CROSS CREEK STREAM RESTORATION MONITORING REPORT (YEAR 5 OF 5) Cumberland County, North Carolina EEP Project No. 105



Prepared for: North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652



Status of Plan: Final Submission Date: November 2010 Monitoring Firm:



Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	1
2.0	Methodology	2
	Vegetation Assessment	
	Stream Assessment	
2.3	Wetland Assessment	3
3.0	References	4

Project Condition and Monitoring Data Appendices

Appendix A. General Figures and Plan Views	5
Figure 1 – Vicinity Map	7
Figure 2 – Current Condition Plan View (CCPV)	9
Appendix B. General Project Tables	
Table 1 – Project Restoration Components	11
Table 2 – Project Activity and Reporting History	11
Table 3 – Project Contacts Table	12
Table 4 – Project Background Table	13
Appendix C. Vegetation Assessment Data	
Table 5 – Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Table	15
Photos – Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos	16
Table 6 – Vegetation Metadata Table (CVS)	24
Table 7 – Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species	25
Photos – Vegetation Problem Area Photos (electronic submission only)	
Table – Vegetation Problem Area Inventory Tale (electronic submission only)	
Appendix D. Stream Assessment Data	
Photos – Stream Station Photos	27
Table 8 – Visual Morphological Stability Assessment	30
Table 9 – Verification of Bankfull Events	31
Figures – Cross-sections with Annual Overlays	33
Figures – Longitudinal Profiles with Annual Overlays	37
Table – Baseline Stream Data Summary Table (electronic submission only)	
Table – Morphology and Hydraulic Summary – Cross-section (electronic submission only)	
Table – Morphology and Hydraulic Summary – Reach (electronic submission only)	
Photos – Stream Problem Area Photos (electronic submission only)	

Table – Stream Problem Areas Inventory Table (electronic submission only)

Appendix E. Wetland Assessment (n/a)

Project goals and objectives for the Cross Creek and Little Cross Creek Stream Restoration are to:

- Provide a stable stream channel that neither aggrades nor degrades while maintaining its dimension, pattern, and profile with the capacity to transport its watershed's water and sediment load.
- Provide the stream with a floodplain at the stream's current elevation.
- Improve aquatic habitat with the use of natural material stabilization structures such as root wads, rock vanes, woody debris and a riparian buffer.
- Provide wildlife habitat and bank stability through the creation of a riparian zone.

Year 5 vegetation monitoring was completed on September 9 and 15, 2010 at eight monitoring plots that were originally established by Earth Tech. Level 2 (planted and natural stems) of the Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) – NCEEP protocol (version 4.2) protocol was used. The floodplain adjacent to the right banks, mainly in the middle of the floodplain, of Little Cross Creek and Cross Creek below the confluence were bush hogged during MY3. These areas were replanted during MY4. Additional replanting along the left bank of Little Cross Creek and the right bank of Cross Creek below the confluence occurred in the spring of 2010. All plots meet year 5 vegetative success criteria of >260 trees/acre. The site also received invasive species control during the summer of 2010. Much of the kudzu was sprayed and was dead, although in a few areas it appeared to be resprouting. This should not be a major problem as a follow up treatment is scheduled for the 2011 growing season. The Japanese knotweed seemed to be eliminated from the area around the stormwater BMPs but is still present near VP101. A few princess trees were observed to the east of VP105 but are not shown on the CCPV due to the small extent.

Mid-channel bar formations were observed in Little Cross Creek, indicating that the stream may not possess sufficient capacity at this time. Colonization by beavers in this area in more recent years may be a contributing factor as well. EEP indicated that a wildlife control contractor has been dispatched to remove the beavers from the site. The softmwater plunge pool and wetland pond area continued to remain unstable and had contributed bank sediments to the channel below. Cross Creek upstream of the confluence with Little Cross Creek appeared to remain relatively stable with no major changes from last year.

The stormwater plunge pool area and wetland pond were continuing to experience mass bank wasting, causing deposition of sediment into the main channel. The energy associated with the flow from the culvert is too great for the plunge pool as it is currently constructed. As a result of this a scour hole has developed. The wetland pond located on the right bank near station 21+60 is continuing to experience bank erosion. The wetland pond erosion is related to the excess energy associated with the culvert/plunge pool area described above. EEP is establishing a repair contract for this area. Many of the projects structures are not functioning as intended and the project has exhibited some minor bank erosion that manifested earlier in the projects history, but Stantec believes that the restoration is generally stable and other than the stormwater outfall area designated for repair, the stability of the project has generally

improved each monitoring year. The channel has good connection to its floodplain and has good vegetation established.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment, and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

2.0 Methodology

2.1 VEGETATION ASSESSMENT

Vegetative sample plots were quantitatively monitored during the first growing season. Eight 100m² plots were established throughout the project. In each plot, all four plot corners were permanently located with conduit. Species composition, density, and survival were monitored during Year 0 and Year 1. In addition to these parameters height, diameter, and vigor were monitored in Years 2-5 using the Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) methodology Version 2.2.5. Level 2 (planted and natural stems) methodology was utilized.

As per the mitigation plan, the vegetative success criteria are based on the US Army Corps of Engineers Stream Mitigation Guidelines (USACE, 2003). The final vegetative success criteria will be the survival of 260 5-year old planted stems per acre at the end of the year 5 monitoring period. An interim measure of vegetation planting success will be the survival of at least 288 4-year old planted trees per acre at the end of Year 4 of the monitoring period.

