	8	0.0077	0.0234	0.0236	0.0425	0.0124	11	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	5	0.01	0.015	0.013	0.03	0.01	6	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.01	6						
Pool Length (ft)	18.0	31.6	30.0	55.0	12.2	7	13	25.2	20	63	13.3	15	25	48.7	50.1	67.8	21.5	5	25	33.14	32.2	45.3	6.92	6	22.7	38.9	38.6	68.8	15.6	7						
Pool Max depth (ft)	2.6	3.3	3.3	3.8	0.5	6	2.37	3.23	3.3	4.33	0.63	15	2.3	3.3	3.4	4.3	1	5	2.01	2.35	2.22	3.18	0.44	6	1.02	2.41	2.52	3.36	0.72	7						
Pool Spacing (ft)	47.0	91.4	91.0	126.0	25.4	7	35	80.9	80	122.5	30.3	10	83.8	125.9	127	158.8	37.6	5	47.1	84.6	72.9	159.8	43.1	6	51.3	79.1	86.9	103	23.6	7						
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	50.0	55.6	54.0	67.0	6.7	5																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	30.0	44.9	50.0	65.0	9.0	16																														
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.6	2.2		3.1																																
Meander Wavelength (ft)	135.0	168.4	171.5	208.0	21.3	8																														
Meander Width Ratio	2.6	2.8		3.2																																

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C4						C4						C4						C4						C4											
Channel Thalweg length (ft)	1295						1295						1295						1295						1295											
Sinuosity (ft)	1.15						1.15						1.15						1.15						1.15											
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0056						0.0058						0.0054						0.0057						0.0062											
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0057						0.0055						0.0054						0.006						0.0061											
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																																				
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																																				
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							2.3						0						3						0											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4. = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Exhibit Table 11c. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run) / 94 - Segment/Reach: Lower (975 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	20.4	21.7		22.9		2	20.0	21.0		22.0		2	16.78	17.64		18.49		2	32.5	34.76		37		2	22.9	30.7		38.5		2						
Floodprone Width (ft)	96.4	123.4		150.3		2	95.6	122.9		150.1		2	89.5	113.8		138		2	112	125.8		139.5		2	121	133		146		2						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3		1.3		2	1.3	1.4		1.4		2	0.97	1.07		1.18		2	0.61	0.71		0.81		2	0.75	0.76		0.76		2						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.2		2.2		2	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.4	0.2	7	1.15	1.66		2.18		2	1.36	1.875		2.39		2	2.15	2.72		3.29		2						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	27.1	28.0		28.8		2	27.9	28.3		28.6		2	18	18.89		19.78		2	22.7	24.45		26.2		2	17.2	23.3		29.3		2						
Width/Depth Ratio	15.3	16.8		18.2		2	14.3	15.6		16.9		2	14.28	16.63		18.99		2	40.4	50.34		60.31		2	30.5	40.5		50.5		2						
Entrenchment Ratio	4.7	5.7		6.6		2	4.3	5.9		7.5		2	5.33	6.39		7.46		2	3.44	3.605		3.77		2	3.14	3.46		3.77		2						
[1]Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0		2	0.8	0.9		0.9		2	0.79	0.89		0.99		2	0.95	0.98		1.01		2	0.95	0.98		1.01		2						
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	18.0	32.0	31.0	48.0	12.3	5	4.0	13.5	14.5	24.0	7.2	6	10.78	18.17	17.8	27.19	6.16	6	15.5	17.35	17.1	19.46	1.83	5	6.44	12.4	13.5	16.5	4.01	5						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0057	0.0090	0.0076	0.0150	0.0042	4	0.0088	0.0141	0.0152	0.0188	0.0036	6	0.004	0.012	0.012	0.021	0.007	6	0.016	0.030	0.029	0.033	0.010	5	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.03	5						
Pool Length (ft)	14.0	47.4	35.0	48.0	30.5	7	26.0	45.6	48.0	71.0	17.6	7	16.41	41.3	45.6	66.8	18.7	5	14.8	26.27	28.57	41.33	11.1	5	16.1	35.5	37.4	53.1	13.6	5						
Pool Max depth (ft)	2.4	3.0	3.1	3.5	0.4	6	2.4	3.0	2.8	3.9	0.5	7	14.79	18.1	18.4	20.7	2.17	5	2.41	2.84	3.07	3.21	0.39	5	1.76	1.98	1.93	2.42	0.26	5						
Pool Spacing (ft)	92.0	112.8	114.0	131.0	19.7	4	45.0	93.1	107.0	141.0	38.0	6	67.6	122.2	123	176.1	44.7	4	40.6	50.48	47.13	66.96	10.7	5	23.9	41.7	47.5	62.8	17	5						
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	67.0	77.2	75.0	89.0	9.1	5																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	45.0	48.9	50.0	50.0	3.9	7																														
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	2.2	2.3		2.2																																
Meander Wavelength (ft)	190.0	204.2	210.0	211.0	9.4	5																														
Meander Width Ratio	3.3	3.6		3.9																																

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C4						C4						C4						C4						C4											
Channel Thalweg length (ft)	975						975						975						975						975											
Sinuosity (ft)	1.28						1.28						1.28						1.28						1.28											
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0042						0.0042						0.0051						0.0051						0.0049											
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0042						0.0046						0.0054						0.005						0.0045											
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																																				
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																																				
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							0						0						0																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4. = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Appendix E
Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events			
Coddle Creek Tributary (Indian Run)/ 94 Segment/Reach: 2270 feet			
Date of Data Collection	**Date of Occurrence**	**Method**	**Photo**
5/30/2012	Between 5/11/2011 - 5/30/2012	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge	Photo in MY1 Report
11/4/2013	Between 5/30/2012 - 11/04/2013	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge	Photo in MY2 Report
9/19/2014	Between 11/04/2013 - 9/19/2014	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge reading at 35" above bankfull	Photo in MY3 Report
9/22/2015	Between 9/19/2014 - 9/22/2015	Visual observation of wrack lines; stream gauge reading at 10.5" above bankfull	Photo below