McKee Creek Stream Restoration Monitoring Report – Year 5 of 5 FINAL

Contract # 004391 DMS Project # 92573 Cabarrus County, North Carolina



Construction 2010 Collected October/November 2016 Report November 2016

Submitted to:

NCDEQ – Division of Mitigation Services 1601 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1601



WithersRavenel 115 MacKenan Drive Cary, NC 27511

t: 919.469.3340 f: 919.467.6008

Project Manager: Martin Richmond Email: mrichmond@withersravenel.com

Executive Summary/ Project Abstract

The project goals and objectives stated in the McKee Creek Restoration Plan (NCEEP 2008) are as follows:

Project Goals:

- Restore through stream enhancement (Level I and Level II) McKee Creek;
- Restore Clear Creek (Priority I restoration);
- Restore the physical and biological processes of McKee and Clear Creeks;
- Restore riparian vegetation to the maximum extent feasible.

Project Objectives:

- Improve water quality by reducing bank erosion, restricting livestock access to the creeks, and re-establishing the riparian buffer;
- Stabilize McKee Creek through the use of in-stream structures and pattern realignment in selected areas;
- Restore the dimension, pattern, and profile of Clear Creek;
- Improve the floodplain functionality of Clear Creek by matching floodplain elevation with bank full stage;
- Improve the wildlife habitat functions of the site through riparian buffer establishment, improved stream bed form diversity, and improved floodplain functionality to reduce stream incision;
- Protect the site through a permanent conservation easement along the project reaches.

The subject site is located approximately 10 miles northeast of Charlotte, NC in the Lower Yadkin River Basin. Land use in the area consists of agricultural use and suburban residential development.

Vegetation Results

Success Criteria

Success of the riparian buffer plantings is based on vegetation success criteria established in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003). Four (4) permanent monitoring plots were established in Spring 2012. Successful restoration must contain a minimum of 260 live stems per acre at Year 5.

Year 5 shows an average of 426 planted live stems per acre, with a minimum count of 243. These estimates are based on Level 2 of the CVS-EEP monitoring protocol and include only planted woody stems. The stem count is based on the average of the stem counts within the four vegetation plots. Year 5 shows a total average of 1,447 stems per acre

including volunteers, with a minimum count of 445. Reference pictures of each monitoring plot were taken and attached to this report.

<u>Vegetation Plot 1</u> (McKee Creek Reach 1)

Total stem count was 6 planted live stems (243/acre) and 7 volunteer stems, yielding a total count of 13 stems (526/acre). The planted live stem count is below the success criteria, possibly due to mowing activity in 2012. The 6 live stem count is consistent with the live stem count in Year 3 and Year 4 monitoring (6 live stems each year), and the volunteer stem count of 7 is a decrease from Year 4 monitoring (11 volunteer stems).

<u>Vegetation Plot 2</u> (McKee Creek Reach 1)

Total stem count was 11 planted live stems (445/acre) and 44 volunteer stems, yielding a total count of 55 stems (2,227/acre). The total planted live stem count is well above the success criteria. The 11 planted live stem count is consistent with the live stem count in Year 4 monitoring (11 live stems), and the volunteer stem count of 44 is an increase from Year 4 monitoring (36 volunteer stems).

<u>Vegetation Plot 3</u> (McKee Creek Reach 2)

Total stem count was 7 planted live stems (283/acre) and 4 volunteer stems, yielding a total count of 11 stems (445/acre). The total planted live stem count exceeds the success criteria of 260 stems/acre at Year 5. The 7 planted live stem count is consistent with the live stem count in Year 4 monitoring (7 live stems), and the volunteer stem count of 4 is an increase from Year 4 monitoring (3 volunteer stems).

Vegetation Plot 4 (Clear Creek Reach)

Total stem count was 17 planted live stems (688/acre) and 47 volunteer stems, yielding a total count of 64 stems (2,591/acre). The total planted live stem count is well above the success criteria. The 17 live stem count is reduced from the live stem count in Year 4 monitoring (18 live stems), and the volunteer stem count of 47 is increased from Year 4 monitoring (44 volunteer stems).

Invasive Vegetation

Minor non-native invasive vegetation was noted within Vegetation Plots 1, 2 and 3, primarily comprised of Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica). Vegetation plot 2 continues to have Lonicera intertwined with the hardwood species along the eastern edge of the plot; however, this presence is diminished greatly, and is not considered to be problematic in Year 5.

WithersRavenel December 2016

Invasive species have been treated in the past along and within the conservation easement. Visual inspection indicates treatment has been successful, but has not fully eradicated invasives to the maximum extent possible. For persistent existing invasive, DMS will implement two invasive treatments on site in the 2017 growing season. Invasive treatments will include the entire conservation easement.

Overall Performance

Overall vegetation within the project easement appears to meet or exceed the defined success criteria. Although planted species are below the criteria in Plot 1, the total stem count for this plot is above criteria when combined with volunteer stems (526/acre). This plot was impacted by mechanized mowing of the adjacent sewer easement in 2012. Plot data after this time showed only six of the planted stems remaining (243/acre). Based on this, the remaining live stems could not reach the success criteria of 280 stems per acre, regardless of survival rate. The six stems have survived during the last three annual monitoring events, and should be considered successful under the circumstances.

<u>Stream Results</u>

NOTE: Qualitative and quantitative stream assessment data was collected in October and November of 2016. As part of the monitoring, each reach was visually inspected, and survey data was collected for the restored sections of McKee Creek and all of Clear Creek.

<u>McKee Creek Reach 1</u>

McKee Creek Reach 1 was visually inspected throughout the easement, and survey data was collected within the Enhancement (Level I) portion of McKee Creek Reach 1 between Stations 25+00 and 27+00. No disturbance or alteration of the Enhancement (Level I) area was noted during the visual assessment.

The majority of McKee Creek Reach 1 is Enhancement (Level II). No disturbance or alteration of the Enhancement (Level II) area was noted during the visual assessment.

Surveyed elevation data for the enhancement section shows the channel to be approximately in the same profile as prior surveys, with possible evidence of minor aggradation over time, likely the result of natural stabilization. Sediment bars and pools were noted in this area, with consistent pattern and profile, differentiated substrate, and groundwater discharge throughout the reach. The constructed J-hook near Station 27+00 has naturalized and appears to have met intended function.

This reach appears to meet the stated objective of the stabilization of McKee Creek through the use of in-stream structures and pattern re-alignment in selected areas.

McKee Creek Reach 2

McKee Creek Reach 2 was visually inspected throughout the easement, and survey data was collected between Stations 10+00 and 16+50. Surveyed elevation data for this reach shows profile variations over past surveys; however, the stream pattern remains stable throughout the reach, and visual inspection indicates the stream has naturalized within the realignment. The five J-Hooks constructed within the realignment have naturalized, and riparian vegetation is established throughout the easement.

In 2012, the lower section of McKee Creek Reach 2 was heavily impacted by a tornado, starting near Station 17+00, which has resulted in downed and broken mature trees in and along the channel. Much of the woody debris remains, and has caused partial blockage of the channel, resulting in the accumulation of natural and man-made debris. This blockage causes backwater buildup, reducing flow velocity as the stream approaches this area. Over time, the reduced flow velocity may have led to increased sedimentation upstream, possibly increasing aggradation within the channel, as reflected in the surveyed profile; however, the run/riffle/pool profile of the entire reach appears to have stabilized.

This reach appears to meet the stated objective of the stabilization of McKee Creek through the use of in-stream structures and pattern re-alignment in selected areas.

<u>Clear Creek</u>

Clear Creek was visually inspected throughout the easement, and survey data was collected throughout the reach, between Stations 10+00 and 28+00. Surveyed elevation data for this reach shows minor profile variations over past surveys; however, the stream pattern remains stable throughout the reach, and visual inspection indicates the stream has naturalized. Strong riparian vegetation is well-established throughout a majority the easement.

The majority of constructed features within the restored stream channel have provided the intended structural function for the stream to naturalize throughout the easement. Numerous run/riffle/pools - both constructed and natural - are present, along with alluvial deposits, depositional bars, macrobenthos, habitat structures, groundwater, and other common stream features.

Between Stations 25+00 and 27+00, there is evidence of channel degradation in the lower reach of the stream, primarily in the form of channel incising and reduced substrate sorting. This area was also impacted during the 2012 tornado, and a majority of the mature hardwood vegetation was lost in the riparian area of this reach.

Structures located approximately between Stations: 23+00 – 27+25 are failing and have significant downcutting. DMS will be implementing project repair on the failing reach of Clear Creek. The repair will include the installation of six (6) constructed ruffles and the removal or repair of existing structures to stabilize and re-establish the channel profile to approximately the original design configuration. The repair will also include minimal supplemental planting and planting of the disturbed areas. The repair work will be completed in February 2017.

The Clear Creek reach appears to meet the stated objectives of restoration of the dimension, pattern, and profile, and improving the floodplain functionality by matching floodplain elevation with bank full stage.

<u>Hydrology Results</u>

Vegetative assessment data and visual stream/buffer assessment data was collected Sept 27, 2016. Field surveying was conducted Oct 25 and 26, 2016. Additional survey data was collected Nov 22, 2016. During these field monitoring events, obvious signs of floodplain interaction were noted within all three reaches of the project.

- Flattened vegetation, wrack/debris lines, and standing water were noted in all three reaches, and throughout the easement.
- On Clear Creek, drainage patterns were noted both towards the channel and away from the channel. On both reaches of McKee Creek, water was observed flowing into the channel from the riparian area.
- Three crest gauges were no longer in working order (two had been dislodged) and were removed, as this was the final monitoring event.
- Rainfall data for Cabarrus County during the period between Nov 2015 and Nov 2016 totaled 51.95 inches of rain.
- A new crest gauge will be installed on the downstream end of Clear Creek during the February 2017 repair in an effort to capture any 2017 growing season bankfull events.

