Middle South Muddy

As-Built Baseline Monitoring Report

FINAL

Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site NCDMS Contract No. 6783 NCDMS Project No. 93875 McDowell County, North Carolina Data Collected: May 18th, 2015 - May 4th, 2016 Date Submitted: July 11, 2016



Submitted to:

NCDEQ-Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh N C 27699-1652 Page Intentionally Left Blank

Prepared by:



37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, NC 28801 Page Intentionally Left Blank

Executive Summary

The Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site (Site) is located in the Catawba River Basin (NCDWQ sub-basin 03-08-30 and HUC 03050101040020) approximately 9.5 miles southeast of Marion, NC in southeast McDowell County at latitude 35.5635° N and 81.9249° W. The Site is comprised of two tracts, the Middle South Muddy Creek Tract which encompasses approximately 5.87 acres of predominately agricultural and forested land, and the 41.05 acre Haney Tract that is predominately forested. The Middle South Muddy Creek Tract consists of three streams, Iva Branch, Sprouse Branch, and South Muddy Creek. The Haney Tract consists of approximately 9,796 linear feet of stream. The tract is comprised of South Muddy Creek and approximately thirteen tributaries, including Jackson Branch and Moores Branch.

The Site generated a total of 4,073 stream mitigation units through the use of Priority I and II Restoration, Enhancement I and II, and Preservation. The goal of the project was to address the stressors identified in the Targeted Local Watershed Plan (TLWP) such as improving water quality, aquatic and terrestrial habitat, flood flow attenuation, and precluding land disturbing activities. The goals were addressed by restoring stable channel morphology and sediment transport capacity, improving stream bed form and habitat, improving channel and stream bank stabilization, constructing a floodplain bench that is accessible at the proposed bankfull discharge, providing riparian buffer restoration and cattle exclusion fencing, and constructing a barricade on the existing dirt road network located on the Haney Preservation Tract.

Historic land use at the Site consisted primarily of pastureland for cattle, hay production, and some forested land. Grazing livestock have historically had access to most on-site stream reaches and adjacent terraces. Additional land use practices included maintenance and removal of riparian vegetation, relocating, dredging and straightening of on-site streams have contributed to unstable channel characteristics and degraded water quality. The Haney Preservation Tract has been the location of continuous mining for the last 50 years. Mining operations may have been commercial at one time, but recently consisted of a club of individual prospectors mining for gold lag deposits in the floodplain.

The Site was delineated into five components totaling 11,997 linear feet. The Site was then delineated into eight separate reaches South Muddy Creek (931 feet), Lower South Muddy Creek (177 feet), Upper and Lower Iva Branch (471 feet), Upper Sprouse Branch (24 feet), Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch (598 feet), and the Haney Tract (9,796 feet). South Muddy Creek received Priority II Restoration and Enhancement I, Upper and Lower Iva Branch received Priority I Restoration, Upper Sprouse Branch received Enhancement II, Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch received Priority II Restoration, and the Haney Tract is Preservation. The installation of brush, rock, and wood structures were utilized throughout the restored reaches to provide bed and bank stability as well as aquatic habitat. South Muddy Creek saw only minor adjustments to the profile which re-established proper riffle-pool sequence. Upper Sprouse Branch enhancement efforts included the installation of grade control structures in the upper portion of the reach and livestock exclusion fencing to reduce erosion and instability. Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch dimension adjustments included an increase in width/depth ratio to reduce stress on channel banks, and the excavation of a floodplain bench to provide for the conveyance of flood flows. The valley of Upper Iva Branch was filled to raise the channel and vertically stabilize the stream. The pattern, profile, and dimension of Lower Iva Branch was adjusted to provide proper pool spacing, rifflepool sequence, and reductions of stress along stream banks. A bankfull bench was also constructed to provide proper conveyance of greater-than-bankfull flows.

A baseline stream and topographic survey was performed between May 18th, 2015 and May 4th, 2016 to document baseline conditions at the Site. The stream pattern, profile, and dimension very closely reflected those values outlined in the design.

A vegetation survey of the Site was conducted on March 23, 2016. Results from this initial survey indicate that the planted stem density ranged from 405 to 526 with the mean density of 486 through all plots in MY0. A total of seven species were documented across all plots with species diversity in plots ranging from four to five.

Annual monitoring will begin during late 2016 and will include stream and vegetation monitoring components as established in this document. Annual monitoring will occur for five years.

Table of Contents

Executive	Summary	i
1.0 Proj	ect Goals, Background, and Attribute	1
1.1.	Location and Setting	1
1.2.	Project Goals and Objectives	1
1.3.	Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach	2
1.4.	Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data	3
2.0 Suc	cess Criteria	3
2.1.	Morphological Parameters and Channel Stability	3
2.2.	Surface Water Hydrology	4
2.3.	Vegetation	4
3.0 Mor	nitoring Plan	4
3.1.	Stream Channel Stability and Geomorphology	4
3.2.	Stream Hydrology	4
3.3.	Vegetation	5
3.4.	Permanent Photo Stations	5
3.5.	Maintenance and Contingency	5
4.0 Bas	eline Conditions	6
5.0 Refe	erences	7
Appendix	A General Tables and Figures	9
Appendix	B Morphological Summary Data and Plots	19
Appendix	C Vegetation Data	37
Appendix	D Permanent Photo Stations	44
Appendix	E Record Set	68

Page Intentionally Left Blank

1.0 PROJECT GOALS, BACKGROUND, AND ATTRIBUTE

1.1. Location and Setting

The Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site (Site) is located in the Catawba River Basin (NCDWQ sub-basin 03-08-30 and HUC 03050101040020) approximately 9.5 miles southeast of Marion, NC in southeast McDowell County at latitude 35.5635° N and 81.9249° W. The Site is comprised of two tracts, the Middle South Muddy Creek tract which encompasses approximately 5.87 acres of predominately agricultural and forested land, and the 41.05 acre Haney Preservation Tract which is predominately forested. The Middle South Muddy Creek Tract consists of three streams, Iva Branch, Sprouse Branch, and South Muddy Creek. The Haney Tract consists of approximately 9,796 linear feet of stream. The tract is comprised of South Muddy Creek and approximately thirteen tributaries, including Jackson Branch and Moores Branch. The Site is located within the Muddy Creek Local Watershed planning area and the Site's watershed was identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in DMS' 2009 Upper Catawba River Basin Restoration Priority report (RBRP).

Historic land use at the Site consisted primarily of agriculture, livestock grazing, and mining operations. Livestock previously had unrestricted access to the majority of the streams on site, resulting in significant local disturbance to stream banks (Table 4). Additional land use practices, including the maintenance and removal of riparian vegetation, and the relocating, dredging, and straightening of on-site streams contributed to the degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics on the site.

1.2. Project Goals and Objectives

The following goals are established to guide the restoration process for the project as outlined in the Final Mitigation Plan:

- Improve local water quality within the restored channel reaches as well as the downstream watercourses through: (a) the reduction of current channel sediment loads by restoring appropriately sized channels with stable beds and banks, (b) the reduction of nutrient loads from adjacent agricultural fields with a restored riparian buffer, and (c) the reduction of water temperatures provided through shading of the channel by canopy species along with the resultant increase in oxygen content.
- Improve local aquatic and terrestrial habitat and diversity within the restored channels and their vicinity through: (a) the restoration of appropriate bed form to provide habitat for fish, amphibian, and benthic species, (b) the restoration of a suitable riparian buffer corridor in order to provide both vertical and horizontal structure and connectivity with adjacent upland areas, and (c) the restoration of understory and canopy species in order to provide forage, cover, and nesting for a variety of mammals, reptiles, and avian species.
- Preclude land disturbing activities including the construction of additional infrastructure, future mining activities and agricultural practices including cattle grazing and the application of pesticides and fertilizer within the riparian buffer area by providing a permanent conservation easement.

