Simmons Street / Jack Smith Creek Stormwater BMP Project Year 5 Monitoring Report DMS Project Number 92646 CAMA Permit 61-10 USACE Action ID SAW-2009-01796 DWR Project #09-1010 Craven County, North Carolina December 2017



Prepared by: NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699



Mitigation Services

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Project Summary	1
2.0	Performance Standards	1
	2.1 Vegetation	1
	2.2 Hydrology	1
3.0	Monitoring Plan	2
4.0	Maintenance & Contingency Plan	2
5.0	Year 5 Monitoring	2

Appendices

Appendix A. Background Tables
Table 1 Project Components
Table 2 Project Activity & Reporting
Table 3 Project Contacts
Table 4 Project Attributes
Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data
Figure 1 Vicinity Map
Figure 2 Asset Map
Figure 3 Current Condition Plan View
Site Photos
Table 5 Vegetation Condition Assessment
Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data
Table 6 Vegetation Plot Summary
Table 7 Vegetation Density
Appendix D. Hydrologic Data
Figure 4 Monthly Rainfall Data
Figures 5a-5h Monitoring Gauge Data
Table 8 Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Appendix E. BMP Supporting Documentation Appendix F. BMP Annual Maintenance Forms

1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

The Simmons Street / Jack Smith Creek Stormwater Project is a nutrient offset project that involves the creation of 25 acres of planted stormwater wetland areas. As part of the construction, 14 acres of wetlands were impacted and converted into stormwater wetland areas. Approval of this conversion was obtained by providing on-site mitigation for the impacts. A total of 1.0 acres of wetland creation, 2.3 acres of wetland enhancement, and 10 acres of wetland preservation were proposed and approved to serve as the on-site mitigation. The construction of the stormwater wetland areat reated by the site was approximately 1,534 acres. The purpose of this report is to provide onsite mitigation information, and BMP- related monitoring associated with the project. The goals and objectives of the mitigation areas of the project are provided below.

Goals	Objectives
1. Improve the quality of stormwater runoff that flows to Jack Smith Creek by reducing nutrient and sediment loadings.	Create 25 acres of stormwater wetlands that will receive and treat stormwater runoff.
2. Reduce the impact of flooding in an urban watershed in New Bern	Utilize created stormwater wetlands for flood control through the use of pumps.
3. Protect and preserve existing bottomland hardwood/headwater forest wetlands along Jack Smith Creek.	Protect existing wetlands in a conservation easement and restore native vegetation where needed.

This project is a unique water quality partnership between the City of New Bern, the Division of Mitigation Services (DMS, formerly the Ecosystem Enhancement Program), and the NC Clean Water Management Trust Fund. The project is primarily a stormwater wetland designed to capture and treat runoff from a large watershed in New Bern. The wetland is an environmental initiative by the City and is a part of the DMS Nutrient Offset Program. The project is unique in both its size and scope, and, at the time of construction, was the largest stormwater retrofit built to date in NC. The site location and contributing watershed represents a rare chance to intercept stormwater before it gets to the Neuse River, less than one mile away. In addition, the site has been used by NC State University as a wetland research park to evaluate the ability of large scale wetlands to improve water quality.

2.0 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

2.1 Vegetation

An average density of 260 stems/acre must be surviving after five years of monitoring to meet success. Two permanent vegetation plots were established at the project inception.

2.2 Hydrology

The wetland enhancement and creation areas will present continuous saturated or inundated hydrologic conditions for at least 12% of the growing season during normal weather conditions. A "normal" year is based on NRCS climatological data for Craven County, using the 30th to 70th percentile thresholds as the range of normal. The growing season for the site occurs from March 18 to November 14 (241 days). Hydrologic performance will be determined through evaluation of automatic recording gauge data supplemented by documentation of wetland hydrology indicators as defined in the 1987 USACE Delineation Manual, daily data will be collected from automatic wells over the 5-year monitoring period. Eight (8) continuous monitoring groundwater gauges were installed to following construction of the project.

