

**Jacob's Landing
Stream Restoration Monitoring Report
DMS Project # 95024
DMS Contract # 003984
Monitoring Year 02**



Submitted to:

NCDEQ-DMS, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

**Construction Completed: January 2014
Data Collection: 2015
Submitted: January 2016**

Design and Monitoring Firm



**Landmark Center II, Suite 220
4601 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 278-2514
Fax: (919) 783-9266**

**Project Manager: Adam Spiller
Email: adam.spiller@kci.com
Project No: 20110675**

Table of Contents

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT	1
2.0	METHODOLOGY	2
3.0	REFERENCES.....	2

Appendix A – Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

Figure 1.	Vicinity Map.....	4
Figure 2.	Site Asset Map.....	5
Table 1.	Project Components and Mitigation Credits	6
Table 2.	Project Activity and Reporting History	7
Table 3.	Project Contacts Table	7
Table 4.	Project Attribute Table.....	8

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Current Condition Plan View.....	10	
Table 5.	Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment	12
Table 6.	Vegetation Condition Assessment	14
Stream Station Photos	15	
Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos.....	23	
Problem Area Photos.....	21	

Appendix C – Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7.	Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment	27
Table 8.	CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata.....	28
Table 9.	CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species	29

Appendix D – Stream Survey Data

Cross-Section Plots	32	
Longitudinal Profile Plots	43	
Pebble Count Plots.....	45	
Table 10.	Baseline Stream Data Summary Table	53
Table 11a.	Cross-Section Morphology Data Table	57
Table 11b.	Stream Reach Morphology Data Table.....	58

Appendix E – Hydrologic Data

Table 12.	Verification of Bankfull Events	64
	Stream Hydrographs	65

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site is a full-delivery project that was developed for the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). Construction was completed in November 2013. The site restored 4,484 linear feet and implemented 109 linear feet of enhancement on four tributaries to Irish Buffalo Creek in the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin. The project is located west of China Grove and north of Kannapolis off of Saw Road in Rowan County (Figure 1, Appendix A). This project will expand aquatic and terrestrial habitat in the Rocky River Watershed (03040105). The project is within the 03040105020040 Irish Buffalo Creek Local Watershed Unit (14-digit HUC) (NCDENR, EEP 2009). In the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services' (DMS) most recent publication of excluded and Targeted Local Watersheds/Hydrologic Units, the 03040105020040 14-digit HUC has been identified as a Targeted Local Watershed. The project is located in the Piedmont Physiographic Province and the project streams initiate as headwater systems out of moderately-sloped, forested hills before reaching the floodplain of Irish Buffalo Creek. The site's 0.72-square mile watershed is mostly pasture and mixed hardwoods with small pockets of rural residential development. Prior to construction the site was actively used for timber and cattle production for over five generations.

The project goals and objectives are listed below.

Project Goals

- Restore a diverse riparian corridor that connects forested stream systems upstream and downstream of the project.
- Reduce the sediment supply entering Irish Buffalo Creek.

Project Objectives

- Restore stable channel planforms to streams that have been straightened and modified.
- Reshape and stabilize eroding stream banks.
- Plant the site with native trees to help reestablish a diverse riparian corridor.
- Install exclusion fencing and alternative watering options to keep livestock out of the project streams.

Vegetation success is based on the criteria established in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003). This document states that vegetation monitoring results should have the following planted stem density minimums in the corresponding monitoring years: 320 stems/acre through Year Three, 288 stems/acre in Year Four, and 260 stems/acre in Year Five. The second-year vegetation monitoring was based on the Level 2 CVS-EEP vegetation monitoring protocol. The site's average density for this monitoring period is 445 planted stems/acre, with none of the plots having live stakes planted in them. Twelve of the thirteen plots had greater than 320 planted stems/acre. There is one monitoring plot that has calculated planted stem densities less than 320 stems/acre; (Plot 6). This is not seen as problematic given the high potential for desirable volunteers to become established in the plots and across the site. Like natural vegetative communities, some areas will have slightly higher densities than others, but the data from the vegetation monitoring plots reveal that the site has an adequate average stem density. To ensure continued vegetative success, some parts of the site received supplemental planting in early 2015. Including volunteers, the monitoring plots averaged 1,068 total stems/acre. The overall vegetation assessment found the site to be on track to meeting the vegetative success criterion.

Second-year monitoring found the Jacob's Landing Site to be stable, with only minor changes from the as-built conditions. Two small areas of bank erosion are present on T1, but these areas appear to be isolated instances of erosion and do not represent a systematic problem. The monitoring components were installed in February/March 2014. Two automatic recording gauges have been installed along T1 and T2. The stream gauge on T1 recorded several bankfull events during 2015, and although the gauge on T2 did not record any, there was clear evidence of

a recent bankfull event during the end of year site visit in December. The monitoring plan for each tributary is as follows: T1 has a 1,500 foot longitudinal profile, 3 riffle cross-sections, and 1 pool cross-section; T2 has a 1,500 foot longitudinal profile, 5 riffle cross-sections and 2 pool cross-sections; T1A and T2A are being monitored visually since they are short reaches and small channels. Pebble counts were conducted at all eleven cross-sections. Ten permanent photo reference points have been established with a total of twenty-two photos to be taken annually. The second year of monitoring found the site to be functioning as designed and all of these features show little change from the baseline conditions.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report and in the Mitigation Plan documents available on the DMSs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from DMS upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The survey data were collected with a total station instrument between August 4 and August 12, 2015.