The Year 5 stem counts for the eight vegetative monitoring plots are included in Table 7 in Appendix C. Photos of the vegetative monitoring plots are also included in Appendix C.

2.2 STREAM ASSESSMENT

A longitudinal profile survey of the entire length of the project was completed in September 2010. Additional data collected included riffle length, riffle slope, pool length and pool spacing. During the longitudinal survey, additional pattern data was collected including channel beltwidth, radius of curvature, meander wavelength and meander width ratio. Stability was also visually assessed. A total of six permanent cross-sections were characterized. Data collected included, at a minimum, cross-sectional area, bankfull width, bankfull mean depth, bankfull max depth, floodprone width, width to depth ratio, and entrenchment ratio. Stream type was determined in riffle cross-sections only. Success will be measured based on whether the channel features stay within the natural variability of the dimensionless ratios of the reference reaches.

2.3 WETLAND ASSESSMENT

No wetland restoration occurred during the Cross Creek Stream Restoration Project.

Harrelson, C.C., C.L. Rawlins and J.P. Potyondy. 1994. Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique. United States Department of Agriculture, Fort Collins, CO.

Lee, Michael T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)

NCEEP. 2009. Revised Table of Contents for 2009 Monitoring Report Submissions. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 1.2.1 June 1, 2009.

Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.

USACE, EPA, NCWRC, NCDWQ. 2003 Stream Mitigation Guidelines

Weakley, Alan S. 2010. Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States. University of North Carolina Herbarium. Chapel Hill, NC. Working draft of March 8, 2010.

Project Condition and Monitoring Data Appendices

APPENDIX A. GENERAL FIGURES AND PLAN VIEWS

This page blank intentionally left blank.





APPENDIX B. GENERAL PROJECT TABLES

	Table 1. Project Restoration Components									
Cross Creek Stream Restoration - EEP Project No. 105										
Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Type	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Creditable Footage	Stationing	Comment			
Cross Creek	1295	R	P2	1376.0	1188.0	11+4.00 to 25+16.58	Instream structures and vegetated buffers. The difference between footage and creditable footage is due to three areas of single-sided easement, totaling 188 linear feet of the stream.			
Little Cross Creek	705	R	P2	714.0	714.0	10+00 to 17+13.687	Instream structures and vegetated buffers.			
Mitigation Unit Su	nmation	s								
Stream (lf)	Ripa Wetlar			riparian and (ac)	Buffer (ac)		Comment			
2090.0	0	.0		0.0	0.0					

R = Restoration

P2 = Priority 2

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History								
Cross Creek Stream Restoration - EEP Project No. 105								
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery						
Restoration Plan	2002	Oct 2002						
Final Design - 90%	NA	2004						
Construction	2004	Jan 2005						
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area	2004	2004						
Permanent seed mix applied to entire project area	2004	2004						
Containerized and B&B plantings	Jan 2005	Jan 2005						
Mitigation Plan / As-built (Year 0 Monitoring - baseline)	Apr 2006	Jul 2006						
Year 1 Monitoring	Nov 2006	Dec 2006						
Year 2 Monitoring	Oct 2007	Dec 2007						
Year 3 Monitoring	Oct 2008	Nov 2008						
Supplementary Planting (Specimen Trees)	NA	Summer 2009						
Invasive Species Control	NA	Summer 2009						
Year 4 Monitoring	Sept 2009	Nov 2009						
Supplementary Planting	NA	March 2010						
Invasive Species Control	NA	Summer 2010						
Year 5 Monitoring	Sept 2010	Nov 2010						

Table 3. Contacts						
	am Restoration - EEP Project No. 105					
Designer	Earth Tech					
	701 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 475					
	Raleigh, NC 27607					
Primary project design POC	Bill Jenkins, PE (919) 854-6200					
Construction Contractor	Backwater Environmental					
	2312 New Bern Ave.					
	Raleigh, NC 27610					
Construction contractor POC	Wes Newell (919)231-9227					
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics, Inc.					
	908 Indian Trail Road					
	Edenton, NC 27932					
Planting Contractor POC	Mary-Margaret McKinney (252)482-8491					
Seeding Contractor	Backwater Environmental					
	2312 New Bern Ave.					
	Raleigh, NC 27610					
Seeding Contractor POC	Wes Newell (919)231-9227					
Seed Mix Sources	Ernst Conservation Seeds					
	9006 Mercer Pike					
	Meadville, PA 16335					
	Stacy Charles (814)336-2404					
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Coastal Plain Conservation Nursery (container plants)					
	3067 Conners Drive					
	Edenton, NC 27932					
	Ellen Colodney (252)482-5707					
	Cure Nursery (container plants)					
	880 Buteo Road					
	Pittsboro, NC 27312					
	Jennifer Cure (919)542-6186					
	Taylor's Nursery					
	3705 New Bern Avenue					
	Raleigh, NC 27610					
	Richard Taylor (919)231-6161					
	International Paper					
	55594 Hwy38 S					
	Blenheim, SC 29516					
	Gary Nelson (1-800-222-1290)					
Monitoring Performers (Year 0-1)	Earth Tech					
	701 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 475					
	Raleigh, NC 27607					
Monitoring POC	Ron Johnson (919)854-6210					
Monitoring Performers (Year 2-4)	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.					
	801 Jones Franklin Road, Ste 300					
	Raleigh, NC 27606					
Stream Monitoring POC	Nate Jean (919)851-6866					
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Amber Coleman (919)851-6866					
Wetland Monitoring POC	NA					

