

# MONITORING YEAR 6 ANNUAL REPORT Final

## HOPEWELL STREAM MITIGATION SITE

Randolph County, NC DEQ Contract No. 004642 DMS Project No. 95352 USACE Action ID Number 2012-01111 NCDWR Project Number 13-0933

Data Collection Period: February – September 2020 Final Submission Date: January 20, 2021

### **PREPARED FOR:**



NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

**PREPARED BY:** 



1430 South Mint Street, Suite 104 Charlotte, NC 28203

> Phone: 704.332.7754 Fax: 704.332.3306

100 Mitigation Project Name DMS ID River Basin Cataloging Unit County

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site 95352 Yadkin 03040104 Randolph

USACE Action ID
DWR Permit
Date Project Instituted
Date Prepared
Stream/Wet. Service Area

2012-01111 2013-0933 6/12/2012 4/20/2020 Yadkin 03040104

Signature & Date of Official Approving Credit Release

1 - For NCDMS, no credits are released during the first milestone

2 - For NCDMS projects, the initial credit release milestone occurs automatically when the as-built report (baseline monitoring report) has been made available to the IRT by posting it to the DMS portal, provided the following have been met:

1) Approved of Final Mitigation Plan

2) Recordation of the preservation mechanism, as well as a title opinion acceptable to the USACE covering the property.

3) Completion of all physical and biological improvements to the mitigation site pursuant to the mitigation plan.

4) Receipt of necessary DA permit authorization or written DA approval for projects where DA permit issuance is not required.

3 - A 10% reserve of credits is to be held back until the bankfull event performance standard has been met.

Credit Release Milestone	Warm Stream Credits											
Project Credits	Scheduled Releases %	Proposed Releases %	Proposed Released #	Not Approved # Releases	Approved Credits	Anticipated Release Year	Actual Release Date					
1 - Site Establishment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2 - Year 0 / As-Built	30.00%	30.00%	2,223.640	0.000	2,223.640	2015	4/16/2015					
3 - Year 1 Monitoring	10.00%	10.00%	741.213	0.000	741.213	2016	4/25/2016					
4 - Year 2 Monitoring	10.00%	10.00%	741.213	0.000	741.213	2017	4/3/2017					
5 - Year 3 Monitoring	10.00%	10.00%	741.213	0.000	741.213	2018	4/25/2018					
6 - Year 4 Monitoring	5.00%	5.00%	370.607	0.000	370.607	2019	4/26/2019					
7 - Year 5 Monitoring	10.00%	10.00%	741.213	0.000	741.213	2020	4/20/2020					
8 - Year 6 Monitoring	5.00%					2021						
9 - Year 7 Monitoring	10.00%					2022						
Stream Bankfull Standard	10.00%	10.00%	741.213	0.000	741.213	2017	4/3/2017					
			Totals		6,300.312							

Total Gross Credits	7,412.133
Total Unrealized Credits to Date	0.000
Total Released Credits to Date	6,300.312
Total Percentage Released	85.00%
Remaining Unreleased Credits	1,111.821

Notes

Contingencies (if any)

### **Project Quantities**

Mitigation Type	tigation Type Restoration Type				
Warm Stream	Restoration	4,037.000			
Warm Stream	Enhancement I	866.000			
Warm Stream	Enhancement II	6,584.000			
Warm Stream	Preservation	821.000			

101 Mitigation Project Na DMS ID River Basin Cataloging Unit County	on Project Name Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site 95352 asin Yadkin ing Unit 03040104				USACE Actio DWR Permit Date Projec Date Prepar Stream/We	: t Instituted	2012-01111 2013-0933 6/12/2012 4/20/2020 a Yadkin 03040104		
Debits							Stream Restoration Credits	Stream Restoration Equivalent Credits	
Beginning Balance (n	nitigation cred	its)					7,247.933	164.200	
Released Credits							6,160.742	139.570	
Unrealized Credits							0.000	0.000	
Owning Program	Req. Id	TIP #	Project Name	USACE Permit #	DWR Permit #	DCM Permit #			
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007228	R-2536	US 64 - Asheboro Bypass	2002-01260	2016-0299		2,422.200		
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007228	R-2536	US 64 - Asheboro Bypass	2002-01260	2016-0299		346.400		
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007228	R-2536	US 64 - Asheboro Bypass	2002-01260	2016-0299		1,580.160		
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007918	R-2530B	R-2530B - NC 24 / 27 Improvements	2008-02315	2018-1416		1,000.590		
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007228	R-2536	US 64 - Asheboro Bypass	2002-01260	2016-0299			98.520	
NCDOT Stream & Wetland ILF Program	REQ-007918	R-2530B	R-2530B - NC 24 / 27 Improvements	2008-02315	2018-1416			24.630	
Total Credits Debited							5,349.350	123.150	
Remaining Available	balance (Relea	ased credits)					811.392	16.420	
Remaining balance (	temaining balance (Unreleased credits)								



January 20, 2021

Mr. Harry Tsomides Project Manager Division of Mitigation Services 5 Ravenscroft Dr., Suite 102 Asheville, NC 28801

## RE: Monitoring Year 6 Annual Report – Final Submittal Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 DEQ Contract No. 004642

Dear Mr. Tsomides:

Wildlands Engineering, Inc. (Wildlands) has reviewed the Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) comments from the Draft Monitoring Year 6 report for the Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site. The following Wildlands responses to DMS's report comments are noted in italics lettering.

# DMS comment; Please indicate the container size, species, and quantities for the supplemental planting along UT1B R1 and UT2C R2. This can be part of Appendix 6.

Wildlands Response; A table with species, container size, and quantities for the supplemental plantings in the sweetgum treatment area and newly captured easement along UT1B R1 have been added to Appendix 6. Supplemental planting was only performed on UT1B R1 per the IRT/DMS site meeting in May 2019. No supplemental planting was performed on the smaller sweetgum treatment area along UT2C R2.

# DMS Support Digital File Comment; The submitted CCPV geodatabase is read-only. Please confirm that these data are accessible in ArcMap/Pro and resubmit.

Wildlands Response; The CCPV geodatabase was reformatted and should be accessible in ArcMap/Pro. The updated geodatabase is included with the final submittal.

## DMS Digital File Comment; Please include monitoring photos as JPEGs.

Wildlands Response; The photographs have been converted to JPEGs.

DMS Digital File Comment; The CVS Table 7 export has a different PnoLS value for plot 24 when compared to what is included in the monitoring report. Please verify the data in the CVS mdb are correct and make changes where necessary, resubmitting the CVS mdb if any data is edited.

Wildlands Response; The data in the CVS database has been revised in Plot 24. The discrepancy in planted stems (PnoLS) reported in Plot 24 on the CVS generated Table 7 appeared to be a CVS database issue. The database would not recognize two volunteers that had been present during the two previous monitoring years and in MY6 were being entered as planted stems. Wildlands could only resolve the



issue by shifting the two stems back to volunteers in the database. The planted stems in the monitoring report table (Table 9e) and CVS Table 7 export now match. The revised CVS database is included with the final submittal. The overall planted stem density has been updated in the report to reflect the CVS database revision.

Two (2) hard copies of the Final Monitoring Report and a full electronic submittal has been mailed to the DMS western field office. Please contact me at 704-332-7754 x110 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Just Juggs

Kristi Suggs Senior Environmental Scientist ksuggs@wildlandseng.com

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Wildlands Engineering, Inc. (Wildlands) completed a full delivery project at the Hopewell Mitigation Site (Site) for the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) to restore, enhance, and preserve a total of 12,308 linear feet (LF) of perennial and intermittent streams in Randolph County, NC. The Site is expected to generate 7,412.133 stream mitigation units (SMUs) by closeout. The Site is located near the town of Asheboro in the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin. The eight-digit Cataloging Unit (CU) is 03040104 and the 14-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) is 03040104030010 (Figure 1). The project streams consist of the Little River and five unnamed tributaries (UTs) to the Little River (Figure 2). The Little River eventually flows into the Pee Dee River near the town of Ingram in Richmond County, while the five unnamed streams are small headwater tributaries to the Little River. The project watershed consists primarily of pastureland and forest.

The Site is in the Little River watershed, which was designated as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in the 2009 Lower Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin Restoration Priorities (RBRP) plan. The RBRP plan does not specifically identify stressors or project goals in this TLW, but states that continuing watershed improvements will increase ecological uplift. The intent of this project is to help meet the goals for the watershed outlined in the RBRP and provide numerous ecological benefits within the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin.

The project goals established in the Mitigation Plan (Wildlands, 2013) were established with careful consideration of goals and objectives that were described in the RBRP and to meet DMS mitigation needs while maximizing the ecological and water quality uplift within the watershed. The following project goals established include:

- Restoring a degraded stream impacted by cattle to create and improve aquatic habitat, reduce sediment inputs from streambank erosion, and reduce agricultural runoff pollution; and
- Restoring a riparian buffer along stream corridors for additional terrestrial and aquatic habitat, nutrient input reduction, and water quality benefits.

The Site construction, planting, and as-built surveys were completed between July 2014 and January 2015. Annual monitoring activities have been conducted since 2015 with an anticipated closeout date in 2022. A conservation easement is in place on 35.4 acres of the riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity.

Monitoring Year (MY) 6 assessments and site visits were completed between February and September 2020 to assess the conditions of the project. Overall, the Site has met the required stream and vegetation success criteria for MY6. The overall average stem density for the Site is 424 stems per acre and is on track to meeting MY7 success criteria of 210 stems per acre. Stem heights within the vegetation plots average 13.4 feet in MY6 with most plots exceeding the final stem height success criteria of 10 feet. All restored and enhanced streams are stable and functioning as designed. Multiple bankfull events have been recorded since project construction and the Site has met the MY7 hydrology success criteria in which two or more bankfull events must have occurred in separate years within the restoration reaches.



## HOPEWELL STREAM MITIGATION SITE

Monitoring Year 6 Annual Report

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW	3
1.1 Project Goals and Objectives	3
1.2 Monitoring Year 4 Data Assessment	4
1.2.1 Vegetative Assessment	5
1.2.2 Stream Assessment	5
1.2.3 Areas of Concern/Adaptive Management Plan	6
1.2.4 Hydrology Assessment	6
1.3 Monitoring Year 6 Summary	6
Section 2: METHODOLOGY	1
Section 3: REFERENCES	1

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1	General Figures and Tables
Figure 1	Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Project Component Map
Table 1	Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Table 2	Project Activity and Reporting History
Table 3	Project Contacts Table
Table 4	Project Information and Attributes
Appendix 2	Visual Assessment Data
Figure 3.0-3.7	Integrated Current Condition Plan View
Table 5a-f	Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table
Table 6	Vegetation Condition Assessment Table
	Stream Photographs & Vegetation Photographs
Appendix 3	Vegetation Plot Data
Table 7	Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Table
Table 8	CVS Vegetation Tables - Metadata
Table 9a-g	Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)
Appendix 4	Morphological Summary Data and Plots
Table 10a-d	Baseline Stream Data Summary
Table 11a-b	Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Section)
Table 12a-g	Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary
	Cross Section Plots
	Reachwide and Cross Section Pebble Count Plots
Appendix 5	Hydrology Summary Data
Table 13a-c	Verification of Bankfull Events
	Monthly Rainfall Plot
Appendix 6	IRT MY4 Credit Release Site Walk Meeting Minutes
	MY6 Supplemental Planting – UT1B Reach 1 Summary Table
Appendix 7	MY6 Sweetgum Treatment & Supplemental Planting - UT1B Reach 1 Photographs



# Section 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Site is located in central Randolph County within the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit 03040104) near the town of Asheboro, North Carolina. The Site is located along Hopewell Friends Road, Mack Road, and Pisgah Covered Bridge Road, just west of Interstate 74/73. The Site is in in the Carolina Slate Belt of the Piedmont Physiographic Province (USGS, 1998). The project watershed consists primarily of agricultural and wooded land. The only significant development in the watershed is within the northern extent which includes portions of the City of Asheboro. The drainage area for the western portion of the project site is 429 acres (0.67 square miles). The drainage area for the eastern portion of the project site, which includes a reach on the Little River, is 4,517 acres (7.06 square miles).

The project streams consist of the Little River and five unnamed tributaries (UTs) to the Little River. Mitigation work within the Site included restoration, enhancement, and preservation of 12,308 linear feet (LF) of perennial and intermittent stream channel. Stream restoration reaches included UT2 Reach 1 and 2, UT2A Reach 2, UT2B Reach 2, and UT2C Reach 2 and 3. Stream enhancement I (EI) included UT1B Reach 1 and UT2A Reach 1. Stream enhancement II (EII) reaches included Little River Reach 2, UT1A Reach 1, UT1B Reach 2 and 3, UT2B Reach 1, and UT2C Reach 1. Preservation reaches on the Site included Little River Reach 1 and UT1A Reach 2.

The riparian areas were planted with native vegetation to improve habitat and protect water quality. Construction activities were completed by Terry's Plumbing and Land Mechanics Designs, Inc. in November 2014. Planting and seeding activities were completed by Bruton Natural Systems, Inc., and Terry's Plumbing in January 2015. A conservation easement has been recorded and is in place along the stream riparian corridors to protect them in perpetuity. Baseline monitoring (MY0) was conducted between December 2014 and January 2015. Annual monitoring will be conducted for seven years with the close-out anticipated to commence in 2022 given the success criteria are met. The conservation easement includes 35.954 acres (Deed Book 2371, Page 108-122) within a tract owned by Double T Farms of Randolph, LLC. The project provides 7,412.133 stream mitigation units (SMU's). Appendix 1 provides more detailed project activity, history, contact information, and watershed/site background information for this project.

Directions and a map of the Site are provided in Figure 1 and project components are illustrated for the Site in Figure 2.

## 1.1 Project Goals and Objectives

Prior to construction activities, many of the streams on the Site, especially those that were accessed less by cattle, exhibited relative stability. However, other project reaches appeared incised and had been severely trampled by cattle resulting in unstable banks and the bed morphologies were often destroyed. Table 4 in Appendix 1 and Tables 10a through 10d in Appendix 4 present the pre-restoration conditions in detail.

This Site is intended to provide numerous ecological benefits within the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin. The Site will help meet the goals for the watershed outlined in the RBRP and provide numerous ecological benefits within the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin. While many of these benefits are limited to the Hopewell project area, others, such as pollutant removal, reduced sediment loading, and improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat, have farther-reaching effects. Expected improvements to water quality and ecological processes are outlined below as project goals and objectives. These project goals were established with careful consideration of goals and objectives that were described in the RBRP and to meet DMS mitigation needs while maximizing the ecological and water quality uplift within the watershed.



The RBRP describes the goals for the 8-digit HUC as the following:

- Continuation of watershed improvement efforts already on-going,
- Protection of valuable natural resources, and
- Development of local partnerships that will work together to implement management strategies for stormwater impacts.

The following project specific goals were established in the mitigation plan (Wildlands, 2013) to contribute to meeting management goals as described above for the Yadkin-Pee Dee Catalog Unit 03040104 and the Little River TLW include:

- Restoring a degraded stream impacted by cattle to create and improve aquatic habitat, reduce sediment inputs from streambank erosion, and reduce agricultural runoff pollution; and
- Restoring a riparian buffer along stream corridors for additional terrestrial and aquatic habitat, nutrient input reduction, and water quality benefits.

The project goals were addressed through the following project objectives as stated in the Mitigation Plan:

- On-site nutrient inputs will be decreased by removing cattle from streams and filtering on-site runoff through buffer zones. Off-site nutrient inputs will be absorbed on-site by filtering flood flows through restored floodplain areas, where flood flow will spread through native vegetation;
- Restored buffers and exclusion of livestock to streams will significantly reduce inputs of livestock wastes to streams. This will eliminate a major source of fecal coliform pollution;
- Streambank erosion which contributes sediment load to the creek will be greatly reduced, if not eliminated, in the project area. Eroding stream banks will be stabilized using bioengineering, natural channel design techniques, and grading to reduce bank angles and bank height. Storm flow containing fine sediment will be filtered through restored floodplain areas, where flow will spread through native vegetation. Spreading flood flows will also reduce velocity and allow sediment to settle out. Sediment transport capacity of restored reaches will be improved, so that capacity balances more closely with load;
- Restored riffle/pool sequences will promote aeration of water and create deep water zones, helping to lower water temperature. Establishment and maintenance of riparian buffers will create long-term shading of the channel flow to minimize thermal heating. Lower water temperatures will help maintain dissolved oxygen concentrations;
- In-stream structures will be constructed to improve habitat diversity and trap detritus. Wood habitat structures will be included in the stream as part of the restoration design. Such structures may include log drops and riffle structures that incorporate woody debris;
- Adjacent buffer and riparian habitats will be restored with native vegetation as part of the project. Native vegetation will provide cover and food for terrestrial wildlife. Native plant species will be planted and invasive species will be treated. Eroding and unstable areas will also be stabilized with vegetation as part of this project; and
- The restored land will be protected in perpetuity through a conservation easement.

The design streams were restored to the appropriate type based on the surrounding landscape, climate, and natural vegetation communities, but also with strong consideration to existing watershed conditions and trajectory.

## **1.2 Monitoring Year 4 Data Assessment**

Annual monitoring and site visits were conducted during MY6 to assess the condition of the project. The stream and vegetation success criteria for the Site follows the approved success criteria presented in the



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Plan (Wildlands, 2013). The MY6 vegetation and stream surveys were completed in September 2020.

## **1.2.1** Vegetative Assessment

A total of 31 vegetation plots were established during baseline monitoring within the project easement areas. All of the plots were installed using a standard 10 meter by 10 meter plot. The final vegetative success criteria will be the survival of 210 planted stems per acre in the riparian corridor along restored and enhanced reaches at the end of the MY7. Planted vegetation must average 10 feet in height in each plot at the end of the seventh year of monitoring.

The 2020 vegetation monitoring planted stem densities among the vegetation plots ranged from 243 stems/acre to 607 stems/acre with an overall average stem density of 424 stems per acre. The overall density and each plot density currently exceed the final requirement of 210 stems per acre required at close of MY7. In MY6, stem heights averaged 13.4 feet which is a 28% increase in height compared to the MY5 stem height average of 10.5 feet. All plots are on track to meet the success criteria required for MY7. Refer to Appendix 2 for vegetation plot photographs and the vegetation condition assessment table and Appendix 3 for vegetation data tables.

Significant efforts were implemented during construction to control the invasive species within the Site and additional follow up treatments have been and may continue to be necessary throughout the remainder of the post-construction monitoring period. Invasive species treatments have been implemented annually with the primary focus on Chinese privet (*Lingustrum sinese*). In December 2019, Chinese privet and tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*) were both treated in new areas, as well as previously treated areas where re-sprouting was occurring. Areas of Chinese privet and tree of heaven account for less than 1% of the overall easement acreage. In addition, multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), has been noted as present within the easement; however, its presence is not negatively impacting planted stem densities. All areas of invasive species populations will continue to be monitored and controlled, as necessary.

Small bare areas noted during previous monitoring years along the upper section of UT1B Reach 1 have established herbaceous cover because of re-seeding, liming, and fertilizing. No bare areas were noted in MY6. Pockets of dense sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) volunteers were treated in late 2019 and early 2020 in the upper section of UT1B Reach 1 and UT2C Reach 2. In February 2020, 75 containerized trees were planted in the larger sweetgum treatment area on UT1B Reach 1 as discussed during the IRT/DMS site meeting in May 2019. Refer to Appendix 6 for IRT/DMS meeting minutes and Appendix 7 for photographs of sweetgum treatment and containerized tree planting areas taken in May 2020.

Refer to Appendix 2 for the vegetation condition assessment table and the Integrated Current Condition Plan View (CCPV) Maps.

## 1.2.2 Stream Assessment

In general, cross-sections show minimal changes in the bankfull area, maximum depth ratio, or width-todepth ratio. During MY6, channel adjustments were documented at cross-sections 14 and 15 on UT1B Reach 1 and cross-sections 16 and 17 on UT2C Reach 2. Adjustment at cross-section 14 indicates deposition; likely a result of dense streambank and instream vegetation including rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*) and sedges (*Carex* spp.). Bankfull width increased in MY6 as the channel maintained its bankfull area. Channel dimension narrowed at cross-section 15 and appears to reflect the continued establishment of streambank vegetation including silky willows (*Salix sericea*). Cross-section 16 deepened back towards design bed elevations after aggradation during MY5. The adjustment is indicative of a stable channel able to transport sediment. Cross-section 17 dimensions changed very



little compared to the previous year (MY5) values; however, deposition was documented on the inside of this meander pool. Changes on UT1B Reach 1 and UT2C Reach 2 cross-sections indicate these systems are able to adjust and maintain stability.