Some of the cross-sections have shown minor settling in the floodplain. The bankfull elevations at these cross-sections have not been changed to reflect this. For calculating cross-sectional morphologic data the cross-section width has been limited to a width that appropriately reflects the top of bank location so as not to inaccurately skew data.

The CVS-EEP protocol, Level 2 (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>) was used to collect vegetation data from the site. The vegetation monitoring was completed on July 28, 2015.

3.0 REFERENCES


Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>)


NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2009. Lower Yadkin Pee-Dee River Basin Priorities 2009. Raleigh, NC.
http://www.nceep.net/services/restplans/Yadkin_Pee_Dee_RBRP_2009_Final.pdf

USACE. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDENR-DWQ, USEPA, NCWRC.

Appendix A

Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables





— Stream Restoration 4,484 lf / 4,484 SMUs
 — Stream Enhancement 109 lf / 44 SMUs
 — Other Streams
 ■ Conservation Easement

FIGURE 2. SITE ASSET MAP
JACOB'S LANDING STREAM RESTORATION SITE
EEP PROJECT # 95024, ROWAN COUNTY, NC

0 175 350 Feet



Source: NC 2010
Statewide Orthoimagery.



Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024

Mitigation Credits						
	Stream		Riparian Wetland	Non-riparian Wetland	Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset
Type	R	EII				
Length	4,484	109				
Credits	4,484	44				
TOTAL CREDITS	4,528					
Project Components						
Project Component -or- Reach ID	Design Stationing/ Location	Existing Footage	Approach (PI, PII etc.)	Restoration -or- Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage	Mitigation Ratio
T1	10+00 – 13+03	326	P2	Restoration	303	1:1
T1	13+52 – 14+61	158	-	Enhancement II	109*	1:2.5
T1	14+61 – 23+54	846	P2	Restoration	893	1:1
T1A	40+00 – 41+78	294	P2	Restoration	178	1:1
T2	50+00 – 77+45	2,935	P2	Restoration	2,645*	1:1
T2A	100+00 – 104+65	465	P2	Restoration	465	1:1
Component Summation						
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)			Mitigation Units (SMU)		
Total Restoration	4,484			4,484		
Total Enhancement II	109			44		
TOTAL SMU				4,528		

*Mitigation units have been calculated to exclude the easement exceptions and water utility easements.

Though not formal BMPs, several small water quality detention structures were installed throughout the project to improve water quality from the surrounding drainage area.

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History**Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024**

Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Mitigation Plan		Sept 12
Final Design - Construction Plans		Dec 12
Construction		Nov 13
Planting		Jan 14
Baseline Monitoring/Report	Feb/March 14	April 14
Year 1 Monitoring	Nov 14	Nov 14
Supplemental Planting		March 15
Year 2 Monitoring	August 15	Dec 15

Table 3. Project Contacts**Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024**

Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Tim Morris Phone: (919) 278-2512 Fax: (919) 783-9266
Construction Contractor	Wright Contracting, LLC 160 Walker Road Lawndale, NC 28090 Contact: Mr. Stephen James Phone: (704) 692-4633
Planting Contractor	Forestree Management Co. 1280 Maudis Road Bailey, NC 27807 Contact: Mr. Tony Cortez Phone: (252) 243-2513
Monitoring Performers	
MY-00 - MY-02	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller Phone: (919) 278-2514 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project Information**Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024**

Project Name	Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site					
County	Rowan County					
Project Area (acres)	13.9 acres					
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)	35.552956 N, 80.653116 W					
Project Watershed Summary Information						
Physiographic Province	Piedmont					
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee					
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03040105	USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03040105020040			
DWQ Sub-basin	13-17-09					
Project Drainage Area	459 acres/0.72 square miles					
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	2.3% / 6 acres					
CGIA Land Use Classification	4.8% Cultivated, 60.1% Managed Herbaceous Cover, and 35.1% Mixed Upland Hardwoods.					
Reach Summary Information (Post-Restoration)						
Parameters	T1	T1A	T2	T2A		
Length of reach (linear feet)	1,305	178	2,645	465		
Valley classification	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII		
Drainage area (acres)	258.6 acres	136.9 acres	200.6 acres	35.7 acres		
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	Class C, WSIII	Class C, WSIII	Class C, WSIII	Class C, WSIII		
Morphological Description (stream type)	C4	B4c/C4	C4	B4c/C4		
Evolutionary trend	Stage II (Constructed)	Stage II (Constructed)	Stage II (Constructed)	Stage II (Constructed)		
Mapped Soil Series	Chewacla loam	Chewacla loam	Pacolet sandy loam and Chewacla loam	Pacolet sandy loam		
Drainage class	Poorly drained	Well drained	Poor to Well drained	Well drained		
Soil Hydric status	Non hydric	Non hydric	Non hydric	Non hydric		
Slope	0-2%	0-2%	0-2%	0-2%		
FEMA classification	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Native vegetation community	Piedmont Alluvial Forest	Piedmont Alluvial Forest	Piedmont Alluvial Forest	Mesic Mixed Hardwood Forest		
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Regulatory Considerations						
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation			
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes, received 404 permit.	N/A			
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes, received 401 permit.	N/A			
Endangered Species Act	No	N/A	N/A			
Historic Preservation Act	No	N/A	N/A			
Coastal Zone Management Act* (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	N/A			
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Floodplain development permit obtained through Rowan County	N/A			
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	N/A	N/A			

