ELLERBE CREEK STREAM RESTORATION SITE

MONITORING REPORT - YEAR 1

OCTOBER 2005



Designed and Monitored By:



Stantec Consulting, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606

Submitted To:



North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1652

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT	1
2.0	PRO	JECT BACKGROUND	2
	2.1	Location and Setting	2
	2.2	Structure and Objectives	6
	2.3	Project History and Background	8
	2.4	Monitoring Plan View1	2
	3.1	Vegetation Assessment13.1.1Soil Data13.1.2Vegetative Problem Areas13.1.3Stem Counts13.1.4Vegetation Plots1	l6 l6 l6
	3.2.	Stream Assessment23.2.1Problem Areas Plan View23.2.3Numbered Issue Photo Section23.2.4Fixed Station Photos23.2.5Stability Assessment23.2.6Quantitative Morphology2	20 24 24 24 24
4.0	MET	HODOLOGY SECTION	\$2
	4.1	Monitoring Survey Data Collection	32
	4.2	Culvert Outlet Impacts to Ellerbee Creek	\$2
	4.3	Channel Aggradations	32

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A

Vegetation Problem Area Photos Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

APPENDIX B

Fixed Photo Stations Stream Permanent Cross Section Stream Pebble Count Data 2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data 2005 Monitoring Profile Stream Problem Photos

TABLES

Exhibit Table I	Construction Dates	6
Exhibit Table II	Project Structure Table	8
Exhibit Table III	Project Objectives Table	8
Exhibit Table IV	Project Activity and Reporting History	9
Exhibit Table V	Project Contact Table	
Exhibit Table VI	Project Background Table	11
Exhibit Table VII	Preliminary Soil Data	. 16
Exhibit Table VIII	Vegetative Problem Areas	. 16
Exhibit Table IX	Stem Counts for Each Species Arranged by Plot	. 19
Exhibit Table X	Stream Problem Areas	24
Exhibit Table XIa	Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment - Hillsborough	24
Exhibit Table XIb	Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment - Croasdaile	25
Exhibit Table XIc	Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment - Hillandale	25
Exhibit Table XId	Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment - Albany	25
Exhibit Table XIIa	Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary – Hillsborough, Hillandale,	
	and Albany Reaches	26
Exhibit Table XIIb	Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary - Croasdaile Reach	27
Exhibit Table XIIIa	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary - Hillsborough Reach	. 28
Exhibit Table XIIIb	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary - Hillandale Reach	29
Exhibit Table XIIIc	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary – Albany Reach	30
Exhibit Table XIIId	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary - Croaisdaile Reach	31

FIGURES

Figure 1	Project Vicinity	
Figure 2	Project Location	
Figure 3	Watershed Area	
Figure 4	Stream Reaches	7
Figure 5A	Monitoring Plan View	
Figure 5B	Monitoring Plan View	
Figure 5C	Monitoring Plan View	
Figure 6A	Stream Problem Areas Plan View	
Figure 6B	Stream Problem Areas Plan View	
Figure 6C	Stream Problem Areas Plan View	

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT

The North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) restored 6,279 linear feet of Ellerbe Creek located on Hillandale Golf Course in Durham, North Carolina. Construction of the project began on January 15, 2004 and the stream restoration was completed on December 17, 2004. Approximately 4 bankfull events occurred during construction.

Ellerbe Creek in its preconstruction condition had a very low sinuosity and was entrenched throughout its length on the course. The surrounding landuse contributed to the majority of the instability of the stream. The stream had been channelized historically with only a grass buffer existing along the stream bank. The golf course had intensively managed the existing vegetation adjacent to the stream.

The watershed lies within US Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit Code 03020201. The watershed area was delineated from the USGS Northwest Durham Quadrangle for North Carolina. The drainage area for the entire site covers approximately 2,150 acres (Figure 3). There are several tributaries that enter Ellerbe Creek upstream of the restoration site.

The portion of Ellerbe Creek that runs through the Hillandale Golf Course is a typical urban stream. It has been channelized and is strongly influenced by stormwater flows. The channel is incised four to six feet below the top of bank. Eroding and slumping banks were noted along the majority of the stream. Portions of the channel, particularly downstream of Hillandale Road, have been heavily rip rapped. The channel substrate varies from sand and silt, to displaced riprap, to a soft shale 'bedrock' in some areas.

There are numerous site constraints that limited the restored stream's design sinuosity and belt width. These constraints are expected of a large urban stream project located on a golf course. The stream crosses and parallels several golf holes and fairways with irrigation lines that are buried near the stream, however, the irrigation lines were not a constraint to the design. The planting plan is complicated by golf fairways crossing the stream, limiting the height of the vegetation that can be planted in these areas.

A large number of utility crossings also affected the design. Approximately eight water lines and five sewer lines, some as large as 34 inches in diameter, cross the stream with easements ranging from 15 to 25 feet on each side of the pipe for a total easement width of 30 to 50 feet. The stream also receives runoff from roughly 14 different stormwater outfalls, some as large as 48 inches. The design was completed taking into account each one of these crossings and outfalls to ensure channel stability after construction. During construction, the City of Durham instructed NCEEP that no construction was to occur within the easement area of the sewer and water lines. Therefore, the design was adjusted in the field during construction to accommodate the City's request. Banks in the immediate area of the water and sewer were stabilized to the greatest extent possible without disturbance to the water and sewer easement area. Measures were taken to stabilize the stormwater outfalls to the stream. Several areas of concentrated flow located through the adjacent fairways were identified as well. Floodplain interceptors were installed at these locations to catch flow from these areas and provide a stable passage to the stream.

Construction of the stream was also constrained by the numerous golf cart bridge crossings that existed throughout the project. The stream was built to all flow under the bridge crossings in a manner than was the most stable for the stream. However, in multiple cases these bridges compromised the amount of floodplain that could be excavated. Slopes along the bridge foundations were stabilized with class B rock and plantings were feasible.

The stream restoration was based upon the principles of natural channel design. Every effort was made to consider future landuse changes within the watershed while completing the design. The pattern, dimension, and profile were restored throughout the project. Bankfull benches were cut to provide as

much floodplain as possible for the stream while working around the many constraints throughout the project. Rock structures and root wads were installed to provide further stability to the stream. Vegetation was installed along the channel slopes and floodplain to provide stability and shade for the stream in future years.

2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

2.1 Location and Setting

The Ellerbe Creek site is located on the Hillandale Golf course, which is located on the western edge of the City of Durham in Durham County. The reach of Ellerbe Creek to be restored is bound to the west by I85/NC 15/501 Bypass, to the north by Indian Trail, to the south by Sprunt Avenue, and to the east by Albany Street (Figures 1 and 2). Ellerbe Creek is a tributary to the Neuse River. The watershed area is detailed in Figure 3.



Not to Scale





Figure 1. Project Vicinity







Figure 2. Project Location







Figure 3. Watershed Area

2.2 Structure and Objectives

The restoration of Ellerbe Creek was divided into four reaches, three on Ellerbe Creek, and one on an unnamed tributary (Figure 4).

Approximately 80% of the land within the watershed consists of impervious areas with commercial lots, industrial lots, parking lots, and roads. The remaining land use consists of forested land and maintained residential areas. The Hillandale Golf Course and Croasdaile Country Club are the two major open areas within the watershed. Current land use within the project area is not expected to change in the future because it is mostly developed at this time.

For a complete description of the existing conditions prior to construction, see the Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration Plan prepared by Stantec in March 2003.

Stantec utilized natural channel design while considering watershed and site conditions of the stream to provide the highest level of stability. Information was collected on existing conditions, reference conditions, and proposed conditions for the stream, buffer, and wetland restoration areas. The design was intended to transform the G4/F4 stream to a C channel at its completion. Pattern, dimension, and profile were redesigned to provide the highest level of restoration considering site constraints. The design was developed based upon reference data for the stream type, watershed, and location. The restoration parameters were developed using reference data and hydraulic geometry relationships. The stream design afforded the best available floodplain considering the onsite constraints. City sewer and water easements, golf course fairways, and golf cart bridges limited the ability to provide floodplain in areas of the project. Bankfull benches were established to provide floodplain access for high flow conditions.

As a guide for taking existing conditions survey, *The Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique, US Forest Service General Technical Report RM-245* (Harrelson *et al*, 1994) and *Applied River Morphology* (Rosgen, 1996) were used as references to classify the stream and reference reaches. The existing conditions of the surrounding area were first observed and recorded in order to understand what was occurring within the system and why. The field data collected were used to determine width-to-depth ratio, entrenchment ratio, slope, sinuosity, sediment transport analysis, and dominant type of channel material for the existing conditions and reference reaches. This enabled the development of a plan, which focuses on the restoration of the entire system. The plan included the restored channel morphology design, structure design and placement, streambank stabilization measures, and erosion and sediment control plan. Stantec conducted construction management and oversight for the duration of the restoration. SEI Environmental, Inc. carried out the construction of the stream. Dewberry and Davis, under contract to SEI, provided the As-Built survey for the project.

