

**Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site
Monitoring Report – MY03
Mitchell County, NC
Basin 06010108
EEP Project ID # 92533
Contract # D06056-A**



KCI Associates of NC, Inc.
Landmark Center II, Suite 220
4601 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609



NCDENR-EEP
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

February 2013



**Landmark Center II, Suite 220
4601 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 783-9214
Fax: (919) 783-9266**

**Project Contact: Adam Spiller
Email: adam.spiller@kci.com
KCI Project No: 12065439**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1	Project Location	1
1.2	Project Goals and Objectives	1
1.3	Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach	1
2.0	PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS.....	7
2.1	Vegetation Assessment	7
2.2	Stream Assessment	8
2.2.1	Bankfull Events.....	8
2.2.2	Quantitative Measures Summary Tables	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Project Components	4
Table 2.	Project Activity and Reporting History	6
Table 3.	Project Contact Table.....	6
Table 4.	Project Background Table.....	7
Table 5.	Verification of Bankfull Events	8
Table 6.	Baseline Stream Summary	9
Table 7.	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	12

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Vicinity Map	3
Figure 2.	Site Map	5

APPENDIX A – VEGETATION DATA

A1.	Vegetation Data	16
A2.	Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos	19

APPENDIX B – GEOMORPHOLOGIC DATA

B1.	Stream Photos	24
B2.	Cross-Section Plots	33
B3.	Longitudinal Profile	43
B4.	Pebble Count Data	51

APPENDIX C – CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW

C1.	Current Conditions Plan View	61
-----	------------------------------------	----

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Dog Bite Site (DBS) is located in the Blue Ridge physiographic province in central Mitchell County, North Carolina. The project will provide mitigation for stream impacts within the 8-digit hydrologic cataloging unit 06010108 in the French Broad River Basin by restoring and enhancing 3,707 linear feet on the DBS, generating 3,335 stream mitigation units (SMU's.) The goals of the project include restoring the stream's riparian buffer and creating a stable stream system. In order to reach these goals, the project objectives included planting a functional Montane Alluvial Forest community along with Montane Oak-Hickory Forest to create an effective riparian buffer, removing livestock from the riparian areas with fencing, stopping bank erosion by developing the appropriate channel dimension, arresting bed elevation lowering, creating in-stream habitat by restoring a profile with defined pools and adding woody debris habitat structures, and removing a livestock waste pond previously adjacent to the stream. This report describes the results from the third year of monitoring that took place in 2012.

The project generally flows from east to west and has a contributing drainage area of approximately 0.54 square mile. The project is made up of the headwaters of White Oak Creek, including the main stem of White Oak Creek (WOC) and two tributaries (UT1 and UT2). The project watershed is rural and faces low development pressure from the surrounding area. The stream design and the restoration plan were completed in July 2008 and construction began in August 2009 and ended in September 2009.

The site was planted with bare root trees and shrubs and live stakes in December 2009. A total of 19 different species were planted at the site. Seven vegetation monitoring plots were established during the as-built survey. The riparian vegetation must meet a minimum survival success rate of 260 stems/acre after five years. The plots were monitored following the CVS-EEP monitoring protocol and the third-year monitoring counted an average of 410 planted stems/ acre. Isolated invasive species, most notably multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), were noted in the restored stream buffer and will be controlled over the course of the monitoring period. The third-year monitoring found the vegetation component of the project to be on track to meeting the success criterion.

The stream restoration included eight separate reaches, which have each been enhanced or restored based on a combination of Priority 2 and 3 approaches. Log cross vanes, log step pools, and log j-hooks were used to control grade and create feature diversity throughout the profile. The streams were restored to a B/C3, stream type. The third year of monitoring found the project streams to be functioning as designed.

During an August site visit, a photo depicting a wrack line was taken to document a bankfull event. See Section 2.2.1 Bankfull Events.

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Project Location

The Dog Bite Site is located at the end of Wilson Dairy Road in central Mitchell County, North Carolina (Figure 1). The project is centered at approximately 35.9956 degrees north and -82.1302 degrees west (WGS84). To reach the site from Raleigh, begin by proceeding west on I-40 for approximately 200 miles. Then take Exit 86 for NC-226 toward Shelby/Marion. Take a right onto NC-226, traveling north. Follow NC-226 through Marion and Spruce Pine. Just before reaching Bakersville, make a right onto White Oak Road. Follow White Oak Road for approximately 1.5 miles and then make a left onto Wilson Dairy Road. The road will dead end at the Wilson property and the site is on the left. Due to the close proximity of the landowner's residence to the property, the landowner has asked to be contacted before any site visits are made.

1.2 Project Goals and Objectives

Restoration Goals:

- Restore the stream's riparian buffer.
- Create a stable network of headwater streams.

Restoration Objectives:

- Plant a functional Montane Alluvial Forest community along with a Montane Oak-Hickory Forest to create an effective riparian buffer.
- Arrest bed elevation lowering and stream widening.
- Create in-stream habitat by restoring a profile with defined pools and adding woody debris habitat structures.
- Stop bank erosion by developing the appropriate channel dimension and by stabilizing with vegetation.
- Remove the livestock waste pond adjacent to the stream.
- Exclude livestock from the riparian areas with fencing.