Table 4. Pr	oject Background Table					
Cross Creek Stream	Restoration - EEP Project No. 105					
Project County	Cumberland					
Hydrologic Unit Code	03030004100050					
Drainage Area						
Cross Creek	10.5/25.5 sq mi					
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	71%					
Stream Order						
Cross Creek/Little Cross Creek	2nd/1st					
Physiographic Region	Sandhills/Coastal Plain					
Ecoregion	Atlantic Southern Loam Plains					
Rosgen Classification of As-built	С					
Cowardin Classification	Riverine					
Dominant soil types	Chewacla loam					
	Rion fine sandy loam					
Reference site ID	Country Club Branch and Little Rockfish Creek					
USGS HUC for Project	03030004					
USGS HUC for Reference	03030004					
NCDWQ Subbasin for Project	03-06-15					
NCDWQ Subbasin for Reference	03-07-01					
NCDWQ Classification for Project	Cross Creek (C), Little Cross Creek (C)					
NCDWQ Classification for Reference	UT Cross Creek (Country Club Branch, C), Little Rockfish Creek C					
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	Yes					
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	Yes					
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Imparied Biological Activity, fecal coliform					
% of project easement fenced	0%					

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 5 - Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success SummaryCross Creek Stream Restoration - EEP Project Number 105							
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Density Met (260 stems/acre)	Tract Mean					
VP101	Y (607)						
VP102	Y (1012)						
VP103	Y (486)						
VP104	Y (486)	100% (536					
VP105	Y (688)	stems/acre)					
VP106	Y (405)						
VP107	Y (283)						
VP108	Y (324)						

Appendix C. Vegetation Assessment Data

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Photo Station 7 - Vegetation Plot 107 looking west (9/9/10).



Photo Station 8 – Vegetation Plot 107 looking southwest (9/9/10)



Photo Station 9 – Vegetation Plot 108 looking northwest (9/15/10)



Photo Station 10 – Vegetation Plot 108 looking west (9/15/10)



Photo Station 11 – Vegetation Plot 105 looking northeast (9/15/10)



Photo Station 12 – Vegetation Plot 105 looking north (9/15/10)



Photo Station 13 – Vegetation Plot 104 looking north (9/15/10)



Photo Station 14 – Vegetation Plot 104 looking northwest (9/15/10)



Photo Station 15 – Vegetation Plot 103 looking northwest (9/9/10)



Photo Station 16 – Vegetation Plot 103 looking west (9/9/10)



Photo Station 17 – Vegetation Plot 102 looking northwest (9/9/10)



Photo Station 18 – Vegetation Plot 102 looking west (9/9/10)



Photo Station 19 – Vegetation Plot 101 looking north (9/9/10)



Photo Station 20 – Vegetation Plot 101 looking northwest (9/9/10)



Photo Station 21 – Vegetation Plot 106 looking west (9/9/10)



Photo Station 22 – Vegetation Plot 106 looking southwest (9/9/10)

Table 6. Vegetation Metadata									
Cross Cro	Cross Creek Stream Restoration - EEP Project No. 105								
Report Prepared By	Alex Baldwin								
Date Prepared	11/2/2010 14:07:37 PM								
database name	Stantec_CrossCreek2010_A.mdb								
database location U:\171300316\project\3-CrossCreek\site_data\cvs									
computer name	BALDWINA								
file size	51118080								
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEET	S IN THIS DOCUMENT								
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.								
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.								
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.								
	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems,								
Plots	dead stems, missing, etc.).								
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.								
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.								
	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences								
Damage	and percent of total stems impacted by each.								
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.								
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.								
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.								
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.								
PROJECT SUMMARY									
Project Code	105								
project Name	Cross Creek								
Description	Stream Restoration in Fayetteville								
River Basin	Cape Fear								
length(ft)	2090								
stream-to-edge width (ft)	100								
area (sq m)									
Required Plots (calculated)									
Sampled Plots	8								
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	L [*]								

