Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project

SCO No. 070715501 DENR Contract No. D08049S EEP Project No. 226 Moore County, North Carolina

Year 2 of 5 Monitoring Report
Data Collection: January through December 2012
Submission Date: January 30, 2013



Prepared for:



North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program 217 West Jones Street, 3rd Floor, Suite 3000A; Raleigh, NC 27603

Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project

SCO No. 070715501 DENR Contract No. D08049S EEP Project No. 226 Moore County, North Carolina

Year 2 of 5 Monitoring Report Data Collection: January through December 2012 Submission Date: January 30, 2013

Prepared by:



Land Management Group, Inc. 3805 Wrightsville Avenue; Suite 15 Wilmington, NC 28403 (910) 452-0001

Table of Contents

1.0	TITLE PAGE	
2.0	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
3.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT]
4.0	METHODOLOGY	3
	REFERENCES	
6.0	PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING DATA APPENDICES	

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data

Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

Appendix D. Hydrologic Data

3.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Site is located on a 125-acre conservation easement along Little River near Vass, NC (Moore County) within the Cape Fear River Basin #03030004 Cataloging Unit (Figure 1). It is located within a larger tract owned by J.J. Barnes and his family. The larger tract is actively managed for wildlife habitat to facilitate hunting on the overall tract. Prior to mitigation activities, the project site was a jurisdictional wetland with planted loblolly pine. The pine plantation was planted in the early 2000s as part of the CREP program. The stream and wetland enhancement project is funded by the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP).

The overall goal for the Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Site is to preserve and enhance a natural bottomland hardwood forest which exhibits desired functions appropriate to the existing geomorphic setting of the site.

Specific goals include:

- 1) Preservation of wildlife habitat; and
- 2) Natural community enhancement.

The project objectives include:

- 1) Partial removal of undesired vegetation via burning to promote desired species growth; and
- 2) Planting of the project site with specific native species to enhance natural habitat.

To accomplish these goals, the site was burned in December of 2010 and planted in January of 2011. The baseline field monitoring was performed by Stantec in February of 2011. Monitoring Year One vegetation monitoring was performed by LMG in October of 2011.

Stream enhancement II and preservation are both components of this project (Table 1). Three stream channels traverse the project site. Small portions of the channels have been altered in the past but currently appear stable. The project includes 3,593 linear feet of stream enhancement II on two tributaries to the Little River (Reach 1 & Reach 2) and 210 linear feet of stream preservation of one associated tributary (Reach 3).

Wetlands within the conservation easement boundary were enhanced or preserved. Approximately 39 acres of wetlands in the bottomland hardwood forest adjacent to the Little River channel and approximately nine acres of successional wetlands located in the northwest portion of the project site have been preserved. The wetlands within the approximately 48-acre loblolly pine plantation area and 7-acre grassy field area have been enhanced through the planting of native hardwood trees (See Table 1 for Project Components and Figure 2 for Component Location).

Vegetation monitoring is conducted on an annual basis using sixteen (16) permanent vegetation plots (Figure 2). The vegetation success criterion for the pine plantation area is the survival of 150 planted woody stems per acre at the end of the five-year monitoring period. The success criterion for the grassy field area is the survival of 260 planted woody stems per acre at the end of the five-year monitoring period. Monitoring Year 2 (MY2 2012) observed a mean stem density of 283 planted stems per acre in the plots. The plots located within pine plantation area (Plots 4-16) had an average of 261 planted stems per acre. The plots located in the grassy field area (Plots 1-3) had an average of 377 planted stems per acre. When volunteer stems were included, the site had an overall mean stem density of 1687 stems per acre in the plots. The plots located within pine plantation area had an average of 1653 planted and volunteer stems per acre. The plots located in the grassy field area had an average of 1834 planted and volunteer stems per acre.

The project consisted of the enhancement and preservation of existing wetlands and streams within the site. Prior to mitigation, wetlands were determined and confirmed by a jurisdictional determination. Therefore, there is no hydrological success criterion. However, five continuous groundwater monitoring gauges were installed on the site in order to monitor and confirm hydrology. Four of the gauges are located in wetlands of the pine plantation and a fifth is a reference gauge located in a preserved wetland area on the west side of the project. During the growing season of MY2 (2012), the groundwater monitoring gauges located within the enhancement site demonstrated a water level within 12" of the soil surface for between 2% and 11% of the growing season.

Gauge #1: 4% (9 days)
Gauge #2: 2% (4 days)
Gauge #3: 11% (25 days)
Gauge #4: 10% (23 days)

• Reference Gauge: 22% (52 days)

Streams are visually assessed each year to monitor for stability. One crest gauge was installed on-site and is located adjacent to Vegetation Plot 7. Streams were stable during the MY2 monitoring assessment. The crest gauge was evaluated several times throughout 2012. During these visits, water was noted within the channel, but no indications of overbank flooding were noted.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from EEP upon request.

4.0 METHODOLOGY

<u>Vegetation</u>

Sixteen (16) permanent vegetation plots are used for annual vegetation monitoring (Figure 2). All vegetation monitoring was completed in September 2012 utilizing the Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) – EEP protocol Level 2 (version 4.2).

<u>Hydrology</u>

A crest gauge was installed within a stream to monitor flow and is assessed through visual evaluation. Five groundwater monitoring gauges were installed on site (4 within the enhancement area and 1 within the reference area). All groundwater monitoring gauges were downloaded quarterly utilizing Remote Data System, Inc. data loggers and software. Data from the groundwater monitoring gauges are not used toward success criteria of the wetland.

Photo documentation was performed at prescribed locations across the site. A digital camera was used to take photos at each predetermined photo point location (Figure 2).

5.0 References

NCEEP. 2012. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Year 1 of 5 Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. March, 2012.

NCEEP. 2011. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement As-Built & Baseline Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. December, 2011.

NCEEP. 2010. Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 1.3 January 15, 2010.

NCEEP. 2008. CVS-EEP Vegetation Sampling Protocol. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 4.2, 2008.

NCEEP. 2007. Little River Wetland Enhancement Restoration Plan. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. September 28, 2007.

US Army Corps Of Engineers. 1987. U.S. Army Corps. of Engineers. Tech Report Y-87-1, 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual, Washington, DC. AD/A176.

US Army Corps Of Engineers. 2005. U.S. Army Corps. of Engineers. Information Regarding Stream Restoration in the Outer Coastal Plain of North Carolina, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office.

6.0	Project	Condition	and I	Monitor	ing Data	Appendices

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

(This page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing)




		Table	1. Project Co	omponents and	Mitigation Cre	edits			
	Litt		•	nd Enhancemei			26		
			N	litigation Credi	ts				
	Stream		Ripariar	n Wetland	Non-Ripar	ian Wetland	Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorus Nutrient Offset
Туре	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Totals	1437	21		27.5					
	1		Pro	oject Compone	nts	1	1		
Project Component or Reach ID	Stationing/Location	Existing Footage/ Acreage	Approach	Restoration or Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio		Comment	
Reach 1	Flows NW to SE across the middle of site	1,726	EII	R	1,726	2.5:1	area of both I		•
Reach 2	Flows NW to SE across the middle of site	1,867	EII	R	1,867	2.5:1	Enhancemen area of both I	t - planting occurr banks	ed in the riparian
Reach 3	Enters the site on middle N boundary, tributary of Reach 2	210	Р	RE	210	10:1		Preservation - area is protected by a conservation easement with signage around the boundary	
Wetland 1	Pine Plantation	47.8	EII	RE	47.8	2.5:1	Enhancement - weedy vegetation was suppressed with fire and area was planted		
Wetland 2	Grassy Field	7.0	EII	RE	7.0	2:1		Enhancement - El as a result of no trees present in this area. Area was burned and planted	
Wetland 3	NW portion of the site and S boundary of site	48.7	Р	RE	48.7	10:1		Preservation - area is protected by a conservation easement with signage around th boundary	
			Com	ponent Summa	ation	_		_	_
Restoration Level	Stream (If)			parian Wetland	`	Non-Ripariar	Wetland (ac)	Buffer (sq ft)	Upland (ac)
Restoration			Riverine	Non-R	iverine				
Enhancement			54.8				1		
Enhancement I			J -1 .0						
Enhancement II	3,593								
Creation	5,555								
Preservation	210		48.7						
HQ Preservation									
				BMP Elements					
Element	Location			Purpose/Function	on			Notes	
n/a	n/a			n/a n/a					

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project -EEP Project No. 226

Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: n/a							
Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 24 months							
Number of Reporting Years ¹	: 2						
Activity or Deliverable Data Collection Actual Complet Complete or Delivery							
Mitigation Plan	Sep-07	Oct-07					
Final Design – Construction Plans	n/a	n/a					
Construction	n/a	n/a					
Seeding	n/a	n/a					
Prescribed Burn	n/a	Dec-10					
Planting	n/a	Jan-11					
As-built (Year 0 Monitoring -baseline)	Feb-11	Dec-11					
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec-11	Feb-12					
Year 2 Monitoring	Dec-12	Jan-13					
Year 3 Monitoring	n/a	n/a					
Year 4 Monitoring	n/a	n/a					

n/a

n/a

Year 5 Monitoring

^{1 =} number of reports or data points produced excluding the baseline

Table 3. Project Contacts Table Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project -EEP Project No. 226					
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.					
Designer	801 Jones Franklin Road Suite 300; Raleigh, NC 27606				
Primary project design POC	Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399				
Construction Contractor	None				
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics, Inc.				
Training Contractor	908 Indian Trail Road; Edenton, NC 27932				
Planting Contractor POC	Mary-Margaret McKinney (252) 482-8491				
Seeding Contractor	None				
Seed Mix Sources	None				
	ArborGen and Superior Trees				
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Arborgen - 180 Westvaco road; Summerville, SC 29483				
	Superior Trees - 12493 E US Highway; Lee, FL 32059				
Manitanina Barfarmana (MXA)	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.				
Monitoring Performers (MY0)	801 Jones Franklin Road Suite 300; Raleigh, NC 27606				
Stream Monitoring POC	Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399				
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399				
Wetland Monitoring POC	Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399				
Monitoring Performers (MY1 & MY2)	Land Management Group, Inc.				
With the Will 2	P.O. Box 2522; Wilmington, NC 28402				
Stream Monitoring POC	Kim Williams (910) 452-0001				
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kim Williams (910) 452-0001				
Vetland Monitoring POC Kim Williams (910) 452-0001					

Table 4. Project	Baseline Infor	mation and Attr	ibutes			
Little River Stream and Wet	land Enhance	ment Project -EF	EP Project No. 22	6		
	Project Inforn	nation				
Project Name		Little River Strea	am and Wetland Enl	nancement Project		
Project County		Moore				
Project Area (ac)			125.8			
Project Coordinates (Lat and Long)		3	5.223562, -79.2409	77		
	atershed Sumn	nary Information				
Physiographic Region			Sandhills			
River Basin			Cape Fear			
USGS HUC for Project (14 digit)			03030004070050			
NCDWQ Subbasin			03-03-14			
Project Drainage Area (sq mi)			0.52			
Project Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)			< 1%			
CGIA Land Use Classification			lanagement and Hai	vesting; Unused		
Rea	ch Summary Iı	nformation				
Parameters		Reach 1	Reach 2	Reach 3		
Length of Reach (linear feet)		1,726	1,867	210		
Valley Classification			VIII			
Drainage Area (ac)			335			
NCDWQ Stream Identification Score		30	28	28		
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification			Perennial			
Morphological Description (stream type)		C5	E5	E5		
Evolutionary Trend		C5	C5	C5		
Underlying Mapped Soils		Bibb				
Drainage Class		Poorly Drained				
Soil Hydric Status		Yes				
Slope			0-1%			
FEMA Classification		Zone X				
Native Vegetation Community		River	Riverine bottomland hardwood			
Percent Composition Exotic Invasive Vegetation		0%				
Wetl	and Summary	Information				
Parameter		Wetland 1	Wetland 2	Wetland 3		
Size (ac)		47.8	7	48.7		
Wetland Type			Riparian Riverine			
Mapped Soils Series		Bibb				
Drainage Class		Poorly Drained				
Soil Hydric Status		Hydric				
Source of Hydrology		Overbank flooding and groundwater				
Hydrologic Impairment	None					
Native Vegetation Community		Riverine bottomland hardwood				
Percent of Exotic/Invasive Vegetation	0%	0%	0%			
Re	gulatory Consi	derations				
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting D	ocumentation		
Waters of the United States - Section 404	Yes	USACE 404 Permit				
Waters of the United States - Section 401	Yes	Yes	NCDWQ 401 Permit			
Endangered Species Act	n/a	n/a				
Historic Preservation Act	n/a	n	/a			
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) Coastal			/2			
Area Management Act (CAMA)	n/a	l n	/a			
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	No	n/a	n	/a		
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	n/a	n	/a		

Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data






Table 5. Vegetation Condition Assessment Table

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria		Red Outline on Figure 2	2	1.5 ac	1.5 ac
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 6. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment						
Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project EEP						
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean				
VP1	Υ					
VP2	N					
VP3	Y					
VP4	Y					
VP5	Y					
VP6	Y					
VP7	Y					
VP8	Υ	88%				
VP9	Υ	00 70				
VP10	Υ					
VP11	Υ					
VP12	Υ					
VP13	N					
VP14	Υ					
VP15	Υ					
VP16	Υ					




Stream Photo Station 1: looking upstream (northwest) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 1: looking downstream (southeast) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking upstream (northwest) (Oct 6, 2011)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking northeast (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking downstream (southeast) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking upstream along Reach 2 (west) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking upstream at Reach 3 (north) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking downstream along Reach 2 (east) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 4: looking upstream along Reach 1 (northwest) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 4: looking downstream along Reach 1 (southeast) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 5: looking upstream along Reach 1 (northwest) (Sept 24, 2012)



Stream Photo Station 5: looking downstream along Reach 1 (southeast) (Sept 24, 2012)




Photo Station V1 - Veg Plot 1 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V2 - Veg Plot 1 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V3 - Veg Plot 2 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V4 - Veg Plot 2 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V5 - Veg Plot 3 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V6 - Veg Plot 3 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V7 - Veg Plot 4 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V8 - Veg Plot 4 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V9 - Veg Plot 5 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V10 - Veg Plot 5 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V11 - Veg Plot 6 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V12 - Veg Plot 6 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V13 - Veg Plot 7 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V14 - Veg Plot 7 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V15 - Veg Plot 8 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V16 - Veg Plot 8 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V17 - Veg Plot 9 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V18 - Veg Plot 9 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)




Photo Station V19 - Veg Plot 10 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V20 - Veg Plot 10 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V21 - Veg Plot 11 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V22 - Veg Plot 11 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V23 - Veg Plot 12 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V24 - Veg Plot 12 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V25 - Veg Plot 13 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V26 - Veg Plot 13 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)




Photo Station V27 - Veg Plot 14 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)




Photo Station V28 - Veg Plot 14 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)




Photo Station V29 - Veg Plot 15 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V30 - Veg Plot 15 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V31 - Veg Plot 16 looking along X-axis (Sept 24, 2012)



Photo Station V32 - Veg Plot 16 looking across (Sept 24, 2012)

Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)

	Table 7. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
Little River S	tream and Wetland Enhancement Project EEP No. 226
Report Prepared By	Kim Williams
Date Prepared	1/30/2013 13:30
Database Name	LittleRiver_226 _MY2_2012.mdb
Database Location	L:\Wetlands\2008\LittleRiver\Annual Monitoring Report\Year 2
Computer Name	KWILLIAMS
	Description Worksheets in This Document
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project and project data.
Proj Planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Proj Total Stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc)
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
	Project Summary
Project Code	226
Project Name	Little River
Description	Stream and Wetland Enhancement
River Basin	Cape Fear
Length (ft)	
Stream-to-Edge Width (ft)	
Area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	16

(This page intentionally left blank)

Table 8. Planted and total stem counts (species by plot with annual means)

