Dye Branch II Stream Restoration Post-Repair Monitoring Report (2017) NCDMS Project Number: 92255



Submitted to North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality February 2018

> 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



March 12, 2018

Matthew Reid Project Manager DENR Ecosystem Enhancement Program 5 Ravenscroft Dr., #102 Asheville, NC 28801

Subject: Dye Branch Stream Restoration Project #92255 – 2017 Post-Repair Monitoring Report Comments

Dear Mr. Reid:

The North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) contracted the services of Equinox Environmental to perform post-repair monitoring services for the Dye Branch Stream Restoration Project Site. Comments provided by DMS are listed below with the red text indicating how each was addressed by Equinox within the final report.

<u>General</u>

• Please change Post-Repair Monitoring Report 2018 to 2017 on the title page. Title page has been changed to say 2017.

Section 1.0

- Please update second sentence in second paragraph to the following: "Multiple stream repairs to the Dye Branch Project were completed in November 2017 followed by a limited supplemental planting in February 2018. Second sentence in the second paragraph of Section 1.0 has been updated to the previous sentence.
- Please add "420" before bare root seedlings in last sentence of paragraph. 420 has been added to the last sentence of the paragraph.
- Please add the following sentence at the end of paragraph 3: "In addition to this Post-Repair Monitoring Report, two additional years of monitoring will be conducted at the site beginning in Fall 2018 and Fall 2019." The previous sentence has been added to the report.
- Please change "rip-rap" to boulders in second paragraph on page 2. Rip-rap has been changed to boulders in the second paragraph on page 2.

<u>Table 2:</u>

• Please use the attached Table 2 in future submissions. The provided Table 2 has been added to the report and will be used in future submissions.

CCPV:

• Add locations of Temporary Vegetation Plots to CCPV. Temporary Vegetation Plot Origins have been added to the CCPV.



Temporary Vegetation Plots:

• Add table with Temporary Vegetation Plot data. Please include species if recorded. See attached example. An extension of table 9 has been added to the report to better document the Temporary Vegetation Plot data. Specific species per plot were not recorded however species seen in the plots were added as a footnote to the table.

Cross-Sections:

• Please change "Post-Repair 2018" in legend to 2017 in all graphs. All graphs have been updated to "Post-Repair 2017."

The project manager for this project is Mr. Drew Alderman. His contact information is as follows:

Drew Alderman Natural Resource Specialist Equinox 37 Haywood Street Asheville, NC 28801 828-253-6856 ext. 213 office 828-253-8256 fax

Sincerely,

Mr Alla

Drew Alderman

Monitoring Firm



balance through proper planning

37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801 Phone: 828-253-6856

Project Contact: Drew Alderman Email: drew@equinoxenvironmental.com

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Dye Branch II Stream Restoration 2017 Post-Repair Monitoring Report

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary / Project Abstract	Page 1
2.0	Methodology	Page 2
3.0	References	Page 4

Appendices

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables Figure 1. Vicinity Map and Directions Table 1a. Project Components Table 1b. Component Summations
 Table 2.
 Project Activity and Reporting History
 Table 3. Project Contacts Table 4. Project Attributes Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment **Photo Station Photos** Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Appendix D. Stream Survey Data Cross-Sections with Annual Overlays and Photos Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic **Containment Parameter Distributions**) Table 11a. Monitoring Data – Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross-Sections) Table 11b. Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary Appendix E. Hydrologic Data Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events

iii

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The goals and objectives stated in the Dye Branch Stream Restoration Plan (NCEEP 2005) are as follows:

- Provide a stable system of stream channels that neither aggrade nor degrade while maintaining dimension, pattern, and profile with the capacity to transport the watershed's water and sediment load;
- Improve the overall water quality and aquatic habitat by reducing sediment and waste inputs into the stream caused by bank erosion, mass-wasting, and stormwater runoff through stabilization of the stream channel and creation of a stormwater wetland; and
- Improve the overall viability of the riparian vegetative communities through establishment of native species and elimination of invasive exotic species.

This is the first monitoring report for the Dye Branch Stream Restoration Project since the completion of Monitoring Year 5 (MY5) in 2015. Multiple repairs to the Dye Branch Project were completed in November 2017 followed by a limited supplemental planting in February 2018. As a part of the repairs, two small areas were repaired on Upper Dye Branch and five small areas were repaired on Lower Dye Branch. The repairs consisted of repairing failed structures, installation of brush toe, streambank grading, installation of coir matting, riffle construction, and grading of the channel to reestablish the thalweg for proper flow through the new alignment. A supplemental planting was also performed to revegetate the areas that were graded during the repairs. A temporary seed mix and 420 bare root seedlings were planted in areas affected by the repairs to help revegetate.

This report incorporates data that is associated with Post-Repair Monitoring and includes qualitative vegetation and stream assessment including vegetation monitoring of seven (7) annual monitoring plots, four (4) random/ temporary vegetation plots, morphological monitoring of ten (10) cross-sections, and hydrologic monitoring. This report also includes a visual assessment which incorporates multiple photo points, visual assessment of the vegetation, easement, and stream channel to document any problem areas that arise. These problem areas are documented in Tables 5 and 6 and depicted in Figure 2, the Current Condition Plan View. In addition to this Post-Repair Monitoring Report, two additional years of monitoring will be conducted at the site beginning in the fall of 2018 and fall of 2019.

Vegetation monitoring of the Dye Branch Stream Restoration Project includes annual monitoring of seven permanent vegetation plots, four temporary vegetation plots, and visual assessment of the easement as a whole. The site includes a diverse assemblage of 15 planted species of native trees and shrubs. Planted stems range from 4 to 26 per plot with 7 to 62 stems observed when volunteers are included. Based on the Post-Repair vegetation data, the average stem density for planted stems across all plots is approximately 416 stems per acre. This meets the year 7 success criteria of 210 planted stems per acre. When all planted and natural stems are combined, the average stem density is 1,046 stems per acre, and all seven plots meet the year seven success criteria. Four random vegetation plot transects were also performed to evaluate stems throughout the easement as a whole. The stem counts for the random vegetation transects were 10, 27, 26, and 28 which extrapolate out to 500, 1350, 1300, and 1400 stems per acre respectively.

Regarding invasive-exotics, multiple patches of invasive plants have been identified during previous monitoring efforts. A contractor was hired to treat the invasive-exotic vegetation in November 2015. Cut and stump spray, foliar spray and basal bark methods were implemented to treat Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*), kudzu (*Pueraria lobata*), Japanese privet (*Lonicera japonica*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*) and mimosa (*Albizia julibrissin*) throughout the conservation easement. Treatments occurred in November 2015 and throughout 2017 and have been very effective. Invasive-exotics will be monitored during future site visits.

Morphologic monitoring of the Post-Repair construction at the Dye Branch Site included ten (10) cross-sections. Cross-sectional overlays are located in Appendix D and the summary of the data is located in Table 11a. The most substantial change that took place between MY5 Monitoring (2015) and the Post-Repair Monitoring (2018) efforts took place at cross-section 5 and cross-section 9. Both of these areas were affected by the repairs. At cross-section 5, the right descending bank (RDB) was excavated to anchor boulders to the bank, this increased the bankfull width by 6.7 ft and increased the bankfull cross-sectional area by 12.2 ft². Cross-vane structures just downstream of cross-section 9 from a pool to a glide. This change can be seen in the cross-sectional overlay (Appendix D) and in the associated dimensions in Table 11a. Bankfull mean and max depths have decreased 1.1 ft and 1.5 ft respectively. The RDB has also been excavated to allow better access to the floodplain during flood stages. This alteration has resulted in a lowering of the bankfull cross-sectional area by 20.0 ft².

A water level logger was installed in December of 2010 and has since recorded a total of 14 bankfull events. An equipment malfunction led to the loss of pressure transducer between MY5 (2015) and Post-Repair Monitoring (2018). A crest gauge was installed in February 2018 to monitor for evidence of bankfull events. The crest gauge will be monitored in subsequent site visits.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or easement encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on NCDMS' website. All raw data supporting tables and figures in the appendices are available from NCDMS upon request.

2.0 Methodology

The stream monitoring methodologies utilized in Post-Repair Monitoring replicate those employed during the previous monitoring years and are based on standard guidance and procedures documents (Rosgen 1996; USACE 2003).

Geomorphic measurements were taken during low flow conditions using a Nikon NPR 332 Total Station. Three-dimensional coordinates associated with cross-sections were collected in the field and geo-referenced (NAD83 North Carolina State Plane feet FIPS 3200). Geomorphic data included 10 cross-sections.

Vegetation success is being monitored using 7 permanent monitoring plots and 4 random temporary transects. Vegetation monitoring follows the CVS-EEP Level 2 Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008) and includes analysis of composition and density of planted species. Data is processed using the CVS data entry tool. In the field, the four corners of each plot were permanently marked with rebar and photos of each plot taken from the origin each monitoring year.

