## ANNUAL WETLAND MONITORING REPORT YEAR 4 (2009)

### GATLIN SWAMP WETLAND RESTORATION SITE MARTIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (Contract # D05024-2)



Prepared for:

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES ECOSYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA



**Prepared by:** 



Restoration Systems, LLC 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

And

Axiom Environmental, Inc. 20 Enterprise Street, Suite 7 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

July 2009

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Restoration Systems, L.L.C. (Restoration Systems) has completed restoration of nonriverine wetlands at the Gatlin Swamp Wetland Restoration Site (hereafter referred to as the "Site") to assist the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) in fulfilling restoration goals in the region. The Site is located approximately 1.5 miles southwest of Oak City, in Martin County. The Site encompasses approximately 150.2 acres of land situated in an expansive interstream flat characterized primarily by timber production and agriculture. The project provides 138.7 acres of non-riverine wetland restoration, with benefits to water quality and wildlife in a watershed that is highly dissected for agriculture and timber production.

The Site is located within sub-basin 03-02-09 of the Roanoke River Basin. This area is part of United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit (HU) 03010107 of the South Atlantic/Gulf Region (14-digit HU 03010107120020). Site features drain to Etheridge Swamp and Conoho Creek, which is a major tributary to the Roanoke River.

A Detailed Wetland Restoration Plan was completed for the Site in September 2005. The plan outlined methods designed to restore agricultural fields that had been ditched, drained, and cleared for row crop production. Prior to implementation, the entire 150.2 acre Site contained 138.7 acres of hydric soil that had been effectively drained and contained no jurisdictional wetlands. The Detailed Wetland Restoration Plan outlined restoration procedures including 1) ditch cleaning prior to backfill, 2) depression construction, 3) impervious ditch plug construction, 4) ditch backfilling, 5) floodplain soil scarification, and 6) plant community restoration.

The following objectives were proposed to provide mitigation credit requested under the EEP Request For Proposal (RFP) #16-D05024 dated October 22, 2004:

- Provide 125 acres of nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units, as calculated in accordance with the requirements stipulated in RFP #16-D05024.
- Restore approximately 125 acres of wetland through filling agricultural ditches, removal of spoil castings, eliminating row crop production activities, and/or planting with native forest species.
- Protect the Site in perpetuity with a conservation easement which is held by the State of North Carolina.

As constructed, the Site provides 138.7 acres of non-riverine wetland restoration and 11.5 acres of forested upland buffer.

In summary, the Site achieved the defined (or targeted) success criteria.

- 1. Saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 5 percent (12 consecutive days) of the growing season, for all Site groundwater gauges in the Fourth Monitoring Year (Year 2009).
- 2. Vegetation plots across the Site were well above the required 290 stems per acre with an average of 1009 tree stems per acre in the Fourth Monitoring Year (Year 2009).

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE	SUMMARY	i
1.0 INTRO	DUCTION	1
2.0 MONIT	FORING PROGRAM	4
2.1 Wetla	and Hydrology	4
2.1.1	Hydrology Monitoring Procedure	4
2.1.2	Hydrologic Success Criteria	5
2.1.3	Hydrological Monitoring Results and Comparison with Success Criteria	5
2.2 Vege	tation	6
2.2.1	Vegetation Monitoring Procedure	6
2.2.2	Vegetation Success Criteria	6
2.2.3	Vegetation Sampling Results and Comparison to Success Criteria	8
3.0 CONC	LUSIONS	8
4.0 REFER	ENCES	11

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	2009 (Year 4) Groundwater Gauge Results	6
Table 2.	Character Tree Species	7
Table 4.	Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results	8
Table 3.	2009 Vegetation Monitoring Data and Results	9
Table 5.	Summary of Vegetation Plot Results	10

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Site Map	2
Figure 2.	Monitoring Plan	4

### APPENDICES

Appendix A.	2009 Groundwater Gauge Data
Appendix B.	Vegetation Plot Photographs

### GATLIN SWAMP WETLAND RESTORATION SITE ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT YEAR 4 (2009) MARTIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Restoration Systems established the Gatlin Swamp Wetland Restoration Site (Site) in the Coastal Plain region of the Roanoke River Basin (14-digit HU 03010107120020). The Site is located approximately 1.5 miles southwest of Oak City, in Martin County (Figure 1). The Site encompasses approximately 150.2 acres of land situated in an expansive interstream flat characterized primarily by timber production and agriculture. The project offers 138.7 acres of nonriverine wetland restoration, with benefits to water quality and wildlife in a watershed that is highly dissected for agriculture and timber production.