In general, substrate materials in the restoration and enhancement reaches indicated coarser materials in the riffle reaches and finer particles in the pools. Minor shifts in particle size distribution during MY6 include a greater number of finer particles documented on UT2B and coarser particles on UT1B, UT2, and UT2C. Fluctuations in particle distribution over time reflect cyclic changes in sediment transport and are not indicative of instability.

Refer to Appendix 2 for the visual stability assessment table, CCPV maps, and reference photographs. Refer to Appendix 4 for the morphological data and plots.

## 1.2.3 Areas of Concern/Adaptive Management Plan

Wildlands will continue to monitor and implement invasive treatments to reduce and control the extent of invasive species at the Site. Follow up treatments will be conducted, as necessary.

A small portion of fencing along UT1B Reach 1 that deviated from the conservation easement was realigned to match the easement boundary during MY6. Thirty containerized trees were planted within the newly captured easement area in April 2020. Refer to Appendix 6 for list of the types and number of planted trees, as well as their container size, and Appendix 7 for photographic documentation of MY6 plantings taken in May 2020.

## 1.2.4 Hydrology Assessment

At the end of the seven-year monitoring period, two or more bankfull events must have occurred in separate years within the restoration reaches. During MY6, bankfull events were recorded on all restoration reaches except for UT2B Reach 2. The hydrology success criteria were met for the seven-year monitoring period after MY2. Refer to Appendix 5 for hydrologic data.

## 1.3 Monitoring Year 6 Summary

All streams within the Site are stable and functioning as designed. The overall, average stem density and height requirements for the Site are on track to meet the final MY7 success criteria. Multiple bankfull events have been documented within the restored stream reaches at the Site in separate monitoring years and has satisfied the MY7 hydrology success criteria. Minor areas of concern will to be monitored and addressed if necessary.

Summary information and data related to the performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Mitigation Plan documents available on DMS's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from DMS upon request.



# Section 2: METHODOLOGY

Geomorphic data were collected following the standards outlined in The Stream Channel Reference Site: An Illustrated Guide to Field Techniques (Harrelson et al., 1994) and in the Stream Restoration: A Natural Channel Design Handbook (Doll et al., 2003). All Integrated Current Condition Mapping was recorded using a Trimble handheld GPS with sub-meter accuracy and processed using Pathfinder and ArcGIS. Crest gages and pressure transducers were installed in surveyed riffle cross sections and monitored quarterly to document bankfull events and consecutive days of flow. Hydrologic monitoring instrument installation and monitoring methods are in accordance with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE, 2003) standards. Vegetation monitoring protocols followed the Carolina Vegetation Survey-EEP Level 2 Protocol (Lee et al., 2008).



# Section 3: REFERENCES

- Doll, B.A., Grabow, G.L., Hall, K.A., Halley, J., Harman, W.A., Jennings, G.D., and Wise, D.E. 2003. Stream Restoration A Natural Channel Design Handbook.
- Harrelson, C.C., Rawlins, C.L., Potyondy, J.P. 1994. Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 61 p.
- Lee, M.T., Peet, R.K., S.D., Wentworth, T.R. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation Version 4.2. Retrieved from <u>http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/protocol/cvs-eep-protocol-v4.2-lev1-5.pdf</u>.
- North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services and Interagency Review Team Technical Workgroup. 2018. Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter. Raleigh, NC.

Rosgen, D.L. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. Catena 22:169-199.

- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Pagosa Springs, CO: Wildland Hydrology Books.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1997. A Geomorphological Approach to Restoration of Incised Rivers. Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision. Center For Computational Hydroscience and Bioengineering, Oxford Campus, University of Mississippi, Pages 12-22.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDENR-DWQ, USEPA, NCWRC.
- United States Geological Survey. 1998. North Carolina Geology. http://www.geology.enr.state.nc.us/usgs/carolina.htm

Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2013. Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site Mitigation Plan. NCEEP, Raleigh, NC.

Wildlands Engineering, Inc. 2015. Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site Baseline Monitoring Document and As-Built Baseline Report. NCEEP, Raleigh, NC.



APPENDIX 1. General Figures and Tables



0 0.5 1 2 Miles

WILDLANDS

Figure 1 Vicinity Map Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County, NC







Ŵ

Figure 2 Project Component Map Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 *Randolph County, NC* 

### Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

				Mitigation	Credits															
	Stream		Riparian	Riparian Wetland		Non-Riparian Wetland		Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous N	Nutrient Offset										
Туре	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE														
Totals	7,247.933	164.200	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N,	/A										
				Project Con	nponents		1													
Reach ID As-Built Stationi / Location		As-Built Stationing / Location	Existing Footage / Acreage	Approach	Restoration or Restoration Equivalent		Restoration Footage / Acreage		Mitigation Ratio	Credits (SMU / WMU)										
STREAMS		1		I			1													
Little River Re	each 1	100+00 - 107+04	704	Preservation		Р	704		5:1	140.800										
Little River Re	each 2	107+04 - 126+53 128+06 - 131+57	2,374	Fencing / Invasives Control	E	11	2,	,300	2.5:1	920.000										
UT1A Reach 1	L	200+00 - 208+95 209+84 - 217+00	1,611	Fencing / Invasives Control	EII		1,	.611	2.5:1	644.400										
UT1A Reach 2	2	217+00 - 218+17	117	Preservation	Ρ		117		117 5:1											
UT1B Reach 1	L	300+87 - 305+67	475	Fencing / Invasives Control	EI		480		1.5:1	320.000										
UT1B Reach 2	2 & 3	305+67 - 308+25 350+00 - 353+17	580	Fencing / Invasives Control	EII		575		2.5:1	230.000										
UT2 Reach 1 a	& 2	400+00 - 415+47 416+35 - 423+16	2,419	Priority 1	Restoration		2,228		1:1	2,228.000										
UT2A Reach 1	L	500+39 - 504+25	386	Fencing / Invasives Control	EI		386		1.5:1	257.333										
UT2A Reach 2	2	504+25 - 516+21 517+00 - 518+68	1,368	Priority 1	Resto	Restoration 1,364		.364	1:1	1,364.000										
UT2B Reach 1	L	600+00 - 608+48	848	Fencing / Invasives Control	EII		848		2.5:1	339.200										
UT2B Reach 2	2	608+48 - 610+46	114	Priority 1	Restoration		1	198	1:1	198.000										
UT2C Reach 1	L	700+00 - 712+50	1,215	Fencing / Invasives Control	Ell		EII		1,	.250	2.5:1	500.000								
UT2C Reach 2	2	712+50 - 713+60	326	Priority 1	Restoration		Restoration		Restoration		Restoration		Restoration		Restoration		1	110	1:1	110.000
UT2C Reach 3	3	800+00 - 801+37	520	Priority 1	Resto	ration	1	137	1:1	137.000										

Component Summation										
Restoration Level	Stream (LF)		Riparian Wetland (acres)		Buffer (square	Upland (acres)				
		Riverine	Non-Riverine							
Restoration	4,037	-	-	-	-	-				
Enhancement		-	-	-	-	-				
Enhancement I	866									
Enhancement II	6,584									
Preservation	821	-	-	-						
High Quality Preservation	-	-	-	-		-				

# Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Activity or Report		Data Collection Complete	Completion or Scheduled Delivery		
Mitigation Plan		January 2013	November 2013		
Final Design - Construction Plans		January 2013	March 2014		
Construction		July 2014-November 2014	November 2014		
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area <sup>1</sup>	November 2014	November 2014			
Permanent seed mix applied to reach/segments	November 2014	November 2014			
Bare root and live stake plantings for reach/segments		January 2015	January 2015		
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0)		December 2014-January 2015	February 2015		
	Stream Survey	September 2015	Desember 2015		
Year 1 Monitoring	Vegetation Survey	September 2015	December 2015		
Invasive Plant Control		Apr	l 2016		
Bare Areas (UT2A) Limed/Fertilized/Seeded	Apr	il 2016			
	Stream Survey	August 2016	Desember 2016		
Year 2 Monitoring	Vegetation Survey	August 2016	December 2016		
Invasive Plant Control	Febru	ary 2017			
(or 2 Monitoring	Stream Survey	July 2017	December 2017		
Year 3 Monitoring	Vegetation Survey	July 2017	December 2017		
nvasive Plant Control		October 2017			
(cor 4 Monitoring	Stream Survey	July 2018	December 2018		
Year 4 Monitoring	Vegetation Survey	July 2018	December 2018		
nvasive Plant Control		October 2018			
	Stream Survey	September 2019	November 2019		
/ear 5 Monitoring	Vegetation Survey	September 2019	November 2019		
Sweetgum Monoculture Treatment		October - D	ecember 2019		
nvasive Plant Control		November -	December 2019		
Sweetgum Monoculture Treatment (follow up treatment)		Febru	ary 2020		
Containerized Tree Planting - UT1B Reach 1 (Sweetgum treatme	nt area)	Febru	ary 2020		
Containerized Tree Planting - UT1B Reach 1 (Newly captured eas	sement)	Apr	il 2020		
/ear 6 Monitoring	Stream Survey	September 2020	November 2020		
	Vegetation Survey	September 2020			
Year 7 Monitoring		2021	December 2021		

<sup>1</sup>Seed and mulch is added as each section of construction is completed.

### Table 3. Project Contact Table

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
Designer	1430 South Mint Street, Suite 104         Charlotte, NC 28203         704.332.7754         Terry's Plumbing         465 Lewallen Road         Asheboro, NC 27205         Land Mechanics Designs, Inc.         126 Circle G Lane         Willow Spring, NC 27592         Bruton Natural Systems, Inc         P.O. Box 1197         Fremont, NC 27830         Terry's Plumbing         465 Lewallen Road         Asheboro, NC 27205         Seed Mix Sources         Nursery Stock Suppliers         Bare Roots         Dykes and Son Nursery         Live Stakes       Bruton Natural Systems, Inc         Wildlands Engineering, Inc.         Kristi Suggs
Jeff Keaton, PE	Charlotte, NC 28203
	704.332.7754
	Terry's Plumbing
	465 Lewallen Road
Construction Contractor	Asheboro, NC 27205
	Land Mechanics Designs, Inc.
	126 Circle G Lane
	Willow Spring, NC 27592
	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
Planting Contractor	P.O. Box 1197
	Fremont, NC 27830
	Terry's Plumbing
Seeding Contractor	465 Lewallen Road
	Asheboro, NC 27205
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource, LLC
Nursery Stock Suppliers	
Bare Roots	Dykes and Son Nursery
Live Stakes	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc
Monitoring Performers	Wildlands Engineering, Inc.
Monitoring, POC	Kristi Suggs
wontoning, roc	704.332.7754, ext. 110

### Table 4. Project Information and Attributes

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

		Proje	ct Informat	ion								
Project Name	Hopewell Str	eam Mitigat	ion Site									
County	Randolph co											
Project Area (acres)	35.4											
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35°37'37.32"	' N, 79° 51'1	3.27″ W									
	Projec	t Watersh	ed Summar	y Informat	ion							
Physiographic Province	Carolina Slat	e Belt of the	Piedmont Phy	ysiographic Pr	ovince							
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee D	Dee										
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03040104											
USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	0304010403	3040104030010										
DWR Sub-basin	03-07-15											
Project Drainiage Area (acres)	4,083	.083										
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	2%											
CGIA Land Use Classification	2.01.03 – Ha	y and Pastur	e Land; 2.99.0	)5 - Farm Pon	ds; 4 – Forest	t Land; 1 - Urb	an and Deve	loped Land				
		Reach Sur	nmary Info	mation								
Parameters	Little River	UT1A	UT1B Reach 1	UT1B Reach 2 & 3	UT2 Reach 1	UT2 Reach 2	UT2A Reach 1	UT2A Reach 2	UT2B	UT2C		
Length of reach (linear feet) - Post-Restoration	3,911	597	480	575	1.547	681	386	1.364	1,046	247		
Drainage area (acres)	4,083	38	19	45	246	378	64	102	22	51		
NCDWR stream identification score	43.5	22.5	24.5	30	35.5	35.5	27	35	23.7	31		
NCDWR Water Quality Classification						C						
Morphological Desription (stream type)	Р	1	1	Р	Р	Р	I	Р	I	Р		
Evolutionary trend (Simon's Model) - Pre- Restoration	1/11	1	111	I	III/IV	IV	111	III/IV	111	111		
Underlying mapped soils	Badin-Tarrus Complex, Chewacla Loam, Georgeville silt loam, Georgeville silty clay loam, Mecklenburg clay loam, Riverview sandy loam									rview sandy		
Drainage class												
Soil hydric status												
Slope	0.0051	0.0389	0.03	0.0583	0.0093	0.0075	0.0102	0.011	0.0259	0.0154		
FEMA classification					A							
Native vegetation community			Pied	mont Bottom		' Mixed Mesic	Hardwood F	orest				
Percent composition exotic invasive vegetation-Post-Restoration					0	1%						
		Regulato	ry Conside	rations								
Regulation		Applicable	1		Resolved?		:	Supporting D	ocumentatio	n		
Waters of the United States - Section 404		Х		x			USACE Nationwide Permit No.27 and DWQ 401					
Waters of the United States - Section 401		х		х			Water Quality Certification No. 3885.					
Division of Land Quality (Dam Safety)		N/A		N/A			N/A					
Endangered Species Act	x		x		Hopewell Mitigation Plan; Wildlands determined "no effect" on Randolph County listed endangered species. (Letter from USFWS dated July 27, 2012)							
Historic Preservation Act		х			х			resources we er from SHPO				
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	N/A			N/A			,		/A	,		
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	x		x			Little River is a mapped Zone AE floodplain with defined base flood elevations. A floodway has not been delineated but non-encroachment widths have been defined; (FEMA Zone AE, FIRM panel 7648).						
	1			N/A			N/A					

**APPENDIX 2.** Visual Assessment Data





0 200 400 Feet

Figure 3.0 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Key) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County





Figure 3.1 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 1 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County



N



0 50 100 Feet

Figure 3.2 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 2 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County





Figure 3.3 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 3 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County





Figure 3.4 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 4 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County





Figure 3.5 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 5 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County



Ń



0 50 100 Feet

Figure 3.6 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 6 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County



Ń



0 50 100 Feet

Figure 3.7 Integrated Current Condition Plan View (Sheet 7 of 7) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020 Randolph County

# Table 5a. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT1B Reach 1 (480 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % fo Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	8	8			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	8	8			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	8	8			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	8	8			100%			
	- manweg rostion	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	8	8			100%			
								[		
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
			1	Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	n/a	n/a			n/a			

# Table 5b. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2 Reach 1 & 2 (2,228 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	30	30			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	29	29			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	29	29			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	29	29			100%			
	4. maiweg rosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	29	29			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	32	32			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	13	13			100%			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	13	13			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	20	20			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	20	20			100%			

# Table Sc. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2A Reach 1 & 2 (1,750 LF)

Major Channel Category	(1,750 LF) Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation		-	0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	31	31			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	31	31			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	31	31			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	31	31			100%			
	4. maiweg rosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	31	31			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	•	•		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	32	32			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	21	21			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	20	20			100%			

# Table 5d. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2B Reach 2 (198 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % fo Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	7	7			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	6	6			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	6	6			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	6	6			100%			
	4. maiweg rosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	6	6			100%			
							1			
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	6	6			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	6	6			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	6	6			100%			

# Table Se. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2C Reach 2 (110 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
4 P-4	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	5	5			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	4	4			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	4	4			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	4	4			100%			
		Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	4	4			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	4			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	4	4			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	4	4			100%			

# Table 5f. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2C Reach 3 (137 LF)

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjust % fo Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	1. Vertical Stability	Aggradation			0	0	100%			
	(Shallow and Run units)	Degradation			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate	3	3			100%			
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient	2	2			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate	2	2			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	2	2			100%			
	4. maiweg rosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	2	2			100%			
		I					1			
	1. Scoured/Eroded	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1	1		Totals	0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	1	1			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
3. Engineered Structures <sup>1</sup>	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	n/a	n/a			n/a			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%.	1	1			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~Max Pool Depth : Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at baseflow.	1	1			100%			

# Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Table Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

24

#### Planted Acreage

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold (Ac)	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material	0.1	0	0	0.0%
I ow Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1	0	0.0	0.0%
		Total	0	0.0	0.0%
Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 Ac	0	0	0%
	Cur	nulative Total	0	0.0	0.0%

Easement Acreage Vegetation Category	35 Definitions	Mapping Threshold (SF)	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000	3	0.1	0.3%
Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas of points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	0	0	0%
Stream Photographs Monitoring Year 6



UT2B R1 – Photo Point 3 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

UT2B R1 – Photo Point 3 looking downstream (05/13/2020)























Little River R1 – Photo Point 36 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

Little River R1–Photo Point 36 looking downstream (05/13/2020)





Little River R1 – Photo Point 38 looking upstream (05/13/2020)





Little River R2 – Photo Point 39 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

Little River R2–Photo Point 39 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



Little River R2 – Photo Point 42 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

Little River R2–Photo Point 42 looking downstream (05/13/2020)





Little River R2 – Photo Point 46 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

UT1A R1 – Photo Point 48 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

Little River R2–Photo Point 46 looking downstream (05/13/2020)

1

UT1A R1 – Photo Point 48 looking downstream (05/13/2020)





UT1A R1 – Photo Point 51 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

UT1A R1 – Photo Point 51 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 52 looking upstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 53 looking upstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 52 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 53 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 54 looking upstream (05/13/2020)



UT1A R1 – Photo Point 54 looking downstream (05/13/2020)







UT1B R2 – Photo Point 61 looking upstream (05/13/2020)



UT1B R2 – Photo Point 61 looking downstream (05/13/2020)





UT1B R3 – Photo Point 63 looking upstream (05/13/2020)

UT1B R2 – Photo Point 62 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



UT1B R3 – Photo Point 63 looking downstream (05/13/2020)



Vegetation Photographs Monitoring Year 6





Vegetation Plot 11 – (09/22/2020)

Vegetation Plot 12 – (09/22/2020)





Vegetation Plot 17 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 18 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 19 – (09/22/2020)

Vegetation Plot 20 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 21 – (09/22/2020)

Vegetation Plot 22 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 23– (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 24 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 25 – (09/22/2020)

Vegetation Plot 26 – (09/22/2020)



Vegetation Plot 27 – (09/22/2020)

Vegetation Plot 28 – (09/22/2020)





APPENDIX 3. Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment TableHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Plot	MY5 Success Criteria	Turnet Manage
Plot	Met (Y/N)	Tract Mean
1	Y	
2	Y	
3	Y	
4	Y	
5	Y	
6	Y	
7	Y	
8	Y	
9	Y	
10	Y	
11	Y	
12	Y	
13	Y	
14	Y	
15	Y	
16	Y	100%
17	Y	
18	Y	
19	Y	
20	Y	
21	Y	
22	Y	
23	Y	
24	Y	
25	Y	
26	Y	
27	Y	
28	Y	
29	Y	
30	Y	
31	Y	

# Table 8. CVS Vegetation Tables - Metadata

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Report Prepared By	lan Eckardt
Date Prepared	1/20/2021 15:09
Database Name	cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.5.0 Hopewell MY6.mdb
Database Location	\\192.168.3.7\projects\ActiveProjects\005-02133 Hopewell Mitigation FDP\Monitoring\Monitoring Year 6 (2020)\Vegetation Assessment
Computer Name	IAN
File Size	51904512
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Project Planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Project Total Stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	95352
Project Name	Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site
Area (sq m)	128285.35
Required Plots (calculated)	22
Sampled Plots	31

# Table 9a. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

			Current Plot Data (MY6 2020)														
			Ve	getation Pl	ot 1	Ve	Vegetation Plot 2 Vegetation Plot 3							lot 4	V	egetation Pl	ot 5
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo	Box elder	Tree												25			20
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree									12			3			
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub															
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree				1	1	1				1	1	1			
Carya	Hickory	Tree															
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree															
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree															
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree															
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree									1						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree							6	6	6	5	5	5			
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree															
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree			1			2									
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree				1											
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree			5						350			300			
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree									1			2	1	1	21
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree															
Nyssa sylvatica	Blackgum	Tree															
Pinus	Pine	Tree															
Pinus palustris	longleaf pine	Tree															
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree															
Pinus serotina	Pond pine	Tree															
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree															
Pinus virginiana	Virginia Pine	Tree															
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	2				7	7	7	2	2	2
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree	-	-	-			-							-	-	-
Quercus	Oak	Tree															
Quercus accutissima	sawtooth oak	Tree															
Quercus alba	white oak	Tree															
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	2				3	3	3
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree	2	2	3	Ŭ	0	0	4	4	4				3	3	3
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree	2	2	2	2	2	2	-		-				2	2	2
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub	2	2	2	2	2	2							2	2	2
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub															
Rhus glabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub															
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub															
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree															
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree		t													
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub		<u> </u>													
Sambucus canadensis	Common elderberry	Shrub															
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree		<u> </u>													
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree		<u> </u>	2			1									
Ulmus allata Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree			2									8			66
onnus umericunu	American eini		10	10	10	7	7	11	10	10	276	10	10	° 351	11	11	117
		Stem count	10	10	19		1		12	12 1	376	13	13 1	301	11	11 1	117
		Size (ares)		0.0247						0.0247			0.0247			0.0247	
		Size (ACRES)			-		0.0247	0			-	0			-		7
		Species count	4 405	4 405	7 769	4 283	4 283	6 445	3 486	3 486	7 15216	3 526	3 526	8 14204	5 445	5 445	7 4735
Stems per ACR			405	405	109	283	283	445	480	480	15210	526	520	14204	445	445	4/35