3.0 MONITORING PLAN

Parameter	Quantity	Frequency		
Groundwater Hydrology	8 (2 reference, 5 creation, 1 enhancement)	annual		
Vegetation	Vegetation 2 (1 enhancement, 1 creation)			
Project boundary & Visual assessment	N/A	Semi-annual		
BMP	The Town of New Bern will inspect and maintain stormwater cells and make repairs if necessary as described on the O&M agreement	As Needed		

Annual monitoring data will be reported using the DMS monitoring template, with the parameters below.

4.1 MONITORING

Year 5 annual monitoring (MY5) was conducted in November 2017. Monitoring activities included visual monitoring and stem counts of the project vegetation; downloading monitoring gauge data; checking the integrity of the easement; and taking photographs. The creation and enhancement areas have an established stand of obligate wetland grasses and rushes and desirable species of volunteer trees were documented. Both vegetation plots met vegetative success criteria in MY5 (Table 6 and 7).

All groundwater gauges in the creation and enhancement wetlands met hydrologic success criteria for MY5 and achieved a success hydroperiod greater than 12% of the growing season (Appendix D). When the project was transferred from NC State to DMS for monitoring, it was discovered that one of the reference gauges which has not met hydrology over the entire monitoring, was installed outside the easement in a non-wetland area. Therefore, gauge three should not be evaluated for comparison as a reference.

The stormwater wetland BMP areas do not have vegetative success criteria. However, both planted vegetation and volunteers have developed successfully over the monitoring period. Each wetland cell has been substantially established in desirable stormwater wetland species. While there are areas of cattail growth on the site; these areas contain desirable species as well. Based on visual assessment in MY5, cattail is not a significant threat to the site and was managed appropriately over the monitoring period. The stormwater BMP maintenance is a routine task of the New Bern Stormwater Division in the Public Works department. Monitoring and maintenance occurs at least quarterly, and annual monitoring forms are provided in Appendix F.

Initial estimates of BMP performance indicated that these stormwater wetlands could treat up to 1,000 acres of runoff and that the cells would have a treatment effectiveness of 40% total nitrogen (TN), 35% total phosphorus (TP), and 85% total suspended solids (TSS). Water quality monitoring in MY1 and MY2 conducted by NC State showed that the actual effectiveness resulted in reductions of 76% N, 91% TP, and 90% TSS (Appendix E).

The City of New Bern has conducted regular monitoring at the site, and the project is considered to have a significant benefit to water quality and stormwater storage. DMS recommends closing this project as proposed.

APPENDIX A

BACKGROUND TABLES

Simmons Street BMP MY5 DMS ID 92646

Table 1: Project Mitigation Components

Mitigation Credit Summations		
Nitrogen Nutrient Offset		
198,243 lbs*		
Project Components		
Project Component	Area (Ac)	Notes
Stormwater Wetlands	25	BMP
Created Wetland	1.0	On-Site Mitigation
Enhanced Wetland	2.3	On-Site Mitigation
Preserved Wetlands	10.0	On-Site Mitigation

*Calculations and justification included in Appendix for 40% nitrogen reduction.

Based on treatment of stormwater runoff from an urban watershed of approximately 1530 acres.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History

Data Collection	Completion or
Complete	Delivery
	N/A
Nov-08	Nov-10
	Dec-12
	Jan-13
	Jun-13
	Dec-13
Nov-13	Dec-13
	Mar-14
	May-14
Feb-15	May-15
Oct-15	Mar-16
Nov-16	Mar-17
Nov-17	Dec-17
ue to low stem counts from brows	e in MY 1 (2013)
	Complete Nov-08 Nov-08 Nov-13 Feb-15 Oct-15 Nov-16 Nov-17

Table 3. Project Contacts Table

Simmons Street	/ New Bern Stormwater BMP Project / DMS # 92646
Designer	NCSU Biological and Agricultural Engineering
Primary project design POC	Kris Bass 919.515.8245
Construction Contractor	Carolina Environmental Contracting
Construction contractor POC	Joanne Cheatham 336.320.3849
Survey Contractor	Turner Land Surveying
Survey contractor POC	Lissa Turner 919.875.1378
Planting Contractor	Carolina Wetland Services
Planting contractor POC	Gregg Antemann 866.527.1177
Nursery Stock Suppliers Planting POC	Wetland Plants, Inc. Ellen Colodney 252.482.5707
Monitoring Performers Wetland and Veg Monitoring POC	NC Division of Mitigation Services Lindsay Crocker and Casey Haywood 919-707-8944