1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach

The project streams had become degraded primarily through poor grazing management, vegetation removal, and channelization. Historically, the site was cleared and converted into pasture except for isolated, narrow strips of riparian vegetation along the streams. White Oak Creek (WOC) was also channelized to go around two ponds. Prior to restoration, many of the project streams were experiencing severe bank erosion and bed degradation. Restoration and enhancement of 3,707 linear feet of channel was accomplished utilizing a combination of Priority 2 and 3 approaches (Table 1). WOC-1 (Station 10+00 to 12+54) was enhanced by grading back the existing eroding banks, narrowing over-widened portions of the channel, building a bankfull bench, and developing distinct riffles and pools with step pool structures. Many of the existing trees on the left bank of this reach were left intact. The restoration of WOC-2 (Station 12+70 to 19+50) established stable riffle and pool features with in-stream structures and created a new stable planform, moving the stream away from the constructed pond berm. WOC-3 (Station 19+50 to 22+69) was enhanced by grading back the existing eroding banks, narrowing over-widened portions of the channel, building a bankfull bench, and developing distinct riffle and pools with step pool structures. Many of the existing trees in the middle portion of this reach were left intact. The restoration of WOC-4 (Station 22+85 to 36+35) established stable riffle and pool features with in-stream structures and created a new stable planform. This reach was also moved away from a constructed pond berm (a dairy holding pond closed as a part of this project in May 2009) on the left bank of the top portion of this reach. The reach receives drainage from barns

that support a small number of livestock. A water detention structure was built to receive this drainage and hold it before it flows into WOC. WOC-5 (Station 36+35 to 40+82) is the last reach of WOC and was enhanced by grading back the existing eroding banks, narrowing over-widened portions of the channel, building a bankfull bench, and developing distinct riffles and pools with step pool and log vane structures. Throughout most of this reach, one of the two stream banks was left intact where there were mature trees.

The two tributaries to WOC were also restored or enhanced. UT1 is divided into two reaches. Reach UT1-1 (Station 50+00 to 50+97) was enhanced by grading back the existing eroding banks, building a bankfull bench, and developing distinct riffles and pools with a step pool for grade control. Mature trees surround this reach until the beginning of UT1-2 (Station 50+97 to 54+45). The restoration of UT1-2 returned the stream to its natural valley position and established stable riffle and pool features with in-stream structures and created a new stable planform. The last project reach is the second tributary, UT2 (Station 60+00 to 62+45), an intermittent stream that had been historically straightened. This reach was restored by developing stable riffle and pool features with step pool structures and creating a new stable planform.




Figure 1. Vicinity Map

- ★ Project Site Location
- Major Roads
- Other Roads
- ~ Major Rivers
- Cities and Towns



1:126,720
1 inch = 2 miles




Table 1. Project Components Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site									
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet	Restoration Level	Approach	Restored / Enhanced Footage	Stationing	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Units	BMP Elements	Comment
WOC-1	254	EI	-	253	10+00 - 12+53	1.5 : 1	169	-	Regraded eroding banks and created bankfull benches, created distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream grade control and habitat structures.
WOC-2	633	R	P2/3	663	12+70 - 19+50	1 : 1	663	-	Adjusted planform, created stable cross-section with bankfull bench and a profile with distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream structures. A 15' easement exception in the middle of the reach has been excluded from the project length.
WOC-3	349	EI	-	317	19+51 - 22+68	1.5 : 1	211	-	Regraded eroding banks and created bankfull benches, created distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream grade control and habitat structures.
WOC-4	1,374	R	P2/3	1,332	22+85 - 36+34	1 : 1	1,332	Water Quality Detention Structure	Adjusted planform, created stable cross-section with bankfull bench and a profile with distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream structures. A 15' easement exception in the middle of the reach has been excluded from the project length.
WOC-5	458	EI	-	447	36+35 - 40+82	1.5 : 1	298	-	Regraded eroding banks and created bankfull benches, created distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream grade control and habitat structures.
T1-1	95	EI	-	96	50+00 - 50+96	1.5 : 1	64	-	Regraded eroding banks and created bankfull benches, created distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream grade control and habitat structures.
T1-2	336	R	P2/3	331	50+97 - 54+45	1 : 1	331	-	Adjusted planform, created stable cross-section with bankfull bench and a profile with distinct riffles and pools, and installed in-stream structures. A 15' easement exception in the middle of the reach has been excluded from the project length.
T2	219	R	P2/3	245	60+00 - 62+45	1 : 1	245	-	Adjusted planform, created stable cross-section with bankfull bench and a profile with distinct riffles and pools and installed in-stream structures
Totals	3,718			3,684			3,313		Note: The discrepancy between the existing and project footage is due to a highly detailed existing conditions survey of an unstable thalweg.

EI = Enhancement I P2/3 = Combination of Priority 2 and 3

R = Restoration

Note: 15'-wide easement exceptions on WOC-2, WOC-4, and T2 have been excluded from the restored/enhanced footage and mitigation unit calculations.




Figure 2. Site Map

-  Project Easement Boundary
-  Enhancement I Reach
-  Restoration Reach
-  Other Streams

Source: NC Statewide Orthoimagery, 2010.



1:3,600
1 inch = 300 feet



Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	2007/2008	Jul 08
Final Design	-	Feb 09
Construction	-	Sep 09
Planting	-	Dec 09
As-Built / Baseline Monitoring (Year 0)	Oct 09 / Mar 10	Apr 10
First Year Monitoring	Oct 10	Dec 10
Second Year Monitoring	Oct 11	Dec 11
Third Year Monitoring	Aug-Sept 12	Dec 12