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Volunteers included

PnoLS: Number of planted stems excluding live stakes P-All: Number of planted stems including live stakes

T: Total stems

## Table 9b. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

			Current Plot Data (MY6 2020)   Vegetation Plot 6 Vegetation Plot 7 Vegetation Plot 8 Vegetation Plot 9 Vegetation Plot 10														
Scientific Name	Common Name		Vegetation Plot 6			Ve	getation Plo	ot 7	Ve	getation Pl	ot 8	Ve	getation Pl	ot 9	Vegetation Plot 10		
		Species Type			Т	PnoLS	P-all T		PnoLS	P-all T		PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo L.	Boxelder	Tree			10												
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub															
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree							3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1
Carya	Hickory	Tree															
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree															
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree															
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree															
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree			12												
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	3	3	3	1	1	1				1	1	13	2	2	3
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree	Ŭ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ť	· ·						· ·			-	-	1
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree	-						1	1	2		1	t	1	t	
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree				-					_						
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree			15			3			1			1	<u> </u>	1	1
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree	1	1	7	1	1	1						2	4	4	4
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree			,	· ·					t			2	4	4	4
		Tree															
Nyssa sylvatica Pinus	Blackgum Pine	Tree															
		Tree															
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine																
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree												-			
Pinus serotina	Pond pine	Tree												3			
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree										-					
Pinus virginiana	Virginia pine	Tree							_	_	_	_	_	_			
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	8	8	8	5	5	5	7	7	7	2	2	2
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree															
Quercus	Oak	Tree															
Quercus acutissima	Sawtooth oak	Tree															
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree															
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree	4	4	4	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1			
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree			1							1	1	1	1	1	1
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree							1	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	5
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub															
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub															
Rhus glabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub															
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub															
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree															
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree															
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub										1					
Sambucus canadensis	Common elderberry	Shrub										1					
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	Tree				I						1					
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree				I						1					
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree				1		4			1	1		1	1	1	1
	L	Stem count	9	9	53	13	13	20	10	10	12	13	13	30	15	15	17
		Size (ares)	, v	1			1			1	+		1			1	+
		Size (ACRES)		0.0247		<u> </u>	0.0247		0.0247				0.0247		<u> </u>	0.0247	
		Species count	4	4	8	4	4	6	4	4	5	6	6	8	6	6	7
		Stems per ACRE	364	4 364	° 2145	4 526	4 526	809	405	4 405	486	526	526	° 1214	607	607	688

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Volunteers included

PnoLS: Number of planted stems excluding live stakes P-All: Number of planted stems including live stakes

T: Total stems

## Table 9c. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

									Current I	Plot Data (N	/IY6 2020)							
Scientific Name			Veg	etation Plo	t 11	Ve	getation Plo	ot 12	Veg	getation Plo	ot 13	Veg	getation Plo	ot 14	Vegetation Plot 15			
	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS P-all T		т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	
Acer negundo L.	Boxelder	Tree																
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree																
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub									1							
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub												1				
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree	3	3	3	2	2	2				1	1	1	1	1	1	
Carya	Hickory	Tree																
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree																
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree																
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree																
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															1	
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree												3			14	
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree	-															
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree				1	<u> </u>	1			1		<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>	1	
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree				1					1			1			+	
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree															15	
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree				2	2	4			3	3	3	38	1			
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree				-	-				3		Ű				-	
Nyssa sylvatica	Blackgum	Tree																
Pinus	Pine	Tree																
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine	Tree															-	
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree																
Pinus serotina	Pond pine	Tree																
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree												5			-	
Pinus virginiana	Virginia pine	Tree												-				
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1	4	4	4	9	9	14			1	9	9	9	
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree			•				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						Ű	Ű		
Quercus	Oak	Tree															-	
Quercus acutissima	Sawtooth oak	Tree																
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree																
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1				4	4	4	1	1	1	
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree	-	-	-							1	1	1			· ·	
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub			3		· ·								-	~		
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub			0									1				
Rhus glabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub																
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub																
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree															+	
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree												-			+	
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub						<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Sambucus canadensis	Common elderberry	Shrub						<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	Tree												-			+	
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree				1			1						1		┼───	
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree				1		3	-						1		┼───	
onnas uniencana	American cim	Stem count	9	9	12	13	13	19	12	12	26	11	11	58	15	15	44	
			y	9	12	13	13	19	12	12	20		1	90	15	15	44	
		Size (ares) Size (ACRES)		0.0247			0.0247			0.0247			0.0247			0.0247		
			4	0.0247	E	6	1	0			•	6		10	-	0.0247	7	
		Species count Stems per ACRE	4 364	4 364	5 486	6 526	6 526	8 769	3 486	3 486	8 1052	6 445	6 445	12 2347	5 607	5 607	7 1781	
		Stems per ACRE	304	304	400	520	320	109	400	400	1052	440	440	2347	007	007	1/01	

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

PnoLS: Number of planted stems excluding live stakes P-All: Number of planted stems including live stakes T: Total stems

Volunteers included
#### Table 9d. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

									Current F	Plot Data (M	VIY6 2020)						
			Veg	etation Plo	ot 16	Veg	etation Plo	ot 17	Veg	etation Plo	ot 18	Veg	etation Plo	ot 19	Veg	etation Plo	ot 20
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo L.	Boxelder	Tree															
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub												1			
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	4	4
Carya	Hickory	Tree															
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree															
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree															
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree															
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree									2						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	5	5	6	5	5	6
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree			-						2	-	-	-	-	-	
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree				1					_						1
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree				1											1
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree				1		23			23			4			10
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree				1	1	31			20						
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree						01									
Nyssa sylvatica	Blackgum	Tree															
Pinus	Pine	Tree															
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine	Tree															
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree															
																	<u> </u>
Pinus serotina Pinus taeda	Pond pine Loblolly pine	Tree Tree															11
Pinus taeaa Pinus virginiana		Tree															- 11
	Virginia pine		6	6	6	4	4	6	2	2	2	4	4	5	1	1	1
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	0	6	6	4	4	0	2	2	2	4	4	5	1	1	1
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree															
Quercus	Oak	Tree															
Quercus acutissima	Sawtooth oak	Tree															
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree		<u>^</u>	<u> </u>												
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1									
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree															
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree				1	1	1	1	1	1				4	4	4
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub															
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub															
Rhus glabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub															
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub															
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree				I											l
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree										ļ					
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub				I						ļ					l
Sambucus canadensis	Common elderberry	Shrub				ļ											└───
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	Tree															L
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree				L								4			12
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree															
		Stem count	13	13	13	14	14	69	7	7	34	10	10	21	14	14	49
		Size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1	
		Size (ACRES)		0.0247			0.0247			0.0247			0.0247			0.0247	
		Species count	4	4	4	6	6	7	4	4	7	3	3	6	4	4	8
		Stems per ACRE	526	526	526	567	567	2792	283	283	1376	405	405	850	567	567	1983

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Volunteers included

#### Table 9e. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

									Current	Plot Data (M	MY6 2020)						
			Veg	getation Plo	ot 21	Veg	etation Plo	ot 22	Ve	getation Plo	ot 23	Ve	getation Pl	ot 24	Ve	getation Pl	ot 25
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo L.	Boxelder	Tree															
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree															
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub															
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub															
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1				1	1	1
Carya	Hickory	Tree															
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree															
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree															
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree															
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree			1									2			1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	1	1	1	3	3	3			28	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree															
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree			1												
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree						1						1			1
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree			13			8			3						
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree	1	1	3						1			1			1
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree		1		1			1	1			1			1	1
Nyssa sylvatica	Blackgum	Tree															
Pinus	Pine	Tree															
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine	Tree															
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree															
Pinus serotina	Pond pine	Tree															
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree						2	-								
Pinus virginiana	Virginia pine	Tree						-						1			1
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	7	7	8	4	4	9	4	4	9
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree	-	-	-									Ŭ			
Quercus	Oak	Tree												1			1
Quercus accutissima	Sawtooth oak	Tree									1						
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree									1						
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree				3	3	3						1			1
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree	2	2	2		0	0			1						
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree	3	3	3			1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub	5	5	5					<u> </u>		<u>'</u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub								<u> </u>		l			+		
Rhus glabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub				1					1		1	-	1	1	-
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub								<u> </u>		l			+		
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree								<u> </u>		l			+		
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree							+		+		1		1	1	+
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub									+			1	1		
Sambucus canadensis	Common elderberry	Shrub								<u> </u>		l			+		
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	Tree							+		+		1		1	1	+
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree			4						4			1	1		
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree			4						4			1	1		
onnus uniericunu	American enn	Stem count	10	10	31	9	9	21	8	8	45	6	6	14	7	7	13
			10	1	31	э	9	21	°		45	0		14	- '		13
		Size (ares)		1 0.0247						1 0.0247		l	0.0247			1 0.0247	
		Size (ACRES)	<u> </u>		40		0.0247	0			<u> </u>			5			-
		Species count	6	6	10	4	4	8	2	2	6	3	3	5	4	4	5
		Stems per ACRE	405	405	1255	364	364	850	324	324	1821	243	243	567	283	283	526

Volunteers included

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

#### Table 9f. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

here regunds L.         Beckelar         Tree         Join         Join </th <th></th> <th>Cur</th> <th>rrent Plot D</th> <th>ata (MY6 2</th> <th>020)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>											Cur	rrent Plot D	ata (MY6 2	020)							
here again lear         bestair         ref         i<				Veg	getation Plo	t 26	Veg	etation Plo	t 27	Veg	etation Plo	ot 28	Veg	getation Plo	t 29	Veg	etation Plo	ot 30	Veg	etation Plo	t 31
Aker andom         Rengine         Tree         Simple         Sim	Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т
Alma struituita Mus aldar Sinub	egundo L. B	Boxelder	Tree																		
Bacchoringmarfield Structer late willow Structe late willow Structer late willow <	ubrum R	Red maple	Tree																		
Betwing CorpHeck prime Heck prime Carbon Carbo	serrulata H	Hazel alder	Shrub																		
Canya Nekay Tree Image	aris angustifolia S	Saltwater false willow	Shrub												1						
Certais Certais concepts Tree Image <	nigra R	River birch	Tree	1	1	1							1	1	2	1	1	2			
Certs lawying:       Number of the control into the control intecontrol intecontrol intervel into the control into the	H	Hickory	Tree																		
Channel conversion is pressimply in the series of the series	; C	Cedar	Tree																		
Crategory         Hawhorn         Tree         Image of the set of the	laevigata S	Sugarberry	Tree																1	1	1
Descriptione Discriptione pricial period prical sectorTreeTree222441244422244412Gledisi funcantos HoneylocustTreeTree111 <t< td=""><td>aecyparis thyoides A</td><td>Atlantic white cedar</td><td>Tree</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	aecyparis thyoides A	Atlantic white cedar	Tree																		
Fraduce pansylwinka Genera shTree222441244222444Indensinging Bask walnutTreeIn<	rgus H	Hawthorn	Tree																		
Generity intracentions Honew Jocust Tree Image I	ros virginiana C	Common persimmon	Tree																		
judiesBack wahnutTreeImage </td <td>us pennsylvanica</td> <td>Green ash</td> <td>Tree</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>12</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td>	us pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	2	2	2	4	4	12	4	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	5	5
jungensignand         Estem mededar         Tree         Image         Image </td <td>sia triacanthos H</td> <td>Honey locust</td> <td>Tree</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	sia triacanthos H	Honey locust	Tree												1						
Junjenus vigninan LingenzyEstem medicalar TreeTreeImageTreeImageTreeImageTreeImageTreeImageImageTreeImageIma					1							1	I	l I				3			3
Liquidandor stynciflueSweetgumTreeIreeII <td>-</td> <td>Eastern redcedar</td> <td></td>	-	Eastern redcedar																			
Liniderden tulipferaTurgeTreeImage <th< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>I</td><td>l I</td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td>60</td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td></th<>	-				1	1			1			1	I	l I	20			60			24
myss sylvatice     Blackgum     Tree     Image     Imag			Tree				1	1	1				1	1	1				1	1	1
myss sylvatice     Blackgum     Tree     Image     Imag					1							1	I	l I				l I	I		
Pine       Tree       Image       I			Tree																		
Pnus polisitis       longleaf pine       Tree       Image       Imag	,	0																			
Pints rigida       Pitch pine       Tree       Image       Image <td>palustris L</td> <td>ongleaf pine</td> <td></td>	palustris L	ongleaf pine																			
Pind serotina       Pond pine       Tree       Image       Image <td></td>																					
Pinus taeda       Lobiolity pine       Tree       Image: Second																					
Pinus virginiano       Virginia pine       Tree       Image: Second se																					
Platanus occidentalis       American sycamore       Tree       1       1       1       4 <td></td>																					
Prunus serotina       Black cherry       Tree       Image: constraint of the second	-			1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1	16						
Quercus       Oak       Tree       Image: Constraint of the second of																					
Quercus and       Savtooth oak       Tree       Image: Constraint of the Constrant of the Constraint of the Constrant of the Constrain																					
Quercus alba       White Oak       Tree       Image: Marcing and the State of		Sawtooth oak	Tree																		
Quercus michauxii         Swamp chestnut oak         Tree         1																					
Quercus phellos       Willow oak       Tree       1							3	3	3												
Quercus rubra       Northern red oak       Tree       2       2       2       2       1				1	1	1	1	1	1						1			1			
Rhus       Sumac       Shrub       Image: Shrub				2	2	2							2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3
Rhus copallinum       Flameleaf sumac       Shrub       Image: constraint of the sum con																					-
Rhus glabraSmooth sumacShrubImage: shrubImage: shrub <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>İ</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>				1	1		1			1		İ	1	1		1		1	1		
Rhus typhina       Staghorn sumac       Shrub       Image: constraint of the synthetic of the synthet													1	1				1	1		
Robinia pseudoacacia       Black locust       Tree       Image: constraint of the symbol of the sy													1	<u> </u>				1			
Salix nigra       Black willow       Tree       Image: constraint of the system constrai													1	1				1	1		
Salix sericea       Silky willow       Shrub       Image: condensis common elderberry       Imag													1	1				1	1		
Sambucus canadensis         Common elderberry         Shrub         Image: Common elderberry	-												1	<u> </u>				1			
Taxadium distichum         Bald cypress         Tree         Image: Comparison of the system com		1											1	1				1	1		
Ulmus alata         Wingedelm         Tree         Image: Constraint of the system count of the		,																			
Ulmus americana         American elm         Tree         Image: Constraint of the system count         Tree         Image: Constr													1	1				1	1		
Stem count         7         7         8         13         13         22         8         8         7         7         46         9         9         74		0											1	1				1	1		
				7	7	8	13	13	22	8	8	8	7	7	46	9	9	74	10	10	37
			Size (ares)		1	Ĭ		1		Ŭ	1	Ŭ	1	1		Ť	1			10	υ.
<b></b>													1						<u> </u>	0.0247	
Jne (NLL3)         U.U.247         U.U.247         U.U.247         U.U.247         U.U.247           Species count         5         5         6         2         2         2         5         5         9         3         6			. ,	5		6	5		6	2		2	5		9	3		6	4	4	6
				-	-	÷		÷	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	405	405	1497

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Volunteers included

#### Table 9g. Planted and Total Stems (Species by Plot with Annual Means)

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

												Α	nnual Mea	ns									
				MY6 (2020)			MY5 (2019)	)		MY4 (2018	)		MY3 (2017	)		MY2 (2016	)		MY1 (2015	5)	1	MY0 (2015	)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer negundo	Boxelder	Tree			55						1												
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Tree			15			27			50			45						2			
Alnus serrulata	Hazel alder	Shrub			1									1			1						
Baccharis angustifolia	Saltwater false willow	Shrub			3																		
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree	34	34	36	34	34	38	38	38	46	37	37	47	37	37	42	44	44	51	53	53	53
Carya	Hickory	Tree																		1			
Cedrus	Cedar	Tree						1															
Celtis laevigata	Sugarberry	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1						
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Tree																		1			
Crataegus	Hawthorn	Tree															1						
Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	Tree			36			65			74			93			82			51			
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	76	76	127	79	79	139	80	80	174	79	79	113	86	86	133	85	85	116	92	92	92
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	Tree			4																		
Juglans nigra	Black walnut	Tree			14			18			13			13			14	1			1		
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern redcedar	Tree			4			3						4				1		1			
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree			878			1192			500			565			261			102			
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tuliptree	Tree	17	17	122	17	17	124	17	17	78	17	17	98	24	24	64	24	24	28	52	52	52
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	Tree			3																		
Nyssa sylvatica	Blackgum	Tree									2			2			1						
Pinus	Pine	Tree									24			25									
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine	Tree						12															
Pinus rigida	Pitch pine	Tree									22			25									
Pinus serotina	Pond pine	Tree			3												1						
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree			18			52															
Pinus virginiana	Virginia pine	Tree			10			3			1												
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	103	103	139	102	102	174	103	103	186	105	105	133	110	110	146	108	108	115	114	114	114
Prunus serotina	Black cherry	Tree						7									4						
Quercus	Oak	Tree												5			2						
Quercus acutissima	Sawtooth oak	Tree						5									~						
Quercus alba	White Oak	Tree						0			2												
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	Tree	38	38	38	39	39	39	39	39	39	42	42	42	45	45	45	45	45	45	46	46	46
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	Tree	16	16	20	15	15	16	17	17	17	20	20	20	34	34	34	36	36	36	71	71	71
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	Tree	40	40	41	44	44	44	48	48	49	52	52	55	58	58	61	60	60	62	69	69	69
Rhus	Sumac	Shrub	70		3						40	02	02	00		00	01		00	02	00	00	00
Rhus copallinum	Flameleaf sumac	Shrub			1												<u> </u>	1			+		<u> </u>
Rhus qlabra	Smooth sumac	Shrub												7				1		1	1		<u> </u>
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	Shrub						4						,				1		1	1		<del>   </del>
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	Tree						2			2			1				1		1	1		<del>   </del>
Salix nigra	Black willow	Tree						2			1							1		1	1		<del>   </del>
Salix sericea	Silky willow	Shrub						1						1				1		1	1		<del>   </del>
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub						2			4						3	1		1	1		<del>   </del>
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	Tree						17			4						5	1		1	1		<u>├</u>
Ulmus alata	Winged elm	Tree			27			47			33			4						1			<u>├</u>
	0	Tree			81			47			- 33			4									<u>├</u>
Ulmus americana	American elm		205	205		224	224		242	242	4.040	252	252	1201	205	205	000	400	402	640	407	407	407
		Stem count	325	325	1670	331	331	2,034	343	343	1,319	353	353	1301	395	395	896	402	402	612	497	497	497
		Size (ares)		31			31			31 0.77			31 0.77			31 0.77			31 0.77		+	31 0.77	
		Size (ACRES)	0	0.77	24	0	0.77	20			01			22			40	7		44	7	0.77	7
		Species count	8	8	24	8	8	20	8	8	21	8	8	22	8	8	18	7	7	14	(	/	/
		Stems per ACRE	424	424	2181	432	447	2,656	448	448	1,723	461	461	1,699	516	516	1,170	525	525	799	649	649	649

Exceeds requirements by 10% Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Volunteers included