It should be noted that the hydrology monitoring requirements of the restoration were met prior to the Fall 2016 monitoring.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items, such as beaver or encroachment, and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements, can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on the DMS website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from DMS upon request.

McKee Creek DMS Project No. 92573 Monitoring Year 5 of 5 WithersRavenel December 2016

<u>Methodology</u>

All survey was preformed utilizing total station tradition survey methods to capture points with high horizontal and vertical accuracy. The longitudinal stationing was formatted as close as possible to the original restoration plan stationing. The methodology used in this monitoring assessment followed the prescribed recommendation of the CVS-EEP Vegetation Monitoring Protocol Level-2.

<u>References</u>

Lower Yadkin LWP – PFR, 2003 and WMP&R – Lower Yadkin LWP, 2004 http://www.nceep.net/services/lwps/Clarke_Creek/F_R_Rocky_Yadkin.pdf

Wolman Pebble Count, <u>http://limnology.wisc.edu/courses/zoo548/Wolman%20Pebble%20Count.pdf</u>

Rainfall Data for Cabarrus County, <u>http://www.nc-climate.ncsu.edu/cronos</u>

McKee Creek DMS Project No. 92573 Monitoring Year 5 of 5 WithersRavenel December 2016

<u>Appendix A</u>

Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables



The subject project site is an environmental restoration site of the NCDENR Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) and is encompassed by a recorded conservation easement, but is bordered by land under private ownership. Therefore access by the general public is not permitted. Access by authorized personnel of state and federal agencies or their designees/contractors involved in the development, monitoring and stewardship of the restoration site is permitted within the terms and timeframes of their defined, pre-approved roles. Any intended site visitation or activity by any person outside of these previously sanctioned activities/roles requires prior coordination with DMS.

Take US-64 West from the Raleigh area to I-85 (approximatley 85 miles). Take I-85 south toward Charlotte (approximately 48 miles). Take exit 48 onto I-485 toward Rock Hill (approximately 8 miles) Take exit 39 onto Harrisburg Road north stay on Robinson Church for approximately 1 mile and then turn right onto NCSR 1169 Peach Orchard Road. Peach Orchard Road intersects the project site.



WithersRavenel Engineers | Planners | Surveyors 115 MacKenan Dr. I. Cary NC, 27511 t: 919.469.3340 license #: C-0832

www.withersravenel.com

McKee Creek & Clear Creek Restoration

Stream Current Condition Plan View

Vicinity Map Restoration DMS # 92573 Cabarrus County, NC November 2016

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

Figure





Stream Current Condition Plan View



Cabarrus County, North Carolina

 1 inch = 300 feet

 Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\AssetMap.mxd

McKee Reach 1 - E2 - 2988 LF McKee Reach 1 - E1 - 400 LF McKee Reach 2 - E1 - 678 LF Clear Clear Creek - R - 1505 LF No Credit - 490 LF



		Table 1			s and Mitigatior ek Project #: 92		16 (MY5)		
					ation Credits				
	Stream	ı	Riparian	Wetland	Non-riparia	an Wetland	Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
Type Totals	R 3419	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
				Project	t Components		1	1	
Project Component -or-	Reach ID	Stationi	ng/Location		Existing Footage/Acreage	Approach (PI, PII, etc.)	Restoration -or- Restoration Equivelent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio
McKee Reach 1		10+0	0 - 25+00, 29-	+00 - 46+40	2988	P4	E2	2,988	2.5:1
McKee Reach 1			25+00 - 29	+00	400	P2	E1	400	1.5:1
McKee Reach 2			10+00 - 17+2	23.67	678	P2	E1	678	1.5:1
Clear Creek			11+03.05 - 27	+59.18	1505	P1	R	1,505	1.0:1
				Compon	ent Summation	า			
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)		Riparian We (acres)	etland	Non-riparian Wetlands (acres)		Buffer (square feet)		Upland (acres)
			Riverine	Non-Riverine					
Restoration	1,505								
Enhancement									
Enhancement I	1078								
Enhancement II	2,988								
Creation									
Preservation									
High Quality Preservation									
				BMI	P Elements				
Element		Location		Purpo	se/Function		No	otes	
				+					
BMP Elements									

BR = BioretentionCell; SF = Sand Filter; SW = Stormwater Wetland; WDP = Wet Detention Pond; DDP = Dry Detention Pond; FS = Filter Strip; S = Grassed Swale; LS = Level Spreader; NI = Natural Infiltration Area; FB = Forested Buffer

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History - 2016 (MY5)McKee Creek Project # 92573

Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 6 yrs 7 months Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 6 yrs 7 months Number of Reporting Years: 5

	Data Collection	Completion or
Activity or Deliverable	Complete	Delivery
Restoration Plan		August-08
Final Design – Construction Plans		April-09
Construction		May-10
Containerized, bare root and B&B plantings for reach/segments 1&2		May-10
Mitigation Plan / As-built (Year 0 Monitoring – baseline)		
Spring Year 1 Monitoring	April-12	May-12
Fall Year 1 Monitoring	October-12	November-12
Spring Year 2 Monitoring	April-13	May-13
Beaver Removal		Summer-13
Invasives Treatment		Fall-13
Fall Year 2 Monitoring	October-13	November-13
Spring Year 3 Monitoring	April-14	April-14
Invasives Treatment		Summer-14
Fall Year 3 Monitoring	October-14	December-14
Spring Year 4 Monitoring	April-15	May-15
Fall Year 4 Monitoring	Oct/Nov-15	January-16
Spring Year 5	May-16	June-16
Fall Year 5 Monitoring	Oct/Nov-16	December-16

Table 3. Project Contacts Table - 2016 (MY5) McKee Creek Project # 92573						
Designer	WithersRavenel, Inc.					
	115 MacKenan Drive Cary, NC 27511					
Primary project design POC	Martin Richmond (919) 469-3340					
Construction Contractor	River Works Inc.					
	6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, NC 27607					
Construction contractor POC	Edward Haynes					
Survey Contractor	Turner Land Surveying					
Survey contractor POC	Elisabeth Turner					
Planting Contractor	River Works Inc.					
	6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, NC 27607					
Planting contractor POC	Edward Haynes					
Seeding Contractor	Green Resources					
	5204 Highgreen Ct Colfax, NC 27235					
Contractor point of contact	Rodney Montgomery					
Seed Mix Sources						
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Not Known					
Monitoring Performers	WithersRavenel, Inc.					
	115 MacKenan Drive Cary, NC 27511					
Stream Monitoring POC Martin Richmond (919) 469-3340						
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Martin Richmond (919) 469-3340					
Wetland Monitoring POC	Martin Richmond (919) 469-3340					

Project Name	Ν	McKee Creek, Project #9257	3			
County		Cabarrus				
Project Area (acres)	17.41					
Project Coordinates(latitude and longitude)	35.265562°N; -80.639582°W					
		00.200002 11, 00.000002 11				
Project Wate	ershed Summary Informa	ation				
Physiographic Province		Piedmont				
River Basin		Yadkin Pee Dee				
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit 3040105	USGS Hydrologic Uni	t 14-digit 3	3040105010050			
DWQ Sub-basin		Clear- 03-07-11/03-08-34				
Thermal Regime		Warm Thermal Regime				
Project Drainage Area (acres)		8980				
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area		36				
CGIA Land Use Classification		Single Family and Wooded				
React	n Summary Information					
Parameters	McKee Reach 1	McKee Reach 2	Clear Creek			
Length of Reach	3640	696	1641			
Valley Classification	VIII	VIII	VIII			
Drainage Area(acres)	4131	4214	635			
NCDWQ stream identification score	Perennial	Perennial	Perennial			
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	С	С	C/C			
Morphological Description (stream type)	E4	E4	E/C5			
Evolutionary trend	C4	C4	C5			
Underlying mapped soils	CHEWACLA	CHEWACLA	CHEWACLA			
Drainage class						
Soil Hydric status	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Slope	0.005	0.005	0.014			
FEMA classification	AE	AE	Mckee (Backwater)			
Native vegetation community]	Piedmont Alluvial Forest	Piedmont Alluvial Forest	Piedmont Alluvial Forest			
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegitation	<1 %	< 1%	< 1%			
Wotlar	d Summary Information					
Parameters	Wetland 1	Wetland 2	Wetland 3			
Size of Wetland (acres)						
Wetland Type(non-riparian, riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine)						
Mapped Soil Series						
Drainage class						
Soil Hydric Status						
Source of Hydrology						
Hydrologic Impairment						
Native vegetation community						
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation						
Regu	Ilatory Considerations					
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved? Suppo	orting Dcumentation			
Waters of the United States - Section 404	Yes	5	AW-2008-2808			
Waters of the United States - Section 401	Yes					
Land Quality	Yes	C/	ABAR-2009-0024			
Endangered Species Act	No					
Historic Preservation Act	No					
Coastal Zone Management Act(CZMA)/Costal Area Management Act(CAMA)	No					
Coastal Zone Management Act(CZINA)/Costal Area Management Act(CANA)						
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes					

Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes - 2016 (MY5)

<u>Appendix B</u> <u>Visual Assessment Data</u>





Stream Current Condition Plan View

ea	end	Centerline	Structu	ires
#	Stationing	 Centerline Fall 2016 		Fa
	Conservation	No Credit		N/
	Easement	Sewer Easement	Î	
ን	Photo Points	O-O Cross Section	4	St
	ROW	Invasives		St