																	Cui	rrent Plo	t Data (MY2 20	12)														
		Specie	E22	6-LMG-(0001	E2:	26-LMG-	0002	E22	6-LMG-	0003	E22	6-LMG-	0004	E22	6-LMG-(0005	E22	6-LMG-0	0006	E22	6-LMG-0	0007	E22	6-LMG-	8000	E22	6-LMG-	0009	E22	6-LMG-	0010	E22	26-LMG-)011
Scientific Name	Common Name	s Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree			5			2						5			10			8						8	3		25						20
Aronia arbutifolia	Red Chokeberry	Shrub																																	
Cyrilla racemiflora	swamp titi	Shrub			8			5						15	1	1	8			5			1			25	5					5			
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree															1												1						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	. 1	2	2	. 2	1	1	2	1	1	1	6	6	6	7	7	7	7			2	. 2	2 2	2	2 2	2
Ilex glabra	inkberry	Shrub				:	1 1	1	2	2	2 2						2	2	2	2							2	. 2	2			5			
llex opaca	American holly	Shrub						2																											
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree																																	2
Ligustrum sinense	Chinese privet	shrub															2																		
Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Shrub																																	
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree			25			2			17												2			3	3		1						
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree																																	4
Lyonia lucida	fetterbush lyonia	Shrub																														3			
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	Shrub																					1			3	3								1
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	7	7	32	4	4 4	9	5	5	9				3	3	5	7	7	12				5	5	5	5					4	2	2 2	2
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree			4			4						2			11			1			8						5			12			9
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Shrub																																	
Quercus	oak	Shrub	3	3	3																														
Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak	Tree				:	1 1	1	3	3	3													2	2	. 2	2								
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree										3	3	4	1	1	1							2	2	. 2	2 2	. 2	17	' 3	3	3 3	2	2 2	2
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	Shrub												6																		6			
	Ste	m count	11	11	78	(6	26	11	11	. 32	5	5	34	6	6	42	10	10	29	6	6	18	16	16	55	5 4	. 4	51	. 5	5 5	40	6	6	42
	siz	ze (ares)		1	-		1	-		1	-		1	-		1	-		1			1	•		1	-		1	-5		1	-		1	,
	size	(ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02	
	Specie	es count	3	3	7		3 3	8	4	4	. 5	2	2	6	4	4	9	3	3	6	1	1	5	4	4	8	3 2	. 2	6	2	2	2 8	3	3	8
	Stems p	er ACRE	445.2	445.2	3157	242.8	3 242.8	1052	445.2	445.2	1295	202.3	202.3	1376	242.8	242.8	1700	404.7	404.7	1174	242.8	242.8	728.4	647.5	647.5	2226	161.9	161.9	2064	202.3	202.3	1619	242.8	242.8	1700

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by more than 20%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 20%
Fails to meet requirements, but by less than 20%
Fails to meet requirements by more than 20%

Grassy Field Area 5-yr Success Criteric 260 stems/ac Pine Plantation Area 5-yr Success Crite 150 stems/ac

Table 8 contd. Planted and total stem counts (species by plot with annual means)

rubic o conta. r iuni			<u> </u>							- 4 D - 4	N 43/2 224	121						_			_		
									urrent Pl										nual Me			nual Mea	
		Species		6-LMG-0			6-LMG-0	0013		6-LMG-0	0014		26-LMG-0	0015		6-LMG-0	0016		ЛY2 (201:	2)		/IYO (201	J)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Type	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree			15			10						5			10	0		123			0
Aronia arbutifolia	Red Chokeberry	Shrub													4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
Cyrilla racemiflora	swamp titi	Shrub			15	1	1	6						12				2	2	105	2	2	2
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree									1							0		3			0
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree							4	4	4	3	3	3	1	1	1	31	31	32	30	30	30
Ilex glabra	inkberry	Shrub	1	1	1						10						20	8	8	45	12	12	12
llex opaca	American holly	Shrub												1			4	0		7			0
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree																0		2			0
Ligustrum sinense	Chinese privet	shrub																0		2			
Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	Shrub										1	1	1				1	1	1	6	6	6
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree						2			4	•		10			2	0		68			0
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree									1							0		5			0
Lyonia lucida	fetterbush lyonia	Shrub																0		3			0
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	Shrub			1			1			2							0		9			0
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	1	1	6							2	2	2				36	36	86	46	46	46
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	Tree			3			7			10			12			20	0		108			İ
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Shrub									1							0		1			0
Quercus	oak	Shrub																3	3	3	4	4	4
Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak	Tree			3			2							3	3	3	9	9	14	7	7	7
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree				2	2	. 2	1	1	1	2	2	2				18	18	34	13	13	13
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	Shrub																0		12			0
	St	em count	2	2	44	3	3	30	5	5	34	8	8	48	8	8	64	112	112	667	125	125	125
	9	size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			16			16	
	siz	e (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.40			0.40	
	•	cies count		2	7	2	2	. 7	2	2	9	4	4	9	3	3	8	21	_	21	9	9	9
	Stems	per ACRE	80.937	80.937	1780.6	121.41	121.41	1214.1	202.34	202.34	1375.9	323.75	323.75	1942.5	323.75	323.75	2590	283.28	283.28	1687	316.16	316.16	316.16

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by more than 20%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 20%
Fails to meet requirements, but by less than 20%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 20%

Grassy Field Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 260 stems/ac Pine Plantation Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 150 stems/ac

		Table 9	. cvs	- Dama	ge by P	lot	
	Little River S						EEP #226
	, vo _{ta}	Commo	nd Wet	-Enter	Oser Camage	, King	uginon ugino
	226-LMG-0001-year:2	3	8		3		
	226-LMG-0002-year:2	0	6				
	226-LMG-0003-year:2	3	8		3		
	226-LMG-0004-year:2	1	4			1	
	226-LMG-0005-year:2	1	7		1		
	226-LMG-0006-year:2	2	10	1	1		
	226-LMG-0007-year:2	0	6				
	226-LMG-0008-year:2	0	16				
	226-LMG-0009-year:2	0	5				
	226-LMG-0010-year:2	0	6				
	226-LMG-0011-year:2	0	8				
	226-LMG-0012-year:2	1	5		1		
	226-LMG-0013-year:2	1	2		1		
	226-LMG-0014-year:2	0	5				
	226-LMG-0015-year:2	0	8				
	226-LMG-0016-year:2	1	7		1		
TOT:	16	13	111	1	11	1	

					Tob	- 10 C\	/C Die	nted Sten	no bu	Diet ens	l Cnaci	••										
								Wetland	Fnha	ncemen	t - FFF	#226										
					/ /	/	/	.∿ / s	<u>a</u>	<u>∿ /</u>	<u>∞ / </u>	∿ /	2 /	<u>^</u>	2 /	2 /	<u>^</u>	2 /	2 /	2 /	2 /	2 / 2 /
		/ /					/	\$ / \$	£ / .	\$ / s	<i>&</i> / .	\$ / .	\$ / .	, ·	§ / ¿	, S	, j	\$ /	<i>§</i> / .	\$ / J	<i>*</i> / <i>*</i>	\$ / \$ /
					/ & /		/ 2	مجر / م ^{جر}	1 3	× / ×	1/5	جي / ج	۶ / ۴	š / هُ	جُو / جُ	5 / 3	× / 1	* / v	× / %	· / 3	× / 5×	`/ & /
		/ /			Sems		/ &	/ & /	\ \oldots	/ & .	/ &	/ &	/ & ·	/ &	/ &	/ &	/ &	/ &	/ 2	/ &	/ &	/ & /
	/	/ /		/	> /	/ . /	\&^ \	\&~\	& /	' & /	& /	\&\ \	\&\ \	ં હું /	\&~ \	`&~ /	\&^ \	\&\ \&\ \	\&~ \	ં હું /	`&~ /	& /
		z /	/ 😤				\$ /	7/3	3 /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /
	/ 5	<u> </u>	/ 6	/ <u>å</u>	°/ \$2/ `.	š / {	§ / ‹{	% / %	/ 3	§ / Y	r / 3	જે / જે	ک کر	જે / ત	ષ્ટ્ર / તું જ	જે / તે	§ / ‹	જે / ત	ზ / კ ^ა	۶ / ۶	۶ / بر م	? /
	John San	Socies Species	Componience	, O(#) O.	\$ 000 m	Plot 22	DIOL 3.	100-2002 1002 1002 1002 1002 1002 1002 1	/ ॐ'	5.400.000+1.7000-1.70	PION 232 MACOURS.W.	COP 2000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	5.400 000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1	2,400 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	000-24-5-000 Vol. 23-0-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	DIOL 23	5.400 JUS 3.	0,04.5. 100 12	26.LMG.0073.VC	PIOF 23	200 July 230 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	5.4MG.0076.5eq.2
				/ ^ -,	/ * / 6	/ 🤄	<u>/ q </u>	<u>/ Ý /</u>	Q.	<u>/ ୧</u>	/ Q	/ <u>Q</u>	/ ﴿	/ <u>Q</u>	<u> </u>	/ Q	<u>/ ୧</u>	<u>/ ୧</u>	<u>/ ୧</u>	/ Q	<u>/ ٩</u>	/
			Red Chokeberry	4	1 4																4	
		Cyrilla racemiflora	swamp titi	2	2 1					1								1				
		Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	31	12 2.58	1		1	2	1	1	6	7		2	2			4	3	1	
		llex glabra	inkberry	8	5 1.6	6	1	2			2			2			1					
		Lindera benzoin	northern spicebush	1	1 1															1		
		Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	35	9 3.89	7	4	5		2	7		5			2	1			2		
			oak	3	1 3	3																
		Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak	9	4 2.25	5	1	3					2							-	3	
		Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	18	9 2				3	1			2	2	3	2		2	1	2		
TOT:	0	9	9	111	9	11	6	11	5	5	10	6	16	4	5	6	2	3	5	8	8	