Reach	Start of Construction	Completion of Construction
Hillsborough	January 15, 2004	May 19, 2004
Hillandale	March 2, 2004	May 21, 2004
Croasdaile	June 2, 2004	June 11, 2004
Albany	October 11, 2004	December 17, 2004

Exhibit Table I. Construction Dates





Figure 4. Stream Reaches

2.3 Project History and Background

Exhibit Table II. Project Structure Table Project Number and Name: 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)					
Segment/Reach ID	Linear Feet or Acreage				
Hillsborough	1,663 LF				
Hillandale	1,939 LF				
Croasdaile	703 LF				
Albany	1,974 LF				
Total	6,279 LF				

Exhibit Table III. Project Objectives Table							
Project Number and Name: 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)							
Segment/Reach ID	Objectives Linear Feet		Comment				
		or Acreage					
Hillsborough	Restoration	1663 LF	Changed dimension, pattern, and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Croasdaile	Restoration	199 LF	Changed dimension, pattern, and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Croasdaile	Enhancement	504 LF	Changed dimension and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Hillandale	Restoration	1321 LF	Changed dimension, pattern, and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Hillandale	Enhancement	618 LF	Changed dimension and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Albany	Restoration	1207 LF	Changed dimension, pattern, and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Albany	Enhancement	391 LF	Changed dimension and profile (Priority 2 Restoration)				
Albany	Preservation	376 LF	Protected existing stream				
Buffer	Restoration	17.41 AC	Restored buffer area				
Stormwater Wetland	Creation	0.15 AC	Created wetlands				
Pocket Wetlands	Creation	0.23 AC	Created wetlands				

Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)							
Activity or Report	Calendar Year of Completion or Planned Completion	Actual Completion Date					
Restoration Plan	2003	March 2003					
Mitigation Plan	2005	May 2005					
Construction	2004	March 2005					
Temporary and Permanent S&EC mix applied to entire project area	2004	December 2004					
Bare Root Plantings	2004	January 2004					
As-Built report	2004	May 2005					
Initial – Year 1 monitoring	2005	October 2005					
Year 2 Monitoring							
Year 3 Monitoring							
Year 4 Monitoring							
Year 5 Monitoring							
Year 5+ Monitoring							

Exhibit Table IV. Project Activity and Reporting History

Exhibit Table V. Project Contact Table Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)					
Designer	Firm Information / Address				
Brad Fairley	Stantec Consulting, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, North Carolina 27606				
Construction Contractor	Firm Information / Address				
Jackie Utley	SEI Environmental, Inc. 130 Penmarc Drive, Suite 108 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-2470				
Planting Contractor	Firm Information / Address				
Jim Matthews	Harp, Inc PO Box 655 Newell, North Carolina 28126				
Seeding Contractor	Company Information / Address				
Jackie Utley	SEI Environmental, Inc. 130 Penmarc Drive, Suite 108 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-2470				
Sharon Day	Mellow Marsh Farms 1312 Woody Store Road Siler City, North Carolina 27344				
Monitoring Performers	Firm Information / Address				
Stream Monitoring	Stantec Consulting, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, North Carolina 27606 (919) 851-6866				
Vegetation and Wetland Monitoring	Stantec Consulting, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300 Raleigh, North Carolina 27606 (919) 851-6866				

	roject Duchground Tuble
Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)
Project County	Durham
Drainage Area	Hillsborough Reach – 1,140 Acre
	Hillandale Reach – 1,810 Acre
	Albany Reach – 2,150 Acre
	Croasdaile Reach - 535 Acre
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	80 % impervious
	20 % forest and residential
Stream Order	Third Order
Physiographic Region	Piedmont
Ecoregion	Triassic Basins
Rosgen Classification of As-built	C4
Cowardin Classification	
Dominant soil types	Cartecay, Chewacla, and Congaree.
Reference site ID	SCO#010551001A
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	Ellerbe: 03020201; Cabin Branch: : 03020201; Trib to Marks Creek:
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	Ellerbe: 03-04-01; Cabin Branch: 03-04-01; Trib to Marks Creek: 03-04-02
NCDWQ classification for Project and Reference	Ellerbe: Impaired Cabin Branch: Not Rated; Trib to Marks Creek: Excellent
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	Yes
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	Yes
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	No identified causes for impairment
% of project easement fenced	None

Exhibit Table VI. Project Background Table

2.4 Monitoring Plan View

The monitoring plan view is located in Figure 5.

		lilisporo	ugh Reach				Section Coordin				
			HB-V2	HB-V3	Cross Section	Le	eft	RI	ght		-
	ate N 201574 E 82735		N 2016120.1110, E 827428.6750	N 2016551.0870, E 827505.1970		x	Y	x	Y		
					HB-XS1	2015743.4672	827358.9107	2015771.9160	827316.0290		-
<u>А</u> В	19.: 52.		31.1' 35.4'	31.9' 32.6'	HB-XS2 HB-XS3	2015795.8690 2016595.6390	827375.3160 827499.8180	2015800.2380 2016610.0550	827322.8910 827433.3600		
C	23.		33.1'	31.3'	HB-XS4	2016654.5640	827499.8920	2016634.3480	827432.4490		
D	53		36.2'	39.4'	CR-XS1	2016939.2860	827570.7490	2016915.6680	827552.4340		
					CR-XS2 HD-XS1	2016957.7640 2017297.3510	827541.2870 827444.6380	2016933.5100 2017305.8250	827520.8490 827358.5730		
	Cross	daile Reac	h		HD-XS2	2017374.0400	827421.2960	20173582670	827357.0970		
Diat Sida	Croas CR-V1			—	HD-XS3	2017862.0380	827326.3380	2017835.7278	827218.0475		
Plot Side				—	HD-XS4 AL-XS1	2017870.4020 2019638.8850	827311.3050 827132.4120	2017919.3180 2019653.3780	827220.8030 827052.7850		
1 COOT UN HALE	N 2016811.8250 E 827741.1850				AL-XS2	2019670.2730	827138.9190	2019716.0120	827068.9910		
					AL-XS3	2019819.4940	827182.5337	2019825.5310	827101.4830		
<u>A</u>	32.4'	18			AL-XS4	2019845.6470	827189.0390	2019867.0530	827112.7780		
B	33.2	65									
С	29.9'	21									
D	31.9'	64	.1'								
											STA 2
											SIA 20-
								HB-P6&7 STA 17+8			31A 20-
						HB-P5 STA 15+94	Oaw				31A 204
						HB-P5 STA 15+94 R001	04W			360	
						HB-P5 STA 15+94 R001	GAW			-360 HB-P8 STA 20+1	
						HB-P5 STA 15+94 Re00	04W			-360 HB-P8 STA 20+1 29-9	
					HR-P4	HB-P5 STA 15+94 R00				-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 	
					STA 14+11	HB-P5 STA 15+94 R00	WAD December 2007 Construction			-260 HB-P8 STA 20+1 -25 	
					STA 14+11		WAD Construction C				
		ŢŢ	в-үі	- HB-P3 STA 12+23	STA 14+11	HB-P5 STA 15+94 R00 HB-V2 STA 15+85	WAD December 2007 Construction				
HR-P1 _		HH AT2	B-V1 − HB-XS2 214-12 − 11+0-8 11+0-9 ∠14 − 12+19 11-0-12 − 12+19		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Based of the state of th	POOL HB-V2	UND Company Co				
HB-P1 0-00	0	HI STA ST	HB-XS2 HATTA 11-81 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HA HB-XS2 HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Band Sta	POOL HB-V2	Carry			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -302	
HB-P1 TA 00+00	10	STA 5 5 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	HB-XS1 H-V1 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HA HB-XS2 HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Band Sta	POOL HB-V2	WAD Construction C			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 	
HB-PI TO UP OF THE TA OUT OF THE TA	01	HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI H	HB-X52 HB-X51 HB-X52 HB		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Band Sta	POOL HB-V2	UND Company Co			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -357 -357	
HB-PI T	01	HI STA STA HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI HI	HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HA HB-XS2 HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Band Sta	POOL HB-V2				-360 HB-P8 STA 201 -359 -357 -507	
and the second s		Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land	HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2		STA 14+11	POOL HB-V2				-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -307	
and the second s	01 HB-P22 TA 10143	STA FIL	HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA		STA 14+11 STA 14+11 Billion Billion Billion Billion Billion	POOL HB-V2	WAD Carteria Conteria Conterio			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -302	
and the second s	9 10 10 10 10 10 10 143	STA FIS	B-V1 B-V1 BH-XS1 BH-XS1 BH-XS1 BH-XS1 BH-XS1 BH-XS1 BH-XS2	HB-P3 STA 12+23 Profese 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30	A CONTRACTOR	POOL HB-V2	WAD C C C C C C C C C C C C C			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -302 -00	
and the second s	е НВ-Р2 ТА 10+43	t state	HB-X12 HB		A CONTRACTOR	POOL HB-V2		STA 17+8		-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -502	
STA 00+00	о Парадия НВ-Р22 ГА 10+43	STA FI	HB-X1 HI-69 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HA HB-XS2 HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA	HB-P3 STA 12+23 Programe 300 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	END	POOL HB-V2	WAD 200 200 200 -N			-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 	
and the second s	0 HB-P22 TA 10+43	HT2 5'T	HB-XS2 11+69 HB-XS1 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PPE 300 300 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	TND CONTROL IRON FOUND	HB-V2 STA 15+85		STA 17+8		-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -902	
and the second s	0 HB-P22 TA 10+43	HT2	HB-XS2 11+69 HB-XS1 12+19 HB-XS1 11+69 HB-XS2 11+69 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA HB-XS2 HA	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PPE 300 300 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	HB-V2 STA 15+85	мира -л- -л- 0 30 60 INCH = 60FEET	STA 17+8		-360 HB-P8 STA 201 -359 	
and the second s	0, HB-P2 HB-P2 HA 10+43	STA HI	HB-XS2 H+69 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 A HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS2 A HB-XS2 HB-	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PPE 300 300 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	TND CONTROL IRON FOUND	HB-V2 STA 15+85	миро -N- -N- 0 30 60 INCH = 60FEET	STA 17+8		-360 HB-P8 STA 2011 -359 -307 -507	
and the second s	9 HB-P22 TA 10+43	ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS	HB-XS2 HB-XS2 HB-XS1 HB-XS2 HB-XS	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PIPE 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK	HB-V2 STA 15+85	мира -л- -л- 0 30 60 INCH = 60FEET	STA 17+8		-360 HB-P8 STA 201 	
and the second s	P HB-P22 TA 10+43	HT STATE	HB-XS2 11+69 HB-XS1 12+19 14 11+81 14 1	HB-P3 STA 12+23 Project (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12)	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE	60 60		120		55 	
and the second s	Р НВ-Р22 ТА 10+43	ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS ALS	HB-XS2 II+69 HB-XS1 II-169 II II-169 II-169 II-169 II-169 II-169 II-169	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PIPE 36° RCP \$ 75M	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP	60 60 1 PLAI	n view bas	120 SED UPON A	S-BUILT D	RAWINGS PROV	DED BY
and the second s	9 НВ-Р22 ТА 10+43	ALL	B-VI 11+69 18-XS2 18-XS2 14 11-80 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HB-P3 STA 12+23 Professor Professor B B B B B B B B B B B B B	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE	60 60 PLAI TITL	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV	120 GED UPON A VIRONMENTAL	S-BUILT D	RAWINGS PROV	DED BY
and the second s	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	STA F	B-VI 11+69 11+69 18-XS2 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	- HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PAPE 36" RCP ♥ 75M	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP	60 60 PLAI TITL	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV	120 GED UPON A VIRONMENTAL	S-BUILT D	RAWINGS PROV	DED BY
and the second s	9 HB-P22 TA 10+43	STA F HI	B-VI 11+69 18-XS2 18-XS2 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PPEC 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE ROOT WAD	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85 60 PLAI TITL HILL	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E D BY LAWRENT	DED BY BUILT SUF
and the second s	0 HB-P22 TA 10+43	21A HI	B-VI 11+69 11+69 18-XS2 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PPEC 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO A PRESENTE	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E ID BY LAWRENT	DED BY D BUILT SUR DE F. LEE
Star Star Star	9 HB-P22 TA 10+43	STA HIS	B-VI 11+69 11+69 STA 12+19 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	HB-P3 STA 12+23 PVC PRE 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE ROOT WAD	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO A PRESENTE	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E D BY LAWRENT	DED BY DI BUILT SURV DE F. LEE, DICATES TH
and the second s	0 HB-P2 TA 10+43	STA HI		HB-P3 STA 12+23 Property Appendix Sta 12+23 Property Appendix Sta 12+23 Sta	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE ROOT WAD CROSS SECTIONS PHOTOPOINT LOCATIONS	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO A PRESENTE	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E ID BY LAWRENT	DED BY DI BUILT SURV DE F. LEE, DICATES TH
and the second s	0, HB-P2-43	HI STAL		HB-P3 STA 12+23 Property Appendix Base Appendix	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE ROOT WAD CROSS SECTIONS	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO A PRESENTE	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E ID BY LAWRENT	DED BY DI BUILT SURV DE F. LEE, DICATES TH
and the second s	01 HB-P2-43	HH2 F		HB-P3 STA 12+23 Property Appendix Sta 12+23 Property Appendix Sta 12+23 Sta	END CONTROL IRON FOUND REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE BENCHMARK TREE LINE RIP RAP ROCK STRUCTURE ROOT WAD CROSS SECTIONS PHOTOPOINT LOCATIONS	60 HB-V2 STA 15+85	N VIEW BAS ED SEI ENV ANDALE GO A PRESENTE	STA 1748	S-BUILT D AND SEAL	RAWINGS PROV OGRAPHIC AS-E ID BY LAWRENT	DED BY DI BUILT SURV DE F. LEE, DICATES TH