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

 1 inch = 300 feet

 Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\ReportMap_Overall.mxd

- Failing
- NA High Water
- Stable
- Stressed



Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012







Stream Current Condition Plan View

 Legend

 Conservation
 Easement
 Photo Points
 ROW

 Conservation
 Sewer Easement
 Station
 Station
 Station
 Sewer Easement
 Station
 Station
 Station
 Station
 Sewer Easement
 Station
 <li

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

 1 inch = 100 feet

 Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\ReportMap_Overall.mxd

- Failing
- NA High Water
- Stable
- Stressed



్, ల

Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012







Stream Current Condition Plan View

ea	end	Centerline	Shuctu	ires
==9 #	Stationing	Centerline Fall 2016		Fa
	Conservation	No Credit		N
	Easement	Sewer Easement		
ን	Photo Points	Cross Section	4	St
	ROW	Invasives		St

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

 1 inch = 100 feet

 Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\ReportMap_Overall.mxd

- Failing NA - High Water
- Stable
- Stressed



Vegetation Plot

Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012







Stream Current Condition Plan View

ea	end	Centerline	Structu	res
9 #	Stationing	 Centerline Fall 2016 		Fai
	Conservation	No Credit		NA
	Easement	Sewer Easement		
ን	Photo Points	Cross Section	4	Sta
	ROW	Invasives		Str

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

 1 inch = 70 feet

 Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\ReportMap_Overall.mxd

- Failing
- NA High Water
- Stable
- Stressed



Vegetation Plot

Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012







Stream Current Condition Plan View

Lea	end	 Centerline 	Structu	res
#	Stationing	Centerline Fall 2016	1	Fa
	Conservation	No Credit		N
	Easement	Sewer Easement		
ን	Photo Points	O-O Cross Section	4	St
	ROW	Invasives		St

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

- Failing
- NA High Water
- Stable
- Stressed



Vegetation Plot

Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012







Stream Current Condition Plan View

ea	end	Centerline	Structur	res
#	Stationing	Centerline Fall 2016	1	Fa
	Conservation	No Credit		NA
	Easement	Sewer Easement		
ን	Photo Points	Cross Section	4	St
	ROW	Invasives		St

Cabarrus County, North Carolina

Path: K:\07\07-0560\070568.01-EEP-McKee Creek Monitoring\GIS\2016_Fall\ReportMap_Overall.mxd

Failing NA - High Water Stable Stressed



Critereia Unmet

Criteria Met

Tornado Damage April 2012



Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - 2016 (MY5) McKee Creek Reach 1 Table 5

Reach ID

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub- Category	Metric	Number of Stable Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	Vertical Stability	Aggradation- Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation-Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	0	0			100%			
Bed	Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth: Mean Bankfull Depth>= 1.6)	0	0			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate(>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	0	0			100%			
	Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	0	0			100%			
	Thaiwey Fosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (glide)	0	0			100%			
	Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
Bank	Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
	Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse		Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
	_			<u>₽</u>	0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
	Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	1	1			100%			
	Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	1	1			100%]		
Engineered	Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms	1	1			100%			
Structures	Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the stuctures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	1	1			100%			
	Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth: Mean Bankfull Depth >= 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow	1	1			100%			

Assessed Length 3301

Table 5 Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - 2016 (MY5)

723

McKee Creek Reach 2

Reach ID

Assessed Length

Number of Stable Major Channel Channel Sub-Number of Unstable Amount of Unstable Number with Total Number in % Stable Performing as Metric Performing as Category Category As-Built Segments Footage Intended Woody Veg Intended Aggradation- Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly 0 0 100% Vertical Stability deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars) Degradation-Evidence of downcutting 0 0 100% Riffle Condition Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate 100% 0 0 Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth: Mean Bankfull Depth>= Bed 4 4 100% Meander Pool 1.6) Condition Length Appropriate(>30% of centerline distance between tail 4 4 100% of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle) Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run) 4 4 100% Thalweg Position Thalweg centering at dowsntream of meander bend (glide) 100% 4 4 Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor 0 0 0 Scoured/Eroding 100% growth and or scour and erosion Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting Bank Undercut appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, 0 0 100% 0 appear sustainable and are providing habitat Mass Wasting 100% Bank slumping, caving, or collapse 0 0 0 Totals 0 0 100% 0 **Overall Integrity** Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs 5 5 100% Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade Grade Control 5 5 100% across the sill Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or Piping 5 5 100% Engineered arms Structures Bank erosion within the stuctures extent of influence does not 5 5 Bank Protection exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring 100% guidance document) Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth: Mean Habitat Bankfull Depth >= 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover 5 5 100% at base-flow

Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation		
0	100.00%		
0	100.00%		
0	100.00%		
0	100.00%		
	Vegetation 0 0 0 0 0		

Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - 2016 (MY5) Clear Creek Table 5

1566 Assessed Length

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub- Category	Metric	Number of Stable Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-Built	Number of Unstable Sections	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
	Vertical Stability	Aggradation- Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%		-	
		Degradation-Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	Riffle Condition	Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	2	2			100%			
Bed	Meander Pool	Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth: Mean Bankfull Depth>= 1.6)	16	16			100%			
	Condition	Length Appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	16	16			100%			
	Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	16	16			100%			
	Thalwey Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (glide)	16	16			100%			
	Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
Bank	Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat			4	60	99.96%	0	0	99.96%
	Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, caving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100.00%
	Mass Wasting	Bank skinping, caving, of compace		Totals	4	60	100%	0	0	99.96%
	Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	13	13			100%	Ŭ	Ľ	00.00%
	Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	5	7			100%			
Engineered	Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms	16	20			100%			
Structures	Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the stuctures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	14	20			100%			
	Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth: Mean Bankfull Depth >= 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow	5	5			100%			

Reach ID

Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment - 2016 (MY5)

McKee Creek Project # 92573

Planted Acreage	4.44						
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	Acreage in Easement	% of Planted Acreage
Bare Area	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material	.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0		0%
Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, or 5 stem count criteria		Pattern and Color	0	0		0%
Areas of Poor Growth Rates of Vidor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year	.25 Acres	Pattern and Color	0	0		0%

Easment Acreage	17.41						
Vegetation Category	Definitions		CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	Acreage in Easement	% of Easement
Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map so		300 SF	Pattern and Color	4	0.1104	0.053	0.30%
Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale)	None	Pattern and Color	0	0		0%





Description: Photo Station #1 – McKee Creek Reach 2

Frame No. 2



Description: Photo Station #2 – McKee Creek Reach 1





Description: Photo Station #3 – McKee Creek Reach 2

Frame No. 4



Description: Photo Station #4 - McKee Creek Reach 1





Description: Photo Station #5 – Clear Creek Reach 3

Frame No. 6



Description: Photo Station #6 - Clear Creek Reach 3



Frame No. 7



Description: Photo Station #7 - Clear Creek Reach 3



Frame No. 1





Frame No. 2



Description: Vegetation Plot #2 – McKee Creek Reach 1





Description: Vegetation Plot #3 – McKee Creek Reach 2

Frame No. 4



Description: Vegetation Plot #4 - Clear Creek Reach 3

<u>Appendix C</u> <u>Vegetation Plot Data</u>

Tab	ole 7. Veg Plot Criteria Attainment - 2016 (MY5)									
	McKee Creek Project # 92573									
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean								
1	No									
2	Yes									
3	Yes	66%								
4	Yes	100%								
	Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata McKee Creek Project # 92573									
Report Prepared By	Martin Richmond									
Date Prepared	03/29/2017 10:47									
database name	Withers&Ravenel-McKee Yr5.mdb									
database location	C:\Users\Iwelch\Downloads									
computer name	WR1398									
file size	10056752									
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS										
Deservir her of worktoneero	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary o	f project(c) and								
Metadata		i projeci(s) and								
Melauala	project data. Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes									
Droj plantad		. This excludes								
Proj, planted	live stakes.									
	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre for each year. T	bis includes live								
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live									
	stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems. List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems,									
Plots	missing, etc.).	au siems,								
Vigor Visor hu Con	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.									
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.									
	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and	a percent of total								
Damage	stems impacted by each.									
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.									
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.									
	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for ea	ch plot; dead and								
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	missing stems are excluded.									
	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and									
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are exclu	uded.								
PROJECT SUMMARY										
Project Code	92573									
project Name	McKee Creek									
Description	McKee Creek Upstream and Downstream of Peach Orchard and Clea	ar Creek								
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee									
length(ft)										
stream-to-edge width (ft)										
area (sq m)										
Required Plots (calculated)										
Sampled Plots	8									

		Current Data - 2016 (MY5)								Annual Means												
Species	Common Name	Туре	Plot 1		Plot 2		Plot 3		Plot 4		Current Mean		MY 1 (2012)		MY 2 (2013)		MY 3 (2014)		MY 4 (2015)		MY 5 (2016)	
			Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т	Р	Т
Acer negundo	Box Elder	Tree	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	15	0	4.75	0	1.25	0	1.75	0	2.25	0	5	0	4.75
Betula nigra	River Birch	Tree	1	1	2	4	1	3	0	0	1	2	0.75	1.25	0.75	1.25	0.75	2	1	2	1	2
Carya aquatica	Water Hickory	Tree	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0.75	0.75	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Diospyrus virginiana	Persimmon	Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.25	0	1	0	1.25	0	1	0	0.5	0	0.25
Eleagnus umbellata	Autumn Olive	Shrub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.75	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green Ash	Tree	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	1	1	1	1	0.75	0.75	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Juglans nigra	Black Walnut	Tree	3	6	0	3	0	0	0	2	0.75	2.75	1.75	1.75	1.25	1.75	1.5	3	0.75	2.5	0.75	2.75
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	Tree	0	1	0	36	0	2	0	5	0	11	0	9.5	0.25	9.5	0	9.75	0	9.5	0	11
Liriodenron tulipifera	Tulip Poplar	Tree	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	1	1.25	0.75	0.75	0.5	0.5	1	1.25	1	1.25	1	1.25
Platanus occidentalis	American Sycamore	Tree	2	2	5	5	2	2	7	24	4	8.25	4.5	4.25	4.75	5.5	4.5	4.75	4	8.25	4	8.25
Quercus michauxii	Swamp Chestnut Oak	Tree	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Quercus nigra	Water Oak	Tree	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0.25	0.25	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.25
Quercus laurifolia	Laurel Oak	Tree	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
Quercus falcata	Oak	Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0	0
Rhus copallinum	Winged Sumac	Shrub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salix nigra	Black Willow	Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	1.5	3	2.25	2.25	2.25	3	2	2.5	1.5	2.25	1.5	3
Ulmus alata	Winged Elm	Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plot Area (acres)			0.0247		0.0247		0.0247		0.0247				0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247	0.0247
	Species Count		3	5	4	8	5	6	4	8	4	6.75										
	Stem Count		6	13	11	55	7	11	17	64	10.25	35.75	14	30	12	29	12	29	10	34	10	36
Stems Per Acre		243	526	445	2227	283	445	688	2591	415	1447	567	1204	486	1164	486	1164	415	1366	415	1447	