The following objectives are proposed for accomplishing the above listed goals as outlined in the Final Mitigation Plan:

• Provide approximately 4,073 stream mitigation units (SMU's) through Priority I and II restoration of approximately 1,989 linear feet of stream, enhancement of approximately 196 linear feet of

stream, and preservation of approximately 9,796 linear feet of stream threatened by mining activities.

- Restore natural stable channel morphology and proper sediment transport capacity.
- Create and/or improve bed form diversity and improve aquatic and benthic macroinvertebrate habitat.
- Construct a floodplain bench that is accessible at the proposed bankfull discharge.
- Improve channel and stream bank stabilization by integrating in-stream structures and native bank vegetation.
- Provide approximately 5.87 acres of riparian buffer restoration by establishing a native forested and herbaceous riparian buffer plant community with a minimum width of 30 feet from the edge of the restored channels. This new community will be established in conjunction with the eradication of any existing exotic and/or undesirable plant species.
- Construct barricades on existing dirt road network on Haney Tract to prevent future vehicular trespassing.

1.3. Project Structure, Restoration Type and Approach

1.3.1. Project Structure

Construction of the Site produced a total of 4,073 stream mitigation units, as outline in Table 1 and depicted in Figure 2. The Site is comprised of eight reaches totaling 11,981 length feet of Restoration or Restoration Equivalent; South Muddy Creek (916 feet), Lower South Muddy Creek (172 feet), Upper Sprouse Branch (24 feet), Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch (611 feet), Upper and Lower Iva Branch (462 feet), and Haney Tract (9,796 feet).

1.3.2. Restoration Type and Approach

South Muddy Creek

South Muddy Creek is divided into two sub-reaches, South Muddy Creek and Lower South Muddy Creek. South Muddy Creek was constructed as a Priority II restoration of a type C4 stream with moderate sinuosity and an average slope of 0.3%. Lower South Muddy Creek was constructed as an Enhancement I of a type C4 stream with moderate sinuosity and an average slope of 0.3%. This channel configuration provides a stable and natural form in the Type VIII(b) alluvial valley in which the existing stream is found. Restoration of the channel facilitated proper riffle-pool sequence and reduced bank stress.

Sprouse Branch

Sprouse Branch is divided into three sub-reaches, Upper Sprouse Branch, Middle Sprouse Branch, and Lower Sprouse Branch. Upper Sprouse Branch was constructed through Enhancement II restoration. Enhancement efforts include the installation of grade control at the top of the reach to prevent headcut migration, supplemental planting to stabilize bare banks, and cattle exclusion fencing to reduce erosion and instability within the reach. Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch was constructed through Priority II restoration of a type B5 and type B5c stream, with moderate sinuosity and an average channel slope of 2.9% and 1.7% respectively. These channel configurations provide the most stable and natural form for these slightly entrenched channels flowing through moderately sloped colluvial valleys. The moderately high gradient of Middle Sprouse Branch intersects the valley of South Muddy Creek, gradient decreases, resulting in a transition to a B5c stream type. In order to accommodate the steep valley gradient a terraced profile was constructed. Dimension adjustments included an increase in width/depth ratio to reduce stress on channel banks and the excavation of a floodplain bench to provide for the conveyance of flood flows.

Iva Branch

Iva Branch is divided into two sub-reaches, Upper Iva Branch and Lower Iva Branch, both of which were constructed as Priority I restoration of type B5 stream with moderate sinuosity and an average slope of 5.6% and 3.2% respectively. The existing incised valley of Upper Iva Branch was filled to raise the channel to reconnect it with the floodplain. The constructed channel was vertically stabilized through the installation of rock structures. Lower Iva Branch was adjusted to provide proper pool spacing, riffle-pool sequence, and reductions of stress along the stream banks. A bankfull bench was constructed to provide proper conveyance of greater-than bankfull flows. Log and rock structures were installed to control the vertical alignment and provide aquatic habitat.

Haney Tract

The Haney Tract was put under a permanent conservation easement to preserve approximately 5,836 linear feet of stream channel and approximately 35 acres of riparian buffer (Mitigation Plan, 2012). Due to historic commercial and recreational mining operations within the floodplain of South Muddy Creek, the riparian buffer has been degraded over time. Establishment of the conservation easement will protect stream and adjacent floodplain from future destructive mining activities. The network of dirt roads was also barricaded in strategic locations to prevent future vehicular access. The Haney Tract surveyed conservation easement plat reveals additional stream linear feet within the protected easement. Streams include South Muddy Creek, Moores Branch, Jackson Branch and eleven unamend tributaries. The total stream length within the conservation easement is 9,796 LF (Figure 2. Asset Map). The project preservation assets have been updated to reflect this additional stream length.

1.4. Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data

The project was first identified as a Design-Bid-Build mitigation project for the North Carolina Department of Mitigation Services by Equinox Environmental. Project planning began in 2011 with the final mitigation plan completed in March of 2012 and the final design and construction plans completed in September of 2014. Construction and planting of the Site was completed in March of 2016. Project activities, reporting dates, project contacts, and background information are outlined in Tables 2-4 (Appendix A)

2.0 SUCCESS CRITERIA

2.1. Morphological Parameters and Channel Stability

Restored and enhanced streams should demonstrate morphologic stability to be considered successful. Stability does not equate to an absence of change, but rather to sustainable rates of change or stable patterns of variation. Restored streams often demonstrate some level of initial adjustment in the several months that follow construction and some change/variation subsequent to that is also to be expected. If some trend is evident, it should be very modest or indicate migration to another stable form.

2.1.1. Dimension

Cross-section measurements should indicate little change from the as-built cross-sections. If changes do occur, they will be evaluated to determine whether the adjustments are associated with increased stability or whether they indicate movement towards an unstable condition.

2.1.2. Pattern and Profile

Measurements and calculated values should indicate stability with little deviation from as-built conditions and established morphological ranges from the restored stream type. Annual measurements should

indicate stable bed-form features with little change from the as-built survey. The pools should maintain their depth with flatter water surface slopes, while the riffles should remain shallower and steeper.

2.1.3. Substrate

Calculated D_{50} and D_{84} values should indicate coarser size class distribution of bed materials in riffles and finer size class distribution in pools. Generally, it is anticipated that the bed material will coarsen over time.

2.1.4. Sediment Transport

Depositional features should be consistent with a stable stream that is effectively managing its sediment load. Point bar and inner berm features, if present, should develop without excessive encroachment of the channel. Lateral and mid-channel bar features should typically not be present and if so only in isolated instances. Bar features may be more prevalent in sand bed channels but should be transient in nature and should occupy no more than 20% of the cross sectional area.

2.2. Surface Water Hydrology

Monitoring of stream surface water stages should indicate recurrence of bankfull flow on average every 1 to 2 years. At a minimum, throughout the monitoring period, the surface water stage should achieve bankfull or greater elevations at least twice. The bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring years.

2.3. Vegetation

Riparian vegetation monitoring shall be conducted for a minimum of five years to ensure that success criteria are met per USACE guidelines. Accordingly, success criteria will consist of a minimum survival of 320 stems per acre by the end of the Year 3 monitoring period and a minimum of 260 stems per acre at the end of Year 5. If monitoring indicates either that the specified survival rate is not being met or the development of detrimental conditions (i.e., invasive species, diseased vegetation), appropriate corrective actions will be developed and implemented

3.0 MONITORING PLAN

3.1. Stream Channel Stability and Geomorphology

A total of 10 cross-sections, including seven riffles and three pools, were installed upon completion of construction and will be monitored during Monitoring Years 1-5. The total number of cross-sections include four on South Muddy Creek, three on Sprouse Branch, and three on Iva branch. Additionally, a total of 2,166 linear feet— approximately 1,088 feet on South Muddy Creek and Lower South Muddy Creek, approximately 611 feet on Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch, and approximately 462 feet on Upper and Lower Iva Branch—of longitudinal profile data will be collected during Monitoring Years 1-5. Data collected from annual monitoring will be compared with the as-built conditions to document the current state of the channel and any trends in the stream profile occurring throughout the monitoring period.