Cross Section Coordinates								
Cross Section	Le	eft	Rig	ght				
	x	Y	x	Y				
HB-XS1	2015743.4672	827358.9107	2015771.9160	827316.0290				
HB-XS2	2015795.8690	827375.3160	2015800.2380	827322.8910				
HB-XS3	2016595.6390	827499.8180	2016610.0550	827433.3600				
HB-XS4	2016654.5640	827499.8920	2016634.3480	827432.4490				
CR-XS1	2016939.2860	827570.7490	2016915.6680	827552.4340				
CR-XS2	2016957.7640	827541.2870	2016933.5100	827520.8490				
HD-XS1	2017297.3510	827444.6380	2017305.8250	827358.5730				
HD-XS2	2017374.0400	827421.2960	20173582670	827357.0970				
HD-XS3	2017862.0380	827326.3380	2017835.7278	827218.0475				
HD-XS4	2017870.4020	827311.3050	2017919.3180	827220.8030				
AL-XS1	2019638.8850	827132.4120	2019653.3780	827052.7850				
AL-XS2	2019670.2730	827138.9190	2019716.0120	827068.9910				
AL-XS3	2019819.4940	827182.5337	2019825.5310	827101.4830				
AL-XS4	2019845.6470	827189.0390	2019867.0530	827112.7780				

LEGEND

e	CONTROL IRON FOUND	TREE TABLE
36" RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	
		T2096 18" CEDAR
⊕ TBM	BENCHMARK	T2172 20" MAPLE
		T2178 30" OAK
\dots	TREE LINE	T2179 30" OAK
		T2940 12" CEDAR
	RIP RAP	T2941 12" TWIN CEDAR
101-0100		T2942 14" CEDAR
		T3290 10" CEDAR
R	ROCK STRUCTURE	T3297 24" PINE
- ACCESS		T3299 14" GUM
ß	ROOT WAD	T3300 20" GUM
U		T3322 10" TRIPLET CEDAR
	CROSS SECTIONS	T3564 12" PEAR
••	CRUSS SECTIONS	T4909 34" PINE
_		T4913 22" PINE
o	PHOTOPOINT LOCATIONS	T4914 25" PINE
		T4916 18" CEDAR
77777	VEGETATIVE PLOTS	_
		F

Hillandale Reach										
Plot Side	HD-V1	HD-V2	HD-V3							
Pin Coordinate	N 2017272.5870,	N 2017822.8120,	N 2018339.7600							
	E 827357.3090	E 827293.6640	E 827077.4290							
А	19.9'	30.8'	20'							
В	48.4'	31.1'	44.6							
С	22.2	34.2	14.2							

44.7

С D

ELECTRONIC DISCLAIMER

32'

46.1'

THIS DOCUMENT ORIGINALLY ISSUED AND SEALED BY LAWRENCE F. LEE, III, PLS, L-3884, ON FEBRUARY 11, 2005. THIS MEDIA SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED A CERTIFIED DOCUMENT,

PLAN VIEW BASED UPON AS-BUILT DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY DEWBERRY AND DAVIS, INC TITLED SEI ENVIRONMENTAL, INC TOPOGRAPHIC AS-BUILT SURVEY, ELLERBE CREEK, HILLANDALE GOLF COURSE AND SEALED BY LAWRENCE F. LEE, III ON MARCH 25, 2005.

= 60FEE

DATA PRESENTED BY STANTEC CONSULTING, INC. INDICATES THE LOCATION OF PHOTO POINTS, CROSS SECTIONS, AND VEGETATION MONITORING PLOTS ONLY, AS OF MAY 25, 2005.