Table 4. Project Attributes Table

Table 4. Troject Attributes		Project Information											
Project Name	Simmons Street /	New Bern Stormwater BN	ЛР										
County	Craven	Craven											
Project Area (acres)	40 acres	40 acres											
Project Coordinates (latitud and longitude)	de 35.1243, -77.0616	5											
	Project Watershed Summary Information												
Physiographic Province Coastal Plain													
River Basin Neuse													
USGS HUC for Project (14 Digit) 3020204020010													
DWQ Sub-basin 03-04-10													
Project Drainage Area (acres) 1500													
Project Drainage Area, % 55% 55%													
Wetland Summary Information													
Parameter	Stormwater Wetland	Created Wetland	Enhanced Wetland	Preserved Wetland									
Size of Wetland (acres)	25	1	2.3	10									
Wetland Type (non-riparian riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine)		Non-Riparian	Riparian	Riparian									
Mapped Soil Series	Arapahoe FSL	Arapahoe FSL	Arapahoe FSL	Arapahoe FSL									
Drainage Class	Very Poorly Drained	Very Poorly Drained	Very Poorly Drained	Very Poorly Drained									
Soil Hydric Status	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
Source of Hydrology	Stormwater	Groundwater	Surface Water	Surface Water									
Hydrologic Impairment	None	Drained and Graded	Drained	None									
	Re	egulatory Considerations											
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Do	ocumentation									
Waters of the U.S. – Section 404	Yes	Yes	NCDENR CAMA Major Permit #61-10										
Waters of the U.S, – Section 401	Yes	Yes	DWQ Perm	it #09-1010									
Endangers Species Act	Yes	Yes	NCDENR CAMA Major Permit #61-10										
Historic Preservation Act	Yes	Yes	NCDENR CAMA M	ajor Permit #61-10									
Coastal Area Management Act	Yes	Yes	NCDENR CAMA M	ajor Permit #61-10									
Essential Fisheries Habitat	Yes	Yes	NCDENR CAMA M	ajor Permit #61-10									

APPENDIX B

VISUAL ASSESSMENT DATA

Simmons Street BMP: MY5: DMS ID 92464







Current Condition Plan View: Simmons Street BMP

0

250 500

Site Photos

Site overview of Cell B



Wetland Creation Area



Overflow pipes near pump station; outlet to Cell 1



Outlet weir looking towards Cell D



Wetland Creation Area



Tidal gates looking towards outlet



APPENDIX C

VEGETATION PLOT DATA

Simmons Street BMP: MY4: DMS ID 92464

Table 6. Vegetation Plot Summary

Plot #	Planted Stems	Avg. Stems per Acre	Success Criteria Met
1	7	283	Yes
2	9	364	Yes

Table 7. Vegetation Density

			Current		ata (MY5	2017)						Means				
						-					Annual Means					
	Common	Species	92646-01	L-0001	92646-02	L-0002	MY5 (2	017)	MY4 (2	016)	MY3 (2	2015)	MY2 (2	014)	MY1 (2013)	
Scientific Name	Name	Туре	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Tota
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree		3				3		8		8				
Ulmus americana	american elm	Tree			1	1	1	1								
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	. :
Morella cerifera	wax myrtle	shrub		13		5		18		18		18				
Nyssa aquatica	water tupelo	Tree	2	2	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3				
Nyssa biflora	swamp tupelo	Tree	2	2	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	4	. 4
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree		3				3								
Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	Exotic				1		1		1		1				
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	. 4
*Liriodendron tulipifera	tulip poplar	Tree											6	6		
Unknown		Tree							1	1	1	1	3	3	1	. !
	9	Stem count	7	26	9	15	16	41	16	43	16	43	24	24	11	1!
		size (ares)	1		1		2		2		2		2	•	2	-
	si	ze (ACRES)	0.02	5	0.02	5	0.0	5	0.0	5	0.0	5	0.0	5	0.0	5
	Spe	ecies count	3	6	5	6	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	4	. 4
		s per ACRE		1053	364	607	324	830	324	870	324	870	486	486	223	304