Table 3. Project Contact Table Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site	
Design Firm	KCI Associates of NC, PA Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller Phone: (919) 783-9214 Fax: (919) 783-9266
Construction Contractors	Land Mechanics, Inc. 126 Circle G Lane Willow Springs, NC 27592 Contact: Mr. Lloyd Glover Phone: (919) 639-6132 Fax: (919) 639-7079
Planting Contractor	Bruton Nurseries & Landscapes 150 Black Creek Rd. Fremont, NC 27830 Contact: Charles Bruton Phone: (919) 242-6555
Monitoring Performers	
MY-00 - MY-05	KCI Associates of NC, PA Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller Phone: (919) 278-2514 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project Background Table	
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site	
Project County	Mitchell County
Physiographic Region	Mountains
Ecoregion	Southern Crystalline Ridges and Mountains
Project River Basin	French Broad
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	06010108040010 (WOC) 03040101090010 (UT Fisher River - reference)
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	04-03-06 (WOC) 03-07-02 (UT Fisher River - reference)
Drainage Area	0.54 sq. mi.
Stream Order	First Order
Watershed Type (Rural, Urban, Developing, etc.)	Rural
Watershed LULC Distribution	Urban <1% Ag-Row Crop 2% Ag-Livestock 17% Forested 80% Water/Wetlands <1%
Watershed impervious cover (%)	<1%
Rosgen Classification of As-built (Stream)	C3b (WOC, T1, T2)
NCDWQ Classification for Project	Class C (WOC)
Within EEP Watershed Plan?	No
Any portion of the project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A
Total project acreage of easement	7.0 Acres
Total planted acreage	5.8 Acres
WRC Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Cold, Trout Waters
Species of concern, endangered etc.	None
Pre-construction Beaver activity?	No
Dominant Soil Types	Banadana, Dellwood-Reddies, and Thunder-Saunook
% of Project Easement Fenced	100%

2.0 PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

The survivability of the original planted vegetation has been variable across the site. Overall the site is well vegetated, with some areas of low planted stem density. These areas received supplemental planting in early 2011.

Some scattered populations of invasive species have been identified in the floodplain and surrounding areas. Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*) is the most prominent of these. In addition to the multiflora rose, invasive management will also focus on the non-native white poplar (*Populus alba*) and burdock (*Articum minus*), which have been found growing in the easement. Management of these invasive species will continue over the course of the monitoring period.

The seven monitored vegetation plots were monitored using the Level 2 CVS-EEP vegetation monitoring protocol, which revealed an average planted stem density of 410 stems/acre. There are two monitoring plots (Plots 4 and 6) that have a calculated planted stem density less than 260 stems/acre. These parts of the site may again receive supplemental planting during the dormant season. Any additional supplemental planting will be reported in next year's monitoring report. Given the mature trees that still exist on the site, there is a high potential for desirable volunteers to become established across the site. Like natural vegetative communities, some areas will have slightly higher densities than others, but the data from the vegetation monitoring plots reveal that the site has an adequate average stem density. The vegetation assessment found the site to be on track to meeting the vegetative success criteria. The vegetative monitoring results are displayed in Appendix A.

2.2 Stream Assessment

During the 2012 growing season, the project streams have been functioning as designed. Since construction there have been some subtle changes to the profile, with some pools filling in with small gravels and sand and bed lowering at one riffle. These types of adjustment are not problematic and are typical of stream restoration projects immediately following construction.

The stream assessment found the stream to be stable overall, with the structures performing well and as designed.

Additional stream assessment data can be found in Appendix B and the Current Condition Plan View in Appendix C.

2.2.1 Bankfull Events

Table 5. Verification of Bankfull Events		
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site		
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method
None in 2010 or 2011		
August 9, 2012		See photo below



2.2.2 Quantitative Measures Summary Tables

Table 6a. WOC-2 Baseline Stream Summary																		
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																		
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design		As-built					
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n		
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.0	6.9	7.3	8.3	3	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	8.6		6.8	7.1	7.4	2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	9	10	10	11	3	13	17		20	2	19		21	24	26	2		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.9	3	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.7		0.7	0.7	0.7	2		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	1.2	1.3	1.4	3	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	0.9		1.0	1.1	1.2	2		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.6	5.4	5.0	6.7	3	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	6.3		4.8	5.2	5.5	2		
Width/Depth Ratio	5.4	9.1	8.0	13.8	3	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	12.3		9.6	9.8	10.0	2		
Entrenchment Ratio	1.2	1.5	1.3	2.1	3	1.3	1.8		2.3	2	2.2		2.8	3.3	3.8	2		
Bank Height Ratio	1.6	2.1	2.0	2.6	3			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2		
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		21						45			80	140	80		140			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8			15		13			42		15	30	15	25	30	11		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1			3		1.3			4.4		1.7	3.5	2.1	3.5	4.2			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	32			45		93			136		80	140	80	125	140	7		
Meander Width Ratio	2.5			4.2		4.5			5.0		9.3	16.3	11.3		19.7			
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)													19	37	58	13		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0301			0.0898		0.013			0.028		0.043	0.074	0.041	0.063	0.098	13		
Pool Length (ft)						3			25		5	8	5	11	20	12		
Pool Spacing (ft)						30			59		25	78	33	53	77	12		
Substrate and Transport Parameters																		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	4% / 26% / 56% / 13% / 1% / 0%					0% / 15% / 78% / 7% / 0% / 0%					0% / 3% / 46% / 50% / 1% / 0%							
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.6 / 6.2 / 12 / 60 / 150					2.0 / 4.2 / 6.9 / 30 / 70					32 / 44 / 65 / 130 / 170							
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Channel length (ft)	633					297					639		663					
Drainage Area (SM)	0.36					0.38					0.36		0.36					
Rosgen Classification	E/B4a					B4c					B4a		C3b					
Sinuosity	1.00					1.20					1.00		1.00					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0617					0.0130					0.0593		0.0631					