**APPENDIX 4. Morphological Summary Data and Plots** 

# Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data SummaryHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Hopewell-UT2 Reaches 1 and 2

				tion Condition						Reference	Reach Data						De	esign			As-Built	/Baseline	
Parameter	Gage	UT2	Reach 1	UT2 I	Reach 2	Dutchma	an's Creek	UT to Ro	cky Creek	Spencer Cr	eek Reach 1	Spencer Cr	eek Reach 2	Spencer Cr	eek Reach 3	UT2 R	leach 1	UT2	Reach 2	UT2 I	Reach 1	UT2 R	Reach 2
		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																							
Bankfull Width (ft	)	7.9	10.9	1	.0.7	23.0	32.0	1	2.2	8	3.7	2.1	2.6	1.0	1.2	1	2.5		14.0	10.6	14.2	1'	.5.3
Floodprone Width (ft	)	12	18		14	61	69	7	72	2	29	60	>114	14	125	50	125	50	125	>68	101	>	>55
Bankfull Mean Dept	ı	1.0	1.4		1.4	1.1	1.4	1	3	1	.2	1.6	1.8	0.8	1.0	1	1.0		1.0	0.8	0.9	1	1.0
Bankfull Max Deptl	1	1.4	1.8		2.0	1.9	2.1	1	8		L.9	2.1	2.6	1.0	1.2	1	1.5		1.5	1.3	1.7	1	1.5
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup>	N/A	11.1	11.4	1	.4.9	32.9	36.1	1	6.3	1	0.6	17.8	19.7	6.6	8.7	1	2.0		14.3	8.4	12.7	1/	.4.8
Width/Depth Ratio	0	5.7	10.4		7.7	16.4	28.9	9	9.1		7.3	5.8	7.1	7.9	9.3	1	3.0		14.0	13.2	15.8	1'	.5.8
Entrenchment Ratio	)	1.5	1.7		1.3	2.2	2.6		5.0		6.3	5.5	10.2	1.7	4.3	4.0	10.0	3.6	8.9	>7	7.1		>4
Bank Height Ratio		1.4	1.9		2.1			1	1.0	1	1.0	-	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0
D50 (mm	)	0	.100	1	.2.5															24.2	28.0	4.	5.8
Riffle Length (ft						-														11	120	24	36
Riffle Slope (ft/ft								0.0606	0.0892	0.01	0.067	0.	013	0.0184	0.0343	0.0105	0.0225	0.0154	0.033	0.0033	0.0227	0.0104	0.0386
Pool Length (ft	) N/A							-												17	66	41	105
Pool Max Depth (ft	)	2.0	2.2		2.2	-		2.2	6.7	2	2.5		3.3	1.2	1.8	1.8	2.4	1.9	2.5	1.7	3.6	3.2	5.0
Pool Spacing (ft	)					-		26	81	13	47		71	9	46	19	81	21	91	20	108	65	132
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup>	)																						
attern																							
Channel Beltwidth (ft	)	45	79	67	69		84	-		24	52	38	41	10	50	20	75	22	84	5	11	32	79
Radius of Curvature (ft	)	12	28	22	25			-		5	22	11	15	12	85	23	38	25	42	13	35	21	24
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft	) N/A	1.5	2.6	2.1	2.3			-		0.6	2.5	1.3	1.4	1.9	9.1	1.8	3.0	1.8	3	1.2	2.5	1.4	1.6
Meander Length (ft	)	102	245	125	132	-		-						53	178	50	188	56	120	60	171	113	120
Meander Width Ratio	)	5.7	7.2	6.3	6.4	-		-		6.0	6.0	#DIV/0!	3.6	1.6	5.4	1.6	6.0	1.6	6.0	0.5	0.8	2.1	5.2
ubstrate, Bed and Transport Parameters						-				-													
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																							/
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be9	_																						
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d10	N/A	SC/SC/0	0.1/45/180	SC/4.6/1	2.5/70/128	·		SC/2.4/22	.6/120/256	0.1/3/8	6/77/180	SC/3/8	.8/42/90	1.9/8.85/	/11/64/128						7/228/>2048		97/228/>2048
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft	, ,															0	.39		0.61	0.37	0.43	0.	).67
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfu	-	-																					
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m	2																						
dditional Reach Parameters								<u>.</u>		•								•		-			
Drainage Area (SM			0.38		).59		.90		.10		.50		.96		.37		.38		0.59		.38		).59
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%			1%		1%		 ·										1%		1%		1%		1%
Rosgen Classification	_		55/4		G4		3/C		4b		/C4		E4		E4		C4		C4		C4		C4
Bankfull Velocity (fps		3.7	4.0		3.9				5.5			4.9		5.4	5.6		3.1		3.9	2.7	3.0		3.8
Bankfull Discharge (cfs			45		58	2	.03	8	35	-			97		35		40		54	23	38	5	56
Q-NFF regression (2-yr			85		112																	4	/
Q-USGS extrapolation (1.2-yr			46		62																		
Q-Manning																	162		100		105	4	
Valley Length (ft	)		,465		128			_						_			465		428		465		128
Channel Thalweg Length (ft	)		,527		704												715		732		787		529
Sinuosit	(		1.3		1.1				.1		1.1		1.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2		1.2		1.2
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	-																			-	0087		0126
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft	)	0.	0083	0.	0082	0.	019	0.0	)235	0.	132	0.0	0047	0.019	0.022	0.0	0083	0	.0108	0.0085	0.0086	0.0103	0.0107

SC: Silt/Clay <0.062 mm diameter particles (---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data SummaryHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Hopewell-UT2A Reaches 1 and 2

								<u> </u>					
Gage				1	See Table 10a.								Reach 2
	Min	Max	Min	Max		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
			-		· ·					•			
													10.9
													>88
													).7
													1.2
N/A					See Table 10a.								8.0
						-							14.9
													>9
							1.0	1	.0				0
	(	0.1	0	0.1						3	0.9	34.3	39.8
			-					-		-			
										18	54	10	67
	-		-			0.119	0.0255	0.013	0.028	0.0032	0.0210	0.0034	0.033
N/A					See Table 10a					18	54	14	55
11/1	2	2.3	1.9	2.7	See Table 108.	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.4	2.9	1.5	4.1
	-		-			14	59	15	65	40	67	27	88
	18	22	26	72		14	54	16	60	20	38	15	42
	8	31	6	28		16	27	18	30	16	25	18	30
N/A	1.3	5.0	1.0	3.5	See Table 10a.	1.8	3.0	1.8	3.0	0.5	2.4	1.8	2.8
	54	61	102	173		36	135	40	150	76	116	64	147
	2.9	3.6	4.3	9.1		1.6	6.0	1.6	6.0	1.9	3.7	1.5	3.9
			•	•							•	•	
	SC/SC/	/0.1/3/7	SC/SC/	0.1/3/7						SC/2/18/	57/87/180	SC/2/18/	57/87/180
N/A			· ·		See Table 10a.		0.3	0.	36	0.	.25	0.44	0.45
													1
	0	0.10	0	.16			0.10	0.	16	0	.10	0.	.16
			<	1%			<1%					<	1%
	E/(	G5/4	E/0	65/4			C4	c	4		24	0	24
					-			-					2.8
					-								25
				18	-		-				-		1 -5
N/A					See Table 10a.								
,/.													
					4		283	1 1	98	2	83	1 -	198
		368		368	4		386	1,1			86		443
			1, 1,					1,3					
	1	13	1	2		1.0	1 2	1 1 0	1 2	1 1	2	1	
		1.3		2		1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2		3 006	1	1.2 0108
	N/A N/A N/A	N/A         Min           N/A	Gage         UT2A Reach 1           Min         Max           6.2         40           1.0         2.0           1.0         2.0           6.2         6.5           1.4         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.1         0.1           0.10         54           0.10         <1%	Min         Max         Min           40         6           40         6           1.0         0.8           2.0         1.1           6.2         6.1           6.2         6.1           6.2         6.1           6.2         6.1           6.2         5.9           6.5         0.8           1.4         2.3           0.1         0           0.1         0	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2           Min         Max         Min         Max           40         6         10           1.0         0.8         1.0           2.0         1.1         1.5           6.2         6.1         6.2           6.2         6.1         6.2           6.2         5.9         10.0           6.5         0.8         1.7           1.4         2.3         2.9           0.1         0.1         0.1           N/A         2.3         1.9         2.7                0.1         0.1         0.1           N/A         18         22         26         72           8         31         6         28           1.3         5.0         1.0         3.5           54         61         102         173           2.9         3.6         4.3         9.1           N/A         SC/SC/0.1/3/7         SC/SC/0.1/3/7           SC/SC/0.1/3/7         SC/SC/0.1/3/7         SC/SC/0.1/3/7	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.           Min         Max         Min         Max           Image: See Table 10a.         6.2         6.0         7.9           40         6         10         0.8         1.0           2.0         1.1         1.5         6.2         6.1         6.2           6.2         6.1         6.2         5.9         10.0         6.5         0.8         1.7           1.4         2.3         2.9         0.1         0.1         0.1         0.1           N/A         2.3         1.9         2.7          See Table 10a.           N/A         2.3         1.9         2.7          See Table 10a.           N/A         1.8         2.2         2.6         72         See Table 10a.           N/A         1.8         3.1         6         2.8         See Table 10a.           See Table 10a         3.6         4.3         9.1         See Table 10a.           N/A         1.8         2.5          See Table 10a.           See Table 10a	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A           Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Min         Min         Min           6.2         6.0         7.9         6         10         50         12         12         14         50         12         14         50         12 <td< td=""><td>Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1           Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max           6.2         6.0         7.9         6.1         6.2         6.0         7.9           40         6         10         0.6         10.0         50         125           1.0         0.8         1.0         0.6         50         125           6.2         6.1         6.2         5.9         10.0         56         13.9           1.4         2.3         2.9         1.0         56         13.9         1.0           0.1         0.1         0.1         0.19         0.0255            12         1.5         1.4         59           1.2         1.5         1.4         59             1.2         1.5         1.4         59           1.2         1.5         1.4         59           1.4         54           1.4         54          </td><td>Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 1           Min         Max         Min         Max</td><td>Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         Min         Max         Min         Max           Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max         Max         Max           40         6         10         0.8         1.0         0.50         125         50         125           1.0         0.8         1.0         50         125         50         125         50         125           6.2         6.1         6.2         59         10.0         57         7.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         12.5         1.0         10.</td><td>Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         UT2A Reach 2         UT2A Reach 3         UT2A Reach 3         UT2A Reach 4         UT2A Reach 7         UT2A Reach 7</td><td>Gage         UTA Resch 1         UTA Resch 2         See Table 30.         UTA Resch 1         UTA Resch 2         UTA Resch 1           Min         Max         <tht< td=""><td>Gage         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         See Table 20         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         UT2A Resch 2</td></tht<></td></td<>	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1           Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max           6.2         6.0         7.9         6.1         6.2         6.0         7.9           40         6         10         0.6         10.0         50         125           1.0         0.8         1.0         0.6         50         125           6.2         6.1         6.2         5.9         10.0         56         13.9           1.4         2.3         2.9         1.0         56         13.9         1.0           0.1         0.1         0.1         0.19         0.0255            12         1.5         1.4         59           1.2         1.5         1.4         59             1.2         1.5         1.4         59           1.2         1.5         1.4         59           1.4         54           1.4         54	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 1           Min         Max         Min         Max	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         Min         Max         Min         Max           Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max         Min         Max         Max         Max           40         6         10         0.8         1.0         0.50         125         50         125           1.0         0.8         1.0         50         125         50         125         50         125           6.2         6.1         6.2         59         10.0         57         7.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         14.0         12.5         1.0         10.	Gage         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         See Table 10a.         UT2A Reach 1         UT2A Reach 2         UT2A Reach 2         UT2A Reach 3         UT2A Reach 3         UT2A Reach 4         UT2A Reach 7         UT2A Reach 7	Gage         UTA Resch 1         UTA Resch 2         See Table 30.         UTA Resch 1         UTA Resch 2         UTA Resch 1           Min         Max         Min         Max <tht< td=""><td>Gage         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         See Table 20         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         UT2A Resch 2</td></tht<>	Gage         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         See Table 20         UT2A Resch 1         UT2A Resch 2         UT2A Resch 2

SC: Silt/Clay <0.062 mm diameter particles (---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

Table 10c. Baseline Stream Data SummaryHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Hopewell-UT2B Reach 2 and UT2C Reaches 2 and 3

				tion Condition		ference Reach Da			sign				/Baseline	
Parameter	Gage		T2B		72C	See Table 10a.	UT2B	Reach 2	UT2C Re	ach 2 & 3	UT2B	Reach 2	UT2C Re	ach 2 & 3
		Min	Max	Min	Max		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	<u>.</u>		-	<u>.</u>		-	-		<u>.</u>		-		-	
Bankfull Width (ft)	)	3.4	5.1	4.2	6.4			5.0	7	.8		5.2		9.9
Floodprone Width (ft)		4	8	7	53		50	125	50	125		-41		48
Bankfull Mean Depth	1	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.9			0.4	0	.6		).4		).5
Bankfull Max Depth	1	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.4		0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	(	0.6		l.1
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A	2.2	2.3	3.8	4.2	See Table 10a.		2.1		.3		2.1		5.3
Width/Depth Ratio	)	5.5	11.3	4.6	9.6		1	12.0	14	1.0	1	3.0	1	8.4
Entrenchment Ratio	)	1.2	1.6	1.2	2.6		10.0	25.0	6.4	16.0		>8		>5
Bank Height Ratio		1.7	4.0	1.0	3.4			1.0	1	.0	1	L.O	1	L.O
D50 (mm)	)	2	2.1	6	.0						2	5.4	1	8.4
Profile														
Riffle Length (ft)	)								-		7	25	6	20
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-			0.03	0.065	0.0180	0.0380	0.0146	0.0441	0.0051	0.0584
Pool Length (ft)	N/A					See Table 10a.			-		10	21	3	25
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	-		1.1	1.2		0.6	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.3	2.8	2.2	3.7
Pool Spacing (ft)							8	33	12	51	19	36	23	36
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup>	)											•		1
Pattern				•			•		•		•			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	)	25	32	33	46		8	30	12	47	8	19	10	25
Radius of Curvature (ft)			20	6	20	1	9	15	14	23	9	15	14	15
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A	2.9	3.9	1.4	3.1	See Table 10a.	1.8	3.0	1.9	3.0	1.7	2.9	1.4	1.5
Meander Length (ft)		23	21	160	165		20	75	31	117	40	62	45	82
Meander Width Ratio	,	7.4	6.3	7.9	7.2	7	1.6	6.0	1.6	6.0	1.6	3.6	1.0	2.5
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters		•		•	•	-	•		•	•			•	
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%	Ď													
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	5													
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	N/A	SC/SC/2	.1/18/107	SC/0.8/	6/45/78	See Table 10a.					SC/6/21/5	55/128/256	SC/SC/9/	45/78/128
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft	N/A	-		-		See Table 10a.	(	0.49	0.46	0.72	0	.46	0.25	1.11
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful	ī													1
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>	2													
Additional Reach Parameters														
Drainage Area (SM)	)	0	.03	0	.08			0.03	0.	08	0	.03	0.	.08
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)		<	1%	<	1%			<1%	<:	1%	<	1%	<	1%
Rosgen Classification		(	G4	E/	'G4	1		C4	0	4	C	:4b	C4/	/C4b
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		3.0	3.2	3.3	3.7	1		3	2	.7	2	2.7	2	2.1
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)			7	:	4	1		7	1	.3		6	1	11
Q-NFF regression (2-yr)			18		31	1								
Q-USGS extrapolation (1.2-yr)			9	1 :	15	See Table 10a.								
Q-Mannings	5			-		1								
		1	83	2	96	1		183	2	29	1	.83	2	29
Valley Length (ft)			14		26	1		198		47		.98		47
		1	.14	3	20									
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)			.14	-	1	-						l.1		l.1
	·	1		1	-	-	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1	L.1 0211		0.0365

SC: Silt/Clay <0.062 mm diameter particles (---): Data was not provided N/A: Not Applicable

## Table 10d. Baseline Stream Data SummaryHopewell Stream Mitigation SiteDMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Hopewell-UT1B Reach 1

		Pre-Re	storation	ference Reach Da	Des	<u> </u>	-	/Baseline
Parameter	Gage	U	T1B	See Table 10a.	UT1B R	each 1	UT1B F	Reach 1
		Min	Max		Min	Max	Min	Max
imension and Substrate - Riffle				· · ·				
Bankfull Width (ft)		7.1	13.2		5.	0	4	.8
Floodprone Width (ft)		8	28		10	25	12	2.4
Bankfull Mean Depth	ĺ	0.7	1.1	7 1	0.	4	0	.4
Bankfull Max Depth	ľ	1.2	1.9	7 1	0.	5	0	.6
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	N/A	8.0	12.0	See Table 10a.	1.	9	1	.8
Width/Depth Ratio		10.1	12.0	- T	13	.0	13	3.3
Entrenchment Ratio	-		2.2		10.0	25.0	2	.6
Bank Height Ratio			2.5	-	1.		1	.0
D50 (mm)			52.3					5.3
rofile								
Riffle Length (ft)			1	1		-	11	47
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	-			-	0.0154	0.033	0.0185	0.0646
Pool Length (ft)					0.0134		20	105
Pool Max Depth (ft)	N/A	1.4	2.6	See Table 10a.	1.9	2.5	1.1	1.6
Pool Spacing (ft)				- +	21	91	56	1.0
					21	91	50	105
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )								
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	I	20	47		22	0.4	1	
( )	-	20	47	-	22 25	84		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	NI/A	10	84			42		
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	N/A	0.9	7.5	See Table 10a.	1.8	3.0		
Meander Length (ft)		68	294		56	210		
Meander Width Ratio		1.8	4.2		1.6	6.0		
ubstrate, Bed and Transport Parameters								
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%								
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%		/ /					/-/-/-	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	N/A		52.3/136/172	See Table 10a.				8/256/512
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>					0.0	51	0.	54
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull								
Stream Power (Capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>								
dditional Reach Parameters							1	
Drainage Area (SM)			0.03		0.0			03
Watershed Impervious Cover Estimate (%)			<1%		<1			1%
Rosgen Classification			b/B4		C4			4b
Bankfull Velocity (fps)			1.7		3.			.8
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)			12		e	5		5
Q-NFF regression (2-yr)			15					
Q-USGS extrapolation (1.2-yr)	N/A		7	See Table 10a.				
Q-Mannings								
Valley Length (ft)	ľ		431	7 1	43	31	4	31
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		4	475	-1 ľ	47	75	4	80
Sinuosity	-		1.1	-	1.0	1.2	1	.1
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft) <sup>2</sup>	ŀ			-1			0.0	270
			0369		0.03		0.0246	0.0260