3.0 References

- Harrelson, Cheryl, C. Rawlins and J. Potyondy. 1994. Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. USDA Forest Service. Fort Collins, Colorado
- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Biology.
- NCEEP (North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program). 2005. Dye Branch Stream Restoration Plan. Raleigh.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology Books. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources-Division of Water Quality. Wilmington District.

Appendix A Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables



Table 1a. Project ComponentsDye Branch II / Project No. 92255								
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Buffer Acres	BMP Elements	Comment
Cemetery Branch	968 lf	R	Р3	1,014 lf	0+00 - 10+14		Stormwater wetlands	
Dye Branch Upstream	1,772 lf	R	Р2	1,500 lf	0+00 - 15+00		Stormwater wetlands	
Dye Branch Downstream	1,232 lf	R	P2	1,171 lf	16+00 - 27+71			
Information unavailable								

=Non-Applicable

	Table 1b. Component Summations							
	1	Dye Br	anch II / Proj	ject No. 92	2255		•	
Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Riparian V	Wetland (ac)	Non- Riparian (ac)	Upland (ac)	Buffer (ac)	BMP	
		Riverine	Non-Riverine					
Restoration	3,685	0.0	0.0					
Enhancement		0.0	0.0					
Enhancement I	0							
Enhancement II	0							
Creation		0.0	0.0					
Preservation	0	0.0	0.0					
HQ Preservation	0	0.0	0.0					
		0.0	0.0					
Totals	3,685		0	0	0	0	3	

=Non-applicable

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History					
Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255					
Data Actua					
	Collection	Completion or			
Activity or Report	Complete	Delivery			
Restoration Plan	-	Oct 2005			
Final Design - Construction Plans	-	April 2006			
Final Design - Repair Plans	-	July 2010			
Construction Repairs	-	Dec 2010			
Temporary S&E mix applied	-	Summer 2010			
Permanent seed mix applied	-	Summer 2010			
Planting	-	Feb 2011			
Mitigation Plan / As-built (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	Mar 2011	Aug 2011			
Year 1 Monitoring	Nov 2011	Jan 2012			
Year 2 Monitoring	Dec 2012	Jan 2013			
Year 3 Monitoring	Nov 2013	Dec 2013			
Year 4 Monitoring	Dec 2014	Dec 2014			
Year 5 Monitoring	Nov 2015	Nov 2015			
Invasive-Exotic Vegetation Treatment	-	Nov 2015			
Invasive-Exotic Vegetation Treatment	-	Mar 2017			
Invasive-Exotic Vegetation Treatment	-	Apr 2017			
Invasive-Exotic Vegetation Treatment	-	July 2017			
Invasive-Exotic Vegetation Treatment	-	Aug 2017			
2017 Repair - Stream	-	Nov 2017			
2017 Repair - Planting - Fel					
2017 Repair Monitoring	Feb 2018				
- Information unavailable.					

Table 3. Project Contacts				
Dye Branch II	/ Project No. 92255			
Designer	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants			
	6750 Tryon Road			
	Cary NC, 27518			
Primary Project Design POC	Emmett Perdue (919) 858-1874			
Construction Contractor	Fluvial Solutions			
	P.O. Box 28749			
	Raleigh, NC 27611			
Construction Contractor POC	Peter Jelenevsky (919) 605-6134			
Repair Construction/ Planting Contractor	Baker Grading			
2018	970 Bat Cave Rd			
	Old Fort, NC 28762			
Repair Construction Contractor POC	Charles Baker (828) 668-7659			
Planting Contractor	Fluvial Solutions			
	P.O. Box 28749			
	Raleigh, NC 27611			
Planting Contractor POC	Peter Jelenevsky (919) 605-6134			
Seeding Contractor	Fluvial Solutions			
	P.O. Box 28749			
	Raleigh, NC 27611			
Seeding Contractor POC	Peter Jelenevsky (919) 605-6134			
Seed Mix Sources	Hanes Geo Components			
	Winston-Salem, NC 27101			
Nursery Stock Suppliers	North Carolina Forest Service			
	Goldsboro, NC 27530			
Monitoring Performers (MY0-MY5)	Equinox Environmental			
2010 - 2015	37 Haywood Street, Suite 100			
	Asheville, North Carolina 28801			
Stream Monitoring POC	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856			
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856			
Post-Repair Monitoring Performers	Equinox Environmental			
2018	37 Haywood Street, Suite 100			
	Asheville, North Carolina 28801			
Stream Monitoring POC	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856			
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856			

Table 4. Project Attributes						
Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255						
Project County Iredell						
Physiographic Region	Pied	Piedmont				
Ecoregion	Southern Ou	ter Piedmont				
River Basin	Yadkin -	Pee Dee				
USGS HUC	0304010	5010010				
NCDWQ Sub-Basin	03-0	7-11				
Within Extent of EEP Watershed Plan	Upper Rocky River L	ocal Watershed Plan				
WRC Class	Wa	arm				
% of Project Easement Fenced or Demarcated	100	0%				
Beaver Activity Observed During Design Phase	N	lo				
Restoration	Component Attributes					
	Dye Branch	Cemetery Branch				
Drainage Area (sq.mi.)	0.60	0.06				
Stream Order	First / Second	First				
Restored Length (feet)	2,671	1,014				
Perennial or Intermittent	Perennial	Perennial				
Watershed Type	Urt	ban				
Watershed LULC Distribution	•					
Urban	85	·%				
Other	15	·%				
Watershed Impervious Cover		-				
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	13-1	17-2				
NCDWQ Classification	(2				
303d Listed	Yes					
Upstream of 303d Listed Segment	Yes					
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	Poor Bioclassification					
Total Acreage of Easement	12.0					
Total Vegetated Acreage within Easement	12.0					
Total Planted Acreage as Part of Restoration	8	.9				
Rosgen Classification of Pre-Existing	E4 / G4c	E4				
Rosgen Classification of As-Built	С	С				
Valley Type	-	-				
Valley Slope	0.0097 / 0.0125	0.0217				
Valley Side Slope Range	-	-				
Valley Toe Slope Range	-	-				
Cowardin Classification	N/A	N/A				
Trout Waters Designation	No	No				
Species of Concern, Endangered, Etc.	No	one				
Dominant Soil Series and Characteristics	·					
Series	Chewacla / C	Cecil / Colfax				
Depth	-	-				
Clay%	-	-				
K	-	-				
Т	-	-				

- Information unavailable.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix B Visual Assessment Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix B

Insert Figure 2. CCPV

Visual Assessment Data

Appendix B

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Visual Assessment Data

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment										
	Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Cemetery Branch									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Assessed Le Metric	ngth 1,014 Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
	(Riffle and Run Units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	14	14			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \ge 1.6).	15	15	1		100%			
	Condition	 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle). 	15	15			100%			
	4 (51)	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	15	15			100%			
	4. That we grost tion	2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	14	14			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			1	12	99%	0	0	0%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	0%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	0	0	0%
				Totals	1	12	99%	0	0	0%
3. Engineered S tructures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	32	32			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	28	28			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	28	28			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	4	4			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	13	13			100%			

Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment										
	Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Dye Branch - Upstream									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Assessed Le Metric	ngth 1,500 Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			1	28	98%			
	(Riffle and Run Units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	17	17			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6).	20	20			100%			
	Condition	 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle). 	16 20		80%					
	4 Thelmes Proition	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	17	17			100%			
4. That we grossition		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	16	16			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			5	137	95%	0	0	95%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			1	5	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	6	142	95%	0	0	95%
3. Engineered S tructures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	29	30			97%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	7	8			88%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	8	8			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	20	23			87%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%			

Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment										
	Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Dye Branch - Downstream									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Assessed Le Metric	ngth 1,171 Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			1	34	97%			
	(Riffle and Run Units)	2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	11	11			100%			
	3. Meander Pool	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \ge 1.6).	10	10	1		100%			
	Condition	 Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle). 	10	10			100%			
		1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	10	10			100%			
4. That we gross tool		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	10	10			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	0%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	0%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	0	0	0%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	21	22			95%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	7	8			88%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	8	8			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	14	14			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%			

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 Planted Acreage 9.0								
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage			
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	Stipple Black Dots White Background	0	0.00	0%			
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY7 stem count criteria.	N/A	0	0.00	0%			
		Totals	0	0.00	0%			
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	N/A	0	0.00	0%			
	Cumulative Totals 0 0.00 0%							
Easement Acreage 12.01								
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage			
					I			
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Cross Hatch (Red - Dense/Yellow - Present)	0	0.00	0%			
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Stipple Orange Dots White Background	0	0.00	0.0%			



Cemetery Branch – Permanent Photo Station 1 Downstream



Cemetery Branch – Permanent Photo Station 2 Upstream



Cemetery Branch – Permanent Photo Station 2 Downstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 3 Downstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 4 Upstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 5 Upstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 6 Upstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 7 Downstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 8 Upstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 9 Upstream



