# Restoration Plan for Stream Mitigation of Silver Creek and Tributary (Conway and Queen Site)

Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary Burke County, NC SCO # D05016-1



# **Prepared for:**

NCDENR – EEP 2728 Capital Blvd, Suite 1H 103 Raleigh NC 27604



APPENDIX A Stream Restoration Plan

Submitted: May 23, 2006

**Prepared by:** 

# Wetlands Resource Center

3970 Bowen Road Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110 Project Manager: Cal Miller P: (614) 864-7511 F: (614) 866-3691

And

# EMH&T, Inc.

5500 New Albany Road Columbus, Ohio 43054 Project Manager: Miles Hebert P: (614) 775-4205 F: (614) 775-4802 Main: (614) 775-4500



Evans, Mechwart, Hambleton & Tilton, Inc. Engineers, Surveyors, Planners, Scientists

EEP Contract # D05016-1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exec	utive Summaryi
1.0	Project Site Identification and Location1
	1.1 Directions to Project Site
	1.2 USGS Hydrologic Unit Code and NCDWQ River Basin Designations
	1.3 Project Vicinity Map
2.0	Watershed Characterization2
	2.1 Drainage Area
	2.2 Surface Water Classification/ Water Quality
	2.3 Physiography, Geology, and Soils
	2.4 Historical Land Use and Development Trends
	2.5 Endangered/ Threatened Species
	2.6 Cultural Resources
	2.7 Potential Constraints
3.0	Project Site Streams
	3.1 Channel Classification
	3.2 Discharge
	3.3 Channel Morphology
	3.4 Channel Stability Assessment
	3.5 Bankfull Verification
	3.6 Vegetation
4.0	Reference Streams
	4.1 Watershed Characterization
	4.2 Channel Classification
	4.3 Discharge
	4.4 Channel Morphology
	4.5 Channel Stability Assessment
	4.6 Bankfull Verification
	4.7 Vegetation
5.0	Project Site Restoration Plan15
	5.1 Restoration Project Goals and Objectives
	5.2 Sediment Transport Analysis
	5.3 HEC-RAS Analysis
	5.4 Stormwater Best Management Practices
	5.5 Natural Plant Community Restoration
6.0	Performance Criteria
	6.1 Streams
	6.2 Stormwater Management Devices
	6.3 Vegetation
	6.4 Schedule/ Reporting

Č.

EEP Contract # D05016-1

7.0	References	7
1.0	References	'

## 8.0 Figures

Figure 1. Site Vicinity Map Figure 2. Site Watershed Map Figure 3. Site NRCS Soil Survey Map Figure 4. Reference Reach Pattern Summary Map

#### 9.0 Appendices

Appendix 1. Restoration Plan Design Sheets
Appendix 2. Project Site NCDWQ Stream Classification Forms
Appendix 3. Project Site Design Calculations, Plots, Photographs and Summary Reports
Appendix 4. Unnamed Tributary to Sliver Creek Photographs
Appendix 5. Reference Reach Classification, Photos and Data Summary Reports
Appendix 6. HEC-RAS Analysis

#### **Report Tables**

Table 1. Drainage Areas	2
Table 2. Silver Creek Watershed Land Use Summary	
Table 3. Federal Threatened and Endangered Species in Burke County	6
Table 4. Morphological Data for Silver Creek	10
Table 5. Project Restoration Structure and Objectives	16
Table 6. Peak Discharge Rates	18

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For this project, the restoration goal is to restore the physical and biological integrity beyond current stream conditions. Current conditions consist of modified or impaired stream channels. Restoration of the streams will provide the desired habitat and stability features necessary to improve the quality of the stream. Objectives to meet that goal of restoring these stream channels are listed below.

- 1. Provide a stable stream channel with features indicative of a biologically diverse environment.
- 2. Restore the connection between the bankfull width and floodprone width of the channels by restoring the floodplain area.
- 3. Stabilize eroding banks

140

- 4. Provide a functional, native riparian floodplain corridor where deficient, and preserve any existing forested corridor.
- 5. Improve the physical aquatic habitat features.
- 6. Minimize land development impacts to the stream.
- 7. Provide long-term protection of the stream corridor.

The restoration techniques proposed for the Unnamed Tributary stream will provide the attributes described above by incorporating a variety of features recognized to support the stability and biological diversity that are essential to ecosystem enhancement. Presently, these features are non-existent or diminished within Silver Creek and the associated Unnamed Tributary.

The restoration of the Silver Creek main stem includes assessing and predicting the morphological features that will become the foundation for the construction of a stable natural channel. Considerations that have been applied to the design of this project are listed below.

- A bankfull channel designed with the appropriate dimension and cross-sectional area to convey anticipated bankfull flows and to entrain bedload material.
- A stable channel pattern extrapolated from data collected from a stable reference reach within the Silver Creek watershed.
- Grade control and bank stabilization structures that enhance the environmental and ecological attributes of the stream channel though the use of natural materials and native plantings.
- In-stream habitat features, such as sand/gravel bars, pool/riffle complexes, rock vanes, cross-vanes, J-hook vanes, log vanes, root wad bank stabilization structures, step-pools and re-establishment of the appropriate substrate material.
- Reconnection of the stream channel to a functional floodplain by making improvements to the stream channel and riparian zone that restores dimension and profile based on reference reach conditions.
- Inclusion of indigenous instream and riparian plantings.

Proven natural stream geometry relationships, as described by Newbury, Leopold, Wolman, Miller, Rosgen and others, are the basis for designing a stable, self-maintaining channel. These empirical relationships between channel pattern, profile and dimension and stream flow form the foundation for the restoration of the physical and biological functions of the stream. The restoration work focuses on the main channel of Silver Creek and an associated Unnamed Tributary. Full-scale restoration is proposed for the Unnamed Tributary, as well as Silver Creek's main stem. Approximately 2,959 linear feet of channel will be restored on the main stem, and approximately 1,533 feet on the Unnamed Tributary. The total stream length designated in the restoration plan is approximately 4,492 linear feet, which is consistent with the anticipated restoration length of 4,520 linear feet of stream from the original proposal.

The restoration site will be monitored for a period of five consecutive years or until the required success criteria has been met as determined by the North Carolina Department of Water Quality and the US Army Corps of Engineers. Parameters that will be included in the annual stream monitoring to ensure the success of the restoration activities will include stream channel surveys (longitudinal and cross-sectional profiles), pebble counts, photographs, and vegetation surveys along the riparian buffer.

## **1.0 PROJECT SITE IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION**

## **<u>1.1 Directions to Project Site</u>**

The proposed project is located approximately 3,000 feet east of Dysartsville Road and approximately 2,500 feet south of Patton Road, west of the City of Morganton, Burke County, North Carolina as shown on Figure 1. The project spans properties owned separately by Mr. and Mrs. Frank Queen and Mr. and Mrs. Richard Conway (Seven Springs Farms, Inc.).

## 1.2 USGS Hydrologic Unit Code and NCDWQ River Basin Designations

The Silver Creek watershed is located within the Catawba River Basin. The project stream reaches are mapped on North Carolina Department of Transportation Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) coverage and are located within USGS Catalog Unit Number 03050101 and Local Watershed 14-digit basin 03050101050050, as shown on Figure 2. Silver Creek restoration project is located in a wide, Rosgen Valley Type VIII, approximately 8.25 miles upstream from the confluence of Silver Creek with the Catawba River.

#### **<u>1.3 Project Vicinity Map</u>**

Figure 1 presents the project Site Vicinity Map.

## 2.0 WATERSHED CHARACTERIZATION

## 2.1 Drainage Area

The drainage area tributary to the downstream limits of the project on the main stem of Silver Creek is 8.26 square miles or 5,287 acres. The associated Unnamed Tributary has a contribution drainage area of 0.08 square miles or 48 acres. These watershed areas are shown on Figure 2. Drainage areas for the project site are summarized in Table 1.

TABLE 1           Drainage Areas           Project Number D05016-1 (Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary)				
Reach Drainage Area (Ac				
Reference Reach - Brindle Creek*	746			
Silver Creek Main Stem	5,287			
Unnamed Trib to Silver Creek*	48			
Total	5,287			

\*The reference reach (Brindle Creek) and Unnamed Tributary drainage areas are included in the total drainage area for the Silver Creek Main Stem (See Figure 2).

## 2.2 Surface Water Classification/ Water Quality

Silver Creek is currently rated as fully supporting High Quality Water and is located within a watershed that was targeted based on resource features rather than degraded water quality. Along the portion of Silver Creek within the Queen/Conway properties, Silver Creek has undergone significant bank erosion and downcutting, resulting in a disconnection from the floodplain and deposition of sediment in the stream bed. This project will restore the connection between the bankfull width and floodprone width of the channel by restoring the floodplain area. Pattern, dimension and profile will be improved through a combination of meander restoration and stabilization, instream structures installed to stabilize the streambanks, the streambed and enhance aquatic and riparian habitat.

#### 2.3 Physiography, Geology, and Soils

The Silver Creek watershed is located in the Eastern Blue Ridge Foothills on the boundary between the Southern Inner Piedmont and Blue Ridge Mountains Physiographic Province of Western North Carolina. Soils are developed over fault-emplaced metamorphic and intrusive igneous rocks associated with the Smith River Allochthon and Sauratown Mountains Anticlinorium, uplifted and displaced during tectonic continental plate collision during the Alleghenian Orogeny about 356 million years (my) ago (Fullager and Odom, 1973).

Metamorphic rocks that outcrop within the Silver Creek watershed include biotite gneiss and schist, amphibolite, megacrystic biotite gneiss, and inequigranular biotite gneiss. The plutonic igneous rock formation that underlies the stream restoration project along the main stem and the majority of the Unnamed Tributary is a migmatic granite gneiss (foliated to massive, granitic to quartz dioritic, biotite gneiss and amphibolite common). The spring that defines the top of the Unnamed Tributary emerges from an outcropping of metamorphosed plutonic granitic rock, radioactive dated to

approximately 455-540 my. The exposed rock is equigranular to megacrystic, foliated to massive and includes the Toluca Granite (Fullager and Odom, 1973).

The soils along the main stem of Silver Creek that have been derived from and developed over these metamorphic and plutonic igneous rock formations include the Colvard Series consisting of loamy sediments ranging from 40 to 60 inches or more in thickness over deposits of sandy, loamy gravelly to cobbly sediments. Rock fragments range from 0 to 15 percent to a depth of 40 inches, and from 0 to 80 percent below 40 inches. Flakes of mica range from a few to common (USDA NRCS, 1/3/06).

Along the Unnamed Tributary the Rhodhiss Series is present and is residuum from the underlying felsic crystalline bedrock. The Rhodhiss sandy to sandy-clay loam is found on 25 to 40 percent hillside slopes with a depth to bedrock greater than 60 inches. The depth to the top of the argillaceous (clayey) horizon ranges from 2 to 20 inches. The depth to the base of the argillaceous horizon is 20 to 60 inches or more. The pedon contains 0 to 20 percent mica flakes throughout, with mica content ranging up to 35 percent below a depth of 40 inches when the C horizon is present. Soils mapping and taxonomic descriptions are from the NRCS Soil Survey of Burke County, North Carolina (USDA NRCS, 1/3/06), and were provided by the Burke County Soil & Water Conservation District. Figure 3 shows the boundaries of mapped soil units within the project site and vicinity.

Valley Type VIII (Rosgen, 1996) is most readily identified landform along the main stem corridor, with the presence of river terraces positioned laterally along the broad valley with gentle, down-valley elevation relief in the project vicinity. Alluvial terraces and floodplains are the predominant depositional features and produce a high sediment supply.

First-order, Rosgen Type I v-shaped valleys and Type II narrow colluvial valleys, with their associated A and B type stream channels, respectively, dominate the upper reaches of the watershed. Second- and third-order streams within the watershed are attributed to alluvial riverine depositional processes where Rosgen Type VIII valleys with classic C3 to C5 channel types are the natural endpoints of landform evolution. Elevations within the watershed range from 2,818 feet above mean sea level (MSL) at Silver Creek Knob at the headwaters at the Burke County/Rutherford County line to below 1,120 feet MSL at the downstream limits of the stream restoration project. The resulting relief is 1,698 feet, from the headwaters to the downstream limits of the project, located approximately 5.3 miles downstream (north) from the watershed divide.

## 2.4 Historical Land Use and Development Trends

Within the watershed boundaries of the project, land use is predominantly agricultural, including row crop production and pasture/hay land with wooded and cleared hillsides. Land use in the vicinity of the project is not expected to change in the foreseeable future. Table 2 presents a breakdown of land use with the local watershed based upon USGS National Land Cover Data (NLCD, 2001).

Restoration Plan – Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary

TABLE 2Silver Creek Watershed Land Use SummaryProject Number D05016-1 (Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary)								
Description Count Sq Meters Acres Sq Mi Percent								
Open water	33	29700	7.34	0.011	0.1%			
Developed, open space	1222	1099800	271.77	0.425	5.4%			
Developed, low intensity	25	22500	5.56	0.009	0.1%			
Developed, medium intensity	7	6300	1.56	0.002	0.0%			
Barren land (rock/sand/clay)	27	24300	6.00	0.009	0.1%			
Deciduous Forest	13871	12483900	3084.84	4.822	60.7%			
Evergreen Forest	1566	1409400	348.27	0.544	6.9%			
Mixed Forest	5	4500	1.11	0.002	0.0%			
Shrub/Scrub	1334	1200600	296.67	0.464	5.8%			
Grassland/Herbaceous	1117	1005300	248.41	0.388	4.9%			
Pasture/Hay	3360	3024000	747.25	1.168	14.7%			
Cultivated Crops	79	71100	17.57	0.027	0.3%			
Woody Wetlands	187	168300	41.59	0.065	0.8%			
	Totals	20,549,700	5,287	8.26	100.0%			

The following map identifies the distribution of land uses within the local watershed.



Silver Creek Watershed - USGS National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) 2001

## 2.5 Endangered/ Threatened Species

Table 3 presents the Federally-listed Threatened or Endangered Species in Burke County, NC according to the US Fish and Wildlife Service website (http://nc-es.fws.gov/es/countyfr.html; last update 3/7/2002).

	TABI I Threatened and Endang Number D05016-1 (Silver	gered Species in Burk	
Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status	Known Occurrences
Bald Eagle	Halieeatus leucocephalus	Threatened	Current
Bog Turtle	Clemmys muhlenbergii	Threatened	Current
Dwarf-flowered Heartleaf	Hexastylis naniflora	Threatened	Current
Heller's Blazing Star	Liatris helleri	Threatened	Current
Mountain Golden Heather	Hudsonia montana	Threatened	Current
Small-whorled pogonia	Isotria medeoloides	Threatened	Current
Spreading Avens	Geum radiatum	Endangered	Historical

The "Known Occurrences" column refers to the last time the species was observed in a particular county, according to the species distribution maps from the North Carolina Natural Heritage Program dataset. "Current" means that the species was seen in the county within the last 20 years, and "Historical" means that the species was last observed in the county more than 20 years ago.

Species accounts for each of these Threatened and Endangered species were obtained from the US Fish and Wildlife Service website to determine whether suitable habitat exists within the project area. A request for a site-specific search of the North Carolina Natural Heritage Program Database was made to the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR). The search revealed no records of any rare species, significant natural communities, or priority areas within the Silver Creek project area, nor within a mile of the site.

Based on a review of all available information, including a site visit, no habitat for any of the listed species is present on the site. Due to a lack of available habitat, the Silver Creek project is not likely to have an adverse effect on any federally-listed threatened or endangered species. This information was presented in the Categorical Exclusion report submitted to and accepted by the Federal Highway Administration and State of North Carolina.

## 2.6 Cultural Resources

A literature review was prepared by EMH&T and submitted to the North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources, State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for review. In correspondence dated August 9, 2005, the SHPO recommended that the project area be surveyed for the presence of prehistoric and historic archaeological sites. Phase I Cultural Resources Management investigations

were conducted by the Archaeological Division of EMH&T, Inc. for the project area during the month of August, 2005 (ER-05-1636). No historic buildings or structures were identified in the area of potential effect, and documentation of the survey methods and findings were provided to SHPO for review. EMH&T recommended no further archaeological investigation be conducted for the project site. In correspondence dated November 15, 2005, Mr. Peter Sandbeck, the SHPO Administrator, concurred with this determination.

## 2.7 Potential Constraints

There are no constraints that have potential to adversely impact or limit improvements associated with the Restoration Plan for Silver Creek and the associated Unnamed Tributary.

## 2.7.1 Property Ownership History and Boundary

The project site lies entirely within two tracts of land. The first tract is owned by Frank H. Queen and Sarah M. Queen (Map: 89 Page: 38, Blk. Lot: 4 7U, Deed Reference: Book 222 Page 654) containing approximately 156.25 acres; the second tract is owned by Seven Springs Farms, Inc., Richard P. Conway, President, Elizabeth B. Conway, Secretary, (Map: 89 Page: 38, Blk. Lot: 4 10U, Deed Reference: Book 1083 Page 924) containing approximately 324.37 acres. Both tracts are located in Silver Creek Township, Burke County, North Carolina.

## 2.7.2 Site Access

Access to the site is provided from Dysartsville Road across Seven Springs Lane as shown on Figure 1.

#### 2.7.3 Utilities

To the best of our knowledge, there is only one utility located within the project corridor. An overhead electric line owned by Rutherford Electric Membership Corporation is present along the Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek. The project will not disturb this existing utility, nor will the electric line hinder the construction of the project. The location and designation of that utility are shown on the Restoration Plan design sheets contained in Appendix 1.