SC: Silt/Clay <0.062 mm diameter particles (---): Data was not provided

N/A: Not Applicable

## Table 11a. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section) Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Dimensional Subserverity         Uses         MVI         MVI <th></th> <th></th> <th>Cros</th> <th>s-Secti</th> <th>on 1, U<sup>.</sup></th> <th>T2A Rea</th> <th>ach 1 (F</th> <th>Pool)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Cros</th> <th>s-Sectio</th> <th>on 2, UT</th> <th>2A Rea</th> <th>ach 1 (R</th> <th>iffle)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Cros</th> <th>s-Sectio</th> <th>on 3, U1</th> <th>2A Rea</th> <th>ach 2 (R</th> <th>liffle)</th> <th></th>			Cros	s-Secti	on 1, U <sup>.</sup>	T2A Rea	ach 1 (F	Pool)			Cros	s-Sectio	on 2, UT	2A Rea	ach 1 (R	iffle)			Cros	s-Sectio	on 3, U1	2A Rea	ach 2 (R	liffle)	
Bankful Evolution (f)         726         726         726         726         726         726         726         727	Dimension and Substrate	Base							MY7	Base					<u> </u>		MY7	Base	-		-			<u> </u>	MY7
Low bark level with P1       P226       P226       P226       P226       P226       P226       P227       P227       P277       P277       P377       <	Bankfull Elevation (ft)	722.6	722.6	722.6	722.6	722.8	722.8	722.6		722.4	722.4	722.4	722.4	722.6	722.6	722.6		719.7	719.7	719.7	719.7	719.7	719.8	719.7	
Bankful Mohing 10:1         12.2         12.7         12.7         12.7         13.1         15.9         18.0         10.3         10.7         10.0         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.0         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.0         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.3         10.2         10.3         10.4         10.2         10.3         10.4         10.3         10.2         10.3         10.4         10.3 </td <td>Low Bank Elevation (ft)</td> <td>722.6</td> <td>722.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>722.4</td> <td>722.4</td> <td></td> <td>722.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>719.7</td> <td>719.7</td> <td></td> <td>719.7</td> <td>719.7</td> <td>720.0</td> <td>719.9</td> <td></td>	Low Bank Elevation (ft)	722.6	722.6								722.4	722.4		722.6				719.7	719.7		719.7	719.7	720.0	719.9	
Biodefine Weith (ft) </td <td>Bankfull Width (ft)</td> <td></td> <td>11.9</td> <td></td> <td>11.9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10.2</td> <td>10.4</td> <td>10.2</td> <td></td>	Bankfull Width (ft)													11.9		11.9						10.2	10.4	10.2	
Bankful Max Depth [1]         14         1.0         1.1         1.0         0.8         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.5         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.5         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.5         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.5         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.5         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.8         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8         0.8         0.8         0.8         0.7         0.7         0.8																									
Benklul Max Opph (h)         2.2         2.5         2.5         2.6         2.0         1.6         1.3         2.4         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.7         1.1		1.4	1.3				1.1																		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Versitivi         165         155         153         153         153         124         128         <																									
Bankfull Weith/Deight Batio         9.8         9.8         9.8         11.4         15.5         12.6         13.7         13.7         13.6         13.6         13.7         13.7         13.7         13.6         13.8         13.7         13.6         13.8         13.7         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         13.8         1																									
Bankful internetment Rato       -<																									
Banklil Bank Light Ratio <sup>11</sup>																									
dS0 (m)	13									-	-	-						-				_			
Cross-Section 4, UT2A Resub. 2 (Pool)         Cross-Section 5, UT2A Resub. 2 (Riffle)           Demosion and Subtrate         Base Mull         NV2         MV3         MV6         MV7         MV8         MV8         MV6         MV7         MV8         MV8         MV6         MV7         MV8         MV8 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																									
Dimensionand Substrate       Bit       MV3       MV6       MV7       Bit       MV6       MV7       Bit       MV2       MV2       Bit       MV3       MV6       MV7       Bit       MV3       MV6       MV7       Bit       MV3       MV3       MV6       MV7       Bit       MV3       M			Cros	s-Secti	on 4. U <sup>.</sup>	T2A Rea	ach 2 (F		<u> </u>																<u> </u>
Bankful Revision (N)         72.6         72.6         72.6         72.5<	Dimension and Substrate	Base					· · ·	-	MY7	Base					· · ·	· · ·	MY7	Base			-			<u> </u>	MY7
Low Bank Elevation (tt)       7106       7106       7108       7108       7135       7135       7135       7134      <																									
Bankful Nukh (tij)       21.       11.2       11.3       12.2       11.2       12.6       12.6       13.6       11.8       13.4       10.9       14.0       13.8       10.7         Benkful New Depth (tij)       14       13       14       13       13       13       14       13       13       13       14       13       13       13       14       13       13       14       13       13       14       14       10       10       10       10       12.0       11.3       13.4 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																									
Biodoprov Width (ft)																									
Bankfull Max Depth (m)         1.4         1.3         1.3         1.4         1.3         1.3         1.4         1.3         1.3         1.4         1.3         1.3         1.4         1.3         1.3         1.4         1.3																									
Bankfull Coss-Section J. Verson       Solution																									
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>7</sup> )       16.7       16.0       16.8       15.6       15.8       12.3       12.1       11.1       13.0       13.3       11.8       13.4       8.0       9.0       9.2       8.0       6.9       7.6       6.2         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio   34.3       14.6       23.1       14.6       23.1       14.6       23.1       14.6       23.1       14.6       <																									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       88       9.4       9.7       8.8       8.6       9.1       9.4       13.2       13.5       12.4       12.2       12.8       11.8       13.3       14.9       21.8       20.6       14.8       18.4       20.1       18.5         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																								
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio  <	. ,																								
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	· · ·		-																						
d50 (mm)																									
Dimension and Substrate         Base         MV1         MV2         MV3         MV4         MV5         MV6         MV7         MV2         MV3         MV4         MV5         MV6         MV7         MV3         MV4         MV3         MV4         MV3         MV4         MV3         MV4         MV3         MV4         MV3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																									
Dimension and Substrate         Base         MY1         MY2         MY3         MY4         MY5         Base         MY1         MY2         MY3         MY4         MY5         MY6         MY7         Base         MY1         MY2         MY3         MY4         MY5         MY5 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0 110</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th>									1									0 110						-	
Low Bank Elevation (ft)       705.9       705.9       705.6       705.6       705.0       705.0       705.0       705.0       705.0       704.9       704.9       724.8       724.7       724.7       724.7       724.7       724.7       72			Cros	ss-Secti	on 7. U	IZ Reau															II 9. U I	и кеа	UI 2 I NI		
Bankfull Width (ft)       32.2       32.4       32.8       32.7       18.5       16.6       18.8       13.1       13.1       13.5       13.9       13.7       13.6       13.7       7.9       9.6       8.3       8.5       9.2       7.4       7.8         Bioodproe Width (ft)       1.2       1.3       1.6       1.5       2.3       2.2       1.1       1.2       1.2       1.0       0.6       0.5       0.6       0.7       0.6       0.6       0.6	Dimension and Substrate	Base							MY7	Base					MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	1						MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)       32.2       32.4       32.8       32.7       18.5       16.6       18.8       13.1       13.1       13.5       13.9       13.7       13.6       13.7       7.9       9.6       8.3       8.5       9.2       7.4       7.8         Binodprome Width (ft)			MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7		MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4			MY7		MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Floodprone Width (ft)             >>55       >60       >50       >55       >56       >57       >67       >62       >68       >60       <	Bankfull Elevation (ft)	705.9	<b>MY1</b> 705.9	<b>MY2</b> 705.9	<b>MY3</b> 705.9	<b>MY4</b> 705.6	<b>MY5</b> 705.4	<b>MY6</b> 705.5	MY7	705.0	<b>MY1</b> 705.0	<b>MY2</b> 705.0	<b>MY3</b> 705.0	<b>MY4</b> 705.0	705.1	705.0	MY7	724.8	<b>MY1</b> 724.8	<b>MY2</b> 724.8	<b>MY3</b> 724.8	<b>MY4</b> 724.7	<b>MY5</b> 724.8	<b>MY6</b> 724.7	MY7
Bankfull Max Depth (ti)       3.8       3.6       5.1       5.1       4.6       4.5       4.7       1.5       1.8       1.9       1.7       1.5       1.7       1.6       1.0       0.9       1.1       1.6       1.2       1.1       1.2         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (t <sup>1</sup> )       38.6       41.8       52.1       50.1       41.8       38.1       42.1       14.6       16.2       15.5       14.4       12.6       12.6       13.3       4.9       5.0       5.2       6.1       5.5       4.6       5.0         Bankfull Midth/Depth Ratio       26.9       25.1       20.7       21.4       8.2       7.3       8.4       11.8       10.6       11.1       13.6       15.0       14.7       14.1       12.8       18.4       13.2       11.8       15.2       11.8       12.2         Bankfull Brunchmert Ratio              1.0<	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft)	705.9 705.9	MY1 705.9 705.9	MY2 705.9 705.9	<b>MY3</b> 705.9 705.9	<b>MY4</b> 705.6 705.6	<b>MY5</b> 705.4 705.4	MY6 705.5 705.5	MY7	705.0 705.0	<b>MY1</b> 705.0 705.0	<b>MY2</b> 705.0 705.0	<b>MY3</b> 705.0 705.0	<b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9	705.1 704.9	705.0 704.9	MY7	724.8 724.8	MY1 724.8 724.8	<b>MY2</b> 724.8 724.8	MY3 724.8 724.8	<b>MY4</b> 724.7 724.8	MY5 724.8 724.7	MY6 724.7 724.7	MY7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (tf <sup>2</sup> )       38.6       41.8       52.1       50.1       41.8       38.1       42.1       14.6       16.2       16.5       14.4       12.6       13.3       4.9       5.0       5.2       6.1       5.5       4.6       5.0         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       26.9       25.1       20.7       21.4       8.2       7.3       8.4       11.8       10.6       11.1       13.6       15.0       14.7       14.1       12.8       18.4       13.2       11.8       15.2       11.8       12.2         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> 4.4       5.5       5.5       3.4       3.4       3.1       1.0 <td< td=""><td>Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft)</td><td>705.9 705.9 32.2</td><td>MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4</td><td>MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8</td><td>MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7</td><td>MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5</td><td>MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6</td><td>MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8</td><td>MY7</td><td>705.0 705.0 13.1</td><td><b>MY1</b> 705.0 705.0 13.1</td><td><b>MY2</b> 705.0 705.0 13.5</td><td>MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9</td><td><b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9 13.7</td><td>705.1 704.9 13.6</td><td>705.0 704.9 13.7</td><td>MY7</td><td>724.8 724.8 7.9</td><td><b>MY1</b> 724.8 724.8 9.6</td><td>MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3</td><td>MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5</td><td><b>MY4</b> 724.7 724.8 9.2</td><td>MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4</td><td>MY6 724.7 724.7 7.8</td><td>MY7</td></td<>	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1	<b>MY1</b> 705.0 705.0 13.1	<b>MY2</b> 705.0 705.0 13.5	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9	<b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9 13.7	705.1 704.9 13.6	705.0 704.9 13.7	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9	<b>MY1</b> 724.8 724.8 9.6	MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5	<b>MY4</b> 724.7 724.8 9.2	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4	MY6 724.7 724.7 7.8	MY7
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       26.9       25.1       20.7       21.4       8.2       7.3       8.4       11.8       10.6       11.1       13.6       15.0       14.7       14.1       12.8       18.4       13.2       11.8       15.2       11.8       12.2       12.8       12.8	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2 	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4 	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8 	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8 	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67	MY1 724.8 9.6 >62	MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3 >68	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5 >68	<b>MY4</b> 724.7 724.8 9.2 >68	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68	MY6 724.7 724.7 7.8 >68	MY7
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio                          1.0	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7  1.5	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60 1.2	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59 1.1	<b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6	MY1 724.8 724.8 9.6 >62 0.5	MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3 >68 0.6	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5 >68 0.7	MY4 724.7 724.8 9.2 >68 0.6	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68 0.6	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6	MY7
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> 1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       0.9       0.9       0.9       1.0	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8	MY1 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6	MY2 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1	MY3 705.9 32.7  1.5 5.1	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3 4.6	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60 1.2 1.9	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59 1.1 1.7	<b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0	MY1 724.8 9.6 >62 0.5 0.9	MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3 >68 0.6 1.1	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5 >68 0.7 1.6	MY4 724.7 724.8 9.2 >68 0.6 1.2	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68 0.6 1.1	MY6 724.7 724.7 7.8 >68 0.6 1.2	MY7
d50 (mm)           45.8       25.7       23.4       38.7       23.3       49.1       35.1       25.4       33.7       11.0       22.6       22.6       17.1       11.6         Cross-Section 10, UT2B Reach 2 (Pool)         Dimension and Substrate       Base       MY1       MY2       MY3       MY4       MY5       MY6       MY7         Bankfull Elevation (ft)       723.4       723.4       723.4       723.2       723.5       723.4       723.4       723.2       723.5       723.4       7	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7  1.5 5.1 5.1	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3 4.6 41.8	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60 1.2 1.9 1.9	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59 1.1 1.7 14.4	<b>MY4</b> 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9	MY1 724.8 9.6 >62 0.5 0.9 5.0	MY2 724.8 724.8 8.3 >68 0.6 1.1 5.2	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5 >68 0.7 1.6 6.1	MY4 724.7 724.8 9.2 >68 0.6 1.2 5.5	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68 0.6 1.1 4.6	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0	MY7
Dimension and Substrate         Base         MY1         MY2         MY3         MY4         MY5         MY6           Bankfull Elevation (ft)         723.4         723.4         723.2         723.5         723.4           Low Bank Elevation (ft)         723.4         723.4         723.2         723.5         723.4           Bankfull Width (ft)         10.8         11.3         10.5         10.7         9.1         10.5         9.6           Floodprone Width (ft)                 Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         0.8         0.7         0.8         0.8         0.9         0.9           Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         1.5         1.8         1.8         1.7            Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         8.3         8.6         7.8         9.0         6.9         9.0         8.8           Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         14.1         14.8         14.0         12.8         11.8         12.2         10.6           Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9	MY1 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1	MY2 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7	MY3 705.9 32.7  1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2	MY5 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60 1.2 1.9 16.5 11.1	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59 1.1 1.7 14.4 13.6	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8	MY1 724.8 9.6 >62 0.5 0.9 5.0 18.4	MY2         724.8         724.8         8.3         >68         0.6         1.1         5.2         13.2	MY3 724.8 724.8 8.5 >68 0.7 1.6 6.1 11.8	MY4 724.7 724.8 9.2 >68 0.6 1.2 5.5 15.2	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68 0.6 1.1 4.6 11.8	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2	MY7
Dimension and Substrate         Base         MY1         MY2         MY3         MY4         MY5         MY6         MY7           Bankfull Elevation (ft)         723.4         723.4         723.4         723.4         723.2         723.5         723.4            Low Bank Elevation (ft)         723.4         723.4         723.4         723.2         723.5         723.4            Bankfull Width (ft)         10.8         11.3         10.5         10.7         9.1         10.5         9.6            Floodprone Width (ft)	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9 	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1 	MY2           705.9           32.8              1.6           5.1           52.1           20.7	MY3           705.9           32.7              1.5           5.1           50.1           21.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2 	MY5           705.4           705.4           16.6              2.3           4.5           38.1           7.3	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4 	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5	MY2 705.0 705.0 13.5 >60 1.2 1.9 1.9 16.5 11.1 >5	MY3 705.0 705.0 13.9 >59 1.1 1.7 14.4 13.6 >4	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 12.6 15.0	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8	MY1           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8	MY4         724.7         724.8         9.2         >68         0.6         1.2         5.5         15.2         >7	MY5 724.8 724.7 7.4 >68 0.6 1.1 4.6 11.8 >9	MY6 724.7 724.7 7.8 >68 0.6 1.2 5.0 12.2 >8	MY7
Bankfull Elevation (ft)       723.4       723.4       723.4       723.2       723.5       723.4         Low Bank Elevation (ft)       723.4       723.4       723.4       723.2       723.5       723.4         Bankfull Width (ft)       10.8       11.3       10.5       10.7       9.1       10.5       9.6         Floodprone Width (ft)               Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)       0.8       0.7       0.8       0.8       0.9       0.9         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull More Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull More Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull More Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Vidth/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)           Low Bank Elevation (ft)           Bankfull Width (ft)           Floodprone Width (ft)           Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)           Bankfull Max Depth (ft)           Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )           Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio           Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio           Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9 	MY1           705.9           32.4              1.3           3.6           41.8           25.1	MY2           705.9           32.8              1.6           5.1           52.1           20.7	MY3           705.9           32.7              1.5           5.1           50.1           21.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2 	MY5           705.4           705.4           16.6              2.3           4.5           38.1           7.3	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4  	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Low Bank Elevation (ft)       723.4       723.4       723.2       723.2       723.2       723.4       723.4         Bankfull Width (ft)       10.8       11.3       10.5       10.7       9.1       10.5       9.6         Floodprone Width (ft)               Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)       0.8       0.8       0.7       0.8       0.8       0.9       0.9         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.7          Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)           Low Bank Elevation (ft)           Bankfull Width (ft)           Floodprone Width (ft)           Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)           Bankfull Max Depth (ft)           Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )           Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio           Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio           Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9 	MY1           705.9           32.4              1.3           3.6           41.8           25.1	MY2           705.9           32.8              1.6           5.1           52.1           20.7	MY3           705.9           32.7              1.5           5.1           50.1           21.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2  	MY5           705.4           705.4           16.6              2.3           4.5           38.1           7.3	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4  	MY7	705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)       10.8       11.3       10.5       10.7       9.1       10.5       9.6         Floodprone Width (ft)                Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)       0.8       0.8       0.7       0.8       0.8       0.9       0.9         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)           Low Bank Elevation (ft)           Bankfull Width (ft)           Floodprone Width (ft)           Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)           Bankfull Max Depth (ft)           Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )           Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio           Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio           Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9  	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1    Cros	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7   s-Sectio	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4   on 10, U	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2   T2B Re	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3   ach 2 (	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4    Pool)		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Floodprone Width (ft)                 Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)       0.8       0.8       0.7       0.8       0.8       0.9       0.9         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b>	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1    Cros MY1	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7   s-Sectio MY2	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7  5.1 50.1 21.4   on 10, U MY3	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2   T2B Re MY4	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6 2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3   ach 2 ( MY5	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4    Pool) MY6		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)       0.8       0.8       0.7       0.8       0.8       0.9       0.9         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2 1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7   5-Sectio MY2 723.4	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7  1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4    n 10, U MY3 723.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2   T2B Re MY4 723.2	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3    ach 2 ( MY5 723.5	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4     Pool) MY6 723.4		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4 723.4	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7  c s-Sectio MY2 723.4 723.4	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  on 10, U MY3 723.4 723.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2  7 2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3   ach 2 ( MY5 723.5 723.5	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4    Pool) MY6 723.4 723.4		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4         705.0         704.9         13.7         >555         0.9         1.5         12.6         15.0         >4         0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)       1.5       1.8       1.8       1.8       1.7         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 10.8	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4 723.4	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  1.6 5.1 52.1 20.7  c s-Sectio MY2 723.4 723.4	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  on 10, U MY3 723.4 723.4	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2   T2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2 9.1	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3   ach 2 ( MY5 723.5 723.5	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4   Pool) MY6 723.4 723.4 9.6		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	MY7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )       8.3       8.6       7.8       9.0       6.9       9.0       8.8         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio       14.1       14.8       14.0       12.8       11.8       12.2       10.6         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 10.8 	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1  Cros MY1 723.4 723.4 723.4 11.3 	MY2 705.9 705.9 32.8  52.1 20.7  c c c s-Sectio MY2 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.5 	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  0 10,0 WY3 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.7	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2  0 T2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2 9.1 	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3  ach 2 ( MY5 723.5 723.5 723.5 10.5 	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4   Pool) MY6 723.4 723.4 9.6 		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         14.1         14.8         14.0         12.8         11.8         12.2         10.6           Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 10.8  0.8	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1  Cros MY1 723.4 723.4 723.4 11.3  0.8	MY2           705.9           705.9           32.8              1.6           5.1           52.1           20.7                       S-Section           723.4           723.4           10.5              0.7	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  0 10,0 WY3 723.4 723.4 10.7  0.8	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2  T2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2 9.1  0.8	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3  ach 2 ( MY5 723.5 723.5 723.5 10.5  0.9	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4  <b></b> <b></b> <b>Pool)</b> MY6 723.4 723.4 723.4 9.6  0.9		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm) Dimension and Substrate Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  1.2 3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.8  0.8 1.5	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  1.3 3.6 41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4 723.4 723.4 11.3  0.8 1.5	MY2           705.9           705.9           32.8              1.6           5.1           52.1           20.7                       S-Section           MY2           723.4           723.4           10.5              0.7           1.8	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  0 10.0 WY3 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.7  0.8 1.8	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5 2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2  T2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2 9.1  0.8 1.8	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3      <b></b> 723.5 723.5 723.5 10.5   0.9 1.8	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4   Poll 723.4 723.4 9.6  723.4 9.6  0.9 1.7		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	
	Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm) Dimension and Substrate Bankfull Elevation (ft) Low Bank Elevation (ft) Bankfull Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft) Bankfull Mean Depth (ft) Bankfull Max Depth (ft) Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	705.9 705.9 32.2  3.8 38.6 26.9    7 <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.8  0.8 1.5 8.3	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4 723.4 723.4 11.3  0.8 1.5 8.6	MY2           705.9           705.9           32.8              5.1           52.1           20.7   0.7           1.8           7.8	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  0 10, U MY3 723.4 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.7  0.8 1.8 9.0	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  2.3 4.6 41.8 8.2   723.2 9.1 723.2 9.1  0.8 1.8 6.9	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3       <b>my5</b> 723.5 723.5 10.5  723.5 10.5  0.9 1.8 9.0	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4    Pool 723.4 723.4 9.6  723.4 9.6  0.9 1.7 8.8		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2           705.0           705.0           13.5           >60           1.2           1.9           16.5           11.1           >5           1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	
	Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)         Bankfull Max Depth (ft)         Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )         Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio         Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio         Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup> d50 (mm)         Dimension and Substrate         Bankfull Elevation (ft)         Low Bank Elevation (ft)         Bankfull Width (ft)         Floodprone Width (ft)         Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	705.9 705.9 32.2  3.8 38.6 26.9    <b>Base</b> 723.4 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.8  0.8 1.5 8.3 1.5	MY1 705.9 705.9 32.4  41.8 25.1   Cros MY1 723.4 723.4 11.3  0.8 1.5 8.6 1.5 8.6 14.8	MY2           705.9           705.9           32.8              5.1           52.1           20.7   0.7           1.8           7.8	MY3 705.9 705.9 32.7 1.5 5.1 50.1 21.4  0 10, U MY3 723.4 723.4 723.4 723.4 10.7  0.8 1.8 9.0	MY4 705.6 705.6 18.5  41.8 8.2   T2B Re MY4 723.2 723.2 9.1  0.8 1.8 6.9 11.8	MY5 705.4 705.4 16.6  2.3 4.5 38.1 7.3      <b>my5</b> 723.5 723.5 723.5 10.5  0.9 1.8 9.0 12.2	MY6 705.5 705.5 18.8  2.2 4.7 42.1 8.4    Pool) MY6 723.4 723.4 9.6  0.9 1.7 8.8 10.6		705.0 705.0 13.1 >55 1.1 1.5 14.6 11.8 >4 1.0	MY1 705.0 705.0 13.1 >60 1.2 1.8 16.2 10.6 >5 1.0	MY2         705.0         705.0         13.5         >60         1.2         1.9         16.5         11.1         >5         1.0         1.0	MY3           705.0           705.0           13.9           >59           1.1           1.7           14.4           13.6           >4           1.0	MY4 705.0 704.9 13.7 >55 0.9 1.5 12.6 15.0 >4 0.9	705.1 704.9 13.6 >56 0.9 1.7 12.6 14.7 >4 0.9	705.0 704.9 13.7 >57 1.0 1.6 13.3 14.1 >4 0.9	MY7	724.8 724.8 7.9 >67 0.6 1.0 4.9 12.8 >8 1.0	MY1           724.8           724.8           9.6           >62           0.5           0.9           5.0           18.4           >7           1.0	MY2           724.8           724.8           8.3           >68           0.6           1.1           5.2           13.2           >8           1.0	MY3           724.8           724.8           8.5           >68           0.7           1.6           6.1           11.8           >8           1.0	MY4           724.7           724.8           9.2           >68           0.6           1.2           5.5           15.2           >7           1.1	MY5           724.8           724.7           7.4           >68           0.6           1.1           4.6           11.8           >9           1.0	MY6           724.7           724.7           7.8           >68           0.6           1.2           5.0           12.2           >8           1.0	

<sup>1</sup> Prior to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed baseline bankfull elevation.

