

## MONITORING YEAR 3 ANNUAL REPORT Final

### **DEVIL'S RACETRACK MITIGATION SITE**

Johnston County, NC NCDEQ Contract 003989 DMS Project Number 95021

Data Collection Period: January - November 2016 Draft Submission Date: December 1, 2016 Final Submission Date: January 3, 2017

### **PREPARED FOR:**



NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

### **PREPARED BY:**



Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 312 West Millbrook Road, Suite 225 Raleigh, NC 27609

> Jason Lorch jlorch@wildlandseng.com Phone: 919.851.9986



### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Wildlands Engineering (Wildlands) completed a full-delivery project for the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) to restore and enhance a total of 18,936 linear feet (LF) of stream and restore 63.3 acres (ac) of wetlands in Johnston County, North Carolina. The project streams consist of five unnamed tributaries (UTs) to the Neuse River. The largest of these streams, Devil's Racetrack Creek (East and West), drains directly to the Neuse River. The other four streams are small headwater tributaries to Devil's Racetrack Creek (Southwest Branch, Middle Branch, Southeast Branch, and North Branch). The project proposes to provide 18,381 stream mitigation units (SMU's) and 62.1 wetland mitigation units (WMU's). At the downstream limits of the project, the drainage area is 831 acres (1.30 square miles).

The Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site, hereafter referred to as the Site, is located in eastern Johnston County along Devil's Racetrack Road just east of its intersection with U.S. Highway 701 and approximately one mile east of Interstate 95 (Figure 1). The Site is located in the western portion of the Inner Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (USGS, 1998). The Site is located within the North Carolina Division of Water Resources (NCDWR) subbasin 03-04-02 of the Neuse River Basin (United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03020201140010).

Prior to construction activities, the streams had been relocated and channelized and the surrounding wetland complex had been drained for agricultural purposes. The primary objectives of the project were to promote wetland hydrology; restore a Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp wetland community; restore a Coastal Plain stream system to promote hydrologic connectivity with the floodplains and wetlands; stabilize stream banks; promote instream habitat and aeration; restore riparian buffers; and further improve water quality through removing existing agricultural practices. Figure 2 and Table 1 present the restoration and enhancement design for the Site.

The following project goals were established to address the effects listed above from watershed and project site stressors:

- Restore a large wetland complex to a naturally occurring community to improve riparian habitat and water quality;
- Restore a network of badly degraded stream channels, including multiple headwaters streams, to create aquatic habitat and further improve water quality to receiving waters; and
- Restore riparian buffers along stream corridors for additional habitat and water quality benefits.

Stream and wetland restoration and enhancement construction efforts were completed in February 2014. Baseline as-built monitoring activities (MYO) were completed between January and February 2014. A conservation easement is in place on 96.065 acres of the stream and wetland riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity.

Monitoring Year 3 (MY3) assessment and site visits were completed between the months of January and November 2016 to assess the conditions of the project. Overall, the Site has met the required vegetation, hydrology, and stream success criteria for MY3. The overall MY3 average planted stem density for the Site is 602 stems/ acre which is greater than the year three interim density requirement of 320 stems/ acre. All restored and enhanced streams are stable and functioning as designed. Southeast Branch, Southwest Branch, and Middle Branch all had pressure transducers installed to monitor stream flow. All three stream gages met the hydrologic criteria for MY3. Of the 38 groundwater monitoring wells on the Site, 22 met the success criteria (water table with 12 inches of the ground surface for 8.5% of the growing



season consecutively), four had a hydroperiod greater than 5% but did not meet the success criteria, and 12 had a hydroperiod below 5% however two of these are located outside of the wetland boundary. Timing and intensity of rainfall is believed to be the reason for lower hydrology performance than in MY2 as explained in the report.



### DEVIL'S RACETRACK MITIGATION SITE

Monitoring Year 3 Annual Report

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section 1:	PROJECT OVERVIEW	
	roject Goals and Objectives	
	Ionitoring Year 3 Data Assessment	
1.2.1	Vegetative Assessment	
1.2.2	Vegetation Areas of Concern	1-3
1.2.3	Stream Assessment	1-3
1.2.4	Stream Areas of Concern	1-4
1.2.5	Hydrology Assessment	1-4
1.2.6	Wetland Assessment	1-4
1.2.7	Maintenance Plan	1-5
1.3 N	1onitoring Year 3 Summary	1-5
	METHODOLOGY	
Section 3:	REFERENCES	

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1	General Tables and Figures
Figure 1	Project Vicinity Map
Figure 2a-b	Project Component/Asset Map
Table 1	Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Table 2	Project Activity and Reporting History
Table 3	Project Contacts Table
Table 4	Project Information and Attributes

### Appendix 2 Visual Assessment Data

Figure 3.0-3.2	Integrated Current Condition Plan View
Table 5a-f	Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table
Table 6	Vegetation Condition Assessment Table
Stream Photographs	-
Vegetation Photogra	ohs

Appendix 3 **Vegetation Plot Data** Table 7 Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Table 8 CVS Vegetation Table - Metadata Table 9 Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Appendix 4 **Morphological Summary Data and Plots** Table 10a-f Baseline Stream Data Summary Table 11a-f Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Section) Table 12a-f Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary **Cross Section Plots** 



### Appendix 5 Hydrology Summary Data and Plots

Table 13Verification of Bankfull Events

Table 14Wetland Gage Attainment Summary<br/>Groundwater Gage Plots<br/>Stream Flow Gage Plots<br/>Monthly Rainfall Data<br/>Pre and Post Construction Groundwater Gage Comparison Plots



### Section 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site, hereafter referred to as the Site, is located in eastern Johnston County within the Neuse River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit 03020201) near the town of Four Oaks, North Carolina. The Site is located along Devil's Racetrack Road just east of its intersection with U.S. Highway 701 and approximately one mile east of Interstate 95. The Site is located in the western portion of the Inner Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (USGS, 1998). The project watershed consists primarily of agricultural lands and forest. The only significant development in the watershed is a campground adjacent to Devil's Racetrack Creek on the western portion of the project site, a middle school in the upper portion of the watershed, a low-density subdivision with single family homes, and a small section of I-95. The drainage area for the project site is 831 acres (1.30 square miles) at the lower end of Devil's Racetrack Creek (East).

The project stream reaches include Devil's Racetrack Creek (East and West), Southwest Branch, Middle Branch, Southeast Branch, and North Branch, (stream restoration and/or enhancement level I/II approach). Mitigation work within the Site included restoration and enhancement of 18,936 linear feet (LF) of perennial and intermittent stream channel and restoration of 63.3 acres (ac) of riparian wetland. The stream and wetland areas were also planted with native vegetation to improve habitat and protect water quality. The final mitigation plan was submitted and accepted by the DMS in January of 2013. Construction activities were completed by Land Mechanic Designs, Inc. (East Side) and Fluvial Solutions (West Side) in February 2014. Planting and seeding activities were completed by Bruton Natural Systems, Inc. in February 2014. Baseline monitoring (MYO) was conducted between December 2013 and April 2014. Annual monitoring will be conducted for seven years with the close-out anticipated to commence in 2021 given the success criteria are met. Appendix 1 provides more detailed project activity, history, contact information, and watershed/site background information for this project.

A conservation easement has been recorded and is in place along the stream and wetland riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity; 96.065 ac (Deed Book 4221, Page 419-433) within two tracts owned by Nell Howell Revocable Trust. The project provides 18,381 stream mitigation units (SMU's) and 62.1 wetland mitigation units (WMU's). Directions and a map of the Site are provided in Figure 1 and project components are illustrated in Figures 2a and 2b.

### 1.1 Project Goals and Objectives

Prior to construction activities, the streams had been relocated and channelized and the surrounding wetland complex had been drained for agricultural purposes. Stream valleys and other low areas were filled to raise wet areas and even out the fields. At the same time the streams were straightened and riparian vegetation was also removed. The project area west of Devil's Racetrack Road was used for row crop agriculture and the eastern portion was used for timber production.

The channelization of streams on the Site resulted in severely over-enlarged channels that were extremely deep in many locations. The alterations of the Site to promote farming practices resulted in complete elimination of the ecological function of this small stream/wetland complex. Specifically, functional losses at the Site include degraded aquatic habitat, altered hydrology (related to loss of floodplain connection and lowered water table), and reduction of quality and amount of riparian wetland habitats and related water quality benefits. Ongoing bank erosion was also occurring at some locations due to high, overly steep banks and lack of bank vegetation. Table 4 in Appendix 1 and Tables 10a through 10f in Appendix 4 present the pre-restoration conditions in detail.



The Site was designed to meet the over-arching goals as described in the mitigation plan (Wildlands, 2013). The project is intended to provide numerous ecological benefits within the Neuse River Basin. While many of these benefits are limited to the Devil's Racetrack Creek Site project area, others, such as pollutant removal and improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat, have more far-reaching effects. The following project specific goals established in the mitigation plan include:

- Restore a large wetland complex to a naturally occurring community to improve riparian habitat and water quality;
- Restore a network of badly degraded stream channels, including multiple headwaters streams, to create aquatic habitat and further improve water quality to receiving waters; and
- Restore riparian buffers along stream corridors for additional habitat and water quality benefits.

Secondary project goals established in the mitigation plan were to restore fish passage from the Neuse River to Devil's Racetrack Creek. This is a secondary goal because success will not be measured during monitoring.

The primary project goals were addressed through the following project objectives:

- Promote wetland hydrology by raising channelized stream beds and filling drainage ditches;
- Plant wetland areas with native tree species to restore a Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp Blackwater Subtype community;
- Reconstruct stream channels to have the appropriate slope, planform, and cross-sectional geometry for the region of the Coastal Plain in which the project is located;
- Size reconstructed stream channels to flood floodplains and wetlands frequently;
- Stabilize stream banks using bioengineering, natural channel design techniques, and grading to reduce bank angles and bank height;
- Install in-stream structures and woody debris to promote aeration of water, create habitat, and influence the creation of bed forms commonly found in sand bed channels;
- Restore riparian buffer areas with native tree species to stabilize channels, filter flood flows and runoff, and supplement wetland plantings; and
- Remove project area from agricultural production further improving water quality.

The design streams and wetlands were restored to the appropriate type based on the surrounding landscape, climate, and natural vegetation communities but also with strong consideration to existing watershed conditions and trajectory. The mitigation project was developed to restore a large stream/wetland complex directly adjacent to the Neuse River to a naturally occurring community to create riparian and wetland habitat and improve water quality. Other key factors addressed in the design were to create stable habitats, improve riparian buffers, and restore the natural migration patterns for anadromous and other fish for spawning.

### **1.2** Monitoring Year 3 Data Assessment

Annual monitoring and quarterly site visits were conducted during MY3 to assess the condition of the project. The stream and wetland mitigation success criteria for the Site follow the approved success criteria presented in the Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Plan (Wildlands, 2013).

### **1.2.1** Vegetative Assessment

A total of 51 vegetation plots were established during the baseline monitoring within the project easement areas. All of the plots were installed using a standard 10 meter by 10 meter plot. The final



vegetative success criteria will be the survival of 210 planted stems per acre in the riparian corridor along restored and enhanced reaches and within the wetland restoration areas at the end of the seven year monitoring period (MY7). The interim measure of vegetative success for the Site will be the survival of at least 320 planted stems per acre at the end of year three of the monitoring period (MY3) and at least 260 stems per acre at the end of the fifth year of monitoring (MY5).

The MY3 vegetative survey was completed in June 2016. The 2016 vegetation monitoring resulted in an average planted stem density of 602 stems per acre, which is greater than the interim requirement of 320 stems/acre required at MY3, but approximately 14% less than the baseline density recorded at MY0, 702 stems/acre, in January 2014. When including volunteer stems, the average stems/acre is 798. This is well above the MY3 interim requirement of 320 stems/ acre. There was an average of 15 planted stems per plot which is a slight decrease from 16 stems per plot in MY2. All 51 of the vegetation plots individually met success criteria for MY3, and are on track to meet the success criteria required for MY7 (Table 9, Appendix 3). Refer to Appendix 2 for vegetation plot photographs and the vegetation condition assessment table and Appendix 3 for vegetation data tables.