Appendix B

Visual Assessment Data





Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024

		Assessed Length	Reach - T1				
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) 2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%
	0	0			100%		
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	21	21			100%
	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6) 2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	14	16	88%			
	14	16	88%				
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run) 2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	11	11			100%
	10	10	100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			2	40	99%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%
				Totals	2	40	99%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	6	6			100%
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	6	6			100%
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	0	0			N/A
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	6	6			100%
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	0	0			N/A

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024

Assessed Length 2,084			Reach - T2				
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) 2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate		15	23		65%
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6) 2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	26	26	100%		
	4. Thalweg Position*	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run) 2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	26	26	100%		
					N/A		
					N/A		
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%
Totals					0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	15	15			100%
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	15	15			100%
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	1	1			100%
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	6	6			100%
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	0	0			N/A

*Due to this reach's small size and the scale of the pattern, the exact position of the thalweg in relation to the meanders and morphological features is inconsistent and not practical to evaluate

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment**Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024**

Planted Acreage 12.83		Easement Acreage 13.9				
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Cumulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%

Stream Station Photos



Photo Point 1u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 1u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 1d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 1d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 1 Tributary: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 1 Tributary: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 2u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 2u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 2d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 2d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 3u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 3u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 3d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 3d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 4u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 4u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 4d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 4d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 5u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 5u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 5d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 5d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 6u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 6u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 6d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 6d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 7u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 7u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 7d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 7d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 8u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 8u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 8d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 8d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 9u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 9u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 9d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 9d: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 9 Tributary: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 9 Tributary: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 10u: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 10u: MY-02 – 12/17/15



Photo Point 10d: MY-00 – 3/11/14



Photo Point 10d: MY-02 – 12/17/15

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Plot 1 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 2 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 3 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 4 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 5 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 6 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 7 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 8 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 9 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 10 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 11 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 12 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02



Plot 13 Photo: 7/28/15 – MY02

Appendix C

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024			
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Monitoring Year 02 Planted Stem Density (stems/acre)	Monitoring Year 02 Total Stem Density (stems/acre)
1	Yes	324	769
2	Yes	567	567
3	Yes	324	526
4	Yes	324	1,619
5	Yes	405	445
6	No	283	445
7	Yes	688	2,752
8	Yes	324	405
9	Yes	486	1,214
10	Yes	486	607
11	Yes	567	2,347
12	Yes	526	931
13	Yes	486	1,255

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata	
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024	
Report Prepared By	Bethany Williams
Date Prepared	7/29/2015 15:49
database name	KCI-2014-L.mdb
database location	M:\2011\20110675-Jacobs Landing\Monitoring\Vegetation CVS Database
computer name	12-3ZV4FP1
file size	62001152
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	95024
project Name	Jacob's Landing
Description	Stream Restoration Site
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
length(ft)	4593
area (sq m)	0.72
Required Plots (calculated)	13
Sampled Plots	13

Table 9. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species

Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Current Plot Data (MY2 2015)																								
			95024-01-0001			95024-01-0002			95024-01-0003			95024-01-0004			95024-01-0005			95024-01-0006			95024-01-0007			95024-01-0008			
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder	Tree																									
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	Eastern Baccharis	Shrub																									
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree	1	1	1	11	11	11	2	2	2	5	5	5				5	5	5	10	10	10				
<i>Callicarpa americana</i>	American Beautyberry	Shrub																									
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Common Persimmon	Tree																1									
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree																									
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Tree																							3		
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Redcedar	Tree													1						1		2				
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	Sweetgum	Tree			11							5		31							3		46			2	
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tuliptree	Tree							1	1	1	1	1	1							1	1	1				
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree	7	7	7				3	3	3	2	2	2						2	2	2	2	2	2		
<i>Quercus</i>	Oak	Tree																									
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Tree				3	3	3								1	1	1									
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Tree														5	5	5									
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Tree							1	1	1									4	4	4	7	7	7		
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red Oak	Tree							1	1	1					4	4	4									
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	Common Elderberry	Shrub																									
<i>Unknown</i>		Shrub or Tree																							1	1	1
Stem count			8	8	19	14	14	14	8	8	13	8	8	40	10	10	11	7	7	11	17	17	68	8	8	10	
size (ares)			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			
size (ACRES)			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			
Species count			2	2	3	2	2	2	5	5	6	3	3	5	3	3	4	2	2	4	4	4	7	2	2	3	
Stems per ACRE			323.75	323.7	768.9	566.56	566.6	566.6	323.75	323.7	526.1	323.75	323.7	1619	404.69	404.7	445.2	283.28	283.3	445.2	687.97	688	2752	323.75	323.7	404.7	