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio are calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

<sup>3</sup> Bankfull elevation was set too high on Cross-Section 7 between MY0 and MY3 which resulted in a wider bankfull width in those years.

<sup>4</sup> Bankfull dimension calcuations were adjusted at Cross-Section 9 between MY0 and MY3 because the baseline bankfull elevation was set low and fell within the active channel.

d50 (mm) --- --- --- --- --- ---

(---): Data was not provided

### Table 11b. Morphology and Hydraulic Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

		Cro	ss-Secti	on 11 <u>,</u> l	UT2 Rea	ach 1 (R	iffle)			Cro	ss-Secti	on 12 <u>,</u> l	JT2 R <u>e</u> a	ach 1 <u>(</u> R	iffle)			Cros	ss-Secti	on 13, l	JT2 Rea	ach 1 (P	ool) _			Cros	s-Sectio	on 14 <u>,</u> L	JT1B Re	each 1 (P	Pool)	
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Elevation (ft)	719.3	719.3	719.3	719.3	719.3	719.1	719.2		717.3	717.3	717.3	717.3	717.5	717.4	717.5		717.4	717.4	717.4	717.4	717.5	717.4	717.3		764.2	764.2	764.2	764.2	764.7	764.5	764.9	
Low Bank Elevation (ft)	719.3	719.3	719.3	719.3	719.1	719.0	719.0		717.3	717.3	717.3	717.3	717.5	717.5	717.6		717.4	717.4	717.4	717.4	717.5	717.4	717.3		764.7	764.7	764.7	764.7	764.7	764.5	764.9	
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.2	13.7	13.9	13.8	11.4	12.1	11.3		10.6	10.6	11.2	10.9	12.7	12.4	12.6		19.6	17.4	17.1	18.2	18.2	16.0	13.8		5.2	4.9	5.3	5.0	5.1	8.1	9.2	
Floodprone Width (ft)	101	105	104	103	98	100	98		>68	>57	>68	>66	>69	>70	>72																	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9		0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8		1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2		0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7		1.3	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6		2.4	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.3		0.7	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.7	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.7	14.1	14.0	11.7	10.4	11.3	10.6		8.4	7.3	7.7	7.1	8.4	8.6	9.6		23.1	18.5	21.5	19.8	19.5	18.4	16.4		2.5	1.0	1.2	0.6	2.1	1.8	2.4	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	15.8	13.3	13.8	16.4	12.4	13.0	12.1		13.2	15.6	16.2	16.9	19.1	17.8	16.5		16.7	16.4	13.6	16.7	16.9	13.9	11.5		10.4	23.3	22.5	40.5	12.8	36.6	35.4	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	7.1	7.6	7.4	7.4	8.6	8.2	8.7		>7	>5	>6	>6	>5	>5	>5																	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1																	
d50 (mm)	28.0	17.4	14.6	74.5	56.2	48.8	18.2		24.2	22.1	12.8	25.4	25.5	23.6	24.7																	
		Cros	s-Sectio	on 15, U	JT1B Re	each 1 (F	Riffle)			Cros	s-Sectio	on 16, U	T2C Re	ach 2 (F	Riffle)			Cros	s-Sectio	on 17, U	T2C Re	ach 2 (F	Pool)									
Dimension and Substrate	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7								
Bankfull Elevation (ft)	761.9	761.9	761.9	761.9	762.1	762.1	762.3		709.2	709.2	709.2	709.2	709.5	709.5	709.5		708.3	708.3	708.3	708.3	708.1	708.2	708.4									
Low Bank Elevation (ft)	761.9	761.9	761.9	761.9	762.0	762.1	762.0		709.2	709.2	709.2	709.2	709.5	709.5	709.5		708.3	708.3	708.3	708.3	708.1	708.2	708.4									
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.8	4.6	5.2	3.6	5.2	6.9	3.2		9.9	9.0	9.3	8.9	9.3	10.0	10.3		13.0	12.8	11.8	10.8	4.3	5.4	5.5									
Floodprone Width (ft)	12	8	10	9	17	19	15		>48	>45	>47	>47	>49	>45	>51																	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6		0.9	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.6									
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.6		1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.2		2.0	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.1									
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1.8	1.0	1.5	0.7	1.5	1.9	1.0		5.3	4.6	4.9	3.9	5.0	4.9	5.9		11.2	10.7	5.9	5.7	4.0	3.7	3.4									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.3	22.1	18.8	19.0	18.4	24.4	10.5		18.4	17.5	17.6	20.3	17.2	20.2	17.9		15.1	15.3	23.8	20.4	4.7	7.9	8.7									
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	1.6	1.9	2.4	3.2	2.8	4.8		>5	>5	>5	>5	>5	>5	>5																	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	0.8	1.0	0.7		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1																	
d50 (mm)		69.7	13.3	23.9	11.0	S/C	4.0	1	18.4	10.8	8.0	11.5	22.6	24.3	13.9																	

<sup>1</sup> Prior to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed baseline bankfull elevation.

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio are calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

(---): Data was not provided

#### Table 12a. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT1B Reach 1

Parameter	As-Built,	'Baseline	М	Y1	MY	2	Ν	VIY3	MY	<b>′</b> 4	M	Y5	M	Y6	M	Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	4	.8	4	.6	5.2	2		3.6	5.2	2	6	.9	3	.2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	12	2.0	8	.0	10.	0		9.0	16.	.7	19	9.2	15	5.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth	0	.4	0	.2	0.3	3		0.2	0.3	3	0	.3	0	.3		
Bankfull Max Depth		.6	0		0.5			0.4	0.6		0		0			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )		.8	1		1.5			0.7	1.5			.9	1			
Width/Depth Ratio	13		22		18.			19.0	18.			1.4	10			
Entrenchment Ratio		.6	1		1.9			2.4	3.2			.8	4			
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>		.0	1		1.0			1.3	0.8			.0	0			
D50 (mm)	56	5.3	69	9.7	13.	3	2	23.9	11.	.0	Silt/	Clay	4	.0		
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)	11	47	_													
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0185	0.0646	_													
Pool Length (ft)	20	105	-													
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.6	_													
Pool Spacing (ft)	56	103	-													
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	-															
Pattern			1													
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		-	-													
Radius of Curvature (ft) Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)			-													
			-													
Meander Wave Length (ft) Meander Width Ratio			-													
Additional Reach Parameters		-														
Rosgen Classification	C	4b														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		30	-													
Sinuosity (ft)		.1	-													
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		270														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0246	0.0260														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%		0.0200														
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%		-														
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/1/6/12	8/256/512	SC/0.7/7/13	9/241/>2048	SC/6/9/23	/57/180	SC/SC/1.1/1	.28/1248/2048	SC/SC/3.6/23	.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>	SC/SC/SC/77	7/143/>2048	0.14/2/5/7	3/151/256	1	
% of Reach with Eroding Banks		%	0		0%			0%	0%			%	0121/2/0//			
(): Data was not provided					••••						·					

(---): Data was not provided

<sup>1</sup> Prior to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Hopewell-UT2 Reach 1

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline	M	Y1		1Y2	M	IY3	N	IY4	N	1Y5	M	Y6	м	Y7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.6	14.2	10.6	13.7	11.2	13.9	10.9	13.8	11.4	12.7	12.1	12.4	11.3	12.6		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>68	101	>57	105	>68	104	>66	103	>69	98.0	>70	100	72.0	98.4		
Bankfull Mean Depth	0.8	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9		
Bankfull Max Depth	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.8	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.7		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.4	12.7	7.3	14.1	7.7	14.0	7.1	11.7	8.4	10.4	8.6	11.3	9.6	10.6		
Width/Depth Ratio	13.2	15.8	13.3	15.6	13.8	16.2	13.6	16.4	12.4	19.1	13.0	17.8	12.1	16.5		
Entrenchment Ratio	>7	7.1	>5	7.6	>6	7.4	>6	7.4	>5	8.6	>6	8.2	>5	8.7		
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	1	0	1	.0	1	0	1	0	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.1		
D50 (mm)	24.2	28.0	17.4	22.1	12.8	14.6	25.4	74.5	25.5	56.2	23.6	48.8	18.2	24.7		
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)	11	120														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0033	0.0227														
Pool Length (ft)	17	66														
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.7	3.6														
Pool Spacing (ft)	20	108														
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	-															
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	5	11														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	13	36														
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.2	2.5														
Meander Wave Length (ft)	60	171														
Meander Width Ratio	0.5	0.8														
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification		24														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		787														
Sinuosity (ft)		.20														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		087														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0085	0.0086														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%	-															
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	-															
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/1.4/24/	73/128/180				51/174/2048	SC/SC/25/	70/116/180	SC/SC/3.6/2	3.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>			0.84/10/15	/51/107/180		
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	0	)%	0	%	C	)%	0	1%	(	)%	C	1%	0	%		

(---): Data was not provided

<sup>1</sup> Prior to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12c. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT2 Reach 2

Parameter	As-Built/	/Baseline	MY1		MY	2	MY	/3	M	′4	М	Y5	MY6		М	142
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	15	5.3	13.1	13.1		13.5		13.9		13.7		13.6		13.7		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>!	55	>60		>60	>60		>59		>55		>56		>57		
Bankfull Mean Depth		.0	1.2		1.2		1.0		0.9		0.9		1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth		.5	1.8		1.9			1.7		1.5		.7		.6		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	14	-	16.2		16.5		14.		12			2.6		3.3		
Width/Depth Ratio	15		10.6		11.3		13.	.6	15	.0	14			4.1		
Entrenchment Ratio		-4	>5		>5		>4		>4			-4		•4		
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>		.0	1.0		1.0		1.0		0.			.9		.9		
D50 (mm)	45	5.8	25.7		23.4	4	38.	.7	23	.3	49	9.1	3	5.1		
Profile		r	1													
Riffle Length (ft)	24	36														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)		0.03859														
Pool Length (ft)	41	105	_													
Pool Max Depth (ft)	3.2	5.0														
Pool Spacing (ft)		132	_													
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern	32	79														
Channel Beltwidth (ft) Radius of Curvature (ft)	32 21	24	_													
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.4	1.6	-													
Meander Wave Length (ft)		1.6	-													
Meander Wave Length (It) Meander Width Ratio	2.1	5.2	-													
Additional Reach Parameters	2.1	5.2														
Rosgen Classification	C	24														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		29	-													
Sinuosity (ft)		.2														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		126														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0107														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%		-														
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	_															
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/1.4/24/7	73/128/1804	SC/5.6/20/112/	237/2048	SC/10/17/51	/174/2048	SC/SC/25/70/116/180 <sup>4</sup>		SC/SC/3.6/23.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>		SC/SC/1.8/76/157/256		0.84/10/15/51/107/180			
% of Reach with Eroding Banks		%	0%		0%		0%		09			%		1%		

(---): Data was not provided

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Prior}$  to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12d. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT2A Reach 1

hopeweil-012A Reach 1							1								
Parameter		/Baseline	MY1	M	Υ2	MY	3	MY4			Y5	MY6			142
	Min	Max	Min Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle															
Bankfull Width (ft)	10	0.3	9.7	10			10.7		11.9		11.8		L.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>	87	>88	>{	>88		>87		>87		>87		>87		
Bankfull Mean Depth	0	.8	0.8	0.	.8	0.7	7	0.7		0	.7	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth	1	6	1.3	1.	.4	1.3	3	1.5		1	.6	1	.7		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8	.0	7.6	7.	.6	7.0	)	8.0	)	8	.4	9	.3		
Width/Depth Ratio	13	3.3	12.4	13	3.3	16.	3	17.	7	16	5.7	15	5.3		
Entrenchment Ratio	>	<b>&gt;</b> 8	>9	>	9	>8		>7	1	>	-7	>	•7		
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	1	0	1.0	1.	.0	1.0	)	1.0	)	1	.0	1	.1		
D50 (mm)	30	0.9	40.3	27	7.7	0.3	}	37.	9	11	L.O	0	.3		
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)	18	54													
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0032	0.0210													
Pool Length (ft)	18	54													
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.4	2.9													
Pool Spacing (ft)	40	67													
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	-														
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	20	38													
Radius of Curvature (ft)	16	25													
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	0.5	2.4													
Meander Wave Length (ft)	76	116													
Meander Width Ratio	1.9	3.7													
Additional Reach Parameters															
Rosgen Classification	(	24													
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	1,4	443													
Sinuosity (ft)	1	2													
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0	108													
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0107	0.0109													
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%															
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%	-														
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/2/18/	57/87/180	SC/13/28/128/220/36	SC/4/12/78	<sup>4</sup> SC/4/12/78/152/256 <sup>4</sup>		/110/1804	SC/SC/3.6/23.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>		SC/SC/5.6/58/90/180		SC/SC/0.5/70/113/362			
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	C	1%	0%	0		0%	, D	0%	, D	0	%	0	%		
(): Data was not provided			•			*									

(---): Data was not provided

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Prior}$  to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12e. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT2A Reach 2

Parameter	As-Built	/Baseline	M	Y1	М	Y2	M	Y3	MY4		M	IY5	MY6		MY7	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle			•													
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.8	10.9	10.3	14.0	10.2	13.8	10.9	12.6	10.2	11.3	10.4	12.4	10.2	10.7		
Floodprone Width (ft)	63	>88	66	>87	69	>92	67	>75	65	>89	66	>93	61	>93		
Bankfull Mean Depth	C	).7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.8		
Bankfull Max Depth	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.4		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.8	8.0	6.7	9.0	7.7	9.2	5.6	8.0	6.7	6.9	7.6	8.0	6.2	8.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	14.0	14.9	15.8	21.8	13.6	20.6	14.8	18.6	15.4	18.4	13.6	20.1	12.3	18.5		
Entrenchment Ratio	5.7	>9	4.7	>8	5.0	>9	6.1	>7	5.8	>9	5.3	>9	5.7	>9		
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>	1	0	1	.0	1	.0	1.	.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.1		
D50 (mm)	34.3	39.8	26.3	41.6	26.9	29.1	18.6	43.3	48.3	62.8	27.5	37.9	33.2	38.7		
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)	10	67														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0034	0.0330														
Pool Length (ft)	14	55														
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.5	4.1														
Pool Spacing (ft)	27	88														
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	15	42														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18	30														
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.8	2.8														
Meander Wave Length (ft)	64	147														
Meander Width Ratio	1.5	3.9														
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification	(	24														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	1,-	443														
Sinuosity (ft)	1	2														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0	108														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0107	0.0109														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%																
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/2/18/	57/87/180	SC/13/28/1	28/220/362	SC/4/12/7	8/152/256	SC/SC/12/6	51/110/180	SC/SC/3.6/2	3.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>	SC/SC/5.6,	/58/90/180	SC/SC/0.5/	70/113/362		
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	0	)%	0	%	0	%	0	%		%	0	1%	0	%		

(---): Data was not provided

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Prior}$  to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12f. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT2B Reach 2

Parameter	As-Built/	'Baseline <sup>4</sup>	M	Y1	м	Y2	м	Y3	MY4		N	1Y5	MY6		M	¥7
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min Max		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	7	.9	9.	9.6		8.3		8.5		9.2		7.4		8		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>	67	>6	52	>(	58	>68		>68		>68		>68			
Bankfull Mean Depth	0	.6	0.	5	0	0.6		0.7		0.6		.6	0.6			
Bankfull Max Depth		.0	0.	9	1		1	1.6		1.2		1	1.	2		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4	.9	5.	0	5	.2	6	.1	5.	5	4	.6	5.	0		
Width/Depth Ratio	12	2.8	18	.4	13	3.2	11	L.8	15	.2	1	1.8	12	.2		
Entrenchment Ratio		•8	>	7	>		>		>7	7		<b>9</b>	>			
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>		.0	1.		1	-	1		1.			0	1.			
D50 (mm)	25	5.4	33	.7	11	0	22	2.6	22	.6	1	7.1	11	.6		
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)	7	25														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0146	0.0441														
Pool Length (ft)	10	21														
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.3	2.8														
Pool Spacing (ft)	19	36														
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	-															
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	8	19														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	9	15														
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.1	1.9														
Meander Wave Length (ft)	40	62														
Meander Width Ratio	1.1	2.4														
Additional Reach Parameters																
Rosgen Classification		4b														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		98														
Sinuosity (ft)		.1														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		211														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0207	0.0215														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%					1					~	-		1		n	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100	SC/6/21/5		SC/4/9/38		2.2/7/19/54/82/180		SC/SC/1.7/		SC/SC/3.6/23		SC/SC/0.8/67/110/180		SC/SC/0.4/15/71/180			
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	0	%	09	%	0	%	0	%	0%	6	0	1%	0	%		

(---): Data was not provided

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Prior}$  to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

#### Table 12g. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Hopewell-UT2C Reach 2

Parameter	As-Built,	/Baseline	MY1		M	Y2	Ν	/1Y3	M	/4	MY5		MY6		MY7	
	Min	Max	Min N	ax	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min Max		Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	9	.9	9.0		9.	9.3		8.9		9.3		10.0		10.3		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>	48	>45		>4	17	>	>47		>49		>45		>51		
Bankfull Mean Depth		.5	0.5			0.5		0.4		0.5		0.5		0.6		
Bankfull Max Depth		.1	1.0		1.			1.1		1.3		.0		2		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )		.3	4.6		4.			3.9	5.			.9		.9		
Width/Depth Ratio		3.4	17.5		17			20.3	17			0.2		7.9		
Entrenchment Ratio		·5	>5		>			>5	>:			•5		>5		
Bank Height Ratio <sup>1,2</sup>		.0	1.0		1.			1.0	1.			.9		1		
D50 (mm)	18	3.4	10.8		8.	.0	1	.1.5	22	.6	24	4.3	1	3.9		
Profile			1													
Riffle Length (ft)	6	20														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0051	0.0584														
Pool Length (ft)	3	25														
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.2	3.7														
Pool Spacing (ft)	23	36														
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	-															
Pattern			•													
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	10	25														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	14	15														
Rc:Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.4	1.5														
Meander Wave Length (ft)	45	82														
Meander Width Ratio	1.0	2.6														
Additional Reach Parameters			•													
Rosgen Classification		C4b														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		47														
Sinuosity (ft)		.1														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0083	0.0365														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0102	0.0459														
Ri%/Ru%/P%/G%/S%																
SC%/Sa%/G%/C%/B%/Be%					<b>I</b> .		-		1		1		1		1	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/d100		45/78/128	SC/0.2/6/73/124	/256	0.2/0.5/1.3			3.5/17/30/90	SC/SC/3.6/23.9/50.6/90 <sup>3</sup>		<sup>3</sup> SC/SC/0.6/14/32/362		0.2/3/12/31/44/180			
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	0	%	0%		0	%	(	0%	09	6	C	1%	C	1%		

(---): Data was not provided

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Prior}$  to MY4, bankfull dimensions were calculated using a fixed bankfull elevation

<sup>2</sup> MY4-MY7 Bank Height Ratio was calculated based on the As-built (MY0) cross-sectional area as described in the Standard Measurement of the BHR Monitoring Parameter document provided by the NCIRT (9/2018). The

remainder of the cross-section dimension parameters were calculated based on the current year's low bank height.