* DMS believes that the MY1 and MY2 plant monitoring misidenfied Nyssa species as Liriodendron, and that initial monitoring efforts were problematic

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC DATA

Figure 4. Monthly Rainfall Data BMP (Simmons Street Wetland, New Bern), DMS Project ID# 92646



Observed rainfall data collected from the State Climate Office of North Carolina, NC State University CRONOS database, Craven County Airport, NC (KEWN) monitoring station. Historic data obtained from the USDA-NRCS Agricultural Applied Climate Information System (Craven County Airport, NC (KEWN) monitoring station).



Growing Season Days: 2 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 157 Hydroperiod Percent: 65.1%



Growing Season Days: 2 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 47 Hydroperiod Percent: 19.5



Growing Season Days: 2 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 6 Hydroperiod Percent: 2.5%



Growing Season Days: 241(Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 241 Hydroperiod Percent: 100%



Growing Season Days: 241(Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 61 Hydroperiod Percent: 25.3%



Growing Season Days: 241 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 61 Hydroperiod Percent: 25.3%



Growing Season Days: 241 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 62 Hydroperiod Percent: 25.7%

Figure 5h. Monitoring Gauge #8 BMP (Simmons Street Wetland, New Bern), DMS Project ID# 92646



Growing Season Days: 241 (Mar 18 – Nov 14) Target Hydroperiod Percent: 12% Required Number of Days Meeting Requirements: 29 Longest Period Meeting Requirements: 141 Hydroperiod Percent: 58.5%

Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment

	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage) ¹											
Gauge	Year 1 (2013)	Year 2 (2014)	Year 3 (2015) ²	Year 4 (2016)	Year 5 (2017)							
1 (enhancement)	Yes / 115 (48%)	Yes / 58 (24%)		Yes / 182 (75.5%)	Yes / 157 (65.1%)							
2 (reference 1 near outlet)	N/A / 24 (10%)	N/A / 18 (8%)		N/A / 42 (17.4%)	N/A / 47 (19.5%)							
3 (reference 2 near creation)	N/A / 10 (4.1%)			N/A / 16 (6.6%)	N/A / 6 (2.5%)							
4 (far right creation)	Yes / 240 (100%)	Yes / 43 (18%)		Yes / 66 (27.4%)	Yes / 241 (100%)							
5 (mid right creation)	Yes/30 (12.5%)	Yes / 46 (19%)		Yes / 43 (17.8%)	Yes / 61 (25.3%)							
6 (center creation)	No / 19 (8%)	Yes / 70 (29%)		Yes / 35 (14.5%)	Yes / 61 (25.3%)							
7 (mid left creation)	Yes / 31 (13%)	Yes / 49 (20%)		Yes / 42 (17.4%)	Yes / 62 (25.7%)							
8 (far left creation)	Yes / 180 (75%)			Yes / 75 (31.4%)	Yes / 141 (58.5%)							

1. Growing Season is 241 days. Twelve (12) percent of the growing season is equal to 29 days or more of consecutive readings above 12 inches.

2. None of the gauges provided reliable data during the 2015 monitoring season. All gauges were pulled and replaced March 2016 to capture the 2016 growing season.

APPENDIX E

BMP SUPPORTING DATA

Simmons Street BMP MY5 DMS ID 92464

Jack Smith Creek Stormwater Project Nutrient Loading/Removal Worksheet Aug-13

Sub-		Residential							T	-		
watershed	1 acre	1/2 acre	1/3 acre	1/4 acre	1/8 acre	2 acre	Commercial	Forest	Industrial	Open		
0	12.49	31.01	95.08	199.85	14.28	0	88.18	81.98	Industrial	space	Road	Total
1	0.84	9.55	10.71	29.16	0.44	0	54.25		82.17	43.56	148.89	797.51
2	6.86	67.95	45.98	18.03	1.22	1.35		3.22	13.01	9.44	18.01	148.62
3	0.56	14.55	46.09	12.95	8.96	and the second design of the s	35.25	61.09	28.24	13.65	45.78	325.41
Total	20.75	123.07	197.86			0.32	63.93	48.15	8.85	27.74	30.61	262.72
	20.10	120.07	197.00	259.99	24.89	1.68	241.62	194.45	132.26	94.39	243.3	1534.25