	Cross Section Coordinates										
Cross Section	Le	eft	Right								
	x	Y	x	Y							
HB-XS1	2015743.4672	827358.9107	2015771.9160	827316.0290							
HB-XS2	2015795.8690	827375.3160	2015800.2380	827322.8910							
HB-XS3	2016595.6390	827499.8180	2016610.0550	827433.3600							
HB-XS4	2016654.5640	827499.8920	2016634.3480	827432.4490							
CR-XS1	2016939.2860	827570.7490	2016915.6680	827552.4340							
CR-XS2	2016957.7640	827541.2870	2016933.5100	827520.8490							
HD-XS1	2017297.3510	827444.6380	2017305.8250	827358.5730							
HD-XS2	2017374.0400	827421.2960	20173582670	827357.0970							
HD-XS3	2017862.0380	827326.3380	2017835.7278	827218.0475							
HD-XS4	2017870.4020	827311.3050	2017919.3180	827220.8030							
AL-XS1	2019638.8850	827132.4120	2019653.3780	827052.7850							
AL-XS2	2019670.2730	827138.9190	2019716.0120	827068.9910							
AL-XS3	2019819.4940	827182.5337	2019825.5310	827101.4830							
AL-XS4	2019845.6470	827189.0390	2019867.0530	827112.7780							

	Albany Reach											
Plot Side	AL-V1	AL-V2	AL-V3									
Pin Coordinate	N 2019138.1520,	N 2019452.9280,	N 2020579.9630,									
	E 826984.8090	E 827048.9830	E 827253.2620									
A	19.8	33.1'	30.4'									
В	54.7'	33.9	45.8'									
С	C 20.6		34.3'									
D	51.5'	37.7	45.8									



3.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 Vegetation Assessment

3.1.1 Soil Data

Exhibit Table VII. Preliminary Soil Data Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)										
Series	Max Depth (in.)	% Clay on Surface	K*	T *	OM %*					
Altavista silt loam	41	12 to 27	0.24	5	1.75					
Cartecay	80	12 to 27	0.32	5	2.50					
Chewacla	60	12 to 27	0.28	5	2.50					
Wahee	65	8 to 27	0.37	2	2.50					
White Store	60	11.3	0.28	3	1.25					

*K= soil erodibility; T = soil loss tolerance; OM = organic matter

3.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas

Exhibit Table VIII. Vegetative Problem Areas Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)										
Feature/Issue	Feature/IssueStation # / RangeProbable CausePhoto #									
Rill Erosion	34+00 to 34+25 Steep terrace slope.									
Invasive Plant Species										

3.1.3 Stem Counts

Survival of planted vegetation was be evaluated using survival plots and counts. Three vegetationmonitoring plots were established for three of the stream reaches and two plots established on the Croasdaile Reach. A standard quadrat area of 100 square meters was established. Rebar was driven into the ground to identify the location of the most upstream corner closest to the toe of slope (ie. Vegetation plots on the left bank have a corner pin located at the left toe of slope). Due to the site constraints, the length and width of the plots vary to some degree.

All quadrats were permanently established in the field and records of sampling locations was be maintained. All tree species that are expected to form the forest canopy will be inventoried within the quadrat. Shrub species that are expected to exist in the midstory, including streamside livestaking, was be counted.

Evaluation of planted vegetation survival will continue for at least five years. When stakes do not survive, a determination will be made as to the need for replacement; in general, if the mortality rate is greater than 30%, stakes will be replaced.

Success of planted vegetation will be determined based upon the survival of 320 stems per acre at the end of 3 years of monitoring. A tolerance of 10% mortality rate will be acceptable for each of years 4 and 5.

The final vegetated success criteria will be survival of 260 trees per acre through year 5. (Stream Monitoring Guidelines, April 2003)

3.1.4 Vegetation Plots

Planting of the Hillsboro, Croasdaile, and Hillandale Reaches occurred in late February and March of 2004. The planting of the Albany Section occurred in December 2004. The 2005 growing season was been extremely dry with corresponding very low flows in the stream channel. The first full growing season for the project has therefore been somewhat stressful for the newest plantings. For aesthetics, the golf course has been allowed to cut only the tallest weedy species from the planted areas. The following sections provide a brief description of each plot as found on October 11, 2005.

Hillsborough Plot 1

This plot has been mowed between the plantings. In May 2005 the plot had very little herbaceous cover with some weedy growth. In October 2005 the plot was fairly thick with henbit (*Lamium amplexicaule*), broom sedge (*Andropogon virginicus*), spurge (*Euphorbia spp.*), plantain (*Plantago spp.*), lespedeza (*Lespedeza spp.*), aster (*Aster spp.*, daisy fleabane (*Erigeron annuus*), dog fennel (*Eupatorium capillifolium*), and fescue grass (*Festuca spp.*). Survivability of most planted species was good. The live stakes in the area were doing well.

Hillsborough Plot 2

In May 2005 this plot was becoming thick with vegetation dominated by Juncus (*Juncus* spp.), jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*), goldenrod (*Solidago* spp.), and sedges (*Cyperus* spp.). In October the Juncus had expanded its coverage and black willow (*Salix niger*) was also coming in. The plot was dense with other species such as smartweed (*Polygonum pensylvanicum*), lespedeza, common evening primrose (*Oenothera biennis*), foxtail grass (*Setaria* spp.), sedges, and bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*). Survivability of most species was good except for the highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), which had dropped from six stems to one.

Hillsborough Plot 3

In May this plot was very sparsely vegetated with only scattered grasses. The plot remains somewhat sparsely vegetated with lespedeza, henbit, signal grass (*Urochloa* spp.), St. John's-wort (*Hypericum* spp.), and clover (*Trifolium* spp.). This area of the floodplain appears to remain fairly dry which, with the dry weather and low stream flows, has put additional stress on the vegetation. Again, survivability of most species was good except for the highbush blueberry.

Croasdaile Plot 1

This plot lies just below a stormwater treatment facility for I-85 construction and adjacent to a wetland pocket, so the floodplain is somewhat wetter than other areas. In May 2005 the plot sparsely vegetated with the plantings and some scattered Juncus. In October, although not densely vegetated, the plot contained good mixture of species including Juncus, seedbox (*Ludwigia* spp.), sedges, carex (*Carex* spp.), prickly sida (*Sida spinosa*), lespedeza, daisy fleabane, henbit, and Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*). There was good survivability of planted species.

Croasdaile Plot 2

This plot lies along the terrace/bank slope near the confluence with Ellerbe Creek. Some herbaceous cover was noted in May. In October 2005, a number of volunteer tree seedlings including red maple (*Acer rubrum*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), persimmon (*Diospyros virginiana*), and tag alder (*Alnus serrulata*) were found in the plot along with lespedeza, plantain, signal grass, sedges, primrose, aster, and Queen Anne's lace. There was good survivability of all planted species.

Hillandale Plot 1

This plot lies just below the Croasdaile Road bridge and experiences considerable flooding when the stream flow is high. Heavy debris lines were noted on the floodplain from past flood events. In May this plot was very sparsely vegetated by both planted species and volunteers. The October stem count showed the plot with a dense ground cover of Juncus, partridge pea (*Cassia fasciculate*), clover, daisy fleabane, cocklebur (*Xanthium pensylvanicum*), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), tag alder, clover, plantain, bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*), fescue, and black willow. There was good survivability of all planted species.

Hillandale Plot 2

In May, dog fennel and a few grasses were beginning to cover the ground between plantings. In October the plot had a dense ground cover of various grasses including bermuda grass, fescue, foxtail grass, broomsedge, ragweed, dog fennel, primrose, Queen Anne's lace, and henbit. There was good survivability of all planted species.

Hillandale Plot 3

In May this plot was somewhat sparsely vegetated with herbaceous species. The October stem count found the plot with dense vegetation along the stream bank and less so up on the floodplain. Volunteer species included daisy fleabane, henbit, lespedeza, goldenrod, broomsedge, foxtail grass, Queen Anne's lace, and a few mimosa (*Albizia julibrissin*) seedlings. Plantings of highbush blueberry, elderberry, and leucothoe could not be found; most of the rest of the plantings appear to be surviving.

Albany Plot 1

This plot lies just below the Hillandale Road Bridge. The plot in May was sparsely vegetated with herbaceous species and most of the live stakes of elderberry were dead. In October the stream bank was densely covered with grasses and smartweed and only a couple of live stakes were found. Volunteer species included foxtail grass, lespedeza, signal grass, sedges, ragweed, clover, and crabgrass (*Digitaria* spp.).

Albany Plot 2

In May 2005 this plot contained only the planted seedlings. By October a sparse groundcover of henbit, lespedeza, foxtail grass, and sedges had volunteered. A large number of the Virginia willow live stakes were missing along with highbush blueberry. The rest of the planted species had good survivability.

Albany Plot 3

This plot is located in the new floodplain at the downstream end of the project and was planted with a large number of trees. Volunteer vegetation was sparse in May. The October stem count found more dense volunteer vegetation along the stream bank and a developing ground cover of sedges, ragweed, daisy fleabane, henbit, fescue, crabgrass, signal grass, dog fennel, and a few alder seedlings.