3.2. Stream Hydrology

A total of two crest gauges—one on South Muddy Creek and one on Sprouse Branch—were installed on site. Crest gauges will be monitored semi-annually to document highest stage for the monitoring interval and verify occurrences of bankfull events. Observations of wrack and depositional features in the floodplain will be documented with photos when encountered during field visits.

3.3. Vegetation

Five vegetation monitoring plots, approximately 0.025 acres individually, were established based on guidance given in the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation Version 4.2* (Lee et al. 2008). Data was collected using the Level I protocol during initial baseline monitoring to document baseline conditions immediately after construction and planting. Subsequent annual vegetation monitoring will use the Level II protocol. Annual monitoring will determine planted vegetative success and the overall trajectory of woody plant restoration and regeneration at the Site. Vegetation monitoring plot corners were marked with t-posts and PVC conduit.

3.4. Permanent Photo Stations

Permanent photo stations were established at each cross-section to digitally document annual conditions of the left and right banks. Each vegetation monitoring plot includes a photo station taken diagonally from the origin towards the opposite plot corner. Additionally, 31 permanent photo stations were established throughout the project area to provide representative digital documentation of stream features and vegetation conditions. Permanent photo stations were marked with labeled PVC pipe and red flagging tape.

3.5. Maintenance and Contingency

NCDMS and Equinox will monitor the Site on a regular basis and shall conduct a physical inspection of the Site a minimum of once per year throughout the post-construction monitoring period until performance standards are met. These site inspections may identify site components and features that require routine maintenance. Routine maintenance should be expected most often in the first two years following site construction and may include the following:

- *Stream* Routine channel maintenance and repair activities may include chinking of in-stream structures to prevent piping, securing of loose coir matting, and supplemental installations of live stakes and other target vegetation along the channel. Areas where stormwater and floodplain flows intercept the channel may also require maintenance to prevent bank failures and head-cutting.
- *Vegetation* Vegetation shall be maintained to ensure the health and vigor of the targeted plant community. Routine vegetation maintenance and repair activities may include supplemental planting, pruning, mulching, and fertilizing. Exotic invasive plant species shall be controlled by mechanical and/or chemical methods. Any vegetation control requiring herbicide application will be performed in accordance with NC Department of Agriculture (NCDA) rules and regulations.
- *Site Boundary* Site boundaries shall be identified in the field to ensure clear distinction between the mitigation site and adjacent properties. Boundaries may be identified by fence, marker, bollard, post, tree-blazing, or other means as allowed by site conditions and/or conservation easement. Boundary markers disturbed, damaged, or destroyed will be repaired and/or replaced on an as needed basis.
- *Ford Crossings* Ford crossings within the site may be maintained only as allowed by Conservation Easement or existing easement, deed restrictions, rights of way, or corridor agreements.
- *Road Crossings* Road crossings within the site may be maintained only as allowed by Conservation Easement or existing easement, deed restrictions, rights of way, or corridor agreements.

• *Stormwater Management Device* - Storm water management devices will be monitored and maintained per the protocols and procedures defined by the NC Division of Water Quality Storm Water Best Management Practices Manual.

4.0 BASELINE CONDITIONS

A baseline stream and topographic survey was completed on May 18th 2015 to document baseline conditions at the Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site (Site). Additionally, permanent cross-sections were installed and data collected on February 25, 2016 and May 4, 2016. A vegetation survey was conducted March 23rd, 2016 to document planted vegetation after construction for future comparison.

Reach summary tables, cross-section summary tables, and cross-section plots related to stream morphology can be found in Appendix B. Generally the pattern, profile, and dimension were very similar to those values outlined in the design. The Lower Iva Branch as-built slope (0.032 ft/ft) was slightly higher than the design slope of 0.026 ft/ft; however the slope falls within the applicable slope ranges for B5 stream types (0.02-0.039 ft/ft).

Vegetation data, summary tables, and plot photos are located in Appendix C. Results from this initial survey indicate that the planted stem density ranged from 405 to 526 with the mean density of 486 across all plots in MY0. A total of seven species were documented across all plots with species diversity in plots ranging from four to five. At the time of vegetation data collection, herbaceous vegetation had begun to establish, however some bare areas were noted, which can be expected during the first year of monitoring after construction. Additionally, good recruitment of woody vegetation is expected within on the lower end of South Muddy Creek and Iva Branch due to the presence of mature trees within the easement.

5.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- Equinox Environmental. 2008. Muddy Creek Local Watershed Plan. Report prepared for North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality. September.
- North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality. Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual. 2007.
- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)

Turner Surveying. 2015. As-Built Survey of Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project. Prepared for N.C. Ecosystem Enhancement Program.

Wolf Creek Engineering. 2012. Final Mitigation Plan Middle South Muddy Creek Restoration. Prepared for North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Final Mitigation Plan, Middle South Muddy Restoration, McDowell County. EEP Project No: 93875 Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix A General Tables and Figures







Figure 3. Monitoring Features Map



Figure 3. Monitoring Features Map



			Т	able 1	1. Project	Mitiga	tion Co	mponer	its and	Summati	ion					
				P	Middle So	uth Mu	ıddy Stre	eam Rea	storatio	on Site						
						Mit	igation (Credits								
	Stree	am	Rinarian	Wet1	land	N	on-rinari:	on Wetk	and	Buffe		Ν	itrogen		Phosphorous	Nutrient Offset
			Kipanan	WUI	allu	110	JII-i ipa ia	III week	illu	Duric	a 📘	Nutri	ent Offse	t	1 nosphorous	Nutlent Offset
Туре	R	RE	R	- 	RE		R	R	₹Е							
Totals	2,114	1,959		L		<u> </u>										
						Proj	ect Com	ponent	s							
							Restor	ration	Restora	ation -or-	Appr	oach				Footage Excluded
Project Com	1ponent -or- Reach	ID Statio	oning/Location		Existi Footage/A	ng Acreage	Foota Acre	ge or eage	Resto Equi	oration ivalent	(PI, PI	II etc.)	Mitigat Ratio	ion D	Mitigation Credits	due to Easement Crossing/ Break
Sout!	h Muddy Creek	101	+00 - 110+91		931	1	91	6		R	P	II	1:1		916	75
Lower S	outh Muddy Creek	110	91 - 112+63		177	1	17	2		R	Е	I	1.5:1	1	115	
Upper	Sprouse Branch	201	+50 - 201+74		24		24	4		R	El	II	2.5:	1	10	-
Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch 201+74-208+04 598 611 R PII 1:1 Upper and Lower Iva Branch 302+14-306+96 471 462 R PI 1:1															611	19
Upper and	d Lower Iva Branch	1 302	+14 - 306+96		471	i	46	52		R	Р	I	1:1		462	20
H	Ianey Tract				9,79	6	9,79	96	I	RE	Preserv	vation	5:1		1,959	-
	Haney Tract 9,796 9,796 RE Preservation 5:1 1,959 -															
Restoratio	<i>a</i>	Stream		Ripa	arian Wetl	and		Non-rip	arian W	etland		Buffer			Uplan	ıd
Level	(linear feet)			(acres)				(acres)		(sq	quare fe	et)		(acres	s)
			River	ine	Non-J	Riverine	;									
Restoration		1,989		!	Ļ		\perp									
Enhancement	t			!												
Enhancemen	t I	172														
Enhancement	t II	24		!												
Creation				!	\square											
Preservation		9,796		!	<u> </u>		\perp									
High Quality Preservation																
						В	MP Elen	nents								
Element	1	location	Pr	irpose	/Function							N	otes			
FB	E	ntire Site	Prote	ct Str	eam Chanr	ıel										
							<u> </u>									
BMP Elemen	<u>its</u>															
BR = Bioreter Natural Infilt	ntion Cell; SF = San tration Area; FB = J	id Filter; SW = Si Forested Buffer	tormwater Wetla	ınd; W	VDP = Wet	t Detent	ion Pond	.l; DDP =	= Dry De	etention P	ond; FS	= Filte	r Strip; S	= Gras	sed Swale; LS = L	evel Spreader; NI =