Table 9. Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means) - 2016 (MY5)McKee Creek Project # 92573
<u>Appendix D</u> <u>Stream Survey Data</u>

McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573 MY 5 - 2016

Cross-sectio	n Plot Exhibit
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee
Watershed	McKee MY-05
XS-ID	RXS-1
Drainage Area	6.42 sq. mi
Date	10/25/2016
Field Crew	Phillips/McLauren

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	583.378
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	149.18
Bankfull Width	34.320271
Flood Prone Area Elevation	589.009
Flood Prone Width	30.5
Max Depth at Bankfull	5.592
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	4.24
W/D Ratio:	8.09
Entrenchment Ratio:	0.89
Bank Height Ratio:	1.43

TA	FT MAN	
	55	
	ALANGA I	Milwin -
TA	t-Text	INT
	Marine !!	

MY1	-2012	MY2-2013 MY3-2014 MY4-2015		-2015	MY5	-2016	MY5	2015			
Station	Elevation	Station Elevation		Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	584.08	0.00	584.02	0.00	584.03	0.00	584.66	0.00	583.46	42.26594	577.81
2.00	583.37	2.60	583.01	1.36	583.50	2.22	583.78	5.47	583.76	44.41177	577.90
4.00	582.17	4.22	582.09	3.32	582.95	3.20	583.13	10.19	583.96	45.57667	577.92
6.00	580.67	6.35	579.97	4.29	582.27	6.17	580.59	15.13	584.17	46.87189	577.83
8.00	578.38	7.42	578.13	5.05	581.53	6.47	577.98	17.19	583.83	48.32585	578.32
10.00	578.43	10.27	577.78	5.92	580.62	8.67	577.84	19.16	583.04	50.60553	578
12.00	578.49	12.16	577.75	7.15	580.08	10.17	577.73	20.38	582.58	51.06902	582.51
14.00	578.26	14.35	577.90	8.38	579.64	12.27	577.55	21.03	581.80	53.48351	583.16
16.00	578.08	16.32	577.89	9.71	579.35	13.77	577.80	22.46	581.05	54.18797	584.08
18.00	577.99	18.21	577.66	12.84	579.05	15.07	577.85	22.97	580.64	55.28448	584.41
20.00	578.06	20.23	577.65	16.05	578.31	17.17	577.75	25.59	580.26	56.88621	584.59
22.00	577.97	22.24	577.93	18.47	578.16	18.67	577.86	26.28	579.50	58.38179	585.13
24.00	577.91	24.74	578.02	21.38	578.03	20.17	577.78	27.64	578.00	59.53558	585.0
26.00	578.88	26.70	579.06	23.51	578.04	20.97	577.94	29.42	577.87	61.58937	585.18
28.00	578.76	28.39	579.30	26.12	579.10	22.27	578.09	30.51	578.15	63.80636	585.57
30.00	580.33	30.23	580.93	27.76	579.93	23.97	578.37	31.57	577.92	66.03413	585.48
30.27	580.67	32.20	583.44	30.14	580.92	25.57	579.35	32.40	577.79	70.74629	585
32.00	582.86	34.42	584.90	31.23	581.86	26.37	580.03	33.60	577.84	73.47931	585.57
34.00	583.99			31.86	583.47	27.77	580.43	35.07	577.79	77.53915	585.57
				33.14	584.75	28.77	580.91	36.65	577.79	83.50004	585.79
						30.57	581.54	37.31	577.83		
						32.27	582.51	37.73	577.79		
						33.47	583.39	38.66	578.08		
						36.07	583.92	39.47	577.84		
						37.27	584.16	40.57	577.88		



McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573 MY 5 - 2016

Cross-section	on Plot Exhibit
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee
Watershed	McKee MY-5
XS-ID	PXS-1
Drainage Area	6.42 sq. mi
Date	10/26/2016
Field Crew	Phillips/McLauren

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	582.715
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	126.8268
Bankfull Width	29.29
Flood Prone Area Elevation	588.327
Flood Prone Width	50
Max Depth at Bankfull	6.258
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	3.80
W/D Ratio:	7.70
Entrenchment Ratio:	1.71
Bank Height Ratio:	1.21



MY1-	-2012	MY2	-2013	MY3	-2014	MY4	-2015	MY5	-2016	MY5-	2016		
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation										
0.00	582.92	0.00	582.99	0.00	582.70	0.00	583.16	0.00	582.98	54.12511	576.637		
1.90	582.23	1.55	582.50	1.17	582.18	2.02	582.53	4.83	583.24	54.7685	576.95		
3.80	580.98	4.01	581.08	2.65	581.63	3.83	581.06	9.28	583.03	55.93684	577.24	-3	80.00
4.75	579.63	4.92	577.93	3.95	579.00	4.83	580.58	14.26	583.20	57.91341	578.281	586.00	\vdash
5.70	577.78	7.52	578.01	4.73	578.07	6.33	578.67	19.41	583.30	58.54454	579.402	585.00	
7.60	577.57	10.52	577.39	5.93	577.62	8.19	578.31	24.67	583.40	60.8895	579.931	303.00	
9.50	577.11	12.78	576.31	7.80	577.35	9.42	577.85	28.02	583.49	61.03625	581.215	584.00	-
11.40	576.25	16.82	576.71	9.93	577.05	12.00	577.13	30.50	583.37	61.96585	581.859		
13.30	576.02	19.44	576.72	12.06	576.96	13.95	576.80	31.52	583.14	63.97351	582.765	583.00	*
15.20	575.73	22.42	576.88	15.39	577.32	15.70	576.63	32.88	582.69	65.64229	583.284	582.00	
17.10	575.79	24.34	578.77	18.59	577.31	17.79	576.46	33.82	582.00	66.36113	583.592	£	
19.00	575.97	26.94	579.92	22.61	577.10	20.38	576.56	35.01	581.15	67.9829	583.845		-
20.90	576.18	28.88	581.26	24.80	579.30	21.55	576.70	35.69	580.30	69.10723	583.99	atic	
22.80	577.07	31.25	582.90	26.69	580.74	22.96	576.88	36.13	579.41	71.32732	584.059	581.00 580.00	
24.70	578.62			28.02	581.78	24.81	578.30	36.90	578.01	74.91986	584.273	5 79.00	
26.60	579.63			29.78	582.67	26.75	578.83	37.84	577.64	77.74708	584.578		
28.50	580.53			31.66	583.34	28.12	580.30	38.85	577.34	82.43154	584.455	578.00	
30.40	581.98					28.76	581.24	40.45	577.03	87.62854	584.473	577.00	
32.30	582.92					30.03	581.87	42.14	576.77	92.53217	584.682	577.00	
						31.31	582.64	43.87	576.41	95.87134	584.749	576.00	-
								45.35	576.02				
								46.46	576.13			575.00	
								47.92	576.59				0.00
								47.99	576.61				
								51.45	576.58				



McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573 MY 5- 2016

Cross-sectio	n Plot Exhibit
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee
Watershed	Clear MY-5
XS-ID	RXS-2
Drainage Area	0.95
Date	10/27/2016
Field Crew	Phillips/McLauren

1)/4 004

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	580.836
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	19.39526
Bankfull Width	10.51099
Flood Prone Area Elevation	582.666
Flood Prone Width	120
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.181
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.55
W/D Ratio:	6.77
Entrenchment Ratio:	11.42
Bank Height Ratio:	1.32

NAX4 0041





MY1	-2013	MY2	-2013	MY3	-2014	MY4	MY4-2015		-2016
Station	Elevation								
0.50	581.29	0.00	581.11	0.00	581.29	0.00	581.36	0.00	581.17
1.50	580.54	1.32	580.79	1.00	580.54	1.27	580.90	3.93	581.10
2.50	579.95	4.14	580.09	2.00	579.95	2.91	580.50	7.25	581.22
3.50	579.78	5.59	578.89	3.00	579.78	4.29	579.80	10.94	581.34
4.50	579.31	7.49	578.75	4.00	579.31	5.82	578.76	15.31	581.08
5.50	578.86	9.94	578.95	5.00	578.86	6.72	578.60	18.65	580.85
6.50	578.88	12.33	579.74	6.00	578.88	7.77	578.55	20.13	580.38
7.50	578.86	14.35	580.27	7.00	578.86	8.91	578.56	21.32	579.58
8.50	579.03	17.40	580.92	8.00	579.03	10.33	578.67	22.21	578.87
9.50	579.13			9.00	579.13	11.66	579.02	23.40	578.66
10.50	579.24			10.00	579.24	13.11	579.82	25.72	578.75
11.50	579.43			11.00	579.43	15.00	580.41	27.65	578.92
12.50	579.94			12.00	579.94	17.11	580.69	29.25	579.32
13.50	580.27			13.00	580.27			30.64	579.80
14.50	580.39			14.00	580.39			31.84	581.53
15.50	580.58			15.00	580.58			33.39	580.74
16.50	580.80			16.00	580.80			35.19	580.85
17.50	581.09			17.00	581.09			37.56	581.31
18.50	581.29			18.00	581.29			40.44	581.11