### 1.2.2 Vegetation Areas of Concern

Along the lower section of Devil's Racetrack (East), there are several bare areas (approximately 15.5% of the planted acreage). In these bare areas, the planted trees appear healthy and volunteer trees have sprouted, but the herbaceous ground cover is still deficient and not well established. This area was graded down several feet during construction which removed the nutrient rich top soil, leaving a more acidic subsoil. Wildlands incorporated liquid and pelletized lime into the soil during construction with the expectation that the pH would increase over the first year or two and would provide better herbaceous ground cover density develops. Additional permanent seed, temporary seed, lime, and fertilizer was added during MY3 to promote better ground cover. We applied a hydroseed mixture to a ½ test plot during MY3 however germination was poor likely due to the continuing low pH conditions. During MY4 Wildlands will continue to monitor these areas and will reapply seed and soil amendments as necessary. Refer to Appendix 2 for the vegetation condition assessment table, the Integrated Current Condition Plan View (CCPV), and reference photographs.

Throughout the site Pine trees have begun to grow with the planted trees. On the west side of the project the pine trees are mixed in with the planted trees and herbaceous cover. They are not affecting planted vegetation at this time, but will be maintained during MY4. On the east side of the project pine trees are growing at a high density and could potentially affect planted vegetation if not maintained during MY4. Wildlands plans to cut and/or apply an herbicide to pine trees on both sides of the Site during MY4.

### 1.2.3 Stream Assessment

Morphological surveys for MY3 were conducted in April 2016. All streams within the Site are stable and met success criteria for MY3. In general, cross sections for all streams showed little to no change in bankfull area, maximum depth ratio, or width-to-depth ratio. Surveyed riffle cross sections fell within the parameters defined for channels of the appropriate Rosgen stream type.

Longitudinal profile surveys are not required on the project unless visual inspection indicates reach wide vertical stability concerns. Refer to Appendix 2 for the visual stability assessment table, the CCPV map, and reference photographs. Refer to Appendix 4 for the morphological data and plots.



### 1.2.4 Stream Areas of Concern

A small beaver dam was built on Devil's Racetrack West between the confluence of North Branch and Devil's Racetrack Road. The dam is approximately two feet high and doesn't appear to be active. The USDA has been contacted to look at the site and determine if the dam is active and if beaver need to be removed. The dam is backing up water on a small section of Devil's Racetrack West, and the lower section of North Branch. The dam will be removed after the USDA evaluates the Site.

### 1.2.5 Hydrology Assessment

At the end of the seven-year monitoring period, two or more bankfull events must have occurred in separate years within the restoration reaches. Multiple bankfull events were recorded on all the streams with crest gages and pressure transducers during the MY3 data collection. All streams on the Site had multiple bankfull events during MY1 and MY2. Therefore, the Site has met the required stream hydrology success criteria.

Pressure transducers were also installed on Southwest Branch, Southeast Branch, and Middle Branch to measure stream flow. These pressure transducers were installed to show that the streams have adequate flow throughout the year and are not ephemeral ditches. Per discussion with the Interagency Review Team (IRT), on these three streams, consistent flow must be documented for at least 30 consecutive days under normal circumstances. Stream flow must be documented to occur intermittently in all months other than July through September. Southwest Branch showed consistent flow throughout MY3. Middle Branch showed consistent flow from January to mid-April. Sometime in mid-April the transducer on Middle Branch had a malfunction and Wildlands was unable to download data past April. Southeast Branch showed consistent flow. All three intermittent streams have met the flow success criteria for MY3. Refer to Appendix 5 for hydrologic data.

### 1.2.6 Wetland Assessment

Thirty-four groundwater monitoring gages were established during the baseline monitoring and four additional gages were added during MY2, all but one (GW32) are within the wetland restoration zones. All the gages were installed at appropriate locations so that the data collected will provide an indication of groundwater levels throughout the Site. To provide data for the determination of the growing season, three soil temperature probes (2 on the west side and 1 on the east side) have been installed at a depth of twelve inches. A barotroll logger (to measure barometric pressure used in the calculations of groundwater levels with well transducer data) and a rain gage were also installed on the Site. All monitoring gages were downloaded on a quarterly basis and maintained on an as needed basis. The success criteria for wetland hydrology is to have a free groundwater surface within 12 inches of the ground surface for 8.5 percent of the growing season, which is measured in consecutive days under typical precipitation conditions. During MY1 NRCS WETS Data was used to determine the growing season for the Site. After discussions with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), it was agreed to use onsite soil temperature data to determine the beginning of the growing season and use NRCS WETS data to determine the end of the growing season. During MY3 the beginning of the growing season was extended by 20 days based on soil temperatures staying above 41 degrees Fahrenheit at 12 inches below the ground surface.

The USACE also requested pre-construction groundwater well data be overlaid on hydrographs with the current monitoring year groundwater well data. USACE requested this data to see how groundwater levels are recharging after rain events on the Site. Wildlands overlaid the pre-construction groundwater well data with the closest monitoring groundwater well data and rain data. It is evident from these



overlays that the Site drained more rapidly and to greater depths prior to restoration. Refer to Appendix 5 for pre and post construction groundwater gage comparison plots.

Of the 38 groundwater monitoring wells on the Site, 22 met the success criteria (water table with 12 inches of the ground surface for 8.5% of the growing season consecutively), four had a hydroperiod greater than 5% but did not meet the success criteria, and 12 had a hydroperiod below 5% however two of these are located outside of the wetland boundaries. Of the 22 wells that met the success criteria, hydroperiods ranged from 8.8% to 21.5%, with one outlier at 40.8%, which is drier than the MY2 hydroperiod range. Four wells had a hydroperiod range of 5.0% to 8.1% which is greater than USACE defined minimum wetland hydroperiod but lower than the listed success criteria. Of the ten wells within the wetland boundaries that showed hydroperiods below 5.0% the majority of these are around the wetland perimeter where elevations start to rise.

Overall rainfall year to date is above average with several months exceeding the USDA listed 70<sup>th</sup> percentile monthly rainfall limit. However, rainfall patterns in 2016 were atypical with periodic large events followed by extended periods with no rain. October provides a striking example of this trend where Hurricane Mathew dropped 4.8 inches of rain on the Site but aside from that there were three 0.1 inch rainfall events. When conditions are dry and large rainfall events occur, runoff tends to be high relative to infiltration (Winter 1998).

Groundwater wells 8 and 32 were placed outside of proposed wetland restoration boundaries to provide data to potentially increase the wetland restoration boundary. The wetland restoration area around well 8 was modified during Mitigation Plan review due to concerns about drainage from a section of the abandoned Devils Racetrack Creek that could not be filled. Since this channel was left open and could possibly drain the proposed wetlands, a conservative wetland restoration boundary was agreed upon. Results have been mixed for these two wells during the three monitoring years but will continue to be evaluated to determine whether wetland boundaries can be extended. Refer to Appendix 2 for the groundwater gage locations and Appendix 5 for groundwater hydrology data and plots.

### 1.2.7 Maintenance Plan

Pine trees will be removed from the site as described in section 1.2.2 above. Also, a small beaver dam will be removed from Devil's Racetrack west as described in section 1.2.4 above.

### **1.3 Monitoring Year 3 Summary**

All streams within the Site are stable and functioning as designed. The average stem density for the Site is on track to meeting the MY7 success criteria; all individual vegetation plots meet the MY3 success criteria as noted in the CCPV. There have been at least two documented bankfull events recorded by the crest gages on each of the streams on the Site. A total of 22 out of 36 groundwater gages within the wetland boundaries met the wetland hydrology success criteria, and the Site is showing a significant trend in groundwater recharge. This trend is fully expected to continue in the future.

Summary information and data related to the success of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Mitigation Plan documents available on DMS's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from DMS upon request.



### Section 2: METHODOLOGY

Geomorphic data was collected following the standards outlined in The Stream Channel Reference Site: An Illustrated Guide to Field Techniques (Harrelson et al., 1994) and in the Stream Restoration: A Natural Channel Design Handbook (Doll et al., 2003). All the Integrated Current Condition Mapping was recorded using a Trimble handheld GPS with sub-meter accuracy and processed using Pathfinder and ArcGIS. Crest gages and pressure transducers were installed in surveyed riffle cross sections and monitored quarterly. Hydrology attainment installation and monitoring methods are in accordance with the USACE (2003) standards. Vegetation monitoring protocols followed the Carolina Vegetation Survey-DMS Level 2 Protocol (Lee et al., 2008).



- Doll, B.A., Grabow, G.L., Hall, K.A., Halley, J., Harman, W.A., Jennings, G.D., and Wise, D.E. 2003. Stream Restoration A Natural Channel Design Handbook.
- Harrelson, C.C., Rawlins, C.L., Potyondy, J.P. 1994. Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 61 p.
- Lee, M.T., Peet, R.K., S.D., Wentworth, T.R. 2008. CVS-DMS Protocol for Recording Vegetation Version 4.2. Retrieved from <u>http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/protocol/cvs-eep-protocol-v4.2-lev1-5.pdf</u>.
- Rosgen, D. L. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. *Catena* 22:169-199.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Pagosa Springs, CO: Wildland Hydrology Books.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1997. A Geomorphological Approach to Restoration of Incised Rivers. Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision. Center For Computational Hydroscience and Bioengineering, Oxford Campus, University of Mississippi, Pages 12-22.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDEQ-DWR, USEPA, NCWRC.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2002. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Climate Information for Johnston County, NC (1971-2000). WETS Station: Clayton, NC1820.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 1998. North Carolina Geology. http://www.geology.enr.state.nc.us/usgs/carolina.htm
- Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2013. Devil's Racetrack Stream and Wetland Mitigation Plan. DMS, Raleigh, NC.
- Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2014. Devil's Racetrack Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site Baseline Monitoring Document and As-Built Baseline Report. DMS, Raleigh, NC.
- Winter, Thomas C., Harvey, Judson W., Franke, O. Lehn, Alley, William M. 1998. Ground Water and Surface Water: A Single Resource.