Table 2. Project Activity and ReportingMiddle South Muddy Stream Restora	g History tion Site	
	Data	
	Collection	Completion
Activity or Report	Complete	or Delivery
Mitigation Plan	Feb - 2012	Mar - 2012
Final Design - Construction Plans	N/A	Nov - 2012
Construction	N/A	Dec - 2015
Permanent Seed Mix Applied	-	Mar - 2016
Live Stake Plantings	-	Mar - 2016
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	May - 2016	June -2016
Year 1 Monitoring		
Year 2 Monitoring		
Year 3 Monitoring		
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

	Table 3. Project Contacts
Mi	ddle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site
	North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services
Prime Contractor	217 W Jones Street Suite 3000a
	Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
	Matthew Reid (828) 231-7812
	Wolf Creek Engineering
Designer	12 1/2 Wall Street Suite C
Designer	Asheville, North Carolina 28801
	S. Grant Ginn (828) 449-1930
	River Works, Inc
Construction	6105 Chapel Hill Road
Contractor	Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
	Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
	River Works, Inc
Sooding Contractor	6105 Chapel Hill Road
Seeding Contractor	Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
	Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
	River Works, Inc
Donting Contractor	6105 Chapel Hill Road
Flanung Contractor	Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
	Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
	Turner Land Surveying
A a built Summer	3719 Benson Drive
As-built Surveys	Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
	David Turner (919) 827-0745
	Green Resource
Sooding Mix Source	5204 Highreen Court
Seeding Mix Source	Colfax, North Carolina 27235
	(336) 855-6363
	Foggy Mountain Nursery
Live Stokes	797 Helton Creek Road
Live Stakes	Lansing, North Carolina
	(336) 384-5323
	Equinox Environmental
Monitoring	37 Haywood St.
2016	Asheville, North Carolina 28801
2010	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856

Table 4. Project	Baseline	Informatio	n and Att	ributes		
	Project Ir	nformation				
Project Name			Mid	dle South Muddy Creek		
County				McDowell		
Project Area (acres)				5.87		
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)			35	.5635° N , 81.9249° W		
Project Wa	atershed S	Summary I	nformatio	n		
Physiographic Province				Blue Ridge		
River Basin				Catawba River		
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit 3050101	τ	JSGS Hydrologi	c Unit 14-dig	ļit	030501010	40020
DWR Sub-basin				03-08-30		
Project Drainage Area (acres)				2,893		
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area				> 1%		
CGIA Land Use Classification				2.03.01.01		
Read	ch Summa	ry Informa	tion			
Parameters	Sou	th Muddy Cr	eek	Iva Branch	Spro	ouse Branch
Length of reach (linear feet)		1,108		471		622
Valley classification (Rosgen)	Va	lley Type VI	Ib	Valley Type II	Val	ley Type II
Drainage area (acres)		3,002		27		29
NCDWQ stream identification score		44		31		34
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification		С		С		С
Morphological Description (stream type) (Rosgen)		G4		G		G5
Evolutionary trend (Rosgen)		F4		GS		G5
Underlying mapped soils	Iotla	, Hayesville	Clay	Iotla, Hayesville Clay	Iotla, H	layesville Clay
Drainage class	F	oorly draine	1	Poorly drained	Poc	orly drained
Soil Hydric status		Non-hydric		Non-hydric	N	on-hydric
Slope		0.40%		4.60%		2.20%
FEMA classification]	Limited Detai	1	N/A		N/A
Native vegetation community		Agricultural		Agricultural	A	gricultural
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation		<1%		<1%		<1%
Wetla	and Summ	ary Inform	ation			
Parameters		Wetland 1	ation	Wetland 2	v	Vetland 3
Size of Wetland (acres)		-		-		-
Wetland Type (non-riparian, riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine)		-		_		-
Mapped Soil Series		-		-		-
Dramage class		-		-		-
Soil Hydric Status		-		-		-
Source of Hydrology		-		-		-
Hydrologic Impairment		-		-		-
Parant composition of avotic invasive vegetation		_				-
recent composition of exotic invasive vegetation		-				-
Reg	gulatory C	Applicable	5115	Basel 19		Supporting
Regulation		?		Resolved?		Documentation NW 27
waters of the United States – Section 404		Yes		Yes		(2011-02233)
Waters of the United States – Section 401		Yes		Yes		(DWR# 12-0383)
Endangered Species Act		No		N/A		ERTR
Historic Preservation Act		No		N/A		ERTR
CoastalZone Management Act (CZMA)/ CoastalArea Management Act (CA	AMA)	No		N/A		
FEMA Floodplain Compliance		Yes		Yes		Case #: 14-04-0367R
Essential Fisheries Habitat		No		N/A		

Appendix B Morphological Summary Data and Plots

	Mid	dle S	outh	Mude	Ta dv - S	able 5 outh	5. Bas Mude	eline lv Cr	Stre	am D ' Low	ata S er So	umm: 11th N	ary Auddy	v Cre	ek (1	.088	feet)							
Parameter	Regi	ional C	urve		Pre-I	Existin	g Con	dition			Refe	rence	Reach	Data		1	Design	1		As-	Built /	Base	line	
	0						0										0							
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Ea.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	30.7	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	19.4	-	-	36.6	-	-	-	30.8	-	30.7	31.1	31.0	31.6	0.5	3
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	30.0	-	-	65.0	-	-	-	65.0	-	65.0	84.7	88.0	101.0	18.2	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.7	-	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.1	0.3	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.2	-	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.9	0.4	3
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		51.7		-	-	-	-	-	-	30.2	-	-	36.6	-	-	-	52.2	-	50.5	58.1	59.0	64.9	7.2	3
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	12.3	-	-	14.9	-	-	-	18.1	-	14.8	16.8	15.9	19.8	2.6	3
Entrenchment Ratio					-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	2.8	-	-	-	2.1	-	2.1	2.7	2.8	3.3	0.6	3
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	12	-	_	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	3
d50 (mm)					-	-	-	-	-	-	29.0	-	-	-	-		1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	5
Profile											=>10													
Riffle Length (ft)				-		-	-	-	-	17.7	-	-	64.0	-	-	-	-	-	54.4	109.6	85.4	229.5	68.9	5
Biffle Slope (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	0.77	-	-	3 60	-	-	-	-	-	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.001	5
Pool Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	12.0	-	-	36.0	-	-	-	-	-	34.8	50.8	51.3	66.3	12.4	5
Pool Max Denth (ft)					_	_	_	_	_	23	_	_	2.0	_	_	_	33	_	3.2	4.6	4.5	6.0	0.9	6
Pool Spacing (ft)										97.5	_	_	103.0			154.5	5.5	220.7	112.6	196.3	187.0	323.2	89.4	5
Pattern										71.5			175.0			104.0		220.7	112.0	170.5	107.5	525.2	07.1	5
Channel Delt Width (ft)		1		L .			-				100.0	-							62.7	96.1	02.6	102	20.2	2
Padius of Curveture (ft)										32.0	100.0	-	514.0	-		-	61.0	_	102.1	114.7	92.0	103	10.0	2
Bay Dankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	52.0	-		514.0	-		-	01.0	-	2.2	2.7	2.0	2.0	10.9	2
Maandar Wayalangth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200.0	-	-	-		-	_	-	3.5	405.0	407.2	521.1	27.4	2
Maandar Width Patia				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	-	2.0	495.0	497.5	2 2	27.4	2
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4.5	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3.2	-	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.3	0.7	3
Substants Red and Transport Parameters																								
Substrate, bed and Transport Farameters p_{10}^{10} / p_{10}^{0} / p_{0}^{0} / C_{10}^{0} / S_{10}^{0}										1										55%/	11%/2	6%/8	%/0%	
SC% / Sp% / C% / C% / P% / Pa%										10	/ 8%	/ 72%	/ 17% /	1%/1	%					55707			/0/ 0/0	,
d16/d25/d50/d84/d95/dip/disp (mm)										7	2/20/	29/4	2/69/	120/-	/-									
Baseh Shear Stress (Computerary) lb/ft ²											21201	0.5	257	1207	/									
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull												7	60				-							
Streem Bower (Transport Consolity) W/m ²												,	-				-							
Additional Baach Parameters							-						-											
Additional Reacti Farameters												2	22				47							
Drainage Area (mi)							-					5.	55				4./							
Bessen Classification							-					(-				-					ч л		
							-					2	0				04					4		
Banktuli Velocity (ips)		-					-					14	2.0				-							
Vallas Langth (Å)		-					-					- 14	50				-							
Valley Length (ft)							-					5	50 00				1,130				1.1	()		
Channel Thaiweg Length (ft)							-					0	10				1,101				1,1	0.00		
Sinuosity							-					1.	10				0.002				1.	03		
water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				0.003				0.0	103		
Banktull Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				0.003				0.0	102		
Banktull Floodplain Area (acres)							-						-											
Proportion Over Wide (%)							-						-											
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)							-						-											
Incision Class (BHR Range)							-						-											
BEHI							-						-											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-						-				_			_				
Biological or Other							-			1			-											