Main Watershed	8.26	92.05	102.78	60.14	10.62	1.67	150.40				
Percent Impervious	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.38			153.43	112.46	50.1	50.83	94.4
Rv	0.23	0.275	0.32		0.65	0.12	0.85	0	0.72	0	0.95
R	11.3			0.392	0.635	0.158	0.815	0.05	0.698	0.05	0.905
Load (TP)		13.5135	15.7248	19.26288	31.2039	7.76412	40.0491	2.457	34.29972	2.457	44.4717
	6.33	84.3376	109.57802	78.544239	22.467931	0.8791003	416.6129254	18.73410412		8.46749522	
Load (TN)	42.2	562.251	730.52011	523.62826	149.78621	5.8606683	2777.419503	124.8940274			284.6331
Load (TSS)	1150	15321.3	19906.673	14268.87	4081.6742	159.70321	75684.68145			56.4499681	1897.554
					1001.0142	100.10021	13004.00143	3403.362248	21165.73	1538.26163	51708.35
Extra Watershed	12.49	31.01	95.08	199.85	14.28	0	00.40				
Percent Impervious	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.38		0	88.18	81.98	82.17	43.56	148.89
Rv	0.23				0.65	0.12	0.85	0	0.72	0	0.95
R	11.3		0.02	0.392	0.000	0.158	01010	0.05	0.698	0.05	0.905
Load (TP)			15.7248			7.76412	40.0491	2.457	34,29972	2.457	44.4717
	9.571	28.4118	101.36873	261.00875	30.211117	0	239.4377095	13.65660551	191.0881	7.25642518	
Load (TN)	63.81	189.412	675.79152	1740.0583	201.40744	0	1596.251396	91.04403672			
Load (TSS)	1739	5161.48	18415.319	47416.589		0	43497.85055			48.3761678	2992.869
					0.0020	0	43431.03035	2480.950001	34714.33	1318.25057	81555.68



	Pre-BMP Loading (lbs/year)				Removal %	Removal ((hohr)		
	Main WS	Extra WS	Total	lbs/ac/yr		Main WS		T	
TP	1,147	1,331	2,478	-			Extra WS	Total	lbs/ac/yr
TN	7.647	8,873			35%		466	867	0.57
TSS	208,389		16,520		40%	3,059	3,549	6,608	4.31
133	200,309	241,788	450,176	293.42	85%	177,130	205,519		
						Post-BMP	Loads (Ibs/y	r)	
						Main WS	Extra WS	Total	lbs/ac/yr
					x .	746	865	1,611	1.05
						4,588	5,324	9,912	6.46
						31,258	36,268	67,526	44.01

Since the project activation in early June of 2013, 30 base flow events and 25 storm flow events have been sampled for water quality at six locations in the wetland system. Base flow events are classified as the events that are pumped from Jack Smith Creek to the wetland by the smaller, electric pump. This is typically the actual base flow from the creek and events less than 1.50 inches. The storm events are classified as events where the larger, diesel pumps must turn on to handle the flow of the creek, typically events greater than 1.50 inches (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Schematic of the monitoring set-up and characterization of base flow and storm event cells.

The six monitoring stations consist of ISCO 6712 automated samplers to collect flow-weighted water quality samples. Hydrology is also measured via bubbler and areal velocity meter modules.

Of the events mentioned above, results from 30 base flow and 25 storm event samples have been analyzed (Table 1). The parameters of interest were Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Total Ammonical Nitrogen, NH₃₋₄ (TAN), Nitrite-Nitrate Nitrogen (NO2-3), Total Phosphorus (TP), and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Total Nitrogen (TN) was calculated by the addition of TKN and NO2-3.