Table 6b. WOC-4 Baseline Stream Summary
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design		As-built			
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.2	10.0	10.2	10.6	4	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	9.8		8.6	8.9	9.1	3
Floodprone Width (ft)	12	16	15	21	4	13	17		20	1	20		26	27	28	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.9	4	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.8		0.7	0.8	0.9	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.3	4	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	1.0		1.2	1.3	1.3	3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.4	6.9	6.7	7.9	4	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	7.7		6.2	7.3	8.1	3
Width/Depth Ratio	10.7	14.8	15.7	17.2	4	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	12.5		9.7	11.0	13.4	3
Entrenchment Ratio	1.1	1.6	1.6	2.0	4	1.3	1.8		2.3	1	2.0		2.8	3.0	3.3	3
Bank Height Ratio	1.8	2.8	2.8	3.7	4			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	3
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	31			80				45			15	40	15		40	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	14			52		13			42		20	40	20	29	40	20
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.3			5.7		1.3			4.4		2.0	4.1	2.2	3.3	4.5	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	81			244		93			136		95	160	94	128	153	18
Meander Width Ratio	2.9			8.7		4.5			5.0		1.5	4.1	1.7		4.5	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													18	44	89	22
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.041			0.077		0.013			0.028		0.032	0.064	0.027	0.047	0.098	22
Pool Length (ft)	7			14		3			25		5	16	5	9	30	23
Pool Spacing (ft)		231				30			59		30	83	33	61	100	23
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	14% / 11% / 39% / 29% / 7% / 0%					0% / 15% / 78% / 7% / 0% / 0%							0% / 1% / 21% / 76% / 2% / 0%			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.10 / 5.2 / 11 / 120 / 360					2.0 / 4.2 / 6.9 / 30 / 70							55 / 77 / 94 / 150 / 210			
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	1,374					297					1,325		1,332			
Drainage Area (SM)	0.50					0.38					0.50		0.50			
Rosgen Classification	G/F4b					B4c					B4a		C3b			
Sinuosity	1.10					1.20					1.10		1.10			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0399					0.0130					0.0405		0.0404			

Table 6c. T1-2 Baseline Stream Summary																
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition*					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design		As-built			
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.5				1	9.0	9.5		10.0	2	6.6		5.5			1
Floodprone Width (ft)	38				1	13	17		20	1	14		21			1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3				1	1.1	1.2		1.2	2	0.5		0.5			1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8				1	1.3	1.4		1.5	2	0.6		0.7			1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.5				1	10.4	10.6		10.7	2	3.2		3.0			1
Width/Depth Ratio	58.5				1	8.0	9.0		10.0	2	13.6		10.1			1
Entrenchment Ratio	1.9				1	1.3	1.8		2.3	1	2.1		3.8			1
Bank Height Ratio	1.0				1			1.0		2	1.0		1.0			1
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)								45			15	30	15		30	
Radius of Curvature (ft)						13			42		10	25	10	18	25	8
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)						1.3			4.4		1.5	3.8	1.8	3.3	4.5	
Meander Wavelength (ft)						93			136		70	105	70	83	105	8
Meander Width Ratio						4.5			5.0		2.3	4.5	2.7		5.5	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													18	26	32	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)						0.013			0.028		0.050	0.058	0.051	0.062	0.075	7
Pool Length (ft)						3			25		5	17	2	9	13	7
Pool Spacing (ft)						30			59		35	45	28	40	45	7
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	71% / 29% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					0% / 15% / 78% / 7% / 0% / 0%							3% / 3% / 27% / 61% / 7% / 0%			
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	0.06 / 0.06 / 0.06 / 0.09 / 0.11					2.0 / 4.2 / 6.9 / 30 / 70							26 / 68 / 90 / 170 / 240			
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	336					297					336		331			
Drainage Area (SM)	0.08					0.38					0.08		0.08			
Rosgen Classification	B5a					B4c					B4a		C3b			
Sinuosity	1.00					1.20					1.10		1.10			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0601					0.0130					0.0590		0.0613			

* T1-2 was historically filled and only a shallow swale with no discernible bed features or pattern present during the existing conditions survey.

Table 7a. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary																		
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																		
Parameter	Cross-Section 1 Riffle						Cross-Section 2 Pool						Cross-Section 3 Riffle					
Reach	WOC-2						WOC-2						WOC-2					
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.8	6.4	7.3	7.5			9.1	9.6	10.1	11.3			7.4	7.4	7.9	8.3		
Floodprone Width (ft)	26	29	30	32			-	-	-	-			21	22	21	26		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.8	7.1	7.7	6.9			12.7	11.9	12.0	9.0			5.5	5.4	5.2	6.6		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	1.1	1.1	0.9			1.4	1.2	1.2	0.8			0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.0	1.6	1.7	1.8			2.3	2.0	1.9	1.3			1.2	1.2	1.2	1.7		
Width/Depth Ratio	9.6	5.8	6.9	8.2			-	-	-	-			10.0	10.1	12.0	10.4		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.8	4.5	4.1	4.3			-	-	-	-			2.8	3.0	2.7	2.9		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	51	44	18	32			9.6	2.7	22	66			65	15	60	26		
d84 (mm)	100	87	60	64			47	50	41	120			130	120	130	86		

Table 7b. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary continued																		
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																		
Parameter	Cross-Section 4 Riffle						Cross-Section 5 Pool						Cross-Section 6 Riffle					
Reach	WOC-4						WOC-4						WOC-4					
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.1	10.7	10.6	11.6			11.6	12.3	12.7	12.9			8.6	8.5	9.2	8.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	26	27	26	26			-	-	-	-			28	29	30	26		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.2	7.2	6.0	5.6			16.9	16.7	15.6	17.5			7.6	7.7	7.9	7.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5			1.5	1.4	1.2	1.4			0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0			2.6	2.6	2.4	2.7			1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	13.4	15.9	18.7	24			-	-	-	-			9.7	9.4	10.7	11.3		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.2			-	-	-	-			3.3	3.4	3.3	2.9		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	94	82	38	85			0.062	0.062	0.062	0.220			100	90	71	83		
d84 (mm)	150	160	110	140			0.11	0.15	0.17	23.00			150	130	120	150		