Exceeds requirements by 10%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, tences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m (x,y) (14.142m diagonal): YA YA YA YA YA YA YA YA YA Y	X (,) point X (,) point X (,) with direction (,) posts	O Photo Identifier(s): X AX, I HOW ACONS 11; 33 Identifier(s): X AX, I HOW ACONS 11; 33 Identifier(s): X AX, I HOW Plot grading? Yes No Unknown Identifier(s) Identifier	NOTES If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets. Sual about plot layout and shape)	□ more	ot, landscape content)	□ more	was chosen for the proof.	Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.) Some smilax rational, a blackbornes	©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1
Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates below	plot doesn't match one of the temp Standard 10m x 10m (14.142m diagonal): Y-axis	Plot X-Axis Bearing:	Plot Size (ares, default=1): (An "are" is 100 m²) Plot Credit Type (check up to two): Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Hea	Layout: (anything unu	(<u>Su</u>	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)	□ml. Dlot Betionale: (why location was chosen for the plot)		Other	the CVS Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3
LOCATION	General: State: County: Quadrangle: Place Names: 1)	2) 3) EEP Reach: Land Owner:	Coordinate System: Coord. Units: Coord	Datum: Zone: □ NAD83/WGS84 □ NAD27 (if applicable) Lat: (or Northing)	Long: (or Easting) Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	GPS File Name: Styre CHARACTERISTICS	+1	Aspect (degrees): Compass Type: magnetic true Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	□ Random □ Stratified placement can be placement can be □ Transect component recorded in Plot □ Systematic (grid) Rationale. □ Capture specific feature	USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , Publ. Date: *Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.
GENERAL INFORMATION	Project Number: Project Name: Lettle River Team #: CN, AO, W F	ed stems only)	Start Date: 7 7 7 7 7 2007 dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / 15N / 2007 Party Role** AD Plot Leader	CN Bende		**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other	Soil Drainage* □ Excessively drained □ Somewhat excessively drained	☐ Well drained ☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained ☐ Poorly drained ☐ Very poorly drained		TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDE. Authority: Required Fields in Bold and Underlined. *Definitions & values in Defin

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Project: LR Team: TO Plot: 1 Date: 9 Page 1 of Leader: DOM DBH Height Coordinates Damage Vigor Source Species Name (1 cm)hh (1* cm)X (0.1 m) Y (0.1 m)4.6 3 BG 4 50 30 rerrated leaves EUNEZ(27 Serrated 0 45 5 4 Dak -aurel 5 nem leagen don promise 5 35 4 5.0 Dunteers Duret Dur ellow tlower 10

Vigor: $\underline{4}$ =excellent, $\underline{3}$ =good, $\underline{2}$ =fair, $\underline{1}$ =unlikely to survive year, $\underline{0}$ =Dead, $\underline{\underline{M}}$ issing. <u>Tu</u>bling, Bare <u>Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown</u> Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Discased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

Source: $\underline{\mathbf{Tr}}$ ansplant, $\underline{\mathbf{L}}$ ive stake, $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$ all and burlap, $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ ot,

	Plot Data	Plot Data: CVS Levels 1 & 2
GENERAL INFORMATION roject Number: roject Name: LR roj	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM plotos and posts. Edit shape if plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m (x,y) Y-axis Y-axis Plot Size (ares, default=1): Plot Size (ares, default=1): Identifier(s): X Plot Credit Type (check up to ivo): □Riparian Buffer Credit Date plot was last planted after last monitoring) If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets. Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other Soil Drainage* Description of Brained Somewhat excessively drained Moderately well drained Deortly drained Deortly drained Nerry poortly drained WATER Percent of Plot Submerged: WATER Percent of Plot Submerged: TAXONOMIC STANDARD Authority:	Long: Coordinate Accuracy (m rae.g. 30 GPS File Name: SITE CHARACTEI Elevation: Slope (degrees): Compass Type: magnet Compass Type: magnet Random Stratified Transect component Systematic (grid) Capture specific featu Capture specific featu USED FOR PLANT IDE Web FOR PLANT IDE Publ. Date: Publ. Da	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content) Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot) Plot Rationale: (why location

r: AD Pro	ject:	R Team:			ate:/_) . B	n u g	
~ . NI	Source	Coordi	inates	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage	
Species Name	7 T V - 1	X (0.1 m)		19	(1 cm)	3	4 stems	
BG	BR	6.5	2.1		C	y	1 21.	(1
BG		9.8	1.8	81	5	4		
Gallberry		7.7	4.0		3	3	new main redain	546
BG		6.0	4.8	25.5	5	4	1,000,01	
Lan		0.8	4,9	67	G	4		
BG		2.0	6.0	93	6	+-		•
9	n			,		+		-
								=
								-
				V C				
	+	1					*	-
61. 200	中	22						-
Volunteer Siries				MERBS	1.	,	0 8	
TREES + SHRUBS	5	+		Yellow Flow	er 2			_
	2			Dicanth			1	<u> </u>
56	. 2			Blackberry			·	_
Holly	4			S. rotund.				_
Pine	***************************************			White Flow	30			
RM	2			refer !	7			
GH	5		_	fucta:1	1			
3						+		
						-		
						_		
e e		1						
			s.					
		х•:						-
				,				
	-					÷	· ·	
:	_							
							,	-
								-
							9.	
7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				37:	gor: <u>4</u> =excelle	nt 3=good 2	e=fair, ↓	
Source: Transplant,	Live stake	e, <u>B</u> all and burla ally planted, <u>U</u> n	p, <u>P</u> ot,	S 444 1	t - manipula Magr	0=Dead VI		

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

\$ 2	Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m (20.616m diagonal): (x,y) Y-axis Plot Y-axis Bearing: Bearing: Decretion, photos and posts. Edit shape if Key (x,y) Plot Size (ares, default=1): Chan "are" is 100 m² Identifier(s): ★ ↑ ♥ ♠ ♠ ♠ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦	□ more Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)	more	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the prot)	EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1	
Plot Data: CVS Levels 1 & 2	LOCATION County: 3)	Coordinate System: Plot Size (ares, default=1)	Long: Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	stant, Guide, GPS File Name: SITE CHARACTERISTICS e* Elevation:		component recorded in Plot recorded in Plot Rationale. Specific feature PLANT IDENTIFICATION Publ. Date: & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.

<u>r</u> : <u>Pro</u>	oject:	Team:	1		*	at 1:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Coordi	nates	Height	DBH	Vigor	Damage
Species Name	Source	X (0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)		doer browse (PE
Lao	BR	0.1	0.4	24	33	12	
BG		9.7	4.5	17	3		DB new loader
BG		2,3	2.3	35	8	3	light DB
100	3 0	4.5	2.9	33	4	3	DB
BG		67	2,7	35	3	3	
Callberry		9.1	2,3	46	3	3	
(Saubor S		7.9	4.6	43	4	14	
BG		8.9	7.2	50	6	4	
	lan C	0.8	2.4	349	5	14	
Gwas GA lastig	6-61	0.1	9,9	57	Pomer	9	1 A
Lao	-	4.9	8.3	9	6	4	new leader
BG	-	(/ (-		i			
			1				1
	+	-	+				
	s:	-					
Vol	14			Herb	6/6	N	
7/5	#		-	Yellow-Flav	(CY) non	10	
SG	17			Foxtai	1	55	
BG	4		7	Cane	, ,	0	,
				White Ho	up (hit)	3	
				Dicont		15	
				Panocu	И.	a	
			_	D do	20	a	
				Indiana	marc .	A	
				11	1	30	
900 e			2 3	Hrdropos	01/1		
		-					
91 9							
				-			
		0 1					
1							
3 2							
5		A		3.7	igor: <u>4</u> =excell	ent 3=good	2=fair, ↓
Source: <u>Tr</u> ansplant <u>Tu</u> bling, Bare <u>R</u> oot, <u>A</u> uger	, Live stake	e, Ball and burla	p, <u>P</u> ot,	- 111 1	4	r 0=Dead M	issing. her/Unknown <u>Anim</u> al, Human <u>Ti</u> te Strangulation, <u>Unkn</u> own, speci

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Drv, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