APPENDIX 1. General Tables and Figures



WILDLANDS



0 0.5 1 Miles

Ŵ

Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Johnston County, NC







0	250	500 Feet	

Ń

Figure 2a. Project Component/Asset Map Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No.95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Johnston County, NC







0	250	500 Feet	4
			ψ

Figure 2b. Project Component/Asset Map Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No.95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Johnston County, NC

## Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

				Mit	igation Cred	its										
	SI	ream	Riparian \	Wetland	Non-Ripari	an Wetland	Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous	Nutrient Offset						
Туре	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE										
Totals	18,381 <sup>2</sup>	0	62.1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I	N/A						
				Proje	ect Compone	ents										
Rea	ch ID	As-Built Stationing/ Location	Existing Footage/ Acreage	Approach		or Restoration valent		n Footage/ eage	Mitigation Ratio	Credits (SMU/ WMU)						
				•	Streams											
Devil's Racetra (DOT ROW)	ck Creek (West)	0+00-0+20	20 LF	P1		oration Credit)	2	0	N/A	N/A						
Devil's Racetra	ck Creek (West)	0+20-16+47 & 17+74-52+69	4,755 LF	P1	Resto	ration	5,2	122	1:1	5,122 <sup>2</sup>						
Devil's Racetra (Power Line Ea	ck Creek (West) sement)	16+47-17+74	196 LF	P1		oration I Credit)	1	27	4:1 <sup>1</sup>	32 <sup>2</sup>						
Devil's Racetra (DOT ROW)	ck Creek (West)	52+69-52+73	5 LF	P1		oration Credit)		4	N/A	N/A						
Devil's Racetra ROW)	ck (East) (DOT	52+59-52+66	5 LF	P1		oration Credit)		7	N/A	N/A						
Devil's Racetra	ck (East)	52+66-70+72 & 71+12-88+12 & 88+53-107+11	4,778 LF	P1/2	Resto	Restoration		Restoration		Restoration		ration 5,36		5,364		5,364 <sup>2</sup>
Devil's Racetra (Easement Bre		70+72-71+12	30 LF	P1/2	Restoration (No Credit)		40		N/A	N/A						
Devil's Racetra (Easement Bre		88+12 to 88+53	31 LF	P1/2	Restoration (No Credit)		41		N/A	N/A						
Devil's Racetra	ck (East)	107+11-108+21	0 LF	P1/2	Restoration (No Credit)		110		N/A	N/A						
Southwest Bra	nch	500+00-501+31 600+00-600+23	154 LF	EII	Enhancement		154		2.5:1	62						
Southwest Bra	nch	501+31-502+07	75 LF	EI	Enhancement		76		1.5:1	51						
Southwest Bra	nch	502+07-504+89 506+05-511+52	740 LF	P1/2	Resto	oration	829		1:1	829 <sup>2</sup>						
Southwest Bra (Power Line Ea		504+89-506+05	111 LF	P1/2		Restoration (Partial Credit)		16	4:1 <sup>1</sup>	29						
Middle Branch		200+00-204+00	410 LF		Headwater Wet		410		1:1	410 <sup>2</sup>						
Middle Branch		204+00-219+05	1,326 LF	P1/2		oration		505	1:1	1,505 <sup>2</sup>						
Southeast Brar	nch	300+00-305+03 305+48-329+61	2,946 LF	P1	Resto	oration	2,9	916	1:1	2,916 <sup>2</sup>						
Southeast Brar Break)	nch (Easement	305+03-305+48	30 LF	P1		ration l Credit)	4	15	4:1 <sup>1</sup>	11						
North Branch		403+89-424+39		P1	Resto	ration	2,0	)50	1:1	2,050 <sup>2</sup>						
		<b>_</b>			Wetlands					T						
Riparian Wetla		N/A	0.0 ac	N/A		oration	57	7.9	1:1	57.9						
Riparian Wetla (Power Line Ea		N/A	0.0 ac	N/A		oration I Credit)	1	.6	4:1	0.4						
Riparian Wetla		N/A	0.0 ac	N/A		oration	3	.8	1:1	3.8						
				•	onent Summ	ation										
Restorat	tion Level	Strea (LF)		. (i	an Wetland acres)	Non-Riparia (acr		Buffer(s	quare feet)	Upland (acres)						
		18,70		Riverine	Non-Riverine	-			-	-						
	oration cement	18,70		63.3	-	-			-	-						
	cement I	76		-												
	ement II	154														
Creation					I	_										

-

-

-

-

-

Creation

Preservation

 High Quality Preservation

 N/A: not applicable
 1. Ratio of 4:1 based on an expected 75% reduction in credits for stream restoration with shrub buffer zone in power line easements

 2. Credits updated from baseline report during monitoring year 1 due to errors in calculations.

-

### Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Activity or Report	Date Collection Complete	Completion or Scheduled Delivery		
Mitigation Plan	September 2011- March 2012	January 2013		
Final Design - Construction Plans	September 2011- March 2012	August 2013		
Construction	December 2013- February 2014	February 2014		
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area <sup>1</sup>	February 2014	February 2014		
Permanent seed mix applied to reach/segments	February 2014	February 2014		
Bare root and live stake plantings for reach/segments	February 2014	February 2014		
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0)	December 2013- February 2014	May 2014		
Year 1 Monitoring	August 2014	December 2014		
Year 2 Monitoring	October 2015	December 2015		
Year 3 Monitoring	November 2016	December 2016		
Year 4 Monitoring	2017	December 2017		
Year 5 Monitoring	2018	December 2018		
Year 6 Monitoring	2019	December 2019		
Year 7 Monitoring	2020	December 2020		

<sup>1</sup>Seed and mulch is added as each section of construction is completed.

### Table 3. Project Contact Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Designer	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
Jeff Keaton, PE	312 West Millbrook Road, Suite 225
	Raleigh, NC 27609
	919.851.9986
Construction Contractor (East Side)	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc.
	126 Circle G Lane
	Willow Spring, NC 27592
Construction Contractor (West Side)	Fluvial Solutions
	P.O. Box 28749
	Raleigh, NC 27611
Planting Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
	P.O. Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
Seeding Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
	P.O. Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource, LLC
Nursery Stock Supplier	5
	Dykes and Son Nursery and NC Forest Service
Bare Roots	(Claridge Nursery)
Live Stakes	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
Monitoring Performers	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
Stream, Vegetation, and Wetland Monitoring, POC	Jason Lorch
	919.851.9986, ext. 107

### Table 4. Project Information and Attributes

#### Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No.95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

	Project In	formation								
	•		<u></u>							
Project Name		ack Mitigation	n Site							
County	Johnston Cou	nty								
Project Area (acres)	96.065 ac		00							
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35° 27'01.58"	N, 78° 23' 18.	.08" W							
Project V	Vatershed S	ummary In	formation							
Physiographic Province	Upper Coasta	l Plain								
River Basin	Neuse									
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03020201									
USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03020201140010									
DWR Sub-basin	03-04-02									
Project Drainage Area (acres)	831 ac									
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	<1%									
CGIA Land Use Classification	62% forest/w	etland, 34% fa	arm land, 4% (	developed						
Re	ach Summa									
		-			Do	vil's	Day	vil's		
Parameters	Southwest Branch	Middle Branch	Southeast Branch	North Branch	Racetra	ck Creek est)	-	ck Creek		
Length of reach (linear feet) - Post-Restoration	0	0	0	0	5,2	273	5,5	562		
Drainage area (acres)	20.6	10.8	69.9	49.9	49	3.5	83	1.4		
NCDWR stream identification score	34.5 - 37	30	29 - 30.75	32		38		7.5		
NCDWR Water Quality Classification	0 110 07	50		/NSW	-					
Morphological Desription (stream type)	Р	Р	P/I	Р		Р		P		
Evolutionary trend (Simon's Model) - Pre- Restoration					-		-			
Underlying mapped soils		andy loam, Bibl rg sandy loam,								
Drainage class										
Soil Hydric status										
Slope										
FEMA classification		-		lone						
Native vegetation community		Coast	al Plain botto	mland ripa	irian fore	est				
Percent composition exotic invasive vegetation -Post- Restoration				0%						
R	egulatory Co	onsideratio	ons							
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?		Supporti	ng Docu	me <u>ntati</u>	on			
Waters of the United States - Section 404	X	Х	USACE Natio					Water		
Waters of the United States - Section 401	X	X	Quality Cert					-		
Division of Land Quality (Dam Safety)	N/A	N/A	N/A							
			Devils Racet	-						
Endangered Species Act	Х	Х	determined endangered		' on Johr	iston Co	unty liste	ed		
Historic Preservation Act	v	v	No historic r		vere four	nd to be	impacte	d		
	Х	Х	(letter from	SHPO date	d 7/20/2	2011).				
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	N/A	N/A	N/A							
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	N/A	N/A	The project regulatory fl Devil's Race and flood fri FIRM panel	ooplaing; ł track Creek nge of the	nowever is locate	the dow ed withii	nstream n the floo	odwasy		
Essential Fisheries Habitat	N/A	N/A	Ν/Δ							
ESSENTIAL FISHERIES HAVILAL	N/A	N/A	N/A							

APPENDIX 2. Visual Assessment Data







500

0



Ń

(Key) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016 Johnston County, NC

Legend Cross-Section (XS) 🛧 Photo Point (PP) Groundwater Gage (GW) Condition-MY3 GW Criteria Met Criteria Not Met, but over 5% hydroperiod  $\oplus$ GW Criteria Not Met + Rain Gage (RG) + Soil Temperature Probe (STP) + Barotroll (BT) + Stream Restoration Stream Restoration - Partial Credit Stream Restoration - No Credit Stream Enhancement I Stream Enhancement II Conservation Easement Powerline Easement Wetland Restoration Wetland Restoration - Partial Credit Beaver Dam Vegetation Plot Condition-MY3 Critera Met

Vegetation Problem Areas-MY3







250 500 Feet

0

A M

Figure 3.1 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 1 of 2) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016 Johnston County, NC







250 500 Feet

Figure 3.2 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 2 of 2) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95021 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016 Johnston County, NC

### Table 5a. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Devil's Racetrack (West) (5,211 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	74	74			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	74	74			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	74	74			100%			
	4 Thelese Desition	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	74	74			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	74	74			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		•		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	6	6		•	100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	6	6			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	6	6			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	6	6			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	6	6			100%			

### Table 5b. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Devil's Racetrack (East) (5,547 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	85	85			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	85	85			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	85	85			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	85	85			100%			
	4. maiweg rosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	85	85			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		•		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	17	17			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	17	17			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	17	17			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	17	17			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ∼Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	17	17			100%			

### Table 5c. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Southeast Branch (2,891 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	121	121			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	120	120			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	120	120			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	120	120			100%			
	4. Thatweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	120	120			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		ł		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	67	67			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	67	67			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	67	67			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	67	67			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ∼Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	67	67			100%			

# Table 5d. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableDevil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Middle Branch (1,906 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	79	79			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	78	78			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	78	78			100%			
		Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	78	78			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	78	78			100%			
		•	:							
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	52	52			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	52	52			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	52	52			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	52	52			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	52	52			100%			

### Table 5e. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

-

### Southwest Branch (1,155 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	48	48			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	47	47			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	47	47			100%			
		Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	47	47			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	47	47			100%			
		·		:						
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
		1		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	28	28			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	28	28			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	28	28			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	28	28			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	28	28			100%			

### Table 5f. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### North Branch (2,418 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	35	35			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	34	34			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	34	34			100%			
	4 Theburg Desition	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	34	34			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	34	34			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1			Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	10	10			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	10	10			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	10	10			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	10	10			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ∼Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow	10	10			100%			

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment TableDevil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Planted Acreage	96				
Vegetation Category	Definitions		Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1	2	14.9	15.5%
Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.		0	0.0	0.0%
Total					15.5%
Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 Ac	0	0	0%
Cumulative Tota					15.5%

Easement Acreage	96				
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold (SF)	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000	0	0	0.0%
Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	0	0	0%

STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Devil's Racetrack West Monitoring Year 3



PHOTO POINT 2 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 2 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 3 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 3 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 5 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 5 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 6 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 6 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 8 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 8 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 11 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 11 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 14 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 14 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)








PHOTO POINT 20 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 20 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





R





STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Devil's Racetrack East Monitoring Year 3



PHOTO POINT 28 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 28 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 31 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 31 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 32 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 33 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 33 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 34 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 34 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 35 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 35 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 36 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 36 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 37 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 37 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 38 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 38 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 39 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 39 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 40 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 40 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)







PHOTO POINT 43 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 43 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)







PHOTO POINT 45 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 45 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 46 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 46 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)







PHOTO POINT 48 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 48 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 49 - looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 49 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 50 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 50 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 51 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 51 - looking downstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 52 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)



PHOTO POINT 52 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)





PHOTO POINT 54 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 54 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)



STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Southwest Branch Monitoring Year 3



PHOTO POINT 56 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 56 - looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 57 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 57 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 58 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 58 - looking downstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 59 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 59 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 60 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 60 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)



STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Middle Branch Monitoring Year 3



PHOTO POINT 62 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 62 - looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 65 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 65 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 68 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 68 - looking downstream (03/29/2016)







STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS Southeast Branch Monitoring Year 3



R







PHOTO POINT 77 – looking upstream (03/30/2016)