Project Code 0105. Project Name: Cross Creek

	1				-						Current Plot	- ·						-		-					a \		Annua	-				
			0105-01-0			5-01-01			05-01-0	103	0105-01-0104	-	05-01-0	0105		05-01-0	106		05-01-0107	-	05-01-0			Y5 (201	•		Y4 (2009)		IY3 (20			IY2 (20
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	P-LS P-all	т	P-LS	P-all	Т	P-LS	P-all	Т	P-LS P-all T	P-LS	P-all	Т	P-LS	P-all	Т	P-LS	P-all T	P-LS	P-all	Т	P-LS	P-all	т	P-LS	P-all T	P-LS	P-all	т	P-LS	P-all
cer negundo	boxelder	Tree		1																					1							1
cer rubrum	red maple	Tree					4							2					9)					15							
cer rubrum var. rubrum	red maple	Tree																														[
lbizia julibrissin	silktree	Shrub Tree																												6		
Inus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub Tree	1	8		6	7		2	5						1	3				3	16		13	44		12 16		14	25		
ronia arbutifolia	Red Chokeberry	Shrub	-	0		Ű	,		-	5			-	2 5			1		1	· · · · ·	1	20		13			12 10		2	14		<u> </u>
												-	-	ر ر ا			1		-		1	2		4	9		4 .		5	14		<u> </u>
accharis	baccharis	Shrub Tree														-														3		—
accharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	Shrub Tree										2		4					4	2					8			•				
etula nigra	river birch	Tree					2			7									1	L					10		4			1		L
allicarpa americana	American beautyberry	/ Shrub	2	2		3	3		3	3			1	1 1										9	9		9 9		9	9		
arpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Shrub Tree																									1 9			6		1
arpinus caroliniana var. ca	Coastal American Hori	r Shrub Tree																									2 2		2	2		1
eltis	hackberry																		2	2					2							1
ercis canadensis var. cana	eastern redbud	Shrub Tree																											2	2		
	coastal sweetpepperb												1	1 1										1	1		1 '		1	1		<u> </u>
	dogwood	Shrub Tree																				2		-	2				-			<u> </u>
		Sindb fiee	├──	┼──┨								1		+		+		<u> </u>	+ + -	,		3			3			1	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>
upressus	cypress			╞───┨										+		+		<u> </u>	4			-			2					┨		—
othergilla	witchalder		└──									<u> </u>						I	┨──┤───	<u> </u>		1			1			I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		—
othergilla gardenii	dwarf witchalder	Shrub										1						I		1	1	1		1	1		1 :	1	2	2		
raxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	2	2							1 1	L				1	1							4	4		3 3		5	5		1
ex decidua	possumhaw	Shrub Tree																									1 2		1	. 1		L
ex decidua var. decidua	Possum-haw	Shrub Tree	1	. 1		3	3		3	3	2 2	2												9	9		9 9		7	7		ſ
ex glabra	inkberry	Shrub	1	1								1	1	1		1		1	1 1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		
uniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree										1	1	4				1	1 1	1	1	<u> </u>		-	4			1				<u> </u>
igustrum sinense	Chinese privet	Shrub Tree											1					<u> </u>	1 1	1					1			1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
•	-		├── 	18			18			17		1		36		1	20		43	,		10			170		17	1		215		<u> </u>
quidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree	┝──-	18			18			17		1	<u> </u>	36	I	1	26		4:	2		12			1/0		17:		<u> </u>	215		
riodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree											<u> </u>	+		-	1				ļ	2			3				L	1		_
												1			I	1		I		1								1				1
1imosa	sensitive plant	Vine Shrub					3			2							5								10							
1orella cerifera	wax myrtle	Shrub Tree	1	2		2	2						2	2 6								2		5	12		5	1	6	6		
1orus rubra	red mulberry	Tree																				1			1				Γ			ſ
lyssa	tupelo	Tree										1	1			1		1	1 1	1	1						1 2		1			<u> </u>
lyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree										1	1	1 1				1	1 1	1				1	1			1	1			1
1	blackgum	Tree				2	2		1	1		1		2 2		1		1		1	1			4	4		6 4	1	Л	1		<u> </u>
aulownia tomentosa	princesstree	Tree				2	-		- 1	- 1		1						<u> </u>		1				0	1		1 1	1	- 4	+ +		<u> </u>
			├── 				1					,		45					<u> </u>						10							
inus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree	┝──-							2		-	<u> </u>	15	I	1		I	╂───┨───			<u> </u>			19		30		<u> </u>	44		—
latanus	sycamore	Tree	└──									<u> </u>		-				<u> </u>		<u> </u>								I	<u> </u>	17		—
	American sycamore	Tree		15			2			8		1		10			1			_					37		24		I	11		1
latanus occidentalis var. o	Sycamore, Plane-tree	Tree																									13					1
opulus heterophylla	swamp cottonwood	Tree				2	2									2	2							4	4		3 3		4	4		
yrus	pear	Tree																									11				-	ſ
yrus calleryana	Callery pear	Tree					1					1	1	2			2		1	1	1				5			1		4		<u> </u>
luercus	oak	Shrub Tree	2	2			-				5 1	5	1		1	1		1		1	1	<u> </u>		8	۶ ۵		9 0	1	8	, 8		<u> </u>
uercus falcata		Tree	2									1	1	1				<u> </u>		1				0	1			1	0			<u> </u>
			├── 									, 	-		I		-			1				~	1		4		-			<u> </u>
	overcup oak	Tree		╞───┣							2 2	-	- 4	<u> </u>		1	1	·		-				6	6		4 4		3	3		—
•	water oak	Tree											<u> </u>	+				I		-	1	1		1	1		_	I	L			—
	willow oak	Tree				1	1		2	2	2 2	2			I	1		I	2 2	2	2	2		9	9		5 6		5	6		1
uercus shumardii var. shu	Shumard oak	Shrub Tree																														
hus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	Shrub Tree		24			4							14					3	3		14			59		60)		111		1
hus copallinum var. copall	l flameleaf sumac	Shrub Tree										1						1		1	1							1				
alix nigra	black willow	Tree										1	1	1		1		1	1 1	1	1	1						1	1			
ambucus canadensis		Shrub Tree		1								1	1	+		1	1	t	1 1	,				2	Л		2 '		2	1		<u> </u>
	,			1		4	л					1		+		1	1	1		-				10	10		10 13		10	12		<u> </u>
axodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2			4	4					-		-		4	4		╂──┤───	1				10			10 1:		10	12		
		Shrub Vine	┝──-									2	<u> </u>	6	 			I	╂───┨────			<u> </u>			11	<u> </u>	2		<u> </u>			
	winged elm	Tree										1	ļ	2		1		I			ļ				2			1				—
	American elm	Tree					3			4								I	4	ł					11		10)		19		
lmus americana var. amer	American Elm, White I	Tree	2	2		1	1		1	1									1 1					5	5		5 5		5	5		1
lmus rubra	slippery elm	Tree		11								1	3	3 18		1		1		1		4		3	33			1				1
nknown		unknown	İ	2								L					1								4		1 (5		1		
iburnum nudum	possumhaw	Shrub Tree	1	1		1	1						2	2 2				1	1 1	1	1			Δ	4		4 4		Δ	Δ		
	IP-555annia W		0 15	95	0	25	ı 64	0	12	55	0 12 23	3 0	17	7 134) 10	49	0	0 7 81	L 0	8	61	0	106	562	0	100 472	2 0	98	564	0	- 1
		Stem count		95	U		04	U		55		, 0		134	- · · ·		49	- 0		- U		61	U		502	0		. 0		504	U	1
		size (ares)	1			1			1		1	<u> </u>	1			1		I	1	<u> </u>	1			8			8	I	8			8
		size (ACRES)	0.02	,		0.02			0.02		0.02	1	0.02			0.02		I	0.02	1	0.02	r	L	0.20			0.20	1	0.20			0.2
		Species count	0 10	17	0	10	19	0	6	12	0 5 10	0 0	9	9 20	(0 6	13	0	6 17	0	5	13	0	21	45	0	24 38	8 0	21	. 34	0	
		Stems per ACRE	0 607	3845	0	1012	2590	0	485.6	2226	0 485.6 930.8	3 0	689	8 5423	(0 404.7	1093	0	283.3 3278		323.7	2460	0	536.2	2042	0	505.9 2388	0	40E 7	2853	0	652