A Detailed Wetland Restoration Plan was completed for the Site in September 2005. The plan outlined methods designed to restore agricultural fields that had been ditched, drained, and cleared for row crop production. Prior to implementation, the entire 150.2 acre Site contained 138.7 acres of hydric soil that had been effectively drained and contained no jurisdictional wetlands. The Detailed Wetland Restoration Plan outlined restoration procedures including 1) ditch cleaning prior to backfill, 2) depression construction, 3) impervious ditch plug construction, 4) ditch backfilling, 5) floodplain soil scarification, and 6) plant community restoration.

The following objectives were proposed to provide mitigation credit requested under the EEP Request For Proposal (RFP) #16-D05024 dated October 22, 2004:

- Provide 125 acres of nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units, as calculated in accordance with the requirements stipulated in RFP #16-D05024.
- Restore approximately 125 acres of wetland through filling agricultural ditches, removal of spoil castings, eliminating row crop production activities, and/or planting with native forest species.
- Protect the Site in perpetuity with a conservation easement which is held by the State of North Carolina.

As constructed, the Site provides 138.7 acres of non-riverine wetland restoration and 11.5 acres of forested upland buffer (Figure 2).

Upon completion of the detailed restoration plan, construction schematics were developed and construction was initiated in October 2005. Anderson Farms completed earthwork and grading at the Site in December of 2005. Carolina Silvics completed planting of the Site in January 2006. Axiom Environmental, Inc. completed as-built mitigation plans and as-built construction drawings in January 2006.





## **GROUNDWATER GAUGES**

INFINITY CONTINUOUS MONITORING GAUGES INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS OUTLINED IN U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, INSTALLING MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS IN WETLANDS (WRP TECHNICAL NOTE HY-IA-3.1, AUGUST 1993). SCREENS ARE SET TO A DEPTH OF APPROXIMATELY 18 INCHES. GAUGES ARE PROGRAMMED TO RECORD AT 24-HOUR INTERVALS. DAILY RAINFALL IS CORRELATED FROM DATA OBTAINED AT A NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE STATION LOCATED IN TARBORO (STATION 318500 - COOP).

REFERENCE GAUGES (R1 AND R2) - LOCATED 250 AND 295 FEET NORTH OF PROJECT PROPERTY LINE

# VEGETATION MONITORING PLOT

VEGETATION MONITORING PLOTS ARE COMPRISED OF TRANSECTS, 600 FEET IN LENGTH AND 7.25 FEET IN WIDTH CENTERED ON EACH OF THE FIVE GROUNDWATER MONITORING GAUGES. IN EACH MONITORING PLOT, VEGETATION PARAMATERS TO BE MONITOIRED INCLUDE SPECIES COMPOSITION AND DENSITY. Information on project managers, owners, and contractors follows:

Owner Information Restoration Systems, L.L.C. George Howard and John Preyer 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 (919) 755-9490

Designer Information Axiom Environmental, Inc. W. Grant Lewis 20 Enterprise Streeet, Suite 7 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 (919) 215-1693 Earthwork Contractor Information Anderson Farms Gary Wilkerson and Richard Anderson 179 NC 97 East Tarboro, North Carolina 27886 (252) 823-4730

Planting Contractor Information Carolina Silvics Dwight McKinney 908 Indian Trail Road Edenton, North Carolina 27932 (919) 523-4375

### 2.0 MONITORING PROGRAM

The Site monitoring protocol consists of a comparison between reference and restoration areas along with evaluation of jurisdictional wetland criteria (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Monitoring will entail analysis of two primary parameters: hydrology and vegetation. Monitoring of restoration efforts will be performed for a minimum of 5 years or until success criteria are fulfilled. The monitoring program is described below.

### 2.1 Wetland Hydrology

### 2.1.1 Hydrology Monitoring Procedure

After hydrological modifications were completed at the Site, continuously recording monitoring gauges were installed in accordance with specifications outlined in *Installing Monitoring Wells/Piezometers in Wetlands* (NCWRP 1993). Monitoring gauges were set to a depth of approximately 24 inches below the soil surface. Screened portions of each gauge were surrounded by filter fabric, buried in a sand screen, and sealed with a bentonite cap to prevent siltation and surface flow infiltration during floods.