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 1, UT2A Reach 1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 12.7 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 12.8 width (ft)
- mean depth (ft) 1.0
- max depth (ft) 1.9
- 13.9 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.9 hyd radi (ft)
- 12.8 width-depth ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 2, UT2A Reach 1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 9.3 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 11.9 width (ft)
- 0.8 mean depth (ft)
- 1.7 max depth (ft)
- 12.7 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.7 hyd radi (ft)
- 15.3 width-depth ratio
- 87.3 W flood prone area (ft)
- 7.3 entrenchment ratio
- 1.1 low bank height ratio



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 3, UT2A Reach 2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 8.4 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 10.2 width (ft)
- 0.8 mean depth (ft)
- 1.4 max depth (ft)
- 10.7 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.8 hyd radi (ft)
- 12.3 width-depth ratio
- 93.0 W flood prone area (ft)
- 9.2 entrenchment ratio
- 1.1 low bank height ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



#### **Cross-Section Plots** Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 4, UT2A Reach 2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 15.8 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 12.2 width (ft)
- 1.3 mean depth (ft)
- 2.6 max depth (ft)
- wetted parimeter (ft) 14.0
- 1.1 hyd radi (ft)
- 9.4 width-depth ratio



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 5, UT2A Reach 2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- x-section area (ft.sq.) 13.4
- 13.4 width (ft)
- 1.0 mean depth (ft)
- 2.0 max depth (ft)
- wetted parimeter (ft) 14.6
- 0.9 hyd radi (ft)
- 13.3 width-depth ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



#### **Cross-Section Plots** Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 6, UT2A R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 6.2 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 10.7 width (ft)
- 0.6 mean depth (ft)
- 1.2 max depth (ft)
- 11.2 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.6 hyd radi (ft)
- 18.5 width-depth ratio
- 61.3 W flood prone area (ft)
- 5.7 entrenchment ratio
- low bank height ratio 0.9

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 7, UT2 R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- x-section area (ft.sq.) 42.1
- 18.8 width (ft)
- 2.2 mean depth (ft)
- 4.7 max depth (ft)
- wetted parimeter (ft) 22.5
- hyd radi (ft) 1.9
- 8.4 width-depth ratio



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 8, UT2 R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

13.3 x-section area (ft.sq.)

- 13.7 width (ft)
- 1.0 mean depth (ft)
- max depth (ft) 1.6
- 14.3 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.9 hyd radi (ft)
- 14.1 width-depth ratio
- 57.7 W flood prone area (ft)
- entrenchment ratio 4.2
- 0.9
- low bank height ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 9, UT2B R2



Bankfull Dimensions

- 5.0 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 7.8 width (ft)
- 0.6 mean depth (ft)
- 1.2 max depth (ft)
- 8.5 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.6 hyd radi (ft)
- 12.2 width-depth ratio
- 68.1 W flood prone area (ft)
- 8.7 entrenchment ratio
- 1.0 low bank height ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 10, UT2B R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 8.8 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 9.6 width (ft)
- 0.9 mean depth (ft)
- 1.7 max depth (ft)
- 10.7 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.8 hyd radi (ft)
- inyu ruur (re)
- 10.6 width-depth ratio



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 11, UT2 R1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

10.6 x-section area (ft.sq.)

- 11.3 width (ft)
- 0.9 mean depth (ft)
- 1.7 max depth (ft)
- 12.0 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.9 hyd radi (ft)
- 12.1 width-depth ratio
- 98.4 W flood prone area (ft)
- 8.7 entrenchment ratio
- 0.9 low bank height ratio
- 0.5 IOW bank height fath



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 12, UT2 R1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 9.6 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 12.6 width (ft)
- 0.8 mean depth (ft)
- 1.6 max depth (ft)
- 13.6 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.7 hyd radi (ft)
- 16.5 width-depth ratio
- 72.0 W flood prone area (ft)
- 5.7 entrenchment ratio
- 1.1 low bank height ratio



View Downstream

#### **Cross-Section Plots** Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 13, UT2 R1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- x-section area (ft.sq.) 16.4
- 13.8 width (ft)
- 1.2 mean depth (ft)
- 2.3 max depth (ft)
- 14.8
- wetted parimeter (ft) hyd radi (ft)
- 1.1
- 11.5 width-depth ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Cross-Section 14, UT1B R1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- x-section area (ft.sq.) 2.4
- 9.2 width (ft)
- 0.3 mean depth (ft)
- 0.7 max depth (ft)
- 9.5 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.3
- hyd radi (ft)
- width-depth ratio 35.4



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 15, UT1B R1



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 1.0 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 3.2 width (ft)
- 0.3 mean depth (ft)
- 0.6 max depth (ft)
- 3.5 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.3 hyd radi (ft)
- 10.5 width-depth ratio
- 15.1 W flood prone area (ft)
- 4.8 entrenchment ratio
- 0.7 low bank height ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 16, UT2C R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 5.9 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 10.3 width (ft)
- 0.6 mean depth (ft)
- 1.2 max depth (ft)
- 10.8 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.5 hyd radi (ft)
- 17.9 width-depth ratio
- 51.9 W flood prone area (ft)
- 5.1 entrenchment ratio
- 1.1 low bank height ratio



View Downstream

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Cross-Section 17, UT2C R2



#### Bankfull Dimensions

- 3.4 x-section area (ft.sq.)
- 5.5 width (ft)
- 0.6 mean depth (ft)
- 1.1 max depth (ft)
- 6.1 wetted parimeter (ft)
- 0.6 hyd radi (ft)
- 8.7 width-depth ratio

Survey Date: 09/2020 Field Crew: Wildlands Engineering



#### Reachwide and Cross-Section Pebble Count Plots Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

### Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### UT2A, Reachwide

		Diame	ter (mm)	Pa	rticle Co	unt	Reach S	ummary
Part	ticle Class						Class	Percent
		min	max	Riffle	Pool	Total	Percentage	Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	10	26	36	38	38
	Very fine	0.062	0.125					38
•	Fine	0.125	0.250	1	3	4	4	42
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	2	6	8	8	50
7	Coarse	0.5	1.0	2	1	3	3	53
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	1	2	3	3	56
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8					56
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0					56
	Fine	4.0	5.6	1	1	2	2	58
	Fine	5.6	8.0					58
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0		2	2	2	60
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0		1	1	1	61
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	1	3	4	4	66
	Coarse	22.6	32	4		4	4	70
	Very Coarse	32	45	3	1	4	4	74
	Very Coarse	45	64	5	2	7	7	81
	Small	64	90	8	2	10	10	92
COBBLE	Small	90	128	5		5	5	97
COSt	Large	128	180	1		1	1	98
	Large	180	256	1		1	1	99
	Small	256	362	1		1	1	100
<u>_</u>	Small	362	512					100
BOILDER	Medium	512	1024					100
Ŷ	Large/Very Large	1024	2048					100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048					100
			Total	46	50	96	100	100

	Reachwide							
Channel materials (mm)								
D <sub>16</sub> =	Silt/Clay							
D <sub>35</sub> =	Silt/Clay							
D <sub>50</sub> =	0.5							
D <sub>84</sub> =	70.0							
D <sub>95</sub> =	112.8							
D <sub>100</sub> =	362.0							




#### UT2A-R1, Cross-Section 2

		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Summary		
Particle Class		min	max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative	
SILT/CLAY Silt/Clay		0.000	0.062	47	47	47	
÷	Very fine	0.062	0.125			47	
	Fine	0.125	0.250	3	3	50	
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	6	6	55	
5"	Coarse	0.5	1.0	14	14	69	
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	5	5	74	
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	4	4	78	
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1	1	79	
	Fine	4.0	5.6	2	2	81	
	Fine	5.6	8.0	2	2	83	
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	2	2	85	
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	3	3	88	
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	2	2	90	
	Coarse	22.6	32			90	
	Very Coarse	32	45	2	2	92	
	Very Coarse	45	64	2	2	94	
	Small	64	90	3	3	97	
BLE	Small	90	128	1	1	98	
COBBLE	Large	128	180	2	2	100	
-	Large	180	256			100	
_	Small	256	362			100	
BOILDER	Small	362	512			100	
	Medium	512	1024			100	
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100	
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100	
			Total	101	100	100	

	Cross-Section 2						
Cha	Channel materials (mm)						
D <sub>16</sub> =	D <sub>16</sub> = Silt/Clay						
D <sub>35</sub> =	Silt/Clay						
D <sub>50</sub> = 0.3							
D <sub>84</sub> =	9.1						
D <sub>95</sub> =	D <sub>95</sub> = 71.3						
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0						





#### UT2A-R2, Cross-Section 3

		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Sum	mary
Par	Particle Class		max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative
SILT/CLAY Silt/Clay		min 0.000	0.062	8	8	8
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			8
	Fine	0.125	0.250			8
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50			8
Sr	Coarse	0.5	1.0			8
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0			8
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	3	3	11
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1	1	12
	Fine	4.0	5.6	3	3	15
	Fine	5.6	8.0	6	6	21
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	4	4	25
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	4	4	29
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	9	9	38
	Coarse	22.6	32	10	10	48
	Very Coarse	32	45	19	19	67
	Very Coarse	45	64	15	15	82
	Small	64	90	12	12	94
COBBLE	Small	90	128	5	5	99
COBL	Large	128	180	1	1	100
	Large	180	256			100
	Small	256	362			100
S	Small	362	512			100
EGUIDEE	Medium	512	1024			100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100
			Total	100	100	100

Cross-Section 3								
Cha	Channel materials (mm)							
D <sub>16</sub> =	D <sub>16</sub> = 5.9							
D <sub>35</sub> =	20.1							
D <sub>50</sub> =	33.2							
D <sub>84</sub> =	67.7							
D <sub>95</sub> =	D <sub>95</sub> = 96.6							
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0							





#### UT2A-R2, Cross-Section 6

		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Sum	mary
Par	Particle Class		max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	SILT/CLAY Silt/Clay		0.062	6	6	6
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			6
-	Fine	0.125	0.250	1	1	7
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	2	2	9
יכ	Coarse	0.5	1.0			9
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	1	1	10
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8			10
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0			10
	Fine	4.0	5.6	1	1	11
	Fine	5.6	8.0	2	2	13
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	4	4	17
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	7	7	24
	Coarse	16.0	22.6	8	8	32
	Coarse	22.6	32	8	8	40
	Very Coarse	32	45	18	18	58
	Very Coarse	45	64	10	10	68
	Small	64	90	7	7	75
COBBLE	Small	90	128	10	10	85
COBL	Large	128	180	7	7	92
-	Large	180	256	3	3	95
_	Small	256	362	5	5	100
	Small	362	512			100
Q <sup>N</sup>	Medium	512	1024			100
BOULDER	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100
			Total	100	100	100

Cross-Section 6								
Cha	Channel materials (mm)							
D <sub>16</sub> =	D <sub>16</sub> = 10.2							
D <sub>35</sub> =	25.7							
D <sub>50</sub> =	38.7							
D <sub>84</sub> =	123.6							
D <sub>95</sub> = 256.0								
D <sub>100</sub> =	362.0							





#### Reachwide and Cross-Section Pebble Count Plots Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352

# Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2, Reachwide

		Diame	ter (mm)	Particle Count			Reach Summary	
Par	ticle Class						Class	Percent
		min	max	Riffle	Pool	Total	Percentage	Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062		9	9	9	9
	Very fine	0.062	0.125					9
•	Fine	0.125	0.250		1	1	1	10
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	1	2	3	3	13
יכ	Coarse	0.5	1.0	1	3	4	4	17
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0					17
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8		1	1	1	18
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	2	3	5	5	23
	Fine	4.0	5.6	1	3	4	4	27
	Fine	5.6	8.0		1	1	1	28
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	6	6	12	12	40
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	5	8	13	13	53
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	4	5	9	9	62
	Coarse	22.6	32	5	3	8	8	70
	Very Coarse	32	45	8	4	12	12	82
	Very Coarse	45	64	6		6	6	88
	Small	64	90	4	1	5	5	93
COBBLE	Small	90	128	4		4	4	97
COBY	Large	128	180	3		3	3	100
-	Large	180	256					100
	Small	256	362					100
BONNER	Small	362	512					100
	Medium	512	1024					100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048					100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048					100
			Total	50	50	100	100	100

Reachwide					
Channel materials (mm)					
D <sub>16</sub> =	0.84				
D <sub>35</sub> =	9.63				
D <sub>50</sub> =	14.7				
D <sub>84</sub> =	50.6				
D <sub>95</sub> =	107.3				
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0				

,





#### UT2-R2, Cross-Section 8

		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Sum	mary
Par	ticle Class			Count	Class	Percent
		min	max	count	Percentage	Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	8	8	8
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			8
•	Fine	0.125	0.250			8
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50			8
7	Coarse	0.5	1.0	4	4	12
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	7	7	19
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	1	1	20
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1	1	21
	Fine	4.0	5.6	2	2	23
	Fine	5.6	8.0	4	4	27
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	7	7	34
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	3	3	37
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	7	7	44
	Coarse	22.6	32	3	3	47
	Very Coarse	32	45	11	11	58
	Very Coarse	45	64	12	12	70
	Small	64	90	9	9	79
COBBLE	Small	90	128	7	7	86
COBL	Large	128	180	7	7	93
-	Large	180	256	3	3	96
	Small	256	362	3	3	99
ECHIPPER -	Small	362	512	1	1	100
	Medium	512	1024			100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100
		•	Total	100	100	100

	Cross-Section 8						
Cha	Channel materials (mm)						
D <sub>16</sub> =	D <sub>16</sub> = 1.5						
D <sub>35</sub> =	12.5						
D <sub>50</sub> =	35.1						
D <sub>84</sub> =	115.7						
D <sub>95</sub> =	D <sub>95</sub> = 227.6						
D <sub>100</sub> =	512.0						





# Reachwide and Cross-Section Pebble Count Plots

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352

# Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2B-R2, Reachwide

		Diame	ter (mm)	Particle Count			Reach Summary	
Particle Class		min	max	Riffle	Pool	Total	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	15	21	36	36	36
0.217 02.11	Very fine	0.062	0.125	10				36
	Fine	0.125	0.250	2	3	5	5	41
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	4	10	14	14	54
Sh	Coarse	0.5	1.0	6		6	6	60
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	1	4	5	5	65
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	2	5	7	7	72
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1		1	1	73
	Fine	4.0	5.6	2		2	2	75
	Fine	5.6	8.0	2	1	3	3	78
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	3	2	5	5	83
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	1		1	1	84
•	Coarse	16.0	22.6	2	1	3	3	87
	Coarse	22.6	32	1		1	1	88
	Very Coarse	32	45	3	1	4	4	92
	Very Coarse	45	64	1	1	2	2	94
	Small	64	90	2	1	3	3	97
BLE	Small	90	128	2		2	2	99
cossie	Large	128	180	1		1	1	100
	Large	180	256					100
	Small	256	362					100
RONDER	Small	362	512					100
	Medium	512	1024					100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048					100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048					100
			Total	51	50	101	100	100

Reachwide					
Channel materials (mm)					
D <sub>16</sub> =	Silt/Clay				
D <sub>35</sub> =	Silt/Clay				
D <sub>50</sub> =	0.4				
D <sub>84</sub> =	15.1				
D <sub>95</sub> =	71.3				
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0				





#### UT2B-R2, Cross-Section 9

Particle Class		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Summary		
		min	max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative	
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	11	11	11	
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			11	
	Fine	0.125	0.250	4	4	15	
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	9	9	24	
5'	Coarse	0.5	1.0	11	11	34	
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0			34	
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	2	2	36	
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1	1	37	
	Fine	4.0	5.6	3	3	40	
	Fine	5.6	8.0	3	3	43	
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	6	6	49	
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	7	7	56	
	Coarse	16.0	22.6	6	6	62	
	Coarse	22.6	32	8	8	70	
	Very Coarse	32	45	11	11	80	
	Very Coarse	45	64	6	6	86	
	Small	64	90	11	11	97	
COBBLE	Small	90	128	2	2	99	
CORT	Large	128	180	1	1	100	
	Large	180	256			100	
	Small	256	362			100	
÷.	Small	362	512			100	
BOHDER	Medium	512	1024			100	
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100	
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100	
			Total	102	100	100	

Cross-Section 9						
Channel materials (mm)						
D <sub>16</sub> = 0.3						
D <sub>35</sub> =	2.2					
D <sub>50</sub> =	11.6					
D <sub>84</sub> =	55.9					
D <sub>95</sub> =	84.3					
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0					





#### UT2-R1, Cross-Section 11

		Diame	ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Summary		
Particle Class		min	max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative	
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	18	18	18	
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			18	
	Fine	0.125	0.250			18	
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	4	4	22	
51	Coarse	0.5	1.0	4	4	26	
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	2	2	28	
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	1	1	29	
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1	1	30	
	Fine	4.0	5.6	2	2	32	
	Fine	5.6	8.0	4	4	36	
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	4	4	40	
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	7	7	47	
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6	8	8	55	
	Coarse	22.6	32	8	8	63	
	Very Coarse	32	45	7	7	70	
	Very Coarse	45	64	9	9	79	
	Small	64	90	10	10	89	
COBBLE	Small	90	128	7	7	96	
COBL	Large	128	180	3	3	99	
-	Large	180	256	1	1	100	
	Small	256	362			100	
ROHIDE	Small	362	512			100	
	Medium	512	1024			100	
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048		_	100	
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100	
			Total	100	100	100	

Cross-Section 11					
Cha	Channel materials (mm)				
D <sub>16</sub> =	Silt/Clay				
D <sub>35</sub> =	7.3				
D <sub>50</sub> =	18.2				
D <sub>84</sub> =	75.9				
D <sub>95</sub> =	121.7				
D <sub>100</sub> =	256.0				





#### UT2-R1, Cross-Section 12

	Particle Class		ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Summary		
Par			max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative	
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	8	8	8	
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			8	
	Fine	0.125	0.250			8	
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	1	1	9	
5'	Coarse	0.5	1.0	1	1	10	
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	7	7	17	
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8			17	
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0			17	
	Fine	4.0	5.6	3	3	20	
	Fine	5.6	8.0	2	2	22	
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	7	7	29	
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	10	10	39	
	Coarse	16.0	22.6	9	9	48	
	Coarse	22.6	32	8	8	56	
	Very Coarse	32	45	13	13	69	
	Very Coarse	45	64	9	9	78	
	Small	64	90	7	7	85	
COBBLE	Small	90	128	11	11	96	
COBL	Large	128	180	4	4	100	
-	Large	180	256			100	
	Small	256	362			100	
FOURSES	Small	362	512			100	
	Medium	512	1024			100	
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100	
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100	
			Total	100	100	100	

Cross-Section 12						
Cha	Channel materials (mm)					
D <sub>16</sub> = 1.8						
D <sub>35</sub> =	13.8					
D <sub>50</sub> =	24.7					
D <sub>84</sub> =	85.7					
D <sub>95</sub> =	124.0					
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0					





### Reachwide and Cross-Section Pebble Count Plots Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

# Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT1B, Reachwide

		Diame	ter (mm)	Pa	rticle Co	unt	Reach S	ummary
Part	ticle Class						Class	Percent
		min	max	Riffle	Pool	Total	Percentage	Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	11	4	15	15	15
	Very fine	0.062	0.125					15
	Fine	0.125	0.250	5	1	6	6	21
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	3	2	5	5	26
יל	Coarse	0.5	1.0	3		3	3	29
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	2	3	5	5	34
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	2	6	8	8	42
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	2	5	7	7	49
	Fine	4.0	5.6	1	3	4	4	52
	Fine	5.6	8.0	1	2	3	3	55
NEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	1	3	4	4	59
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	3	2	5	5	64
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6		4	4	4	68
	Coarse	22.6	32	1	4	5	5	73
	Very Coarse	32	45	2	3	5	5	78
	Very Coarse	45	64	2	2	4	4	82
	Small	64	90	2	3	5	5	87
COBBLE	Small	90	128	4	2	6	6	93
COBL	Large	128	180	2	2	4	4	97
-	Large	180	256	3		3	3	100
	Small	256	362					100
ROMONT .	Small	362	512					100
	Medium	512	1024					100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048					100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048					100
			Total	50	51	101	100	100

Reachwide					
Channel materials (mm)					
D <sub>16</sub> =	0.14				
D <sub>35</sub> =	2.12				
D <sub>50</sub> =	4.5				
D <sub>84</sub> =	72.6				
D <sub>95</sub> =	151.1				
D <sub>100</sub> =	256.0				