Percent reductions are calculated inflow of the wetland vs. outflow of the cell (e.g. **SF Inlet** vs. SF Middle and **SF Inlet** vs. Outlet). The first cells tend to have the highest treatment rates for TN, especially for the storm events, and then concentrations increase slightly. This is attributed to the release and irreducible nature of certain organic nitrogen (ON) species in wetland systems; irreducible effluent concentrations typically range from 0.7-0.8 mg/L (Moore et al. 2011).

Table 8: Mean EMC Concentrations and Percent Reductions for June 2013 – October 2014

	TKN	%	TAN	%	NO2-3	%	TN	%	TP	%	TSS	% Red
	(mg/L)	Red	(mg/L)	Red	(mg/L)	Red	(mg/L)	Red	(mg/L)	Red	(mg/L)	% Reu
Storm Events												
SF Inlet	1.41		0.10		0.14		1.55		0.34		71.21	
SF Middle	0.55	61	0.03	66	0.04	69	0.59	62	0.06	82	4.20	94
Outlet	0.60	58	0.03	66	0.04	72	0.64	59	0.04	87	6.49	91
					Base Flow	v Events	}					
BF Inlet	1.27		0.12		0.20		1.46		0.23		38.17	
BF Middle	0.67	47	0.09	31	0.06	69	0.73	50	0.05	77	4.35	89
BF End	0.94	26	0.08	36	0.06	69	0.97	34	0.14	40	77.48	-103
Outlet	0.62	51	0.04	67	0.04	77	0.67	54	0.05	80	7.11	81

The concentration results indicate the wetland is performing exceedingly well with all nutrient and sediment reductions (from inlets to outlet: green values) exceeding 50%. There is a large increase of TSS at the BF End station due to scouring, but treatment occurs prior to reaching the outlet, yielding an average TSS concentration of 7.11 mg/L. The City of New Bern was alerted of the scoured area will maintain the site in the near future.

The inlet (SF Inlet and BF Inlet) and outlet (Outlet) nutrient and sediment loadings will be statistically analyzed when the hydrological analysis and quality check is complete for those stations. This analysis is currently underway.

As mentioned previously, most of the treatment for all nutrients and sediment occurs in the first cells of the system, whether it's a storm event or base flow. This is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3.



Figure 2: Illustrates where the treatment occurs in the base flow cells of the constructed wetland for each pollutant.



Figure 3: Illustrates where the treatment occurs in the storm event cells of the constructed wetland for each pollutant.

Nutrient (TN and TP) and sediment (TSS) loadings were also calculated for the two inlets and the outlet of the wetland system (Table 2). Observations from Table 2 indicate the wetland system has performed very well with all percent loading reductions exceeding state guidelines and relatively small loads exported from the site.

The major differences between the field monitored loading reductions and the predicted loading reductions can be attributed to the prediction of the *inlet* loadings to the site. The predicted inlet loads were much larger than the field observed loadings to the site. This affects the predicted loading reductions and exported loads from the site that were calculated using state removal guidelines.

Table 9: Predicted and Monitored Nutrient Loadings and Reductions for the Jack Smith Creek Stormwater Wetland

FIELD MONITORED RESULTS									
	Storm Event Inlet			Base Flow Inlet			Outlet of System		
Loading Units	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS
lb/year	1,144	249	47,385	3,943	607	103,517	1,202	80	14,526
lb/ac/year	0.75	0.16	30.88	2.57	0.40	67.47	0.78	0.05	9.47

FIELD MONITORED RESULTS

FIELD MONITORED LOADINGS

	Loading Reductions			Percent Reductions			Exported Loads from Site		
Loading Units	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS
lb/year	3,885	776	136,375	76%	91%	90%	1,202	80	14,526
lb/acre/year	2.53	0.51	88.88	/0%	91%	90%	0.78	0.05	9.47

PREDICTED LOADINGS

<u>FREDICTED LC</u>			ductions		tate Rem Guidelin		Exported Loads from Site			
Loading Units	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	
lb/year	6,608	867	382,650	40%	35%	85%	9,912	1,611	67,526	
lb/acre/year	4.31	0.57	249.41	40%	33%	03%	6.46	1.05	44.01	