GENERAL INFORMATION	Location	PLOT DIAGRAM Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if
Project Number:	General: County:	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, rences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m Rey
Tame: CK	angle:	X
Diet: ///	Place Names: 1)	(,) (X)GPS location
710t: V	2) 3)	
✓ Level 1 (planted stems only)□ Level 2 (planted and natural	EEP Reach:	Bearing: X (,) O photo taken, with direction
stems)	Land Owner:	
Start Date: 7 124 1 2 Add/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN / 2007	$\bigotimes \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location}}{x=} \xrightarrow{y=}$	fault=1):
Party Role** A Plot Leader	Coordinate System: □ LavLong □ UTM □ State Plane □ deg. □ deg. min. □ Other (specify): □ m □ ft □	(An "are" is 100 m²) Plot Credit Type (check up to two): □Riparian Buffer Credit □Stream Credit □Wetland Credit Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? □Yes □No □Unknown (> 50% of plot, ≥ 6" in depth)
	Datum: Zone: □ NAD83/WGS84 □ NAD27 (if applicable)	NOTES neck the box an
NE		Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	□ more
		Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,	GPS File Name:	
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Ĺ	Elevation: ± □ft.	□ more
 □ Excessively dramed □ Somewhat excessively drained 	1	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	
 ☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained 	Compass Type: magnetic true	
Poorly drained Very noorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	□ more
WATER		Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
Percent of Plot Submerged:	☐ Transect component recorded in Plot ☐ Control of Con	
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (gind) ☐ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , Publ. Date:	□ more
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	/S Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Project: LA Team: TC Plot: Date: Page __ of Leader: **DBH** Coordinates Height Damage Vigor Source Species Name (1 cm) X (0.1 m) Y (0.1 m)(1* cm)Dicanthe Strangulation BR 2.0 25.5 4 not flagged) 100 Jumac

Source: Transplant, Live stake, Ball and burlap, Pot, <u>Tu</u>bling, Bare <u>Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, <u>Unknown</u></u>

Vigor: 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, 1=unlikely to survive year, 0=Dead, Missing.

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

		MACAINTOIN
GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	Fill in ONE of the femulates below using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if
Decised Number	General:	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
-(State: County:	n x 20m
Project Name: 6	,	(20.616m diagonal): (x.y)
Team #: //	Quadrangle:	Y-axis Y
	Place Names: 1)	(,)
Flor: V.	3)	
Tevel 1 (planted stems only)	EEP Reach:	X-Axis Bearing: X (,) photo taken,
stems)	Land Owner:	(,) with direction
	S Receiver Loca	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
15/ JAN	x= y=	(An "are" is 100 m²) Identifier(s):
Farty Kole	43	(check up to two): □Ripar
MAD Plot Leader	□ Other (specify):	Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? □Yes □No □Unknown
		(baseline data of 11 planted after tast monitoring) NOTES
	□ NAD83/WGS84 □ NAD27 (if applicable)	If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
13	ing)	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
8	Long: (or Easting)	
	. (
	e.g. 30	mit I continue (dissortions to slot landersane content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,		FIOI LOCARION: (UNECCIONS to prot, tanuscape content)
Land owner, Taxonomist, Other		
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
	Elevation: ± □ff.	
☐ Excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	□ more
☐ Mell drained		Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
☐ Moderately well drained	· ·	
□ Somewhat poorly drained	gnetic	
☐ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	
□ Very poorly drained	□ Representative	more
WATER	National Further details of	Ç
Percent of Plot Submerged:	component	Contractor of the second
Mass Water Death Now.		3
Mean water Depth from:		ATAN SALVES CALL
TAXONOMIC STANDARD Authority:	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION uthority:	nore
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	/S Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

Required Fields in Bold and Underlined. *Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.

Species Name	Source	Coordi X (0.1 m)	nates	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
	Ra	Q. 3	2,4		()	0	Dead
BG	BR	5.3	2.3	93	5	2	
Titl				1 General	7	4	
BG		6.8	4.1	56	7	3	Dβ
irata Oak		9.0	4.1	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	a	3	
GA BG		80	0.1	20	5	4	, -
BG		5.3	911	60	0)	10	Dead
BG		3.8	6-8		<u> </u>	0	Dead
GA		3,0	7.0	()	6	1//	O C NY
GA		7.0	7.0	27	0	+4-	
						_	
		e .	2 h		-		
Vol			- bu		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7/5	1	2 2		Herb	1/,		,
Pi		15-+		Foxtasl	2		
B	6 2			WF	1		
C.				Dianth.	80		
0	10			YF	5		1./\
Persin	1	6′		Smilar P.	12	->	rotunditolia
Gallbe	C. MON	V)	*	Jarmino	12		
Pri			181	Carexon.			
J. C.	ACT OF			*			
* ·		-				-	
·	_	_			20		
							1
		_					
		A1					
					+		
3					- 10		
						_	
W		2					
	×				_		
		K				nt, <u>3</u> =good, <u>2</u> =	c.:

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

	NOLLYGOL	PLOT DIAGRAM
GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape in
Project Number:	al:	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m Posts Key
Project Name: LR		- a
Team #:	Quadrangle:	Y-axis \bigvee Y (0,0) point
	Place Names: 1)	(,) September 1
	2) 3)	
☐ Level 1 (planted stems only)	EEP Reach:	
stems)	Land Owner:	o O
Start Date: / / dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN / 2007	$\bigotimes \frac{GPS}{x=} \frac{Receiver}{y=} \frac{Location}{y=} (m):$	Plot Size (ares, default=1): O-Photo
Party Role**	Coordinate System: LatLong UTM State Plane deg. deg. min. deg. min. deg. min. sec.	An are is 100 mr) Plot Credit Type (check up to two): Riparian Buffer Credit Stream Credit Wetland Credit Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? Yes No Unknown
3	Datum: Zone:	(baseline data or if planted affer tast monitoring) NOTES We have back of datasheets.
5	li.	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Tona. (Or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	□ more
8	e.g. 30	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,	GPS File Name:	
Call Owner, Involvemen, Call	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Soli Dianiage	Elevation: \pm \Box	_ more
☐ Excessively drained ☐ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	
☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained	Compass Type: □ magnetic □ true	
□ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	
□ Very poorly drained WATED		Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
Percent of Plot Submerged:	☐ Transect component recorded in Plot ☐ Contraction (with) ☐ Rationale.	
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (giro) ☐ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , thority:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	VS Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Plot: Date: Page __ of Project: LR Team: Leader: **DBH** Height Coordinates Damage Vigor Source Species Name X (0.1 m) | Y (0.1 m)(1* cm)(1 cm)(F) 8 60 4 6 L 60 20 0 80 9 Helge Vigor: <u>4</u>=excellent, <u>3</u>=good, <u>2</u>=fair, <u>1</u>=unlikely to survive year, <u>0</u>=Dead, <u>M</u>issing. Source: \underline{Tr} ansplant, \underline{L} ive stake, \underline{B} all and burlap, \underline{P} ot, Tubling, Bare Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Drv, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Discased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

Tarrest and the second	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM
GENERAL INFORMATION		Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Euch stage to
Project Number:	General: State: County:	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m
Project Name:	angle.	diagonal): $(20.616 \text{m diagonal})$: $(meters)$
Team #:		Y -axis \uparrow
Plot: 1/7	Flace Names: 1)	(,) GPS location
The state of the s	2) 3)	X-Axis
Level 2 (planted and natural	EEP Reach:	5
stems)	Land Owner:	
Start Date: / /	$\bigotimes_{x=} \frac{GPS \text{ Receiver Location}}{y=}$	Plot Size (ares, default=1): O→Photo / t ≥ Z
)) (
	COOPTINATE SYSTEM: COOPTING THE COOPTING COOPTI	Plot Credit Type (check up to two): Reparation Buffer Credit Stream Credit Wetland Credit Heavy nlot grading? Yes No Unknown
Plot Leader	1	Date plot was last planted after last monitoring) ($\geq 50\%$ of plot, ≥ 6 " in depth)
3	Datum: Zone:	NOTES If more snace is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
3		Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Lat.	
	Long: (or Easting)	
	General Acoustons (m. radine).	
	e.g. 30	Plot Location: (directions to plot. landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,		
Land owner, Taxonomist, Other	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Soil Drainage*	Elevation: ±	
☐ Excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	more
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	FIOI INAIDINAIS: (WIL) IOCAUDII WAS GROSOLI ES TRE FREE,
☐ Moderately well drained	Compass Type: □ magnetic □ true	
□ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	
□ Very poorly drained	☐ Representative ☐ Random ☐ Random	Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
WATER Dercent of Plot Submerged:	1 component	
Mean Water Denth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (grid) ☐ Canture specific feature	
	ITSED FOR DIANT IDENTIFICATION	
Authority:	, Publ. Date:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.imc.edu