Five monitoring gauges were installed in wetland restoration areas to provide representative coverage of the Site (Figure 2). Two gauges were also placed in a reference area in similar landscape positions to use as comparison with onsite conditions (Figure 1). Hydrological sampling will be performed in restoration and reference areas during the growing season (March

16 and November 14) at daily intervals necessary to satisfy the hydrology success criteria within each physiographic landscape area.

### 2.1.2 Hydrologic Success Criteria

Target hydrological goals have been developed using regulatory wetland hydrology criteria and reference wetland sites.

### Regulatory Wetland Hydrology Criteria

The regulatory wetland hydrology criterion requires saturation (free water) within 1 foot of the soil surface for 5 percent of the growing season under normal climatic conditions. In some instances, the regulatory wetland hydroperiod may extend between 5 and 12.5 percent of the growing season.

### Reference Groundwater Modeling

The reference groundwater model forecasted the wetland hydroperiod in restoration areas will range between approximately 2 and 26 percent of the growing season in early successional phases. Because wetland hydroperiods during old field stages of wetland development are projected to extend for less than 12.5 percent of the growing season, wetland monitoring plans that extend for a five year period after restoration will utilize a minimum 5 percent wetland hydrology criterion to substantiate restoration success.

### Reference Wetland Sites

Two monitoring gauges were installed in reference wetlands located in the northern and eastern periphery of the Site. Wetland hydroperiods measured by groundwater gauges located within the reference areas will be compared to hydroperiods exhibited by groundwater gauges in the restoration area to further evaluate restoration success. Success criteria outlined by the groundwater model indicates that the wetland restoration area should maintain saturation within one foot of the soil surface for at least 74 percent of the hydroperiod exhibited by the reference wetland gauges in any given year.

Under normal climatic conditions, the hydrologic success criterion requires saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 5 percent of the growing season. This hydroperiod translates to saturation for a minimum, 12-day (5 percent) consecutive period during the growing season, which extends from March 16 and November 14 (244 days) (USDA 1977).

In atypical dry years, the hydroperiod must exceed 75 percent of the hydroperiod exhibited by the reference gauges. Reference gauge data will be used to compare wetland hydroperiods between the restoration areas and relatively undisturbed reference wetlands. This data will supplement regulatory evaluation of success criteria and also provide information that will allow interpretation of mitigation success in years not supporting "normal" rainfall conditions.

### 2.1.3 Hydrological Monitoring Results and Comparison with Success Criteria

Hydrographs for each monitoring location are provided in Appendix A along with daily rainfall totals for 2009. Groundwater data presented in Table 1 were collected through July 23, 2009 for reference and restoration area gauges. Data will continue to be downloaded monthly until the end of the 2009 growing season (November 14, 2008) and will be available upon request.

All Site groundwater gauges achieved the defined (or targeted) success criteria for hydrology, saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 5 percent (12 consecutive days) of the growing season, in the Fourth Monitoring Year (Year 2009) (Table 1).

Gauge	Max Consecutive Days Saturated During Growing Season (Percentage) *	Defined (or Targeted) Success Criteria Achieved
1	43 days (17.6 %)	Yes
2	43 days (17.6 %)	Yes
3	46 days (18.9 %)	Yes
4	44 days (18.0 %)	Yes
5	45 days (18.4 %)	Yes
Ref 1	43 days (17.6 %)	Yes
Ref 2	48 days (19.7 %)	Yes

Table 1. 2009 (Year 4) Groundwater Gauge Results

\* Gauge data presented in the table were collected through July 23, 2009 for the Gatlin Swamp reference and restoration area gauges. Data will continue to be downloaded monthly for the remainder of the 2009 growing season (until November 14, 2009) and will be available upon request.

### 2.2 Vegetation

### 2.2.1 Vegetation Monitoring Procedure

Restoration monitoring procedures for vegetation are designed in accordance with United States Environmental Protection Agency guidelines presented in Mitigation Site Type (MiST) documentation (USEPA 1990) and United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Compensatory Hardwood Mitigation Guidelines (USDOA 1993). The following presents a general discussion of the monitoring program.

During the first year, vegetation received visual evaluations on a periodic basis to ascertain the degree of overtopping of planted species by nuisance species. Quantitative sampling was conducted in late summer of the first year. Subsequently, quantitative sampling of vegetation will be performed between June 1 and September 30 of each monitoring year for five years or until the vegetation success criteria are achieved.

Five sample transects were installed within planted areas of the Site to equally represent the various hydrologic regimes (Figure 2). Each transect is 600 feet in length and 7.25 feet in width (0.1 acre). Transects were centered on the five groundwater monitoring gauges and are defined by the corresponding gauge number. In each sample plot, vegetation parameters monitored include species composition and species density. Photographs of the five vegetation plots are included in Appendix B.