- Information unavailable.

				Mide	Table ile So	e 5 Co outh N	ont'd. Audd	Base y - M	eline iddle	Strear Sprou	n Dat ise Bi	a Sur ranch	nmary 1 (177	y feet))									
Parameter	Regi	onal (Curve		Pre-H	Existin	g Con	dition			Refer	ence l	Reach	Data			Desigr	ı		As-	Built	Base	ine	
							0										0							
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52.0	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		0.5		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-									
Profile										•														
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	15.2	20.0	16.1	28.8	7.6	3
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.500	-	-	4.300	-	-	-	-	-	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.002	3
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	3.7	9.2	8.2	16.5	5.3	4
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	1.6	2.0	1.8	2.7	0.5	4
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	15.9	-	22.7	43.0	49.1	44.4	60.1	9.5	3
Pattern					1		1	1		1		1	1	L	1	1		1	<u> </u>			1		l
Channel Belt Width (ft)																-	-	71	79	78	89	0.9	3	
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	_	-	-	-	-	44 0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	-	82	15.0	14.0	23.8	6.9	4
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	3.1	2.9	5.0	14	4
Meander Wavelenoth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.4	26.3	27.1	30.7	4.5	4
Meander Width Batio				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	1.5	17	1.6	19	0.2	3
		2.3															1.5	1.7	1.0	1.9	0.2	5		
Substrate Bed and Transport Parameters																								
Bi% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							-					-								39%/()% / 24	1% / 8%	6/29%	, ,
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							-			1%	/ 10%	/ 48% /	/ 41% /	0% / 1	%									
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/dip/disp (mm)							-			5.2	/ 22 / 4	45 / 75	/ 130 /	190/-	/-									
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-					1.9	47		-							-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull							-					9	1				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-										-							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (mi ²)							-					2 7	17				0.03							
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)							-										-							
Rosen Classification							-					В	4				B5				F	15		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-					-					6	1											
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-					-					210	0				-							
Valley Lenoth (ft)							-					- 38	30				187							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-					40	0				177				1	77		
Sinuarity												1	1				1.06				1	01		
Water Surface Slone (ft/ft)							_										0.031				0.0	129		
Pankfull Slope (#/#)							_										0.031				0.0	129		
Bankfull Eloodnlain Area (acres)							_										0.051				0.0	.2)		
Propertion Over Wide (0/)							-																	
Entranchmont Close (EB B																								
Indiciar Class (EK Range)																								
Incision Class (BHK Range)							-					-					_				_			_
Channel Stability or Hebitet Mark							-					-									_			_
Dialacia de Channel Stability of Habitat Metric							-					-												
- Information unavailable							-			I		-												

				Mid	Table dle So	e 5 C outh i	ont'd. Mudd	Base ly - L	eline ower	Stre an Sprou	n Dat ise Bi	a Sur ranch	nmary (434	y feet)										
Parameter	Regi	ional (Curve	1	Pre-H	Existir	g Con	dition			Refei	ence	Reach	Data			Desigr	ı		As-	Built	Base	line	
							0										0							
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	5.2	-	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.4	0.2	2
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	_	-	43.0	-	-	52.0	-	-	-	15.0	-	14.0	19.0	19.0	24.0	3.5	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.6	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		2.2		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.9	-	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	0.0	2
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.3	-	15.1	15.9	15.9	16.7	1.1	2
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.9	-	2.6	3.6	3.6	4.5	1.3	2
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-									
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	- 1	-	-	-	-	20.0	- 1	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	16.2	14.2	32.2	9.3	9
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.003	0.011	0.011	0.025	0.007	9
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	8.7	9.0	12.1	3.1	11
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	1.3	1.8	1.8	2.3	0.3	11
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	18.1	-	25.8	19.0	32.9	32.2	55.1	10.5	10
Pattern							1																	
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.1	10.4	10.4	10.6	0.3	3
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	-	8.8	10.6	10.6	12.5	1.9	4
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.4	0.4	4
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.2	38.1	38.5	42.9	3.5	5
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	3
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters	[
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							-												4	41%/6	5% / 27	% / 9%	6/17%	5
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							-			1%	/ 10%	/ 48%	/ 41% /	0% / 1	%									
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/di ^p /di ^{sp} (mm)							-			5.2	2 / 22 /	45 / 75	/ 130 /	190/-	/ -									
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-					1.9	47				-					-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull							-					9	1				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-										-							
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (mi ²)							-					2.3	77				0.04							
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)							-										-							
Rosgen Classification							-					В	4				В5				E	15		
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-					-					6.	1				-							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-					-					210	0.0				-							
Valley Length (ft)							-					380	0.0				422							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-					400	0.0				453				4:	53		
Sinuosity							-					1.	1				1.07				1.	07		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							-										0.014				0.0	017		
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-										0.014				0.0	017		
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							-																	
Proportion Over Wide (%)							-					-												
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)							-																	
Incision Class (BHR Range)							-																	
BEHI							-																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-			1														
Biological or Other				1			-			1														
- Information unavailable.										I						1								