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 10 Upstream Repair Area 6 STA 10+50



Dye Branch – Permanent Photo Station 11 Downstream Repair Area STA 19+00

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix C Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255							
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean					
1	Yes						
2	Yes						
3	Yes						
4	Yes	100%					
5	Yes						
6	Yes						
7	Yes						



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 6 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 7 Post-Repair Monitoring – February 22nd, 2018

	Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
	Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255
Report Prepared By	Drew Alderman
Date Prepared	2/23/2018 10:11
Database name	Equinox-2018-A-DyeBranch_Post-Repair.mdb
Database location	Z:\ES\NRI&M\EEP Monitoring\Dye Branch\DB-Post_Repair-2018\Data\Veg
Computer name	FIELD-PC
File size	46333952
DESCRIP	TION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT
	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of
Metadata	project(s) and project data.
	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This
Proj, planted	excludes live stakes.
	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This
Proj, total stems	includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems,
Plots	missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and
Damage	percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
	PROJECT SUMMARY
Project Code	92255
project Name	Dye Branch
Description	
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Length(ft)	
Stream-to-Edge Width (ft)	
Area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	7

		Table 9. Plant	ted Stem	n and '	Total	Stem Co	ounts (S	peci	es by P	lot)													
			Dye B	ranch	ı / Pro	ject No.	. 92255																
										Curr	ent P	lot Data	(Post R	e pai	r 2018)							
				VP1			VP2		<u> </u>	/ P3	_		VP4		V	P5		1	VP6			VP7	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all T]	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all T	P	noLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer negundo	Boxelder	Tree									1						2		I				
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	Tree									3							4	4	. 2	4 9	9) 9
Betula nigra	River Birch	Tree			2	1	1	1										1	1	. 1	1 1	. 1	1 1
Carpinus caroliniana	American Hornbeam	Tree																			1	1	1
Cercis canadensis	Eastern Redbud	Tree										1	1	1	1	1	1				2	2	2 2
Cornus florida	Flowering Dogwood	Tree																	1				1
Diospyros virginiana	Common Persimmon	Tree			1				1	1	2	1	1	1									
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green Ash	Tree				3	3	3	1	1	1						2	5	5		j 9	9) 9
Juglans nigra	Black Walnut	Tree	1	1	1												1		1	2	2		3
Juniperus virginiana var. virginiana	Eastern Redcedar	Tree										1	1	1	2	2	2		1				
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree												34					1	12	2		7
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree												4			2			8	3		14
Liriodendron tulipifera var. tulipifera	Tulip-tree, Yellow Poplar, Whitewood	Tree				1	1	1											1				
Pinus virginiana	Virginia Pine	Tree	2	2	2							1	1	1	2	2	2						
Platanus occidentalis	American Sycamore	Tree						1			2						1	1	1	. 1	4	. 4	4 10
Platanus occidentalis var. occidental	is Sycamore, Plane-tree	Tree				1	1	1											1				
Prunus serrulata	Japanese Flowering Cherry	Tree												5			2						
Quercus coccinea	Scarlet Oak	Tree																	1				5
Quercus nigra	Water Oak	Tree										2	2	4			1		1				
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	Tree				1	1	1	7	7	16							3	3		3		
Quercus velutina	Black Oak	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	1												
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub						5															
		Stem count	2 4	4	. 7	7	7	13	10	10	26	6	6	51	5	5	16	14	14	- 36	5 26	26	5 62
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			1			1	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02		(0.02			0.02		0	.02			0.02			0.02	
		Species count	3	3	5	5	5	7	4	4	7	5	5	8	3	3	10	5	5	5 8	3 6	6	i 11
		Stems per ACRE	162	162	283	283	283	526	405	405	1052	243	243 20	64	202	202	647	567	567	1457	/ 1052	1052	2 2509

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

		Table 9 Con't. Pla	anted St	ems a	nd To	tal Ster	n Cou	nts (A	Annual	Mean	s)												
		1	Dye B	rancn	/ Pro	ject No.	9225	5				A											
					2047		. /	-)		. (204	•	Annua	al Mea	ins		(204				-		0 / 201	-
			Post Re	pair (2	2017)	MY	5 (2015	»)	MY	4 (2014	4)	MY	3 (201	3)	MY2	2 (2012	2)	MY	1 (201	.1) 	MY	0 (201:	1)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo	Boxelder	Tree			3			13			7			7			1						
Acer negundo var. negundo	Boxelder	Tree	12	12	10			4			/			/			2						
Acerrubrum		Tree	13	13	16			4			-			-			2						┝──┤
Acer rubrum var. rubrum		Tree									5			5									
Albizia julibrissin	Sliktree	EXOTIC	2	2	-	1	1	2	1	-	2	1	1	2	1	- 1	1	1	1	1			
Generalization and the second se		Tree	3	3	5	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1				\vdash
		Tree	1	T	T			7			0						-						<u> </u>
Carya alba	Mademut Hickory	Troo						/			9			4			5				1	1	1
Carva austa	Chaghark Hickory	Troo																		1	1	1	
Cargia appadonaia	Sildguark Hickory	Troo	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			2	2	1	2	2	-
		Chaub	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2		2	2	
Cornus amomum	Sliky Dogwood	Shrub			1			4			3												
Corrus house	Flowering Dogwood	Tree			1			4															
Crothus kousa	Kousa Dogwood	Troo						6			1												
Diagona di antigio de la constante de la consta	Hawthorn	Tree	2	2	4	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1			1			
Diospyros virginiana	Common Persimmon	Tree	2	2	4	2	2	4	1	1	4	1	1	5	1	1				1			
Fagus granditolia var. granditolia	American Beech	Tree	10	10	20		4	0	-	-	1	-	-	-									
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green Ash	Chaub	18	18	20	4	4	ð	5	5	9	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Hibiscus	Rosemallow	Shrub	1	1	7	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Jugians nigra	Black Walllut	Tree	1	1	/	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	- 1	
Liquidambar sturgeiflug	Eastern Redcedar	Troo	3	2	5	3	3	5	3	3	2	3	3	42	3	3	14	3	3	17	9	9	9
Liquidambar styracifiua	Sweetgum	Tree			53			51			44			43			14			1/			<u> </u>
Linodendron tulinifora	Tuliptree	Troo			20			42															<u> </u>
Linodendron tulipitera	Tuliptree	Tree	1	1	20	2	2	15	2	2	54	2	2	05	2	-	50			20			
Linodendron tulipitera var. tulipitera	Plackgum	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	54	3	3	95	3	3	50	4	4	30	8	8	ð
Ripus virginiana	Virginia Rino	Troo	5	5	E	5	F	5	6	6	6	7	7	7	10	10	10	11	11	11	14	14	14
Platanus occidentalis	Amorican Sycamoro	Troo	5	5	15	J	J	0	0	0	0	/		1	10	10	10	11	11	11	14	14	14
Platanus occidentalis var. occidentalis	Sucamora Plana traa	Troo	1	1	13	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	2			0			1	1	1	1
Platallus occidentalis var. occidentalis	Sycallole, Plale-tiee	Troo	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	1	1	3			1			1	1		
Prupus	Rium	Shrub or Troo															1			6		<u> </u>	—
Prunus corotina	Plack Chorny	Troo															0			J		<u> </u>	—
Prunus serotina var sorotina	Black Cherry	Troo									12			6			0					<u> </u>	
Prunus servilata	Jananese Elowering Cherny	nee			7			6			12			0								<u> </u>	
Pyrus calleryana	Callery Pear	Exotic			,			0			1									2			
	Oak Oak	Tree									5			1	1	1	1	9	9	13	19	19	19
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree									3			-	-		-			15	15		- 15
Quercus coccinea	Scarlet Oak	Tree			5						3											<u> </u>	-
Quercus falcata	Southern Bed Oak	Tree			5							1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Quercus nigra	Water Oak	Tree	2	2	5	3	3	12	3	3	9	3	3	3	8	8	9	2	2	2	2	2	2
Quercus pagoda	Cherrybark Oak	Tree			_	-	-		-		1		-		-	-			_				
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	Tree	11	11	20	13	13	23	13	13	18	13	13	13	8	8	26	4	4	7	4	4	4
Quercus rubra	Northern Bed Oak	Tree			20	10	10	20	10	10	10	10	10	10			4					· ·	<u> </u>
Quercus velutina	Black Oak	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	15									
Salix caroliniana	Coastal Plain Willow	Tree	_				5	6		5	3			10									
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shruh			5			Ū			5			8									
Unknown		Shrub or Tree			5						-			3				3	2	2	٩	٩	٩
	1	Stem count	72	72	211	43	43	233	43	43	218	47	47	234	46	46	153	47	47	107	76	76	76
		size (ares)		7		.5	7			7		.,	7		~	7			7	1 207		7	<u> </u>
		size (ACRES)		0.17		1	0.17			0.17			0.17		().17			0.17			0.17	
		Species count	15	15	22	12	12	23	12	12	27	13	13	22	12	12	20	12	17	18	13	13	13
		Stems per ACRE	416	416	1220	249	249	1347	249	249	1260	272	272	1353	266	266	885	272	272	619	439	439	439

Table 9 Con	n't. Total Planted ar	nd Natural Stem Re	cruits (Temporary I	Random Plots)
	Dye B	ranch II / Project N	0. 92255	
	Temporary Plot 1	Temporary Plot 2	Temporary Plot 3	Temporary Plot 4
	5m x 20m	10m x 10m	5m x 20m	5m x 20m
Stem Count	10	27	26	28
Size (Ares)	1	1	1	1
Size (Acres)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Stems Per Acre	500	1350	1300	1400

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

* Specific species were not collected per plot however the majority of the stems included *Betula Nigra*, *Liriodendron tulipifera*, *Acer rubrum*, *Plantanus occidentalis*, *Acer negundo*, and *Liquidambar styraciflua*.