KNR	
	C-65-53
136	

Left Bank to R



Note: Historical Station data has been offset by 20 ft to provide comparative analysis to current data

Cross-section Plot Exhibit				
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee			
Watershed	Clear MY-05			
XS-ID	PXS-2			
Drainage Area	0.95			
Date	10/26/16			
Field Crew	Phillips/McLauren			

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	580.155
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	40.42198
Bankfull Width	11.59
Flood Prone Area Elevation	583.545
Flood Prone Width	150
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.88
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	2.45
W/D Ratio:	4.73
Entrenchment Ratio:	12.94602
Bank Height Ratio:	1.31

Μ	Y1	M	Y2	M	Y3	M	Y4	Μ	Y5
Station	Elevation								
1.00	581.16	0.00	581.28	1.00	581.66	0.00	581.26	0.00	580.82
2.00	580.44	1.46	581.00	2.00	580.89	1.28	580.86	4.03	580.83
4.00	576.57	4.13	576.57	4.00	576.97	2.30	579.67	8.17	580.84
5.00	576.61	5.97	576.57	5.00	576.96	3.58	577.34	11.65	581.01
6.00	576.47	7.76	576.85	6.00	576.77	4.53	576.89	16.82	581.29
7.00	576.58	9.76	577.36	7.00	576.83	7.22	576.52	18.32	581.30
8.00	577.37	10.45	577.56	8.00	577.57	9.04	576.75	19.81	581.26
9.00	577.56	11.66	579.47	9.00	577.71	10.44	577.26	21.39	580.09
10.00	577.96	14.39	580.05	10.00	578.06	11.33	577.74	21.79	576.52
11.00	578.67	16.35	580.39	11.00	578.72	13.01	579.47	23.54	576.28
12.00	579.39			12.00	579.89	14.76	580.09	29.78	576.31
13.00	580.08			13.00	580.53	16.23	580.37	30.92	576.83
14.00	580.07			14.00	580.47	19.17	580.74	31.91	577.65
15.00	580.29			15.00	580.64			32.32	578.17
16.00	580.47			16.00	580.77			32.98	579.79
17.00	580.81			17.00	581.06			34.58	580.26
18.00	581.16			18.00	581.36			37.62	580.75
								42.04	580.88
								46.01	581.27
								48.75	581.37





McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573 MY 5 - 2016

Cross-section Plot Exhibit				
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee			
Watershed	Clear MY-05			
XS-ID	RXS-3			
Drainage Area	0.95			
Date	10/26/2016			
Field Crew	Phillips/McLauren			

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	579.868
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	28.58
Bankfull Width	12.93157
Flood Prone Area Elevation	582.156
Flood Prone Width	250
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.388
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.65
W/D Ratio:	7.83
Entrenchment Ratio:	19.33
Bank Height Ratio:	1.07





MY1-	-2012	MY2	-2013	MY3	-2014	MY4	-2015	MY5	-2016
Station	Elevation								
0.00	579.87	1.00	579.63	0.00	579.99	0.00	579.96	0.00	580.03
1.00	579.67	2.91	579.08	2.47	579.20	1.48	579.58	3.53	579.96
2.00	579.28	4.33	578.64	4.31	578.55	3.43	578.98	6.65	579.98
3.00	578.91	6.15	577.78	6.20	577.91	5.26	578.57	9.60	579.89
4.00	578.71	8.29	577.76	7.83	577.58	6.06	577.77	11.56	579.53
5.00	578.47	10.68	578.02	8.89	577.74	7.06	577.56	12.88	578.92
6.00	577.96	12.44	578.46	9.35	577.82	9.01	577.59	14.14	578.66
7.00	578.04	14.88	579.10	10.72	577.76	10.18	577.62	15.20	577.80
8.00	577.91	16.22	579.50	12.04	578.65	12.32	577.65	16.94	577.59
9.00	577.93			13.99	579.03	14.61	578.49	18.46	577.58
10.00	578.01			16.54	579.54	15.56	578.65	20.05	577.48
11.00	577.97					17.25	579.39	21.98	577.64
12.00	578.17					19.14	579.43	22.83	578.42
13.00	578.50					21.63	579.47	24.50	578.54
14.00	578.71							25.93	579.40
15.00	579.21							28.77	579.38
16.00	579.59							31.62	579.46
17.00	579.73							34.58	579.54
18.00	579.87								

McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573 MY 5 -2016

Cross-section Plot Exhibit				
River Basin	Yadkin Pee-Dee			
Watershed	Clear MY-05			
XS-ID	PXS-3			
Drainage Area	0.95			
Date	10/26/2016			
Field Crew	Phillips/Mclauren			

Summary Data	
Bankfull Elevation	579.138
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	42.80913
Bankfull Width	29.63
Flood Prone Area Elevation	583.458
Flood Prone Width	200
Max Depth at Bankfull	4.191
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.83
W/D Ratio:	16.23033
Entrenchment Ratio:	6.750471
Bank Height Ratio:	1.057027





MY1 ·	- 2012	MY2 ·	- 2013	MY3	- 2014	MY4 ·	- 2015	MY5	- 2016
Station	Elevation								
0.00	578.64	1.00	578.29	0.00	578.29	0.00	578.42	0.00	579.27
1.00	578.18	2.32	577.97	1.00	578.23	1.12	578.23	3.43	579.06
2.00	578.37	4.43	577.44	2.75	577.98	4.37	577.97	6.99	579.07
3.00	577.40	6.06	576.07	4.60	577.46	5.45	577.80	10.76	578.73
4.00	577.39	7.57	575.12	5.01	576.47	5.64	577.03	13.91	578.61
5.00	576.13	9.64	575.34	8.73	574.82	7.84	575.43	17.87	578.57
6.00	576.15	11.16	576.03	10.34	575.01	8.20	574.65	19.44	578.18
7.00	575.47	12.74	576.70	10.54	575.89	13.04	574.95	20.58	577.24
8.00	575.79	14.16	578.23	11.12	576.52	14.01	575.62	21.47	576.05
9.00	575.18	15.45	579.53	12.52	577.46	15.08	577.21	22.80	575.14
10.00	575.23	17.60	579.17	18.60	579.17	16.52	578.06	24.58	574.95
11.00	576.11					17.03	578.49	25.81	575.00
12.00	576.66					20.63	579.34	27.20	575.13
13.00	577.72					22.45	579.30	27.97	575.56
14.00	578.28							28.60	576.67
15.00	578.64							30.17	577.66
								31.10	578.26
								32.13	578.72
								33.06	579.06
								34.76	579.38
								37.54	579.27
								41.58	579.34

McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573



McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573

River Basin Yadkin Pe Watershed McKee Re						
Station 10+00 - 17						
Date 10/26/201						
	J/McLaurin					
MY5-2016	580	1				
Station Elevation						
1046.84 576.89	570				[
1061.65 577.23	579					——— Thalweg MY-2
1115.38 576.86						— <u>↓</u> — Thalweg MY-3
1145.46 576.73	578					Thalweg MY-4
1174.17 576.66	578					-
1200.56 575.84						— —— Thalweg MY-5
1212.00 574.72	£ 577					
1248.72 576.85			* *			NZ
1285.02 576.89	atic					*
1324.87 576.66	Elevation (t) 577 Elevation (t)	``				
1362.78 576.52	Ш					
1390.69 576.38						
1423.57 576.02	575		₩¥/			
1448.92 576.59				♥		
1486.73 576.47			XX			
1521.55 576.39	574		<mark>_/¥¥</mark>	¥*		
1564.93 575.42						
1605.05 576.29						
1630.63 575.59	573	_				
1653.70 575.98	1	000	12	200		100
					Station (ft)	



McKee Creek Stream Restoration Cabarrus County, NC DMS Project# 92573

	Dasin				
Water	rshed	Clear Cree			
Stat	tion	11+00 - 28	+00		
	ite	11/22/2016			
Field	Crew	Phillips/Mcl	Laurin		
MY5-		MY5-			
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation		
1066.83	581.72	2031.78	577.15		
1093.89	581.26	2035.86	577.63		
1132.58	580.03	2103.49	578.41		
1176.47	580.73	2136.85	577.47		
1227.05	581.10	2161.29	577.20		
1253.94	579.62	2233.64	574.72		
1287.03	580.88	2284.45	577.33		
1314.41	579.91	2343.27	576.14		
1349.49	580.81	2383.85	574.55		
1451.64	580.63	2413.27	573.88		
1468.09	580.32	2426.24	574.63		
1475.74	579.84	2441.58	573.58		
1530.74	579.92	2447.99	572.96		
1579.26	579.41	2451.32	573.37		
1587.77	579.68	2485.67	574.48		
1633.97	579.16	2503.76	574.10		
1650.92	579.30	2524.34	574.00		
1687.80	578.88	2553.25	573.52		
1717.83	579.08	2580.52	572.51		
1790.00	579.08	2592.92	571.47		
1820.19	578.22	2595.22	572.62		
1855.77	579.29	2607.15	572.57		
1887.53	577.93	2613.43	572.63		
1943.93	578.59	2640.86	572.92		
1972.62	578.97	2652.98	572.77		
2007.36	578.46	2705.99	569.50		