				Μ	Table iddle	5 Co Sout	ont'd. h Mu	Base ddy -	eline Upp	Strea er Iva	m Dat a Brar	a Sur ich (3	nmar 26 fe	y et)										
Parameter	Regi	ional (Curve	1	Pre-I	Existin	g Con	dition			Refe	ence	Reach	Data			Desigr	ı		As-	Built /	Base	line	
							0			1						1	0		1					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	4.8	-	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.3	0.5	2
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52	-	-	-	15.0	-	14.0	15.5	15.5	17.0	2.1	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.3	-	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.1	2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		1.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.6	-	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	0.1	2
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.1	-	11.0	12.2	12.2	13.3	1.6	2
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	3.2	-	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	0.1	2
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-									
Profile									<u>.</u>															
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	26.7	48.8	40.1	90.6	24.6	5
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	4.30	-	-	-	-	-	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.009	0.003	5
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	2.8	2.7	3.4	0.6	4
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	0.5	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.3	4
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	15.9	-	22.7	47.1	55.5	59.0	60.4	7.3	3
Pattern											1													
Channel Belt Width (ft)		1		-	-	-	-	-	- I	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.9	14.8	14.8	17.6	4.0	2
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	-	7.6	9.4	8.4	13.2	2.6	4
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.9	17	27	0.5	4
Meander Wavelenoth (ft)				-		-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	43.2	48.1	47.7	53.8	5.0	4
Meander Width Batio				-		-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	_	-	2.5	_	24	3.0	3.0	35	0.8	2
		1.8 2.5														2.0		2.1	5.0	5.0	5.5	0.0		
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters	1																							
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							-					-								80% /	0%/4	% / 2%	/ 14%	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							-			19	% / 10%	/ 48%	/ 41% /	0%/1	%									
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95/dip/disp (mm)							-			5.	2/22/	45 / 75	/ 130 /	190/-	/-									
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-					1.9	47				-					-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull							_					9	1				-					-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-										-							
Additional Reach Parameters										1														
Drainage Area (mi ²)							-					2 3	77				0.03							
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)							-										-							
Rosen Classification							-					В	4				B5				E	5		
Bankfull Velocity (fns)		-					-					6	1									-		
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-					-					210	0.0				-							
Valley Lenoth (ft)							-					38	30				424							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-					40)0				326				3	26		
Sinuosity												1	10				1.09				1	10		
Water Surface Slone (ft/ft)																	0.058				0.0	156		
Pankfull Slope (ft/ft)																	0.058				0.0	156		
Bankfull Floodnlain Ares (acres)																	5.050				0.0			
Proportion Over Wide (%)																								
Entrenchment Class (EP Pange)																								
Incision Class (ELR Range)												-												
DELL			_									-											_	
Channel Stability or Habitat M-tai-												-												
Dialogial of Other												-												
- Information unavailable				I						I		-												

				Μ	Table iddle	5 Co South	ont'd. h Mu	Base ddy -	eline Low	Strea er Iva	m Dat a Brar	a Sur nch (1	nmar 36 fe	y et)										
Parameter	Regi	ional (Curve	1	Pre-I	Existin	g Con	dition			Refei	ence	Reach	Data			Desig	ı	1	As-	Built	Base	line	
							0			1							0							
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Ν	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		2.4		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-									
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	9.4	11.8	11.8	14.3	3.5	2
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	4.30	-	-	-	-	-	0.010	0.021	0.021	0.033	0.016	2
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	5.8	9.4	9.4	12.9	3.3	4
Pool M ax Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.9	-	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.1	4
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	19.3	-	27.5	20.8	25.9	20.8	36.1	8.9	3
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)		1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-				89	96	9.6	10.3	1.0	2
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-				12.2	12.5	12.5	12.8	0.4	2
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				2.2	23	23	23	0.1	2
Meander Wavelenoth (ft)				-		-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	_				23.0	2.5	25.5	33.6	5.6	3
Meander Width Batio				-		-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	_		2.2	_	1.6	17	17	19	0.2	2
																2.2		1.0	1.7	1.7	1.9	0.2		
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters	[
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							-					-							2	4%/1	7%/3	8% / 20	0% / 0%	6
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							-			19	6/10%	/ 48%	/ 41% /	0%/1	%									-
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)							-			5.	2/22/	45 / 75	/ 130 /	190/-	/-									
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-					1.9	47									-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull							-					9	1				-					_		
Stream Power (Transport Canacity) W/m ²							-										-							
Additional Reach Parameters										1														
Drainage Area (mi ²)							-					2 3	77				0.046							
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)							-										-							
Rosen Classification							-					В	4				B5				F	15		
Bankfull Velocity (fns)		-					-					6	1											
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-					-					210) ()				-							
Valley Length (ft)							-					380) ()				151							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-					400) ()				156				1	56		
Sinuosity												1	10				1.02				1	03		
Water Surface Slone (ft/ft)																	0.026				0.0	132		
Pankfull Slope (ff/ff)																	0.020				0.0	35		
Bankfull Floodnlain Area (agree)																	0.020				0.0			
Propertion Over Wide (201												-												
Entrenchment Class (EP. Pance)												-												
Ingician Class (EK Range)												-												_
Incision Class (BHK Range)							-																	
Channel Stability or Unbitst Mark												-									_			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							-					-												
- Information unavailable							-			I		-												

							Tab	ole 6.]	Baseli Middl	ne Mo e Sou	orphol th Mu	logy & 1ddy S	z Hydrau tream R	ılic Mo estora	onitori tion Si	ng Sui ite	nmar	y												
		Cross Lower	-Section r Sprou	n 1 (Ri se Bra	ffle) nch			Cross Lower	-Sectior Sprous	n 2 (Rif se Brai	fle) 1ch			Cross Lower	-Sectio Sprous	n 3 (Po se Bran	ol) ich	_		Cross Sout	-Sectior th Mudd	1 4 (Rif ly Cree	fle) k			Cross Sout	Section h Muda	n 5 (Rif ly Cree	fle) k	
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	1,278.1						1,275.8						1,273.7						1,269.4						1,267.9					
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.4						5.1						6.1						31.6						30.7					
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.0						23.0						32.0						65.0						101.0					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3						0.3						1.0						1.6						1.9					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6						0.6						1.5						2.3						2.8					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.8						1.7						5.9						50.5						59.0					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.7						15.1						6.3						19.8						15.9					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.6						4.5						5.3						2.1						3.3					
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0						1.0						1.0						1.0						1.0					
d50 (mm)	N/A						N/A						N/A						N/A						N/A					
		Cross Sout	s-Sectio th Mudd	on 6 (Po ły Cree	ool) :k			Cross Sout	-Sectior th Mudd	n 7 (Rif ly Cree	fle) k			Cross Up	-Sectio per Iva I	n 8 (Po Branch	ol)			Cross Up	-Section per Iva I	n 9 (Rif Branch	fle)			Cross-S Up	Section per Iva 1	10 (Ri Branch	ffle)	
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	1,268.0						1,267.3						1,286.1						1,285.3						1,277.1					
Bankfull Width (ft)	35.3						31.0						5.5						4.6						5.3					
Floodprone Width (ft)	166.0						88.0						17.0						14.0						17.0					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.4						2.1						1.0						0.4						0.4					
Bankfull M ax Depth (ft)	4.0						2.9						1.8						0.7						0.6					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	85.7						64.9						5.7						1.9						2.1					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5						14.8						5.4						11.0						13.3					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.7						2.8						3.1						3.0						3.2					
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0						1.0						1.0						1.0						1.0					
d50 (mm)	N/A						N/A						N/A						N/A						N/A					

N/A - Item does not apply.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Right Descending Bank



Left Descending Bank

Right Descending Bank


Right Descending Bank













CHAINEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	NI I U	IVIII	IVI I 2	WI15	1114	WI15	WITU	14117
Bankful Width (ft)	35.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	166.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull M ean Depth (ft)	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	85.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Right Descending Bank