Species Name	Source	Coordi X (0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)	Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
GA	BR	0.0	0.7	65	8	4	
	PR	3	1.5	65	(0)	9	
		6.8	3,3	54	5	3	
		10.4	3.6	56	6	3	
V		126	1.7	53	7	4	
GA		15.2	4.6	48.5	G	2	
/1/		6.0	0.5	34	3	4	
Cnot Tlago	eV.				(M. 4 ³⁴		a a
				Green Care			
Val			= -		,		
715	甘	3.		H	1.		1
Pin	with.	201		Decauth.	20		
GA	0			Panicum	40		
- Co-	5 1			Blkbern	1 5		
Swootba	9			Purp. Flower	a a		
2000	1	isto .		Sumac	40		
	5 2					:	
	0, 0						
		.1					
							-
					- 4		
			2				
						5	
				1			
							* e *
· v							
						,	1
Source: <u>Tr</u> anspla	mt Live stake	Rall and burlar	. Pot.	Vig	gor: <u>4</u> =excelle	nt, <u>3</u> =good, <u>2</u> =fa , <u>0</u> =Dead, <u>M</u> issi	air,

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

	1011	PLOT DIAGRAM
GENERAL INFORMATION	Location	Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and poor. Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates braw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
er:	General: State: County:	Standard 10m x 10m (x.y) (x.y) (14.142m diagonal): (meters) (meters) (meters) (meters)
ame: CIK	Quadrangle:	X.
Team #: / P	Place Names: 1)	
	2) 3)	Plot X-Axis (,) O photo taken,
Evel 1 (planted stems only)	EEP Reach:	E: Aaxis
	Land Owner:	500.
Start Date: 9 / Jul / 2	$\bigotimes_{x=} \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location}}{y^{=}}$	10
Role**	Coordinate System: ☐ Lat/Long ☐ UTM ☐ State Plane ☐ deg. ☐ deg. ☐ deg. min. sec. ☐ Other (specifi): ☐ m ☐ ft ☐	(check up to two): \Box Riparian Buffer Credit \Box Stream Credit st planted (MM/YYYY): \Box Heavy plot grading? \Box Y \Box Y planted after last monitoring)
43	Datum: Zone: (if applicable) (if applicable)	INDIES If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datashects. I avont: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
No.	Lat: (or Northing)	
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	Diest I ecation: (directions to plot, landscape content)
** Colonder Assistant. Guide,	GPS File Name:	FIGURE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Land owner, Taxonomist, Other		
Soil Drainage*	Elevation: ± □ft.	□ more
☐ Excessively drained ☐ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
☐ Well drained ☐ Moderately well drained	Compass Type: magnetic true	
☐ Somewhat poorly drained☐ Poorly drained☐	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	
□ Very poorly drained	☐ Representative Further details of	of Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
WATER	☐ Stratified placement can be recorded in Plot recorded in Plot	
Water Denth Now: CIII		Stranger 12 3,40
TAXONOMIC STANDARD	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	
Authority: Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	CVS Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu
New York and American		

Species Name	Source	Coordi X (0.1 m)		Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
	BR	(0.1 m)	0.2	35	2.	3	
GD Aronia	VI	0.7	24	0	0	0	Dead
BO		0.9	41	82	7	4	N P
60		0.9	6,6	66	T	3	e de la servición de
G'A		U, 7		39	-5	U	17 y
156		lid	8.8	34	3	3	
136		2.3	1.2			3	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
GA	3 1	3.5	6.3	62	7	13	1015 100 100 1
BG		3,9	4,9	30	2		M dead side lead
GA	6 5	4.5	3.8	6	Ö	3	D. 1
BG		3.0	3,2	0	0	0	Dead
Lao	0	2.6	1.8	130	9	4	
BG	4 4	6,2	0.4	43	5	3	
GA		5,5	1.9	34	6	.3	
BG		8.5	0.7	21	6	3	MS broke 2 new 1
GA		ZY	2.5	52	10	14	
GA		9,6	3.0	5	6	4	
BG		7.9	6,9	0	0	0	Dead
00	S-1	5.6	8.3	72	7	2	La07
00	-	0.0	9.4	50	f	4	- 4
UC		10,0		-		i	
				*			-
							*
	-		-				
11.1		1	(1				
Vol		,	5		4/		
(101	艾		27	H Herbs	50		
KN	1 8		it is	BIKKEM	70		
78	: 25			LICAMIN	10		
Sheetbar	13			74	4		
SG	13			W	1	_	
	3		un e	redge sp	, 50		
				Panican	30		A
				Smilax	5		rotunditalia
		S6 S		Dumino	, 5		
	+						

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

GENERAL INFORMATION	Location	PLOT DIAGRAM
	General:	Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw Orb location, prious and posts. Lant stages and posts, and posts, forces, etc.
er:	State: County:	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m Posts Key
ame: $\sqrt{\lambda}$	angle:	(14.142m diagonal): (20.616m diagonal): (meters) \bigcirc Plot origin
Team #:	Place Names: 1)	
Plot: V	3)	Plot Spoint Spoint
Level 1 (planted stems only)	3P Reach:	ting:
stems)	Land Owner:	
Start Date: / / / dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN /2007	$\bigotimes_{x=} \frac{\text{GPS Receiver Location}}{y=} \text{(m)}$	fault=1): O→Photo U// C
Party Role**	Coordinate System: □ LavLong □ UTM □ State Plane □ deg. □	Identifier(s): arian Buffer Cr
Plot Leader	□ Other (<i>specifi</i>):	Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYYY): Heavy plot grading? \Box Yes \Box No \Box Unknown (baseline data or if planted after last monitoring) $(\geq 50\% \text{ of plot}, \geq 6" \text{ in depth})$
3	Datum: Zone: □ NAD83/WG884 □ NAD27 (if applicable)	NOTES If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
3	<u>Lat:</u> (or <u>Northing</u>)	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	
	e.g. 30	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,	GPS File Name:	
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
	Elevation: ± = m.	
☐ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	
☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained	Compass Type: □ magnetic □ true	
☐ Poorly drained ☐ Very noorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	□ more
Table and the state of the stat	☐ Random Further details of	Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
WATER Percent of Plot Submerged:	☐ Stratified placement can be ☐ Transect component recorded in Plot ☐ Rationale	
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (grìd) ☐ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDI Authority:	USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , Publ. Date:	□ more
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	VS Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Project: R Team: C Plot: Date: 9 / 24 / Page of Leader: **DBH** Coordinates Height Damage Vigor Source Species Name X (0.1 m) | Y (0.1 m)(1* cm)(1 cm)2 Glabra 2014 0 1 ROME MUNDA

Vigor: <u>4</u>=excellent, <u>3</u>=good, <u>2</u>=fair, <u>1</u>=unlikely to survive year, <u>0</u>=Dead, <u>M</u>issing. Source: $\underline{\mathbf{Tr}}$ ansplant, $\underline{\mathbf{L}}$ ive stake, $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$ all and burlap, $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ ot, Tubling, Bare Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

Species Name	Source	A (0.1 III)	Y (0.1 m)	Contract of the Contract of th	DBH (1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
OC		28	0.4	58	6	4	A
OC.		4.0	2.0		0	0	Dead
OC.		5,5	8.8	67	10	4	1 2 A
GA		8.8	9.8	69,5	9	19	
00		9.7	4.7	37	4	14	
GA		7.8	0,7	78	7	14	+ 1 M
GA (new)	3	7.8	6.8	49	mfor you	4	not tappe
OC (he)	<i>y</i>)	2.8	7,0	69,5	J	7	not Planos
			A 7 2				
	4		1			-	
A 1 1	9	,					4
Val	1 1 4				1		1
Tree	#		2)	11010	-1.	-	
Pine	12	201+	Dec.	False Nettle	0		,
BG	3			Blkberry	30	-	**************************************
Lyonia			1	Deanth.			
Jamac	95	1	1	Panicum	70	+	
Coult	5			Edenmania	9	-	
Callberry	3		1			+ -	
* 2 *				- /s			
	+						
							. "
	a .		х.				
		<u> </u>	6				
<u> </u>	9						
				1 1			
V I	, E						
g a a		5.3					
							-

GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM Fill in ONE of the term lates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if
ier:	General: State: County	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m OPOSTS
Project Name:	angle:	(20.616m diagonal): (mcters)
	Place Names: 1)	
vel 1 (planted stems only)	2) 3) EEP Reach:	Plot X-Axis Bearing: X-Axis X-Axis X (,) Open taken,
	Land Owner:	(C,)
Start Date: 7 / 24 / 12 dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN / 2007	$\bigotimes \frac{\text{GPS } \overline{\text{Receiver } \underline{\text{Location}}}}{x=} \bigvee_{y=}^{(m)}$	fault=1):
Party Role**	Coordinate System: □ LavLong □ UTM □ State Plane □ deg. □ deg. min. □ other (specify): □ other (specify): □ m □ ft □	entifier(s):
3	Datum: Zone: □ NAD83/WGS84 □ NAD27 (if applicable)	(baseline data of 11 planted after last monitoring) $ (z) \circ v_0 $ of $ (z) \circ v_0 $ of $ (z) \circ v_0 $ $ (z) \circ v_0 $ If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
3	<u>Lat</u> : (or Northing)	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide,		Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Excessively drained	Elevation: ± □ff.	
cessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
□ Well drained □ Moderately well drained	=	
rly drained	Compass Type: 🗆 magnetic 🗅 true	
☐ Poorly drained☐ Very poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more) ☐ Representative	
WATER Percent of Plot Submerged:	☐ Random ☐ Stratified ☐ Stratified ☐ Transect component ☐ Systematic (grid) ☐ Rationale.	Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	□ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDE Authority:	JSED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , Publ. Date:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1
Project: Team: Plot: V Date: / Da Leader: Page __ of **Coordinates DBH** Height Species Name Source Vigor Damage X (0.1 m) | Y (0.1 m)(1* cm)(1 cm) 5 0 e 9d 2 2014 virge 2

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Discased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.