### 2.2.2 Vegetation Success Criteria

Success criteria have been established to verify that the vegetation component supports community elements necessary for floodplain forest development. Success criteria are dependent upon the density and growth of Character forest species. Additional success criteria are dependent upon density and growth of "Character Tree Species." Character Tree Species include planted

species and species identified through inventory of an approved reference (relatively undisturbed) forest community used to design the planting plan. All canopy tree species planted and identified in the reference forest will be utilized to define "Character Tree Species" as termed in the success criteria.

PLANTED SPECIES	<b>REFERENCE SITE SPECIES</b>
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)	Northern Red Oak (Quercus rubra)
Pond Pine (Pinus serotina)	Red Maple (Acer rubrum)
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	White Oak (Quercus alba)
Cherrybark Oak (Quercus pagoda)	Bitternut Hickory (Carya cordiformis)
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)	Hop Hornbean (Ostrya virginiana)
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)	Tulip Tree (Liriodendron tulipifera)
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)	Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)
Sweetbay (Magnolia virginiana)	American Beech (Fagus grandiflora)
River Birch (Betula nigra)	Mockernut Hickory (Carya tomentosa)
	American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)
	Ironwood (Carpinus carolinia)
	Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)
	Hackberry (Celtis laevigata)
	Green Ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)

 Table 2. Character Tree Species

An average density of 320 stems per acre of Character Tree Species must be surviving in the first three monitoring years. Subsequently, 290 Character Tree Species per acre must be surviving in year 4 and 260 Character Tree Species per acre in year 5. Planted species must represent a minimum of 30 percent of the required stems per acre total (87 stems per acre in year 4). Planted Character Tree Species may serve as a seed source for species maintenance during mid-successional phases of forest development. Each naturally recruited Character Tree Species may represent up to 10 percent of the required stems per acre total. In essence, seven naturally recruited Character Tree Species may represent a maximum of 70 percent of the required stems per acre total. Additional stems of naturally recruited species above the 10 percent and 70 percent thresholds are discarded from the statistical analysis.

If vegetation success criteria are not achieved based on average density calculations from combined plots over the entire restoration area, supplemental planting may be performed with tree species listed in the Restoration Plan or observed in the Reference Site. Supplemental planting will be performed as needed until achievement of vegetation success criteria.

No quantitative sampling requirements are proposed for herb assemblages as part of the vegetation success criteria. Development of floodplain forests over several decades will dictate the success in migration and establishment of desired understory and groundcover populations.

### 2.2.3 Vegetation Sampling Results and Comparison to Success Criteria

Quantitative sampling of vegetation was conducted in July 2009. Results are provided in Table 3. Vegetation success criteria for year 4 (290 tree stems per acre) were exceeded for the 2009 annual monitoring year with an average of 1009 tree stems per acre across the Site. Each individual vegetation plot met success criteria with a range of 738 to 1176 tree stems per acre.

### 3.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Site achieved the defined (or targeted) success criteria, with saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 5 percent (12 consecutive days) of the growing season, for all Site groundwater gauges in the Fourth Monitoring Year (Year 2009). A summary of groundwater gauge data for the year 1 (2006) through year 4 (2009) is included in Table 4. Also, vegetation plots across the Site were well above the required 320 stems per acre with an average of 1009 tree stems per acre in the Fourth Monitoring Year (Year 2009) (Table 5).

	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season						
Gauge	(Percentage)						
	Year 1 (2006)	Year 2 (2007)	Year 3 (2008)	Year 4 (2009)	Year 5 (2010)		
1	Yes/56 days	Yes/25 days	Yes/132 days	Yes/43 days			
1	(23 percent)	(10 percent)	(54 percent)	(17.6 %)			
2	Yes/56 days	Yes/25 days	Yes/71 days	Yes/43 days			
2	(23 percent)	(10 percent)	(29 percent)	(17.6 %)			
3	Yes/56 days	Yes/48 days	Yes/88 days	Yes/46 days			
	(23 percent)	(20 percent)	(36 percent)	(18.9 %)			
4	Yes/56 days	Yes/48 days	Yes/71 days	Yes/44 days			
4	(23 percent)	(20 percent)	(29 percent)	(18.0 %)			
5	Yes/174 days	Yes/99 days	Yes/60 days	Yes/45 days			
5	(71 percent)	(41 percent)	(25 percent)	(18.4 %)			
Dof 1	Yes/101 days		Yes/68 days	Yes/43 days			
Kel I	(41 percent)		(28 percent)	(17.6 %)			
Ref 2	Yes/117 days	Yes/66 days	Yes/70 days	Yes/48 days			
	(48 percent)	(27 percent)	(29 percent)	(19.7 %)			