Right Descending Bank





Right Descending Bank

Appendix C Vegetation Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 7. Current Plot Data (MY0 2016)																				
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site																				
							С	ırre	nt Plot I	Data (MY(2016)						Annua	d Me	ans
		Species	P	lot 1		P	Plot 2 Plot 3			Plot 4			P	Plot 5		MY0 (2016)		6)		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Туре	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Acer rubrum var. rubrum	Red maple	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	-			1	1	1	7	7	7	11	11	11
Betula nigra	River birch	Tree	3	3	3				2	2	2							5	5	5
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree				1	1	1	-			2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5
Cercis canadensis	Eastern redbud	Tree				1	1	1										1	1	1
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	6 4	4	4	2	2	2				11	11	11
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	4	4	4	7	7	7	1 1	1	1	6	6	6	2	2	2	20	20	20
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree	2	2	2				3	3	3				2	2	2	7	7	7
		Stem count	13	13	13	13	13	13	8 10	10	10	11	11	11	13	13	13	60	60	60
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			5	•
		size (ACRES)	(0.02		0	0.02		(0.02		(0.02		().02		().12	
		Species count	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 4	4	4	4	4	4	. 4	4	4	7	7	7
	Ste	ms per ACRE	526	526	526	526	526	526	405	405	405	445	445	445	526	526	526	486	486	486

P=Planted, T=Planted & Volunteer

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Table 8. Vegetation Plot Criteria AttainmentMiddle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site							
	Vegetation						
Vegetation Plot	Survival	Tract Moon					
ID	Threshold	Tract Mean					
	Met?						
1	Yes						
2	Yes						
3	Yes	100%					
4	Yes						
5	Yes						



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5

Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix D Permanent Photo Stations



Upper Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 1 Looking Downstream



Upper Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 2 Looking Downstream



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 3 Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 1



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 4 Looking Downstream, Northwest- 292 degrees



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 4 Looking Upstream; South 182 degrees



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 5 Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 2



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 6 Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 3



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 7 Looking Upstream from Crossing



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 8 Station 101+50 - Looking Upstream at Confluence with South Muddy



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 8 Station 101+50 - Looking Downstream



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 8 Station 101+50 - Looking Upstream



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 9 Station 102+75 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 4



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 10 Station 104+75 - Looking Upstream from Bridge



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 10 Station 104+75 - Looking Downstream from Bridge



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 11 Station 107+45 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 5



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 12 Station 108+58- Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 6



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 13 Station 109+58 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 7



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 14 Station 111+20 - Looking Upstream



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 14 Station 111+20 - Looking Downstream



Lower Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 14 Station 111+20 - Looking Upstream from Confluence



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 15 Station 112+62 - Looking Upstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 16 Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 17 Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 8



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 18 Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 9



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 19 Station 303+75 - Looking Upstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 20 Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 10



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 21 Station 305+10 - Looking Upstream



Lower Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 22 Station 305+85 - Looking Upstream from Crossing



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 23 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 24 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 24 Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 25 Looking Downstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26 Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26 Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 27 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 27 Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek


Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28 Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28 Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 29 Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 30 Looking Downstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 31 Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek

Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix E Record Set



I. DAVID S. TURNER. AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THA DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNES SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS OCTOBER , 2015.

SEAL

DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #1/-455

AC	DI III T CI	DVEY CONT		NITC.
AS-	-DUILI SU	RVET CONT	KUL FUI	INTS.
PI#	Northing(Y)	Easting(X)	Elev(Z)	Description
1	6/2158.10	1130953.56	1282.72	gps ex-cpt
2	673478.96	1130950.27	1297.86	gps ex-cpt
3	673452.70	1131296.97	1333.32	gps ex-cpt
4	672057.12	1131154.83	1292.76	ex-cpt
7	672800.62	1130666.80	1274.05	ex-cpt
8	673147.99	1130799.81	1274.65	ex-cpt
13	673382.71	1130944.29	1285.23	ex-cpt
19	672407.91	1130716.16	1273.52	gps ex-cpt
20	672308.95	1130745.51	1274.55	TLS#20 NAIL
21	673004.77	1130515.40	1271.32	TLS#21 NAIL
22	673312.03	1130536.44	1270.22	TLS#22 NAIL
23	673356.48	1130701.97	1269.09	TLS#23 NAIL
24	673314.66	1131119.75	1300.75	TLS#24 NAIL
25	673537.90	1130640.33	1268.22	TLS#25 NAIL
26	673293.08	1130839.46	1278.23	TLS#26 NAIL
27	672794.03	1130562.20	1271.40	TLS#27 NAIL

GENERAL NOTES:

1. ALL DISTANCES ARE HORIZONTAL UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

2. HORIZONTAL DATUM IS NAD83(2011) & VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD88. 3. CONTROL IS BASED ON EXISTING CONTROL DATA PROVIDED BY THE DESIGNER AND RECOVERED DURING THE CONSTRUCTION & AS-BUILT SURVEYS. ADDITIONAL CONTROL WAS ESTABLISHED USING TOTAL STATION METHODS AND CONFIRMED DURING AS-BUILT SURVEY. AS-BUILT CONTROL POINTS ARE LISTED ON SHEET 1.

4. THIS MAP IS NOT FOR RECORDATION, SALES, OR CONVEYANCES AND DOES NOT COMPLY WITH G.S. 47-30 MAPPING REQUIREMENTS.

5. THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS TO SHOW THE POST CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS OF THE STREAM AND GRADING RELATED TO THE MIDDLE SOUTH MUDDY CREEK STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT AND MAY NOT SHOW ALL UTILITIES, STRUCTURES, & BOUNDARIES.

6. INFORMATION SHOWN OUTSIDE THE LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY WAS PROVIDED BY THE DESIGNER AND WAS NOT VERIFIED BY TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC.

7. NO PROPERTY RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED. FOR CONSERVATION EASEMENT SEE PLAT RECORDED IN McDOWELL COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS OFFICE PLAT BOOK 21, PAGE 34.





I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2015. KS3 DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 SURVE EXISTING /STREAM -X. LOG J HOOK SPROUSE BRANCH SEE SHEETS 5-8 AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED JULY 2015 20' 0 SCALE: 1"=20' (22×34) 1"=40' (11×17) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1' <u>NOTES:</u> 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. 2. SEE SHEET 13 FOR CROSS SECTIONS. 1270 LEGEND: 200 - THALWEG 1268 101+ CONSCIPT TOP OF BANK/TERRACE ----- BANK/TERRACE TOE 1267.211× 1267.161× - FENCE 26 LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY 1266 EXISTING FENCE - EX. PROPERTY LINE -----(NOT SURVEYED) HOOK 1264 * TRANSPLANT 1262 101+00 +20 +40





I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED JULY 2015 THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION 20' 0' 20' 20' NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS 16th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015. SCALE: 1"=20' (22x34) 1"=40' (11x17) 10-16-15 CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1' L-4551 unes DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 'V' DITCH W/ CLASS B RIPRAP 2 SHEET SOUTH MUDDY CREEK TCHLINE PERMANENT STREAM CROSSING (FORD) LOG J HOOK1 1ª **₩** 1269-V' DITCH W/ CLASS B RIPRAP 24"CM -EXISTING OVERHEAD UTILITY <u>NOTES:</u> 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. 2. SEE SHEET 13 FOR CROSS SECTIONS. 1268 16.8 J-H(09 000 1266 1264 1262 105+00 +20 +60106+00 +40+20 +40 +80





I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2015.

me S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 DAVID



TURNI	AS-BUILT S ER LAND S SURVEYED	SURVEY BY SURVEYING, JULY 2015	7: PLLC 5
20'	0'	20'	40'
SC	ALE: $1" = 1$	20'(22x)	34)
CON	ITOUR IN	TERVAL =	= 1'

LEGEND:								
	THALWEG TOP OF BANK/TERRACE BANK/TERRACE TOE							
CE	FENCE LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY							
	LOG A CONTROL J HOOK A POINT							
	BRUSH TOE * TRANSPLANT							

<u>NOTES:</u> 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. 2. SEE SHEET 13 FOR CROSS SECTIONS.