#### **3.0 PROJECT SITE STREAMS**

## 3.1 Channel Classification

#### Silver Creek Main Stem

The North Carolina DWQ Stream Classification Form was completed for the Silver Creek reach and is included in Appendix 2. The stable, natural channel form for the main stem of Silver Creek is a Rosgen C4 stream type, based on detailed, quantitative analysis of a stable reference reach located approximately 2.4 miles upstream from the top of the altered reach within the Silver Creek watershed. Agricultural land use and channel incision have altered the channel throughout the project reach, resulting in its present unstable F4 type. The incised nature of the cannel is attributed to channelization and isolated areas of cattle intrusion, which resulted in vegetative denuding and bank destabilization from hoof shear.

The restoration plan for Silver Creek utilizes proven geomorphological approaches developed by understanding and implementing stable channel dimension, pattern and profile, based on data extrapolated from reference reach boundary conditions and superimposing stable dimension, pattern and profile on the unstable form. The approach will incorporate re-establishing a floodplain with appropriate elevation, width and valley slope, emulated from stable attributes measured, quantified and extrapolated from the reference reach boundary conditions.

#### Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

The North Carolina DWQ Stream Classification Form was completed for the Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek and is included in Appendix 2. At the top of the Unnamed Tributary the stream emerges from a granite bedrock spring at altered profile survey station 15+10. From profile station 15+10 to station 5+00, the channel form is a classic Type I valley-confined, A1-A2 stream type with some bedrock control. In-stream boulders and flood-placed woody debris from leaning or fallen trees are present along the reach. The banks are unstable and steep to undercut. The vegetated riparian corridor along the Unnamed Tributary is visibly impaired. Cattle intrusion has adversely impacted the entire tributary as evidenced by vegetative denuding and bank failure attributed to hoof shear. Agricultural land use (pastureland) adjacent to the stream corridor and uncontrolled cattle access to the stream for drinking water and shade has resulted in unstable, steep to undercut stream banks, accelerated down-slope movement of colluvium into the stream channel and severe to extreme streambank erosion. The denuded, unstable streambanks are contributing large volumes of sediment and suspended solids to the larger Silver Creek watershed.

The Unnamed Tributary, in its natural form, is a Rosgen A1-A2, transitioning to a B4-B5 stream type with bed materials ranging in size from silt and sand to large cobbles and boulders from the bottom to the top of the stream reach. The transition from a v-shaped, Type I Valley confined "A" channel to a Type II colluvial valley "B4-B5" stream type occurs at approximate altered profile station 5+00 along the lower one-third of the impaired reach. Along this final 500 linear feet stream segment, the thalweg profile gradient flattens to less than four percent (0.04 ft/ft) and the floodplain widens enough to allow small meanders to form across the width of the stream's narrow floodplain. Since the terrain is less rugged along this stream segment, it is the preferred watering location for cattle grazing in the adjacent pastureland within the small, 48-acre watershed. An abandoned terrace exists adjacent to and along the right bank from altered profile station 2+00 to the bottom of the reach at altered profile station 0+00, where the stream emerges onto Silver Creek's Valley Type VIII

floodplain, upstream from its confluence with another Unnamed Tributary. Approximately 250 feet downstream from the confluence of the two unnamed tributaries is the confluence point with the main stem of Silver Creek.

## 3.2 Discharge

## Silver Creek Main Stem

For Silver Creek, bankfull discharge was determined through quantitative analysis of stable reference reach boundary conditions and comparison of predicted bankfull discharge through a stable riffle section located approximately 2.4 miles upstream from the impaired reach (project area). The reference reach is a stable, Rosgen C4 stream type with excellent connection to its healthy, deciduous hardwood forest floodplain. Calculated discharge for the reference reach riffle section was compared to stratified C-type streams data from *Bankfull Regional Curves for North Carolina Mountain Streams* data set, as included in the appendices of the multi-agency *Stream Mitigation Guidelines* document (USACE Wilmington District et al., 4/03). The calculated discharge using quantified reference reach data provided a very close match to the stratified dataset. Bankfull discharge at the top of the impaired reach, with a drainage area of 8.01 square miles and interpolated from the regional curve data set, is 460 cubic feet per second (cfs). Independent HEC-RAS modeling predicted the same flow for this position in the watershed, verifying the bankfull discharge for a 1.7-year return interval flow, extrapolated from the stratified dataset.

## Unnamed Tributary

Bankfull discharge for the Unnamed Tributary was interpreted directly from regression equations published with the *Bankfull Regional Curves for North Carolina Mountain Streams*. The mountain streams regional curves data sets do not include data for A and B stream types with drainage areas less than one square mile. Therefore the regression equations developed from the regional curves data sets were used to extrapolate beyond the lower limits of verified bankfull dimensions, discharge and drainage area relationships. The area of a surveyed riffle cross-section near the bottom of the Unnamed Tributary reach, however, very closely matches the empirical relationship between drainage area and bankfull cross-sectional area extrapolated from the published regional curve data for North Carolina mountain streams. The predicted bankfull discharge for the Unnamed Tributary is 14.4 cfs.

#### 3.3 Channel Morphology

As previously noted, existing morphology along the Silver Creek main stem altered reach is Rosgen Valley Type VIII. The pre-restoration channel is an unstable F4 stream type. The restoration goal is to re-establish pattern, profile and dimension consistent with the stable C4 reference reach boundary conditions. Table 4 summarizes the morphological data for Silver Creek and the reference reach.

EEP Contract # D05016-1

Restoration Plan – Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary

TABLE 4           Morphological Data For Silver Creek and Reference Reach           Project Number D05016-1 (Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary )					
Item	Existing Conditions	Designed Conditions	Designed Conditions	Reference Reach	
LOCATION	Silver Creek Main Stem	Silver Creek Main Stem	Unnamed Tributary	Brindle Creek, Trib to Silver Ck	
STREAMS TYPE	F4	C4	A1-A2 to B4-B5	C4	
DRAINAGE AREA, Ac-Sq Mi	5127.15 Ac – 8.01 Sq Mi	5127.15 Ac – 8.01 Sq Mi	48 Ac -0.08 Sq Mi	745.75 Ac – 1.16 Sq Mi	
BANKFULL WIDTH (W <sub>bkf</sub> ), ft	30.0 ft	30.0 ft	8.0 ft	24.0 ft	
BANKFULL MEAN DEPTH (d ), ft	7-9 ft	3.0 ft	0.5	1.28 ft	
WIDTH/DEPTH RATIO (W_/d_) bkf_bkf	3.3 - 4.3	9.0	16	18.77	
BANKFULL X <sub>2</sub> SECTION AREA (A <sub>bkf</sub> ), ft	210-270 ft	90 ft	3.5 ft	30.77 ft	
BANKFULL MEAN VELOCITY, fps	1.7-2.2 fps	5.1 fps	4.2 fps	3.19 fps	
BANKFULL DISCHARGE, cfs	2300 cfs*	460 cfs	14.7 cfs	98.16 cfs	
BANKFULL MAX DEPTH (d), ft	9 ft	4.2 ft	1051.0	1.86 ft	
WIDTH Flood-Prone Area (W <sub>fpa</sub> ), ft	40 ft	80 - 145 ft	Valley Confined to 15 ft	232 ft	
ENTRENCHMENT RATIO (ER)	1.3	2.7 - 4.8	1.0 - 1.9	9.66	
MEANDER LENGTH (Lm), ft	0 - 550	180 - 190	0 - 80	90 – 120	

\*Flow rate representative of the 5-year return interval event based on HEC-RAS analysis. Based on existing conditions, the 5-year peak discharge storm event will fill the existing channel (i.e., bankfull discharge) and flow out onto the existing floodplain.

The Unnamed Tributary is a Rosgen A1-A2, transitioning to a B4-B5 stream type in the lower third of the reach and has been impaired by agricultural impacts (mainly clearing of native deciduous hardwood forest to create pastureland and impacts associated with cattle intrusion, as previously noted).

## 3.4 Channel Stability Assessment

#### Silver Creek Main Stem

In its present state, the stream channel's unstable width to depth ratio (3.3 - 4.3), entrenchment ratio (flood prone width/bankfull width = 1.3), relatively flat profile slope (0.0027 ft/ft) and poorly

defined active streambed has resulted in a deeply incised channel disconnected from its floodplain. Mid-channel, lateral, and transverse sand and gravel bar deposits are present at locations throughout the entire reach, demonstrating the stream lacks stable pattern, profile and dimension to entrain its bedload. The locations of these depositional features in the near bank region deflects flows from the center of the channel toward the incised vertical banks, accelerating streambank erosion. Near bank stress at a critical riffle cross-section, located at altered reach profile station 12+52.50, is approximately 2.24 lbs/square foot, based on design calculations. The near vertical, denuded 8-feet streambanks at this location are typical of the existing impaired stream reach within the main stem project corridor. Utilizing the near bank stress method algorithm included in RiverMorph<sup>®</sup> v.4.0, it is estimated 5,570 cubic yards per year (or 6,980 tons per year) of sediment is being eroded from the unstable stream banks along the main stem. Bank Erosion Hazard Index (BEHI) and sediment export estimates are included with the information in Appendix 3.

Silver Creek is a vertically contained stream that has abandoned its floodplain due to a lowering of base level and is characterized by 7 to 9 feet high, near vertical stream banks. The consequence of channelization, cattle intrusion, confinement (lateral containment), major floods, changes in sediment regime and loss of riparian vegetation are attributed causes and effects for existing conditions along the altered reach. The effects of these anthropogenic changes are accelerated streambank erosion, land loss, aquatic habitat loss, lowering of the water table, land productivity reduction and in-stream and downstream sedimentation.

## Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

The Unnamed Tributary channel is a classic Type I valley confined, A1-A2 stream type transitioning to a Type II colluvial valley, B4-B5 stream type in the lower third of the altered reach. The upper two-thirds of the reach exhibits some bedrock control, in-stream boulders together with flood placed woody debris from leaning or fallen trees along the unstable, steep to undercut streambanks. The impaired riparian vegetative communities exacerbate streambank erosion rates and down-slope movement of colluvium. Cattle intrusion has adversely impacted the entire tributary as evidenced by vegetative denuding and bank failure attributed to hoof shear. Agricultural land use (pastureland) adjacent to the stream corridor and uncontrolled cattle access to the stream for drinking water and shade has resulted in unstable, steep to undercut streambanks, and accelerated severe to extreme streambank erosion. The unstable streambanks are contributing large volumes of suspended sediment and bedload material to the larger Silver Creek watershed. Utilizing the near bank stress method, adjusted for channel pattern and depositional features algorithm included in RiverMorph<sup>®</sup> v.4.0, it is estimated 290 cubic yards per year (or 375 tons per year) of sediment is being eroded from the unstable stream banks along the Unnamed Tributary. BEHI and sediment export estimates are presented in Appendix 3. Representative photographs of the Unnamed Tributary are presented in Appendix 4.

## 3.5 Bankfull Verification

## Silver Creek Main Stem

As noted in Section 3.2, for Silver Creek main stem, bankfull discharge was determined through quantitative analysis of stable reference reach data and comparison of predicted bankfull discharge through a stable riffle section located approximately 2.4 miles upstream from the impaired reach (project area). Drainage area discharge relationships for the reference reach riffle section were

compared to stratified C-type streams data from *Bankfull Regional Curves for North Carolina Mountain Streams* data set. The calculated discharge using quantified reference reach data provided a very close match to the discharge extrapolated from the stratified data set. Bankfull discharge at the top of the impaired reach, with a drainage area of 8.01 square miles was extrapolated from the from stable reference reach boundary conditions, with adjusted drainage area tributary to the altered reach (8.01 mi<sup>2</sup>) with a calculated bankfull discharge of 461 cubic feet per second (cfs). Independent HEC-RAS modeling predicted the same flow for this position in the watershed, verifying the bankfull discharge for a 1.7-year return interval flow.

## Unnamed Tributary

Bankfull characteristics for the Unnamed Tributary were interpreted directly from regression equations published with the *Bankfull Regional Curves for North Carolina Mountain Streams*. The mountain streams regional curves data sets do not include data for A and B stream types with drainage areas less than one square mile. Therefore the regression equations developed from the regional curves data sets were used to extrapolate beyond the lower limits of verified bankfull discharge, dimension and drainage area empirical relationships. The area of a surveyed riffle cross-section at altered profile station 1+15 near the bottom of the Unnamed Tributary reach, however, very closely matches the empirical relationship between drainage area and bankfull cross-sectional area extrapolated from the regression equation,  $A_{BKF} = 22.1 A_w^{0.67}$ , where  $A_w$  is the watershed area in square miles (for the Unnamed Tributary, the drainage area is 0.08 square miles). This equation yields a bankfull cross-sectional area of 3.7 ft<sup>2</sup>. The survey verification of the required cross-sectional area needed to carry the estimated bankfull discharge of 14.4 cfs from the contribution drainage area, with a predicted return interval of 1.25 years, has therefore been carried forward into the design for the impaired Unnamed Tributary reach.

## 3.6 Vegetation

The existing riparian corridor along Silver Creek varies from wide to denuded within the project area. The wide portion consists of a mature forested corridor, while narrow and denuded areas are the result of a pine beetle infestation. A narrow forested corridor is present along the majority of the Unnamed Tributary. Typical species observed along the streams and adjancent forested areas include *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine), *Platanus occidentalis* (sycamore) and *Ilex opaca* (American holly). Active cattle pasture land is present outside of the riparian corridors along both streams. Photographs of the Silver Creek corridor are included within Appendix 3, and Appendix 4 presents photographs of the Unnamed Tributary.

## 4.0 **REFERENCE STREAMS**

## 4.1 Watershed Characterization

A stable reference reach was selected using recent aerial photography (February 2005) and NCDOT LiDAR contour data coverages for the drainage area tributary to the restoration project in the Silver Creek watershed. Two complete meander wavelengths along the reference reach were evaluated using accepted stream classification techniques and procedures (D.L. Rosgen, 1994).

The location of the reference reach in relation to the project is shown on Figure 2. The top of the reference reach begins at 35°37'07" North Latitude and 81°48'58" West Longitude (NAD 83, UTM Zone 17 Coordinates 691,930.8729 N, 1,163,198.3476 E GPS Reference Point). The drainage area tributary to the reference reach is 1.16 square miles.

Dimension, pattern, profile and substrate data were collected along the reference reach and quantitatively evaluated using RiverMorph<sup>®</sup> v.3.1 software application. Reference reach geomorphologic summary reports, dimensionless ratios, longitudinal profile, cross-sections, including photos taken at stable riffle and pool cross-section locations, are included in Appendix 5. Figure 4 presents the pattern summary for the reference reach.

## 4.2 Channel Classification

The reference reach is a stable, Rosgen C4 stream type with excellent connection to its healthy, deciduous hardwood forest floodplain. Calculated discharge for a stable reference reach riffle crosssection was compared to stratified C Type streams data from *Bankfull Regional Curves for North Carolina Mountain Streams* data set. The calculated discharge using quantified reference reach data is a very close match to the stratified data's empirical relationships.

#### 4.3 Discharge

The calculated bankfull discharge, using quantified and verified reference reach data collected at a stable riffle cross-section is 96.1 cfs. The calculations are included in the information within Appendix 5.

## 4.4 Channel Morphology

The reference reach channel morphology summary report is presented in Appendix 5. Stream channel morphology data for the reference reach, the Silver Creek main steam, and the Unnamed Tributary is presented in tabular format on Table 4.

## 4.5 Channel Stability Assessment

As shown on the photographs in Appendix 5, the plant community exists over the streambanks into the active channel along the reference reach. High root densities and depths were observed at both stable riffle and pool locations throughout the reference reach, with healthy communities of canopy, shrub and herbaceous species present. Best-fit trend lines drawn through the bankfull indicator points, water surface and thalweg points, respectively, on the longitudinal profile are essentially parallel. There is no indication of head cutting, downcutting, aggradation or degradation. The reference reach is an extremely stable, second-order C4 stream channel, with a large gravel to small cobble streambed substrate, based on quantitative analysis of reference reach boundary conditions measured in the field.

## 4.6 Bankfull Verification

See Section 4.2 for reference reach bankfull verification details.

#### 4.7 Vegetation

The reference reach exists within a second-growth, forested floodplain containing mature trees, saplings, and some shrubs. Tree species observed along the reference reach include *Pinus taeda*, *Platanus occidentalis*, *Quercus rubra* (red oak), and *Fagus grandifolia* (American beech). Scattered *Symplocos tinctoria* (common sweetleaf) shrubs were also present. Vegetative cover along the reference reach is much more dense and intact than that along Silver Creek and the Unnamed Tributary. The reference reach flows through a wide forested area, rather than a narrow riparian corridor. Vegetation along the reference reach is undisturbed, and tree roots along the channel are providing stability along the reach. Photographs of the reference reach are provided within Appendix 5.

## 5.0 PROJECT SITE RESTORATION PLAN

#### 5.1 Restoration Project Goals and Objectives

#### Silver Creek Main Stem

ι.,

The ultimate goal and objective for the restoration project is to restore stable pattern, profile and dimension along the main stem of Silver Creek. This will be accomplished by raising the streambed using grade control structures (cross-vanes) to reduce critical shear stress in the near bank region while maintaining flow velocities required to entrain large gravel, based upon streambed particle size distributions collected from both the stable reference reach and the altered main stem reach. To establish the bankfull channel dimension, a floodprone bench will be constructed with appropriate elevation, width and slope, thereby restoring the floodplain area.