PHOTO POINT 77 – looking downstream (03/30/2016)









STREAM PHOTOGRAPHS North Branch Monitoring Year 3



PHOTO POINT 85 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 85 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)







PHOTO POINT 87 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 87 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 88 – looking upstream (03/29/2016)



PHOTO POINT 88 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 91 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 91 – looking downstream (03/29/2016)





PHOTO POINT 94 - looking upstream (03/29/2016)

PHOTO POINT 94 - looking downstream (03/29/2016)



VEGETATION PHOTOGRAPHS Devil's Racetrack Monitoring Year 3







VEG PLOT 5 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 6 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 7 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 8 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 9 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 10** (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 11 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 12 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 13 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 14 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 15 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 16** (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 17 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 18 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 19 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 20 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 21 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 22 (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 25 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 26 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 27 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 28 (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 29 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 30 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 31 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 32** (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 33 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 34** (06/22/2016)




VEG PLOT 35 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 36 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 37 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 38** (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 39 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 40** (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 45 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 46 (06/22/2016)





VEG PLOT 47 (06/22/2016)

VEG PLOT 48 (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 49 (06/22/2016)

**VEG PLOT 50** (06/22/2016)



VEG PLOT 51 (06/22/2016)



APPENDIX 3. Vegetation Plot Data

#### Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

	MY3 Success Criteria	
Plot	Met (Y/N)	Tract Mean
1	Y	
2	Y	
3	Y	
4	Y	
5	Y	
6	Y	
7	Y	
8	Y	
9	Y	
10	Y	
11	Y	
12	Y	
13	Y	
14	Y	
15	Y	
16	Y	
17	Y	
18	Y	
19	Y	
20	Y	
21	Y	
22	Y	
23	Y	
24	Y	
25	Y	
26	Y	100%
27	Y	
28	Y	
29	Y	
30	Y	
31	Y	
32	Y	
33	Y	
34	Y	
35	Y	
36	Y	
37	Y	
38	Y	
39	Y	
40	Y	
41	Y	
42	Y	
43	Y	
44	Y	
45	Y	
46	Y	
47	Y	
48	Y	
49	Y	
50	Y	
51	Y	

### Table 8. CVS Vegetation Table - Metadata

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Database name	Devils Racetrack MY3 cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.3.1.mdb
Database location	F:\Projects\005-02129 Devil's Racetrack\Monitoring\Monitoring Year 3\Vegetation Assessment
Computer name	JASON-PC
File size	55967744
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS	DOCUMENT
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	95021
project Name	Devils Racetrack Mitigation Site
Description	Stream and Wetland Mitigation
River Basin	Neuse
Sampled Plots	51

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data (	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	001	950	21-01-0	002	950	21-01-0	003	950	21-01-0	004	950	21-01-0	005
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub												5			
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	1	1	1	2	2	2
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			10			20						20			20
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree				1	1	1	1	1	1						
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1	4	4	4						
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3			
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	3	3	3							1	1	1	3	3	3
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree										1	1	1	1	1	1
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	3	3	3	4	4	4	1	1	1						
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
		Stem count	17	17	27	16	16	36	17	17	17	11	11	36	12	12	32
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count				7	7	8	7	7	7	6	6	8	5	5	6
		Stems per ACRE	688	688	1093	647.5	647.5	1457	688	688	688	445.2	445.2	1457	485.6	485.6	1295

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	0006	950	21-01-0	0007	950	21-01-0	800	950	21-01-0	009	950	21-01-0	010
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	4	1	1	1	3	3	3
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			12						5			5			
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree				6	6	6									
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree										1	1	1			
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	5	6	5	5	7
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	1						
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree							1	1	1						
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree							1	1	1	2	2	2			
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree			5									2			
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	5	5	5				4	4	4	5	5	5	3	3	3
		Stem count	15	15	32	14	14	14	16	16	21	16	16	24	12	12	14
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	5	5	7	4	4	4	7	7	8	6	6	8	4	4	4
		Stems per ACRE	607	607	1295	566.6	566.6	566.6	647.5	647.5	849.8	647.5	647.5	971.2	485.6	485.6	566.6

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	011	950	21-01-0	012	950	21-01-0	013	950	21-01-0	014	950	21-01-0	)015
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2						
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	5	5	5	4	4	4				2	2	2	1	1	1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree						30			15			15			5
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree							1	1	1				1	1	1
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree							1	1	1				2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree													1	1	1
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree				4	4	4	4	4	4						
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree												2			1
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	10	10	10	8	8	8
		Stem count	11	11	11	16	16	46	16	16	31	15	15	32	17	17	23
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)	-				0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count				5	5	6	6	6	7	3	3	5	6	6	8
		Stems per ACRE	445.2	445.2	445.2	647.5	647.5	1862	647.5	647.5	1255	607	607	1295	688	688	930.8

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	016	950	21-01-0	017	950	21-01-0	018	950	21-01-0	019	950	21-01-0	020
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub						1			2						20
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	6	6	6	2	2	2									
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2			
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree						10			5			5			
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	4	4	4				2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1							4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	1	6	6	6	1	1	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1				4	4	4				1	1	1
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree				1	1	1									
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree				10	10	10	5	5	5	4	4	4	7	7	7
		Stem count	16	16	16	16	16	27	13	13	20	14	14	19	15	15	35
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count				5	5	7	5	5	7	4	4	5	5	5	6
		Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	647.5	1093	526.1	526.1	809.4	566.6	566.6	768.9	607	607	1416

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data (	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	021	950	21-01-0	022	950	21-01-0	023	950	21-01-0	0024	950	21-01-0	025
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	3	3	3				2	2	2				2	2	2
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	5	5	5	3	3	3				6	6	6	3	3	3
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree															2
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree															
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree				1	1	1	7	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	4
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree										2	2	2			
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree				2	2	2									
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree				3	3	3	2	2	3	1	1	1			
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	5	5	5	7	7	7	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	6	6
		Stem count	13	13	13	16	16	16	14	14	15	17	17	17	15	15	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count				5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5
		Stems per ACRE	526.1	526.1	526.1	647.5	647.5	647.5	566.6	566.6	607	688	688	688	607	607	688

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	026	950	21-01-0	027	950	21-01-0	028	950	21-01-0	029	950	21-01-0	030
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	2	2	2				2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree															
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	1	1	1				2	2	2	2	2	2			
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	1	1	1			
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree				4	4	4				1	1	1	8	8	8
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree				1	1	1	6	6	6	1	1	1	4	4	4
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree									1						
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	3	3	3	9	9	9	1	1	1	6	6	6	3	3	3
		Stem count	11	11	11	16	16	16	15	15	16	12	12	12	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	6	6	6	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	445.2	445.2	445.2	647.5	647.5	647.5	607	607	647.5	485.6	485.6	485.6	688	688	688

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	031	950	21-01-0	032	950	21-01-0	033	950	21-01-0	0034	950	21-01-0	035
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree									1			2			
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub									4						
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub												4			
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4				3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree									1			2			
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	4	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	1	1	1	7	7	7
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	4			
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1				2	2	2						
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree			2												
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2	7	7	7	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
		Stem count	16	16	18	19	19	19	18	18	24	15	15	23	19	19	19
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count				5	5	5	7	7	10	6	6	9	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	728.4	768.9	768.9	768.9	728.4	728.4	971.2	607	607	930.8	768.9	768.9	768.9

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	036	950	21-01-0	037	950	21-01-0	038	950	21-01-0	039	950	21-01-0	040
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			2												
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2									
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	6	6	6	5	5	5
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1	1	1										1	1	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	6	6	6	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	4	4	4
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5
		Stem count	18	18	20	12	12	12	12	12	12	15	15	16	17	17	17
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	7	7	8	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6
		Stems per ACRE	728.4	728.4	809.4	485.6	485.6	485.6	485.6	485.6	485.6	607	607	647.5	688	688	688

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Cur	rent Plo	t Data	(MY3 2	016)					
			950	21-01-0	041	950	21-01-0	042	950	21-01-0	043	950	21-01-0	044	950	21-01-0	)045
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub															
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	2	2	2
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub															
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	2	2	2				4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1	1
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree															
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree															
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	1	1	1	6	6	6	2	2	2	8	8	8			
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1							3	3	3
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree				1	1	1				1	1	1			
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree															
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3				1	1	1	2	2	2
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree															
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	6	6	6	1	1	1	4	4	4				6	6	6
		Stem count	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	13	13	15	15	15	14	14	14
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)					0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
		Stems per ACRE	607	607	607	607	607	607	526.1	526.1	526.1	607	607	607	566.6	566.6	566.6

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

									(	Current	Plot D	ata (MY	3 2016	)						
			950	21-01-0	0046	950	21-01-0	0047	950	21-01-0	0048	950	21-01-0	049	950	21-01-0	050	950	21-01-0	051
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree																		1
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub																		
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub																	1	
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	4	4	4							5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	3
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub																		
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				4	4	4	6	6	6	5	5	5	3	3	3	2	2	2
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree																		
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree							2	2	2				3	3	3	2	2	2
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree																		
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree																		
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1							3	3	3	5	5	5
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree							4	4	4				1	1	1	1	1	1
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree												2			2			2
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree																		
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub																		
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	2	2	2							1	1	1						
		Stem count	13	13	13	10	10	10	14	14	14	13	13	15	17	17	19	17	17	19
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	7	7	8
		Stems per ACRE	526.1	526.1	526.1	404.7	404.7	404.7	566.6	566.6	566.6	526.1	526.1	607	688	688	768.9	688	688	768.9

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project Code 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

								Annual	Means					
			М	Y3 (201	.6)	М	Y2 (201	.5)	М	Y1 (201	.4)	М	YO (201	.4)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree			3			2						
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub			4									
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub			32									
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	102	102	102	104	104	104	106	106	106	106	106	106
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub						2						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	119	119	119	123	123	125	124	124	124	126	126	126
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			184			86						
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	13	13	13	14	14	14	25	25	25	20	20	20
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	54	54	54	59	59	59	64	64	64	60	60	60
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	10	10	10
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	123	123	126	128	128	128	124	124	124	124	124	124
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	60	60	60	77	77	77	91	91	91	108	108	108
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	12	12	12	12	12	12	14	14	14			
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	77	77	79	97	97	97	104	104	104	125	125	125
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree	1	1	7	1	1	3						
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree			13									
Salix sericea	silky willow	Shrub						3						
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	189	189	189	190	190	190	189	189	189	206	206	206
		Stem count	758	758	1005	813	813	910	850	850	850	885	885	885
		size (ares)		51			51			51			51	
		size (ACRES)		1.26			1.26			1.26			1.26	
		Species count	11	11	16	11	11	15	10	10	10	9	9	9
		Stems per ACRE	601.5	601.5	797.5	645.1	645.1	722.1	674.5	674.5	674.5	702.2	702.2	702.2

#### **Color Coding for Table**

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Volunteers

PnoLS: Number of Planted stems excluding live stakes

P-all: Number of planted stems including live stakes,

APPENDIX 4. Morphological Summary Data and Plots

#### Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### **Devils Racetrack- West**