270-

-3

F- "

の 上 上 し

CHLINE

ATA

Š



I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2015. \$10-16-13-SEAL L-4551 DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 SURVE FENCE 17 PERMANENT STREAM 1 17 1 MAD, 17 1 STING FENCE CONSERVATION EASEMENT BY OTHERS LEGEND: B BOULDER ARCH THALWEG B - --- -- -- TOP OF BANK/TERRACE apparate ----BANK/TERRACE TOE BOULDER STEP ----- CONSERVATION EASEMENT 08000080 - FENCE -----LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY LOG SILL EXISTING FENCE EX. PROPERTY LINE (NOT SURVEYED) RIPRAP TRANSPLANT NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES.











I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2015.

umer DAVID S. TURNER, P.L/S. #L-4551



LEGEND: THALWEG	8	BOULDER ARCH
BANK/TERRACE TOE 	Conner Conner	BOULDER STEP
	2 p	LOG SILL
EX. PROPERTY LINE (NOT SURVEYED)	1111111111	BRUSH TOE
	52222	RIPRAP

AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED MAY & JULY 2015 10' 20' 10' 0' SCALE: 1"=10' (22x34) 1"=20' (11x17) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1'

NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES.







I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS 16th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015. DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 07 FESSION SEAL 5 L-4551 3 AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: S TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED MAY & JULY 2015 ∎Ш HLW 10' 20' 10' 0' PROPERTY L SCALE: 1"=10' (22×34) ·U $1"=20' (11\times17)$ CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1' MA LEGEND: THALWEG - --- --- -- TOP OF BANK/TERRACE ----BANK/TERRACE TOE - CONSERVATION EASEMENT - FENCE ----- LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY - EXISTING FENCE - EX. PROPERTY LINE (NOT SURVEYED) TRANSPLANT BOULDER ARCH ago B Bounder BOULDER STEP CECCORE LOG SILL NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. RIPRAP 1286 1283.76 STEP 1282.57 1282.57 1282.57 1282.54 1282.54 1284 303+06.98 JLDER STEP -**1284.61** ▶1281.62 1282 281. 1280.32 280.22[1280 1278 1276 AS-BUILT LONGITUDINAL PROFILE IVA BRANCH STA 302+50 TO 305+00 1274 1272 1271 302+50 +30 303+00 +20 +10 +90 +60 +70 +80



I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS ------DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT FENCE CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2015. 0 FESSION 1 LS#26 0. mer L-4551 DAVID S. TURNER, P.I./.S. #L-4551 SURVE S. TURN 0 BOULDER •Ш LEGEND: Щ THALWEG MATCHLIN ----BANK/TERRACE TOE ---- CONSERVATION EASEMENT - FENCE ----- LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY AMORE EXISTING FENCE EX. PROPERTY LINE (NOT SURVEYED) IVA ' TRANSPLANT BRANCH B BOULDER ARCH Barrodo BOULDER STEP Boxog LOG SILL -1276 FEFFERER BRUSH TOE RIPRAP AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED MAY & JULY 2015 20' 10' 10' SCALE: 1"=10' (22×34) 1"=20' (11×17) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1' NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. 1274 1272 1272 1270. 270. 1270. 1270 305+23.63 LDER STEP-1272.38 1270. 26.92 1268 126 268. 1266 1264 305+00 +10 +20 +30 +40 +50



I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS <u>16th</u> DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015.

and uner DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551

OFESSION SEAL - L-4551 C - JURVE W

LEGEND: - --- -- -- TOP OF BANK/TERRACE ----BANK/TERRACE TOE -CE----- CONSERVATION EASEMENT - FENCE LIMITS OF AS-BUILT SURVEY EXISTING FENCE
EX. PROPERTY LINE (NOT SURVEYED) RIPRAP

AS-BUILT SURVEY BY: TURNER LAND SURVEYING, PLLC SURVEYED MAY & JULY 2015 10' 0' 10' 20'

SCALE: 1"=10' (22x34) 1"=20' (11x17) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 1'

NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES.





I, DAVID S. TURNER, AS A DULY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, HEREBY CERTIFY 1280 THAT THE DATA SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING, WAS OBTAINED UNDER MY SUPERVISION, IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRESENTATION OF WHAT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN THE FIELD, AND 1275 THAT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN THUS 50 GN 0+10. 1275. ARE AS-BUILT CONDITIONS EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED 1270 HEREON. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE, REGISTRATION NUMBER, AND SEAL THIS 16th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015. 1265 10 SEAL 1260 0+00 +10 +2 avrel . unos DAVID S. TURNER, P.L.S. #L-4551 ONO SURVETCO 1284 1280 1275 1270 🍄 N 102+55 1265 1260 0+00 +10 +20 1280 8 1275 🗄 U+C OC 12 1270 ° 72. 27 2 1265 CROSS SECTION SCALE HORIZONTAL: 1"=10'(22x34) 1"=20'(11x17) VERTICAL: 1"=10'(22x34) 104+47.26 XS5 1260 1"=20'(11x17) TBL-LEFT TOP OF BANK TBR-RIGHT TOP OF BANK BBL-LEFT BANK TOE BBR-RIGHT BANK TOE TW-THALWEG 1255 0+00 +50 +30 +40 +10 +20 GN-GROUND EGN-EXISTING GROUND EW-EDGE OF WATER 1280 WS-WATER SURFACE XING-CROSSING TOT-TOP OF TERRACE TT-TERRACE TOE 1275 🗄 2+0 0 1270 N 1265 -NOTES: 1. SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES. 105+41.46 XS6 1260 1255 0+00 +20 +30 +10 1280 1275 _Z GN 0+2 1270 🚋 1265 107+50.34 XS7 1260 1255 0+00 +30 +40 +10 +20 +5 1280 1275 2+ 00 1270 🗛 1265 👸 109+71.58 XS8 1260 1255 0+00 +20 +30 +10

3.23	XS3 +30	1274.84	+40	+50	++ 1274.370	60	+70 +70	+8	60	1273.960-0	00 1273.19¢ GI	1271.78160N	00 1270.67	1268.511 BBL	1267.26	1267.210 1+15.28 1267.110 + 1267.510	02 1268.20128BR 1273.0417TB	1273.500 6	1273.86	+2
XS4 +30		+40	1273.67 PGN 1273.67 PGN)	+60	1273.08¢ GN		1272.75¢ GN	+9	0 1272.68 TOT	1270.78¢∏	00	1269.56 TBL	0 1267.416 BBL	1267.01 EW WS	02 D=1266.63 D=1266.51 1+23.9 TW	+3	1266.99 BBR	6 1269.40 TBR	
20	1271.51¢ EGN		1271.37¢ EGN	1271.08 GN		1271.16¢ GN		1271.24DTBL	1267.42 BBL	1266.90 EW WS	1266.091 1+27.24 TW	1266.90 EW WS	1267.45 BBR	1270.99PTBR		1271.16¢ GN			1271.06JP EGN	
+60		+70 1271.22 6 N +20	+8	30	+90 1271.17 6N +20	1+00	1271.03DGN	+10	+ 1269.95 CN	20	1268.83 \$ TBL +	30 1266.51 10	+	1266.39(> 1+21.14 TW	1266.44 🔿	+20 1266.47 BBR 1266.87 BBR	1268.54 b TBR	1269.45 CN	1270.16¢ GN	0
	1270.04 Ø GN		+70	+80	1269.4115 GN	90	1268.80 TBL 1268.80	+ 1266.25 BBL	1265.84 IP GN 1265.47 ID	+ 1265 6215 1+19 44 TW	20	1265.6812 EW WS	0 1266.22 th BBL 1267.91 bTBL	+4	5 1268.65ΦGN	+	1269.56 CN	+60	0	+ 1270.17¢ GN
			1269.10 CN		1268.27 C GN	1267.35 DTBL	1265.23 BBL 1264.97 EW WS	1264.46 1264.61 0+93.03 TW	1264.61	1264.93	1265.29b BBR			1268.42 CM		1269.39¢ GN				1269.661 GN