Table 4. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results

2009 VEGETATION MONITORING DATA AND RESULTS Note: Each plot totals 0.1 acre in size.

Community				Pine	Flatwood	s		
Species (Note: bold species were planted)	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 4	Plot 5	Total Stems Plots 1-5	Total Stems/ Acre	Total Stems/Acre Counting Towards Success Criteria*
Character Tree Species (count toward success criteria)								
Acer rubrum (red maple)	3	1	3	12	Э	22	44	29
Betula nigra (river birch)	9	7	16	6	19	57	114	114
Diospyros virginiana (persimmon)	1	0	1	1	0	3	9	9
Liquidambar styraciflua (sweetgum)	5	1	2	4	2	14	28	28
Magnolia virginiana (sweetbay)	9	2	6	2	9	25	50	50
<i>Nyssa</i> sp. (black gum)	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4
Pinus sp. (pine)	31	21	26	85	54	160	320	320
Populus heterophylla (cottonwood)	26	31	27	61	48	181	362	29
Prunus serotina (black cherry)	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2
Quercus falcata (southern red oak)	4	1	9	3	4	18	36	36
Quercus michauxii (swamp chestnut oak)	7	12	11	8	10	48	96	96
Quercus nigra (water oak)	6	3	4	5	9	24	48	48
Quercus pagoda (cherrybark oak)	22	L	10	15	10	64	128	128
Quercus phellos (willow oak)	6	13	9	3	10	41	82	82
Quercus sp. (oak)	2	0	0	0	2	4	8	8
Salix sp. (willow)	57	31	76	66	32	262	524	29
<b>Species that Don't Count Toward Success Criteria</b>								
Baccharis halimifolia (eastern baccharis)	26	80	80	17	30	233	466	0
Rhus copallinum (winged sumac)	0	0	5	1	0	6	12	0
TOTAL	211	210	312	225	207	1165	2330	
TOTAL STEMS/PLOT COUNTING TOWARDS SUCCESS CRITERIA	106	74	100	118	103			
TOTAL STEMS/ACRE COUNTING TOWARDS SUCCESS CRITERIA	1057	738	866	1176	1028			1009
<ul> <li>Success criteria requires that no naturally recruited spec species can provide more than 29 stems/acre towards succes</li> </ul>	ties may ma ss criteria (o	ke up more t or 2.9 stems/	han 10 perce 0.1 acre plot	ent of the 29().	) stem/acre t	otal. Using tl	his criteria, 1	no naturally recruited

	St	ems/Acre Coun	ting Towards S	Success Criteri	a
Plot	Year 1 (2006)	Year 2 (2007)	Year 3 (2008)	Year 4 (2009)	Year 5 (2010)
1	770	730	744	1057	
2	670	650	664	738	
3	640	900	854	998	
4	550	670	874	1176	
5	680	700	784	1028	
Average of Plots 1-5	708	736	784	1009	

Table 5. Summary of Vegetation Plot Results

### 4.0 **REFERENCES**

- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. United States Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- North Carolina Wetlands Restoration Program (NCWRP). 1993. Installing Monitoring Wells/Piezometers in Wetlands (WRP Technical Note HY-IA-3.1). North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 1977. Soil Survey of Martin County, North Carolina. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- United States Department of the Army (USDOA). 1993 (unpublished). Compensatory Hardwood Mitigation Guidelines. United States Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1990. Mitigation Site Classification (MiST). A Methodology to Classify Pre-Project Mitigation Sites and Develop Performance Standards for Construction and Restoration of Forested Wetlands. USEPA Workshop, August 13-15, 1989. USEPA Region IV and Hardwood Research Cooperative, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

### APPPENDIX A 2009 GROUNDWATER GAUGE DATA

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Gauge 1 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Gauge 2 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Gauge 3 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Gauge 4 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Gauge 5 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Reference Gauge 1 Year 4 (2009 Data)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gatlin Swamp Ground Water Reference Gauge 2 Year 4 (2009 Data)

### APPPENDIX B VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Gatlin Swamp Wetland Restoration Site Vegetation Plot Photographs Taken July 2009

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)