Appendix D. Stream Assessment Data



Photo Station 1 – Cross Section 1 looking downstream (9/16/10)



Photo Station 2 – Cross Section 2 looking downstream (9/16/10)



Photo Station 3 – Cross Section 3 looking downstream (9/16/10)



Photo Station 4 – Cross Section 4 looking downstream (9/16/10)



Photo Station 5 – Cross Section 5 looking downstream (9/16/10)



Photo Station 6 – Cross Section 6 looking downstream (9/16/10)

	Table 8A - Visual Mor Cross Creek Stream Re	estoration - EE	P Project N			
Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	Creek / 1188 fe (# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total Number per As- built	Total Number/Feet in Unstable State	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present?	6	8		75%	
	2. Armor stable (eg no displacement?)	N/A	N/A			
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	6	8		75%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining?	N/A	N/A			
	5. Length appropiate?	7	8		88%	79%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. or migrat.?)	6	8		75%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf > 1.6?)	6	8		75%	-
	3. Length appropriate?	5	8		63%	71%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	7	8		88%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	7	8		88%	88%
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	6	8		75%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	1	2		50%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	8	8		100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	7	8		88%	78%
E. Bed General	 General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation) Channel bed degradation - areas of 		1400	250	82%	
	increasing down-cutting or head- cutting?		1400	200	86%	68%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank?		2800		90%	90%
G. Vanes	1. Free of back or arm scour?	7	11		64%	
	2. Height appropriate?3. Angle and geometry appear	8	11		73%	
	appropriate? 4. Free of piping or other structural	6	11		55%	
	failures?	9	11		82%	68%
H. Wads/Boulders	 Free of scour? Footing stable? 	0 N/A	4 N/A		0%	0%

	Table 8B - Visual Morp Cross Creek Stream Res					
		ss Creek / 714	-			
Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total Number per As- built	Total Number/Feet in Unstable State	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present?	4	4		100%	
	2. Armor stable (eg no displacement?)	N/A	N/A			
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	4	4		100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining?	N/A	N/A			
	5. Length appropriate?	3	4		75%	92%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. or migrat.?)	4	4		100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf > 1.6?)	4	4		100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	3	4		75%	92%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	4	4		100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	4	4		100%	100%
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	4	4		100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	N/A	N/A			
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	4	4		100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	4	4		100%	100%
E. Bed General	 General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation) Channel bed degradation - areas of increasing down outling or head 		690	330	52%	
	increasing down-cutting or head- cutting?		690	50	93%	52%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank?		1380	75	95%	95%
G. Vanes	1. Free of back or arm scour?	4	6		67%	
	2. Height appropriate?3. Angle and geometry appear	4	6		67%	
	appropriate? 4. Free of piping or other structural	4	6		67%	
	failures?	4	6		67%	67%
H. Wads/Boulders	1. Free of scour?	2	3		67%	
	2. Footing stable?	N/A	N/A			67%