Longitudinal Profile Plot

Yadkin Pee-Dee

River Basin



		Mckee	Creek		Cumulative Percent
		Rif	fle		100%
Particle	Size	Count	Percent	Cumulative Percent	90% 80% 70%
Silt Clay	0.062	0	0%	0%	ē 60%
Sand	2	20	20%	20%	60% 50% 40% 30%
Gana	2.8	3	3%	23%	5 40%
	4	9	9%	32%	30%
	5.6	6	6%	38%	20%
	8 11	6 7	6%	44%	10%
Favel	11 16	/ 11	7% 11%	51% 62%	0% 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000 1000
	22.6	5	5%	67%	Grain Size (mm)
	32	7	7%	74%	Grain Size (min)
	45	3	3%	77%	
	64	3	3%	80%	
	90	8	8%	88%	
obble	128	4	4%	92%	Individual Class Percent
	180	8	8%	100%	25
oulder	309	0	0%	100%	¥ 20
	437	0	0%	100%	
edrock	2048	0	0%	100%	ā 15
Total		100	100%	100%	SS 10
	Cumara	any Data		1	Driving and the second
D5		ary Data	0.57		
	U		0.57 77		<u>č</u> 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
D3	1				

Mc			eam Reso Upstrea			Cumulative Percent
	Olca	Riff				100%
Particle	Size	Count	Percent	Cumulative Percent		90% 80% 70%
Silt Clay	0.062	0	0%	0%	ner	60%
Sand	2	11	11%	11%	Percent Finer	50%
Janu	2.8	3	3%	14%	cer	40% MY-5
	4	7	7%	21%	Per	
	5.6	9	9%	30%		20% MY-2
	8	5	5%	35%		10%MY-3
	11	9	9%	44%		0%
Gravel	16	12 7	12%	56%		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000 1000
	22.6 32	7 5	7% 5%	63% 68%		Grain Size (mm)
	32 45	5 10	5% 10%	78%		
	43 64	9	9%	87%		
	90	9	9%	96%		
obble	128	4	4%	100%		Individual Class Percent
•••••	180	0	0%	100%		25
	309	0	0%	100%		
oulder	437	0	0%	100%	cent	20 -
edrock	2048	0	0%	100%	Perc	15 -
Total		100	100%	100%	ss	■MY-5
D5(D84 D95	0 4	1	a 3.5 154 154		Indiviual Class Percent	10 5 0 0.062 0.1875 0.75 3 6.85 13.65 27.3 54.5 109 218 437 1536 Grain Size (mm)

			ibit - 201		
			am Rest		Cumulative Percent
	Clear (ownstrea	am	100%
		Riff	le		90%
Particle	Size	Count	Percent	Cumulative Percent	80% 70% 60%
Silt Clay	0.062	0	0%	0%	E 50%
Sand	2 2.8	74	7% 4%	7% 11%	
	4	10	10%	21%	
	5.6	13	13%	34%	
	8	7	7%	41%	
	11	8	8%	49%	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000 10000
Gravel	16	8	8%	57%	Grain Size (mm)
	22.6	5	5%	62%	
	32	6	6%	68%	
	45	6	6%	74%	
	64	8	8%	82% 89%	Individual Class Percent
Cobble	90 128	5	7% 5%	94%	25
Supple	120	6	5 % 6%	100%	ž –
	309	0	0%	100%	
Boulder	437	0	0%	100%	
Bedrock	2048	0	0%	100%	e-American and a second s
Total		100	100%	100%	<u> <u> </u></u>
		ary Data			
D50			1.63		
D84 D95			1.43 86.67		0.062 0.1875 0.75 3 6.85 13.65 27.3 54.5 109 218 437 1536 Particle Size (mm)
D95)	13	0.07		

							seline Str oject # 92			-								
Parameter	Gauge2	Re	gional Cu	urve			isting Co				Design			Γ	Monitorin	ng Baselir	ne	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Med	Max	SD5	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD5	
Bankfull Width (ft)				27.5		31.8				31							
Floodprone Width (ft					75		160			75		160						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1	1	2.1		2.8				2.6							
1Bankfull Max Depth (f	t)		1		3.5		4.4			3.4		4.4						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)				68.2		77.6				80							
Width/Depth Ratio	D				10.2		14.9				12							
Entrenchment Ratio	D				2.6		5.5			2.4		5.2						
1Bank Height Rati	0				1		2.1				1							
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)						1						1				1	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				1.9		4.5			1.9		3.3						
Pool Length (ft)																	
Pool Max depth (ft)				3.1		6.4			5.2		7.7						
Pool Spacing (ft)				50		205			123.9		216.9						
Pattern															-			
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				65		145			93		139						
Radius of Curvature (ft					48		195			62		108					1	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)				27.5		31.8				31						1	
Meander Wavelength (ft)				101		305			235		350						
Meander Width Ratio	D				2.2		5			2		4.5						
			•	•														
Transport parameters																		
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f2	2						0.49				0.52							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful	I						45				45							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m2	2																	
Additional Reach Parameters	-	_			-					_			-					
Rosgen Classification	า						E4				C4							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)						4.4-5.0				4.1							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs							350											
Valley length (ft)		-															
Channel Thalweg length (ft)																	
Sinuosity (ft)						1.28				1.16							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.0029				0.0032							
BF slope (ft/ft							0.0029				0.0032							
3Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres																		
4% of Reach with Eroding Bank	S																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	C																	
Biological or Othe	r																	

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

n	

							line Strea oject # 92		-	-								
Parameter	Gauge2	Re	gional Cu	urve		Pre-Ex	isting Co	ndition			Design				Ionitorin	g Baselir	10	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Med	Max	SD5	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD5	Γ
Bankfull Width (ft))				25.5		26.8				31.9							
Floodprone Width (ft))				75		160			75		160						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)					2.1		2.8				2.6							
1Bankfull Max Depth (ft	t)				3.5		4.4			3.4		4.4						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2))				68.2		77.6				80							
Width/Depth Ratio	D				10.2		14.9				12							
Entrenchment Ratio	D				2.6		5.5			2.4		5.2						
1Bank Height Ratio	0				1		2.1				1							
Profile									-					-				
Riffle Length (ft))				101		305											
Riffle Slope (ft/ft))				0.0055		0.0131			0.0061		0.0106						
Pool Length (ft))																	
Pool Max depth (ft)					6.5		6.5			5.3		8						
Pool Spacing (ft)					45		180			127.7		223.6						
Pattern	<u> </u>			•							<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>	•		•		
Channel Beltwidth (ft))				135		240			96		287						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					95		240			64		144						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					25.5		26.8				31.9							
Meander Wavelength (ft)					208		377			243		477						
Meander Width Ratio					5		9.2			3		9						-
							-	-				-						
Transport parameters																		
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f2	2						0.33				0.38							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankful							45				45							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m2																		
Additional Reach Parameters					-								8					
Rosgen Classification	ו						E4			1	C4							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							4.0-4.5				4.1							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							350											
Valley length (ft)			<u> </u>	<u>.</u>														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)																		
Sinuosity (ft)							1.5				1.17							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0027				0.0027							
BF slope (ft/ft)	-						0.0018			1	0.0018							
3Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres																		
4% of Reach with Eroding Banks	s																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																		
Biological or Other																		
Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in																		_

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3



					Baseline \$ eek Proje			•							
Parameter	Gauge2	Re	gional Cu	urve		Pre-Ex	isting Co	ndition			Design		Di	xon Bran	ch
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Med	Max	SD5	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Med	Max
Bankfull Width (ft)					11.5		16.7				17.3		7.9		13.9
Floodprone Width (ft)					50		150			90		190	35		100
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)					1.3		2				1.4		0.8		1.4
1Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					3.7		6.1			2.2		2.5	2		2.9
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)					21.8		24.8				25		11.3		13.2
Width/Depth Ratio					5.8		12.8				12		5.4		10.8
Entrenchment Ratio					3.8		11.3			5.2		11	3.1		8.9
1Bank Height Ratio					1.4		2.3				1		1.1		1.5
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.0059		0.0084			0.0061		0.0106	0.012		0.018
Pool Length (ft)															
Pool Max depth (ft)					2.8		3.3			5.3		8	2.1		2.5
Pool Spacing (ft)					57.5		116.9			127.7		223.6	10		45
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					35		47			52		78	29		50
Radius of Curvature (ft)					15		25			35		52	6		22
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					11.5		16.7				17.3		7.9		13.9
Meander Wavelength (ft)					45		75			132		196	48		85
Meander Width Ratio					3.4		5.6			3		4.5	4.3		7.6
Transport parameters															
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f2															
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull															
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m2															
Additional Reach Parameters															
Rosgen Classification							E/C5				C4			E4	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							3.3-3.9				3.6			3.6	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							89								
Valley length (ft)															
Channel Thalweg length (ft)															
Sinuosity (ft)							1.12				1.21			1.3	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0042				0.0071			0.0055	
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0042				0.0032			0.0055	
3Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)															
4% of Reach with Eroding Banks	ò														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric															
Biological or Other															

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10b.	Base	eline	Strean	n Data	a Sum		strate Creek						Cont	ainme	ent Pa	rame	ter Di	stribu	tions)						
Parameter		Pre	e-Exis	ting C	Condit	ion		Refe	rence	Read	h(es)	Data				[Desigi	n				As-bu	ıilt/Ba	seline)	
1Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																										
1SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																										
1d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / dip / disp (mm)	0.7	27.8	49.4	83.2	109.5		0.7	27.8	49.4	83.2	109.5															
2Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10																										
3Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0																										

1 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave

2 = Entrenchment Class - Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as visual estimates

3 = Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as the longitudinal profile

Footnotes 2,3 - These classes are loosley built around the Rosgen classification and hazard ranking breaks, but were adjusted slightly to make for easier assignment to somewhat coarser bins based on visual estimates in the field such that measurement of every segment for ER would not be necessary.