Table 9. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted by Plot and Species (Continued)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Current Plot Data (MY2 2015)															Annual Means										
			95024-01-0009			95024-01-0010			95024-01-0011			95024-01-0012			95024-01-0013			MY2 (2015)			MY1 (2014)			MY0 (2014)				
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T		
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder	Tree								1				1					4			6			3			
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	Eastern Baccharis	Shrub			1						1										2							
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree				2	2	2	5	5	7	1	1	1						42	42	44	44	44	44	44	44	
<i>Callicarpa americana</i>	American Beautyberry	Shrub				3	3	3	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	9	9	9	11	11	12					
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Common Persimmon	Tree																			1			1				
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree	4	4	4				4	4	4						1	1	1	9	9	9	1	1	1			
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Tree																			3							
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Redcedar	Tree																			4							
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	Sweetgum	Tree			15			3			35			9			11				171			272				
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tuliptree	Tree			1						3						3	3	3	10	11	11	17					
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree			1						2							16	16	19	21	21	32	3	3	3		
<i>Quercus</i>	Oak	Tree																						11	11	11		
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Tree																4	4	4	3	3	4	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Tree																5	5	5	5	5	5					
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Tree	7	7	7	7	7	7		1	1	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	46	46	46	41	41	54	54	54		
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red Oak	Tree																6	6	6	5	5	5					
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	Common Elderberry	Shrub	1	1	1								1	1	1		1	2	2	3	1	1	1					
<i>Unknown</i>	Shrub or Tree																	1	1	1	6	6	6	133	133	133		
Stem count			12	12	30	12	12	15	14	14	58	13	13	23	12	12	31	143	143	343	149	149	444	246	246	246		
size (ares)			1			1			1			1			1			13			13			13				
size (ACRES)			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.32			0.32			0.32				
Species count			3	3	7	3	3	4	4	4	9	4	4	6	3	3	7	11	11	17	11	11	14	6	6	6		
Stems per ACRE			485.62	485.6	1214	485.62	485.6	607	566.56	566.6	2347	526.091	526.1	930.8	485.62	485.6	1255	445.15	445.2	1068	463.83	463.8	1382	765.79	765.8	765.8		

Appendix D


Stream Survey Data

River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-1, Riffle (T1)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.37
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	800.58
3.3	800.03
7.2	799.33
11.0	797.43
14.1	796.28
18.5	795.70
21.3	795.82
23.3	795.54
25.5	795.15
26.5	794.63
27.2	794.48
27.7	794.48
27.9	794.49
28.9	794.50
29.5	794.66
30.7	795.04
33.3	795.71
38.2	795.58
44.1	795.89
48.1	796.20
52.7	797.24
57.6	799.10
60.8	799.85
64.2	799.74
64.7	799.88

SUMMARY DATA

Bankfull Elevation (ft):	795.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	8.7
Bankfull Width (ft):	12.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	797.2
Flood Prone Width (ft):	40.7
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.7
W / D Ratio:	16.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.4
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0




River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-2, Riffle (T1)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.38
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	794.46
1.8	794.31
10.8	792.36
17.1	792.30
27.9	792.20
34.3	792.21
36.1	792.19
37.3	792.43
39.2	792.10
40.1	791.59
41.5	791.25
42.2	791.04
42.6	790.92
43.1	790.98
43.7	791.05
44.2	790.89
44.5	790.94
45.0	790.98
45.9	790.97
47.0	791.76
48.3	792.06
51.2	792.59
56.6	792.61
63.6	792.89
68.0	792.95
73.1	793.10
76.4	793.92
77.9	794.40
78.1	794.94

SUMMARY DATA


Bankfull Elevation (ft):	792.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft^2):	10.3
Bankfull Width (ft):	12.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	793.9
Flood Prone Width (ft):	72.5
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.8
W / D Ratio:	15.4
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-3, Pool (T1)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.4
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	794.12
2.0	793.74
6.3	792.41
9.0	791.63
10.9	791.21
14.7	791.21
17.7	791.21
24.3	791.23
30.5	791.46
34.6	791.02
36.1	790.56
37.5	790.32
38.0	789.86
38.6	789.40
39.4	789.00
40.6	788.54
41.7	788.60
42.3	788.84
43.4	789.07
43.3	789.97
44.8	790.56
47.4	791.65
51.7	791.73
56.3	792.85
60.1	793.91
62.2	793.89
62.3	794.34


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	791.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	17.5
Bankfull Width (ft):	14.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	-
Flood Prone Width (ft):	-
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	2.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.3
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-4, Riffle (T1)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.40
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	792.28
0.9	792.12
6.2	790.24
10.4	790.11
16.4	790.09
23.1	789.96
26.5	789.97
27.8	789.53
28.4	789.37
29.4	789.05
30.4	788.87
30.9	788.72
31.2	788.80
31.4	788.64
31.4	788.69
31.7	789.00
32.0	788.94
32.9	788.90
33.4	789.19
34.6	789.37
36.5	790.09
40.8	790.37
48.5	790.36
57.1	790.34
61.0	790.64
64.7	792.03
67.2	792.99
67.4	793.57