		Pre-Restorat	ion Condition					Reference	Reach Data						De	esign			As-Built	/Baseline	
Parameter	Gage	Devil's Race	etrack - West	Scout	West 1	Scout	East 2	Scout	West 2	Johanr	na Creek	Jarman (	Oak	Devil's Race (Rea	track - West ch 1)		etrack - West ach 2)		etrack - West ach 1)		etrack - Wes ach 2)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
mension and Substrate - Shallow							-					-				_					
Bankfull Width (ft)		4.8	8.0	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6	g	9.7	9.3		9	.0	1	1.5	4.7	9.6		7.7
Floodprone Width (ft)		7.8	18.0	>	20	>	50	>	•50	>	-75	>150	ט	100	300	100	300	>2	200	>	·200
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.8	1.2	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0	C	).8	1.2		0	.6	(	D.8	0.4	0.9		0.5
Bankfull Max Depth	I	1.3	1.6	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3	1	l.1	2.3		0.9	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.4		0.7
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A	5.7	6.3	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	11.6	5	5	.8	9	9.5	2.1	8.5		4.0
Width/Depth Ratio		4.0	10.5	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.4		14.0	14.5	1	.4.0	10.6	14.8	1	14.5
Entrenchment Ratio		1.6	2.2	>	2.2	>	2.2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	11.1	33.3	8.7	26.1	>20.9	>42.5	>	26.1
Bank Height Ratio		1.9	4.5	1.1	1.3	1	.0	1.1	1.2	1	L.O	1.0		1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1	L.O		1.0
D50 (mm)		0.4	464						·									N	I/A	1	N/A
file																					
Shallow Length (ft)				-		-				-				-				3.7	86.8	7.4	54.
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)		-		0.026	0.047	N	/A	0.033	0.051	N	I/A	0.012	29	0.0036	0.0277	0.0023	0.0072	0.0013	0.0593	0.0008	0.01
Pool Length (ft)				-		-								-		-		5.5	63.1	18.7	72.9
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	1	2	0	.6	N	/A	1.7	1.9	1	L.5	3.1		0.9	2.1	1.1	2.5	1.1	2.9	1.4	1.9
Pool Spacing (ft)		-		27	67	Ν	/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	14	63	18	81	9	132	38	104
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )							-														
ttern						1		1				-						1			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		-		8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	12.0	72.0	15.0	92.0	13.0	53.0	16.0	73.
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-	-		3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	14.0	43.0	17.0	55.0	12.0	40.0	17.0	35.
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)		-		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.8	1.5	4.8	2.6	4.2	2.2	4.5
Meander Length (ft)	· ·			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		0.0	N/A		27	153	35	196	52	133	70	13
Meander Width Ratio	-			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	8.0	1.3	8.0	2.8	5.5	2.1	9.5
ostrate, Bed and Transport Parameters		L		210	210	110	010	2.0	110		2.12	210	2.0	110	010	10	0.0	210	515	2.12	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%								1		ſ								1			
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%						1		1										1			
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100		0 168/0 33/0 4	64/1.23/2.0/9.6	-														N	I/A	1	N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	N/A	0.18	0.23											-					I/A		N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	-	0.10	0.25																		.,
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																					
ditional Reach Parameters	I																				
Drainage Area (SM)	1	0	.77		06	0	67	0	.34	0	.90	1.27	,	0	60	0	0.70	0	.60		0.70
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)	-		1%												1%		:1%		1%		<1%
Rosgen Classification	-		ic5	E/			5		E5		J/C5	E6			C5		/C5		/C5		C
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	-	1.5	1.8	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.95			.7		1.2	1.2	4.8		3.3
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		9.2	1.8	-	.6		2.9 7.5		5.4	-	4.0	11.0			.7 ).0		.3.0		4.8		3.3 13.0
Q-NFF regression	-		10.6		.0	1			J. <del>~+</del>	1	4.0	11.0	,	10	5.0	1	.3.0	-	0.0		3.0
Ŧ	NI / A																				
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A																				
Q-Mannings	4																				
Valley Length (ft)	-					1															
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	4		976												245		966		239		962
Sinuosity	4		0		.1		.2		1.2		1.2	1.4		1.2	1.6	1.2	1.6		1.2		1.4
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>	1													-		· ·			0054		0015
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	1	0.0	041	0.0	260	0.0	170	0.0	0040	0.0	0022	0.004	10	0.0025	0.0087	0.0016	0.0022	0.0053	0.0054	0.0017	0.00

#### Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Devils Racetrack- East

		Pre-Restora	tion Condition					Reference	Reach Data							De	esign				As-Built	/Baseline		
Parameter	Gage	Devil's Rad	etrack - East	Scout	West 1	Scout Ea	ast 2	Scout	West 2	Johanna	a Creek	Jarman C	)ak	Devil's Race (Rea	etrack - East ch 1)		etrack - East ach 2)	Devil's Racetrack - (Reach 3)		acetrack - East Reach 1)		etrack - East ach 2)		cetrack - Eas each 3)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min Ma	ax Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
imension and Substrate - Shallow																								
Bankfull W	Vidth (ft)	8.1	10.4	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6	9.		9.3			3.0		8.0	8.0	12.2	13.7		3.2		
Floodprone W	/idth (ft)	14.2	18.6	>	20	>50		>	50	>7	<b>'</b> 5	>150		100	500	100	500	100 50	0	>300	>3	300		
Bankfull Mea	an Depth	1.0	1.8	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0	0.	8	1.2		1	.0		0.6		0.8	1.1	C	).7		
Bankfull Ma	ax Depth	2.1	2.8	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.	1	2.3		1.4	1.8	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.7		1.1		
Bankfull Cross Sectional A	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) N/A	14.2	19.1	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	11.6		12	2.8		4.8		10.3	13.9	5	5.7		
Width/Dep	oth Ratio	5.0	7.8	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.4		13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5		12.1	14.6		1.9		
Entrenchme	ent Ratio	1.6	1.8	>:	2.2	>2.2	2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	7.7	38.5	12.5	62.6		>21.9	>24.5	>3	86.5		
Bank Heigh	ht Ratio	2.6	4.3	1.1	1.3	1.0		1.1	1.2	1.	0	1.0		1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1			1.0	1	L.O		
DS	50 (mm)	0	179																	N/A	N	I/A		
Profile																								
Shallow Lei	0 ( )										-			-					13.0	80.1	20.8	42.4	11.3	25.9
Shallow Slop	pe (ft/ft)			0.026	0.047	N/A		0.033	0.051	N/	'A	0.0129	)	0.0007	0.0025	0.0377	0.0671		0.0004	0.0099	0.0192	0.0318	0.0072	0.0675
Pool Ler	ngth (ft) N/A			-							-			-					16.0	77.3	16.5	66.1	13.0	34.2
Pool Max De	epth (ft)			0	).6	N/A		1.7	1.9	1.	5	3.1		1.4	3.2	0.8	2.0	1.2	1.9	3.4	1.7	2.7	1.4	2.5
Pool Spa	acing (ft)			27	67	N/A		21	27	16	59	32	55	21	91	39	64		26	131	43	73	25	70
Pool Volu	ume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																							
attern																								
Channel Beltw	vidth (ft)			8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	17.0	65.0	10.0	40.0		15.0	55.0	21	41	12	32
Radius of Curva	ature (ft)			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	20.0	62.0	12.0	36.0		18.0	65.0	12	26	10	35
Rc:Bankfull Widt	th (ft/ft) N/A			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.8	1.5	4.5		1.5	4.7	1.5	3.2		
Meander Lei	ength (ft)			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	50	.0	N/A		39	221	64	136		62	203	101	140	52	112
Meander Wid	th Ratio			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	5.0	1.3	5.0		1.2	4.0	2.6	5.0		
ubstrate, Bed and Transport Paramet	ters																							
Ri%/Ru%/P%,																								
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/E	B%/Be%																							
d16/d35/d50/d84/d9	95/d100 N/A	-/-/0.179/0	0.642/1.0/9.6	-							-									N/A		I/A		N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competenc	cy) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	0	.01											-						N/A	N	I/A	1	N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at l	bankfull																							
Stream Power (Capacity	y) W/m²																							
Additional Reach Parameters		-		-				-				1		-		_		1						
Drainage Ar			30	0.	.06	0.67	1	0	.34	0.9	90	1.27		1.			30			1.14		.30		
Watershed Impervious Cover Estim			:1%											<1			:1%	<1%		<1%		1%		<1%
Rosgen Classi			ic5		C5b	E5			5	E5/		E6		E/			/C5	E/C5		С		С	L	
Bankfull Veloc		0.3	0.4	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.95		1			3.5		1.2	1.6		3.0		
Bankfull Discha	arge (cfs)		3.5	2	.6	17.5	5		5.4	14	.0	11.0		16	5.0	1	.7.0			16.0	1	7.0	L	
Q-NFF reg																								
Q-USGS extrap																								
	1annings																							
Valley Lei	• · ·			-							-			-										
Channel Thalweg Lei	• • •		844												340		313	385		4,833		10		372
S	Sinuosity		1.0	1	1	1.2		:	2	1.	2	1.4		1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2			1.1	1	1.1		1.1
Water Surface Slope														-							-			
Bankfull Slop	pe (ft/ft)	0.	0003	0.0	260	0.017	70	0.0	0040	0.00	022	0.0040	)	0.0004	0.0008	0.0224	0.0251		0.0007	0.0008	0.0153	0.0166	0.0219	0.023

#### Table 10c. Baseline Stream Data Summary

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Southeast Branch

Southeast Branch																									
	Pre	e-Restoratio	on Condition					Reference	Reach Data							D	esign					As-Built/	Baseline		
Parameter Gag	;e	Southeast	t Branch	Scout	West 1	Scout	East 2	Scout	West 2	Johann	na Creek	Jarma	an Oak	Southeas (Rea			ast Branch ach 2)	Southeas (Rea		Southeas (Rea	st Branch Ich 1)	Southeas (Rea		Southeas (Read	
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																		-							
Bankfull Width (ft)		2.7	5.7	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7		9.3	3	-		4.0	5.		3		3		5.	
Floodprone Width (ft)		8.6	11.4	>	20	>5	50		>50		75		150	25	35	50	70	100	300		30		50	>20	
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		).8		1.2	0			0.6	1.	-	0		0		0.	
Bankfull Max Depth		0.4	1.4	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		.1		2.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.2	0		0		0.	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) N/A	4	1.1	1.4	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8		1.6	=	.0		1.5	2.	-	0		1		2.	
Width/Depth Ratio		6.8	24.3	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7		7.4	9.0	10.0	10.0	12.0	11.0	12.0		L.4		.8	13	
Entrenchment Ratio		1.5	4.2		2.2		2.2		2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	8.3	11.7	12.5	17.5	18.5	55.6		9.9	>1		>37	
Bank Height Ratio		2.2	6.0	1.1	1.3	1.	.0	1.1	1.2	1	0	1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2	1			.0	1.	
D50 (mm)		0.40	09																	N	/A	N	/A	N/	/A
Profile										•		•		•						1					
Shallow Length (ft)														-						2.1	64.4	3.4	144.4	6.0	47.3
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)			-	0.026	0.047	N,	/A	0.033	0.051	N	I/A	0.0	)129	0.0162	0.0681	0.0144	0.0384	0.0035	0.0285	0.0010	0.0803	0.0021	0.0272	0.0005	0.0168
Pool Length (ft)	4													-						2.1	36.7	3.1	33.6	3.2	61.3
Pool Max Depth (ft)		0.4			0.6	N,		1.7	1.9		.5		8.1	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.2	0.5	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.1
Pool Spacing (ft)			-	27	67	N,	/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	24	20	32	9	38	4	76	8	90	14	52
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																									
Pattern	-			-														-							
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	4.0	9.0	5.0	12.0	7.0	43.0	5.3	11.2	6.8	14.3	12.7	32.8
Radius of Curvature (ft)	. —			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	5.0	14.0	6.0	18.0	8.0	26.0	5.0	23.5	10.0	25.6	10.4	29.5
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft) N/A	`			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.5	1.5	4.5	1.5	4.8	1.7	7.8	2.6	6.7	2.0	5.6
Meander Length (ft)				39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9		0.0		I/A	24	51	32	68	16	92	22	63	33	70	32	74
Meander Width Ratio Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters			-	1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	1.8	3.7	1.8	3.8	2.4	6.2
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																									
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																									
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	0.0	00/0 20/0 11	/0.94/1.6/9.6																			N	/^	N/	//
N/A	A 0.0	08/0.28/0.41,											1	-						N	/^	N		N/	
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup> Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull		0.5	,1	-				ł		+		+	1	-					-	IN,	/A	IN,	A	11/	<u>^</u>
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>								1		1		1		1											
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Drainage Area (SM)	1	0.1	9	0	.06	0.	67	0	).34	0	.90	1	.27	0	03		0.07	0.:	10	0.	03	0.	07	0.1	10
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)		<1%													1%		<1%	<1			1%		.%	<1	
Rosgen Classification		G/F		E/	C5b	E	5		E5	E5	/C5		E6					E/		E/		E/		E/C	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		2.2		1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9		.95	1			1.4	1.		1		1		1.	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		2.4		-	1.6		7.5		6.4	-	4.0		1.0	1			2.0	3		1		2		3.	
Q-NFF regression	-																					_			
Q-USGS extrapolation N/A	A		-																						
Q-Mannings			-																						
Valley Length (ft)	-		-							-		-		-					-						
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	-	2,97	76							-		-		1,5	559		716	61	.7	1,5	559	7	13	61	16
Sinuosity		1.0		1	1	1.	.2	:	1.2	1	.2	1	.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.6	1		1		1.	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>														-					-	0.0			174	0.00	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.02	230	0.0	260	0.0	170	0.0	0040	0.0	022	0.0	0040	0.0108	0.0227	0.0096	0.0128	0.0025	0.0089	0.0		0.0015	0.0119	0.0028	0.0030
		-						1																	