Appendix D Stream Survey Data





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream

Dye Branch II Project No. 92255 Post-Repair Monitoring Report





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream





Left Descending Bank



Upstream



Right Descending Bank



Downstream

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

			D	. D	Tal	ble 1	0a. B	aseli	ne St	ream	Data	Sum	mary	(077	C A)									
	Regi	onal (Dye Turve	e Bra	nch I Pre-F	L / Pr Xistin	oject 19 Con	<u>NO. 5</u> dition	2253	- Ce	me te Refe	ry Bi	ranch Reach	. (977 Data	teet)	1	Design	1		Mon	itorin	9 Base	line	
Parameter							g com							2000			o congr	-				5 2 40 4		
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	N/A	1	8.9	11.1	11.3	14.1	1.8	7	-	10.0	-	5.5	7.2	7.2	8.9	N/A	2
Floodprone Width (ft)				14.2	14.2	14.2	14.2	N/A	1	19.0	54.0	36.0	100.0	38.1	5	-	28.0	-	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.6	0.3	7	-	0.7	-	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	N/A	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	N/A	1	1.0	1.5	1.3	2.4	0.5	7	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	N/A	2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		-		6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	N/A	1	6.8	9.6	8.4	18.4	3.9	7	-	7.0	-	3.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	N/A	2
Width/Depth Ratio				7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	N/A	1	6.9	11.2	11.7	15.0	NA	3	-	14.3	-	10.3	10.8	10.8	11.2	N/A	2
Entrenchment Ratio				2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	N/A	1	3.8	6.8	7.7	8.9	NA	3	-	2.8	-	>3.4	>4.4	>4.4	>5.4	N/A	2
Bank Height Ratio				1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	N/A	1	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2	NA	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.8	23.4	19.5	53.9	14.84	14
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.012	0.034	-	0.088	-	-	0.006	0.027	0.026	0.052	0.016	6	-	0.048	-	0.004	0.023	0.022	0.049	0.01	14
Pool Length (ft)				4.7	8.2	-	11.9	-	-	3.5	19.3	19.6	32.8	11.5	6	13.8	20.7	27.6	5.8	16.2	16.9	39.1	7.17	24
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	2.6	-		-	-	1.8	2.6	2.9	3.2	0.5	7	-	2.0	-	1.8	3.0	2.9	3.7	0.48	18
Pool Spacing (ft)				22.8	86.0	-	228.2	-	-	18.0	52.7	40.2	140.8	41.7	7	18.4	27.6	32.2	4.5	38.7	36.4	111.0	24.40	24
Pattern					·			•																
Channel Belt Width (ft)				5.3	10.8	-	22.6	-	-	26.0	49.1	40.0	119.0	29.8	9	23.0	32.2	41.4	11.3	30.6	37.0	46.7	12.3	16
Radius of Curvature (ft)				3.9	19.6	-	37.0	-	-	5.0	23.8	22.0	48.0	14.6	9	18.4	27.6	36.8	8.3	13.7	12.0	29.9	5.7	16
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				0.6	2.8	-	5.3	-	-	0.6	2.1	1.8	4.3	1.3	9	1.8	2.8	3.7	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	N/A	1
Meander Wavelength (ft)				13.6	42.0	-	71.0	-	-	26.0	72.9	69.0	155.0	47.6	9	46.0	55.2	64.4	38.8	77.4	79.1	167.0	36.1	11
Meander Width Ratio				0.8	1.5	-	3.2	-	-	2.5	4.7	3.6	10.1	2.7	7	2.3	3.2	4.1	4.9	6.6	6.6	8.2	N/A	2
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-						-				-					-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull						45 -	- 180						-				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-						-				-							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification						F	34					E4 / C	4/C5				C4				(
Bankfull Velocity (fps)						6.6	- 7.8					4.1	- 7.0			4	5.5 - 6.7	7						
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)						44.3	- 52.8					35.0 -	128.1			38	3.4 - 46	.6						
Valley Length (ft)							-						-				-							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-						-				-				9	17		
Sinuosity						1.	.14					1.15	- 2.22				1.14				1.	08		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)						0.0	190				(0.0057	- 0.013	0			0.0190)				-		
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				-				0.0	191		
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							-						-				-							
% of Reach with Eroding Banks				l			-						-											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-			1			-											
Biological or Other							-						-											

		D	ve B	ranch	Tal II/I	ble 1 Proje	0a. B ct No	aseli . 922	ne St 55 - I	ream Dve B	Data rancl	Sum 1-Uns	mary stream	m (1.4	165 fe	eet)								
Parameter	Regi	onal C	Curve		Pre-F	xistin	ig Con	dition			Refe	ence to Ost	Reach	Data eek		1	Desigr	ı		Mon	itorin	g Base	eline	
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	II.	III.	Fa	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	11.2	-	-		-	16.0	18.5	-	20.6		-	-	20.1	-	25.7	28.4	26.9	32.7	N/A	3
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	89.5	-	-	-	-	67.2	70.2	-	72.8	-	-	70.9	76.9	88.8	54.4	64.9	58.6	81.8	N/A	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	1.6	1.6	-	1.7	-	-		1.5		1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	N/A	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	2.8	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.9	-	2.4	-	-	1.5	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.5	3.6	N/A	3
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		-		18.1	20.2	19.7	22.9	NA	3	27.4	30.3	-	33.4	-	-		31.0		29.5	36.3	32.5	46.9	N/A	3
Width/Depth Ratio				6.2	7.0	7.0	7.9	NA	3	9.3	11.4	-	12.7	-	-		13.0		20.3	22.6	22.8	24.6	N/A	3
Entrenchment Ratio				>3.2	>4.4	>5.0	>5.0	NA	3	3.5	3.8	-	4.4	-	-	3.5	3.8	4.4	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.5	N/A	3
Bank Height Ratio				-	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.2	-	1.4	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	3
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	17.6	-	30.2	-	-	6.6	19.1	32.7	20.1	51.6	47.1	97	29.5	8
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.002	0.014	-	0.042	-	-	0.006	0.028	-	0.066	-	-	0.007	0.030	0.070	0.002	0.006	0.005	0.016	0.005	8
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	18.3	35.1	-	62.9	-	-	19.9	38.1	68.1	8.76	24.6	22.4	66.4	13	20
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	2.9	-	3.3	-	-	2.1	2.7	3.1	2.1	3.44	3.61	4.48	0.67	20
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	50.3	78.9	-	105.8	-	-	54.5	85.5	114.7	24.1	66.8	65.3	125	28.6	19
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				6.6	24.3	-	56.9	-	-	36.0	67.0	-	150.0	-	-	39.0	72.6	162.6	28.5	45.0	48.4	54.1	8.34	17
Radius of Curvature (ft)				14.5	52.4	-	148.8	-	-	19.0	49.0	-	115.0	-	-	20.6	53.1	124.6	23.6	31.3	31.2	39.6	4.75	14
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				1.3	4.7	-	13.3	-	-	1.0	2.7	-	6.2	-	-	1.0	2.7	6.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	N/A	1
Meander Wavelength (ft)				40.1	79.7	-	172.7	-	-	33.0	94.0	-	155.0	-	-	35.8	102	168.0	100.5	130.0	138.2	153.3	18.2	12
Meander Width Ratio				0.6	2.2	-	5.1	-	-	1.9	3.6	-	8.1	-	-	1.9	3.6	8.1	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.1	0.21	3
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-						-				-					-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull						30 -	100						-				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-						-				-							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification						E	E4					C	24				C5				(5		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-				6.2	- 6.9					4	.2				3.5							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-				112.2	- 124.8					12	28				110							
Valley Length (ft)							-						-				-							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)						2,0)86					1,0)34				2,405				2,4	55		
Sinuosity						1.	04					1.	20				1.20				1.	21		
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.0	090					0.0	088				0.0080)			0.0	080		
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				-				0.0	083		
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							-						-				-							
% of Reach with Eroding Banks							-						-											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-						-											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-						-											
Biological or Other							-						-											