Table 7c. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary continued																		
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																		
Parameter	Cross-Section 7 Riffle						Cross-Section 8 Riffle						Cross-Section 9 Pool					
	Reach	WOC-4						T1-2						T1-2				
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.0	8.5	8.2	8.0			5.5	5.8	6.7	9.4			6.9	7.1	7.4	8.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	26	26	25	25			21	27	21	25			-	-	-	-		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	8.1	7.0	6.1	7.7			3.0	3.3	2.9	3.1			6.8	6.2	4.5	4.8		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.0			0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3			1.0	0.9	0.6	0.5		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.4			0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8			1.3	1.6	1.1	1.0		
Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	10.3	11.0	8.3			10.1	10.2	15.5	28.5			-	-	-	-		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.1			3.8	4.6	3.1	2.9			-	-	-	-		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			-	-	-	-		
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	90	68	98	52			90	97	74	68			0.062	0.062	0.062	0.062		
d84 (mm)	130	120	170	110			170	150	240	150			0.10	0.062	0.062	0.062		

Table 7d. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary continued																
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site																
Reach WOC-2																
Parameter	MY - 01 (2010)			MY - 02 (2011)			MY - 03 (2012)			MY - 04 (2013)			MY - 05 (2014)			
	Profile	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max	Min	Avg.	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	21	42	80	13	32	59	7	25	54							
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0353	0.0579	0.0984	0.0261	0.0672	0.1076	0.0156	0.0582	0.0974							
Pool Length (ft)	2	7	13	2	6	9	4	8	12							
Pool Spacing (ft)	31	57	122	32	70	159	6	54	132							
Additional Reach Parameters																
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0560			0.0533			0.0543									
Rosgen Classification	C3			C3			C3									

Table 7e. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary continued															
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site															
Reach WOC-4															
Parameter	MY - 01 (2010)			MY - 02 (2011)			MY - 03 (2012)			MY - 04 (2013)			MY - 05 (2014)		
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max												
Riffle Length (ft)	10	45	102	6	31	72	4	28	90						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0090	0.0480	0.0902	0.0372	0.0590	0.1091	0.0117	0.0420	0.0912						
Pool Length (ft)	2	8	20	1	7	19	3	8	17						
Pool Spacing (ft)	6	54	100	7	52	145	6	60	142						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0407			0.0403			0.0406								
Rosgen Classification	C3			C3			C3								

* Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Table 7f. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary continued															
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site															
Reach T1-2															
Parameter	MY - 01 (2010)			MY - 02 (2011)			MY - 03 (2012)			MY - 04 (2013)			MY - 05 (2014)		
Profile	Min	Avg.	Max												
Riffle Length (ft)	15	27	31	8	22	28	6	18	30						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0461	0.0599	0.0744	0.0271	0.0597	0.0962	0.0582	0.0767	0.1199						
Pool Length (ft)	3	9	14	4	10	24	3	6	10						
Pool Spacing (ft)	26	39	44	24	39	51	38	41	45						
Additional Reach Parameters															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0578			0.0571			0.0550								
Rosgen Classification	C3			C3			C3								

* Pattern measurements will only be taken after MY-00 if it is visually apparent that the pattern has changed.

Appendix A

Vegetation Data

Appendix A1: Vegetation Data

Table A1. Vegetation Metadata Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site							
Report Prepared By	April Helms						
Date Prepared	12/14/2012 7:38						
Database Name	KCI-2012-D.mdb						
Database Location	M:\2006\12065439 - Dog Bite\Veg_Database						
PROJECT SUMMARY-----							
Project Code	Project Name	Description	Length (ft)	Stream-to-Edge Width (ft)	Area (sq m)	Required Plots (calculated)	Sampled Plots
Dog Bite	Dog Bite	This is a Full-Delivery Stream Restoration in Mitchell County, North Carolina	3,707	35	24,116	7	7

Table A1b. Vegetation History (stems/acre) Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site										
Plot Number	MY-00	MY-01	MY-02		MY-03		MY-04		MY-05	
			planted	total	planted	total	planted	total	planted	total
1	809	647	567	647	526	728				
2	688	647	850	850	850	890				
3	647	567	567	567	567	1,416				
4	567	242	202	202	162	202				
5	607	324	445	445	445	445				
6	728	202	40	40	40	40				
7	567	283	283	324	283	607				
Buffer Average			422	439	410	619				

Table A2. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species

Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Dog Bite-A-0001			Dog Bite-A-0002			Dog Bite-A-0003			Dog Bite-A-0004			Dog Bite-A-0005			Dog Bite-A-0006			Dog Bite-A-0007		
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
<i>Aesculus flava</i>	yellow buckeye	Tree																				7	
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	hazel alder	Shrub				2	2	2															
<i>Amelanchier arborea</i>	common serviceberry	Tree	1	1	1																		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	river birch	Tree				1	1	1					1	2	2	2							
<i>Calycanthus floridus</i>	eastern sweetshrub	Shrub	1	1	1																		
<i>Carpinus caroliniana var. virginiana</i>	ironwood	Tree																					
<i>Carya alba</i>	mockernut hickory	Tree							1	1	3												
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American beech	Tree									5												
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	green ash	Tree									1												
<i>Hamamelis virginiana</i>	American witchhazel	Tree				2	2	2						1	1	1							
<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	common winterberry	Shrub				1	1	1															
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	black walnut	Tree							3	3	4				3	3	3			1	1	1	
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	tuliptree	Tree	2	2	4	5	5	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	2	
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	blackgum	Tree	2	2	4				1	1	1									2	2	2	
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	eastern white pine	Tree									6												
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American sycamore	Tree	6	6	7																		
<i>Quercus</i>	oak	Tree																					
<i>Quercus alba</i>	white oak	Tree	1	1	1				7	7	7	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	3
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	swamp chestnut oak	Tree																					
<i>Quercus montana</i>	chestnut oak	Tree							1	1	3				2	2	2						
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	willow oak	Tree				10	10	10															
<i>Rhus</i>	sumac	shrub									3												
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	black locust	Tree									1												
Unknown		Shrub or Tree																					
Stem count			13	13	18	21	21	22	14	14	35	4	4	5	11	11	11	1	1	1	7	7	15
size (ares)			1			1			1			1			1			1			1		
size (ACRES)			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02		
Species count			6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	11	2	2	3	6	6	6	1	1	1	4	4	5
Stems per ACRE			526	526	728	850	850	890	567	567	1416	162	162	202	445	445	445	40	40	40	283	283	607