						Fable IX: Ste		-	-	-				
					*	t Number 010			eam Restora			l	1	r
Species		Hillsboro Plot	n	Croasda	r		Iillandale Plo		0	Albany Plots		Initial Totals	Year 1 Totals	Survival %
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
Shrubs														27
Alnus serrulata							1			1		8	2	25
Aronia arbutifolia		2	3	2		3	11	3	1	1		33	26	79
Callicarpa americana				2	4				2	3		15	11	73
Clethra alnifolia		1				1			3			8	5	62
Cornus amomum	19	10	12	12	9	3		6	7	8	5	93	91	98
Ilex verticillata	3											3	3	100
Itea virginca								2	1	29		57	32	56
Myrica cerifera			1	1								2	2	100
Salix sericea	1	13										23	14	61
Sambucus Canadensis		1			18		5		2		2	51	28	55
Symphoricarpos orbiculata					4							4	4	100
Vaccinium corymbosum		1	1		8							26	10	38
Viburnum nudum						5						7	5	71
Trees														
Betula nigra	15		5				3				15	42	38	90
Cornus florida			1									1	1	100
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	5							5			15	35	25	71
Juniperus virginiana			2				3					5	5	100
Quercus coccinea	2			1	1						2	7	6	86
Quercus phellos	2		2				3	3			10	24	20	87
<u>Totals</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>444</u>	<u>328</u>	<u>74</u>

3.2. Stream Assessment

3.2.1 Problem Areas Plan View

The problem areas plan view can be found in Figure 6.





e	CONTROL IRON FOUND	TREE TABLE
36" RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	
⊕ TBM	BENCHMARK	T2096 18" CEDAR T2172 20" MAPLE T2178 30" OAK
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	TREE LINE	T2178 30 OAK T2179 30" OAK T2940 12" CEDAR
	RIP RAP	T2941 12" TWIN CEDAR T2942 14" CEDAR
5	ROCK STRUCTURE	T3290 10" CEDAR T3297 24" PINE
<u>s</u>	ROOT WAD	T3299 14" GUM T3300 20" GUM T3322 10" TRIPLET CEDAR
••	CROSS SECTIONS	T3564 12" PEAR T4909 34" PINE
۰	PHOTOPOINT LOCATIONS	T4913 22" PINE T4914 25" PINE T4916 18" CEDAR
	VEGETATIVE PLOTS	14510 10 CEDAR





#### 3.2.2 Stream Problem Areas

Exhibit Table X. Stream Problem Areas Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)									
Feature Issue	Station numbers	Suspected Cause	Photo number						
Rock washed into stream from junction box.	20+30	Undersized rock at junction box outlet.	SP1						
Coir fiber matting detached from bank.	52+00	Matting in need of additional tacking.	SP2						
Leak in storm water wetland weir	Upper wetland	Unknown	SP3						

#### 3.2.3 Numbered Issue Photo Section

Appendix A presents Vegetation Problem Photos and Vegetation Plot Photos.

#### 3.2.4 Fixed Station Photos

Photographs were taken at fixed positions throughout the project post construction and during the first year of monitoring. Post construction photos were taken in March 2005. The first year monitoring report for the project is scheduled for completion in November 2005. Therefore, considering time constraints photos were not taken within the same 2-month time period of the growing season.

Appendix B presents the Fixed Photo Stations, Permanent Stream Cross Sectional Data, Pebble Counts, 2005 Monitoring Profile, and Stream Problem Photos.

#### 3.2.5 Stability Assessment

Exhibit Table XIa. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration) Segment/Reach: Hillsborough										
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05				
A. Riffles	100%	90%								
B. Pools	100%	80%								
C. Thalweg	100%	95%								
D. Meanders	100%	98%								
E. Bed General	100%	85%								
F. Channel General	100%	95%								
G. Banks	75%	98%								
H. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	100%	95%								
I. Wads and Boulders	100%	95%								

Exhibit Table XIb. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration)												
Segment/Reach: Croasdaile												
FeatureInitialMY-01MY-02MY-03MY-04MY-05												
A. Riffles	100%	95%										
B. Pools	100%	95%										
C. Thalweg	100%	95%										
D. Meanders	100%	95%										
E. Bed General	100%	95%										
F. Channel General	100%	95%										
G. Banks	75%	95%										
H. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	100%	95%										
I. Wads and Boulders	100%	95%										

## Exhibit Table XIc. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration) Segment/Reach: Hillandale

Beginent/Keach. Hinanuar										
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05				
A. Riffles	100%	90%								
B. Pools	100%	85%								
C. Thalweg	100%	95%								
D. Meanders	100%	95%								
E. Bed General	100%	75%								
F. Channel General	100%	80%								
G. Banks	75%	95%								
H. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	100%	95%								
I. Wads and Boulders	100%	95%								

Exhibit Table XId. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment Project Number 010551001 (Ellerbe Creek Stream Restoration) Segment/Reach: Albany											
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05					
A. Riffles	100%	60%									
B. Pools	100%	60%									
C. Thalweg	100%	80%									
D. Meanders	100%	95%									
E. Bed General	100%	50%									
F. Channel General	100%	90%									
G. Banks	75%	85%									
H. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	100%	95%									
I. Wads and Boulders	100%	95%									

## 3.2.6 Quantitative Morphology

		Segn	Pro	ject Nu	mber (	)10551(	ine Mor )01 (Ell (Hillsbo	erbe C	reek St	ream R	lestorat	ion)	ches)					
Parameter	USG	S Gage	Data	Regional Curve Interval			Pre-Existing Condition				ect Refe			Design			As-buil	t
Dimension	Min Max Med			Min Ma		Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
BF Width (ft)							19.9	20.7		10.1	14.3		22	26		16.3	24.8	
Floodprone Width (ft)							25	40		47	59		48	57		50	100	
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )							37.9	48.3		7.2	21.4		28.9	42.3		25.8	82.4	
BF Mean Depth (ft)							1.5	2.4		0.7	1.5		1.8	2.2		1.3	3.3	
BF Max Depth (ft)							37.	4.0		1.3	2.2		2.7	3.2		2.2	4.8	
Width/Depth Ratio							8	13		10	14		28.9	42.3		12.7	19.2	
Entrenchment Ratio							1.2	2.0		3.3	5.8		2.2	2.2		2.4	3.9	
Wetted Perimeter(ft)							28.28	24.77		11.61	17.25		21.89	24.59		19.9	38.4	
Hydraulic radius (ft)							1.34	1.95		0.62	1.24		1.32	1.72		1.3	2.6	
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							25	33		38	80		90	105		11.6	55.38	36.7
Radius of Curvature (ft)							NA	19	15	37.73	160		45	95		34.78	114	67
Meander Wavelength (ft)							NA	129	65	32	105		85	295		103	304	185
Meander Width ratio							1.3	1.6	00	3.74	7.89		4.0	4.1		0.47	2.41	1.48
Profile							1.5	1.0		5.71	1.02		1.0			0.17	2.11	1.10
Riffle length (ft)													2	103				
Riffle slope (ft/ft)							0.906	1.091	0.0109				0.0013	0.002				
Pool length (ft)							0.900	1.091	0.0109				2	27				
Pool spacing (ft)							19	29	24	5	49		24	160				
		·					19	29	24	5	49		24	100				
Substrate									0.2					-		0.01	4.4	
									8.3					5		0.01	4.4	
						1										5	49	
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)																	5200	
Channel Length (ft)																	5576	
Sinuosity								1.03		l	1.2		1	1.11		1	1.05	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							1	0.994		1			1			1	0.97	
BF slope (ft/ft)							1			1			1			1		
Rosgen Classification								G4		1	C4b, C5			C4		1	C4	
Number of Bankfull Events								÷.		1	,		1	÷.		1	6	
Extent of BF floodplain (acres)										1			1			1	~	
*BEHI										1			1			1		
*Habitat Index							1			1			1			1		
*Macrobenthos													1					
* Inclusion will be project specific a	11.			L	· ·.	• • •		•. •										

* Inclusion will be project specific and determined primarily by As-built monitoring plan/success criteria

				ject Nu	mber (	)10551(	ine Moi 001 (Ell Ellerbe	erbe C	reek St	ream R	estorat	-						
Parameter	USG	S Gage	Data	Regional Curve Interval			Pr	e-Existi Conditic	ing		ect Refe Stream			Design	1		t	
Dimension	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
BF Width (ft)							19.9	20.7		10.1	14.3				17.5	9.1	11.5	
Floodprone Width (ft)							25	40		47	59				50			21.2
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )							37.9	48.3		7.2	21.4				16.9	14.5	19.7	
BF Mean Depth (ft)							1.5	2.4		0.7	1.5				1.5	1.3	2.2	
BF Max Depth (ft)							37.	4.0		1.3	2.2				2.1	1.9	2.8	
Width/Depth Ratio							8	13		10	14				12			9.0
Entrenchment Ratio							1.2	2.0		3.3	5.8				2.9			1.9
Wetted Perimeter(ft)							1			11.61	17.25							
Hydraulic radius (ft)							1.34	1.95		0.62	1.24				1.01	1.1	1.6	
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							25	33		38	80				82.63			
Radius of Curvature (ft)							NA	19	15	37.73	160		36	44				
Meander Wavelength (ft)							NA	129	65	32	105		156	233				
Meander Width ratio							1.3	1.6		3.74	7.89				4.7			
Profile																		
Riffle length (ft)									1									
Riffle slope (ft/ft)							0.906	1.091	0.0109						0.002			
Pool length (ft)							0.900	1.071	0.0109						0.002			
Pool spacing (ft)							19	29	24				29.2	78				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)									8.3									
									0.5									
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)																	687	
Channel Length (ft)							1										703	
Sinuosity								1.03		1	1.20 - 1.2	3		1.05			1.02	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							1	0.994				-						
BF slope (ft/ft)							1											
Rosgen Classification							1	G4			C4b, C5			C4			B4	
Number of Bankfull Events							1				,							
Extent of BF floodplain (acres)							1											
*BEHI							1											
*Habitat Index							1											
*Macrobenthos							1											