APPENDIX F

BMP ANNUAL MONITORING

Annual Stormwater BMP Inspection and Reporting Form

Location: Simmons Street BMP (New Bern, NC)
Date: <u>10/1/2013</u> Time: <u>0730</u> Recent Weather: <u>Rainy</u>
Inspector: <u>Avery Smith</u>
Type of BMP: Stormwater BMP
Date of Construction: July 2013

To answer these questions completely and thoroughly, visually inspect the entire BMP as closely as possible. Take notes and photographs on all information below.
Depth of water in forebay: Approx. 1 foot
Is erosion present? <u>No</u> If yes, where:
Is the outlet (orifice) obstructed, or can water flow freely out? Yes
Are plants alive and thriving? <u>Post construction wetland plants have not</u> established themselves.
Are non-native plants present? <u>No</u>
Is mowing occurring inside the boundaries of the BMP? <u>No</u>
Are beavers and/or muskrats present? <u>Yes, in the preserved wetland bordering</u> the constructed wetlands
Is floating trash/debris present?Yes, at the inlet to the BMP

BMP Maintenance Table

Task	Completed?	Notes
Clear outlet/orifice so water can exit freely	Y or N	Yes
Remove non-native plants only	Y or <mark>N</mark>	Not necessary this inspection period
Remove beaver dams	Y or N	Yes, we have implemented a pest control program
Remove floating trash/debris	Y or N	Yes, we have a maintenance schedule to remove trash from BMP inlet/pump station
Remove accumulated sediment from forebay	Y or <mark>N</mark>	Νο

See pictures attached.
















Location: <u>Simmons Street BMP (Ne</u>	ew Bern, NC)		
Date: <u>8/4/2014</u> Time:	0800	Recent Weather: _	Rain
Inspector: <u>Avery Smith</u>			
Type of BMP: <u>Stormwater BMP</u>	•		
Date of Construction: July 2013			

To answer these questions completely a closely as possible. Take notes and phot			ntire BMP as
Depth of water in forebay:	1.75 Feet		
Is erosion present? <u>No</u> If yo	es, where:		
Is the outlet (orifice) obstructed, or can	water flow free	ly out? <u>Ye</u>	S
Are plants alive and thriving?	Yes		
Are non-native plants present?	Νο		
Is mowing occurring inside the bounda	ries of the BMP?	Yes	
Are beavers and/or muskrats present?	Yes		
Is floating trash/debris present?	Yes, at the	inlet to the pump st	ation

Task	Completed?	Notes
Clear outlet/orifice so water can exit freely	<mark>Y</mark> or N	Yes
Remove non-native plants only	Y or N	We are in the process of treating cattails. Also we are starting to see a lot of alligator weed in the inlet waterways
Remove beaver dams	Y or N	Only in the inlet waterways and surrounding protected wetlands
Remove floating trash/debris	Y or N	At the inlet to the pump station regularly
Remove accumulated sediment from forebay	Y or <mark>N</mark>	No the presents of sediment is minimal

See monitoring report pictures.

Location: Simmons Street BMP (New Bern, NC)
Date: <u>8/7/2015</u> Time: <u>0730</u> Recent Weather: <u>Rain</u>
Inspector: <u>Avery Smith</u>
Type of BMP: Stormwater BMP
Date of Construction: July 2013

Depth of water in forebay: <u>2 Feet</u>
Is erosion present? <u>No</u> If yes, where:
Is the outlet (orifice) obstructed, or can water flow freely out? Yes
Are plants alive and thriving? Yes
Are non-native plants present? Yes
Is mowing occurring inside the boundaries of the BMP? <u>Yes</u>
Are beavers and/or muskrats present? Yes, in the surrounding areas
Is floating trash/debris present? Yes, in the waterway suppling the BMP