A combination of cross-vanes, J-hook vanes and rock vane deflector weirs will be constructed at appropriate locations throughout the reach to alleviate near bank stress and associated streambank erosion. Streambed structures, constructed using strategically placed boulder dual winged jetties, root wad bank stabilization structures, and log vanes will be utilized, where needed, to achieve entrainment velocities required to move silt and sand size particles through the system during normal and low-flow conditions. The streambed structures have the added benefit of creating aquatic habitat and preventing the development of deleterious depositional sand and gravel bars features within the active channel. The plan sheets detailing the design for the Silver Creek main stem (RP-3/19 through RP-8/19) are included in Appendix 1.

#### Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

The fundamental goal to stabilize the Unnamed Tributary within its valley confined stream channel is to stabilize steep to undercut banks with heavy coir fabric jute matting, combined with implementing an aggressive native revetment plan and excluding cattle from the riparian corridor. Step-pools will be constructed at appropriate spacing to dissipate energy during bankfull discharge events along stream segments in the upper two-thirds of the reach. The plan sheets presenting the design for the Unnamed Tributary stream (RP-9/19 through RP-11/19) are included in Appendix 1. Design details are provided in sheets RP-12/19 through RP-14/19 in Appendix 1.

#### 5.1.1 Designed Channel Classification

The designed main stem channel is a stable C4 channel, with restored pattern, profile and dimension to entrain its bedload. The designed Unnamed Tributary stream will be restored to a stable A1-A2 stream, transitioning to a stable B4-B5 stream. Table 5 summarizes the restoration structure and objectives for Silver Creek and the Unnamed Tributary.

Restoration Plan – Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary

TABLE 5           Project Restoration Structure and Objectives           Project Number D05016-1 (Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary )						
Restoration Segment / Reach ID	Station Range	Restoration Type	Priority Approach	Existing Linear Footage	Designed Linear Footage	Comment
Reach I: Silver Creek Main Stem	00+00 – 29+59.12	Restoration	P1 PIL	3,039 lf	2,959 lf	Reach I includes restoration of stable pattern, profile, dimension, substrate and floodprone area
Reach II: Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek	0+00 - 15+32.70	Restoration	PI PI	1,510 lf	1,533 lf	Reach II consist of restoration of stable profile, pattern, dimension and substrate

## 5.1.2 Target Buffer Communities

The target buffer community for both the Silver Creek main stem and the Unnamed Tributary is of the Piedmont/Low Mountian Alluvial Forest community type, as described in *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina* (Schafale and Weakley, 1990). According to the Schafale and Weakley publication, hydrology of these areas is palustrine, seasonally or intermittently flooded on various alluvial soils. Important characteristices regarding the Piedmont/Low Mountain Alluvial forest Community according to Schafale and Weakley, 1990 include the following:

- Flood carried sediment provides nutrient input to these communities, as well as serving as a natural disturbance factor.
- Variation is probably most related to frequency and recentness of destructive flooding. Sites may vary due to different alluvial material and its effect on soil fertility but almost all alluvial sites are more fertile than surrounding uplands.
- Piedmont/Low Mountain alluvial forests may be distinguished from mesic communities by location in a floodplain and by the presence of alluvial species such as <u>Platanus occidentalis</u>, <u>Betula nigra</u>, and <u>Acer negundo</u>.

- Piedmont Alluvial Forests may be distinguished from Montane Alluvial Forests by the presence of low elevation alluvial species such as Liquidambar styraciflua, Acer negundo, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Ulmus americana, and Ulmus alata...

## 5.2 Sediment Transport Analysis

#### 5.2.1 Methodology

The modified Shields Equation was used to calculate the largest entrainable particle size, based on site-specific stable and altered boundary conditions for the Silver Creek main stem and the Unnamed Tributary. (Rosgen, 1994; Williams and Rosgen, 1989; Andrews, 1984).

#### 5.2.2 Calculations and Discussion

Shields (1936) described shear stress as:

 $\tau = \gamma RS$ 

where:

 $\tau$  = shear stress (lbs/sq. ft.)  $\gamma$  = specific weight of water (62.4 lbs/cu. ft.) R = hydraulic radius (ft.), and S = channel slope (ft./ft.).

To test the relationship between shear stress and mean stream velocity at multiple flow levels, Rosgen (1994) used an aggregate data set for six stream types. By plotting discharge (cfs) vs. bedload (lbs/sec) it was demonstrated a significant relationship was not found for the aggregate data set. Rosgen found, however, there is a significant empirical relationship when the same data set was stratified by stream type and shear stress (lbs/sq. ft.) was plotted vs. mean velocity (ft/sec) on a loglog scale.

The magnitude of shear stress required to entrain the design particle diameter (31 mm) is 0.524 lbs/sq. ft. with a required hydraulic radius of 2.35 feet, bankfull mean depth of 2.67 feet, and a mean bankfull velocity of 4.5 ft/sec.

The associated critical dimensionless shear stress ( $\tau_{ci}^*$ ) was calculated based on the D50 particle distribution at altered main stem riffle section 12+52.5 and composite D50 particle distribution from the reference reach is 0.0098. When a composite particle distribution has been assembled for stable reference reach boundary conditions, the need for a bar sample particle distribution is negated (Wolman, 1954). Therefore the reference reach composite D50 particle size was used in the computation of  $\tau_{ci}^*$ ).

The critical dimensionless shear stress, returned from RiverMorph<sup>®</sup>, is calculated using the following equation (Williams & Rosgen, 1989):

 $\tau_{ci}^* = 0.0834 (D50_{BED}/D50_{BAR})^{-0.872}$ 

The following equation is used to predict the depth and slope needed to move the largest size of sediment available to the channel:

$$d = (\underline{\tau_{ci}}^*) (\underline{\gamma}_S) (D50_{BAR})$$
  
S

Where:

 $\gamma_{S}$  = submerged specific weight of sediment D50<sub>BAR</sub> = median diameter of bar sample d = mean depth S = mean water surface slope at bankfull

The required bankfull water surface slope, based on boundary conditions as noted, is 0.0037 ft./ft. The design thalweg average slope is 0.0027 ft/ft. To maintain stable geomorphic geometry relationships, streambed structures, constructed using strategically placed dual-winged boulder jetties, root wad bank stabilization structures, and log vanes will be utilized, where needed, to constrict flow and increase entrainment velocities needed to move silt and sand size particles through the system during normal and low-flow conditions and ensure critical entrainment velocity required to move the D50 design particle through the system at bankfull discharge is maintained. The streambed structures have the added benefit of creating additional aquatic habitat and will prevent the development of deleterious depositional sand and gravel bars features within the active streambed. Entrainment calculations are included in the RiverMorph design summary reports in Appendix 3.

#### 5.3\_HEC-RAS Analysis

An analysis of the floodplain of the project reach of both streams was undertaken to determine the elevations and extents of the existing floodprone areas of the channel valleys. Peak discharge values for both Silver Creek and its Unnamed Tributary were calculated using regional equations contained within *Estimating the Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in Rural Basins of North Carolina-Revised*, published by the U.S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) as Report 01-4207 in 2001. This publication contains equations to calculate peak discharge rates for multiple storm events as summarized in Table 6.

TABLE 6           Peak Discharge Rates           Project Number D05016-1 (Silver Creek and Unnamed Tributary )						
	II. IT. I. town	Silve	er Creek			
Storm Event	Unnamed Tributary (cfs)	Upstream Project Limits (cfs)	Downstream Project Limits (cfs)			
2-year	23	578	594			
5-year	44	983	1010			
10-year	63	1315	1351			
25-year	93	1810	1858			
50-year	121	2245	2301			
100-year	154	2718	2788			
500-year	252	4060	4161			

**Evans, Mechwart, Hambleton & Tilton, Inc.** Engineers, Surveyors, Planners, Scientists The peak discharge values summarized above were plotted on semi-log paper to extrapolate a 1.7year flow event to confirm the bankfull discharge calculated independently. This plot can be found in Appendix 6 and verifies that the bankfull discharge at the upstream project limits on Silver Creek main stem is 460 cfs.

The peak discharge values summarized above were entered into the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic Engineering Centers River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) computer program to determine the elevations of each of the various flood events. Topographic information used within the HEC-RAS analysis was taken from both aerial orthophotography and field survey information of the two project areas. The results of this analysis were utilized to confirm the extent of the floodplain for the two project areas and are included in Appendix 6. Along Silver Creek it was determined that a storm greater than a 5-year event would leave the channel and enter the floodplain. Based on the results of the HEC-RAS analysis of the Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek, the channel is capable of conveying events larger than the 25-year event, with several locations conveying the 100-year event.

The project area is shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for Burke County, Number 370034, panel 0200C, dated June 17, 1991. The FIRM depicts no special flood hazard areas along Silver Creek or the Unnamed Tributary within the project area.

The proposed project increases the flood carrying capacity of Silver Creek by providing an excavated floodprone bench. A hydraulic backwater analysis has been performed using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-RAS computer model and verifies that the proposed project will not increase the flood hazard potential of Silver Creek on adjoining properties. Regarding the improvements to the Unnamed Tributary, the entire watercourse is contained to the property owners participating in the project, who understand and accept the nature of the improvements. Furthermore, the watercourse and any associated flooding are also contained within a narrow and deep valley on these properties.

## 5.4 Stormwater Best Management Practices

#### 5.4.1 Site-Specific Stormwater Concerns

## Silver Creek Main Stem

The watershed area for Silver Creek within the project corridor is more than 8 square miles. As such, conventional methods for channel de-watering during construction of in-stream features are not practical for use on this project. All stormwater best management practices (BMP's) will be confined to areas outside and adjacent to the stream channel, reducing the possibility of sediment from denuded lands getting into the channel.

## Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

Due to the small watershed area for this channel, stormwater BMP's will be applied that intercept and treat all stormwater flows from the watershed prior to their release to the downstream receiving channel.

## 5.4.2 BMP Device Description and Application

Design sheets RP-15/19 and RP-16/19 contained in Appendix 1 of this document detail the various BMP applications and provide an indication of their location along the project corridor, for both the main stem of Silver Creek and the Unnamed Tributary. The design sheets also includes notes and references for the installation and maintenance of these features. There are also notes specific to construction sequence, intended to reduce the impact of sedimentation within the project corridor. Further discussion of the two different scenarios is provided below.

## Silver Creek Main Stem

As described previously, all BMP applications will be outside of the channel. Essentially, it is proposed that sediment barrier fencing or straw waddles be applied as a buffer between the stream channel and adjoining denuded areas. Where there are areas of concentrated flow coming to the stream channel from the adjoining areas, then a method will be applied to convey that flow directly to the stream channel without passing through the denuded areas. This may include temporary culverts, or rock or fabric lined drainage swales. The determination of these locations and the appropriate application will be coordinated with the contractor prior to and during construction.

## Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

As described previously, the approach to stormwater BMP along this watercourse is to capture and treat sediment laden flows prior to reaching the downstream channel. That can be accomplished using a sediment trap at the downstream end of the project corridor. Maintenance of that feature will be important to ensure that it provides the required storage volume for the capture of sediment for the duration of the project. Furthermore, the contractor may choose to use conventional de-watering practices to facilitate construction of the many in-stream features included along the project corridor.

## 5.5 Natural Plant Community Restoration

## 5.5.1 Plant Community Restoration Plan

The proposed riparian planting plan was developed by integrating the native plant species observed on site along with selected species known to inhabit the Piedmont/Low Mountain alluvial forest community type as described in *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina* (Schafale and Weakley, 1990) to institute species diversity. According to the Schafale and Weakley publication, hydrology of these areas is palustrine, seasonally or intermittently flooded on various alluvial soils. Important characteristices regarding the Piedmont/Low Mountain alluvial forest community according to Schafale and Weakley, 1990 include the following:

- Flood carried sediment provides nutrient input to these communities, as well as serving as a natural disturbance factor.
- Variation is probably most related to frequency and recentness of destructive flooding. Sites may vary due to different alluvial material and its effect on soil fertility but almost all alluvial sites are more fertile than surrounding uplands.

- Piedmont/Low Mountain alluvial forests may be distinguished from mesic communities by location in a floodplain and by the presence of alluvial species such as <u>Platanus occidentalis</u>, <u>Betula nigra</u>, and <u>Acer negundo</u>.
- Piedmont Alluvial Forests may be distinguished from Montane Alluvial Forests by the presence of low elevation alluvial species such as <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>, <u>Acer negundo</u>, <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u>, <u>Ulmus americana</u>, and <u>Ulmus alata</u>...

#### Silver Creek Mainstem

Along the mainstem of Silver Creek, the majority of the restored riparian zone will be located within the created bankfull bench and toe slope areas. The restored streams will be fully replanted with the appropriate native species in the form of live stakes or bare-root material, along with some larger specimens (1 gallon container size). Planting zones have been designated for Silver Creek as described in the tables below. The bare root seedlings will be planted during the fall or early spring seasons, as soon as possible after the completion of the earthwork associated with constructing the new stream channels. During the following fall, supplemental shrub and tree species will be planted if survival rates of previously planted seedlings are below target densities as determined in late summer (August-September). Final species selection will be based upon availability. In addition to planting described below, temporary and permanent seeding will occur in Zones 2, 3 & 4. The planting plan is presented in the schematic engineering drawings, included on design sheet RP-17/19 in Appendix 1.

## Proposed Silver Creek Plantings

• Zone 1 – Outside Meander bends Live branches, 2x2' centers

<u>Common Name</u> Silky dogwood Southern arrowwood viburnum Elderberry Black willow

• Zone 2 – Streamside Shrubs and Trees

Shrubs - 4x4' centers

Common Name Painted buckeye Silky dogwood Tag alder Black willow Elderberry Southern arrowwood viburnum American hazelnut <u>Scientific Name</u> Cornus amomum Viburnum dentatum Sambucus canadensis Salix nigra

Scientific Name Aesculus sylvatica Cornus amomum Alnus serrulata Salix nigra Sambucus canadensis Viburnum dentatum Corylus americana • Zone 2 – Streamside Shrubs and Trees (cont.)

Trees – 100 foot spacing (1 gallon container size)

Common Name Box elder River birch Sycamore Sweet gum Green ash Tulip poplar American elm Bitternut hickory

 Zone 3 – Floodplain 8x8' centers

> Common Name Box elder River birch Sycamore Sweet gum Green ash Tulip poplar American elm Bitternut hickory

- <u>Zone 4 30' Riparian Buffer</u> 10x10' centers
  - <u>Common Name</u> White ash Black walnut Tulip poplar Black gum Black cherry White oak

Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek

Along the majority of the Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek, a narrow riparian corridor is present. The existing riparian vegetation will be preserved to the extent possible and will be enhanced through the installation of supplemental plantings as indicated on design sheets RP-18/19 through RP-19/19 in Appendix 1. A mixture of bare-root tree material including *Fraxinus alba, Juglans nigra, Liriodendron tulipifera, Nyssa sylvatica, Prunus serotina*, and *Quercus alba* will be planted on 10' by 10' centers. Some additional larger caliper trees will also be incorporated into the plantings. In areas where cattle intrusion has caused the most damage to the corridor, bare-root material will be installed on 8' by 8' centers in addition to some larger caliper container trees. A full replanting of the

Scientific Name Acer negundo Betula nigra Platanus occidentalis Liquidambar styraciflua Fraxinus pennsylvanica Liriodendron tulipifera Ulmus americana Carya cordiformis

Scientific Name Acer negundo Betula nigra Platanus occidentalis Liquidambar styraciflua Fraxinus pennsylvanica Liriodendron tulipifera Ulmus americana Carya cordiformis

Scientific Name Fraxinus alba Juglans nigra Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica Prunus serotina Ouercus alba riparian corridor will be performed for areas along the stream that are cleared for restoration construction, including the excavated floodplain bench and construction access points. This full replanting will follow the 'zone' methodology prescribed for the mainstem of Silver Creek.

#### 5.5.2 On-Site Invasive Species Management

This project proposes to treat and eradicate exotic woody vegetation by appropriate means. This will help meet one of the overall goals of the restoration project by enhancing buffers and creating habitat for birds and animals. By eradicating non-native vegetation, native vegetation will be allowed to colonize and provide a better food source for the local fauna.

Before treatment, a vegetation assessment would be performed to determine extent of invasive vegetation. The most appropriate treatment options will be determined after the assessment. Possible treatments for invasive exotic vegetation include application of appropriate herbicides either through stem cut and spray or spraying of the actively photosynthesizing leaves. This work would most likely be done in the fall or winter, during the dormant season of most native vegetation. The initial treatment would likely take a week to complete. Follow up and maintenance is critical in order to eradicate any root sprouts that may occur in the following seasons.

## 6.0 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

#### 6.1 Streams

As discussed in the original proposal, the restoration goal for the stream is to restore the physical and biological integrity beyond current stream conditions. Current conditions consist of modified or impaired stream channels. Objectives to meet that goal of restoring these stream channels include the following:

- 1. Provide a stable stream channel with features indicative of a biologically diverse environment.
- 2. Restore the connection between the bankfull width and floodprone width of the channels by restoring the floodplain area.
- 3. Stabilize eroding banks.
- 4. Provide a functional, native riparian floodplain corridor where deficient, and preserve any existing forested corridor.
- 5. Improve the physical aquatic habitat features.
- 6. Minimize land development impacts to the stream.
- 7. Provide long-term protection of the stream corridor.