* Inclusion will be project specific and determined primarily by As-built monitoring plan/success criteria

			E	xhibit Pro			er 01	05510	ology 01 (El Reach:	lerb	e Čre	ek St	ream				у							
Parameter		Cro		ection H	B-1			-	oss Secti Poo	ion Hl		2		C		ection ffle	3		Cross Section 4 Pool					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	3 MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)							16.1						38						24.4					
Floodprone Width (ft)							100						100						100					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )	33.1						54						38.2						56.3					
BF Mean Depth (ft)							3.4						1.0						2.3					
BF Max Depth (ft)							4.6						2.8						4.4					
Width/Depth Ratio	14.3						4.8						37.7						10.6					
Entrenchment Ratio	4.6						6.2						2.6						4.1					
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	22.8						21.5						38.7						27.3					
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.5						2.5						1.0						2.1					
Substrate																								
d50 (mm)							9.6						.062						0.83					
d84 (mm)	30						41						1200						13					
	-						-						-					-						
Parameter	Ν	MY-01	(200	5)		MY-02	2 (2006	i)	N	4Y-03	(2007	7)		MY-04	(2008	)	N	4Y-05	(2009	))		MY+	(2010	)
		-					r			-				1				- r	r			r		
Pattern	Min	M	ax	Med	Min	Μ	ax	Med	Min	M	ax	Med	Mir	n M	ax	Med	Min	Ma	ax	Med	Min	M	ax	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)																								
Meander Width ratio																								
Profile																								
Riffle length (ft)																								
Riffle slope (ft/ft)																								
Pool length (ft)																								
Pool spacing (ft)																								
Additional Reach Parameters	TT:IL	sborou	ah D	laash																				
	пш		<u> </u>	teach																				
Valley Length (ft) Channel Length (ft)		15	86						1								1							
		10																						
Sinuosity Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.9																						
BF slope (ft/ft)		0.9	7/																					
Rosgen Classification		С	'A																					
Number of Bankfull Events		(															ł							
Extent of BF floodplain (area)		(	J																					
BEHI*																								
Habitat Index*																	-							
Macrobenthos*																								
wiacrobellulos*	ļ								I								I				I			

		]		Table XI ject Numl	oer 01(	05510	01 (Ell		ek St	ream <b>I</b>			·у							
Parameter		Cros	ss Section Pool	1			Cross Sec Riffl	tion 2			Cross S P	Section ool	3		Cross Section 4 Pool					
Dimension	MY1 N	1Y2 M	Y3 MY4	MY5 MY+	• MY1	MY2	MY3 N	4Y4 MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2 MY3	MY4	MY5 I	MY+ I	MY1 MY2	MY3 N	1Y4 M	Y5 MY+		
BF Width (ft)					41.9					45.2					39.2					
Floodprone Width (ft)					100					100					105					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )	37.3				38.8					101.5					69.2					
BF Mean Depth (ft)					0.9					2.2					1.8					
BF Max Depth (ft)					2.9					4.5					4.0					
Width/Depth Ratio					45.1					20.1					22.3					
Entrenchment Ratio					2.4					2.2					2.7					
Wetted Perimeter(ft)					43.3					46.6					40.4					
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.2				0.9		-			2.2			+		1.7	-				
Substrate d50 (mm)	0.50				0.062					7				6	0.062					
	8				0.062					18					0.062					
d84 (mm)	8				0.062					18				U	0.062					
Parameter	М	Y-01 (20	005)	MY-0	2 (2006	)	М	Y-03 (2007	')	Ν	1Y-04 (2008	3)	Ν	IY-05 (	(2009)	Ν	1Y+ (20	10)		
		1	-								- I I			-	1		1	1		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min N	/lax	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	x Med	Min	Max	Med		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																				
Meander Width ratio																				
Riffle length (ft)																				
Riffle slope (ft/ft)													-							
Pool length (ft)																				
Pool spacing (ft)													1	+						
								II						_				-		
Additional Reach Parameters	Hill	andale F	Reach																	
Valley Length (ft)		1804																		
Channel Length (ft)		1939																		
Sinuosity		1.07																		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																				
BF slope (ft/ft)																				
Rosgen Classification		C4																		
Number of Bankfull Events													I							
Extent of BF floodplain (area)													-							
BEHI*																				
Habitat Index*													I							
Macrobenthos*																				

		]	Exhibit Pro	Table ject Nı		er 01		)1 (Ell	lerbe	Cre	ek Sti					y							
Parameter			s Section Riffle	1				ross Sec Riffl	ction 2				C		ection ffle	3		Cross Section 4 Pool					
Dimension	MV1	MY2 MY	2 MV4	MV5 N	MV -	MY1 MY2 MY3 MY4 MY5					MV.	MV1	MV2	MV2	MVA	MY5 N		MY1 MY	2 141	72 M	74 M	75 MX	
Dimension		MY2 MY	5 M 14	MITS I	VI I +		NIYZ.	MY3 N	VIII4	MYS	MII+		MY2	MIS	MI14	MITS N				5 M	14 M	(5 M I +	
BF Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft)						28 100						21.3 100						28.9 71.9					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )	69.1					70.1						71.4						55.7					
BF Mean Depth (ft)	2.2					2.5						3.3						1.9					
BF Max Depth (ft) BF Max Depth (ft)	3.9					4.6						4.4						3.3					
Width/Depth Ratio						11.2						6.4						15					
Entrenchment Ratio						3.6						4.7						2.5					
Wetted Perimeter(ft)						30.7						25.3						30				_	
Hydraulic radius (ft)	2.1					2.3						23.3						1.9					
Substrate	2.1					2.5						2.0						3.5					
d50 (mm)	0.062					0.86						9.2						12					
						10						22						12					
	5.0					10						22											
Parameter	Ν	MY-01 (20	05)	М	1Y-02	(2006	j)	М	Y-03	(2007	)	N	MY-04	(2008	)	М	Y-05 (	(2009)		MY	<i>i</i> +(20	10)	
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	M	ov	Med	Min	Ma	v	Med	Min	M	o v	Med	Min	Max	x Med	M	lin	Max	Med	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	WIIII	Iviax	Ivieu	WIIII	IVI	ал	Wieu	WIIII	Ivia	іл	wieu	IVIIII	IVI	ал	Meu	IVIIII	Ivia/	x Ivieu	IVI		IVIAN	wieu	
Radius of Curvature (ft)												-											
Meander Wavelength (ft)												-											
Meander Waveleight (It) Meander Width ratio																							
Profile																							
Riffle length (ft)																							
Riffle slope (ft/ft)																							
Pool length (ft)																							
Pool spacing (ft)																							
					-1												1					1	
Additional Reach Parameters	A	Albany Rea	ach																1				
Valley Length (ft)		1888		-												-			•				
Channel Length (ft)		1974																					
Sinuosity		1.04																					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																							
BF slope (ft/ft)																							
Rosgen Classification		C4																					
Number of Bankfull Events																							
Extent of BF floodplain (area)																							
BEHI*											-												
Habitat Index*																							
Macrobenthos*																							

		I		Table X ject Nun	ber 0	105510		lerbe	Cree	ek Sti	ream				у									
Parameter			Section Riffle	1		(		(		ection ffle	3		Cross Section 4 Pool											
Dimension	MV1	MV2 MV	2 MV4	MY5 MY		MV2	MV2 N	AVA N	172	MV I	MV1	MV2	MV2	MV4	MV5 I	MY5 MY+ MY1 MY2				MY3 MY4 MY5 MY+				
Dimension BF Width (ft)			5 1114				WII5 P	VI I 4 IV	115	IVI I +	IVI I I	IVI I Z	WI I J	IVI I 4	WITS 1	VI I +	IVI I I	IVI I Z	WI I J	WI I 4	NI I J	IVI I +		
Floodprone Width (ft)	9.4 9.8				13.4	•																		
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ² )	9.8				17.9																			
	20.4				17.9	'																		
BF Mean Depth (ft)																								
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.5				2.1																			
Width/Depth Ratio	4.4		_		10																			
Entrenchment Ratio	1.0		_		1.7																			
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	11.1		_		14.8																			
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.8				1.2																			
Substrate	10					_																		
d50 (mm)	12				12	_																		
d84 (mm)	24				20																			
Parameter	N	MY-01 (20	05)	MY	02 (200	6)	М	[Y-03 (2	2007)	)	]	MY-04	(2008	)	N	1Y-05	(2009	)		MY+	(2010)	)		
<b>D</b>		1.16				16.1																		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	<b>K</b> .	Med	Min	M	ax	Med	Min	Ma	ax	Med	Min	M	ax	Med		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)																								
Meander Width ratio																								
Profile																								
Riffle length (ft)																								
Riffle slope (ft/ft)																								
Pool length (ft)																								
Pool spacing (ft)																								
Additional Reach Parameters	Cr	oasdaile R	each																					
Valley Length (ft)		687		1																				
Channel Length (ft)		703																						
Sinuosity		1.02																						
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																								
BF slope (ft/ft)																								
Rosgen Classification		B4																						
Number of Bankfull Events																								
Extent of BF floodplain (area)																								
BEHI*																								
Habitat Index*																								
Macrobenthos*			-													-								

#### 4.0 METHODOLOGY SECTION

#### 4.1 Monitoring Survey Data Collection

Dimension and profile data was obtained using Real Time Kinetic (RTK) and total station survey. Benchmark points from the as-built survey were used to tie the monitoring survey data into the as-built alignment. This method was used for two reasons. The vegetative cover at Ellerbe Creek is currently small enough that little interference of the RTK system was noticed. RTK is a survey grade Global Positioning System (GPS) unit that provided the ability to survey the stream accurately with a relative benchmark to compare stream data obtained in Year 1 Monitoring to the As-Built conditions.