Table A2. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species Cont.														
Dog Bite Stream Restoration Site														
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	MY3 (2012)			MY2 (2011)			MY1 (2010)			MY0 (2010)		
			PnoLS	P-all	T									
<i>Aesculus flava</i>	yellow buckeye	Tree			7									
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	hazel alder	Shrub	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3			
<i>Amelanchier arborea</i>	common serviceberry	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
<i>Betula nigra</i>	river birch	Tree	3	3	4	3	3	3	6	6	6	7	7	7
<i>Calycanthus floridus</i>	eastern sweetshrub	Shrub	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4
<i>Carpinus caroliniana var. virginiana</i>		Tree												1
<i>Carya alba</i>	mockernut hickory	Tree	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1			
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American beech	Tree			5									
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	green ash	Tree			1			1						
<i>Hamamelis virginiana</i>	American witchhazel	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	common winterberry	Shrub	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	black walnut	Tree	7	7	8	7	7	7	4	4	4			
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	tuliptree	Tree	11	11	15	12	12	14	8	8	8			
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	blackgum	Tree	5	5	7	5	5	5	6	6	6			
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	eastern white pine	Tree			6									
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American sycamore	Tree	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<i>Quercus</i>	oak	Tree				2	2	2	3	3	3	15	15	15
<i>Quercus alba</i>	white oak	Tree	17	17	17	16	16	16	16	16	16	6	6	6
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	swamp chestnut oak	Tree										1	1	1
<i>Quercus montana</i>		Tree	3	3	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	5	5
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	willow oak	Tree	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8			
<i>Rhus</i>	sumac	shrub			3									
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	black locust	Tree			1									
Unknown		Shrub or Tree							2	2	2	70	70	70
		Stem count	71	71	107	73	73	76	72	72	73	114	114	114
		size (ares)	7			7			7			7		
		size (ACRES)	0.17			0.17			0.17			0.17		
		Species count	14	14	20	15	15	16	16	16	17	8	8	8
		Stems per ACRE	410	410	619	422	422	439	416	416	422	659	659	659

Appendix A2: Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Plot 1 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03




Plot 2 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03



Plot 3 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03



Plot 4 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03



Plot 5 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03



Plot 6 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03



Plot 7 Photo – 6/11/12 - MY 03

Appendix B

Geomorphologic Data

Appendix B1: Stream Photos



Photo Point 1: View looking upstream, from ford crossing near Station 12+50. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 2: View looking downstream, near Station 14+00. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 3: View looking upstream at the confluence of WOC and T1. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 4: View looking upstream taken near Station 20+50. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 4: View looking downstream near Station 20+50. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 5: View looking upstream at WOC, near Station 26+25. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 5: View looking at water treatment pool, near Station 26+25. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 6: View looking upstream at T2, near Station 27+75. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 7: View looking upstream near Station 29+25. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 7: View looking downstream near Station 29+25. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 8: View looking upstream near Station 34+00. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 9: View looking upstream near Station 39+25. 9/28/12 – MY03




Photo Point 9: View looking downstream near Station 34+00. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 10: View looking upstream on T1 near Station 51+00. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 10: View looking downstream on T1 near Station 51+00. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 11: View looking upstream on T1 near Station 52+50. 9/28/12 – MY03



Photo Point 12: View looking upstream on T2 near Station 60+50. 9/28/12 – MY03

Appendix B2 – Cross-Section Plots


River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-1, Riffle, WOC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.36
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis

Station	Elevation
0.0	2834.52
0.6	2834.25
3.9	2834.13
8.2	2833.15
10.3	2832.48
14.2	2832.26
17.1	2832.23
19.9	2832.00
20.6	2831.60
21.2	2831.43
21.4	2831.02
21.9	2830.56
22.6	2830.26
23.4	2831.15
23.8	2830.53
24.6	2830.29
25.0	2830.32
25.3	2831.63
26.9	2832.56
29.1	2832.41
30.5	2832.43
32.6	2832.64
35.0	2833.56
37.0	2834.10
40.2	2834.85
43.1	2834.97
45.0	2835.25
45.5	2835.65

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2832.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	6.9
Bankfull Width:	7.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2833.9
Flood Prone Width:	32
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	8.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-2, Pool, WOC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.36
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis

Station	Elevation
0.0	2813.65
0.8	2813.34
4.5	2813.01
7.0	2812.38
10.0	2811.96
11.3	2811.62
13.2	2811.16
15.2	2810.91
16.5	2810.85
18.0	2810.26
19.1	2809.81
19.9	2809.66
21.3	2809.79
22.2	2809.62
22.9	2809.64
24.1	2809.57
24.7	2809.50
25.3	2809.93
26.2	2810.16
27.0	2810.56
28.3	2810.82
30.6	2810.87
33.2	2811.08
35.9	2812.15
37.8	2812.76
42.0	2813.30
45.4	2813.33
48.5	2813.43
48.8	2813.76