Restoration of the streams will provide all the desired habitat and stability features necessary to improve the quality of the stream. There are many long-term benefits derived from the efforts to restore the streams, such as:

- reversing the effects of channel incision
- stabilizing eroding channel banks
- development of instream habitat features
- re-vegetation of the riparian corridor with native, wildlife friendly plants
- construction of a floodplain with the accompanying benefits of sediment and nutrient storage

The restoration techniques proposed for the Unnamed Tributary stream will provide the attributes described above by incorporating a variety of features recognized to support the stability and biological diversity that are essential to ecosystem enhancement. Presently, these features are non-existent or diminished within Sliver Creek and the associated Unnamed Tributary.

The restoration of the stream includes assessing and predicting the morphological features that will become the foundation for the construction of a stable natural channel. Considerations that have been applied to the design of this project are listed below.

- A bankfull channel designed with the appropriate dimension and cross-sectional area to convey anticipated bankfull flows and to entrain bedload material.
- A stable channel pattern (sinuosity) extrapolated from data collected from a stable reference reach within the Silver Creek watershed.
- Grade control and bank stabilization structures that enhance the environmental and ecological attributes of the stream channel though the use of natural materials and native plantings.

- In-stream habitat features, such as sand/gravel bars, pool/riffle complexes, rock vanes, cross-vanes, J-hook vanes, log vanes, root wad bank stabilization structures, step-pools (where appropriate) and re-establishment of the appropriate substrate material.
- Reconnection of the stream channel to a functional floodplain, to be accomplished using a combination of Priority 1 (raising the stream channel) and Priority 2 (lowering the floodplain) restoration.
- Inclusion of extensive instream and riparian plantings.

Proven natural stream geometry relationships as described by Newbury, Leopold, Wolman, Miller, Rosgen and others, is the basis for designing a stable, self-maintaining channel. These empirical relationships between channel pattern, profile and dimension and stream flow form the foundation for the restoration of the physical and biological functions of the stream.

## 6.2 Stormwater Management Devices

Properly installed and well maintained BMP applications should adequately mitigate the impact of sediment laden stormwater flows within the project corridor. Stormwater BMP's for the project are discussed in Section 5.4. All BMP applications will be inspected and maintained throughout the construction process and until the site is stabilized.

## 6.3 Vegetation

The target density for the riparian buffer is to establish a minimum of 320 stems per acre after 3 years, with a minimum of 260 stems per acre at the end of the 5-year monitoring period. This would represent a minimum survival rate of 80% of the plantings.

## 6.4 Monitoring Schedule and Reporting

The restoration site will be monitored for five consecutive years or until the required success criteria has been met as determined by the EEP, NC DWQ, and USACE. Monitoring activities will begin immediately following completion of the stream construction in order to alleviate any potential problems as they occur. Planting will occur during the fall of 2006 and possibly spring of 2007; therefore, the riparian buffer restoration will be monitored the following growing season projected to be summer of 2007. Monitoring activities will follow the guidelines presented in the request for proposal for this project.

Parameters that will be included in the annual stream monitoring to ensure the success of the restoration activities will include stream channel surveys (longitudinal and cross-sectional profiles), pebble counts, photographs, and vegetation surveys.

Following the submittal of the monitoring reports to the appropriate agency representatives, the recipients of the report will be contacted for the purpose of discussing the monitoring data, required success criteria and whether or not the site is functioning as expected. If the site is not functioning as expected, a site visit will be scheduled with the review agencies so that consideration can be given to whether a remediation plan should be created and implemented. The remediation plans, if required, will directly reflect the requested alterations as discussed with the regulatory agencies, if it is determined that such alterations will correct any identified deficiencies.

## Stream Channels

Stream channel stability will be physically monitored by establishing permanent cross-sections located approximately every 500 feet along the restored channels (or no more than 2 per thousand feet). Each cross-section will be monumented for future identity and survey. All of these cross-sectional surveys will also be utilized as photographic points. Cross-section locations to be monitored will be established immediately following construction during the completion of the "as-built" survey. A longitudinal profile survey will be conducted along the entire restoration reach of the Silver Creek main stem as well as the entire Unnamed Tributary. The "as-built" report will include the constructed stream channel dimension, pattern, and longitudinal profile. This data will be utilized as baseline to compare future monitoring surveys and subsequently to determine channel stability and transition. Other data collected will include at least six pebble counts for the project, stream pattern data, and stream side plant conditions. Annual inspection of in-stream structures will also occur to verify proper function and channel stability. Stream channel monitoring surveys will be completed annually for five consecutive years, starting on Year 1 after completion of the project.

#### Riparian Buffers

Vegetation within the restored riparian buffer will be monitored for five consecutive years. Ten by ten meter square plots will be permanently established following completion of the planting phase and at least two opposing corners will be permanently installed and surveyed for future use. Approximately 5% of the project area will be monitored. A stem count of planted species will be performed within each monitoring plot. The species, density, survival rates, and the cause of mortality if identifiable will be reported for each planted species in each plot. Vegetation plots will be sampled annually and reported every year along with the data collected during the physical monitoring of the channel. The primary focus of the vegetative monitoring will be on the planted individuals in the tree and shrub strata, although herbaceous species encountered may also be recorded. Vegetation monitoring will occur between August and October.

## 7.0 REFERENCES

Andrews, E.D. 1984. <u>Bed-material Entrainment and Hydraulic Geometry of Gravel-Bed Rivers in</u> <u>Colorado</u>, Geological Society of America, Bulletin 95, 371-378.

Fullagar, P.D., and Odom, A.L. 1973. <u>Geochronology of Precambrian Gneisses in the Blue Ridge</u> <u>Province of Northwestern North Carolina and Adjacent Parts of Virginia and Tennessee</u>, Geological Society America Bulletin, v. 84, p. 3065-3079.

Leopold, L.B., 1994. <u>A View of the River</u>, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Leopold, L.B., Wolman, M.G., and Miller, J.B. 1964. <u>Fluvial Processes in Geomorphology</u>, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, CA.

Pfankuch, D.J., 1975. <u>Stream Reach Inventory and Channel Stability Evaluation</u>, USDA Forest Service, R1-75-002. Government Printing Office #696-260/200, Washington, D.C., 26 pp.

Rosgen, D.L. and Silvey, H.L. 2005. <u>The Reference Reach Field Book, Second Edition</u>, Wildland Hydrology, Inc., Fort Collins, CO.

Rosgen, D.L. 1998. <u>The Reference Reach – A Blueprint for Natural Channel Design</u>, ASCE Conference on River Restoration in Denver Colorado – March 1988, Reston, VA.

Rosgen, D.L. and Silvey, H.L. 1998. Field Guide for Stream Classification, Second Edition, Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.

Rosgen, D.L. 1997. <u>A Geomorphological Approach to Restoration of Incised Rivers</u>, Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision, Denver CO.

Rosgen, D.L., 1996. Applied River Morphology, Wildland Hydrology Books, Pagosa Springs, CO.

Rosgen, D.L. 2002. <u>The Cross-Vane, W-Weir and J-Hook Vane Structures: Their Description,</u> <u>Design and Application for Stream Stabilization and River Restoration</u>, Wildland Hydrology, Inc. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.

Schafale, Michael P. and Weakley, Alan S. 1990. <u>Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina Third Approximation</u>, North Carolina Department of the Environment, Health and Natural Resources

Schumm, S.A., Harvey, M.D., and Watson, C.C. 1984. <u>Incised Channels: Morphology, Dynamics</u> and <u>Control</u>, Water Resource Publication, Littleton, CO.

Shields, A. 1936. <u>Application of Similarity Principles and Turbulence Research to Bedload</u> <u>Movement</u>, Mitt. Preuss. Verschsanst., Berlin. Wasserbau Schiffbau. In W.P. Ott and J.C. Uchelen (translators), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA. Report No. 167; 43 pp. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Wilmington District, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, North Carolina Division of Water Quality, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. April 2003. <u>Stream Mitigation Guidelines</u>, Raleigh, NC.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1994. <u>Stream Channel Reference Sites: An</u> <u>Illustrated Guide to Field Technique</u>, General Technical Report RM-245, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1/3/06. <u>NRCS Soil Survey</u> of Burke County, North Carolina, provided by the Burke County Soil & Water Conservation District.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2001. <u>National Land Cover Dataset</u>. Available for download at: http://www.mrlc.gov/mrlc2k\_nlcd.asp.

Williams, G.P. and Rosgen, D.L., 1989. <u>Measured Total Sediment Loads (Suspended Loads and Bedloads) for 93 United States Streams</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 89-67, Denver, CO, 128 pp.

Wolman, M.G., 1954. <u>A Method of Sampling Course River-Bed Material</u>, Transactions of American Geophysical Union 35: 951-956.








REFERENCE REACH - PATTERN SUMMARY FIGURE 4

Evans, Mechwart, Hambleton & Tilton, Inc. Engineers, Surveyors, Planners, Scientists Ecosystem

Date: May, 2006

# **APPENDIX** 1

**Restoration Plan Design Sheets** 

# BURKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA STREAM RESTORATION PLAN FOR SILVER CREEK AND UNNAMED TRIBUTARY 2006

### INDEX OF SHEETS

Title Sheet	.RP-1
Index Map	RP-2
Plan and Profile - Silver Creek	.RP3-8
Pim and Profile — Unnamed Tributary	RP-9-11
Details	RP-12-14
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	RP-15-16
Planting Plan - Silver Creek	RP-17
Planting Plan - Unnamed Tributary	RP-18-19



LOCATION MAP Scale: 1"=400'



POLLARD-PATTON ROAD























An













## ROCK RIFFLES

1.0 CREST STONE The crest height is determined in the field by measuring the elevation of the toe of the proceeding upstream rifile. The crest elevation must pool water back to the base of the upstream riffle/run.

#### Installation

Installation: The creat height must be determined and the center weir stone installed first. Trench into the stream bed approximately 1.0 feet and place the stone(s) so that the center weir stone reaches the crest elevation. Trench and install the amaining creat stones across the stream, elevating them into the banks the ecified distance.

#### 2.0 SUPPORT STONE Installation

Installation: Support stone must be placed tightly on both sides of the crest stone paying close attention to fit on the downstream side. Proper elevation of the support stone must be maintained and must be as high as the crest stone. Four (4) feet downstream of the crest stone the support stone will be laid more loosely to create turbulence of flow across the riffle. At this point, the stone should start to become trenched into the streambed. At the end of the riffle, the support stone will be trenched fully into the stream bed to a depth of approximately 1.0 feet. Finished elevations of the support stone must concentrate flows across the riffle and create non-laminar (turbulent) flow. Support stones will continue up the banks to the final elevation. Support stone will be trenched into the banks to support the creat stone. support the crest stone.

#### 3.0 FILL STONE

3.0 FILL STORE Installation: After the installation of the larger crest and support stones, fill all voids with fill stone materials and compact with an excavator bucket. Final grading and transition with the upper bank area can be accomplished using this stone size.

#### BOULDER TOE: 1.0 Material:

The boulder toe material may consist of quarried material (no construction rubble is permissible). The Contractor shall review samples of this material with the Engineer for approval prior to installation.

2.0 Installation: The boulder toe material shall be imbedded into the channel bottom and channel bank to the minimum depths shown on Channel Reinforcement Detail, This Sheet. Filter fabric material per NCDOT Item 1056, Type 2, shall be included in the construction of the boulder toe reinforcement, as demonstrated on Channel Reinforcement Detail, This Sheet. Over-excavation of the channel bank to install the boulder toe reinforcement shall be back-filled with compactable material that is placed in lifts and graded to conform to the designed channel bank, and reinforced with the geotextile material specified by this plan.

STOCKPILE SUBSTRATE MATERIAL: Remove and stockpile any available stream bed material through the reach of the existing stream channel to be excavated/relocated. Stockpiled material shall be replaced within excavated /relocated stream bed upon completion. Cost of this work to be included in the price bid for the various related items. Also, see "Cobble" note, this sheet.

GEOTEXTILES: The specified geotextile shall meet the specifications identified on this plan, unless otherwise approved by the Engineer.

Seotextile shall be placed in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations.

geotextile Rolls shall be furnished with suitable wrapping for protection against ioisture and extended ultraviolet exposure prior to placement. Each Roll shall be labeled or tagged to provide product identification sufficient for field inventory and quality control purposes. Rolls shall be stored in a manner which provides identification, as well as protection from the elements. If stored outdoors, the Polls shall be alwayed and protected with a watercoord power. Rolls shall be elevated and protected with a waterproof cover.

## COIR ROLL: 1.0 Material:

1.0 Materia: Rolls shall consist of biodegradable material with a density of 7 lbs./cu.ft. The coir roll outer netting shall consist of a biodegradable twine 0.24 inches in diameter with the breaking strength of 80 lbs. Hardwood stakes to anchor the coir rolls shall be  $2^{*}x2^{*}x3^{\circ}$  in size. The specified length is a minimum and may need to be adjusted to allow for sufficient anchoring.

#### 2.0 Installation

Refer to Typical Plan View of Riffle-Run-Bend/Pool Complex for a schematic of the location of the coir roll material along the channel and Channel Reinforcement Detail, This Sheet for a schematic of the location of the coir rolls with respect to the other bank reinforcement materials.

The coir rolls shall be installed after the boulder toe material is in place. The upstream and downstream ends of the coir roll installation shall be bent back into the channel bank to prevent stream flow from cutting behind the rolls. The ends of abutting coir rolls shall be tied together with twine. Hardwood stakes shall be driven into the undisturbed native soil behind the rolls. The rolls shall be tied to the stakes with twine. Stakes shall be placed at the beginning and end of each roll and on at a maximum spacing of 2 feet.

#### LIVE BRANCHES: 1.0 Material:

Live branch material shall be dormant and gathered locally (within or in proximity to the project site) or purchased from a reputable commercial supplier. This material shall be planted only during its natural dormancy period, extending from late fall through early spring.

Branches shall be 1/2 to 2-inches in diameter, 3 to 4 feet in length, and living based on the presence of young buds and green bark. Prior to installation, the branches shall be cut so that they are angled on the bottom and flush on the top. See Planting Plan for list of required material.

All harvested or purchased live branch material shall be preserved in a cool, moist environment until installation. Plant material that has been allowed to dry out or is not preserved in a dormant state prior to installation shall be discarded.

## 2.0 Installation

Refer to Typical Plan View of Riffle-Run-Bend/Pool Complex for a schematic of the location of the live branches along the channel and Channel Reinforcement 'ail, This Sheet for a schematic of the location of the live branches with sect to the other bank reinforcement materials.

Live branches shall be installed at 1/2-foot spacing and two-thirds of the stake is to be imbedded within the channel bank. The angle of the imbedded stake to the channel bank shall be between 45 and 90 degrees. When installed, at least two (2) buds should remain above the ground surface and those buds shall be oriented upwards.

Live branches that split or become bent or broken during installation shall be removed from the channel bank and discarded.

# 3.0 Payment: The cost of all labor and materials associated with the installation of live branches shall be included in the price bid for Item, Spec., Live Branches, as per

Installation Sequence for Channel Reinforcement Materials:

ontrolled Cattle

Access Pc

Ex. Grad

- Over-excavation of the channel bank may be necessary to accomplish the installation of the rock toe protection. The rock toe protection shall be imbedded into the bottom of the channel to the depth specified on the depth. on this detail.
- The live branches shall be placed on top of the imbedded boulder toe material, protruding into the native, undisturbed soil of the channel bank. Soil material, including the specified topsoil, shall be placed to backfill the over-excavated channel bank.
- The specified seeding shall be applied to the disturbed/restored soil material.

- The first (lowest) row of the geotextile material shall be anchored to the restored soil material.
   The coir roll material shall be installed and secured with the hardwood stakes protruding into the native, undisturbed soil of the channel bank.
   Any remaining rows of geotextile material shall be installed and anchored to the state of the state
- the channel bank, with the last (highest) row "trenched" into the bank.

Extend Reinforcement Beyond Limits of Cattle Exclusion Zone

Material

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

inforcement

43

CH

PLAN VIEW

Not to Scole

SEC C-C

Not to Scale

5

Excavate Channel







Imbedded Crest an (Depth=1.0' into



Not to Scale



R-

Material placed at grade of Existing Stream Channel

Ex. Gro





Cattle Exclusion

Surge Stone,

filte

8" deep, with 00-00

Fence (Typ.)



APPLICATION RATES APPLICATION DATES

June-August

June-August

September - May

40 lbs/ocre

15 lbs/acre

of mixture

15 lbs/acre

Temporary & Permanent Seeding - See Table

TYPE

(Secale cereale)

(Andropogon gerardii)

(Andropogon virginicus)

(Panicum clandestinum (Schizachyrium scopariu

(Sorghastrum nutans)

(Pennisetum glaucum)

TEMPORARY SEED

PERMANENT SEED:

Winter Rye

**Big Bluestern** 

Broomsedge Degitiongue Little Blueste

Indiangrass

OVERSEED:

Pearl Millet





(No Scale)







## GENERAL NOTES

- 2
- 3.
- 5. Turn silt fence up slope at ends.