#### UT1B-R1, Cross-Section 15

			ter (mm)	Riffle 100-	Summary		
Particle Class		min	max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative	
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	29	29	29	
	Very fine	0.062	0.125			29	
	Fine	0.125	0.250			29	
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	8	8	37	
5'	Coarse	0.5	1.0	8	8	45	
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0			45	
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	1	1	46	
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	4	4	50	
	Fine	4.0	5.6	6	6	56	
	Fine	5.6	8.0	8	8	64	
JEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	7	7	71	
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	2	2	73	
-	Coarse	16.0	22.6			73	
	Coarse	22.6	32	3	3	76	
	Very Coarse	32	45	3	3	79	
	Very Coarse	45	64	5	5	84	
	Small	64	90	7	7	91	
BLE	Small	90	128	7	7	98	
COBBLE	Large	128	180	2	2	100	
-	Large	180	256			100	
	Small	256	362			100	
BUILDER	Small	362	512			100	
	Medium	512	1024			100	
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100	
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100	
			Total	100	100	100	

Cross-Section 15						
Channel materials (mm)						
D <sub>16</sub> = Silt/Clay						
D <sub>35</sub> =	0.42					
D <sub>50</sub> =	4.0					
D <sub>84</sub> =	64.0					
D <sub>95</sub> =	110.1					
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0					





# Reachwide and Cross-Section Pebble Count Plots

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352

# Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

### UT2C-R2, Reachwide

Particle Class		Diame	ter (mm)	Particle Count			Reach Summary	
		min	max	Riffle	Pool	Total	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	1	8	9	9	9
	Very fine	0.062	0.125		3	3	3	12
-	Fine	0.125	0.250	2	4	6	6	18
SAND	Medium	0.25	0.50	4	3	7	7	25
5	Coarse	0.5	1.0	1	3	4	4	29
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0	3	2	5	5	34
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8		1	1	1	35
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0	1		1	1	36
	Fine	4.0	5.6		1	1	1	37
	Fine	5.6	8.0		4	4	4	41
JE	Medium	8.0	11.0	4	4	8	8	49
GRAVEL	Medium	11.0	16.0	2	7	9	9	58
	Coarse	16.0	22.6	8	6	14	14	72
	Coarse	22.6	32	9	4	13	13	85
	Very Coarse	32	45	11		11	11	96
	Very Coarse	45	64	1		1	1	97
	Small	64	90	1		1	1	98
COBBLE	Small	90	128	1		1	1	99
COp.	Large	128	180	1		1	1	100
	Large	180	256					100
BOULDER	Small	256	362					100
	Small	362	512					100
	Medium	512	1024					100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048					100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048					100
			Total	50	50	100	100	100

Reachwide					
Channel materials (mm)					
D <sub>16</sub> =	0.20				
D <sub>35</sub> =	2.80				
D <sub>50</sub> =	11.5				
D <sub>84</sub> =	31.2				
D <sub>95</sub> =	43.6				
D <sub>100</sub> =	180.0				





#### UT2C-R2, Cross-Section 16

Particle Class		Diameter (mm)		Riffle 100-	Summary	
		min	max	Count	Class Percentage	Percent Cumulative
SILT/CLAY	Silt/Clay	0.000	0.062	8	8	8
SAND	Very fine	0.062	0.125			8
	Fine	0.125	0.250			8
	Medium	0.25	0.50	14	14	22
51	Coarse	0.5	1.0	4	4	26
	Very Coarse	1.0	2.0			26
	Very Fine	2.0	2.8	1	1	27
	Very Fine	2.8	4.0			27
	Fine	4.0	5.6	3	3	30
	Fine	5.6	8.0	4	4	34
GRAVEL	Medium	8.0	11.0	9	9	43
	Medium	11.0	16.0	12	12	54
	Coarse	16.0	22.6	14	14	68
	Coarse	22.6	32	12	12	80
	Very Coarse	32	45	6	6	86
	Very Coarse	45	64	4	4	90
	Small	64	90	5	5	95
BLE	Small	90	128	3	3	98
COBBLE	Large	128	180	1	1	99
•	Large	180	256	1	1	100
BORDER	Small	256	362			100
	Small	362	512			100
	Medium	512	1024			100
	Large/Very Large	1024	2048			100
BEDROCK	Bedrock	2048	>2048			100
			Total	101	100	100

Cross-Section 16				
Channel materials (mm)				
D <sub>16</sub> =	D <sub>16</sub> = 0.4			
D <sub>35</sub> =	8.4			
D <sub>50</sub> =	13.9			
D <sub>84</sub> =	39.8			
D <sub>95</sub> =	89.7			
D <sub>100</sub> =	256.0			





APPENDIX 5. Hydrology Summary Data

### Table 13a. Verification of Bankfull Events

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Reach	Monitoring Year	Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method
		3/25/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
		7/9/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY1	8/6/2015	8/6/2015	Stream Gage
		10/3/2015	10/3/2015	Stream Gage
		11/5/2015	11/2/2015	Crest/Stream Gage
	MY2	2/16/2016	2/16/2016	Stream Gage
	IVITZ	4/19/2016	Unknown	Crest Gage
		1/2/2017	1/2/2017	Stream Gage
		4/6/2017	4/6/2017	Stream Gage
		4/24/2017	4/24/2017	Stream Gage
	MY3	5/5/2017	5/5/2017	Stream Gage
	IVITS	5/24/2017	5/24/2017	Stream Gage
	6/21/2017	6/21/2017	Stream Gage	
		7/8/2017	7/8/2017	Stream Gage
		9/1/2017	9/1/2017	Stream Gage
		7/23/2018	7/23/2018	Stream Gage
		8/3/2018	8/3/2018	Stream Gage
		8/20/2018	8/20/2018	Stream Gage
		8/31/2018	8/31/2018	-
	MY4	9/15/2018	9/15/2018	-
		9/16/2018 <sup>1</sup>	9/16/2018	
		9/16/2018 <sup>1</sup>	9/16/2018	
		9/27/2018	9/27/2018	<u> </u>
		10/11/2018	10/11/2018	· · · · · ·
		1/11/2019	1/11/2019	· · · · · ·
		1/19/2019	1/19/2019	-
UT1B Reach 1		1/21/2019	1/21/2019	-
		2/16/2019	2/16/2019	-
		2/21/2019	2/21/2019 S	
	MY5	2/22/2019	2/22/2019	· · · · · ·
		3/3/2019	3/3/2019	
		4/5/2019	4/5/2019	· · · · · ·
		4/8/2019	4/8/2019	
		4/13/2019	4/13/2019	-
		6/9/2019	6/9/2019	-
		9/9/2019	Unknown	<u> </u>
		1/3/2020	1/3/2020	
		1/24/2020	1/24/2020	
		2/6/2020 <sup>2</sup>	2/6/2020	
		2/7/2020	2/7/2020	
		2/13/2020	2/13/2020	
		3/25/2020	3/25/2020	
		4/13/2020	4/13/2020	-
		4/30/2020		-
	MY6	5/19/2020	4/13/2020 5/19/2020	
	WITU	= /22 /2222	- / /	
		5/20/2020 5/21/2020 <sup>2</sup>	5/20/2020	Stream Gage Stream Gage Crest/Stream Gage Crest Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage Stream Gage
			5/21/2020	
		5/24/2020	5/24/2020	
		5/27/2020	5/27/2020	-
		5/28/2020	5/28/2020	-
		5/29/2020	5/29/2020	÷
		7/23/2020	7/23/2020	
		9/18/2020	9/18/2020	Crest/Stream Gage

<sup>1</sup> Two bankfull events were documented on UT1B R1 during heavy rainfall related to the remnants of Hurricane Florence on 9/16/18.

 $^{\rm 2}$  Two bankfull events were documented on 2/6/20 and 5/21/2020.

### Table 13b. Verification of Bankfull Events

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Reach	Monitoring Year	Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method
		7/9/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY1	10/3/2015	10/3/2015	Stream Gage
		11/5/2015	11/2/2015	Crest/Stream Gage
		1/6/2016	1/6/2016	Stream Gage
		2/3/2016	2/3/2016	Stream Gage
		2/10/2016	2/10/2016	Stream Gage
	MY2	2/16/2016	2/16/2016	Stream Gage
		3/27/2016	3/27/2016	Stream Gage
		4/19/2016	Unknown	Crest Gage
		6/15/2016	6/15/2016	Stream Gage
		4/24/2017	4/24/2017	Stream Gage
	MY3	5/5/2017	5/5/2017	Stream Gage
	ivi is	6/5/2017	6/5/2017	Stream Gage
UT2 Reach 2		9/1/2017	9/1/2017	Stream Gage
		7/23/2018	7/23/2018	Stream Gage
		7/25/2018	7/25/2018	Stream Gage
	MY4	8/20/2018	8/20/2018	Stream Gage
		8/31/2018	8/31/2018	Stream Gage
		9/16/2018	9/16/2018	Stream Gage
		10/11/2018	10/11/2018	Stream Gage
		1/11/2019	1/11/2019	Stream Gage
		1/21/2019	1/21/2019	Stream Gage
	MY5	3/18/2019	Unknown	Crest Gage
		4/13/2019	4/13/2019	Stream Gage
		9/9/2019	Unknown	Crest Gage
		1/24/2020	1/24/2020	Stream Gage
	MY6	2/6/2020	2/26/2020	Stream Gage
		4/30/2020	4/30/2020	Stream Gage
		3/25/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY1	10/3/2015	10/3/2015	Stream Gage
		11/5/2015	11/2/2015	Crest Gage
	MY2	1/20/2016	1/20/2016	Stream Gage
		6/15/2016	6/15/2016	Stream Gage
		1/9/2017	1/9/2017	Stream Gage
		5/5/2017	5/5/2017	Stream Gage
	MY3	6/21/2017	6/21/2017	Stream Gage
		7/8/2017	7/8/2017	Stream Gage
		9/1/2017	9/1/2017	Stream Gage
		7/23/2018	7/23/2018	Stream Gage
	MY4	8/20/2018	8/20/2018	Stream Gage
		8/31/2018	8/31/2018	Stream Gage
		9/16/2018	9/16/2018	Stream Gage Stream Gage
UT2A Reach 2		1/11/2019 1/21/2019	1/11/2019 1/21/2019	Stream Gage
	MY5		Unknown	Crest Gage
-		3/18/2019 4/13/2019	4/13/2019	Stream Gage
		1/21/2020	1/21/2020	5
				Stream Gage
		1/22/2020 <sup>1</sup> 1/24/2020	1/22/2020	Stream Gage
		1/24/2020	Stream Gage	
	MY6	2/6/2020	2/6/2020	Stream Gage
		2/28/2020	2/28/2020	Stream Gage
		2/29/2020	2/29/2020	Stream Gage
		3/1/2020	3/1/2020	Stream Gage
		3/8/2020	3/8/2020	Stream Gage
		4/13/2020 5/24/2020	4/13/2020 5/24/2020	Stream Gage
		· · · ·		Stream Gage
		5/28/2020	5/28/2020	Stream Gage
		5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Stream Gage

 $^{1}$  Two bankfull events were documented on 1/22/2020.

### Table 13c. Verification of Bankfull Events

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

Reach	Monitoring Year	Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method
		3/25/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY1	7/9/2015	Unknown	Crest Gage
		10/3/2015	10/3/2015	Stream Gage
		1/25/2016	1/25/2016	Stream Gage
	MY2	2/16/2016	2/16/2016	Stream Gage
		4/19/2016	Unknown	Crest Gage
		4/6/2017	4/6/2017	Stream Gage
UT2B Reach 2		4/24/2017	4/24/2017	Stream Gage
	MY3	5/5/2017	5/5/2017	Stream Gage
		5/24/2017	5/24/2017	Stream Gage
		6/21/2017	6/21/2017	Stream Gage
	MY4	9/16/2018	9/16/2018	Stream Gage
	MY5	1/11/2019	1/11/2019	Stream Gage
		1/21/2019	1/21/2019	Stream Gage
		3/18/2019	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY1	10/3/2015	10/3/2015	Stream Gage
		11/5/2015	11/2/2015	Crest Gage
		1/6/2016	1/7/2016	Stream Gage
	MY2	1/20/2016	1/20/2016	Stream Gage
	IVITZ	2/14/2016	2/15/2016	Stream Gage
		4/19/2016	Unknown	Crest Gage
UT2C Reach 2	MY3	1/9/2017	1/9/2017	Stream Gage
		1/11/2019	1/11/2019	Stream Gage
		1/21/2019	1/21/2019	Stream Gage
	MY5	2/21/2019	2/21/2019	Stream Gage
	10115	3/18/2019	Unknown	Crest Gage
		4/13/2019	4/13/2019 4/14/2019 Stream	Stream Gage
		9/9/2019	Unknown	Crest Gage
	MY6	5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Stream Gage

# **Monthly Rainfall Plot**

Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site DMS Project No. 95352 Monitoring Year 6 - 2020



30th and 70th percentile rainfall data collected from weather station Asheboro 2 W, in Asheboro, NC (USDA, 2000).

APPENDIX 6. IRT MY4 Credit Release Site Walk Meeting Minutes



# MEETING NOTES

MEETING:	IRT MY4 Credit Release Site Walk Hopewell Mitigation Site Yadkin 03040104; Randolph County, NC DEQ Contract No. 4642 DMS Project No. 95352 Wildlands Project No. 005-02133
DATE:	Wednesday, May 29, 2019
LOCATION:	Pisgah Covered Bridge Road Asheboro, NC

### Attendees

Todd Tugwell, USACE Kim Browning, USACE Mac Haupt, DWR Erin Davis, DWR Melonie Allen, DMS Paul Wiesner, DMS Harry Tsomides, DMS Joe Famularo, DMS Shawn Wilkerson, Wildlands Jeff Keaton, Wildlands Kristi Suggs, Wildlands Ian Eckardt, Wildlands

# Materials

Wildlands Engineering Hopewell Mitigation Site MY4 Monitoring Report dated December 13, 2018.

# **Meeting Notes**

The purpose of the tour was to present the site to a group of IRT members and to get input into the condition of the site at this point in the monitoring period. Jeff Keaton of Wildlands Engineering, Inc. (Wildlands or WEI) began the meeting by giving the IRT members an overview of the project site. Then, portions of each of the project reaches were walked and discussed by the group.

# 1. UT2C

The tour began with Reaches 1 and 2 of UT2C. Jeff pointed out that the stream is spring fed and consistently has good flow. Reach 2 is a short reach where restoration was performed. The group only looked at a short section of this reach. Reach 1 is an enhancement reach. Todd Tugwell asked what work was done on the reach. Jeff and Shawn Wilkerson explained that the work consisted of fencing out cattle, planting trees in the left floodplain buffer zone, and treating privet. Todd noted several small privet trees, mostly in the right buffer area. Jeff explained that Wildlands has been treating privet every fall but not necessarily every location every year.

At this point, Erin Davis of DWR asked about the status of the issues with the fencing on the project. Jeff explained that there were a few spots where the fence was incorrectly installed inside the easement in short sections or a post was placed right on an easement corner. There was also an area on Little River where cows

were able to get into the easement and into the river. Jeff explained that the fencing issues have been corrected except for one small area on UT1 B where the landowner has refused to allow the fence to be moved.

Shawn explained that Wildlands has discussed this area with the landowner multiple times. Wildlands attempted to modify the easement, so that the existing fence would be outside of it, but DMS would not allow it. Shawn stated the next and last step would be for Wildlands to send a letter explaining that if the fence is not relocated outside of the easement, that State would further pursue the issue and legally require the fence to be moved. Shawn said that the letter would go out within a few of weeks after the completion of the site visit.

# 2. UT2A Reach 2

The tour continued with UT2A Reach 2. The stream was difficult to access due to vegetation growth within the easement. A short section was walked. The group seemed to agree that the stream looked stable and that the tree growth was good. Shawn mentioned that this small stream has always had flow.

# 3. UT2B

Next, the group walked the lower section of UT2B. Todd was interested to see how much privet remained along this reach. Many dead privet plants that had been treated the previous fall were observed but a few plants remained.

# 4. UT2A Reach 1

The group walked a portion of UT2A next. Jeff explained that this Enhancement I reach was constructed by adding a series of riffles to raise the bed of the stream. Portions of the reach were completely reconstructed. It was noted that there was previously a lot of privet in this area too, but that it has been successfully treated.

# 5. UT2 Reach 1

The group walked a short section of this reach. It was difficult to access due to dense vegetation growth within the easement. It was noted that the stream looked stable.

# 6. UT1B Reach 1

At this point, the group drove to the east side of the property. The first area visited on the east side of the site was UT1B Reach 1. There was discussion about this area because the planted vegetation is not performing as well as the rest of the site and sweetgums and pines have proliferated in the last couple of years. Jeff explained that, due to the cut in this area, the soils were not as good for growing the planted trees. Todd stated that this area is not meeting the intent of the mitigation plan or the success criteria for vegetation. It was also noted that the stream channel has a lot of herbaceous growth, probably due to the open canopy.

Todd and Mac suggested that Wildlands should remove the sweetgums and some of the pines and replant the trees specified in the planting plan, possibly as containerized plants rather than bare roots. Shawn indicated that he agreed, and Wildlands would conduct the activities during the next planting season. There was also an agreement that no work, such as removing in-stream vegetation or sediment by raking, hand digging, or other mechanical means, should be conducted in the channel. Instead, the planting of some of the proposed container plants, closer to the stream's top of bank, would be implemented in order to shade out the in-stream wetland vegetation.

The group also looked at the area where the landowner would not allow the fence to be moved (previously referenced in Section 1. UT2C). During this discussion, Kristi Suggs said she believed the corner fence post was about 32 feet inside the easement. Jeff stated that the buffer width in this area is still at least 50 feet. Shawn



reiterated his earlier statement from when the group was walking UT2C. The IRT also felt that the fence line should be moved out to the easement, reiterating Wildlands' and DMS' position.

Wildlands indicated that they will send the landowner a letter, within the next few weeks, asking the property owner once again to allow the fence line to be moved, in order to be easement-compliant, or the matter will be turned over to the State for possible legal action. Wildlands will let DMS look at the WEI letter before it is sent to the landowner. The letter will explain to the landowner that the fence needs to be moved into alignment with the easement and will give a timeline (60 days) for completion.

# 7. UT1A Reach 1

The group then walked to UT1A. There have been questions about the possibility that this stream is ephemeral. During the site visit the stream was flowing. Jeff and Ian Eckardt explained that Wildlands had installed a trail cam and had about 6 months of data indicating that the stream had flow continuously through that period. Todd asked what work had been done on this reach. Jeff stated that cows had been fenced out and supplemental planting had been done outside the woods line on the left floodplain.

# 8. Little River

The group walked a short portion of Little River. Shawn pointed out how successful the privet removal had been in this area. A large debris jam in the river was noted.

# 9. UT1B Reach 3

The last reach the group looked at was UT1B Reach 3. There have been some concerns that this reach, which is below the pond dam, would have issues with maintaining adequate flow frequencies. The stream was flowing on this day, and Wildlands indicated that flow frequencies have not been of issue on the reach.

# 10. Summary Discussion

Back at the vehicles, the group briefly discussed the overall site. Todd stated that the main issues are the vegetation problems on UT1B Reach 1, the remaining fencing issue on that reach, and on-going privet treatment throughout the site. The IRT agreed to release MY4 credits per the credit release schedule established in the approved Mitigation Plan.

These meeting minutes were prepared by Jeff Keaton and Kristi Suggs on June 6, 2019 and reviewed by Shawn Wilkerson on June 7, 2019 and represent the authors' interpretation of events. The minutes were subsequently revised on 6/14/2019 to incorporate comments received in an email from Harry Tsmoides with DMS on 6/12/2019.



# MY6 Supplemental Planting - UT1B Reach 1 Summary Table

### Hopewell Stream Mitigation Site

DMS Project No. 95352

Monitoring Year 6 - 2020

#### Sweetgum Treatment Area Plantings (February 2020)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Size	Quantity
Betula nigra	River birch	3-gallon	15
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	5-gallon	7
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	5-gallon	18
Quercus rubra	Northern red oak	3-gallon	15
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	1-gallon	20
		Total	75
ewly Captured Easement Area Plantings (Ap	ril 2020)		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Size	Quantity
Betula nigra	River birch	3-gallon	10
Quercus michauxii	Swamp chestnut oak	3-gallon	5
Quercus phellos	Willow oak	3-gallon	10
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	3-gallon	5
		Total	30

APPENDIX 7. MY6 Sweetgum Treatment & Supplemental Planting UT1B Reach 1 Photographs