					Tal	ble 1	0a. B	aseli	ne St	ream	Data	Sum	mary											
		D	ye Bı	anch	II / P	rojeo	ct No.	9225	55 - E)ye B	ranch	-Dov	vnstre	eam (870 f	eet)								
	Regi	ional ('urve		Pre-F	victin	og Con	dition			Refe	rence	Reach	Data			Desig	•		Mon	itorin	o Rasi	line	
Parameter	Kegi		Jui ve		110-1	Aistin	ig Con	utuon			UT	to Os	tin Cro	eek		-	Desigi	-		14101	itor in	g Das	line	
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	NA	1	16.0	18.5	-	20.6	-	-	-	20.1	-	18.4	18.6	18.6	18.8	N/A	3
Floodprone Width (ft)				22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	NA	1	67.2	70.2	-	72.8	-	-	70.9	76.9	88.8	48.7	61.8	61.8	74.8	N/A	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	NA	1	1.6	1.6	-	1.7	-	-		1.5		1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	N/A	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	NA	1	1.5	1.9	-	2.4	-	-	1.5	1.8	2.2	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	N/A	3
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		-		17.4	17.4	17.4	2.4	NA	1	27.4	30.3	-	33.4	-	-		31.0		34.0	36.1	36.1	38.1	N/A	3
Width/Depth Ratio				12.5	12.5	12.5	2.4	NA	1	9.3	11.4	-	12.7	-	-		13.0		9.3	9.6	9.6	9.9	N/A	3
Entrenchment Ratio				1.5	1.5	1.5	2.4	NA	1	3.5	3.8	-	4.4	-	-	3.5	3.8	4.4	2.7	3.4	3.4	4.0	N/A	3
Bank Height Ratio				4.9	4.9	4.9	2.4	NA	1	1.0	1.2	-	1.4	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	3
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	17.6	-	30.2	-	-	6.6	19.1	32.7	15.7	50.3	55.7	79.3	20.2	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.003	0.021	-	0.121	-	-	0.006	0.028	-	0.066	-	-	0.007	0.030	0.070	0.001	0.006	0.006	0.014	0.004	7
Pool Length (ft)				2.9	24.8	-	120	-	-	18.3	35.1	-	62.9	-	-	19.9	38.1	68.1	10.1	19.9	15.9	39.6	8.91	14
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	3.1	-	-	-	-	2.2	2.9	-	3.3	-	-	2.1	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.91	3.77	5.05	0.59	12
Pool Spacing (ft)				79.0	162.0	-	261.0	-	-	50.3	78.9	-	105.8	-	-	54.5	85.5	114.7	15.3	57.5	38.8	130	41.5	14
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				15.6	30.6	-	67.7	-	-	36.0	67.0	-	150.0	-	1	39.0	72.6	162.6	28.3	49.2	57.5	65.4	15.4	9
Radius of Curvature (ft)				11.0	42.1	-	81.9	-	-	19.0	49.0	-	115.0	-	1	20.6	53.1	124.6	32.7	40.7	42.2	50.1	5.6	7
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				0.7	2.9	-	5.6	-	-	1.0	2.7	-	6.2	-	-	1.0	2.7	6.2	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	N/A	1
Meander Wavelength (ft)				62.0	103.0	-	157	-	-	33.0	94.0	-	155.0	-	1	35.8	102	168.0	138.9	162.2	157.3	210.5	27.2	6
Meander Width Ratio				1.1	2.1	-	4.6	-	-	1.9	3.6	-	8.1	-	1	1.9	3.6	8.1	2.4	2.8	2.8	3.1	0.51	2
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-						-				-					-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull						30 -	- 100						-				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-						-				-							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification						G	i4c					(24				C5				(2		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-				6.1	- 7.2					4	.2				3.5							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-				105.4	- 126.0					1	28				110							
Valley Length (ft)							-						-				-							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-						-				-				8	70		
Sinuosity						1.	.14					1.	46				1.09				1.	10		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)						0.0	0110					0.0	090				0.0095	i				-		
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				-				0.0	106		
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							-						-			1	-							
% of Reach with Eroding Banks							-			1			-											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-			1			-											
Biological or Other							-						-											

Dye Branch II Project No. 92255 Post-Repair Monitoring Report

					(Sub	strate Dye	, Bed Brar	Tabl , Bank 1ch II	e 10b. x, and / Proje	Base Hydro ect No	eline S ologic o. 922	Strean Conta 55 - C	n Data ainme emeto	Sumr nt Par ery Br	nary ame to anch	er Dis (977 f	tribut eet)	ions)									
Parameter		Pre-Existing Condition Reference Reach Data Design Monitoring Baseline																									
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		35%	4%	42%	13%	7%		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-														
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	0.9	1.2	2.0	8.0	10.1	88.9	-	0.21	0.5	3.5	13.9	26.6	45.0	-													
Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-															
Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-																

					(Sub I	strate Dye Bi	, Bed anch	Tabl , Banl II / Pi	e 10b. k, and roject	Base Hydr No. 9	eline S ologic 2255	Strear Cont - Dye	n Data ainme Branc	a Sum nt Pa :h-Up:	mary rame t stre an	er Dis n (1,4	tribut 65 fee	tions) t)										
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition Reference Reach Data Design Monitoring Baseline															•												
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	15%	34%	20%	3%		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-															
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	0.15	0.4	3.3	10.3	13.7	45.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-																
Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-																	

Non-Applicable.

					(Sub D	strate ye Br	, Bed, anch l	Tabl , Banl [I / Pr	e 10b , and oject	Base Hydr No. 92	eline S ologic 2255 -	Strean Cont Dye 1	n Data ainme Branc	i Sumi nt Pai h-Dow	nary ameto vnstre	er Dis eam (8	tribut 70 fee	ions) et)										
Parameter		Pre-Existing Condition Reference Reach Data Design Monitoring Baseline															1											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43%	6%	34%	13%	3%		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-															
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	0.15	0.28	0.56	10.7	13.0	45.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-																
Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-																	

- Information unavailable. N/A - Item does not apply. Non-Applicable.

					Table Dye	e 11a. e Brai	Baseli nch II /	ine M Proje	forpl ect N	nology o. 922	v & H 255 -	lydra Cem	ulic N etery	Ionit Bran	oring Ich (9	Summ 71 Fee	ary t)										
Parameter				Cro	oss Se Poo	ction 1 l							Cro	ss Sec Riffl	ction 2 e							Cro	ss Sec Riffle	tion 3 e			
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7
Record Elevation (datum) Used	836.3	836.3	836.3	836.3	836.3	836.3	836.3			826.3	826.3	826.3	826.3	826.3	826.3	826.3			821.7	821.7	821.7	821.7	821.7	821.7	821.7		
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.7	10.2	9.4	9.2	9.2	9.3	9.4			8.9	10.6	8.0	8.4	5.9	6.0	6.4			5.5	6.0	6.5	6.1	5.7	5.8	6.2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50			>30	>30	>30	>30	>30	>30	>30			>30	>30	>30	>30	>30	>30	>30		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4			0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0			0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.1	1.8			1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	2.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.2		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.9	15.2	14.3	14.0	15.1	14.2	13.1			7.0	6.3	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.7	6.6			3.0	2.8	4.0	3.6	4.2	4.3	5.0		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	5.0	6.8	6.2	6.1	5.6	6.2	6.7			11.2	18.1	16.4	17.3	8.3	7.5	6.3			10.3	12.7	10.6	10.4	7.6	7.9	7.7		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>5.1	>4.9	>5.3	>5.4	>5.5	>5.4	>5.3			>3.4	>2.8	>3.8	>3.6	>5.1	>5.0	>4.7			>5.4	>5.0	>4.6	>4.9	>5.3	>5.1	>4.8		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio*	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1		
d50 (mm)	N/A	5.7	4.8	4.1	1.5	1.1	-			N/A	8.4	14.0	2.1	4.7	48	-			N/A	6.0	5.0	6.0	6.2	1.7	-		

*Ratios calculated using recorded baseline bankfull elevation. Ratios <1 indicate a lowering of the banknkfull elevation from baseline conditions. Please refer to the Cross-Sectional Graphs for a visual display.