## PLANTING ZO



1.22

side Mear	the second			Job No. 2005-1445	Street RP-17/19
Channel Re	inforcement Detail, Sheet RP-	9)			
2	Scientific No				0.001
viburnum	Cornus amonnu Viburnum denta			2006 ne 2(	As Noted
	Sambucus canad Salix nigra	densis		e May, 2006 Rev. June 2006	
amside S	Shrubs & Trees			Case	Scill
	C-1-110-11		- 1	i	Y
	Scientific No Aesculus sylvati		- 1	i	2
	Cornus amomun				2
	Alnus serrulata Salix nigra			i	2
	Santx uigra Sambucus canad	lensis		z I	=
burnum	Vibumum denta				2
	Corylus america	0a		STREAM RESTORATION PLAN	AND UNNAM SILVER CREEK PLANTING PLAN
	Scientific Na	me		FOR FOR	N C N
	Acer negundo	$L(t) = m_{L_{t}}^{2}$		SES	
	Betula nigra Platanus occider	ntalis		N P	N S
	Liquidambar sty			REA	α α
	Fraxinus pennsy			IS IS	<b>_</b>
	Liriodendron tu Ulmus american			ū	ij
	Carya cordiform		1	5	5
gallon pots	on 100' spacing, unless othe	rwise indicated.			SILVER UREEN AND UNNAMED IRIBUTARY SILVER CREEK PLANTING PLAN
prone A	rea				oir
	Scientific No	me			
	Acer negundo	112			04525
	Betula nigra	200 <b>2</b> 0.0			, the second
	Platanus occiden Liquidambar styr				E9
	Fraxinus pennsy	Ivanica			5H3
	Liriodendron tul		1		SOS
	Ulmus american Carya cordiformi				and
ion Buffe	er		× *	ŗ	PHC PHC
	Scientific Nor	ne			E
	Fraxinus alba		1		
	Juglans nîgra	Lefe ar			
	Liriodendron tulij Nyssa sylvatica	nilera		Line Line	1909
	Prunus serotina				- 10H
	Quercus alba			EMHAT	2000 New Assocrations runners scientists 2000 New Assocrations Columpus, 014 2004 Promit 914,775,400 Promit 914,775,400 A K X V V
	will be based upon availability			$\leq$	N NOO
sities: 2x2' cente	ers				ABOI MO
	ers (Shrubs Only)			TH H	10
8x8' cente 10x10' cen				23	BE a
	it seeding to occur in Zones :	2, 3 & 4 - See Table			
	APPLICATION RATES	APPLICATION DATES			
le)	40 lbs/acre	June-August			
gerardii) irginicus) lestinum) icoparium) nutans)	15 lbs/ocre of mixture	September – May	REVISIONS		
ucum)	15 lbs/acre	June– August	8		
				DESCRIPTION	
		IADV		8	
	PRELIMIN	TRUCTION		μ.	
	NOT FOR CONST	noonon		ž	
	the second se			3111	



Meander (2'x2'	<u>centers)</u> rcernent Detail, Sheet RP-9)			Job No. 2005-1446	RP-18/19
	Scientific Name				
ood viburnum	Cornus amomum Viburnum dentatum Sambucus canadensis Salix nigra			906	
ide Shrubs & 1				May, 2006 Rev. June 2006	1" = 40'
nters)	Scientific Name			Dete	Boate
	Aesculus sylvatica Cornus amomum			0	ő
	Alnus serrulata Salix nigra				RY
od viburnum t	Sambucus canadensis Viburnum dentatum Corylus americana				UNNAMED TRIBUTARY ED TRIBUTARY TING PLAN
	Colordido Namo				<b>IB</b> U
	<u>Scientific Name</u> Acer negundo Betula nigra				HR I
	Platanus occidentalis Liguidambar styraciflua			PLAN	
	Frazinus pennsylvanica Liriodendran tulipirera			ION	ME
	Ulmus americana Carya cordiformis			, NORTH TORAT	NN END
allon pots on	100° spacing, unless otherwise	indicated.		BURKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA STREAM RESTORATION PLAN FOR	
e Area (8'x8'	centers)			EAM F	SILVER CREEK AND UNNAMI PLAN
	<u>Scientific Name</u> Acer negundo			BURK STRI	٣
	Betula nigra Platanus accidentalis				ü
	Liquidambar styraciflua Fraxinus pennsylvanica				ő
	Liriodendron tulipifera Ulmus americana			1	L L L
belles factors	Carya cordiformis			1	Ľ
Buffer (10'x10'	<u>centers)</u> Scien <u>tific Name</u>				ល
	Frazinus alba Juglans nigra				
	Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica			1	t
	Prunus serotina Quercus alba				EB-
	n and will be supplemented by nprove the riparian corridar. <u>Scientific Name</u> <i>Frazinus alba</i> <i>Jugians nigra</i>	a mixture of bare—		Ĺ	cosyst
	Juguans nigra Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica Prunus serotina Quercus alba		÷		ШШ
	o heavy cattle intrusion. Area centers, in addition to same l				Evans, Machwart, Hambleton & Titton, Inc. Engineers - Surveyors - Planneers - Scientists 2500 New Abanty Road, Columbus, OH 43054 Phone: 614.775.4800
	Scientific Nome			-	ston & Tit nners « S lumbus, C Fox: 61
	Fraxinus alba Juglans nigra				damble ors - Plai
	Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica Prunus serotina			$\geq$	hworl, H Surveyo bany Roi i.4500
	Quercus alba			LTL	IS, Mech New Alb New Alb IS 614.775.
	1—4 approach) will occur in a	reas cleared			Evan 5500 Phone
	be based upon availability seeding to occur in Zones 2, 3	3 & 4 - See			
	APPLICATION RATES	APPLICATION DATES	1		
eale)	40 lbs/acre	June-August			
gerardii) virginicus) ndestinum) a scoparium) n nutans)	15 lbs/acre of mixture	September — May		REVISIONS	
ntaucum)	15 lbs/acre	June-August	1	DESCRUPTION	
				CESC	
	PR	ELIMINARY		DATE	
	NOT	FOR CONSTRUCTION		ž	
	L			2	



UNNAMED				Job No. 2005-1446	Sheet RP-19/19
wood viburnum	<u>Scientific Nome</u> Cornus amonum Viburnum dentatum Sambucus canadensis Salix nigra			May, 2006 Rev. June 2006	40'
side Shrubs & Trees				May, Rev. Ju	1" = 40'
e e wood viburnum nut	Scientific Name Aesculus sylvatica Cornus amomum Alnus serrulata Saliz nigra Sambucus canadensis Viburnum dentatum Corylus americana			Dette	Scale
y	<u>Scientific Name</u> Acer negundo Betula nigra Platanus occidentalis Liguidambar styraciflua Frazinus pennsylvanica Liriodendran tulipirera Ulmus americana Carya cordiformis			BURKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA STREAM RESTORATION PLAN FOR	SILVER CREEK AND UNNAMED TRIBUTARY UNNAMED TRIBUTARY PLANTING PLAN
gallon pots on 100	spacing, unless otherwise ind	licated,		A RES	ANT
rone Area (8'x8' centr	ers) Scientific Name Acer negundo Betula nigra Platanus accidentalis Liquidambar styraciftua Frazinus pennsylvanico Liriodendron tulipifera Ulmus americana Carya cordiformis			BURKE C STREAI	
n Buffer (10'x10' cent					SII
i <u>tings</u> itation will remain and ee material to improv	Scientific Name Frazinus alba Juglans nigra Liriodendron tulipifera Nysas sylvatica Prunus serotina Quercus alba d will be supplemented by a ve the riparian corridor. Scientific Name	mixture of bare-		Ľ	sosystem
	Frazinus alba Juglans nigra Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica Prunus serotina Quercus alba		*		Eff
	avy cattle intrusion. Areas e ers, in addition to same larg			H	on inc. clantists H 43054 1775,4800
	<u>Scientific Name</u> Frazinus alba Juglans nigra Liriodendron tulipifera Nyssa sylvatica Prunus serotina Quercus alba			M H	Event. Mechanic, Harribiotan & Tilon, Inc. Bergineers. Surveyors + Planines - Scientists 5500 New Albany Roadd. Coumbus, 014 4305. Phone: 614 775,4500 M. C. M. X. X. V. V.
uction access es selection will be b	approach) will occur in area ased upon availability ing to occur in Zones 2, 3 d				Evon Eron Phone
PE	APPLICATION RATES	APPLICATION DATES	n l		
(Canala	40 lbs/acre	June-August	1		
(Secale cereale) opogon gerandii) pogon virginicus) un clandestinum) hyrium scoparium) hastrum nulans)	15 lbs/acre of mixture	September — May		REVISIONS	
eetum glaucum)	15 Ibs/acre	June-August		SCRIPTION	
	PRE NOT FO	LIMINARY R CONSTRUCTION		MARK DATE DES	

# **APPENDIX 2**

Project Site NCDWQ Stream Classification Forms

<b>VCDWQ Stream Cla</b>	<b>Classification Form</b>			Nar Ma
'roject Name:	River Basin:	County:		Evaluator: $\mathcal{O}(V \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O})$
WQ Project Number:	Nearest Named Stream:	Latitude:		Signature:
)atc: USGS QUAD: PLEASE NOTE: If evaluator and landowner agree th if in the best professional judgement of the evaluator, ating system should not be used <sup>*</sup> Primary Field Indicators: (Circle One Number	USGS QUAD: id landowner agree that the feature tent of the evaluator, the feature is <u>S:</u> (Circle One Number Per Line)	Longitude is a man-made ditch a man-made ditch an	ıde: itch, then use of this and not a modified	Location/Directions: form is not necessary. natural stream—this
<ul> <li>Geomorphology</li> <li>Is There A Riffle-Pool Sequence?</li> <li>Is The USDA Texture In Streambed Different From Surrounding Terrain?</li> <li>Are Natural Levees Present?</li> <li>Is The Channel Sinuous?</li> <li>Is There An Active (Or Relic) loodplain Present?</li> <li>Is There An Active (Or Relic)</li> <li>Is There An Active (Or Relic)</li> <li>Is There A Bankfull Bench Present?</li> <li>Is A Continuous Bed &amp; Bank Present?</li> </ul>	Absent ed 0 ed 0 in? 0 0 0 0 cont? 0 ent? 0 ent? 0 ent? 0 ent? 0 ent? 0 ent? 0 file A Indicated resent? Then Score- bill (As Indicated resent? Then Score- present? Then Score- Pre		Moderate 2 Vo=0	Strong 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
I. Hydrology     Absen       ) Is There A Groundwater     100x/Discharge Present?     0       ?RIMARY HYDROLOGY INDICATOR POINTS:     100x/Discharge	Absent 0 ICATOR POINTS: 1	Weak	Moderate 2	Strong 3
II. Biology ) Are Fibrous Roots Present In Str ) Are Rooted Plants Present In Str ) Is Periphyton Present? ) Are Bivalves Present? PRIMARY BIOLOGY INDICA	cambed? 3 cambed? 3 cambed? 0 0 TOR POINTS: 0	Weak 2 1 1	Moderate	Strong 0 3 3
<u>secondary Field Indicato</u>	OTS: (Circle One Number Per Line)			
. Geomorphology ) Is There A Head Cut Present In Channel? ) Is There A Grade Control Point In Channel? ) Does Topography Indicate A latural Drainage Way? ECONDARY GEOMORPHOLOGY INI	Absent Channel? 0 In Channel? 0 0 LOGY INDICATOR POINTS:	Weak 5 3 5 5	Moderate 1 1	Strong LS LS
I. Hydrology	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
<ul> <li>J. Ins. F. Carlow, C. L. Last, S. Leautuca Present In Streambed?</li> <li>J. Are Wrack Lines Present?</li> <li>J. Are Wrack Lines Present?</li> <li>J. Swater In Channel And &gt;48 Hrs. Since Known Rain? (*NOTE: If Ditch Indicated In #9 There Water In Channel During Dry onditions Or In Growing Season)?</li> <li>J. Are Hydric Soils Present In Sides Of Chann (ECONDARY HYDROLOGY INDICAT)</li> </ul>	s) Present? 0 s) Present? 0 a. Since 0 g Dry 0 s Of Channel (Or In Headcut)? NDICATOR POINTS: 7	1 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 <i>Yes=1.5</i>	2	0 51 51 (51) (51)
II. Biology ) Are Fish Present? ) Are Aquatic Turtles Present? ) Are Aquatic Turtles Present? ) Are Macrobenthos Present? ) Are Macrobenthos Present? ) Are Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungus Present? ) Are Wetland Plants In Streambed? ) * - • • Wetland Plants In Streambed? ) * • • • Wetland Plants In Streambed? ) * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Absent 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Weak S Mostly Mostly Than	Moderate           1           5           9	Moderate         Strong           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           1         1.5           75         0           0         0           0         0           0         0

 $\sim$ 

<b>VCDWO Stream Cla</b>	<b>Classification Form</b>		Siver	Cr. INNO
'roject Name:	River Basin:	County:		Evaluator:
WQ Project Number:	Nearest Named Stream:	Latitude:	Ŭ.	Signature:
Date: USGS QUAD: The EASE NOTE: If evaluator and landowner agree that the feature if in the best professional judgement of the evaluator, the feature ating system should not be used <sup>*</sup> Crimary Field Indicators: (Circle One Number Per Line)	: USGS QUAD: EASE NOTE: If evaluator and landowner agree that the feature is if in the best professional judgement of the evaluator, the feature is a g system should not be used <sup>*</sup> mary Field Indicators: (Circle One Number Per Line)	Longitude: Location/D s a man-made ditch, then use of this form is not nec man-made ditch and not a modified natural stream	n use of this for ot a modified nat	Location/Directions: then use of this form is not necessary. I not a modified natural stream—this
. Geomorphology ) Is There A Riffle-Pool Sequence? ) Is The USDA Texture In Streambed Different From Surrounding Terrain'	Absent 0 0	Weak Mo	Moderate	Strong 3 (3)
) Are Natural Levees Present? ) Is The Channel Sinuous? ) Is There An Active (Or Relic)		- 96	6 2 2	9 9 9
raided? ial Deposits Pr Bed & Bank Pr <i>Caused By Ditchin</i> r Greater Chan <i>nd/Or</i> In Field	sent? 0 nt? 0 sent? 0 <i>And WITHOUT Sinuosity Then Score</i> hel (As Indicated Present? <u>Yes=3</u> by INDICATOR POINTS:		B10 P20	ς, ες, ες, Γ
I. Hydrology ) Is There A Groundwater 'low/Discharge Present?	Absent 0 ICATOR POINTS: 3	eak 1	Moderate 2	Strong
II. Biology A ) Are Fibrous Roots Present In Streambed? ) Are Rooted Plants Present In Streambed? ) Is Periphyton Present? ) Are Bivalves Present? PRIMARY BIOLOGY INDICATOR POINTS:	Absent eambed? 3 eambed? 3 0 TOR POINTS: 0	Weak 1 1 1	Moderate	Strong 0 3 3
Secondary Field Indicators: (Circle One Number Per Line)	<b>tOTS:</b> (Circle One Number Per Line)			
. Geomorphology ) Is There A Head Cut Present In Channel? ) Is There A Grade Control Point In Channel? ) Does Topography Indicate A [atural Drainage Way? ECONDARY GEOMORPHOLOGY INI	Absent Channel? 0 In Channel? 0 0 LOGY INDICATOR POINTS:	Weak Mo 5 3,5		Strong 1.5 1.5 (1.5)
I. Hydrology       Absent         ) Is This Year's (Or Last's) Leaflitter       Absent         Present In Streambed?       I.S Sediment On Plants (Or Debris) Present?       0         ) Is Sediment On Plants (Or Debris) Present?       0       0         ) Are Wrack Lines Present?       0       0         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       0         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       0         ) Is There Water In Channel During Dry       0       0         ) us There Water In Channel During Dry       0       0         Onditions Or In Growing Season)?       0       0         ) Are Hydric Soils Present In Sides Of Channel (Or In Headcul)?       0	I. Hydrology       Absent       Wea         ) Is This Year's (Or Last's) Leaflitter       Absent       Wea         Present In Streambed?       0       3         ) Is Sediment On Plants (Or Debris) Present?       0       3         ) Are Wrack Lines Present?       0       3         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       5         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       5         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       5         ) Is Water In Channel And >48 Hrs. Since       0       5         ) Is Water In Channel During Dry       0       5         ) us There Water In Channel During Dry       0       5         ) us There Water In Channel During Dry       0       5         ) unditions Or In Growing Season)?       0       5         ) Are Hydric Soils Present In Sides Of Channel (Or In Headcut)?       Yes         ECONDARY HYDROLOGY INDICATOR POINTS: 5, 5, 5       5	= <i>1.5</i>	Moderate 	Strong 0 1.5 (1.5 (1.5)
II. Biology ) Are Fish Present? ) Are AquaticTurtles Present? ) Are AquaticTurtles Present? ) Are Crayfish Present? ) Are Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungus Present? ) Are Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungus Present? ) Is Filamentous Algae Present? ) Netland Plants In Streambed? ) TE: If Total Absence Of All Plants In Streambed (s Noted Above Skip This Step UNLESS SAV Present*).	Absent 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Streambed 2 1 CATOR POINTS: H	Weak 5 5 5 .5 .5 Mostly FACW .75	Moderate	Strong 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 Mostly FACU Mostly UPL 0 0
	4			

 $\frac{1}{10TAL POINTS (Primary + Secondary)} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac$ 

# **APPENDIX 3**

Project Site Design Calculations, Plots, Photographs and Summary Reports

# **Stream Classification Form**

.....