#### 4.2 Culvert Outlet Impacts to Ellerbee Creek

During monitoring, instream vegetation and various fish species were identified in the upper reaches of the stream (Hillsborough, Croasdaile, and the majority of the Hillandale Reach). A culvert that discharges from the water treatment plant enters Ellerbe Creek at STA 43+00. From that point downstream, monitoring staff did not identify any aquatic vegetation or any fish. It became apparent that discharge from the culvert was significantly impacting the ecological health of the stream. Stantec contacted NCEEP, which in turn contacted the City of Durham. City of Durham officials investigated the situation and identified high levels of chloramines that were being discharged from the wastewater treatment plant. The City has taken actions to remedy the problems associated with the stream discharge. On a subsequent site visit, it appears that the remedial steps taken by the City are making a positive impact on the downstream portions of Ellerbe Creek. Some algae species were identified in the down stream portions, however, there were no fish or other aquatic vegetation identified.

#### 4.3 Channel Aggradations

During construction, there were several limiting factors that either altered design pattern or design profile. After construction had begun, the City of Durham specified that no excavation could occur within the sewer and water pipe easements that crossed and paralleled the stream. The elevation of the bottom of several sewer and/or water pipes was at or near the streambed elevation of the design channel. It is believed that the several pipes are slowing stream velocity enough to deposit sediment upstream of the areas that pipes are crossing. This is suspected at a pipe crossing at STA 15+25 and to a greater extent at STA 61+00.

A second limiting factor at the site was the amount of bedrock that was discovered during construction. Bedrock elevations within the proposed alignment were higher at some locations than the proposed design stream alignment. Stream construction attempted to remedy the unforeseen problems by setting elevations of channel and vane drop structures to provide adequate slope.

The Year 1 Monitoring identified areas of the channel that aggradation has occurred from STA 56+00 to the end of project of Albany Reaches of the channel. It is believed that the main reason for aggradation of the stream are several beaver dams that have formed downstream of the project. This is slowing stream velocity significantly causing sediments to settle instead of transporting through the stream as designed.

# **APPENDIX** A

# **Vegetation Problem Area Photos**

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos
## **Vegetation Problem Photos**



VP-1: Invasive Species - Mimosa Tree (STA 29+88)



VP-2: Rill Erosion (STA34+00 to 34+25)

## **APPENDIX** A

Vegetation Problem Area Photos

**Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos** 

## **Vegetation Plot Photos**



HB-V1

HB-V2

HB-V3



HD-V1

HD-V2

HD-V3



AL-V2

AL-V3

## **Vegetation Plot Photos**



CRB-V1

CR-V2

#### **APPENDIX B**

#### **Fixed Photo Stations**

Stream Permanent Cross Section

Stream Pebble Count Data

2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

2005 Monitoring Profile

Stream Problem Photos



HB-P01 STA 00+00

HB-P02 STA 10+43 (BRIDGE)

HB-V1 STA 11+69



HB-XS1 STA 11+81

HB-XS2 STA 12+19

HB-P03 STA 12+23



HB-P04 STA 14+11 (BRIDGE)

HB-P05 STA 15+94

HB-V2 STA 15+65



HB-P06 STA 17+85

HB-P07 STA 17+85

HB-P08 STA 20+13



HB-V3 STA 20+58

HB-XS3 STA 20+96

HB-XS4 STA 21+42



HB-P09 STA 22+59

HB-P10 STA 24+76

HD-P01 STA 26+95



HD-V1 STA 27+98

HD-XS1 STA 28+44

HD-XS2 STA 29+08



HD-P02 STA 29+77 (BRIDGE)

HD-P03 STA 31+88 (PIPE)

HD-P04 STA 33+54



HD-V2 STA 34+06

HD-XS3 STA 34+48

HD-XS4 STA 34+92



HD-PO5 STA 36+59

HD-P06 STA 39+82

HD-V3 STA 40+01



HD-P07 STA 43+44

AL-P01 STA 46+46 (BRIDGE)

AL-V1 STA 48+40



AL-P02 STA 50+49

AL-V2 STA 51+89

AL-P03 STA 53+52



AL-XS1 STA 54+24

AL-XS2 STA 54+76

AL-XS3 STA 56+14



AL-P04 STA 56+19

AL-XS4 STA 56+49

AL-P05 STA 59+21 (BRIDGE)



AL-P06 STA 60+77

AL-P07 STA 62+45 (BRIDGE)

AL-P08 STA 63+95



AL-V31STA 64+30

CR-P01 STA 10+00

CR-P02 STA 12+03



CR-V1 STA 13+16

CR-P03 STA 15+15

CR-XS1 STA 15+37



CR-XS2 STA 15+72

CR-V2 STA 15+72

#### **APPENDIX B**

**Fixed Photo Stations** 

#### **Stream Permanent Cross Section**

Stream Pebble Count Data

2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

2005 Monitoring Profile

Stream Problem Photos





Creek, Riffle		
	- A A	-
so and		
30 34	5 40 4	5 50
isions flood prone area (ft) htrenchment ratio w bank height (ft) w bank height ratio	D84	0 (mm) 4 (mm) eshold grain size (mm):
Ince anning's roughness Arcy-Weisbach fric. sistance factor u/u* lative roughness	19.99 she 3.21 she	/er annel slope (%) ear stress (lb/sq.ft.) ear velocity (ft/s) t strm power (lb/ft/s)

















Pool						
60	70	80		90	100	
sions flood prone a trenchment ra v bank height v bank height	atio (ft)	<u>Mat</u>	D	50 (mm 84 (mm ireshold		m):
nce anning's rougl Arcy-Weisbac sistance facto ative roughne	h fric. r u/u*	<u>For</u>	sł sł	nannel s near stre near velo	lope (%) ess (lb/sq.ft.) poity (ft/s) power (lb/ft/s)	





sions	Materials	
flood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
trenchment ratio		D84 (mm)
v bank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
v bank height ratio		

ince	Forces &	Power
anning's roughness		channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.		shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*		shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness		unit strm power (lb/ft/s)





ons	Materials	
ood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
enchment ratio		D84 (mm)
oank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
oonk hoight ratio		

ince	Forces &	Rever
anning's roughness		channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.		shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*		shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness		unit strm power (lb/ft/s)







sions	Materials	
flood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
trenchment ratio		D84 (mm)
v bank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
v bank height ratio		

nce	Forces &	Power
anning's roughness		channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.		shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*		shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness		unit strm power (lb/ft/s)
-		





Pool				
		_	•	_
			-	
50 6	60 7	0	80	90
ISions / flood prone area ( htrenchment ratio w bank height (ft) w bank height ratio	ft)	<u>Materials</u>  	D50 (mm) D84 (mm) threshold gra	in size (mm):
ance anning's roughnes Arcy-Weisbach fric sistance factor u/u lative roughness	<u> </u>	Forces & F   	Power channel slope shear stress ( shear velocity unit strm pow	(lb/sq.ft.) / (ft/s)
,				,,





	Materials	
rone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
nent ratio		D84 (mm)
height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
height ratio		

ince	Forces &	Power
anning's roughness		channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.		shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*		shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness		unit strm power (lb/ft/s)





sions	Materials	
flood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
trenchment ratio		D84 (mm)
w bank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
w bank height ratio		

ance	Forces & Power
anning's roughness	channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.	shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*	shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness	unit strm power (lb/ft/s)





sions	Materials	
flood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
trenchment ratio		D84 (mm)
w bank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
w bank height ratio		
-		

ance	Forces &	Power
anning's roughness		channel slope (%)
Arcy-Weisbach fric.		shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)
sistance factor u/u*		shear velocity (ft/s)
lative roughness		unit strm power (lb/ft/s)





Pool					_
					_
					_
					_
2 h	0	25	30	)	35
nsions / flood p	prone area (ft)		Materials	D50 (mm)	
w bank	ment ratio height (ft)			D84 (mm) threshold grain	n size (mm):
w bank	height ratio				
ance	's roughness		Forces &	Power channel slope	(%)
	Veisbach fric.			shear stress (I	
sistanc	≿e factor u/u*			shear velocity	(ft/s)
elative r	oughness			unit strm powe	er (lb/ft/s)





sions	Materials	
flood prone area (ft)		D50 (mm)
trenchment ratio		D84 (mm)
w bank height (ft)		threshold grain size (mm):
w bank height ratio		

ance	Forces & Power	
anning's roughness	channel slope (%)	
Arcy-Weisbach fric.	shear stress (lb/sq.ft.)	
sistance factor u/u*	shear velocity (ft/s)	
lative roughness	unit strm power (lb/ft/s)	
sistance factor u/u* lative roughness	shear velocity (ft/s)	