	Table 9 - Verification of Bankfull Events Cross Creek Stream Restoration - EEP Project No. 105									
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo							
2006	None	N/A	N/A							
2007	None	N/A	N/A							
9/24/2008	9/6/2008	Visual observation of sediment and debris in floodplain	MY3 Report (Appendix B4, Photo 1)							
11/19/2009	11/2009	Visual Observation	Evidence of bankfull event.JPG (in digital data submission)							
9/1/2010	9/29/2010	Weather Data	No photo available							



Reach 1 Longitudinal Profile Cross Creek Stream Restoration 2010 Monitoring - Year 0, Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, Year 5

Reach 2 Longitudinal Profile Little Cross Creek Stream Restoration 2010 Monitoring - Monitoring Year 0, Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, Year 5



oject Name:	Cross C			Feature:	Riffle			Year 5 9/10								
oss Section:	Cross S	lection 1		Station	12+54		Crew:	Geenen, Jea	n		-					
Year 5 - 2010 Su			Year 4 - 2009 2009 Survey			Year 3 - 2008 2008 Survey		Year 2 - 2007 2007 Survey			Year 1 - 2006 2006 Survey			AS-BUILT 2005 AS-BUILT Survey		
2010 Su tation Eleva 7.66 15.37 9 21.89 9 26.32 9 27.91 9 29.18 8 30.8 8 32.45 8 32.45 8 36.16 8 37.7 8 40.3 8 42.13 8 43.75 8 45.95 9 48.3 9 51.65 9		11.91 12.02 14.71 19.75 25.86 28.56 31.26 34.67 38.04 40.62 44.11 44.83 46.97	2009 Survey Elevation 91.91 92.04 92.04 92.04 90.71 88.99 88.27 88.26 88.04 88.06 88.36 90.65 90.69 90.77 91.69	Notes		2008 Survey Elevation 91.88 91.98 90.28 88.26 88.14 87.92 88.28 90.6 90.49 91.68	Notes Left Pin RBK Right Pin	Station 6.81 12.07 14.46 19.37 22.78 26.58 28.22 28.85 30.82 33.14 36.67	2007 Survey Elevation 91.92 91.98 92.03 91.21 90.38 89.23 88.7 88.28 88.36 88.15 88.02 88.15 88.02 88.18 88.44 90.22 90.6 90.5 91.59 91.78 91.79	Notes Left Pin LBK RBK	Station	2006 Survey	Notes	AS		





Photo	Photo of Cross-Section 1 - Reach 1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 12+54													
2010	Year 4 - 2009	Year 3 - 2008	Year 2 - 2007	Year 1 - 2006	AS-BUILT 2005									
42.27	36.59	38.44	39.92	n/a	n/a									
19.84	18.54	21.43	21.76	n/a	n/a									
2.13	1.97	1.79	1.84	n/a	n/a									
2.78	2.56	2.68	2.58	n/a	n/a									
9.31	9.39	11.95	11.86	n/a	n/a									

Year 5 - 2010

42.27 19.84 2.13 2.78

The pins for the original cross-sections could not be located, making comparisons with Years 0 and 1

	t Name: Section:		Cross Cr Cross Se			Feature: Station	Pool 13+60		Date: Crew:	Year 5 09/ Geenen, Je								1	
	Year 5 2010 S	5 - 2010 Survey			Year 4 - 2009 2009 Survey	9	Y 2	7ear 3 - 2008 2008 Survey			Year 2 - 200 2007 Survey		1	Year 1 - 200 2006 Survey		AS-BUILT AS-BUILT	Survey		
3 3 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.39 9 5.93 9.79 9.79 8 0.96 8 42.7 8 4.93 8 5.1.1 8 5.72 8 4.48 8 5.72 8 6.92 8 6.92 8 5.76 8 6.76 9	vation 90.643 91.42 89.087 87.891 87.738 87.59 87.423 87.317 86.921 86.93 87.237 88.296 88.195 90.187 91.43	Notes	Station 5.52 12.3 18.52 23.22 24.6 33.08 33.58 35.14 35.79 36.68 37.51 40.37 40.87 42.74 48.33 53.07 55.88 57.08 58.2 60.11 64.58	Elevation 91.65 91.72 91.72 91.75 91.55 90.64 90.73 90.81 90.73 90.25 89.22 87.78 87.65 87.25 87.65 87.37 86.63 87.18 88.38 91.4 91.99 92.14 91.67	Notes	Station 22.97 23.22 29.36 35.93 39.87 42.62 46.13 49.25 53.27 54.98 56.34 57.87 62.99 68.90 72.62 73.26 79.81 85.45	90.92 91.13 88.01 87.22 86.89 86.47 87.14 87.96 88.88 92.00 92.00 91.88 91.58	Notes Left Pin RBK Right Pin	7.4 18.02 23.27 23.36 25.59 30.61 34.66 37.18 38.57 42.41 45.33 48.04 50.41 53.27 55.14 55.81 57.39 60.01 64.95 68.63 72.63	91.74 91.48 90.81 90.65 89.67 88.59 87.43 86.89 86.45 86.59 87.2 87.9 91.26 91.97 91.92 91.89 91.59 91.74	Notes Left Pin LBK	Station	Elevation	Notes	Station Elevati	on Notes		
				70.2 71.16 72.84 81.35 83.36 86.63	91.54 91.81 91.71 95.1 95.77 96.84					72.66 72.68 73.73 83.64 88.38	91.74 91.75 91.79 95.84 97.01	Right Pin						Area Width Mean Depth Max Depth W/D *Note: The pin	Year 5 - 2010 71.2 23.6 3.0 4.2 7.8 ns for the origina





I	Photo of Cross-Section 2 - Reach 1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+60													
2010	Year 4 - 2009	Year 3 - 2008	Year 2 - 2007	Year 1 - 2006	AS-BUILT 2005									
71.22	93.07	92.68	92.18	n/a	n/a									
23.69	34.07	33.99	33.44	n/a	n/a									
3.01	2.73	2.73	2.76	n/a	n/a									
4.50	5.11	5.27	5.29	n/a	n/a									
7.88	12.47	12.47	12.13	n/a	n/a									
iginal c	ginal cross-sections could not be located, making comparisons with Years 0 and 1 data invalid.													