The intent here is to provide the reader/consumer of design and monitoring information with a good general sense of the extent of hydrologic containment in the pre-existing and the rehabilitated states as well as comparisons to the reference distributions. ER and BHR have been addressed in prior submissions as a subsample (cross-sections as part of the design survey), however, these subsamples have often focused entirely on facilitating design without providing a thorough pre-constrution distribution of these parameters, leaving the reader/consumer with a sample that is weighted heavily on the stable sections of

the reach. This means that the distributions for these parameters should include data from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile and in the case of ER, visual estimates. For example, the typical longitudinal profile permits sampling of the BHR at riffles beyond those subject to cross-sections and therefore can be readily integrated and provide a more complete sample distribution for these parameters, thereby providing the distribution/coverage necessary to provide meaningful comparisons.

Table 10b.	Base	eline S	Strean	n Data	a Sum						l Hydr · Reac		Cont	ainme	ent Pa	rame	ter Dis	stribu	tions)						
Parameter		Pre	e-Exis	ting C	Condit	ion		Refe	rence	Read	ch(es)	Data				[Desigr	۱				As-bu	ilt/Ba	seline	_	
1Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%															-									_	Т	
1SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																										
1d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / dip / disp (mm)	0.7	27.8	49.4	83.2	109.5		0.7	27.8	49.4	83.2	109.5															
2Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10																										
3Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0																										

1 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave

2 = Entrenchment Class - Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as visual estimates

3 = Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as the longitudinal profile

Footnotes 2,3 - These classes are loosley built around the Rosgen classification and hazard ranking breaks, but were adjusted slightly to make for easier assignment to somewhat coarser bins based on visual estimates in the field such that measurement of e

The intent here is to provide the reader/consumer of design and monitoring information with a good general sense of the extent of hydrologic containment in the pre-existing and the rehabilitated states as well as comparisons to the reference distributions ER and BHR have been addressed in prior submissions as a subsample (cross-sections as part of the design survey), however, these subsamples have often focused entirely on facilitating design without providing a thorough pre-constrution distribution of the the reach. This means that the distributions for these parameters should include data from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile and in the case of ER, visual estimates. For example, the typical longitudinal profile permits sampling a more complete sample distribution for these parameters, thereby providing the distribution/coverage necessary to provide meaningful comparisons.

Table 10b.	Base	eline S	Strean	n Data	Sum		strate reek P						: Cont	ainme	ent Pa	rame	ter Dis	stribu	tions)					
Parameter		Pre	e-Exis	ting C	ondit	ion		Refe	rence	Read	h(es)	Data					Desigr	1				As-bu	ilt/Ba	seline	
1Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%				-											-										
1SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																									
1d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / dip / disp (mm)	0.35	0.7	1.2	3.2	6		0.4	1.3	3	14	18														
2Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10																									
3Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0																									

1 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave

2 = Entrenchment Class - Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as visual estimates

3 = Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as the longitudinal profile

Footnotes 2,3 - These classes are loosley built around the Rosgen classification and hazard ranking breaks, but were adjusted slightly to make for easier assignment to somewhat coarser bins based on visual estimates in the field such that measurement of e

The intent here is to provide the reader/consumer of design and monitoring information with a good general sense of the extent of hydrologic containment in the pre-existing and the rehabilitated states as well as comparisons to the reference distributions ER and BHR have been addressed in prior submissions as a subsample (cross-sections as part of the design survey), however, these subsamples have often focused entirely on facilitating design without providing a thorough pre-constrution distribution of the the reach. This means that the distributions for these parameters should include data from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile and in the case of ER, visual estimates. For example, the typical longitudinal profile permits sampling a more complete sample distribution for these parameters, thereby providing the distribution/coverage necessary to provide meaningful comparisons.

	ring D	ata - L	Jimen	sional	-						Param	eters -	- Cross	s Sect	ions)	- 2016	(MY5)				
					Мо	:Kee C	reek l	Projec													
		С	ross S	Section	1 (Riffle	e-1)			C	ross S	ection 2	2 (Pool-	1)			С	ross Se	ection 3	(Riffle-	-2)	
ased on fixed baseline bankfull elevation1	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	M١
Record elevation (datum) used		583.4	581.0	583.40	583.38	583.38			582.7	580.0	580.74	582.72	582.72			580.8	580.5	580.70	580.84	580.84	ŀ
Bankfull Width (ft)		24.27	22.00	24.44	21.00	34.32			22.5	23.00	22.74	15.60	29.29			18.00	13.00	10.00	13.11	10.51	
Floodprone Width (ft)		160.0	33.00	30.50	30.50	30.50			160.0	36.0	50.00	50.00	50.00			150.0	150.0	120.00	120.00	120.00)
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1.89	1.98	1.18	4.93	4.24			2.45	2.37	2.75	3.95	3.80			1.36	1.05	1.02	1.39	1.55	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		2.76	2.85	2.59	5.83	5.59			3.90	3.69	3.78	6.26	6.26			2.43	1.75	1.61	2.29	2.18	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)		53.00	51.40	32.06	91.05	149.18			63.68	58.50	71.95	69.69	126.83			30.61	13.40	11.26	20.36	19.40	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		12.82	11.11	20.72	4.26	8.09			9.20	9.70	8.26	3.95	7.70			13.23	12.33	16.87	9.45	6.77	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		6.59	1.50	1.25	1.45	0.89			7.10	1.57	2.20	3.20	1.71			8.82	11.54	12.00	9.15	11.42	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		2.53	2.23	2.32	1.22	1.43			1.84	1.81	1.69	1.21	1.21			1.00	1.20	1.73	1.25	1.32	
ased on current/developing bankfull feature2																					
Record elevation (datum) used																					Γ
Bankfull Width (ft)																					I
Floodprone Width (ft																					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft																					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)																					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																					
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																					
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft2)																					
d50 (mm)																					
		С	ross S	Section	4 (Pool	-2)			С	ross Se	ection 5	(Riffle-	-3)			C	ross S	ection 6	6 (Pool-	3)	
ased on fixed baseline bankfull elevation1	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Μ
Record elevation (datum) used		580.2	580.4	580.53	580.16	580.16			579.87	579.60	579.54	579.87	579.87			579.14	578.29	578.29	579.14	579.14	
Bankfull Width (ft)		17.00	14.30		11.33	11.59			17.00	13.88	14.07	14.08	12.93			15.00	13.20	11.52	14.99	29.63	
Floodprone Width (ft		150.0	150.0	150.00	150.00	150.00			250.00	200.00	250.00	250.00	250.00			250.00	200.00	200.00	200.00	200.00)
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		2.55	2.62	2.81	2.10	2.45			1.11	0.96	1.29	1.67	1.65			1.70	1.68	1.64	2.41	1.83	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		3.97	3.82	3.76	3.63	3.88			1.96	1.84	1.96	2.31	2.39			3.46	3.17	3.47	4.49	4.19	1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)		30.61	31.60	25.53	25.35	40.42			21.02	14.73	12.27	27.52	28.58			27.27	21.35	17.81	45.25	42.81	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		6.66	5.46	2.85	5.39	4.73			15.37	14.51	10.87	8.44	7.83			8.80	7.87	7.01	6.23	16.23	T
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		8.82	10.49	18.75					14.71	14.41	17.77	17.76	19.33			16.67			16.68	6.75	T
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio				1.30	1.32	1.31			1.00	1.01	1.23	1.04	1.07			1.00	1.00	1.25	1.05	1.06	T
ased on current/developing bankfull feature2	-	-	-	-			-													-	
Record elevation (datum) used			1	1						1											
Bankfull Width (ft)																					
Floodprone Width (ft																					┢
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																					┢
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																					┢
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)																					┢
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio			l – –																	1	┢
			l – –																	1	+
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio							1														
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratic Bankfull Bank Height Ratic Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft2)																					┡

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the r performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary." 2 = Based on the elevation of any dominant depositional feature that develops and is observed at the time of survey. If the baseline datum remains the only significant depositional feature

then these two sets of dimensional parameters will be equal, however, if another depositional feature of significance develops above or below the baseline bankfull datum then this should be tracked and quantified in these cells.

									Exh	ibit Ta				-	a - Stre					-	16 (MY	′5)														
	1						ī				Мс	Kee C	reek P	roject	# 9257		Kee Cr	eek- R	leach 1	1					1						1					
Parameter			Bas	eline					М	Y-1					M	Y-2					M	Y- 3					M	Y- 4		_			MY	′- 5		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n
Bankfull Width (ft)																																				
Floodprone Width (ft)																																				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																				
1Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2)																																				
Width/Depth Ratio																																				
Entrenchment Ratio																																				
1Bank Height Ratio																																				
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							15	24	20	38	8	18																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0	0	0	0	0	18																								
Pool Length (ft)							10	43	32	132	33	15			17.47			1			20			1			14.18			1			48.15			1
Pool Max depth (ft)							2	3	3	4	1	6			0.7			1			1.24			1			1.893			1			0.58			1
Pool Spacing (ft)							59	84	86	103	19	4																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							42	91	64	170	56	5																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							22	49	46	80	19	7																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)							138	437	290	1070	387	5																								
Meander Width Ratio							1.615	3.515	2.462	6.538	2.149	5																								
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	1	-	-	-	-	-	T	-	E	4/C4	-	-	N	ot enou	gh strear	m data t	to calcul	ate	N	ot enour	nh strea	m data t	o calcula	ate	N	ot enqui	nh strea	m data	to calcu	late		lot enour	nh strear	n data ti	o calculat	te
Channel Thalweg length (ft)										274			-		gh strear						0	m data t					0		to calcu						o calculat	
Sinuosity (ft)										.12					gh strear						~	m data t					×		to calcu		-				o calculat	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)										0019					gh strear							m data t							to calcu						o calculat	
BF slope (ft/ft)															gh strear				-		-	m data t					-		to calcu		_				o calculat	
3Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%				1											-																					
3SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
3d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /															1					1	1						1	1				1				
2% of Reach with Eroding Banks									()%			N	ot enou	gh strear	m data t	to calcul	ate	N	ot enoug	gh strea	m data t	o calcula	ate	N	ot enoug	gh strea	m data	to calcu	late	1	lot enoug	gh strear	n data to	o calculat	ie
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	:														-						-						-						-			
Biological or Other	ŀ																																			
Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be		1																																		