#### Table 10d. Baseline Stream Data Summary

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### Middle Branch

		Pre-Restorati	ion Condition					Reference	Reach Data						De	sign			As-Built	/Baseline	
Parameter	Gage	Middle	Branch	Scout	West 1	Scout	East 2	Scout	West 2	Johan	na Creek	Jarma	n Oak		e Branch ach 1)		e Branch ach 2)		e Branch ach 1)		le Branch each 2)
	-	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
mension and Substrate - Shallow																					
Bankfull Width (ft)		1.8	2.3	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7	9.	.3		3.0	4	4.0	2	2.2	[;	3.4
Floodprone Width (ft)		4.6	6.8	>	20	>	50	>	»50	:	>75	>1	50	40	60	100	300	>	•50	>	>200
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		0.8	1.	.2	0	).3	(	0.3	(	).3	1	0.3
Bankfull Max Depth		0.3	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1	2.	.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	(	).5	1	0.5
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A	0.4	0.5	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8	11	6	0	).9		1.5	(	).7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.1
Width/Depth Ratio		6.9	12.0	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.	.4	10.0	10.5	10.0	12.0	6	6.7	1	10.1
Entrenchment Ratio		2.0	3.8	>2	2.2	>	2.2	>	2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	33.3	100.0	22.2	66.7	>2	22.9	>'	>58.8
Bank Height Ratio		5.3	6.5	1.1	1.3	1	.0	1.1	1.2		1.0	1.	.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1	1.0		1.0
D50 (mm)		0.0	083															N	I/A	1	N/A
ofile																					
Shallow Length (ft)				-		-							-	-				2.5	46.6	7.9	16.1
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)		-		0.026	0.047	N	/A	0.033	0.051	1	N/A	0.03	129	0.0144	0.0489	0.0002	0.0074	0.0008	0.0492	0.0059	0.023
Pool Length (ft)	N/A			-		-								-				2.9	17.3	11.2	19.8
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A			0	.6	N	/A	1.7	1.9		1.5	3.	.1	0.4	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.6	0.9
Pool Spacing (ft)	1 -			27	67	N	/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	24	5	22	8	56	18	24
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )									•								•				
ittern						•								•							
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	4.0	9.0	6.0	36.0	4.1	9.4	6.7	20.9
Radius of Curvature (ft)				3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	5.0	14.0	7.0	22.0	7.0	23.9	9.2	23.5
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A			0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.7	4.5	1.5	4.8	3.2	10.9	2.7	6.9
Meander Length (ft)				39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	5	50.0	N/	/A	24	51	14	77	23	44	32	57
Meander Width Ratio				1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	2.2	4.3	2.0	6.1
bstrate, Bed and Transport Parameters	· · ·			•	•				•	•							•				
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%				1						ſ						1					
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																					
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100		-/-/0.083/0.	.498/0.9/9.6	-		-												N	I/A	1	N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	N/A	0.24	0.27											-				N	I/A	1	N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																					
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																					
dditional Reach Parameters																1					
Drainage Area (SM)		0.	02	0.	06	0	.67	0	.34	(	0.90	1.2	27	0	.01	0	.01	0	.01	C	0.01
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)		<1	1%	-		-								<	1%	<	:1%	<	1%	<	<1%
Rosgen Classification		G	65	E/0	C5b		5		E5	E	5/C5	E	6	N	I/A	E	/C5	E,	/C5	F	E/C5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.4	1.5	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.9	95	1	L.3	(	0.8	1	1.4		0.9
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		0.6	0.7	2	.6		7.5	(	5.4	1	14.0	11	1.0	1	L.O		1.0	1	1.0		1.0
Q-NFF regression																					
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A																				
Q-Mannings																					
Valley Length (ft)	-			-									-					g	85		
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		1,7	736	-		-							-	1.	060	4	136		058	-	432
Sinuosity		1		1	.1	1	2	:	1.2	1	1.2	1.	.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5	,	1.1		1.2
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>	-																		0145		.0064
				1		0.0		1		1		1		1		1	0.0077	0.0		0.0024	

#### Table 10e. Baseline Stream Data Summary

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### Southwest Branch

		Pre-Restorat	ion Condition	1				Reference	Reach Data						De	esign			As-Built/	Baseline	
Parameter	Gage	Southwe	st Branch	Scout V	Vest 1	Scout	East 2	Scout	: West 2	Johani	na Creek	Jarma	an Oak		est Branch es 1 - 3)		est Branch ach 4)		st Branch es 1 - 3)		est Branch ach 4)
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow			-			1			1			-		-				1			
Bankfull Width (ft)		2.8	3.4	2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6		9.7	9.			.0		3.3				2.4
Floodprone Width (ft)		4.9	6.2	>2			50		>50		•75		150	40	60	100	300				200
Bankfull Mean Depth		0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		).8	1.			0.3		0.3				0.3
Bankfull Max Depth		0.3	0.9	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3		1.1	2.		0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5				0.4
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A	0.8	0.9	1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8		1.6		0		1.0				0.6
Width/Depth Ratio		10.0	14.0	5.4	19.4	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1	19.7	7.		9.0	10.0	10.0	12.0			-	9.7
Entrenchment Ratio		1.5	1.9	>2			2.2		>2.2	8.0	9.6	16.1	26.9	13.3	20.0	30.3	90.9				2.3
Bank Height Ratio		10.0	10.7	1.1	1.3	1	0	1.1	1.2		1.0	1.	0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1				1.0
D50 (mm)		0.1	105															-		N	N/A
Profile						1		1		1		1						1			
Shallow Length (ft)																		3.8	51.6	8.3	44.1
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)				0.026	0.047		/A	0.033	0.051		I/A	0.0		0.0257	0.0648	0.0109	0.0308	0.0015	0.0339	0.0032	0.0228
Pool Length (ft)	N/A																	1.7	19.9	4.3	23.4
Pool Max Depth (ft)	,	-		0.	-		/A	1.7	1.9		1.5	3.		0.5	1.1	0.4	1.0	0.3	1.2	0.6	1.4
Pool Spacing (ft)		-		27	67	N	/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	24	5	23	8	53	12	51
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																					
Pattern												-					_				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		-		8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	4.0	9.0	4.0	26.0	3.9	10.2	5.2	18.9
Radius of Curvature (ft)		-		3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	5.0	14.0	5.0	16.0	10.0	19.0	7.4	20.3
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A	-		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.7	4.5	1.5	4.8	-		3.1	8.5
Meander Length (ft)		-		39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	5	0.0	N,	I/A	24	51	10	56	27	50	28	54
Meander Width Ratio		-		1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	3.0	1.3	8.0	-		2.2	7.9
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																					
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																					
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																					
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	N/A	-/0.065/0.105	/0.336/0.4/9.6		-	-													/A		N/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	19/5	0.37	0.42											-				N	/A	N	N/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																					
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																					
Additional Reach Parameters								_													
Drainage Area (SM)			.03	0.0	06	0	67	(	).34	0	.90	1.	.27		.02		0.02		02		0.02
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)			1%												1%		:1%		1%		:1%
Rosgen Classification		0	55	E/C			5		E5	E5	5/C5	E			A		/C5		/A		/C5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.8	1.9	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9	0.	.95	1	7	:	1.3	N	/A	2	2.5
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		1.6	1.7	2.	6	1	7.5		6.4	1	4.0	11	1.0	1	5		1.5	1	.5	1	1.5
Q-NFF regression																					
Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A	-																			
Q-Mannings		-																			
Valley Length (ft)		-			-	-							-	-							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		1,0	080		-	-								6	50	4	182	6	46	4	179
Sinuosity		1	0	1.	1	1	2		1.2	-	1.2	1.	4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.5	1	.0	1	1.3
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>		-			-	-								-				0.0	191	0.0	0090
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0	320	0.02	60	0.0	170	0.	0040	0.0	0022	0.0	040	0.0171	0.0216	0.0078	0.0096	0.0186	0.0191	0.0085	0.0088

### Table 10f. Baseline Stream Data Summary

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

North Branch

North Branch																
		Pre-Restoration Condition					Reference	Reach Data					Des	sign	As-Built,	/Baseline
Parameter	Gage	North Branch		West 1		East 2		West 2		a Creek	Jarma			Branch		Branch
		Min Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow					1				-		-	-	-			
Bankfull Width (ft)			2.6	6.3	4.7	6.1	5.6	7.6	9			.3	9		8.6	9.3
Floodprone Width (ft)				•20		50		50	>		>1		100	300		200
Bankfull Mean Depth			0.3	0.5	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0		.8		.2	0		0.7	0.7
Bankfull Max Depth			0.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.3	1		11	.3	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A		1.3	2.0	6.0	6.9	5.3	5.4	7.2	7.8 19.7		.4			5.7	6.5
Width/Depth Ratio			5.4	2.2	3.6	5.4	5.7	11.0	10.1 8.0	9.6		.4 26.9	14.0 10.9	14.5 32.6	13.1	13.2 >23.2
Entrenchment Ratio Bank Height Ratio			1.1	1.3		0	1.1	1.2		.0	16.1	.0	1.0	1.1	>21.6	.0
D50 (mm)			1.1	1.3	1	0	1.1	1.2	1	.0	1	.0	1.0	1.1		.0 /A
Profile																/A
Shallow Length (ft)					-		-		-		-				5.3	35.8
Shallow Length (it) Shallow Slope (ft/ft)			0.026	0.047		/A	0.033	0.051		/A	0.0		0.0010	0.0065	0.0013	0.0163
Pool Length (ft)				0.047				0.051					0.0010		8.5	80.8
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A			0.6		/A	1.7	1.9		.5		.1	0.9	2.1	1.0	3.8
Pool Spacing (ft)			27	67		/A	21	27	16	59	32	55	15	64	17	101
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )						,										
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)			8.7	14.3	7.2	16.2	9.1	9.8	14.0	20.0	21.0	36.0	12.0	74.0	16	72
Radius of Curvature (ft)			3.1	9.0	5.5	16.0	5.4	6.8	15.0	27.0	13.7	18.6	14.0	44.0	15	40
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A		0.6	1.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	4.8	1.7	4.3
Meander Length (ft)			39.8	84.8	36.5	63.2	32.5	36.9	50	0.0	N	/A	28	156	79	129
Meander Width Ratio			1.6	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.9	1.3	8.0	1.9	7.7
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	N/A				-		-		-		-					/A
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	11,77												-		N	/A
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																
Additional Reach Parameters		0.00				~~~		~ .			I	~~		10		10
Drainage Area (SM)		0.08		.06		67		.34		90	1.		0.			19
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)		<1%											<1			1% 25
Rosgen Classification		N/A		(C5b		5				/C5	E 0.	6	E/			
Bankfull Velocity (fps)			1.3	2.0	2.5	2.9 7.5	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.9 4.0		95 L.O	0		0.8	0.9
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				2.6	1.	7.5	e	0.4	14	+.0	11	1.0	5	.0	5	.0
Q-NFF regression Q-USGS extrapolation	N/A															
Q-USGS extrapolation Q-Mannings	IN/A															
Valley Length (ft)														-		
Valley Length (π) Channel Thalweg Length (ft)											-		2,4		,	410
Sinuosity				 1.1		2		2		.2		.4	1.2	1.6		31
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>												-	1.2			016
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)				0260		170		0040		022	0.0		0.0007	0.0020	0.0004	0.0020
Bankiun Slope (It/It)			0.0	200	0.0	1/0	0.0		0.0	022	0.0	0-10	0.0007	0.0020	0.0004	0.0020