*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

Vigor: 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, 1=unlikely to survive year, 0=Dead, Missing.

Source: <u>Transplant</u>, <u>Live</u> stake, <u>Ball</u> and burlap, <u>Pot</u>,

Tubling, Bare Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown

PLOT DIAGRAM Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit shape if	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc. Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m (x,y) Plot Size (arcs, default=1): (An "are" is 100 m²) Plot Credit Type (check up to two): □Riparian Buffer Credit □Stream Credit □Wetland Credit □Ate plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): (baseline data or if planted after last monitoring) NOTES If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets. Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)	f Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)	
Location	General: State: County: Quadrangle: Place Names: 1) 2) 3) EEP Reach: Land Owner:	State System: X= Y= X= X= X= X= X= X=	Long: Coordinate Accuracy (m radius): e.g. 30 GPS File Name: SITE CHARACTERISTICS	es): ees): pe: \(\text{magn}\) magn tative.	□ Random □ Stratified □ Stratified □ Transect component recorded in Plot □ Systematic (grid) □ Capture specific feature JSED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION , Publ. Date:
GENERAL INFORMATION	Project Number: Project Name: LR Team #: C Plot: // A Devel 1 (planted stems only) Chevel 2 (planted and natural stems)	Start Date: (/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other	Soil Drainage* Excessively drained Somewhat excessively drained Well drained Moderately well drained Somewhat poorly drained Poorly drained	WATER Percent of Plot Submerged: Description Capture Strength Capture Specific feature

		Coordi	natas	Height	DBH		
Species Name	Source	X (0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
BG	5 38	(),2	0.2	7-2	12	4	
Gallberry		0.7	3.8	C_{i}	0	0	
(,)		0.7	7.7	0	(7)	0	
100		3,0	7.7	0	0	0	
BR		3,8	1.2	0		0	я
BG		7,4	0.7	0	O	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
BC		9,9	4,4	61.5	7	4	slight brows
Gallberry		6.5	4,3	40	4	4	
- v 9		2			e e		7
e de la composición		. A					
Val	×			e l			
Troc	#			SMIH	0/	-	
Pino	# 3	201+		Grewsh.	56		
RM	15	<u> </u>		Foxtaul	2	is in	a - /
7649	15	s s		Dixanth=	30	-	
100	3			Ruscum	5		
BG	5		. 15	Smilas L,	5		-
SheetBay	1	, ,		Smilag R.	5		
				WF	2		-
				YE	2		-
-				UNID Sedge	8	±1	
	v			Gallberry			
				Fable Nettle		41	-
				2.2		u.	2 ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				-	7.	
			- :			2	
x		i-	12	-			£
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				V			
Source: <u>Tr</u> ansplant, <u>L</u> ive	」 stake Ball	and burlan Pot		Vigor.	<u>4</u> =excellent, <u>3</u> =	 good. 2 =fair	

GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	Pill in ONE of the terms later below regime the best to down CBS lacetime whether and most a Dait above if
Project Number:	General:	Fig. 10 Corp. of the templates below, using the key to man Orb. focation, photos and posts. Each shape it plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
Project Name: /	State: County:	10m Non-standard 5m x 20m
Team #: 7	Quadrangle:	(14.142m diagonal): (20.010m diagonal): $(20.010m \text{ diagonal})$: (meters) \wedge
Diot.	Place Names: 1)	
<u>F10t:</u> V (>	2) 3)	Plot (,) Signature Continue Continue
☐ Level 1 (planted stems only)	EP Reach:	
stems)	Land Owner:	() (,)
Start Date: 9 /24 / / 3 dd/mmm/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN /2007	$\bigotimes_{X=} \frac{GPS}{Receiver} \underbrace{Location}_{V=} (m):$	Plot Size (area default=1):
Party Role**	Coordinate System: Coord. Units:	1.07
AD Plot Leader	□ Lat/Long □ UTM □ State Plane □ Other (specify):	n Buffer Cr
43	Datum: Zone:	(baseline data or if planted after last monitoring) $ (\ge 50\% \text{ of plot}, \ge 6" \text{ in depth}) $ NOTES
3		Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	
		Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other	GPS File Name:	
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Hypercity of trained	Elevation: ± = 0ft.	
□ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Disk Destination (v. 1. 1) and the way of the configuration of the conf
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	riot Nationale; (why location was chosen for the prof.)
☐ Somewhat poorly drained	Compass Type: \Box magnetic \Box true	
☐ Poorly drained ☐ Very noorly drained	Plot Placement check 1 or more)	
The poorty diamon	☐ Representative ☐ Random ☐ Random ☐ Random	Other Notes: (invasive snecies erosion disturbances etc.)
WATER Percent of Plot Submerged:	Stratified Transect component	Cities i voices. (Hivasive apecies, etesion, distantedness, etc.)
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (grid) Rationale. ☐ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD L	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION ithority:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	S Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. evs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

C • NY	C	Coordi	nates	Height	DBH	X 7•	
Species Name	Source	X(0.1 m)	Y (0.1 m)	(1* cm)	(1 cm)	Vigor	Damage
00		4.7	0.6	60	Z	14	
(O)C	1	8,5	8.0	à3	7	3	DB
RG		16.3	1,2	0	(')	(1)	Pead
COH		18.8	1,2	61	Z	U	7 6461
			The second secon	55			
GA		20.0	1,2		6	7	*
00	-	16.0	2.4	65	3	4	* *
00		10.6	0.5	37	3	Ÿ	
		1		== ?			
		E					4.
		,	×		-, -		
		§6.			7.1	e ::	٥
Vol							1 2 p
	4		17.	116-1	, (
Tree	1		20	Merb	45	-	
Sheatlay				Dicanth	43		×
KM	(0)			Same	0		
Titi	5			Panicum	. ()		
Lad	2		2	YF	2		
Pine	7	2014		WE	5		
SS	Q			Cane	5		=
7				Foxfail	1		
				1/1	5		Vinginicas
	 		- :	Historyon			Varyen) Coo
			ļ	Meodowka	why		
	_			Jarnine.	10		
i ki		-		Dipotund	į.		
8× .1		-		Grape	5		а
				Goldenral	2		
				# (B	*		
	-		J*			D.	
*							N/
06							
	 					+	
	-						-
	-				2		
ř.					,		
			-				

INFORMATION	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM Fill in ONE of the term lates below using the key to draw GPS location, photos and nosts. Edit shane if
0	General:	plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
	State: County:	Standard 10m x 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m Posts Kev
ame:	anole.	(20.616m diagonal): (x.y) PI
Team #:	i diameter	_ -
Plot: // C	Place Names: 1)	(,) Konstion
2)	3)	
☐ Level 1 (planted stems only) ☐ Level 2 (planted and natural EE	EEP Reach:	X-AXIS Bearing: X (,) Dupoto taken,
	Land Owner:	(,)
Start Date: / /	GPS Receiver Location (m):	(,) posts
<u>dd/mmm/yyyy</u> e.g. 15 / JAN / 2007		Plot Size (ares, default=1):
Party Role** Co	ordinate System: Coord. Units:	(An "are" is 100 m²) Identifier(s):
	□ Lat/Long □ UTM □ State Plane □ deg. □ deg. min.	arian Buffer Cr
Plot Leader	1	Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? No Duknown Heavy plot grading? Ne Duknown
Da Da	Datum: Zone:	NOTES
N.O.	AD83/WGS84 □ NAD27 (if applicable)	If more space is needed, check the box and use back of datasheets.
Lat:	t: (or Northing)	Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
<u> </u>	Long: (or Easting)	
3	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	
e.g. 30	30	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, GP	GPS File Name:	to receive the property of the
The state of the s	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Son Diamage	Elevation: # □#	
☐ Excessively drained Somewhat excessively drained Slo	Slope (degrees):	□ more
Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	Plot Rationale: (why location was chosen for the plot)
☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained ☐ Co	Compass Type: magnetic true	
□ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	
ained	□ Representative	□ more
WATER Dercent of Plot Submersed:	Kandom Further details of	Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
%	Systematic (grid) Rationale.	
ivican water Depth ivow.	Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD USE Authority:	TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION thority:	"alom [
in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide	EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12

Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Project: Team: Team: Plot: VIII Date: 9 Leader: Page __ of Coordinates Height **DBH Species Name** Source Vigor Damage X (0.1 m) | Y (0.1 m) |(1* cm)(1 cm) 2.1 3,(4 9 10 10.1 Source: <u>Tr</u>ansplant, <u>L</u>ive stake, <u>B</u>all and burlap, <u>P</u>ot, Vigor: $\underline{4}$ =excellent, $\underline{3}$ =good, $\underline{2}$ =fair, $\underline{1}$ =unlikely to survive year, $\underline{0}$ =Dead, \underline{M} issing. <u>Tubling, Bare Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown</u>

GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM
	General:	Fill in ONE of the templates below, using the key to draw GPS location, photos and posts. Edit snape it also doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
Project Number:		prot trotosii t inditori one or trotiprates. Draw and famel one with the protection of the protection
Project Name:	State: County:	
Team #:	Quadrangle:	Y-axis ★ Y♠ (meters) OPlot origin
Diot. // (***	Place Names: 1)	(,) (,) (,) Form
27	2) 3)	
☐ Level 1 (planted stems only) ☐ Level 2 (planted and natural	EEP Reach:	A-Axis Bearing: X (,) O-photo taken,
stems)	Land Owner:	SSS o with direction
Start Date: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	$\bigotimes_{X=} \frac{GPS}{Receiver Location} \text{ (m)}$	
Role**		16.7
Plot Leader	☐ Lat/Long ☐ UTM ☐ State Plane ☐ deg. ☐ deg. min. ☐ Other (specify): ☐ □ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	Plot Credit Type (check up to two): □Riparian Buffer Credit □Stream Credit □Wetland Credit Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? □Yes □No □Unknown
	Datum: Zone: (if applicable)	(baseline data of 11 planted after fast monitoring) NOTES To make the how and use back of datasheets
		Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
4	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	
	e.g. 30	Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, Land owner, Taxonomist, Other	GPS File Name:	
Soil Drainage*	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
	Elevation: \pm \Box ff.	
☐ Excessively manned ☐ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Dlot Dationals, (why location was chosen for the nlot)
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	1 101 Mailouais (Wil) 10 autoli was shooti 101 au proj
☐ Moderately well drained ☐ Somewhat poorly drained	Compass Type: \square magnetic \square true	
☐ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	garcent C
WATED		Other Notes: (invasive species, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
:Beed:	plac ent rec	
Mean Water Depth Now: cm	☐ Systematic (grid) Rationale. ☐ Capture specific feature	
TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDI	USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	
Authority:	, Publ. Date:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	'S Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PLT12, ver 12.1

Species Name	Source	Coord X (0.1 m)		Height (1* cm)	DBH (1 cm)	<u>Vigor</u>	Damage
GA	BR	1.4	0.1	42.5	5	4	
BG		0,2	0.2	24	1	2	n x 2n
BG		0.0	9.6	72	6	Ü	
Lindera		7.8	78	(3)		4	,
Laco		Marines Anna	7.0	56		4	
		7, +	7,1	70		14	
40		7,7	- Pa	00	8	U	
G/A			6,8	00		9	
GA		4,7	0,2	37	6		
A .		= -					
W			ı.		18	* "	,
5				°			и и
Vol		N.	·				
Tree	H				SM	* 5	<i>8</i>
Pine	12	201+			Blkberry	5	a- , .
K	10	. 0			Pluchoa	10	
RM	5		-	К.	Staturd	2	
**************************************	12				WE	Juneary.	
(I)-N	11			×		8	
Holly	1 —		100		Stans War	15	
					Francine	- Const	
	,				Dicanth.	10	
±					Panicum	70	1 . A
		4	-		Lezpedera		
					Goldenrod	a	
		× -			Frankery	20	
e u		e. e					
, r							
	1						
		7					
	† .						
			1				11
	+		 			+	
		h	-			+	
	1						3 ×
	-	*				 	
Source: <u>Tr</u> ansplant, <u>L</u> ive	_ ل	L			: <u>4</u> =excellent, <u>3</u> =g		1

GENERAL INFORMATION	LOCATION	PLOT DIAGRAM
	General:	Fill in <i>ONE</i> of the templates below, using the key to draw Or's location, photos and posts. Lan stage in plot doesn't match one of the templates. Draw any landmarks, such as streams, banks, fences, etc.
Project Number:		Standard 10m v 10m Non-standard 5m x 20m OPosts
Project Name: / R	State: County:	(x,y)
Tosm #:	Quadrangle:	Y-axis $\stackrel{\bullet}{\rightleftharpoons}$ Y $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Longrightarrow}$ Y $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Longrightarrow}$ OPlot origin
Train II.	Place Names: 1)	(,) (GPS location
Plot: V/	2) 3)	
CLevel 1 (planted stems only)	EEP Reach:	X-Axis Bearing: X (,) Description:
stems)	Land Owner:	350 o will uncount
Start Date: / / / dd/mmn/yyyy e.g. 15 / JAN / 2007	$\bigotimes_{x=} \frac{GPS \text{ Receiver Location}}{y=}$	fault=1): O→Photo
Party Role**	Coordinate System: Coord. Units:	-
AO Plot Leader	□ Lat/Long □ UTM □ State Plane □ Other (specify):	Plot Credit Type (check up to two): □Kiparian Buffer Credit □Suream Credit □Wedanu Credit Date plot was last planted (MM/YYYY): Heavy plot grading? □Yes □No □Unknown (> 50% of plot > 6" in depth)
3	Datum: Zone: □ NAD83/WGS84□ NAD27 (if applicable)	NOTES
3		Layout: (anything unusual about plot layout and shape)
	Long: (or Easting)	
	Coordinate Accuracy (m radius):	□ more
		Plot Location: (directions to plot, landscape content)
**Roles: Co-leader, Assistant, Guide, I and owner Taxonomist Other	GPS File Name:	
Coil Droinges	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
ĵ	Elevation: ± □ff.	
☐ Excessively drained ☐ Somewhat excessively drained	Slope (degrees):	Diet Betiemeler (why location was chosen for the plot)
□ Well drained	Aspect (degrees):	The remaindance (with received the control of the c
☐ Moderately well drained	Compass Type: □ magnetic □ true	
□ Poorly drained	Plot Placement (check 1 or more)	SACCE C
□ Very poorly drained	☐ Kepresentanve	Other Notes: (invasive snecies, erosion, disturbances, etc.)
WATER Percent of Plot Submerged:	☐ Stratified placement can be placement can be □ Transect component recorded in Plot	Onto Ivoros. (Invasive apoetes, crosson, crosson
Mean Water Depth Now: cm		
TAXONOMIC STANDARD USED FOR PLANT IDI	USED FOR PLANT IDENTIFICATION	
Authority:	, Publ. Date:	
Required Fields in Bold and Underlined.	*Definitions & values in Definitions section of the CVS Field Guide.	/S Field Guide. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form FL112, Ver 12.1


Planted Woody Stem Data: CVS Level 1 Project: LK Team: TC Plot: 16 Date: 9/21/ Leader: Page of Coordinates Height **DBH** Species Name Source Vigor X (0.1 m) | Y (0.1 m)Damage (1* cm)(1 cm) Yronia 30 3 32 2 90 Aronia 8 10 2014 20 Source: <u>Tr</u>ansplant, <u>L</u>ive stake, <u>B</u>all and burlap, <u>P</u>ot, Vigor: 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, <u>Tubling, Bare Root, Auger, Mechanically planted, Unknown</u>

Damage: Removal, Cut, Mowing, Beaver, Deer, Rodents, Insects, Game, Livestock, Other/Unknown Animal, Human Trampled, Site Too Wet, Site Too Dry, Flood, Drought, Storm, Hurricane, Diseased, Vine Strangulation, Unknown, specify other.


*Height precision is 10cm if 250-400cm and 50cm if >400cm. EntryTool 2.3 ©2012 Carolina Vegetation Survey. cvs.bio.unc.edu Form PWS12, ver 12.1

1=unlikely to survive year, 0=Dead, Missing.


Appendix D. Hydrologic Data (This page intentionally left blank)





Gauge 1 (A282F9D)




Gauge #2 (A27AA3E)









Gauge 4 (10FADE12)



Little River Site Rainfall 2012



Precipitation data obtained from: On-site rain gauge



30% & 70% precipitation data obtained from Moore County WETS Station: Carthage 8 SE, NC1515 1971-2000 (wcc.nrcs.usda.gov)