]	Tab Dye H	le 11 Branc	a. B h II /	aseliı ' Proj	ne Mo ect N	orpho o. 92	ology 255 -	& Hyd Dye B	lraulio ranch	e Mo n-Ups	nitori stre ar	ng Su n (1,4	ımma 171 F	nry 'eet)														
Parameter				Cro	ss Sec Riffl	ction 4 e							Cro	ss Sec Pool	ction 5 l							Cro	ss Se Riffl	ction 6 e							Cro	ss Se Riff	ction 7 le	1		
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY	I MY5	, Post- Repair	r MY6	i MY7
Record Elevation (datum) Used	824.3	8 824.3	3 824.3	824.3	824.3	824.3	824.3			817.4	817.4	817.4	817.4	817.4	817.4	817.4			815.5	815.5	815.5	815.5	815.5	815.5	815.5			812.5	812.5	812.5	812.5	812.	5 812.5	5 812.5		
Bankfull Width (ft)	25.7	23.8	22.9	20.8	21.5	20.7	13.2			17.1	17.0	16.8	16.4	15.6	15.1	21.8			32.7	28.7	27.7	26.9	26.7	27.2	17.5			26.9	24.1	21.3	20.1	19.9	17.1	12.0		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>58.6	5 >52.8	8 >52.8	>52.8	>52.8	>52.8	>52.8			>50	>47.1	>47.1	>47.1	>47.1	>47.1	>47.1			>81.8	>78.2	.78.2	>78.2	>78.2	>78.2	>78.2			>54.4	>52.6	>52.6	>52.6	>52.	5 >52.6	5 >52.6		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2			1.7	1.4	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9			1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.0			1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	1.9			3.4	2.8	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.0	3.5			3.6	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3			2.2	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.4		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	32.5	27.1	23.1	22.4	21.1	21.0	15.8			28.8	23.7	35.0	35.1	33.1	29.7	41.922			46.9	37.5	36.2	36.0	34.2	31.3	34.2			29.5	24.2	19.9	21.3	19.4	17.9	21.1		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	20.3	20.9	22.6	19.3	21.9	20.4	11.0			10.2	12.2	8.1	7.7	7.4	7.7	11.34			22.8	22.0	21.2	20.0	20.8	23.6	9.0			24.6	24.0	22.9	18.9	20.4	16.3	6.8		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.3	>2.2	>2.3	>2.5	>2.5	>2.6	>4.0			>2.9	>2.8	>2.8	>2.9	>3.0	>3.1	>2.2			>2.5	>2.7	>2.8	>2.9	>2.9	>2.9	>4.5			>2.0	>2.2	>2.5	>2.6	>2.6	>3.1	>4.4		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio*	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
d50 (mm)	N/A	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.9	0.42	-			N/A	6.0	1.7	13.0	1.6	1.9	-			N/A	1.9	4.5	1.6	1.2	1.5	-			N/A	2.7	8.0	7.4	2.4	1.3	-		

 $N\!/\!A$ - Item does not apply.

*Ratios calculated using recorded baseline bankfull elevation. Ratios <1 indicate a lowering of the banknkfull elevation from baseline conditions. Please refer to the Cross-Sectional Graphs for a visual display.

	Table 11a. Baseline Morphology & Hydraulic Monitoring SummaryDye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Dye Branch-Downstream (869 Feet)														itorin nstre :												
Parameter				Cr	oss Se Riff	ction 8 le	8						C	ross S Po	ection ool	9						Cros	ss Sec Riffl	tion 1(e)		
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Post- Repair	MY6	MY7
Record Elevation (datum) Used	809.3	809.3	809.3	809.3	809.3	809.3	809.3			806.1	806.1	806.1	806.1	806.1	806.1	806.1			801.1	801.1	801.1	801.1	801.1	801.1	801.1		
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.8	18.8	19.6	18.6	19.6	19.6	19.3			26.3	26.3	24.3	24.6	23.8	23.7	27.7			18.4	18.5	17.7	17.9	17.8	16.6	17.4		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>74.8	>73.5	>73.5	>73.5	>73.5	>73.5	>73.5			>70	>70	>70	>70	>70	>70	>70			>48.7	>47.6	>47.6	>47.6	>47.6	>47.6	>47.6		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.2			1.8	1.7	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.5			1.9	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.0	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.9	3.9			3.5	3.5	3.5	4.1	3.4	3.6	2.1			2.9	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.8		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	38.1	35.9	41.0	36.8	43.2	41.8	42.3			48.4	43.6	55.3	63.5	61.1	62.7	42.1			34.0	29.5	27.8	29.4	31.6	29.4	32.0		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	9.9	9.3	9.4	8.9	9.2	8.8			14.3	15.9	10.7	9.6	9.3	9.0	18.2			9.9	11.7	11.3	11.0	10.0	9.4	9.4		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>4.0	>3.9	>3.8	>4.0	>3.8	>3.7	>3.8			>2.7	>2.7	2.9	>2.8	>2.9	>2.9	>2.5			>2.7	>2.6	>2.7	>2.7	>2.7	>2.9	>2.7		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio*	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
d50 (mm)	N/A	1.3	1.1	0.9	2.0	0.26	-			N/A	0.72	6.4	1.7	1.4	0.32	-			N/A	1.0	0.9	0.1	1.8	2.7	-		

*Ratios calculated using recorded baseline bankfull elevation. Ratios <1 indicate a lowering of the banknkfull elevation from baseline conditions. Please refer to the Cross-Sectional Graphs for a visual display.

	Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Cemetery Branch (971 feet)																																										
	Receime MV 1										1	Ι)ye B	ranch	1 II /	Proj	ect N	o. 922	<u> 255 - C</u>	Cemet	ery Br	anch (971 fe	eet)																			
Parameter		Baseline MY-1									N	IY - 2						M	<u>Y-3</u>					M	Y-4					M	Y - 5				_	Post-R	epair						
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	Min N	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	l Ma	ax S	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.5	7.2	7.2	8.9	N/A	2	6.0	8.3	8.3	10.6	N/A	2	6.5	7.3	7.3	8.0	1 0	N/A	2	6.1	7.3	7.3	8.4	N/A	2	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.1	N/A	2	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.0	N/A	2	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.4	N/A	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2	>30	>30	>30	>3	1 0	N/A	2	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2	>30	>30	>30	>30	N/A	2	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	N/A	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	N/A	2	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	N/A	2	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.0	61	N/A	2	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	N/A	2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	N/A	2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	2	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	N/A	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	N/A	2	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	N/A	2	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	2 1	N/A	2	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.2	N/A	2	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	N/A	2	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.5	N/A	2	1.2	1.6	1.6	2.0	N/A	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	N/A	2	2.8	4.6	4.6	6.3	N/A	2	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	1 0	N/A	2	3.6	3.9	3.9	4.1	N/A	2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	N/A	2	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.7	N/A	2	5.0	5.8	5.8	6.6	N/A	2
Width/Depth Ratio	10.3	10.8	10.8	11.2	N/A	2	12.7	15.4	15.4	18.1	N/A	2	10.6	13.5	13.5	16.	.4 1	N/A	2	10.4	13.9	13.9	17.3	N/A	2	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.7	N/A	2	7.5	7.7	7.7	7.9	N/A	2	6.3	7.0	7.0	7.7	N/A	2
Entrenchment Ratio	>3.4	>4.4	>4.4	>5.4	N/A	2	>2.8	>3.9	>3.9	>5.0	N/A	2	>3.8	>4.2	>4.2	>4.	.6 1	N/A	2	3.6	4.3	4.3	4.9	N/A	2	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.1	N/A	2	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.1	N/A	2	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	N/A	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1 0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	N/A	2
Profile								•	•			•	.	_	-	_				-		-	-				-	-					•	.									
Riffle Length (ft)	6.8	23.4	19.5	53.9	14.8	14	6.9	22.9	22.7	50.3	13.3	17	6.4	24.3	15.2	53.	.7 1	17.0	13	8.4	24.4	13.2	53.7	17.8	12	7.7	23.4	18.2	48.8	15.2	12	5.2	27.2	29.1	48.7	17.6	9				<u> </u>		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.004	0.023	0.022	0.049	0.013	14	0.002	0.020	0.018	0.052	0.015	17	0.002	0.027	0.022	2 0.00	64 0	.020	13	0.005	0.025	0.021	0.057	0.017	12	0.005	5 0.019	0.018	0.037	0.011	12	0.006	0.017	0.014	0.029	0.009	9						
Pool Length (ft)	5.8	16.2	16.9	39.1	7.2	24	4.9	13.0	12.5	38.9	6.8	25	8.4	16.5	14.8	39.	.0	6.9	26	6.8	16.6	14.8	39.2	7.2	26	5.1	16.4	14.3	37.5	7.3	26	4.5	16.4	14.7	39.8	7.7	27						
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.8	3.0	2.9	3.7	0.5	18	1.0	2.8	2.9	3.4	0.6	19	1.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	6	0.8	24	0.8	2.2	2.4	3.4	0.8	24	1.0	2.2	2.2	3.2	0.7^{-1}	25 ¹	1.2	2.5	2.8	3.5	0.8	26						
Pool Spacing (ft)	4.5	38.7	36.4	111.0	24.4	24	12.0	39.1	33.3	110.2	24.0	24	12.0	36.9	30.1	86.	.7 2	20.6	25	11.0	36.7	27.9	96.6	22.5	25	14.9	36.6	27.8	89.3	20.9	25	9.9	35.6	28.6	93.4	20.4	26						
Pattern																																											
Channel Belt Width (ft)	11.3	30.6	37.0	46.7	12.26	16																																					
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8.3	13.7	12.0	29.9	5.70	16																																					
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	N/A	1																																					
Meander Wavelength (ft)	38.8	77.4	79.1	167.0	36.08	11																																					
Meander Width Ratio	4.2	5.4	5.4	6.7	N/A	2																																					
Additional Reach Parameters		-						-	-	-		-	-								-		-	-			-					-	-	-		-		-					
Rosgen Classification			C	2					0	24						C4							C4					(24					(C4								
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)			97	7					9	71						970						Ģ	969					9	70					9	58								
Sinuosity (ft)			1.0)8					1.	.08						1.08						1	.07					1.	.12					1	.06								
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)			-						0.0	200					0	.0203						0.	0203					0.0	0177					0.0)186								
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)			0.01	91					0.0	195					0	.0198						0.	0189					0.0	183					0.0)190								
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	35%	4%	42%	13%	7%		42%	6%	34%	13%	6%		34%	4%	46%	119	% (6%		32%	3%	47%	13%	6%		31%	4%	47%	12%	6%		27%	4%	48%	15%	6%							
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*							0%	38%	54%	7%	0%	0%	0%	30%	67%	3%	6 (0%	0%	1%	41%	53%	4%	0%	0%	0%	49%	45%	6%	0%	0%	0%	52.5%	26.2%	21.19	6 0%	0%						
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																										0.86	1.5	4.1	7.0	28.3	71.7	0.2	1.35	24.85	65	93							
% of Reach with Eroding Banks			09	6					0	1%						0%							0%					1	%					1	%								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric			N/.	A					N	/A					1	N/A						١	J/A					N	/A					N	I/A								
Biological or Other	Biological or Other N/A N/A									N/A				N/A								N	/A					N	I/A														