Stream Channel Classification (Level II)				
Stream NAME:       Silver Creek & Trib Restoration, Reach - Reach 2 (Abondoned Oxbow)         Basin NAME: $\widehat{CATAWBA RIVER}$ Drainage AREA: 5126.4 acre         Location: $RIFFLE XS STA 12+52.5$ $SILVER CREEK BURKO         Twp:       Rge:       Sec:       Qtr:       Lat:       0         Observers:       WARREN E. KNOTTS       PG       Date:   $	Long: 0			
WIDTH of the stream channel, at bankfull stage elevation, in a riffle section.				
Mean DEPTH $(d_{bkf})$ Mean DEPTH of the stream channel cross-section, at bankfull stage elevation, in a riffle section. $(d_{bkf}=A_{bkf}/W_{bkf})$	Feet			
<b>Bankfull Cross Section Area (A</b> <sub>bkf</sub> ) AREA of the stream channel cross-section, at bankfull stage elevation, in a riffle section.	Feet <sup>2</sup>			
WIDTH / DEPTH RATIO (W <sub>bkf</sub> /d <sub>bkf</sub> )       47.85 Ft/Ft         Bankfull WIDTH divided by bankfull mean DEPTH, in a riffle section.       47.85 Ft/Ft				
Maximum DEPTH (d <sub>mrif</sub> )       8.31 Feet         Maximum depth of the bankfull channel cross-section, or elevation between the bankfull stage and thalweg in a riffle section.       8.31 Feet				
<b>Flood-Prone Area WIDTH (W</b> <sub>fpa</sub> ) The stage/elevation at which flood-prone area WIDTH is determined in a riffle section at twice maximum DEPTH, or $(2 \times d_{mri})$	123.4 Feet			
<b>Entrenchment RATIO (ER)</b> The ratio of flood-prone area WIDTH divided by bankfull channel WIDTH (W <sub>fpa</sub> /W <sub>bkf</sub> ) in a riffle section.	1.01 Ft/Ft			
Channel Materials (Particle Size Index) D50 38.5 mm The 50th percentile, or less than, from a pebble count frequency distribution of channel particles representing the median or dominant particle size.				
Water Surface SLOPE (S) 0.00218 Ft/Ft Average water surface slope as measured between the same position of bed features in the profile over two meander wave lengths. This is similar to average bankfull slope.				
<b>Channel SINUOSITY (K)</b> Sinuosity: an index of channel pattern, determined from stream length / valley length, i.e. (SL/VL); or estimated from a ratio of valley slope divided by channel slope (VS/ S).	1			
Stream Type F 4 For Reference, se Rosgen, 1996. Applie				

# Altered Reach Summary Data Form Silver Creel Abandoned Oxbow Riffle Section

and Altered Reach Summary Data						
	Mean Riffle Depth (d <sub>bkf</sub> ) 1.83	Contraction of		123.4 feet	Mean Riffle Area (A	hbkf) 225.5 feet <sup>2</sup>
	Mean Pool Depth (d <sub>bkfp</sub> )	feet Mean	Pool Width (W <sub>bkfp</sub> )	0 feet	Mean Pool Area (A	<sub>okfp</sub> ) 0 feet <sup>2</sup>
Channel Dimension	Ratio Mean Pool Depth/Mean Riffle Depth 0.000	Service of the servic	Pool Width/Riffle	0.000 W <sub>bkfp</sub> W <sub>bkf</sub>	Ratio Pool Area/ Riffle Area	0.000 A <sub>bkfp</sub> / A <sub>bkf</sub>
	Max Riffle Depth (d <sub>mrif</sub> ) 7.58	20 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Pool Depth (d <sub>mpool</sub> )	0 feet	Max riffle depth/Me	ean riffle depth 4.142
Jann	Max pool depth/Mean riffle depth	n 0			Point Bar Slope	0
σ	Streamflow: Estimated Mean Vel	ocity at Bankf	ull Stage (u <sub>bk</sub> )	1.46 ft/s	Estimation Method	1
	Streamflow: Estimated Discharge	at Bankfull St	tage (Q <sub>bk</sub> )	461 cfs	Drainage Area	8.01 mi <sup>2</sup>
Column 1		and the second second			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Geometry Ave Meander Length (Lm)	and the second se		igth Ratio (Ln	metry Ratios	Ave Min Max 0.000 0.000 0.000
Channel Pattern		0 0	feet Radius of Cu	rvature/Riffle	Width (Rc/Wbkf)	0.000 0.000 0.000
lel P	Belt Width (Wblt)	a presidente de la companya de la compan	and the second sec	dth Ratio (W <sub>bl</sub>	r/W <sub>bkf</sub> )	0.000 0.000 0.000
hanr	Individual Pool Length 31.95	25.75 36.05	feet Pool Length/	Riffle Width		0.259 0.209 0.292
ပ	Pool to Pool Spacing 119.1	101.1 137.2	feet Pool to Pool	Spacing/Riffle	e Width	0.965 0.819 1.112
es)	Valley Slope (VS) 0.0051	A		and the second second	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	The local day of the second state
	Stream Length (SL) 0	the second second	/ Length (VL)			sity (SL/VL) #####
		feet N feet	Max Riffle start Depth end		Bank Height Ra (LBH/Max Riffle ]	
	Facet Slopes Ave Min	A REPORT AND A REPORT	ALCOIN OF A LANDAUGH FRAME	isionless Slop	In the state of the second	Ave Min Max
	Riffle Slope (S <sub>rif</sub> ) 0.0028 0.0028		Riffle Slope/Averag			1.303 1.303 1.303
Channel Profile	Run Slope (S <sub>run</sub> ) 0.0014 0.0006	0.0025 ft/ft	Run Slope/Average	Water Surface	e Slope (S <sub>run</sub> /S)	0.619 0.257 1.138
el Pr	Pool Slope (S <sub>p</sub> ) 0.0026 0.0004	0.0080 ft/ft	Pool Slope/Average	Water Surfac	e Slope (S <sub>p</sub> /S)	1.170 0.202 3.683
Jann	Glide Slope (Sg) 0.0119 0.0000	0.0320 ft/ft	Glide Slope/Averag	e Water Surfa	ce Slope (S <sub>g</sub> /S)	5.440 0.000 14.661
ð	Feature Midpoint * Ave Min	Max	and the second s	sionless Dept	The Energy of California and the California	Ave Min Max
	Riffle Depth (d <sub>mrif</sub> ) 7.580 7.580	A PARTY AND A PARTY OF	Riffle Max Depth/R		State of the state of the state of	4.142 4.142 4.142
		4.940 feet	Run Max Depth/Rif	10 mm		2.699 2.699 2.699
		0.000 feet	Pool Max Depth/Rit	THE REAL PROPERTY AND	- Internet and the second second	0.000 0.000 0.000
植露	Glide Depth (d <sub>mg</sub> ) 0.000 0.000	0.000 feet	Glide Max Depth/R	iffle Mean De	pth (d <sub>mg</sub> /d <sub>bkf</sub> )	0.000 0.000 0.000
時代	Catagories Reach <sup>b</sup>	Riffle <sup>c</sup>	Bar	Indices Re	ach <sup>b</sup> Riffle <sup>c</sup>	Bar
<u>8</u>	% Silt/Clay	0		D16	20.0	mm
Iteria	% Sand	0		D35	29.7	mm
Channel Materials	% Gravel	90		D50	38.5	mm
anne	% Cobble	<i>10</i>		D84	60.2	mm
ธิ	% Boulder	0		D95	77	mm
A LAND	% Bedrock	0		D100	90	mm
and the other distances of	Contracts of the second s		including the minim			

a. The range of "feature" mid-point maximum bankfull depths, including the minimum, maximum and average values.

(Pool depths are obtained from the deepest portion of the feature.)

÷

b. A composite sample of materials from riffle and pool featutes taken within the designated reach.

c. Sample obtained within the "active" bed of a riffle feature at the location of the cross section.

## Silver Creek Main Stem NCD Report RIVERMORPH NATURAL CHANNEL DESIGN REPORT

\_\_\_\_\_ River Name: Silver Creek Reach Name: Main Stem --Reference Reach--Silver Creek & Trib Restoration; Reach 1 (Reference Reach) ( C 4) --Boundary Conditions--8.01 sq mi .0051 ft/ft Drainage Area: Valley Slope: Bankfull Discharge: 461 cfs Bankfull Cross Sectional Area: 103 sq ft 0.2 ft Mean Depth Calculation Tolerance: --Sediment Data--Riffle Bed Material ID: Riffle Bed Material D84: 60.2 mm Riffle Bed Material D50: 38.5 mm Bar Sample ID: Bar Sample Dmax: 180 mm Bar Sample D50: 27.3 mm --Entrainment Options--Shields Entrainment Function -----NCD Results--------Alignment--Meander Wavelength: 191.8 ft Channel Length: 264.03 ft Sinuosity: Radius of Curvature: Bankfull Slope: 1.38 32.3 ft 0.0037 Meander Belt Width: Meander Width Ratio: Deflection Angle: 82.8 ft 2.06 0 rad --Riffle Cross Sectional Properties--Width to Depth Ratio: 15.67 Entrenchment Ratio: 9.66 Floodprone Width: 388.04 ft 40.17 ft 2.56 ft 4.48 ft/s 2.27 ft 0.524 lbs/sq ft Bankfull Width: Bankfull Mean Depth: Bankfull Velocity: Bankfull Hydraulic Radius: Bankfull Shear Stress: Required Roughness (n):  $0.0349 \text{ ft}^{(1/6)}$ Entrainable Particle Size: 30.6 mm

Silver Creek Main Stem NCD Report --Rosgen Stream Classification--Reference Reach : C 4

-

 $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ 

Proposed Reach : C Existing Reach : F	
Sediment Transport Competency	
Ratio - Riffle Slope / Bankfull Slope:	2.14
Ratio - D50bed / D50bar: Critical Dimensionless Shear Stress (1): Required Mean Depth (1): Ratio - Di bar / D50bed: Critical Dimensionless Shear Stress (2): Required Mean Depth (2):	1.410 0.0618 16.27 4.675 0.0098 2.57
Minimum Required Mean Depth: 2.5	7 ft

ft

ft

Page 2

## Cross-Vane Design Summary RIVERMORPH VANE DESIGN REPORT

River Name: Silver Creek Reach Name: Main Stem Vane Name: Cross-Vane Input Data Bank Height: Bankfull Height: Shear Stress: 7.0 3.0 0.55 2.24 ft ft lbs/sq ft lbs/sq ft ft/ft Near Bank Stress: Bankfull Slope: Bankfull Width: Radius of Curvature: Plan View Vane Angle: 0.0027 30.0 ft 30.0 ft 10-17 deg

Results

Ratio - Rc/Wbkf: Vane Spacing: Vane Length: Minimum Rock Size (Diameter): Protrusion Height: Footing Depth: Layers of Footing Stones: Vane Slope:	$1.00 \\ 250 \\ 2.6 \\ 0.25 \\ 4.75 \\ 2 \\ 5.0 $	ft ft ft ft %	
Vane Slope:	5.0	%	

Silver Creek Main Stem - Riffle Section Geometry



Distance (feet)

# RIVERMorph Cross Section Detail - Riffle -

\_\_\_\_\_

Bankfull Elevation: Cross Sectional Area: Wetted Perimeter: Bankfull Width: Floodprone Width: Bankfull Mean Depth: Bankfull Max Depth: Lt. Bank Tangent Slope: Rt. Bank Tangent Slope:	100.00 ft 103.04 sq ft 43.22 ft 40.17 ft 388.04 ft 2.57 ft 2.74 ft - ft/ft - ft/ft
X-Coord	Y-Coord
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 173.93 \\ 174.28 \\ 174.28 \\ 175.07 \\ 176.59 \\ 179.11 \\ 182.84 \\ 188.05 \\ 194.96 \\ 201.66 \\ 206.59 \\ 210.02 \\ 212.21 \\ 213.45 \\ 213.45 \\ 213.99 \\ 214.12 \\ 214.1 \\ 388.04 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       103.44 \\       100 \\       99.15 \\       98.5 \\       98.02 \\       97.68 \\       97.46 \\       97.34 \\       97.28 \\       97.26 \\       97.29 \\       97.35 \\       97.47 \\       97.69 \\       98.02 \\       98.5 \\       99.15 \\       100 \\       103.44 \\     \end{array} $

94

107-106-105-104-103-102 101



Silver Creek Main Stem - Pool Section Geometry

Bankfull Elevation: Cross Sectional Area: Wetted Perimeter: Bankfull Width: Floodprone Width: Bankfull Mean Depth: Bankfull Max Depth: Lt. Bank Tangent Slope: Rt. Bank Tangent Slope:	100.00 ft 209.03 sq ft 51.86 ft 45.1 ft 388.04 ft 4.63 ft 6.12 ft - ft/ft - ft/ft
X-Coord	Y-Coord
0 171.47 171.24 170.89 170.91 171.81 174.09 178.24 184.76 194.15 201.68 207.14 210.88 213.27 214.67 215.45 215.96 216.57 388.04	$106.12 \\ 100 \\ 98.42 \\ 97.16 \\ 96.19 \\ 95.5 \\ 95.07 \\ 94.87 \\ 94.88 \\ 95.08 \\ 95.4 \\ 95.65 \\ 95.91 \\ 96.26 \\ 96.76 \\ 97.5 \\ 98.55 \\ 100 \\ 106.12$



Design Profile BKF Geometry - Silver Creek Main Stem

Elevation (feet)

🖌 Bankfull
# River: Silver Creek & Trib Restoration Reach: Reach 1 (Reference Reach)

### X-Coord

## Y-Coord

0.00 32.85	93.88 94.94
65.69	96.32
98.54	95.03
131.38	93.39
164.23	94.45
197.07	95.83
229.92	94.54
262.77	92.90



1.52

Channel Meander Radius	Wavelength: Length: Belt Width: of Curvature:	262.83 ft 82.8 ft 29.73 ft	n	
	r Curve Optic  Coordinates:			
	Pt. No. 1 2 3 4	X-Coord 22.59 73.31 118.49 169.21	Y-Coord -31.00 31.00 -31.00 -31.00	
Thalweg	Coordinates:			
	Pt. No.	X-Coord	Y-Coord	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	0.00 0.26 0.51 0.77 1.03 1.28 1.54 1.80 2.05 2.31 2.56 2.82 3.07 3.33 3.58 3.84 4.09 4.35 4.60 4.85 5.11 5.36 5.61	$\begin{array}{r} -41.40\\ -41.40\\ -41.39\\ -41.39\\ -41.38\\ -41.37\\ -41.36\\ -41.35\\ -41.33\\ -41.31\\ -41.29\\ -41.27\\ -41.24\\ -41.21\\ -41.18\\ -41.15\\ -41.12\\ -41.08\\ -41.08\\ -41.04\\ -41.00\\ -40.96\\ -40.91\\ -40.87\\ 40.87\end{array}$	
2	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	5.86 6.11 6.37 6.62 6.87 7.11 7.36 7.61 7.86 8.11 8.35 8.60	$\begin{array}{r} -40.82 \\ -40.76 \\ -40.71 \\ -40.65 \\ -40.60 \\ -40.54 \\ -40.47 \\ -40.41 \\ -40.34 \\ -40.27 \\ -40.20 \\ -40.13 \end{array}$	

36738941234456789012345567890612345667890123456778901233456788909123345678999999999999999999999999999999999999		-40.05 -39.98 -39.90 -39.82 -39.73 -39.65 -39.47 -39.38 -39.28 -39.28 -39.29 -38.99 -38.88 -38.78 -38.78 -38.79 -37.99 -37.87 -37.63 -37.37 -37.24 -37.37 -37.24 -36.98 -36.57 -36.42 -36.42 -36.42 -36.42 -36.57 -36.57 -36.54 -35.
--	--	--

$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 101\\ 102\\ 103\\ 104\\ 105\\ 106\\ 107\\ 108\\ 109\\ 110\\ 111\\ 112\\ 113\\ 114\\ 115\\ 116\\ 117\\ 118\\ 119\\ 120\\ 121\\ 122\\ 123\\ 124\\ 125\\ 126\\ 127\\ 128\\ 129\\ 130\\ 131\\ 132\\ 133\\ 134\\ 135\\ 136\\ 137\\ 138\\ 139\\ 140\\ 141\\ 142\\ 143\\ 144\\ 145\\ 146\\ 147\\ 148\\ 149\\ 150\\ 151\\ 152\\ 153\\ 154\\ 155\\ 156\\ 157\\ 158\\ 159\\ 160\\ 161\\ 162\\ 163\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 163\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162\\ 162$
22.42 22.59 73.48 73.65 73.82 73.99 74.17 74.34 74.52 74.70 74.88 75.06 75.24 75.62 75.99 76.19 76.38 76.57 76.77 76.97 77.16 77.36 77.57 77.77 78.18 78.38 78.38 78.30 80.30 80.52 80.74 80.96 81.18 81.41 81.63 82.08 82.31 82.54 82.77 83.93 84.40 83.23 83.46 83.70 83.23 83.46 83.70 83.23 84.40 84.40 84.40 84.64 85.36 85.32 85.36 85.32 85.36 85.36 85.36 85.32 85.36 85.36 85.32 85.32 8
-31.19 -31.00 31.19 31.38 31.57 31.76 32.32 32.32 32.32 32.32 32.69 32.87 33.23 33.40 34.26 34.43 34.26 34.43 34.26 34.43 34.26 34.43 34.26 34.43 35.07 35.23 35.38 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.69 35.70 36.84 37.11 37.24 37.50 37.63 37.50 37.63 37.50 37.63 38.34 38.34 38.34 38.34 38.34 38.34 38.34 38.67 38.88 39.09 39.19 39.28 39.47 39.56 39.65 39.82

$\begin{array}{c} 164\\ 165\\ 166\\ 167\\ 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188\\ 189\\ 190\\ 191\\ 192\\ 193\\ 194\\ 195\\ 196\\ 197\\ 198\\ 199\\ 200\\ 201\\ 202\\ 203\\ 204\\ 205\\ 206\\ 207\\ 208\\ 209\\ 210\\ 211\\ 212\\ 213\\ 214\\ 215\\ 216\\ 217\\ 218\\ 219\\ 220\\ 221\\ 222\\ 223\\ 224\\ 225\\ 226\\ 227\\ 228\\ 226\\ 227\\ 226\\ 227\\ 228\\ 226\\ 227\\ 226\\ 227\\ 228\\ 226\\ 227\\ 226\\ 227\\ 228\\ 226\\ 227\\ 226\\ 227\\ 228\\ 226\\ 227\\$
$\begin{array}{c} 39.90\\ 39.98\\ 40.05\\ 40.13\\ 40.27\\ 40.34\\ 40.41\\ 40.47\\ 40.54\\ 40.60\\ 40.65\\ 40.71\\ 40.91\\ 40.96\\ 41.00\\ 41.08\\ 41.12\\ 41.15\\ 41.18\\ 41.27\\ 41.27\\ 41.29\\ 41.33\\ 41.35\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.35\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.38\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.36\\ 41.36\\ 41.37\\ 41.36\\ 41.66\\ 40.65\\ 40$

228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 279 280 281 282 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 290 291
102.77 103.02 103.26 103.51 103.76 104.01 104.25 104.01 104.25 104.50 104.74 104.99 105.23 105.48 105.72 105.96 106.20 106.44 106.68 107.40 107.63 107.63 107.63 107.63 107.87 108.10 108.34 109.03 109.26 109.49 109.72 109.94 110.17 110.39 110.62 110.84 111.06 111.28 112.58 112.58 112.58 112.58 112.79 113.00 113.21 113.42 113.62 113.83 114.03 114.23 114.44 114.64 114.83 115.03 115.23 115.42 115.61 115.81 116.74
40.60 40.54 40.47 40.41 40.34 40.27 40.20 40.13 40.05 39.98 39.90 39.82 39.73 39.65 39.47 39.38 39.28 39.19 39.09 38.99 38.88 38.78 38.67 38.57 38.46 38.34 38.211 37.99 37.87 37.75 37.63 37.50 37.50 37.37 37.24 37.11 36.98 36.84 36.28 36.14 35.99 35.84 35.99 35.84 35.99 35.84 35.99 35.54 35.99 35.54 35.99 34.43 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 34.91 34.75 33.92 33.75 33.92 33.75 33.80 33.23 32.87

.