# Table 11a. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Devil's Racetrack (West)

			Cross	Section	n 1 (Sha	allow)					Cro	ss Secti	ion 2 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	1 3 (Sha	illow)					Cro	ss Sect	ion 4 (P	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	135.4	135.4	135.4	135.4					135.1	135.1	135.1	135.1					131.0	131.0	131.0	131.0					130.6	130.6	130.6	130.6				1
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.6	7.6	7.7	7.6					10.7	10.1	10.2	9.8					9.5	10.0	10.0	10.0					11.1	11.4	11.4	11.4				i
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>200	>200	>200	>200					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8					0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8					0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7					1.0	0.8	0.9	0.8				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.4					1.7	1.9	2.0	1.9					1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4					1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.2	5.6	5.8	5.8					7.8	7.6	8.6	8.1					8.5	8.1	8.2	7.4					10.7	9.4	9.9	8.6				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.8	10.4	10.1	10.0					14.6	13.4	12.2	12.0					10.6	12.3	12.2	13.5					11.4	13.9	13.1	15.1				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>20.9	>26.2	>26.1	>26.3					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>21.1	>20.0	>20.1	>20.0					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				(
			Cro	ss Secti	ion 5 (P	Pool)					Cross	Section	n 6 (Sha	illow)					Cro	ss Secti	on 7 (P	ool)					Cross	Sectio	n 8 (Sha	allow)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation				125.3					124.7	124.7	124.7	124.7						120.8		120.8					119.9							
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.9	8.6	8.6	8.6					8.7	8.2	8.6	8.5					9.5	8.0	8.0	8.7					4.7	4.8	4.8	4.2				I
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>200	>200	>200	>200					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>200	>200	>200	>200				I
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7					0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6					0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8					0.4	0.7	1.2	0.8				I
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5					1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1					1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7					1.3	1.3	1.7	1.2				I
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	7.5	7.0	6.8	6.2					6.0	5.3	5.6	5.2					7.6	7.4	7.3	7.0					2.1	3.3	5.7	3.3				(
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.7	10.6	10.9	11.9					12.6	12.6	13.4	14.0					11.7	8.7	8.8	10.8					10.6	6.9	4.0	5.4				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>23.0	>24.4	>23.2	>23.5					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>42.5	>42.1	>41.9	>47.4				(
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				I
					n 9 (Sha								on 10 (F																			
Dimension and Substrate	Base				MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7				-	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7																
based on fixed bankfull elevation		116.4	-	116.4					-		116.1	116.1																				
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.5					6.8	5.9	5.9	6.2																				
Floodprone Width (ft)		>200	>200	>200					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A																				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6					0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7																				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.1					0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0																				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4.0	5.4	4.9	4.7					4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5																				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	10.4	11.4	12.1					10.6	7.5	7.6	8.5																				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>26.1	>26.7	>26.7	>26.7					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A																				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0																				

# Table 11b. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section) Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Devil's Racetrack (East)

			Cros	s Secti	on 11 (F	Pool)					Cross	Sectior	n 12 (Sh	allow)					Cros	s Sectio	on 13 (F	Pool)					Cross	Sectior	n 14 (Sh	allow)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	115.4		115.4	115.4					115.1	115.1	115.1	115.1					115.0	115.0	115.0	115.0					114.6	114.6	114.6	114.6				
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.0	15.1	15.1	15.1					12.2	12.5	12.3	12.2					19.8	20.5	20.8	21.1					12.7	11.8	12.4	12.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>300	>300	>300	>300					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>300	>300	>300	>300				1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1					0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7					1.5	1.2	1.3	1.1					1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.5					1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2					2.7	2.5	2.5	2.3					1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5				1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	18.8	16.5	17.3	16.1					10.3	8.9	9.3	8.0					30.2	24.6	26.2	23.2					13.3	10.4	10.9	10.5				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.0	13.8	13.1	14.2					14.6	17.6	16.1	18.6					13.0	17.1	16.6	19.2					12.1	13.4	14.0	14.1				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>24.5	>23.9	>24.5	>24.5					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>23.7	>25.4	>24.3	>24.6				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
			Cros	ss Secti	on 15 (F	Pool)					Cross	Sectior	n 16 (Sh	allow)					Cross	Section	17 (Sh	allow)					Cro	ss Secti	on 18 (F	Pool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	114.2	114.2	114.2	114.2					114.1	114.1	114.1	114.1					113.3	113.3	113.3	113.3					112.6	112.6	112.6	112.6				
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.6	12.4	12.4	12.4					13.4	12.6	12.7	12.4					13.7	12.5	12.7	12.7					15.5	15.3	15.3	15.3				1
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>300	>300	>300	>300					>300	>300	>300	>300					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.6	1.5	1.4	1.2				1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.8					1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7					1.7	1.7	1.7	2.1					2.8	2.7	2.6	2.1				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	17.3	14.5	14.3	13.5					13.2	12.0	12.3	11.5					13.9	12.5	12.7	13.2					25.0	22.4	21.0	18.8				1
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.0	10.6	10.7	11.4					13.6	13.2	13.0	13.4					13.4	12.5	12.6	12.2					9.5	10.5	11.2	12.4				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>22.3	>23.9	>23.6	>24.1					>21.9	>24.0	>23.6	>23.7					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				1
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
					n 19 (Sh								n 20 (Sh							s Sectio												
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2		MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7								
based on fixed bankfull elevation	112.7	112.7	112.7	112.7					109.0	109.0	109.0	109.0					108.1	108.1	108.1	108.1												
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.3	14.3	14.2	12.6					8.2	7.9	7.9	8.3					8.8	8.9	9.1	7.8												
Floodprone Width (ft)	>300	>300	>300	>300					>300	>300	>300	>300					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8					0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8					1.2	1.1	1.3	1.2												
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6					1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2					2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1					]							
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.5	11.2	11.9	9.9					5.7	5.9	6.1	6.3					10.8	9.7	11.5	9.4					]							
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.1	18.4	17.1	16.1					11.9	10.6	10.3	10.9					7.3	8.1	7.2	6.5					]							
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>22.6	>20.9	>21.1	>23.8					>36.5	>37.8	>37.8	>36.3					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					1							
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					]							

 Table 11c.
 Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)

 Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### Southeast Branch

			Cros	s Sectio	on 28 (P	ool)					Cross	Section	29 (Sh	allow)					Cros	s Sectio	on 30 (F	ool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	137.7	137.7	137.7	137.7					137.1	137.1	137.1	137.1					122.8	122.8	122.8	122.8				
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.2					3.0	2.9	2.6	2.8					3.8	4.1	3.5	3.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>30	>30	>30	>30					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5					0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3					0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.1					0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7					0.4	0.7	0.5	0.4				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.5					0.8	1.1	0.8	0.9					1.3	1.7	1.1	0.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	6.6	7.1	7.2					11.4	7.7	8.3	8.2					11.2	9.4	11.7	13.5				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>9.9	>10.4	>11.4	>10.9					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
			Cross	Section	1 31 (Sha	allow)					Cross	Section	32 (Sh	allow)					Cros	ss Sectio	on 33 (F	Pool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	122.7	122.7	122.7	122.7					116.5	116.5	116.5	116.5					116.4	116.4	116.4	116.4				
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.8	3.9	3.8	2.7					5.3	5.1	3.9	3.5					6.3	5.8	5.0	3.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60	>60	>60					>200	>200	>200	>200					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3					0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3					0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.6					0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5					0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1.3	2.0	1.3	0.9					2.1	1.8	1.2	1.0					2.4	1.7	1.8	1.1				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.8	7.8	11.2	8.3					13.8	14.6	13.0	12.5					16.8	19.7	13.7	11.6				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>15.8	>15.4	>15.8	>22.4					>37.5	>38.9	>51.3	>57.9					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				

 Table 11d.
 Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)

 Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

#### Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### Middle Branch

			Cross	Section	n 24 (Sha	allow)					Cros	s Sectio	on 25 (F	Pool)					Cros	ss Sectio	on 26 (P	Pool)		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	136.4	136.4	136.4	136.4					136.4	136.4	136.4	136.4					124.7	124.7	124.7	124.7				1
Bankfull Width (ft)	2.2	2.3	2.2	1.3					3.1	3.1	3.2	3.0					4.1	4.8	5.0	5.2				1
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	>50	>50	>50					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3					0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4					0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4					0.7	0.9	0.6	0.8					0.9	0.5	0.5	0.6				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.4					1.2	1.6	1.1	1.2					1.4	1.0	1.0	1.5				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.7	6.8	6.8	4.0					8.1	6.0	9.1	7.6					11.9	21.9	24.3	17.7				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>22.9	>21.5	>23.2	>38.4					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
			Cross	Section	າ 27 (Sha	allow)																		
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7																
have a first have been been a first state of the second state of t	124.0	124.0	124.0	124.0					1															

Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	124.6	124.6	124.6	124.6				
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.3				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.1	10.7	10.2	9.5				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>58.8	>62.5	>64.3	>57.5				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				

 Table 11e.
 Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)

 Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

### Southwest Branch

			Cros	ss Sectio	on 22 (P	Pool)		136.4         136.4         136.4         136.4           2.4         2.9         3.0         2.5           >200         >200         >200           0.3         0.3         0.3         0.3           0.4         0.4         0.5         0.4           0.6         0.8         0.9         0.7								
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	136.4	136.4	136.4	136.4					136.4	136.4	136.4	136.4				
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.5					2.4	2.9	3.0	2.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>200	>200	>200	>200				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3					0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7					0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.5					0.6	0.8	0.9	0.7				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.2	11.9	11.7	13.7					9.7	11.2	10.1	8.9				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>82.3	>68.6	>67.5	>79.4				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				

 Table 11f. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)

 Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

 Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

#### North Branch

		Cross Section 34 (Pool)									Cross	Section	1 35 (Sh	allow)			Cross Section 36 (Shallow)							
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
based on fixed bankfull elevation	118.6	118.6	118.6	118.6					118.73	118.7	118.7	118.7					116.8	116.8	116.8	116.8				1
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.8	10.0	10.2	9.7					8.6	9.2	9.2	9.2					9.3	9.0	9.0	9.0				1
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>200	>200	>200	>200					>200	>200	>200	>200				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7					0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6					0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8				í
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3					1.0	1.2	1.2	1.1					1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4				1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	7.5	7.2	7.5	6.7					5.7	6.0	6.4	5.4					6.5	7.0	6.9	6.9				1
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.8	14.0	13.9	14.0					13.1	14.1	13.2	15.6					13.2	11.5	11.7	11.8				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					>23.2	>21.7	>21.7	>21.7					>21.6	>22.2	>22.2	>22.2				1
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1
	Cross Section 37 (Pool)																							
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	1															
based on fixed bankfull elevation	116.5	116.5	116.5	116.5					1															
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.6	11.1	10.7	11.1																				

based on fixed bankfull elevation	116.5	116.5	116.5	116.5		
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.6	11.1	10.7	11.1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	9.2	9.2	9.2	8.9		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.3	13.4	12.5	13.8		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		