Task	Completed?	Notes
Clear outlet/orifice so water can exit freely	Y or N	Yes
Remove non-native plants only	Y or N	At this point we are using mechanical methods to remove Alligator weed from the inlet to the pump station, due to its ability to slow supply water to the pumps.
Remove beaver dams	Y or N	In the past years we have been trapping beaver, muskrat, and nutria. For the most part we have only had muskrat and nutria inside the BMP, the beaver seem to stay in the surrounding protected wetlands.
Remove floating trash/debris	Y or N	Yes, we get a lot of trash from the nearby neighborhoods and it is a routine task to keep under control.
Remove accumulated sediment from forebay	Y or <mark>N</mark>	It has been my observation, the water introduced into the forbay has minimal sediment because it is pumped in. Under normal condition trash and sediment are left at the inlet to the pump station.

See monitoring report pictures.

Location: Simmons Street BMP (New Bern, NC)
Date: <u>8/15/2016</u> Time: <u>0900</u> Recent Weather: <u>Sunny</u>
Inspector: <u>Avery Smith</u>
Type of BMP: Stormwater BMP
Date of Construction: July 2013

To answer these questions completely and thoroughly, visually inspect the entire BMP as closely as possible. Take notes and photographs on all information below.
Depth of water in forebay: <u>1.5 Feet</u>
Is erosion present? Yes If yes, where: Several berms within the wetlands
Is the outlet (orifice) obstructed, or can water flow freely out? Yes
Are plants alive and thriving? Yes
Are non-native plants present? Yes
Is mowing occurring inside the boundaries of the BMP? Yes
Are beavers and/or muskrats present? Yes, in areas that indirectly effect the wetlands
Is floating trash/debris present? <u>Yes, around the inlet to the pump station that</u> supplies water to the BMP

Task	Completed?	Notes
Clear outlet/orifice so water can exit freely	Y or N	
Remove non-native plants only	<mark>Y</mark> or N	We treat invasive with herbicides when possible. Under normal circumstances we remove invasive plants by mechanical means.
Remove beaver dams	Y or N	Yes, we remove dams from a few areas around the BMP due to the effects they have on drainage of the BMP.
Remove floating trash/debris	Y or N	Remove of trash from around the pump area is under a routine schedule
Remove accumulated sediment from forebay	Y or <mark>N</mark>	Still no noticeable built up of sediment. I feel that this will probably take longer due the water being introduces by a pump.

See monitoring pictures.

Location:	Simmons Street BMF	P (New Bern, NC)	
Date: <u>thunderstorm</u>		Time:	Recent Weather: <u>Scattered</u>
Inspector:	Avery Smith		
Type of BMP:	Stormwater	ВМР	
Date of Consti	ruction: July 20)13	
* * * * * *	****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
			y, visually inspect the entire BMP as all information below.
Depth of wate	er in forebay: <u>2</u>	.5 Feet	
Is erosion pres vehicle and m		If yes, where:	A few areas on top of the berms from
Is the outlet (o	orifice) obstructed, or	r can water flow fi	reely out? <u>Water is flowing freely</u>
Are plants aliv	e and thriving?	Yes	
Are non-nativ	e plants present?	Yes	
Is mowing occurring inside the boundaries of the BMP? Yes			
Are beavers a	nd/or muskrats prese	ent? <u> </u>	es, in the surrounding areas
Is floating tras	h/debris present?	Yes, vei	ry little at the inlet structure

Task	Completed?	Notes
Clear outlet/orifice so water can exit freely	<mark>Y</mark> or N	During my inspection I noticed at one of the main crossover pipes some vegetation has grown up and slowed water flow down, I will schedule it to be opened up for water to move freely.
Remove non-native plants only	Y or <mark>N</mark>	It has been observed that some unwanted plants are present. Due to the scale this BMP total removal would be futile. They have been allowed to exist but are being kept under control.
Remove beaver dams	Y or N	We found a dam downstream of the BMP and is in the process of being remove, only because it is effecting water levels inside the BMP and protected wetlands in the surrounding areas.
Remove floating trash/debris	<mark>Y</mark> or N	A normal task under our routine maintenance program.
Remove accumulated sediment from forebay	Y or <mark>N</mark>	Still no noticeable build-up of sediment.

See attached pictures.