- 40

Ľ.

356 357 358 360 362 363 3667 3667 3667 3667 3667 3667 3
$180.54 \\ 180.78 \\ 181.02 \\ 181.26 \\ 181.50 \\ 181.74 \\ 181.98 \\ 182.22 \\ 182.47 \\ 182.71 \\ 182.96 \\ 183.20 \\ 183.45 \\ 183.69 \\ 183.94 \\ 184.19 \\ 184.44 \\ 184.69 \\ 185.43 \\ 185.43 \\ 185.43 \\ 185.43 \\ 185.94 \\ 186.19 \\ 186.95 \\ 187.20 \\ 187.45 \\ 187.71 \\ 187.96 \\ 188.22 \\ 188.47 \\ 188.73 \\ 188.73 \\ 188.98 \\ 189.24 \\ 189.49 \\ 189.75 \\ 190.00 \\ 190.26 \\ 190.52 \\ 190.77 \\ 191.03 \\ 191.29 \\ 191.54 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 181.20 \\ 182.20 \\ 1$
$\begin{array}{c} -39.19\\ -39.28\\ -39.38\\ -39.47\\ -39.56\\ -39.65\\ -39.73\\ -39.82\\ -39.90\\ -39.98\\ -40.05\\ -40.13\\ -40.20\\ -40.27\\ -40.34\\ -40.41\\ -40.47\\ -40.54\\ -40.60\\ -40.65\\ -40.71\\ -40.60\\ -40.65\\ -40.71\\ -40.60\\ -40.65\\ -40.71\\ -40.60\\ -40.82\\ -40.87\\ -40.91\\ -40.96\\ -41.00\\ -41.00\\ -41.08\\ -41.12\\ -41.18\\ -41.21\\ -41.24\\ -41.27\\ -41.31\\ -41.33\\ -41.35\\ -41.36\\ -41.37\\ -41.38\\ -41.39\\ -41.40\\ -41.40\end{array}$

#### Silver Creek BEHI Summary Report RIVERMORPH BANK EROSION HARZARD INDEX (BEHI)

River Name: Silver Creek Main Stem Reach Name: Abondoned Oxbow - Altered Profile Station 12+00 - 15+00 BEHI Name: Riffle Section 12+52.5 Survey Date: 02/08/06 Bankfull Height: 3 ft Bank Height: 7.9 ft Root Depth: 1.5 ft Root Density: 5 % Bank Angle: 85 Degrees Surface Protection: 0.1 % Bank Material Adjustment: Sand 10 Bank Stratification Adjustment: Yes 2 Erosion Loss Curve: Colorado NBS Method #1: Channel Pattern and/or Depositional Features for Adjustments in Near-Bank Stress Rating: Very High BEHI Numerical Rating: 55.0

BEHI Numerical Rating: 55.0 BEHI Adjective Rating: Extreme NBS Numerical Rating: 0 NBS Adjective Rating: Very High Total Bank Length: 3059 ft Estimated Sediment Loss: 5370.24 Cu Yds per Year Estimated Sediment Loss: 6981.31 Tons per Year



(ft) noitsvel3



STA 29+73: Downstream View of Silver Creek at Run Cross-Section near Top of Main Stem Reach 02/09/2006



STA 29+73: Upstream View of Silver Creek at Run Cross-Section near Top of Main Stem Reach 02/09/2006





(f) noiteval3



(ft) noitsvel3

	River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date:	Reach 3 (Over Tightened Meander) Riffle X-S Sta. 18+31			
	Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %	
	0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 20.00\\ 20.00\\ 20.00\\ 20.00\\ 20.00\end{array}$	
D D D D D S S G C B	D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	10.64 25.42 28.24 76.13 85.67 90 0 0 70 30 0 0			

Total Particles = 10 (need at least 60).

-----





STA 18+31: Downstream View of Silver Creek at Riffle Cross-Section at Over Tightened Meander 02/09/2006



STA 18+31: Upstream View of Silver Creek at Riffle Cross-Section at Over Tightened Meander 02/09/2006



STA 18+00 – 21+00: Panoramic View of Over Tightened Meander 02/09/2006



Distance along stream (ft)

Silver Creek Abandoned Oxbow Profile - Station 12+00 to 15+00

(f) noitsval3

### Abandoned Oxbow Profile 12+00-15+00 Summary Report RIVERMORPH PROFILE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

River Name: Silver Creek & Trib Restoration Reach Name: Reach 2 (Abondoned Oxbow) Profile Name: Silver Ck Altered Profile - Station 12+00 to 15+00 Survey Date: 02/08/06

#### Survey Data

. .

DIST			BKF	Р1	P2	Р3	Р4
1206 1219 1220.2 1220.5 1241 1246 1259 1266 1292 1331 1337 1358 1367 1379.5 1388 1367 1379.5 1388 1399 1403.5 1411 1421 1428 1436 1443 1449 1459 1470 1484 Cross Sec	13.40 $13.65$ $12.82$ $12.43$ $12.22$ $13.65$ $13.92$ $13.11$ $13.2$ $13.22$ $13.17$ $13.3$ $13.41$ $13.33$ $12.98$ $12.67$ $12.91$ $13.58$ $13.71$ $13.1$ $12.98$	11.91 11.82 11.83 11.82 11.57 11.57 11.75 11.75 11.69 12.59 12.42 12.39 12.41 12.34 12.33 12.33 12.33 12.33 12.33 12.33 12.31 12.3					
Name				Туре			ofile Station
Name Run Section 13+77 Riffle Section 12+52.5							
Measurements from Graph							
Bankfull Slope: 0.00218							
				/g			
S riffle S pool S run S glide P - P P length	0. 0. 0. 10 25	00284 00044 00056 00000 01.07 5.75	0 0 0 1 3	.00284 .00255 .00135 .01186 19.13 1.95	0.00 0.00 0.00 137 36.0 age 1	)284 )803 )248 3196 .19 )5	



<u> Riffie X-S Sta. 12+52.5 (PC)</u>

Percent Finer

Particle Size (mm)

River Name:Silver Creek & Trib RestoReach Name:Reach 2 (Abondoned Oxbow)Sample Name:Riffle X-S Sta. 12+52.5Survey Date:02/09/06 Silver Creek & Trib Restoration \_\_\_\_\_ Size (mm) TOT # ITEM % CUM % 0 - 0.062  $\begin{array}{r} 0.062 - 0.125 \\ 0.125 - 0.25 \\ 0.25 - 0.50 \\ 0.50 - 1.0 \end{array}$ 1.0 - 2.02.0 - 4.04.0 - 5.70 0.00 0.00 20.00 20.00 2 0.00 20.00 0 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.620.00 2 40.00 0 0.00 40.00 32 30.00 70.00 90.00 20.00 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 1 10.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 0 45 - 64 0 0.00 100.00 64 - 90 0 0.00 100.00 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 100.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 0  $\begin{array}{r} 256 & - & 362 \\ 362 & - & 512 \\ 512 & - & 1024 \\ 1024 & - & 2048 \end{array}$ 0 0.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 0 0 0.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 0 0.00 100.00 Bedrock 0 3.6 D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) 7.42 12.87 20.62 27.3 32 0 Sand (%) 0 Gravel (%) 100 Cobble (%) 0 Boulder (%) 0 Bedrock (%) 0

Total Particles = 10 (need at least 60).

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date:	Silver Creek & Trib Restoration Reach 2 (Abondoned Oxbow) Run X-S Sta. 13+77 02/09/06				
Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %		
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10.00 20.00 30.00 40.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 10.00\\ 30.00\\ 60.00\\ 100.00 \end{array}$		
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	1.3 2.33 3.33 5.02 5.49 5.7 0 30 70 0 0 0				

Total Particles = 10 (need at least 60).

PARITURE	JUMMART

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date:	Silver Creek & Trib Restoration Reach 2 (Abondoned Oxbow) Riffle X-S Sta. 15+00 02/09/06			
Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %	
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10.00 10.00 20.00 20.00 30.00 10.00 0.00	0.00	
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	19.96 29.65 38.5 60.2 77 90 0 90 10 0 0			

Total Particles = 10 (need at least 60).



(ft) noitsvel3

Horizontal Distance (ft)



STA 13+77: Upstream View of Silver Creek at Abandoned Oxbow Run Cross-Section 02/09/2006

 $\odot$ 



STA 13+77: Downstream View of Silver Creek at Abandoned Oxbow Run Cross-Section 02/09/2006



1. ..

Ċ.

STA 13+77: Across Channel View of Silver Creek at Abandoned Oxbow Run Cross-Section 02/09/2006



(ft) noitsvel3

Horizontal Distance (ft)





STA 12+52.5: Upstream View of Silver Creek at Abandoned Oxbow Riffle Cross-Section 02/08/2006



STA 12+52.5: Downstream View of Silver Creek at Abandoned Oxbow Riffle Cross-Section 02/08/2006

River Name: Silver Creek & Trib Restoration Reach Name: Reach 5 (Unnamed Tributary) BEHI Name: Unnamed Tributary Survey Date: 01/14/06 Bankfull Height: 0.5 ft Bank Height: 5 ft Root Depth: 1.5 ft Root Density: 5 % Bank Angle: 85 Degrees Surface Protection: 0 % Bank Material Adjustment: Sand 10 Bank Stratification Adjustment: Yes 5 Erosion Loss Curve: Yellowstone NBS Method #7: Vertical Velocity Near-Bank Shear Stress Method Velocity at Surface: 4.2 fps Velocity at Bed: 3 fps Hydraulic Radius: 0.18 ft Depth: 0.5 ft Shear Stress: 0.45 lb/sq/ft Bankfull Slope: .04 NB Shear Stress: 11.17 lb/sq/ft Shear Ratio: 24.87 BEHI Numerical Rating: 57.7 BEHI Adjective Rating: Extreme NBS Numerical Rating: 24.87 NBS Adjective Rating: Extreme Total Bank Length: 1200 ft Estimated Sediment Loss: 288.89 Cu Yds per Year Estimated Sediment Loss: 375.56 Tons per Year
# Silver Creek - Unnamed Tributary

# Worksheet for Trapezoidal Channel - 1

Project Description		
Flow Element:	Trapezoidal Channel	
Friction Method:	Manning Formula	
Solve For:	Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient:	0.040	
Channel Slope:	0.04000	ft/ft
Normal Depth:	0.50	ft
Left Side Slope:	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope:	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width:	6.00	ft
Results		
Discharge:	14.70	ft³/s
Flow Area:	3.50	ft²
Netted Perimeter:	8.24	ft
Top Width:	8.00	ft
Critical Depth:	0.54	ft
Critical Slope:	0.03133	ft/ft
/elocity:	4.20	ft/s
/elocity Head:	0.27	ft
Specific Energy:	0.77	ft
Froude Number:	1.12	
Flow Type:	Supercritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth:	0.50	ft
_ength:	1210.00	ft
Number Of Steps:	24	
SVF Output Data		
Jpstream Depth:	0.54	ft
Profile Description:	S2	
leadloss:	48.44	ft
Downstream Velocity:	4.20	ft/s
Jpstream Velocity:	3.87	ft/s
Normal Depth:	0.50	ft
Critical Depth:	0.54	ft

## Worksheet for Trapezoidal Channel - 1

Critical Slope: 0.03133

.....

ft/ft

# Gradually Varied Flow Points for Trapezoidal Channel - 1

Project Description		
Flow Element:	Trapezoidal Channel	
Input Data		
Downstream Depth:	0.50	ft
Upstream Depth:	0.54	ft
Length:	1533.00	ft
Number Of Steps:	24	
Results		
Profile Description:	S2	
Headloss:	61.36	ft
Downstream Velocity:	4.20	ft/s
Upstream Velocity:	3.87	ft/s
Channel Slope:	0.04000	ft/ft
Discharge:	14.70	ft³/s
Normal Depth:	0.50	ft
Critical Depth:	0.54	ft

Distance	Depth	Invert Elevation	Flow Area	Wetted Perimeter	Velocity	Specific Energy
0.00	0.50	0.00	3.50	8.24	4.20	0.77
1529,19	0.50	61.17	3.50	8.24	4.20	0.77
1530.99	0.50	61.24	3.51	8.24	4.18	0.77
1531.56	0.50	61.26	3.52	8.25	4.17	0.77
531.89	0.50	61.28	3.54	8.26	4.16	0.77
1532.12	0.51	61.28	3.55	8.26	4.14	0.77
532.28	0.51	61.29	3.56	8.27	4.13	0.77
532.41	0.51	61.30	3.57	8.28	4.11	0.77
532.52	0.51	61.30	3.59	8.28	4.10	0.77
532.60	0.51	61.30	3.60	8.29	4.09	0.77
532.67	0.51	61.31	3.61	8.30	4.07	0.77
532.73	0.52	61.31	3.62	8.30	4.06	0.77
532.78	0.52	61.31	3.63	8.31	4.04	0.77
532.82	0.52	61.31	3.65	8.32	4.03	0.77
532.86	0.52	61.31	3.66	8.32	4.02	0.77
532.89	0.62	61.32	3.67	8.33	4.00	0.77
532.91	0.52	61.32	3.68	8.34	3.99	0.77
532.93	0.52	61.32	3.70	8.34	3.98	0.77
532.95	0.53	61.32	3.71	8.35	3.96	0.77
532.96	0.53	61.32	3.72	8.36	3.95	0.77
532.98	0.53	61.32	3.73	8.37	3.94	0.77

# Gradually Varied Flow Points for Trapezoidal Channel - 1

Distance	Depth	Invert Elevation	Flow Area	Wetted Perimeter	Velocity	Specific Energy
1532.98	0.53	61.32	3.75	8.37	3.92	0.77
1532.99	0.53	61,32	3.76	8.38	3.91	0.77
1533.00	0_53	61.32	3.77	8.39	3.90	0.77
1533.00	0.53	61.32	3.78	8.39	3.89	0.77
1533.00	0.54	61.32	3.51	8.24	4.18	0.81



(ft) noitsvel3

Horizontal Distance (ft)

# RM Step-Pool Calcs RIVERMORPH VANE DESIGN REPORT

# \_\_\_\_\_ River Name: Silver Creek Reach Name: Reach 2 (Unnamed Tributary) Vane Name: Cross-Vane Step-Pool Desiign

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Input Data

Bank Height:	0.5 ft
Bankfull Height:	0.5 ft
Shear Stress:	0.4 lbs/sq ft
Near Bank Stress:	1.5 lbs/sq ft
Bankfull Slope:	0.04 ft/ft
Bankfull Width:	8 ft
Radius of Curvature:	600 ft
Plan View Vane Angle:	20 deg
Results	
Ratio - Rc/Wbkf:	75
Vane Spacing:	50.0 ft
Vane Length:	36.5 ft
Minimum Rock Size (Diameter):	2.0 ft
Protrusion Height:	0.05 ft
Footing Depth:	0.15 ft
Layers of Footing Stones:	1
Vane Slope:	1.4 %







### **APPENDIX 4**

Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek Photographs





1. Adjacent pastureland on Queen property, along west side of Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek.

Ċ.