N/A - Information does not apply. Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.

¹Corrected Values

	Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary										
Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255 - Dye Branch-Upstream (1,471 feet)											
Parameter Baseline	MY - 1		MY - 2	MY - 3	MY - 4	MY - 5	Post-Repair				
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle Min Mean Med Max	SD n Min Mean Med Ma	ax SD n Min Mean M	Med Max SD n	Min Mean Med Max SD n	Min Mean Med Max SD n	Min Mean Med Max SD n	Min Mean Med Max SD n				
Bankfull Width (ft) 25.7 28.4 26.9 32.7	N/A 3 23.8 25.5 24.1 28.	3.7 N/A 3 21.3 24.0 2	22.9 27.7 N/A 3	20.1 22.6 20.8 26.9 N/A 3	19.9 22.6 21.5 26.5 N/A 3	17.1 21.7 20.7 27.2 N/A 3	12.0 14.2 13.2 17.5 3.0 3				
Floodprone Width (ft) 54.4 64.9 58.6 81.8	N/A 3 52.6 61.2 52.8 78.	3.2 N/A 3 52.6 61.2 5	52.8 78.2 N/A 3	52.6 61.2 52.8 78.2 N/A 3	52.6 61.2 52.8 78.2 N/A 3	52.6 61.2 52.8 78.2 N/A 3	52.6 61.2 52.8 78.2 15.0 3				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) 1.1 1.3 1.3 1.4	N/A 3 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1	.3 N/A 3 0.9 1.1	1.0 1.3 N/A 3	1.1 1.2 1.1 1.3 N/A 3	1.0 1.1 1.0 1.3 N/A 3	1.0 1.1 1.0 1.2 N/A 3	1.2 1.7 1.8 2.0 0.4 3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft) 2.2 2.8 2.5 3.6	N/A 3 2.0 2.4 2.0 3.1	.2 N/A 3 2.1 2.5	2.1 3.2 N/A 3	2.3 2.7 2.4 3.3 N/A 3	2.2 2.6 2.3 3.3 N/A 3	2.2 2.5 2.3 3.0 N/A 3	1.9 2.5 2.4 3.3 0.7 3				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²) 29.5 36.3 32.5 46.9	N/A 3 24.2 29.6 27.1 37.	7.5 N/A 3 19.9 26.4 2	23.1 36.2 N/A 3	21.3 26.6 22.4 36.0 N/A 3	19.4 24.9 21.1 34.2 N/A 3	17.9 23.4 21.0 31.3 N/A 3	15.8 23.7 21.1 34.2 9.5 3				
Width/Depth Ratio 20.3 22.6 22.8 24.6	N/A 3 20.9 22.3 22.0 24.	4.0 N/A 3 21.2 22.2 2	22.6 22.9 N/A 3	18.9 19.4 19.3 20.0 N/A 3	20.4 21.0 20.8 21.9 N/A 3	16.3 20.1 20.4 23.6 N/A 3	6.8 8.9 9.0 11.0 2.1 3				
Entrenchment Ratio 2.0 2.3 2.3 2.5	N/A 3 2.2 2.4 2.2 2.1	.7 N/A 3 2.3 2.5	2.5 2.8 N/A 3	2.5 2.7 2.6 2.9 N/A 3	2.5 2.7 2.6 2.9 N/A 3	2.6 2.9 2.9 3.1 N/A 3	4.0 4.3 4.4 4.5 0.3 3				
Bank Height Ratio 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	N/A 3 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	.0 N/A 3 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 N/A 3	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 N/A 3	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 N/A 3	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 N/A 3	1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.1 3				
Profile											
Riffle Length (ft) 20.1 51.6 47.1 97.0	29.5 8 17.5 40.6 33.3 75.	5.1 19.0 11 15.5 37.5 3	34.6 58.6 14.4 9	16.8 41.4 47.0 54.0 16.2 6	19.4 40.3 39.6 63.9 18.1 6	10.1 32.9 25.6 58.3 21.1 7					
Riffle Slope (ft/ft) 0.002 0.006 0.005 0.016	0.005 8 0.002 0.007 0.005 0.0	019 0.005 11 0.001 0.007 0	.004 0.016 0.005 9	0.002 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.006 6	0.003 0.007 0.005 0.016 0.005 6	0.004 0.011 0.007 0.031 0.010 7					
Pool Length (ft) 8.8 24.6 22.4 66.4	13.0 20 10.7 29.8 27.3 75.	5.6 15.9 20 8.8 29.5 2	23.2 76.3 18.7 20	7.7 26.2 21.8 81.6 17.7 21	8.9 26.9 20.6 85.8 19.4 21	8.1 28.3 21.7 94.0 19.5 21					
Pool Max Depth (ft) 2.1 3.4 3.6 4.5	0.7 20 1.8 3.3 3.4 4.	.7 0.8 20 2.2 3.7	3.8 5.0 0.8 20	1.6 3.1 3.1 4.3 0.8 20	1.4 3.0 3.4 4.4 0.9 20	2.00 3.55 3.64 4.88 0.84 20					
Pool Spacing (ft) 24.1 66.8 65.3 124.9	28.6 19 31.7 67.7 69.0 128	8.2 27.5 19 20.7 62.1 5	55.7 127.6 29.6 19	13.2 65.1 64.0 127.5 30.7 18	11.7 58.7 48.2 118.6 30.8 20	15.1 57.9 45.8 114.4 30.1 20					
Pattern											
Channel Belt Width (ft) 28.5 45.0 48.4 54.1	8.3 17										
Radius of Curvature (ft) 23.6 31.3 31.2 39.6	4.7 14										
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft) 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	N/A 1										
Meander Wavelength (ft) 100.5 130.0 138.2 153.3	18.2 12										
Meander Width Ratio 1.5 1.7 1.8 1.9	N/A 3										
Additional Reach Parameters											
Rosgen Classification C	C5		C4	C4	C4	C4					
Channel Thalweg Length (ft) 1,465	1,471		1,465	1,447	1,448 1	1,437					
Sinuosity (ft) 1.15	1.16		1.15	1.14	1.13 1	1.13					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0092		0.0091	0.0092	0.0093	0.0092					
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft) 0.0091	0.0094		0.0095	0.0091	0.0094	0.0093					
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S% 28% 15% 34% 20%	3% 31% 10% 41% 159	i% 4% 23% 14% 4	0% 19% 3%	17% 15% 38% 26% 3%	17% 23% 39% 17% 4%	16% 23% 42% 16% 4%					
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*	0% 50% 47% 3%	% 0% 0% 2% 45% 5	0% 3% 0% 0%	3% 43% 48% 6% 0% 0%	5% 58% 35% 2% 0% 0%	2.3% 77.5% 19% 1.1% 0% 0%					
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95 (mm)					0.48 1.08 1.8 3.3 6.7 23.0	0.235 0.89 1.07 3.47 23.7					
% of Reach with Eroding Banks 0%	2roding Banks 0% 0% 7%				10%	9%					
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Biological or Other N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Biological or Other N/A N/A - Information does not apply. Ri = Riffle / Ru = Rum / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.