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in. 1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table 3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave

									Ex	xhibit				oring Da k Proje						ary - 201 n 2	6 (MY	5)												
arameter			Bas	seline					М	Y-1					<u>سا</u>						MY-	3				MY	- 4				MY	5		
imension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min Mean	Med	Max	SD4 n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	r
Bankfull Width (ft)								24.7				1		22.00				1		24.44				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		34.32				
Floodprone Width (ft))							160				1		33.00				1		30.5				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		30.50				1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)								1.89				1		1.98				1		1.179				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		4.24				1
1Bankfull Max Depth (ft))							2.76				1		2.85				1		2.587				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		5.59				1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft2))							53				1		51.40				1		32.058				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		149.18				
Width/Depth Ratio)							12.82				1		11.11				1		20.723				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		8.09				
Entrenchment Ratio)							6.59				1		1.50				1		1.2479				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		0.89				· ·
1Bank Height Ratio								2.53				1		2.23				1		2.316				1	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)		1.43				
ofile																																		Γ
Riffle Length (ft)			T	1		1	10	32.2	34	44	13.54	5	45	53.5	53.5	62		2	40					2	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)						Г
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							-0.049	-0.003	0.012	0.028	0.035	5	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.008		2	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.007		2	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)						Γ
Pool Length (ft)			1				24	36.6	39	55	12.74	5	15	27.8	30	40	12.32	5	20	32.8	29	39	12.1	5			limitatio		48.64	73.21	79.03	86.14	14.82	
Pool Max depth (ft)							1.242	2.386	2.187	3.287	0.423	5	0.442	1.498	1.683	2.46	0.88	5	0.5	1.5	1.6	2.2	0.78	5	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)	0.57	1.0925	0.84	2.12	0.61	
Pool Spacing (ft)			1				45	178.8	206	267	87.81	5	0	141	162.5	239	101.2	4	50	185	200	260	80.23	4	N/A	(survey	limitatio	ons)	0	62.23	72.63	114.1	47.14	;
attern																																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft))		Т	T			97	101	101	105	5.657	2																						
Radius of Curvature (ft)							65	128.3	120	200	67.88	3																						Γ
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)									1																									Γ
Meander Wavelength (ft)							282	322	322	362	56.57	2																						Γ
Meander Width Ratio)						4.042	4.208	4.208	4.375	0.23	6 2																						
dditional Reach Parameters																																		
Rosgen Classification	1								E4	1/C4					С	4					C4	Ļ				С	4				C4	Ļ		
Channel Thalweg length (ft)										422				464	(surve)	/ reduc	tion)			464 (survey	reductic	on)		377		reducti	on)			66			
Sinuosity (ft)										.39					1.		/				1.2		/			1.1		,			1.1			
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft))								0.0	026					0.0	026					0.00)3				N/	Ά				0.00	14		
BF slope (ft/ft)										026					0.0						0.00					N/					0.00			
3Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%)																																	
3SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							0	7.27	54.55	21.82	2 5.45	0	0	6	73	16	5	0	0	5.62	73.03	15.73	5.62	0					0	23	57	20	0	
3d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /							19.3	38.5	54.5	109	309		3	19.3	27.3	77	154		4.85	19.3	27.3	77	309											
2% of Reach with Eroding Banks	;						1		1	0%	1				29	%	<u>n</u>				4%					5%	%				5%			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	;												1																1					
Biological or Other							Ī																						1					_

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in. 1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table 3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave 4. = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

										Exhib	it Tabl	e 11b.				- Strea					- 2016	(MY5)														
Parameter	Baseline					MY-1							McKee Creek Project # 92573 Clear C MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	d Max	x SD4	l n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Мес	d Max	SD4	4 n	Min	Mear	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD4	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							21.02			25.85		2	13.			13.9	-	2	10	12.04		14.07		2	13.11	13.59		14.08		2	10.51	11.72		12.93		2
Floodprone Width (ft)							150	200		250		2	200.	_	_	200.0	-	2	120	185		250		2	120	185		250		2	120.00	185.00	1	250.00		2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							1.11	1.23		1.36		2	1.	0 1.3		1.		2	1.02			1.29		2	1.39	1.53		1.67		2	1.55	1.60		1.65		2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft) ¹							1.96	2.19		2.43		2	1.	8 2.5	5	3.2	2	2	1.61	1.79		1.96		2	2.289	2.3		2.31		2	2.18	2.28		2.39		2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)							21.02	23.44		25.85		2	14.	7 18.0)	21.4	4	2	11.26	6 11.77	·	12.27		2	20.37	23.95		27.52		2	19.40	23.99		28.58		2
Width/Depth Ratio							13.23	14.29		15.37		2	7.	9 11.2	2	14.	5	2	9.77	10.32	2	10.87		2	8.44	8.95	Î	9.45		2	6.77	7.30		7.83		2
Entrenchment Ratio							8.333	11.52		14.71		2	14.	4 14.8	3	15.2	2	2	12.00) 14.89)	17.77		2	9.15	13.46		17.76		2	11.42	15.38		19.33		2
Bank Height Ratio ¹							1	1		1		2	1.	0 1.0)	1.0	0	2	1.23	1.48		1.73		2	1.04	1.15		1.25		2	1.07	1.20		1.32		2
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							12	16.5	18	22	4	6	1	0 29.36	6 3	30 4	5 10).7 1	1 11	27.14	35	50	10.6	6	9.13	28.53	23.59	57.83	23	4	11.93	27.85	22.58	58.82	15.74	6
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0	0.021	0	0	0	6	0.01	9 0.034	4 0.03	34 0.049	9 0.0	02	6 0.012	2 0.032	0.034	0.045	0.018	6	0.004	0.020	0.021	0.033	0.012	4	0.0044	0.0161	0.0178	0.0210	0.006	6
Pool Length (ft)							15	35.09	33	66	17	13	1	0 29.36	6 3	30 4	5 10).7 1	1 15	29.14	32	45	10.4	11	15.35	27.78	22.01	50.23	11.28	11	26.63	63.25	59.98	123.16	30.56	7
Pool Max depth (ft)							1.502	2.297	2	6	1	16	0.7	8 1.33	3 1.21	9 1.40	8 0.49	92 1	1 1.2	2.1	2.1	5	1.2	11	0.191	0.859	0.8	1,722	0.504	11	0.96	1.45	1.30	2.47	0.474	7
Pool Spacing (ft)							26	105	98	189	55	8	2	0 94.18	8 8	86 158	8 51.1	12 1	1 25	98	100	200	57	11	26.45	132.2	147.8	219.9	73.36	7	0.00	178.42	110.14	657.88	219.5	6
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							42	64.17	65	85	16	6																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							20	44.82	40	84	23	11																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)							153	171.5	168	195	16	6																								
Meander Width Ratio							2.333	3.565	3.611	4.722	0.867	6																								
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	1						<u>г</u>		C	24						C4						C4					(C4					C4			
Channel Thalweg length (ft)					1660						1658							1587					1638							1603						
Sinuosity (ft)						1.19						1.17							1.17					1.18						1.195						
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)			0.0033						0.0033							0.004					0.00496					0.008									
BF slope (ft/ft)	BF slope (ft/ft)				0.0033						0.0034						0.004					0.004					0.009									
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	3																																			
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be% ³	3			1			10	7	35	47	1	0	7.5	9	30	51	2.5	i 0	6.32	14.94	30.46	45.41	2.87	0							0	12.5	72	15.5	0	0
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / ³	3						1.5	27.3	38.5	109	154		0.75	54.5	77	154	218	3	0.75	27.3	54.5	154	218													
% of Reach with Eroding Banks ²	2								1	%						5%					4	5%					Ę	5%					5%			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	:																																			
Biological or Other																																				

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in. 1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table 3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave 4. = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

<u>Appendix E</u> <u>Hydrology Data</u>

		Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events - 2016 (MY5)						
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurance							
		Crest Gauge 1						
10/01/12	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/01/13	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/28/14	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/25/15	10/25/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation						
11/11/15	11/11/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation						
10/16 - 11/16	Unknown	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation; Floodplain interaction						
10/2	6/16	Crest Gauge Removed						
		Crest Gauge 2						
10/01/13	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
Fall 2014	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/25/15	10/25/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation; Floodplain interaction						
11/11/15	11/11/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation; Floodplain interaction						
10/16 - 11/16	Unknown	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation; Floodplain interaction						
11/2	2/16	Crest Gauge Removed						
		Crest Gauge 3						
10/01/12	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/01/13	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/28/14	Unknown	Crest Gauge, Wrack of Flow Stage						
10/25/15	10/25/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation						
11/11/15	11/11/2015	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation						
10/16 - 11/16	Unknown	Visual observation; Debris lines; Flattened vegetation; Floodplain interaction						
11/2	2/16	Crest Gauge Removed						

Month	Monthly Total (in)
Nov-15	9.12
Dec-15	9.08
Jan-16	2.21
Feb-16	3.36
Mar-16	1.35
Apr-16	1.80
May-16	7.16
Jun-16	4.00
Jul-16	5.54
Aug-16	3.06
Sep-16	4.36
Oct-16	3.10
Nov-16	0.42
Total	54.56