2. Panoramic view of downstream portion of project corridor along Unnamed Tributary showing abandoned terrace feature on left side of photo.



3. Deficient riparian corridor along Unnamed Tributary to Silver Creek. Cattle intrusion is evident here.



4. Area of cattle intrusion and sparsely vegetated riparian corridor at downstream portion of Unnamed Tributray project corridor.



5. Evidence of extreme cattle intrusion and bank failure attributed to hoof shear along Unnamed Tributary at bottom of reach.



6. Pastureland is present to the west of the Unnamed Tributary, and a sparsely wooded corridor is present to the east (downstream portion of project corridor).



7. Undercut banks along east bank of Unnamed Tributary.



8. Undercutting of banks along Unnamed Tributary is resulting in loss of trees in some areas.



9. Unnamed Tributary, facing upstream.



10. Unnamed Tributary, facing upstream. The channel is laterally contained within a valley-confined ravine in this area.

Ĩ,



11. Slumping bank along Unnamed Tributary attributed to hoof shear from cattle intrusion.



12. Unnamed Tributary, facing downstream at the approximate midpoint of the project corridor.



13. A dead cow was observed in the stream where denuded banks are steeper. This reinforces the need for cattle exclusion fencing along the stream to prevent damage to banks and channel, as well as loss of cattle.



14. Fallen tree within Unnamed Tributary.



15. Cattle intrusion was also noted along the upstream portion of the Unnamed Tributary in an area where the valley broadens, causing extreme degradation of the bed and banks and denuding of vegetation.



16. Cattle intrusion and eroding banks along upstream portion of Unnamed Tributary.

Č.



17. Upstream project terminus along Unnamed Tributary. Stream emerges from a granite bedrock spring within a steep ravine.

See Ap B in Mitigation Plan

### **APPENDIX 5**

Reference Reach Classification, Photographs and Data Summary Reports

# **Stream Classification Form**

Basin NAME: <u>CATAWBA RIV</u> Location: <u>BRINDLE CREEK</u> Twp: Rge:	
Bankfull WIDTH (W <sub>bkf</sub> ) WIDTH of the stream channel, at bankfull s	
Mean DEPTH $(d_{bkf})$ Mean DEPTH of the stream channel cross-s $(d_{bkf}=A_{bkf}/W_{bkf})$	1.28 Fe
Bankfull Cross Section Area (A <sub>bkf</sub> ) AREA of the stream channel cross-section,	at bankfull stage elevation, in a riffle section.
WIDTH / DEPTH RATIO (W <sub>bkf</sub> / C Bankfull WIDTH divîded by bankfull mean	
Maximum DEPTH (d <sub>mrif</sub> ) Maximum depth of the bankfull channel cro thalweg in a riffle section.	1.72 Fe bass-section, or elevation between the bankfull stage and
<b>Flood-Prone Area WIDTH (W<sub>fpa</sub>)</b> The stage/elevation at which flood-prone are maximum DEPTH, or (2 x d <sub>mrit</sub> )	ea WIDTH is determined in a riffle section at twice
Entrenchment RATIO (ER) The ratio of flood-prone area WIDTH divide section.	ed by bankfull channel WIDTH ( $W_{fpa}/W_{bkf}$ ) in a riffle
Channel Materials (Particle Size In The 50th percentile, or less than, from a peb representing the median or dominant particle	ble count frequency distribution of channel particles
Water Surface SLOPE (S) Average water surface slope as measured be over two meander wave lengths. This is similar	tween the same position of bed features in the profile ilar to average bankfull slope.

# **Reference Reach Summary Data Form**

			and f	Reference	Reach Su	ımmary [	Data	1-1-1-1	de la	-1. S1
	Mean Riffle Depth	(d <sub>bkf</sub> ) 1.2	feet Me	an Riffle W	idth (W <sub>bkf</sub> )	24.02 fe	et Mean	Riffle Area (A	Abkd)	30.77 feet2
E	Mean Pool Depth (o	d <sub>bkfp</sub> ) 2.3	feet Me	an Pool Wie	dth (W <sub>bkfp</sub> )	26.97 fe	et Mean	Pool Area (A	<sub>bkfp</sub> )	62.77 feet2
Channel Dimension	Ratio Mean Pool Depth/Mean Riffle I	Depth 1.820	d <sub>bkfp</sub> / Rat d <sub>bkf</sub> Wic	io Pool Wic 1th	lth/Riffle		/ <sub>bkfp</sub> / Ratio / <sub>bkf</sub> Riffle	Pool Area/ Area		2.040 A <sub>bkfp</sub> /
lel D	Max Riffle Depth (c	et Max 1	iffle depth/Me	ean riffl	e depth 1.883					
hanr	Max pool depth/Me	an riffle deptl	1 2.938				Point	Bar Slope		0
O	Streamflow: Estima	ted Mean Vel	ocity at Ban	kfull Stage	(u <sub>bk</sub> )	3.19 ft	's Estim	ation Method	1	
_	Streamflow: Estima	ted Discharge	at Bankfull	Stage (Qbk)	)	98.16 cf	s Drain	age Area	1	1.16 mi <sup>2</sup>
TS S	Geometry	Ave	Min Ma	IX.	Dime	ensionless	Geometry	Ratios	Ave	Min Max
E	Meander Length (Li				Aeander Lei			Katios	4.361	3.673 4.816
Channel Pattern	Radius of Curvature (Rc) 17.67 12.97 24.44 feet Radius of Curvature/Riffle Width (Rc/Wbkt)									0.540 1.017
nel F	Belt Width (Wblt)	45.22	44.17 46	5.5 feet N	Aeander Wi	dth Ratio (	W <sub>blt</sub> /W <sub>bkf</sub> )		1.883	1.839 1.936
Chan	Individual Pool Len	gth   17.42	11.01 31.	56 feet P	ool Length/	Riffle Wid	lth		0.725	0.458 1.314
0	Pool to Pool Spacing	g 71.36	67.6 77	7.5 feet P	ool to Pool	Spacing/R	iffle Width	L.	2.971	2.814 3.226
	Valley Slope (VS)	0.0097	ft/ft Ave	waga Watar	Surface Slo	ma (S)	0.01149	ft/ft Sinuo	sity (VS	5/S)   1.2
	Stream Length (SL)			ley Length (			0.01149		sity (V2	
	Low Bank Height		feet			1 0 fe				start #####
	Low Bank Height         start         0 feet         Max Riffle         start         0 feet         Bank Height R           (LBH)         end         0 feet         Depth         end         0 feet         (LBH/Max Riffle								end #####	
	Facet Slopes Ave Min Max Dimensionless Slope Ratios							Ave	Min Max	
		0.0246 0.0172	1		ope/Averag				2.144	1.500 3.008
rofile		0.0211 0.0125		1915	pe/Average	1			1.838	1.088 3.150
Channel Profile		0.0043 0.0010		12	pe/Average				0.372	
Chan		0.0053 0.0020		Glide Sl	ope/Averag	e Water Su	irface Slop	$e(S_g/S)$	0.460	0.173 0.655
9	Feature Midpoint <sup>a</sup> Riffle Depth (d <sub>mrif</sub> )	Ave Min 2.410 2.410	F	Riffle M	Dimen ax Depth/R	Carden Labor	epth Ratio		Ave	Min Max 1.883 1.883
	Run Depth (d <sub>mrun</sub> )	2.300 1.870		-	x Depth/Rif					1.461 2.000
	Pool Depth (d <sub>mp</sub> )	3.760 3.760			x Depth/Rif	1				2.938 2.938
	Glide Depth (d <sub>mp</sub> )	2.470 1.640		10	ax Depth/Ri				1.930	
			l	Tevrae			zopin (om	1 - DKD		
_	Catagories	Reach <sup>b</sup>	Riffle <sup>c</sup>	Bar		Indices	Reach <sup>b</sup>	Riffle°	В	
rials	% Silt/Clay	0	0			D16	0	0		mm
Channel Materials	% Sand % Gravel	0	0	1	=	D35		0 38.5	ļ	mm
I lan	% Gravel % Cobble	0	0		=	D50	27.73 58.3	60.2		mm
Chan	% Cobble % Boulder	0	0		=	D84	0	0		mm
2					=				l	mm
1.2	% Bedrock	0	0			D100	0	0	L	mm

a. The range of "feature" mid-point maximum bankfull depths, including the minimum, maximum and average values.

(Pool depths are obtained from the deepest portion of the feature.)

b. A composite sample of materials from riffle and pool features taken within the designated reach.

c. Sample obtained within the "active" bed of a riffle feature at the location of the cross section.

1.

## **APPENDIX 6**

# HEC-RAS Analysis





		2	= [n	Cwo C	W.	<sup>y</sup> <sup>y</sup>					50	
HEC-RAS Pla Reach	River Sta			the second se	N.						V	
Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chi-
Restoration	4451	0.000	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(fi)	(ft/ft)	(tVs)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Restoration	4451	2-year 5-year	578.00	1126.46	1131.96		1132.28	0.002543	4.53	127.71	(29.29	0.3
Restoration	4451	100-year	983.00	1126.46	1133.81		1134,25	0.002535	5.31	185.65	-> 36.09	0.3
1100101110011	4451	ioo-year	2718.00	1126.46	1136.86		1137.55	0.002876	7.46	545.91	153.19	0.4
Restoration	4103	2-year	578.00	1124.00	6100.00						$\bigcirc$	
Restoration	4103	5-year	983.00	1124.88	1130.99		1131.34	0.002802	4.79	120.66	27.10	0,4
Restoration	4103	100-year	2718.00	1124.88	1132.78		1133.29	0.003007	5.70	172.51	30.72	0.4
	2	Too year	2/10.00	1124.08	1134.73	1134.73	1136.06	0.006287	9.98	418.69	196.97	0.6
Restoration	3763	2-year	578.00	1124.10	1120.04							
Restoration	3763	5-year	983.00	1124.10	1130.24		1130.48	0.002127	3.97	145.62	37.89	0.3
Restoration	3763	100-year	2718.00	1124.10	1131.96		1132.26	0.002686	4.33	226.84	> 62.81	0.4
	0,00	loovycai	2/10.00	1124.10	1134.01		1134.27	0.001919	4,89	993.76	467.21	0.3
Restoration	3555	2-year	578.00	1123.95	1100 70							
Restoration	3555	5-year	983.00		1129.72		1130.02	0.002321	4.35	132.97	31.49	0.3
Restoration	3555	100-year	2718.00	1123.95	1131.31		1131.71	0.002431	5.18	221.12	130,26	0.3
- 100-		100 Juli	2/10.00	1123.95	1133.40		1133.80	0.002456	6.33	870.25	411.08	) 0,4
Restoration	3170	2-year	578.00	1122.70	1128.61						$\sim$	2
Restoration	3170	5-year	983.00	1122.70	1128.61		1128.94	0.003414	4.62	125.21	37,51	0.4
Restoration	3170	100-year	2718.00	1122.70			1130.64	0,003066	4.54	230.37	126.48	0,4
11. 11. 11. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	2110.00	1122.70	1132.30		1132.75	0.003042	6,12	727.67	348.57	) 0.4
Restoration	2975	2-year	578.00	C1121-97	1127.93			12/22/2017				
Restoration	2975	5-year	983.00	1121.97	1129.56		1128.28	0.003330	4.77	121,17	33.67	- 0.4
Restoration	2975	100-year	2718.00	1121.97	1131.91		1130.00	0.003314	5.34	184.23	-> 57.98	0.4
					1101.01		1132.22	0.002090	5.62	945.47	-410.28	0.3
Restoration	2690	2-year	594.00	(1120.54	1127.46	× 1	1127,63	0.004.005				
Restoration	2690	5-year	+1010.00	1120.54	1129.09		1129.33	0.001435	3,36	176.96	43.92	• 0.2
Restoration	2690	100-year	2788.00	1120.54	1131,63		1131.79	0.000953	3.96	261.93		0.3
		MIL SERVICE					1131.73	0.000355	4.13	1361.63	531:50	0.2
Restoration	2460	2-year	594.00	1120.00	1127.09		1127.29	0.001500	3.65	163.81	10.42	Vala
Restoration	2460	5-year	1010.00	1120.00	1128.81		1129.03	0.001141	3.97	384.49	49.16 250.52	0.3
Restoration	2460	100-year	2788.00	1120.00	1131.45		1131.59	0.000743	4.08	1501.42	250,52	0.2
	2000000	SSERAW.							4.00	1001.42	300.22	0.2
Restoration	2295	2-year	594.00	1120.02	1126,58		1126.93	0.003190	4.74	125.32	34.13	0.4
Restoration	2295	5-year	1010.00	1120.02	1128.37		1128.71	0.003362	4.68	222.96	107.47	0.4
Restoration	2295	100-year	2788.00	1120.02	1131.09		1131,39	0.001803	5.09	899.45	340.60	0.4
	10.03									002.40	040,00	0.3
Restoration	1970	2-year	594.00	1119.80	1125.72		1126.02	0.002401	4.37	135.88	33.37	0.3
Restoration	1970	5-year	1010.00	1119.80	1127.36		1127.78	0.002456	5.17	205.80	83.17	0.3
Restoration	1970	100-year	2788.00	1119.80	1130.68		1130,90	0.001162	4.88	1071.40	318.42	0.4
		log office								107 1.40	010,42	0.3
Restoration	1500	2-year	594.00	1118.07	1122.05	1122.05	1123,45	0.019005	9.51	62.45	22.29	1.0
Restoration	1500	5-year	1010.00	1118.07	1123.31	1123.31	1125.17	0.018138	10.94	92.32	25.17	1.0
Restoration	1500	100-year	2788.00	1118.07	1127.67	1127.67	1129.54	0.009280	11,47	305.28	96.11	0.7

Josh, Please do create a linear (x avis) log (y avis plot of Flow Vs. Frequency as a check for muy BKF Q @a l.7 yr F.L. Thanks!

Marren.

2. TEITS

~	- 2
6	1
V	

- 13 1953

12

 $| \cdot | \cdot |$ 

Reach	River Sta	River: silver cr	The second se		_V						V	
Reach	Rives Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
restoration	1430	2-уг	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(1/1)	(fVs)	(sq ft)	(ft)	V.C. Sur. Dr
restoration	1430	2-yr 5-yr	23.00	1194.30	1195.24	1195,24	1195.51	0.030675	4.20	5.48	10.32	1.02
restoration	1430		44.00	1194.30	1195.54	1195.54	1195.93	0.027520	4.98	8.83	11.72	1.01
10310/ 402/1	1450	100-ут	154.00	1194.30	1196.54	1196.54	1197.30	0.021808	7.05	22.08	15.20	1.00
restoration	1285	2-yr	23,00	1187.02	1100 71		TRANSPORT OF					
restoration	1285	5-yr	44.00	1187.02	1188.71	1188.71	1189.15	0.032839	5.30	4.34	5,13	1.01
restoration	1285	100-yr	154.00		1189.23	1189.23	1189.78	0.029672	5.96	7.38	6.80	1.01
(optonana)	1200	100-31	154.00	1187.02	1190.67	1190.67	1191.50	0.021216	7.36	21.97	15.60	0.99
restoration	1110	2-yr	23.00	1182.19	1182.94	1182.94	1100 111					
restoration	1110	5-yr	44.00	1182.19	1183.17		1183.14	0.033284	3.52	6.53	17.37	1.01
restoration	1110	100-yr	154.00	1182.19	1183.78	1183.17	1183.42	0.030551	4.01	10.97	22.51	1.01
1	998X 214 29	1144	154.00	1102.19	1163.78	1183.78	1184.27	0.024345	5.61	27.54	29.31	1.01
restoration	895	2-yr	23.00	1173.00	1173.86	1173.86	1174.08		1272121			
restoration	895	5-yr	44.00	1173.00	1174.10	1173.00	1174.08	0.032061	3.76	6.12	14.27	1.01
restoration	895	100-yr	154.00	1173.00	1174.88	1174.88	1174.40	0.029329	4.41	9.98	17.06	1.02
		ALC: NO.			1114.00	1174.00	11/3.4/	0.023275	6.19	24.90	21.32	1.01
restoration	560	2-yr	23.00	1157,36	1158.47	1158.47	1158.81	0.029451	1.70	1.00		
restoration	560	5-yr	44.00	1157.36	1158.86	1158,86	1159.33	0.029451	4.70	4.90	7.30	1.01
restoration	560	100-yr	154.00	1157.36	1160.05	1160.05	1160.88	0.020878	7.31	8.03	8.75	1,01
	1.1.1.1.1.1.1	The Last				1100,000	1100.00	0.022372	7.31	21.07	13.30	1.01
restoration	340	2-yr	23.00	1148.46	1149.37	1149.30	1149.61	0.021812	3.97	5,79	8.93	
restoration	340	5-yr	44,00	1148.46	1149,66	1149.63	1150.08	0.024654	5.17	8.52		0.87
restoration	340	100-yr	154.00	1148.46	1150.79	1150.79	1151.68	0.023623	7,58	20.31	9.47	0.96
1. H. C. 19-2	S ENGINE F	132.510 MB.J						0.020020	7.50	20.31	11.34	1.01
restoration	100	2-yr	23.00	1142.39	1143.06	1143.06	1143.27	0.032606	3.65	6.30	15.63	1.00
restoration	100	5-yr	44.00	1142.39	1143.30	1143.30	1143.58	0.029446	4.27	10.31	13.63	1.01
restoration	100	100-yr	154.00	1142.39	1144.02	1144.02	1144.51	0.024443	5.67	27.18	28.31	1.01

1