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2810.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	9.0
Bankfull Width:	11.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2812.1
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-3, Riffle, WOC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.36
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis

Station	Elevation
0.0	2813.10
0.8	2812.60
4.3	2812.41
8.2	2811.97
17.0	2810.04
18.6	2809.67
21.7	2809.53
23.2	2809.29
24.5	2808.81
25.8	2808.58
26.7	2808.15
27.1	2807.94
27.5	2807.53
28.2	2807.68
28.9	2807.82
29.3	2807.89
29.4	2808.36
30.7	2808.94
31.9	2809.28
34.6	2809.57
36.9	2809.91
38.6	2810.64
40.9	2812.08
44.0	2812.12
46.7	2812.27
49.0	2812.31
49.2	2812.71

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2809.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	6.6
Bankfull Width:	8.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2810.9
Flood Prone Width:	24
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	10.4
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-4, Riffle, WOC-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.54
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis

Station	Elevation
0.0	2787.21
0.7	2786.87
4.6	2786.52
7.2	2786.33
9.6	2785.51
12.1	2784.57
14.6	2783.69
15.8	2783.45
19.1	2783.04
21.9	2782.90
23.1	2782.77
23.7	2782.47
24.4	2782.24
25.0	2782.11
25.9	2781.99
27.1	2782.12
27.9	2782.28
29.1	2782.55
31.6	2783.17
34.1	2783.16
37.9	2783.52
39.8	2784.47
42.6	2785.60
44.9	2786.76
48.5	2787.50
52.8	2787.91
53.0	2788.21

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2783.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.6
Bankfull Width:	11.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2784.1
Flood Prone Width:	26
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	24.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.2
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-5, Pool, WOC-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.54
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----

Station	Elevation
0.0	2774.91
0.6	2774.65
3.9	2774.25
6.5	2773.82
9.7	2772.64
12.2	2771.52
15.0	2771.33
17.2	2771.24
18.9	2770.49
19.8	2770.05
21.2	2769.55
22.3	2769.16
23.7	2768.40
25.0	2768.67
26.3	2768.96
26.8	2769.63
28.0	2770.48
31.0	2771.28
34.4	2772.05
36.4	2773.30
38.75	2774.13
42.35	2774.78
45.13	2775.24
47.70	2775.54
47.82	2776.01

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2771.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	17.5
Bankfull Width:	12.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-6, Riffle, WOC-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.54
Date:	9/25/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, A. Helms

Station	Elevation
0.0	2758.30
0.8	2757.79
4.8	2757.04
6.0	2756.81
11.2	2756.29
14.8	2755.34
18.1	2755.11
19.0	2754.68
20.1	2754.21
21.0	2754.16
22.0	2754.09
23.1	2753.75
24.5	2753.93
25.1	2754.69
26.1	2755.32
28.2	2755.46
31.1	2755.18
34.0	2755.64
36.9	2757.03
39.9	2758.46
44.0	2759.62
48.0	2759.93
50.4	2760.26
50.8	2760.60

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2755.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	7.0
Bankfull Width:	8.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2756.6
Flood Prone Width:	26
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	11.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----



River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-7, Riffle, WOC-4
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.54
Date:	9/25/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, A. Helms


Station	Elevation
0.0	2736.53
1.7	2736.33
4.5	2736.24
5.8	2736.23
7.0	2735.38
8.1	2734.71
9.2	2734.55
10.3	2734.60
11.2	2734.78
12.1	2734.95
13.4	2735.58
14.3	2736.14
17.1	2736.23
20.6	2736.44
24.2	2737.03
25.9	2737.43
28.1	2738.21
30.7	2739.65
32.5	2740.66
33.0	2741.18

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2736.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	7.7
Bankfull Width:	8.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2737.4
Flood Prone Width:	>25
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
W / D Ratio:	8.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.1
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----

French Broad River Basin, Dog Bite, XS-7, Riffle, WOC-4




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-8, Riffle, T1-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis



Station	Elevation
0.0	2839.50
2.2	2839.18
7.2	2838.88
11.4	2838.71
15.7	2838.57
19.1	2838.25
23.1	2837.99
23.9	2837.50
25.2	2837.45
26.8	2837.28
28.6	2837.96
31.4	2838.04
34.3	2839.29
37.1	2840.25
40.9	2840.60
45.9	2840.66
46.6	2841.05

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2838.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.1
Bankfull Width:	9.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2838.9
Flood Prone Width:	27
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.3
W / D Ratio:	28.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




River Basin:	French Broad
Watershed:	Dog Bite
XS ID	XS-9, Pool, T1-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08
Date:	8/9/2012
Field Crew:	A. French, F. Davis

Station	Elevation
0.0	2827.18
1.2	2826.62
4.6	2826.06
8.7	2825.23
10.9	2824.77
13.6	2824.57
16.1	2824.24
16.8	2824.03
18.8	2823.63
20.1	2823.37
21.6	2823.55
22.8	2824.21
24.0	2824.47
27.7	2824.84
29.7	2825.66
32.5	2825.91
35.2	2826.22
38.4	2826.17
41.0	2826.21
41.9	2826.53

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	2824.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	4.8
Bankfull Width:	8.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	2825.5
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




Stream Type	C3b
--------------------	-----




Appendix B3 – Longitudinal Profile

**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 10+00 - 15+00**




— MY00, 10/22/09 — MY01, 10/19/10 — MY02, 11/8/11 —●— MY03, 8/9/2012 - - - Water Surface ■ Bankfull ◆ Grade Control Structures

**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 15+00 - 20+00**





— MY00, 10/22/09 — MY01, 10/19/10 — MY02, 11/8/11 — MY03, 8/9/2012 ■ Bankfull ◆ Grade Control Structures - - - Surface Water Linear (Grade Control Structures)