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	789.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	6.4
Bankfull Width (ft):	9.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	791.2
Flood Prone Width (ft):	58.8
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.7
W / D Ratio:	13.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	6.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-5, Riffle (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.23
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	818.58
0.1	817.85
1.0	817.65
4.1	815.76
7.4	814.04
10.5	813.43
13.6	813.45
14.2	813.42
16.2	812.63
17.0	812.68
17.5	811.88
18.7	812.14
19.2	812.03
20.0	811.82
21.0	812.01
21.8	812.02
23.0	812.33
24.0	812.82
25.8	813.55
28.6	813.54
31.2	813.72
33.7	815.60
37.3	816.51
38.7	817.32


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	813.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	9.9
Bankfull Width (ft):	10.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	814.8
Flood Prone Width (ft):	26.8
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.9
W / D Ratio:	12.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.5
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-6, Riffle (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.23
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	812.26
4.8	811.69
10.1	810.94
15.6	809.94
18.7	809.68
20.0	809.62
22.3	808.79
23.7	808.51
24.1	808.11
25.8	808.33
27.2	808.49
28.0	808.91
29.3	808.98
31.7	809.79
36.5	810.22
42.3	811.07
45.8	812.09
46.9	812.72
46.8	813.88

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	809.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	8.0
Bankfull Width (ft):	10.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	810.9
Flood Prone Width (ft):	31.1
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.8
W / D Ratio:	14.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.9
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-7, Pool (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.23
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams


Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	810.70
0.0	809.92
1.9	809.58
4.0	808.11
7.6	806.99
10.5	806.66
12.0	806.44
14.7	805.33
16.0	804.74
17.0	804.41
17.8	804.36
18.8	804.76
25.3	806.34
35.9	806.70
40.4	808.24
42.2	809.18

SUMMARY DATA

Bankfull Elevation (ft):	806.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	13.9
Bankfull Width (ft):	14.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	-
Flood Prone Width (ft):	-
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	2.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.9
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin, Jacob's Landing, XS-7, Pool (T2)



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-8, Riffle (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.23
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	805.41
0.2	804.87
3.1	804.49
6.3	803.39
10.6	801.85
15.3	801.53
16.8	801.27
19.3	800.16
20.5	799.89
21.0	799.72
21.8	799.58
22.2	799.55
23.0	799.42
23.5	799.61
24.8	799.97
26.3	800.94
28.8	801.41
30.7	801.26
32.7	801.21
36.2	802.79
39.2	804.05
41.8	804.78


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	801.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft^2):	12.7
Bankfull Width (ft):	12.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	803.3
Flood Prone Width (ft):	31.0
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	2.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.0
W / D Ratio:	12.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.5
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-9, Riffle (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.31
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	801.50
3.1	800.70
6.4	798.84
8.7	798.24
15.8	797.82
17.0	797.66
18.4	797.22
19.3	797.15
20.3	796.83
20.7	796.70
21.7	796.59
22.1	796.78
23.6	796.78
24.2	796.61
25.1	796.98
26.7	797.52
28.5	798.06
33.9	797.92
42.0	798.14
48.7	798.85
52.0	799.77
52.3	800.24


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	797.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft^2):	7.6
Bankfull Width (ft):	11.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	798.9
Flood Prone Width (ft):	42.8
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.7
W / D Ratio:	16.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-10, Pool (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.31
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	797.69
0.0	796.34
7.2	796.39
11.7	796.45
17.5	796.33
23.9	795.59
26.5	795.32
26.2	794.67
28.0	794.21
28.8	794.36
29.2	794.37
30.8	794.79
31.8	795.49
33.3	796.54
37.4	796.81
43.7	796.72
50.8	797.21
56.5	797.80
59.3	799.19


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	796.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	16.4
Bankfull Width (ft):	15.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	-
Flood Prone Width (ft):	-
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.0
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




River Basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Watershed:	Jacob's Landing
XS ID	XS-11, Riffle (T2)
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.31
Date:	8/11/2015
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger and B. Williams

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
0.0	794.16
5.5	793.98
10.6	793.87
14.7	793.61
16.1	793.43
17.7	792.63
19.0	792.29
19.7	792.27
20.5	792.16
21.0	792.12
21.4	792.02
21.8	792.18
23.3	792.27
24.4	792.66
26.1	793.25
27.6	793.53
31.6	793.32
38.4	793.18
45.5	793.55
50.2	794.49
54.7	795.68
55.3	795.96
55.5	796.47


SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation (ft):	793.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²):	9.7
Bankfull Width (ft):	11.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation (ft):	794.9
Flood Prone Width (ft):	51.9
Max Depth at Bankfull (ft):	1.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull (ft):	0.8
W / D Ratio:	14.1
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.4
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site
Longitudinal Profile
T1 MY-02




Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site
Longitudinal Profile
T2 MY-02




Cross-Section 1 Riffle - MY-2			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	5
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	1
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	15
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	14
Very Fine	2 - 4		11
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	2
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	2
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	1
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	2
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	5
Very Coarse	45 - 64		5
Small	64 - 90	C	9
Small	90 - 128	O	14
Large	128 - 180	B	19
Large	180 - 256	L	2
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	110

Note:




Cross-Section 2 Riffle - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	16
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	14
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	8
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	8
Very Fine	2 - 4		10
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	2
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	2
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	6
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	2
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	2
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	4
Very Coarse	45 - 64		2
Small	64 - 90	C	2
Small	90 - 128	O	26
Large	128 - 180	B	28
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	132




Cross-Section 3 Pool - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	28
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	6
Fine	.125 - .25	A	6
Medium	.25 - .50	N	15
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	4
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	3
Very Fine	2 - 4		11
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	6
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	6
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	11
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	5
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	101

Note:




Cross-Section 4 Riffle - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	2
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		3
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	2
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	5
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	9
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	20
Very Coarse	45 - 64		14
Small	64 - 90	C	11
Small	90 - 128	O	16
Large	128 - 180	B	18
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100


Note:



Cross-Section 5 Riffle - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	2
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	2
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	3
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	14
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	16
Very Coarse	45 - 64		13
Small	64 - 90	C	19
Small	90 - 128	O	17
Large	128 - 180	B	12
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			




Cross-Section 6 Riffle -MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	2
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	3
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	2
Very Fine	2 - 4		1
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	5
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	3
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	19
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	11
Very Coarse	45 - 64		10
Small	64 - 90	C	10
Small	90 - 128	O	16
Large	128 - 180	B	13
Large	180 - 256	L	1
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			




Cross-Section 7 Pool -MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	21
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	14
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	1
Very Fine	2 - 4		16
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	12
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	4
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	10
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	13
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	4
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		3
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100


Note:




Cross-Section 8 Riffle -MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	1
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	3
Very Coarse	45 - 64		17
Small	64 - 90	C	17
Small	90 - 128	O	32
Large	128 - 180	B	24
Large	180 - 256	L	4
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			



Cross-Section 9 Riffle - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	12
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	4
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	4
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	6
Very Fine	2 - 4		8
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	2
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	2
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	12
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	10
Very Coarse	45 - 64		8
Small	64 - 90	C	2
Small	90 - 128	O	38
Large	128 - 180	B	4
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	112
Note:			



Cross-Section 10 Pool - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	5
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	3
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	3
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	9
Very Fine	2 - 4		15
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	8
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	4
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	3
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	3
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	4
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	7
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	5
Very Coarse	45 - 64		5
Small	64 - 90	C	2
Small	90 - 128	O	13
Large	128 - 180	B	11
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	100
Note:			



Cross-Section 11 Riffle - MY-02			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	4
Medium	.25 - .50	N	16
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	4
Very Fine	2 - 4		20
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	4
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	4
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	8
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	8
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	2
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		10
Small	64 - 90	C	10
Small	90 - 128	O	22
Large	128 - 180	B	10
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	130
Note:			




Table 10a. T1 Baseline Stream Data Summary**Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.5			9.1	4	6.9				1	11.5	12.2	10.1	11.0	12.1	3
Floodprone Width (ft)	1			26	4	23				1	25	70	40	56	71	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9			1.8	4	1.1				1	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1			2.8	4	1.6				1	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.4	3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	8.6			12.1	4	7.4				1	11.2	12.6	7.9	8.8	10.0	3
Width/Depth Ratio	3.7			9.6	4	6.4				1	12.0	12.0	12.9	13.8	14.6	3
Entrenchment Ratio	1.5			3.3	4	3.4				1	2.2	4.9	3.7	5.1	5.9	3
Bank Height Ratio	1.6			2.2	4	1.0				1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	13			26	2	14	26		38	2	25	50	25	38	50	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	6			30	2	12	19		25	2	20	45	20	33	45	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.7			4.6	2	1.7	2.7		3.6	2	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	75			110	2	43	73		102	2	65	125	65	95	125	
Meander Width Ratio	1.4			4.0	2	2	3.8		5.5	2	1.9	3.5	1.9	3.0	3.5	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													11	22	32	21
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.007			0.043	2	0.011			0.025	2	0.007	0.012	0.001	0.013	0.026	21
Pool Length (ft)						16			23		12	30	6	18	38	23
Pool Spacing (ft)						28			57		20	75	30	56	79	23
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 24% / 76% / 0% / 0% / 0%										0% / 25% / 52% / 23% / 0% / 0%					
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	1 / 5 / 7 / 10 / 17 / 25										5 / 15 / 22 / 38 / 94 / 143					
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	1,330								1,305		1,305					
Drainage Area (SM)	0.40								0.16		0.40		0.40			
Rosgen Classification	G4								E4		C4		C4			
Sinuosity	1.07-1.15								1.18		1.09-1.12		1.09-1.12			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.009-0.014								0.0070		0.007-0.010		0.007			