Year 3 - 2008	Year 2 - 2007	Year 1 - 2006	AS-BUILT 2005
75.96	71.91	n/a	n/a
35.52	33.47	n/a	n/a
2.14	2.15	n/a	n/a
3.99	3.86	n/a	n/a
16.61	15.58	n/a	n/a

roject Na			oss Cree			Feature:	Pool	Date							~		X		100000	1 Sec
	Year 5 - :	2010	oss Sect		Year 4 - 20			Crev Year 3 - 2008	v: Geenen,	Year 2 - 200		Year 1 - 2006	AS-BUILT 2005		Section of the			M		Les C
	2010 Sur				2009 Surve	y Notes		2008 Survey Elevation No	St. C.	2007 Survey es Station Elevation Notes		2006 Survey	AS-BUILT Survey		- Art Sail			and states	a sente for	A.
26.61	Elevat	tion N 2.481	otes	Station 17.2	Elevation 95.02		Station 11.98		tes Station		Notes	Station Elevation Notes	Station Elevation Notes	Start Land	E-4-18	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		San State Party		27 - 2
28.71		1.439		26.75	92.5		20.69		14.9						See States		1	201 10		et le
30.57		0.564		29.51	91.45		26.13		18.								are based of the loss	S. Martine	and the state	
35.06		8.869		36.16	88.87		26.46							S. Cart	13/12	and the second		and the second second		
36.61		7.094		37.17	87.19		29.02								AL STATIS		and the second		The second second	and Bri
38.31		4.868		37.64	85.35		35.17		25.					1923 - 19 N. R.	No. of Street of		AZICONTA	- 1 The	and the second	
42.23	8 83	3.939		39.8	84.61		38.37	85.7	26.4	8 92.08	Left Pin			A Part of the second	A REAL PROPERTY					
46.34	84	4.191		41.84	83.87		40.96	84.27	30.					ES - PERSON						
49.32		3.816		42.38	84.27		43.09		31.4		LBK							In the second		
52.15		4.072		44.65	83.75		46.04		33.											
54.09		4.584		47.01	84.53		48.79		36.						Contraction of the second			and the second s		
54.64		4.562		48.87	84.57		53.28		37.					Contraction of the local division of the loc		24		11325		
55.47		8.148		50.54	84.44		55.65		38.								_	- Automatic		
60.37		8.671 89.7		52.97 54.87	84.63 87.4		58.01 62.55		38.3 40							- am	-	-		
66.08 72.52			3KF	54.87 54.92	87.4 87.52		62.54									and the second s				
79.98		89.78		55.45	87.85		67.38							and the		And Person named in column 2				
10.00	, 0	55.70		58.41	88.49		68.04	0	44.0					a faith				- in State		
				58.54	88.5		78.83		45					and the second						
				58.57	88.4		93.37	89.79	46.								Reach 1 - Lookin	. D	- CT A 22 . 05	
				59.04	88.56		107.06	89.27	48.4						Photo of Cr	oss-Section 4 - F	keach I - Lookin	g Downstream	@ 51A 23+05	
				68.08	89.79		119.56		50.3								-	-		_
				68.16	89.9		132.35		51.0											
				83.53	89.91		141.86	94.36	52.4								9 Year 3 - 2008			6 AS-B
				94.59	89.97				53.0 54.0					Area Width	121.00 40.30				n/a	
									54.					Mean Depth	40.50				n/a n/a	
										5 87.25 6 87.69				Max Depth	6.11				n/a n/a	
									57.4					W/D	13.42				n/a	
									60.2					*Note: The pins						mpori
									62.							gillar cross-sc	cuons coura	not be locate	u, maxing co	mpan
									67.4		RBK			Years 0 and 1 d	lata invalid.					
									67.4	5 89.79	Right Pin									
									79.2											
									89.2											
									101.											
									105.0											
									108											
									116.											
									121.: 133. ²											
									135.	- 94.00										