# Table 12a. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Devil's Racetrack (West)

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline	м	MY1		Y2	M	1Y3	N	1Y4	M	Y5	м	Y6	M	1Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.7	9.6	4.8	10.0	4.8	10.0	4.2	10.0								
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200								
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.2	0.6	0.8								
Bankfull Max Depth	0.7	1.4	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.4								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	2.1	8.5	3.3	8.1	4.9	8.2	3.3	7.4								
Width/Depth Ratio	10.6	14.8	6.9	12.6	4.0	13.4	4.7	14.0								
Entrenchment Ratio	>20.9	>42.5	>20	>42.1	>20.1	>41.9	>20.0	>47.4								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			0	%	0	%	C	)%								

# Table 12b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Devil's Racetrack (East)

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline	M	MY1		IY2	N	1Y3	N	1Y4	N	Y5	N	Y6	M	1Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.2	13.7	7.9	14.3	7.9	14.2	8.3	12.7								
Floodprone Width (ft)	>300	>300	>300	>300	>300	>300	>300	>300								
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.7	1.1	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.0								
Bankfull Max Depth	1.1	1.7	1.1	1.8	1.2	1.7	1.2	2.1								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	5.7	14.1	5.9	12.5	6.1	12.7	6.3	13.2								
Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	14.6	10.6	18.4	10.3	17.1	10.9	18.6								
Entrenchment Ratio	>21.9	>36.5	>20.9	>37.8	>21.1	>37.8	>23.7	>36.3								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			0	1%	0	1%	0	)%								

# Table 12c. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Southeast Branch

Parameter	As-Built	/Baseline	м	Y1	M	IY2	M	1Y3	N	1Y4	M	IY5	M	Y6	M	¥7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow		•				•		•								
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.0	5.3	2.9	5.1	2.6	3.9	2.7	3.5								
Floodprone Width (ft)	>30	>200	>30	>200	>30	>200	>30	>200								
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3								
Bankfull Max Depth	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.8	2.1	1.1	2.0	0.8	1.3	0.9	1.0								
Width/Depth Ratio	10.8	13.8	7.7	14.6	8.3	13.0	8.2	12.5								
Entrenchment Ratio	>9.9	>37.5	>10.4	>38.9	>11.4	>51.3	>10.9	>57.9								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			10	0%	C	1%	C	)%								

### Table 12d. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021)

Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Middle Branch

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline			N	MY2		MY3		IY4	M	Y5	M	IY6	M	147
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow																
Bankfull Width (ft)	2.2	3.4	2.3	3.2	2.2	3.1	1.3	3.5								
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	>200	>50	>200	>50	>200	>50	>200								
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4								
Bankfull Max Depth	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.7								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.7	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.3								
Width/Depth Ratio	6.7	10.1	6.8	10.7	6.8	10.2	4.0	9.5								
Entrenchment Ratio	>22.9	>58.8	>21.5	>62.5	>23.2	>64.3	>38.4	>57.5								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			C	)%	(	)%	(	)%								

# Table 12e. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

Southwest Branch

Parameter	As-Built/Baseline	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max	Min Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow								
Bankfull Width (ft)	2.4	2.9	3.0	2.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200				
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.7				
Width/Depth Ratio	9.7	11.2	10.1	8.9				
Entrenchment Ratio	>82.3	>68.6	>67.5	>79.4				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
D50 (mm)								
Profile								
Shallow Length (ft)								
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)								
Pool Length (ft)								
Pool Max Depth (ft)								
Pool Spacing (ft)								
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )								
Pattern								
Channel Beltwidth (ft)								
Radius of Curvature (ft)								
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)								
Meander Wave Length (ft)								
Meander Width Ratio								
Additional Reach Parameters								
Rosgen Classification								
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)								
Sinuosity (ft)								
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)								
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)								
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%								
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%								
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100								
% of Reach with Eroding Banks		0%	0%	0%				

# Table 12f. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

North Branch

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline	м	Y1	M	1Y2	N	1Y3	N	/IY4	м	Y5	M	Y6	M	¥7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Shallow		•		•		•				•						
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.6	9.3	9.0	9.2	9.0	9.2	9.0	9.2								
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200								
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.8								
Bankfull Max Depth	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	5.7	6.5	6.0	7.0	6.4	6.9	5.4	6.9								
Width/Depth Ratio	13.1	13.2	11.5	14.1	11.7	13.2	11.8	15.6								
Entrenchment Ratio	>21.6	>23.2	>21.7	>22.2	>21.7	>22.2	>21.7	>22.2								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
D50 (mm)																
Profile																
Shallow Length (ft)																
Shallow Slope (ft/ft)																
Pool Length (ft)																
Pool Max Depth (ft)																
Pool Spacing (ft)																
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																
Radius of Curvature (ft)																
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)																
Meander Wave Length (ft)																
Meander Width Ratio																
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification																
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																
Sinuosity (ft)																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100																
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			0	1%	C	)%	0	0%								







































































#### **Cross Section Plots**



APPENDIX 5. Hydrology Summary Data and Plots

Table 13. Verification of Bankfull Events Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

	Date of Data	Date of	
Reach	Collection	Occurrence	Method
	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	
Devil's Racetrach (West)	4/21/2016	4/13/2016	
	6/29/2016	4/23/2016	
	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	
Devil's Racetrach (East)	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	
	7/30/2015	6/3/2015	
	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	Crest Gage/
Southwest Branch	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	Pressure
Southwest Branch	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	Transducer
Middle Branch	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	
	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	
Southeast Branch	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	
Southeast Branch	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	
North Branch	3/30/2016	2/4/2016	
	11/21/2016	10/8/2016	

# Table 14. Wetland Gage Attainment Summary Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016

	Sumn			or Monitoring Year			
Gage				nsecutive Days Du			
	Year 1 (2014)* No/7.5 Days	Year 2 (2015) No/16 Days	Year 3 (2016) Yes/31 Days	Year 4 (2017)	Year 5 (2018)	Year 6 (2019)	Year 7 (2020)
1	(3.1%)	(6.0%)	(11.9%)				
2	No/14.5 Days	Yes/ 58 Days	No/21 Days				
-	(6.0%)	(22.3%)	(8.1%)				
3	No/2.5 Days (1.0%)	Yes/33 Days (12.8%)	No/9 Days (3.5%)				
4	No/13.5 Days	Yes/57 Days	Yes/25 Days				
4	(5.6%)	(21.9%)	(9.6%)				
5	No/12.5 Days	Yes/34 Days (13.0%)	No/18 Days (6.9%)				
	(5.2%) No/11.0 Days	Yes/53 Days	Yes/23 Days				
6	(4.6%)	(20.3%)	(8.8%)				
7	Yes/21.5 Days	Yes/66 Days	Yes/25 Days				
	(9.0%) No/5.0 Days	(25.6%) Yes/31 Days	(9.6%) No/8 Days				
8	(2.1%)	(12.0%)	(3.1%)				
9	Yes/ 22.0 Days	Yes/80 Days	Yes/ 39.0 Days				
3	(9.2%)	(31.0%)	(15.0%)				
10	No/ 1.5 Days (0.6%)	No/10 Days	No/ 3 Days				
	(0.6%) No/9.0 Days	(3.9%) Yes/65 Days	(1.2%) Yes/23 Days				
11	(3.8%)	(25.2%)	(8.8%)				
12	No/7.5 Days	Yes/31 Days	No/13 Days				
	(3.1%)	(12.0%)	(5.0%)				
13	No/8.0 Days (3.3%)	Yes/34 Days (13.0%)	No/11 Days (4.2%)				
14	No/ 8.5 Days	Yes/32 Days	No/12 Days				
14	(3.5%)	(12.4%)	(4.6%)				
15	No/12.5 Days	Yes/33 Days	No/14 Days				
	(5.2%) No/12.5 Days	(12.8%) Yes/33 Days	(5.4%) Yes/39 Days				
16	(5.2%)	(12.8%)	(15%)				
17	No/15.0 Days	Yes/34 Days	Yes/23 Days				
17	(6.3%)	(13.2%)	(8.8%)				
18	Yes/69.5 Days (29.0%)	Yes/66 Days (25.6%)	Yes/22 Days (8.5%)				
	Yes/31.5 Days	Yes/66 Days	Yes/26 Days				
19	(13.1%)	(25.6%)	(10.0%)				
20	No/19.5 Days	Yes/35 Days	No/12 Days				
	(8.1%) Yes/69.5 Days	(13.4%) Yes/79 Days	(4.6%) Yes/38 Days				
21	(29.0%)	(30.4%)	(14.6%)				
22	Yes/ 31.0 Days	Yes/66 Days	Yes/24 Days				
	(12.9%)	(25.6%)	(9.2%)				
23	No/8.0 Days (3.3%)	Yes/31 Days (11.8%)	No/6 Days (2.3%)				
	No/13.0 Days	Yes/33 Days	No/ 5 Days				
24	(5.4%)	(12.8%)	(1.9%)				
25	Yes/25.5 Days	Yes/66 Days	Yes/23 Days				
	(10.6%) Yes/39.0 Days	(25.6%) Yes/83 Days	(8.8%) Yes/25 Days				
26	(16.3%)	(32.2%)	(9.6%)				
27	Yes/29.5 Days	Yes/67 Days	Yes/31 Days				
=-	(12.3%)	(26.0%)	(11.9%)				
28	No/19.5 Days (8.1%)	Yes/81 Days (31.2%)	Yes/106 Days (40.8%)				
29	Yes/70.0 Days	Yes/81 Days	Yes/56 Days				
29	(29.2%)	(31.4%)	(21.5%)				
30	Yes/52.5 Days	Yes/83 Days	No/11 Days				
	(21.9%) No/9.0 Days	(32.0%) Yes/77 Days	(4.2%) Yes/40 Days				
31	(3.8%)	(29.7%)	(15.4%)				
32	No/ 7.0 Days	Yes/78 Days	No/11 Days				
	(2.9%) Yes/69.5 Days	(30.2%) Yes/84 Days	(4.2%) Yes/51 Days				
33	(29.0%)	(32.4%)	(19.6%)				
34	No/2.0 Days	No/16 Days	No/10 Days				
34	(0.8%)	(6.0%)	(3.8%)				
35	Added During MY2	Yes/33 Days (12.8%)	Yes/42 Days (16.2%)				
	Added During	(12.8%) Yes/34 Days	Yes/40 Days				
36	MY2	(13.0%)	(15.4%)				
37	Added During	Yes/33 Days	Yes/22 Days				
	MY2 Added During	(12.8%) Yes/33 Days	(8.5%) No/6 Days				
38	MY2	(12.8%)	(2.3%)				
* ND 00 N/57				onitorg year 1 Aft			

\* NRCS WETS data was used to determine the growing season for monitorg year 1. After discussions with the US Army Corps of Engineers, on-site soil temperature probe data is being used to determine the beginning of the growing season.












































































#### **Stream Flow Gage Plots**



#### **Stream Flow Gage Plots**



#### **Stream Flow Gage Plots**



# **Monthly Rainfall Data**

Devil's Racetrack Mitigation Site (DMS Project No. 95021) Monitoring Year 3 - 2016



<sup>1</sup> 2016 monthly rainfall collected from USDA weather station 317994 (Smithfield, NC).

<sup>2</sup> 30th and 70th percentile rainfall data collected from weather station NC1820, in Clayton, NC (USDA, 2002).

