Table 10b. T1A Baseline Stream Data Summary															
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024															
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design		As-built		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.7				1	6.9				1	8.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	15				1	23				1	19				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8				1	1.1				1	0.7				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2				1	1.6				1	1.2				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.4				1	7.4				1	6.2				
Width/Depth Ratio	9.3				1	6.4				1	12.0				
Entrenchment Ratio	1.9				1	3.4				1	2.2				
Bank Height Ratio	2.2				1	1.0				1	1.0				
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	20				75	1	14	26		38	2	19	24		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8				24	1	12	19		25	2	10	25		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1				3.1	1	1.7	2.7		3.6	2	1.2	2.9		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	25				50	1	43	73		102	2	50	55		
Meander Width Ratio	2.6				9.7	1	2	3.8		5.5	2	2.2	2.8		
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.013				0.019	1	0.011			0.025	2	0.010	0.012		
Pool Length (ft)							16			23		7	14		
Pool Spacing (ft)							28			57		22	34		
Substrate and Transport Parameters															
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%															
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)															
Additional Reach Parameters															
Channel length (ft)	294										178		178		
Drainage Area (SM)	0.21					0.40					0.21		0.21		
Rosgen Classification	E4					B4c					B4c/C4		B4c/C4		
Sinuosity	2.10					1.20					1.11				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.023					0.013					0.017				

Table 10c. T2 Baseline Stream Summary																
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024																
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.8			12.3	4	6.9				1	10.4	11.6	10.4	10.9	12.0	5
Floodprone Width (ft)	17			20	4	23				1	23	50	27	32	42	5
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0			1.0	4	1.1				1	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	5
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3			1.8	4	1.6				1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.4	5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	9.2			11.7	4	7.4				1	9.1	11.1	8.8	9.2	9.7	5
Width/Depth Ratio	8.4			12.9	4	6.4				1	12.0	12.0	11.8	12.9	15.2	5
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4			2.3	4	3.4				1	2.2	4.3	2.6	3.2	4.2	5
Bank Height Ratio	1.5			4.7	4	1.0				1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	10			60	2	14	26		38	2	25	50	25	38	50	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8			35	2	12	19		25	2	20	45	20	33	45	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.9			3.9	2	1.7	2.7		3.6	2	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	65			130	2	43	73		102	2	60	130	60	95	130	
Meander Width Ratio	1.1			6.8	2	2	3.8		5.5	2	2.2	4.8	2.2	4.0	4.8	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)													14	22	36	33
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.003			0.011	2	0.011			0.025	2	0.006	0.017	0.004	0.016	0.041	33
Pool Length (ft)						16			23	2	8	35	7	18	35	31
Pool Spacing (ft)						28			57	2	30	95	42	59	107	31
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	6% / 25% / 68% / 1% / 0% / 0%										0% / 6% / 58% / 32% / 3% / 0%					
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)	1 / 2 / 3 / 6 / 12 / 24										16 / 30 / 44 / 65 / 109 / 144					
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	2,935										2,641		2,641			
Drainage Area (SM)	0.31					0.16					0.31		0.31			
Rosgen Classification	E4, F4					E4					C4		C4			
Sinuosity	1.09-1.45					1.18					1.16-1.31		1.16-1.31			
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.007-0.010					0.0007					0.009-0.0100		0.009			

Table 10d. T2A Baseline Stream Data Summary															
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024															
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design		As-built		
Dimension - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.6				1	6.9				1	6.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	11				1	23				1	14				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5				1	1.1				1	0.5				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1				1	1.6				1	0.9				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	3.4				1	7.4				1	3.5				
Width/Depth Ratio	12.8				1	6.4				1	12.0				
Entrenchment Ratio	1.7				1	3.4				1	2.2				
Bank Height Ratio	6.3				1	1.0				1	1.0				
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	8				15	1	14	26		38	2	8	15		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	10				12	1	12	19		25	2	10	25		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.5				1.8	1	1.7	2.7		3.6	2	1.5	3.8		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	50				63	1	43	73		102	2	50	63		
Meander Width Ratio	1.2				2.3	1	2	3.8		5.5	2	1.2	2.3		
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.010				0.017	1	0.011			0.025	2	0.010	0.012		
Pool Length (ft)							16			23	2	4	15		
Pool Spacing (ft)							28			57	2	22	42		
Substrate and Transport Parameters															
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%															
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)															
Additional Reach Parameters															
Channel length (ft)	465									465		465			
Drainage Area (SM)	0.06				0.40					0.06		0.06			
Rosgen Classification	G4				B4c					B4c/C4		B4c/C4			
Sinuosity	1.16				1.20					1.13					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.019				0.013					0.014					

Table 11. Cross-Section Morphology Data Tables

Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024

Table 11b. Stream Reach Morphology Data Tables
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024
Reach: T1 (2,389 ft.)