roject Nan	le.	Cross C	reek	E	eature:	Pool	1	Date	Year 5 09/1	0				
ross Sectio		Cross S				3+11			Geenen, Jea					
		21000 0		5		1								
Yea	r 5 - 2010			Year 4 - 2009)	Ye	ear 3 - 2008		Ye	ar 2 - 2007		Year 1 - 2006	AS-BUILT 2005	
201	0 Survey			2009 Survey		20	08 Survey		20	07 Survey		2006 Survey	AS-BUILT Survey	
Station	Elevation	Notes	Station	Elevation	Notes	Station	Elevation	Notes			Notes	Station Elevation Notes	Station Elevation Notes	
62.66	91.034		57.7	92.81		35.94	94.49		12.32	94.53				
78.23	90.674		66.51	91.51		41.61	94.23		21.31	94.59				
89.61	91.24		71.07	90.64		50.91	93.28		31.13	94.78				
94.5	90.218		72.07	90.54		64.13	91.61		39.66	94.5				
96.95	87.877		76.3	90.65		71.49	90.31		47.84	93.71				
100.02	88		80.31	90.49		72.04	90.35		53.27	93.15				
101.5	87.946 87.627		85.8 89.31	90.69 90.8		84.01 94.62	90.31 90.51		60.33 65.6	92.41 91.53				
103.86 106.48	87.627 87.581		93.22	90.8 90.64		94.62 96.94	90.51 87.23		69.32	91.55				
100.48	88.057		93.22	90.5		100.9	87.39		72.1	90.07				
108.98	88.59		96.78	90.19		104.09	88.18		77.69	90.38	Left Pin			
116.7	90.823		96.93	89.81		107.02	89		89.43	90.55				
			96.95	87.78		108.84	89.23		92.16	90.31	LBK			
			99.08	87.73		110.01	90.29		94.42	90.21				
			101.19	87.5		114.23	90.95		95.9	89.84				
			101.27	87.45		121.6	91.11		96.71	89.21				
			102.21	87.58		132.46	90.57		96.92	88.59				
			102.62	88.17		133.41	90.75		97.21	88.07				
			102.85	88.59		143.95	93.35		98.5	87.67				
			104.21 105.24	88.95 89.14		153.06	94.05		100.51 102.1	87.56 87.6				Photo of Cross-Section 5 - Reach 2 - Looking Downstream @ STA 3+11
			105.24	89.14					102.1	87.73				
			100.01	89.45					105.20	87.94				
			107.87	89.71					106.14	88.38				Year 5 - 2010 Year 4 - 2009 Year 3 - 2008 Year 2 - 2007 Year 1 - 2006 AS-BUII
			108.92	89.86					107.4	88.4				Area 49.17 25.87 35.51 35.90 n/a n/a
			109.63	90.4					108.39	89.11				Width 25.07 16.65 22.25 23.99 n/a n/a
			111.66	90.82					109.77	89.72				Mean Depth 1.96 1.55 1.60 1.50 n/a n/
			113.22	90.96					111.8	90.15				Max Depth 3.30 3.09 3.32 2.99 n/a n/
			117.47	91.09					113.45	90.55				W/D 12.78 10.72 13.95 16.03 n/a n/
			120.02	91.12					117.21	90.79 90.79	RBK			*Note: The pins for the original cross-sections could not be located, making comparison
			124.37 127.42	91.12 90.7					121.63 128.85	90.79 90.51				with Years 0 and 1 data invalid.
			127.42	90.7					128.85	90.51 90.57				
			133.19	90.52					133.19	90.52 R	light Pin			
				50.02					135.14	91.2				
									140.57	92.62				
									148.17	93.99				
									156.83	93.82				





Project Name: Cross Section:	Cross Creek Cross Section			iffle ⊦81		Year 5 09/1 Geenen, Jea						344	- Parts		a free for
Pross Section: Year 5 - 2010 2010 Survey Station Elevation 81.47 90.72 88.30 90.72 94.46 90.61 103.19 91.02 106.14 87.38 107.95 87.43 109.01 87.58 113.26 87.73 114.67 87.84 115.52 89.28 118.56 90.51 122.76 90.91 130.25 91.15	Notes Stat	Year 4 - 2009 2009 Survey		Year 2008	3 - 2008 Notes 94.32 93.77 92.51 91.30 90.98 Left Pin 90.45 89.91 90.50 87.59 87.58 88.21 88.22 88.28 90.14 90.75 90.69 Right Pir 90.87 RBK 94.47		n Year 2 - 2007 2007 Survey Elevation Notes 94.65 93.83 93.05 91.09 91.06 90.94 Left Pin 90.77 90.82 LBK 90.17 89.51 89.79 90.27 89.67 89.79 90.27 89.67 89.79 90.27 89.67 89.79 90.27 89.67 89.18 88.47 87.94 88.02 87.67 87.42 87.13 86.93 87.81 88.12 88.47 88.47 88.47 88.12 88.47 88.47 88.28 88.47 88.29 90.61 90.66 Right Pin 91.31 RBK 94.05 95.05 96.72 97.32	Year 1 - 2006 2006 Survey Station Elevation Notes	AS-BUILT 2005 AS-BUILT Survey Station Elevation Notes	Y Area Width Mean Depth Max Depth W/D	Year 5 - 2010 37.85 36.23 1.04 3.30 34.84 Year 4 Year 4	Year 3 - 2008 38.86 39.82 38.86 39.82 39.24 28.85 ross-sections could	Year 2 - 2007 59.50 38.92 1.53 3.88 25.46	Year 1 - 2006 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
	98 —							Creek (Stations Section #6 -		•					
Elevation (feet)	96	<u> </u>			Flo	odproi	ne Area (appr	ox.)				Bankfull F	llev. (app	rox.)	
	86 0		20			40	6 → Year 2 07	Distan	80 ce (feet)	100 4Year 5 - 20	120		140		160





No wetland monitoring has taken place at the Cross Creek Stream Restoration Site.