**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 20+00 - 25+00**





**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 25+00 - 30+00**





**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 30+00 - 35+00**



**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
White Oak Creek, MY03
Stations 35+00 - 40+00**




**Dog Bite Site
Longitudinal Profile
T1, MY03
Stations 51+00 - 54+15**



Appendix B4 – Pebble Count Data

Pebble Count Plots

Cross-Section Riffle 1 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	1
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	2
Very Fine	2 - 4		7
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	10
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	3
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	8
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	18
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	16
Very Coarse	45 - 64		18
Small	64 - 90	C	4
Small	90 - 128	O	5
Large	128 - 180	B	3
Large	180 - 256	L	3
Small	256 - 362	B	1
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	9.4
D35	23
D50	32
D65	44
D84	64
D95	160

Size Distribution	
mean	24.5
dispersion	2.7
skewness	-0.13

Type	
silt/clay	0%
sand	4%
gravel	80%
cobble	15%
boulder	1%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Pool 2 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	3
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	6
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	4
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	15
Very Coarse	45 - 64		12
Small	64 - 90	C	18
Small	90 - 128	O	21
Large	128 - 180	B	12
Large	180 - 256	L	2
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	102
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	20
D35	44
D50	66
D65	89
D84	120
D95	160

Size Distribution	
mean	49.0
dispersion	2.6
skewness	-0.15

Type	
silt/clay	3%
sand	3%
gravel	42%
cobble	52%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Riffle 3 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	3
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	6
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	4
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	15
Very Coarse	45 - 64		12
Small	64 - 90	C	18
Small	90 - 128	O	21
Large	128 - 180	B	12
Large	180 - 256	L	2
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	102
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	10
D35	19
D50	26
D65	45
D84	86
D95	120

Size Distribution	
mean	29.3
dispersion	3.0
skewness	0.05

Type	
silt/clay	3%
sand	3%
gravel	42%
cobble	52%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Riffle 4 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	3
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	6
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	4
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	15
Very Coarse	45 - 64		12
Small	64 - 90	C	18
Small	90 - 128	O	21
Large	128 - 180	B	12
Large	180 - 256	L	2
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	102
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	32
D35	64
D50	85
D65	110
D84	140
D95	200

Size Distribution	
mean	66.9
dispersion	2.2
skewness	-0.13

Type	
silt/clay	0%
sand	3%
gravel	32%
cobble	64%
boulder	1%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Pool 5 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	60
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	6
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	7
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	8
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	7
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	5
Very Coarse	45 - 64		4
Small	64 - 90	C	1
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.15
D35	0.19
D50	0.22
D65	9.4
D84	23
D95	45

Size Distribution	
mean	1.9
dispersion	53.0
skewness	0.63

Type	
silt/clay	0%
sand	61%
gravel	38%
cobble	1%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Riffle 6 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	1
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	2
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	3
Very Fine	2 - 4		3
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	3
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	8
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	1
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	4
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	1
Very Coarse	45 - 64		7
Small	64 - 90	C	22
Small	90 - 128	O	20
Large	128 - 180	B	20
Large	180 - 256	L	7
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	105
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	8.9
D35	65
D50	83
D65	110
D84	150
D95	200

Size Distribution	
mean	36.5
dispersion	5.6
skewness	-0.32

Type	
silt/clay	0%
sand	8%
gravel	27%
cobble	66%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Riffle 7 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	2
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		1
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	6
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	2
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	16
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	2
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	3
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	3
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	10
Very Coarse	45 - 64		12
Small	64 - 90	C	16
Small	90 - 128	O	22
Large	128 - 180	B	5
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	8.8
D35	32
D50	52
D65	76
D84	110
D95	130

Size Distribution	
mean	31.1
dispersion	4.0
skewness	-0.21

Type	
silt/clay	0%
sand	2%
gravel	55%
cobble	43%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Riffle 8 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	13
Fine	.125 - .25	A	9
Medium	.25 - .50	N	13
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	5
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		2
Small	64 - 90	C	11
Small	90 - 128	O	16
Large	128 - 180	B	17
Large	180 - 256	L	2
Small	256 - 362	B	2
Small	362 - 512	L	2
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	2
		Total	100
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.11
D35	0.36
D50	68
D65	100
D84	150
D95	300

Size Distribution	
mean	4.1
dispersion	310.2
skewness	-0.69

Type	
silt/clay	6%
sand	40%
gravel	2%
cobble	46%
boulder	4%
bedrock	2%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section Pool 9 - MY03			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	100
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			



Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.062
D50	0.062
D65	0.062
D84	0.062
D95	0.062

Size Distribution	
mean	0.1
dispersion	1.0
skewness	---

Type	
silt/clay	100%
sand	0%
gravel	0%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Appendix C

Current Conditions Plan View

LEGEND



- EASEMENT BOUNDARY 
- AS-BUILT STATIONED CENTERLINE AND TOP OF BANK 
- PHOTO POINT 
- CROSS-SECTION 
- BMP 
- STREAM GAUGE 

IMAGE SOURCE: NC STATEWIDE ORTHOIMAGERY, 2010

PROJECT CONDITION

- VEG PLOT ACHIEVING DENSITY ABOVE 260 STEMS/ACRE 
- VEG PLOT WITH DENSITY BELOW 260 STEMS/ACRE 



NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	APPROVED



KCI
ASSOCIATES OF NC
ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**DOG BITE
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT**
BAKERSVILLE, MITCHELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
WOC STATION 25+25 TO STATION 40+82; T2

DATE: DECEMBER 2012
SCALE: 1" = 100'
**CURRENT
CONDITION
PLAN VIEW
MY 3 OF 5**
SHEET 2 OF 2