#### **APPENDIX B**

**Fixed Photo Stations** 

Stream Permanent Cross Section

#### **Stream Pebble Count Data**

2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

2005 Monitoring Profile

Stream Problem Photos





















AL-XS1


AL-XS2



AL-XS3



AL-XS4



CR-XS1



CR-XS2



## **APPENDIX B**

**Fixed Photo Stations** 

Stream Permanent Cross Section

Stream Pebble Count Data

## 2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

2005 Monitoring Profile

Stream Problem Photos

	STA	TWG	WS	LB	RB
BRIFF	1045.94	361.24	_	362.34	
			361.5	362.34	362.48
	1058.63	359.8	361.46		
	1062.23	361.2			
MRIFF	1083.24	361.26			004.05
BRIFF	1129.84	359.94	360	360.86	361.05
CPOOL	1141.61	358.47	359.64		
HEAD	1149.98	359.6			
CPOOL	1169.15	357.04	359.21		
TRIFF	1200.89	359.2		361.32	361.24
TRIFF	1200.94	358.89			
XS	1219	358.74			
BRIFF	1223.61	358.56	358.96	361.11	361.06
CPOOL	1229.7	358.36			
HEADER	1235.22	358.74			
XS	1246.43	356.55			
CPOOL	1250.62	356.44	358.72		
TRIFF	1272.72	358.34	358.79	361.23	361.22
BRIFF	1289.88	358.29 358.8	358.8	361.01	360.71
CPOOL	1296.25	357.57	358.77		
TRIFF	1299.64	358.61	358.7		
BRIFF	1305.23	357.89		359.04	
CPOOL	1310.11	357.31	358.3		
HEADER	1347.98	358.36			
CPOOL	1357.35	356.75	358.17		
TRIFF	1391.01	357.93	358.16		
BRIFF	1403.43	357.27	357.87		
CPOOL	1417.34	356.01			
TRIFF	1449.25	356.92	357.92		
BRIFF	1457.51	357.07	357.88		
CPOOL	1468.41	356.5	357.88		
TRIFF	1498.14	357.1	357.81	359.11	358.71
CPOOL	1507.55	356.44	357.8		
CPOOL	1539.34	356.48	357.88		
TRIFF	1565.14	357.14	357.89	359.1	359.45
BRIFF	1599.4	357.09	357.96	359.16	359.27
BRIFF	1616.76	356.88			
CPOOL	1638.16	355.92	357.88	359.28	358.66
TRIFF	1667.2	357.28	357.88	358.76	358.68
BRIFF	1705.97	356.92	357.85	358.79	358.71
CPOOL	1720.28	357			
HEADER	1727.98	357.79			
CPOOL	1762.18	356.03	357.25		
HEADER	1786.17	357			
CPOOL	1791.45	355.39	356.84	357.84	357.98
HEADER	1826.65	356.2	000.01	007101	007.00
CPOOL	1835.47	356.17	356.74		
RIFF	1846.41	356.1	000.7 4		
CPOOL	1860.62	355.3	356.7		
	1000.02	000.0	550.7		

#### 2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

	STA	TWG	WS	LB	RB
TRIFF	1905.43	356.12	356.67	359.51	359.61
BRIFF	1974.38	356.23	356.54	359.1	
CPOOL	1991.08	355.93	356.58		
TRIFF	2030.06	356.2	356.53	359.29	359.39
BRIFF	2049.25	355.84	356.25	359.16	
CPOOL	2059.86	355.49	356.28		
TRIFF	2076.46	356.03	356.24	359.08	359.49
XS	2132.65	356.37			
BRIFF	2147.39	356	356.24	358.52	358.6
XS	2179.93	354.6	356.16	358.47	358.61
TRIFF	2209.41	355.75			
BRIFF	2260.92	355.76	355.87	358.52	
CPOOL	2276.26	352.23	355.67	000101	
TRIFF	2289.62	355.56	355.69		
BRIFF HE	2348.51	355.36	000100		
CPOOL	2357.68	353.25			
TRIFF	2380.66	354.99	355.48	358.16	357.4
BRIFF	2434.82	354.92	355.39	357.2	356.32
CPOOL	2472.42	353.84	355.55	00112	000102
TRIFF	2559.82	355.13	355.56		
RIFF	2687.8	355.47	355.64		
RIFF DSB	2736.7	355.29	000.01		
RIFF	2737.54	354.26			
BRIFF	2739.74	354			
CPOOL	2756.64	353.04	354.16		
TRIFF	2786.57	353.79	354.2	356.68	356.39
BRIFF	2788.77	353.95	354.19	000.00	000.00
CPOOL	2800.26	352.22	354.2		
TRIFF	2831.03	353.86	354.22		
BRIFF	2853.91	353.65	353.85	355.62	355.64
CPOOL	2861.64	352.22	353.56	000.02	000.04
	2879.46	352.32	000.00		
XS	2879.59	352.46			
HEADER	2893.7	352.64			
CPOOL	2903.82	351.04	353.53		
XS	2933.11	353.28	353.49	355.49	356.18
TRIFF	2934.13	353.04	000.10	000.10	000.10
HEADER	2964.7	353.29			
CPOOL	2984.34	351.15	353.22		
TRIFF	2997.55	352.91	353.28		
MRIFF	3013.82	352.66	352.91		
HEADER	3035.07	352.67	002.01		
CPOOL	3050.65	350.73	352.89		
TRIFF	3076.24	352.64	352.88	355.92	355.02
HEADER	3085.13	352.68	002.00	000.02	000.02
CPOOL	3110.81	351.72	352.78		
HEADER	3154.81	352.74	502.10		
CPOOL	3161	351.17	352.78	355.99	355.24
TRIFF	3189.24	352.03	352.76	555.39	555.24
BRIFF	3227.77	352.03	353.08		
	5221.11	002.00	555.00		

	STA	TWG	WS	LB	RB
WS	3232.01	352.38	352.38		
CPOOL	3232.33	351.6			
TRIFF	3246.92	352.29	352.41	355.22	354.87
BRIFF	3295.68	352.13	352.33	355.18	353.84
CPOOL	3316.66	351.3	352.27		
HEADER	3347.39	352.01			
CPOOL	3365.81	350.54	351.97		
TRIFF	3417.43	351.97	352.21	355.1	356.23
BRIFF	3449.48	351.51	351.9	353.45	355.22
CPOOL	3478.04	350.14			
XS	3489.11	350.72			
TRIFF	3519.48	351.57	351.94	354.65	354.55
XS	3527.77	350.93			
HEADER	3568.27	351.36			
CPOOL	3581.08	350.15	351.87		
TRIFF	3610.57	351.35	351.88	354.04	354.82
HEADER	3645.49	351.43			
CPOOL	3672.24	349.69	351.74		
TRIFF	3696.51	351.75	351.91	354.95	
HEADER	3725.82	351.04			
CPOOL	3748.71	348.81	351.68		
TRIFF	3785.96	351.37	351.51	353.91	353.84
BRIFF	3793.53	351.32			
CPOOL	3817.2	349.92	351.39		
MGLIDE	3857.61	350.46			
HEADER	3883.06	350.24			
CPOOL	3900.88	349.84	351.31	352.44	353.86
HEADER	3937.67	351.17			
CPOOL	3957.28	348.12	351.22		
HEADER	3985.61	350.33			
CPOOL	4003.12	349.52	351.01	353.78	354.35
TRIFF	4051.34	350.47	351.16	354.04	354.18
CPOOL	4098.06	349.95	351.28	352.76	353.64
CPOOL	4126.6	350.14	351.29		
TRIFF	4190.98	351.05	351.18		
BRIFF	4289.33	350.5	350.8	353.99	353.95

## **APPENDIX B**

**Fixed Photo Stations** 

Stream Permanent Cross Section

Stream Pebble Count Data

2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

## **2005 Monitoring Profile**

Stream Problem Photos





	 		 					 						 		 	_	
					++-										++			
				++-	++-	-								 	++		H	+
360	 													 				+
																		t
																	H	-
		+++		++-	++-		+	++	++-		+++	++-	++		+		H	t
															$\square$			I
	 													 	++			÷
0.50																		
350	 													 				ŀ
																	H	t
															++			ł
340													_		_			
• • •				++-											++			t
				+T	+			HT			18	+ -		-	-		ΗŦ	
		+++		++-	++-		+	++-	++-	$\vdash$	+++	++-	++	+	+		H	t
															$\square$			
						_	_											

## **APPENDIX B**

**Fixed Photo Stations** 

Stream Permanent Cross Section

Stream Pebble Count Data

2005 Monitoring Longitudinal Profile Raw Data

2005 Monitoring Profile

**Stream Problem Photos** 

# Stream Problem Photos



SP1 – Rock in channel



SP2 – Coir fiber matting.



SP3 – Weir leak.