¹Corrected Values

															Ta	able 1	1b.	Mon	nitoriı	ng Da	ita - St	trean	n Rea	ich D)ata S	umm	ary																						
														Dy	e Bra	nch I	I / Pı	rojec	et No.	9225	55 - D	ye Bı	ranch	-Dov	wnstro	eam (869 f	eet)																					
Parameter			Bas	eline		_			N	<u>IY - 1</u>	_					<u> </u>	<u>/IY - 2</u>					_	_	MY-	- 3						M	- 4		_				M	<u>Y-5</u>						Post	Repai	r		_
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD SD	n	Min	Mean	n Me	d M	ax S	SD	n	Min	Mean	Mee	d M	lax	SD	n	Min	Mea	an M	led	Max	SD	n	Mi	lin M	ean	Med	Max	SD	n	I	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SI SI	D	n	Min	Mean	Mee	I Ma	ix SD) n	<u>1</u>
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.4	18.6	18.6	18.8	N/A	2	18.5	18.7	18.	7 18	.8 N	N/A	2	17.7	18.7	18.7	7 19	9.6	N/A	2	17.9	20.	.4 18	8.6	24.6	N/A	2	17.	7.8 1	8.7	18.7	19.6	N/A	. 2	1	6.60	18.10	18.10	19.60) N/.	'A	2	17.4	18.4	18.4	. 19	.3 N/A	A 2	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	48.7	61.8	61.8	74.8	N/A	2	47.6	60.6	60.	5 73	.5 N	N/A	2	47.6	60.6	60.6	5 73	3.5	N/A	2	47.6	63.	.7 70	0.0	73.5	N/A	2	47.	7.6 6	0.6	60.6	73.5	N/A	. 2	4	7.60	60.55	60.55	73.50) N/.	A	2	47.6	60.6	60.6	73	.5 N/A	A 2	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	N/A	2	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.	9 N	N/A	2	1.6	1.9	1.9	2	2.1	N/A	2	1.6	2.1	1 2	.0	2.6	N/A	2	1.	.8 2	.0	2.0	2.2	N/A	. 2		1.80	1.95	1.95	2.10	N/.	A	2	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.	2 N/A	A 2	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	N/A	2	2.4	2.7	2.7	3.	0 N	N/A	2	2.5	3.2	3.2	3	3.9	N/A	2	2.7	3.4	4 3	.3	4.1	N/A	2	2.	.8 3	.4	3.4	3.9	N/A	. 2	1	2.60	3.25	3.25	3.90	N/.	Ά	2	2.8	3.4	3.4	3.	→ N/A	A 2	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	34.0	36.1	36.1	38.1	N/A	2	29.5	32.7	32.	7 35	.9 N	N/A	2	27.8	34.4	34.4	4	1.0	N/A	2	29.4	43.	.2 30	6.8	63.5	N/A	2	31.	1.6 3	7.4	37.4	43.2	N/A	. 2	2	9.40	35.60	35.60	41.80) N/.	Ά	2	32.0	37.2	37.2	42	.3 N/A	A 2	2
Width/Depth Ratio	9.3	9.6	9.6	9.9	N/A	2	9.9	10.8	10.	8 11	.7 N	N/A	2	9.3	10.3	10.3	3 1	1.3	N/A	2	9.4	10.	.0 9	.6	11.0	N/A	2	8.	.9 9	.5	9.5	10.0	N/A	. 2	9	9.20	9.30	9.30	9.40	N/.	A	2	8.8	9.1	9.1	9.	4 N//	A 2	2
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7	3.4	3.4	4.0	N/A	2	2.6	3.3	3.3	3.	9 N	N/A	2	2.7	3.3	3.3	3	3.8	N/A	2	2.7	3.2	2 2	.8	4.0	N/A	2	2.	.7 3	.3	3.3	3.8	N/A	. 2	1	2.90	3.30	3.30	3.70	N/.	Ά	2	2.7	3.3	3.3	3.	8 N//	A 2	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.	0 N	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	.0	N/A	2	1.0	1.0	0 1	.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.0	.0 1	.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	. 2		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	N/.	'A	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.	0 N//	A 2	2
Profile		-	-						-												-																	-								-			
Riffle Length (ft)	15.7	50.3	55.7	79.3	20.2	7	14.4	48.7	43.) 87	.0 2	24.1	7	14.7	37.3	39.9) 54	4.7	18.2	4	18.9	42.	.8 4	1.0	70.4	23.4	4	18	8.1 4	3.4	39.0	77.7	26.5	4		18.7	46.6	44.0	77.2	29	.3	3							
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.001	0.006	0.006	0.014	4 0.004	1 7	0.00	1 0.003	3 0.00	3 0.0	06 0.	.002	7	0.003	0.007	0.00	7 0.0	010	0.004	4	0.001	0.00	05 0.0	005	0.008	0.004	4	0.00	005 0.	004	0.001	0.014	0.00	7 4	. 0	.002	0.007	0.004	0.015	5 0.0	07	3							
Pool Length (ft)	10.1	19.9	15.9	39.6	8.9	14	9.7	17.6	17.	5 26	.1 5	5.8	15	7.6	26.2	31.4	4 44	4.2	13.0	14	8.7	26.	.6 30	0.2	56.6	15.7	15	8.0	.0 2	3.4	23.7	43.3	10.7	16	5	5.9	23.1	20.2	57.9	15	.0	16							
Pool Max Depth (ft)	3.3	3.9	3.8	5.1	0.6	12	3.2	3.9	4.0	4.	9 (0.5	13	3.0	4.2	3.8	6	5.7	1.0	13	3.0	3.9	9 3	.8	5.3	0.7	12	1.	.8 3	.4	3.4	5.0	0.8	14	4	3.4	4.3	4.2	5.8	0.	7	14							
Pool Spacing (ft)	15.3	57.5	38.8	130.2	2 41.5	14	10.8	56.8	40.	5 12	9.1 4	0.4	14	10.0	60.6	61.6	5 10	9.9	34.9	13	12.0	57.	.3 48	8.3	114.8	36.8	14	9.'	.7 5	3.4	39.2	122.8	38.0	1.	5	10.9	53.5	38.4	116.5	5 36	.2	15							
Pattern																																	•																
Channel Belt Width (ft)	28.3	49.2	57.5	65.4	15.4	9																																											
Radius of Curvature (ft)	32.7	40.7	42.2	50.1	5.6	7																																											
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	N/A	1																																											
Meander Wavelength (ft)	138.9	162.2	157.3	210.5	5 27.2	6																																											
Meander Width Ratio	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	N/A	2																																											
Additional Reach Parameters								•													•																		•										
Rosgen Classification				С						C5							C5							C5							0	25							C5										
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)			8	370						869							875							867	7						8	68						;	355										
Sinuosity (ft)			1.	.10						1.09							1.10							1.09	9						1.	09						1	.07										
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				-					(0.0099						0	.0094							0.009	99						0.0	098						0.	0095										
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	. Slope (ft/ft) 0.0106 0.0104						0	.0101							0.008	89			0.0103										0088																				
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	43%	6%	34%	13%	3%		39%	10%	319	5 18	% 2	2%		17%	19%	42%	5 19	9%	3%		20%	119	% 46	5%	20%	4%		209	0% 14	1%	43%	20%	3%		1	9%	19%	42%	18%	29	%								
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*							3%	75%	229	6 09	6 (0%	0	3%	59%	38%	0 0	%	0%	0%	12%	529	% 36	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	% 5	5%	38%	1%	0%	09	6 24	4.6%	46.5%	27.9%	0.9%	09	%	0%							
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																												1.	.1 1	.5	1.9	3.9	8.3	31	1 0	.062	0.705	1.48	4.72	8.9	55								
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	f Reach with Eroding Banks 0% 0%					8%								10%							10%									. 1	1%																		
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	annel Stability or Habitat Metric N/A N/A					N/A							N/A							N/A										1	N/A																		
Biological or Other	Biological or Other N/A N/A						N/A									N/A							N/A								N/A																		

N/A - Information does not apply. Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix E Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events Dye Branch II / Project No. 92255											
Date of Occurrence	Method	Feet Above Average Bankfull Elevation									
7/8/2011	Water level logger	1.07									
9/21/2011	Water level logger	1.14									
9/24/2011	Water level logger	0.52									
5/16/2012	Water level logger	1.63									
7/11/2012	Water level logger	0.21									
9/29/2012	Water level logger	0.22									
4/12/2013	Water level logger	0.08									
6/28/2013	Water level logger	0.81									
6/30/2013	Water level logger	0.72									
7/9/2013	Water level logger	1.62									
7/31/2013	Water level logger	0.53									
1/11/2014	Water level logger	1.29									
5/14/2014	Water level logger	0.07									
6/8/2014	Water level logger	0.21									
Between 6/5/2015 and											
11/7/2015	Wrack Lines	0.5									