Parameter	MY01 (2014)						MY02 (2015)						MY03 (2016)						MY04 (2017)						MY05 (2018)									
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n				
Dimension																																		
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.9	12.4	11.5	15.5	1.8	4	9.3	12.0	12.4	14.0	1.7	4																						
Floodprone Width (ft)	41.2	57.2	59.8	71.0	12.2	3	40.7	57.3	58.8	71.0	13.0	3																						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.1	4	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.3	0.2	4																						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.8	1.4	2.9	0.6	4	1.3	1.7	1.4	2.7	0.6	4																						
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	8.7	11.3	9.6	17.2	3.5	4	6.4	10.7	9.5	17.5	4.1	4																						
Width/Depth Ratio	11.5	13.9	14.4	15.6	1.7	3	13.5	15.3	15.4	16.8	1.4	3																						
Entrenchment Ratio	3.5	5.1	5.3	6.5	1.2	3	3.4	5.1	5.8	6.3	1.3	3																						
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	3																						
Pattern																																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	25.0	38.0		50.0																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	20.0	33.0		45.0																														
Rad. of Curv. : Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	2.0	3.0		4.0																														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	65.0	95.0		125.0																														
Meander Width Ratio	1.9	3.0		3.5																														
Profile																																		
Riffle Length (ft)	3.0	34.0	32.0	85.0	16.1	21.0	10.9	31.1	31.9	44.6	10.1	21																						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	20	0.006	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.007	21																						
Pool Length (ft)	4.0	13.0	10.0	27.0	7.4	14.0	4.0	9.7	8.7	21.5	4.4	17																						
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.9	2.9		2.9		1	2.7	2.7		2.7		1																						
Pool Spacing (ft)	41.0	83.0	62.0	233.0	60.4	13.0	36.9	74.5	56.2	231.1	51.6	16																						
Additional Reach Parameters																																		
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				1,305																														
Sinuosity				1.09-1.12																														
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)				0.0068																														
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)				0.0068																														
Rosgen Classification				C4																														
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%				29%/22%/36%/14%/0%/0%																														
d16/d35/d50 / d84 / d95				7/10/14/49/88																														
% of Reach with Eroding Banks				0%																														

Table 11c. Stream Reach Morphology Data Tables
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024
Reach: T2 (2,084 ft.)

Parameter	MY01 (2014)						MY02 (2015)						MY03 (2016)						MY04 (2017)						MY05 (2018)								
Dimension	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.9	13.2	11.8	18.0	2.6	7	10.6	12.5	11.7	15.7	1.8	7																					
Floodprone Width (ft)	27.4	37.0	32.0	52.3	9.3	5	26.8	36.7	31.1	51.9	9.3	5																					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.1	7	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.1	7																					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.7	1.6	2.1	0.3	7	1.2	1.7	1.5	2.2	0.4	7																					
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	8.0	11.8	10.5	17.1	3.5	7	7.6	11.2	9.9	16.4	3.0	7																					
Width/Depth Ratio	11.4	13.8	13.8	14.9	1.3	5	12.0	13.8	13.8	16.5	1.6	5																					
Entrenchment Ratio	2.3	3.2	3.2	4.4	0.8	5	2.5	3.2	3.2	4.4	0.8	5																					
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	5																					
Pattern																																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	25.0	38.0		50.0																													
Radius of Curvature (ft)	20.0	33.0		45.0																													
Rad. of Curv. : Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	2.0	3.0		4.0																													
Meander Wavelength (ft)	60.0	95.0		130.0																													
Meander Width Ratio	2.2	4.0		4.8																													
Profile																																	
Riffle Length (ft)	5.0	14.0	17.0	24.0	5.9	15	7.8	32.4	30.4	61.6	11.5	27																					
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.007	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	14	0.001	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.006	27																					
Pool Length (ft)	4.1	15.8	14.7	26.9	6.5	29	5	13	12	28	6	25																					
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.0	2.1		2.1		2	2.0	2.1		2.2		2																					
Pool Spacing (ft)	31.8	61.8	54.4	160.9	29.0	28	42.7	69.5	59.9	173.7	34.2	24																					
Additional Reach Parameters																																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)		2,641						2641																									
Sinuosity		1.16-1.31						1.16-1.31																									
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0106						0.0107																									
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)		0.0109						0.0106																									
Rosgen Classification		C4						C4																									
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%		29%/22%/36%/14%/0%/0%						6%/10%/46%/38%/0%/0%																									
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95		12/21/32/46/83/127						14/26/38/105/134																									
% of Reach with Eroding Banks		0%						0%																									

Appendix E

Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events
Jacob's Landing Stream Restoration Site, DMS Project # 95024

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo Number
4/19/2015	4/19/2015	On-site automatic gauge	N/A
10/3/2015	10/3/2015	On-site automatic gauge	N/A
11/9/2015	11/9/2015	On-site automatic gauge	N/A
Unknown	12/17/2015	Wracklines and flattened vegetation observed at bankfull	1 - 2




Photo 1. Bankfull indicators T1, 12/17/2015



Photo 2. Bankfull indicators T2, 12/17/2015

Jacob's Landing Restoration Site
Stage Hydrograph
Stream Gauge 1



Jacob's Landing Restoration Site
Stage Hydrograph
Stream Gauge 2

