


ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT SMITH AND AUSTIN CREEKS

**STREAM RESTORATION
WAKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
(EEP Project Number 343)
Monitoring Year 6 of 6 (2008)**



North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
Raleigh, North Carolina



December 2008

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT SMITH AND AUSTIN CREEKS

STREAM RESTORATION WAKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (EEP Project Number 343) Monitoring Year 6 of 6 (2008)



Submitted to:
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
Raleigh, North Carolina

Prepared by:
Axiom Environmental, Inc.
2126 Rowland Pond Drive
Willow Spring, North Carolina 27592

Design Firm:
Buck Engineering
8000 Regency Parkway, Suite 200
Cary, NC 27511



December 2008

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Smith and Austin Creeks Stream Restoration Site is located in northern Wake County, approximately 1 mile southeast of the Town of Wake Forest adjacent to the Heritage Development and Golf Course. The restored stream reaches extend upstream from Forestville Road near the intersection of Forestville Road and Rogers Road. The project is located within the Neuse River Basin in United States Geological Survey 14-digit Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03020201070070 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality subbasin 03-04-02).

The primary goals of the project included the following.

1. Establish stable dimension, pattern, and profile along approximately 11,000 linear feet of Smith and Austin Creeks.
2. Improve aquatic habitat with bed variability and the use of in-stream structures in Smith and Austin Creeks.
3. Provide a terrestrial wildlife corridor and refuge in an area that is highly developed for residential and commercial purposes.
4. Establish a forested riparian buffer adjacent to Smith and Austin Creeks.
5. Incorporate this project into a watershed management plan.

Sixteen vegetation plots (10 meters square) were established and permanently monumented. These plots were surveyed in June and July 2008 for the 2008 (year 6) monitoring season. Based on the number of stems counted, average plot density has been measured at 835 stems per acre for 2008 (year 6) monitoring. The dominant species identified at the Site were green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), and river birch (*Betula nigra*). Each of the sixteen individual vegetation plots were well-above the success criteria with 324 to 2024 planted stems per acre.

Vegetation problem areas noted during year 6 (2008) monitoring consisted primarily of beaver chewed stems including larger stems up to 3-4 inches in diameter most notably on Smith Reach 1. Beaver trapping occurred throughout the monitoring season and beaver dams were removed; these areas are expected to recover naturally as long as proactive measures to remove beaver from the area continue. Two locations on Smith Creek have unwarranted stream crossings near the soccer fields where vegetation has been removed. Vegetation mowing near the golf course appears to be encroaching on the easement; however, it is difficult to determine the extent of encroachment. The areas should be allowed to revegetate and the Site should continue to be monitored for similar activity. In addition, Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) is scattered within the Site most notably on the right bank of Smith Creek near its confluence with Austin Creek, the upper reaches of Smith Creek, and the left bank along the upper reaches of Austin Creek near the golf course. The Site is characterized by planted seedlings exhibiting various degrees of vigor. Overall, vigor was noted as good or excellent.

Twenty-three permanent cross-sections were established after construction was completed for the as-built mitigation plan. Longitudinal profiles were measured after construction and were scheduled to be completed in year 1 (2003), year 3 (2005), and year 5 (2007) for a total of four measurements. Five 600-foot reaches were measured for the year 6 (2008) monitoring season. Channel substrate is not expected to coarsen over time and is not monitored for success at this Site.

As a whole, the majority of Site riffle cross-sections have decreased in cross-sectional area. This may result from various factors including beaver activity, high sediment loads, and/or stream adjustments towards a stable, vegetated channel. Width-depth ratios were similar to previous years with slightly

elevated values in Austin Reach 3. This may result from sediment deposition in a stable, low shear stress reach with good vegetation establishment; width-depth values are expected to lower as the banks continue to colonize with vegetation and capture sediment. Pools and associated point bars have remained relatively stable. Longitudinal profile data indicate that riffle and run slopes have decreased while pool and glide slopes are slightly elevated; however, this is expected due high sediment loads. In addition, facet slopes were measured during an extended period of drought, which affected slope measurement values. Facet slopes are expected to return to typical values once normal rainfall resumes.

The as-built channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type stream reaches as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and construction plans. The current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the six-year monitoring period.

Approximately 80.3 percent of the total length of onsite reaches are characterized by moderate BEHI/NBS indicating that stream reaches are relatively stable, exhibiting low erosion rates (approximately 294.5 tons per year). Site BEHI/NBS values indicate a successful stream restoration project, particularly when the project location is considered; the project is located within a developing, urbanized watershed that is targeted for restoration (Targeted Local Watershed 03020201070070). In addition, erosion rates decreased significantly from year 4 (2006) to year 5 (2007) as the result of vegetation establishment increasing the percentage of surface protection along stream banks throughout the Site. Vegetation establishment is expected to increase as the Site ages; however, the lack of erosive flows in late summer and fall may have been beneficial and contributed to the increased establishment of vegetation along Site stream banks during year 5 (2007). Changes from year 5 (2007) to year 6 (2008) where undetectable within sediment export calculations because changes were small resulting in no changes in the BEHI or NBS categories between years.

Several problem areas noted in previous annual monitoring reports were no longer present. During the current site assessment several areas of bank erosion, mid-point bars, and reduced structure integrity/failure were identified. Stream problem areas are relatively infrequent within the Site and are considered minor in respect to the Site location within an urban, developing watershed; upstream watershed development; and the channel size. Vegetation establishment has increased over the six-year monitoring period most notably in year 5 (2007) and most problem areas are expected to stabilize over time with further vegetation establishment. Areas of significant erosion are almost always associated with a tight radius of curvature or turbulence associated with a root wad. Several areas of erosion are associated with a compromised structure. In general, stream problems are minor with little to no lateral erosion or head cutting within the Site. Based on visual inspections and quantitative data over the six-year monitoring period, the majority of Site stream reaches appear to be migrating toward more stable stream channels. Streams are gaining meanders as the channel continues to deposit point bars, which are gradually vegetating, creating a more sinuous, stable channel within incised and/or straighter stream reaches. Recommended proactive maintenance measures include continued beaver removal, as necessary, monitoring for unwarranted vegetation maintenance/removal, and removal of an irrigation dam adjacent to the golf course.

Beaver activity has occurred within the Site throughout the six-year monitoring period resulting in backwater effects from the beaver dams. Proactive maintenance measures including the removal of existing beaver dams and beaver occurred throughout the year 6 (2008) monitoring season and should continue, as necessary.

In summary, the restoration site achieved success criteria for vegetation and stream attributes in the Sixth Monitoring Year (2008) and should be considered successful for the entire six-year monitoring period.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT	i
1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1 Location and Setting	1
1.2 Mitigation Structure and Objectives	1
1.3 Project History and Background.....	3
1.4 Monitoring Plan View.....	5
2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS	5
2.1 Vegetation Assessment	5
2.1.1 Soil Data.....	5
2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas.....	5
2.1.3 Stem Counts.....	14
2.1.4 Vegetation Plot Photos	14
2.2 Stream Assessment	16
2.2.1 Bankfull Events	16
2.2.2 Bank Stability Assessments.....	17
2.2.3 Stream Problem Areas.....	18
2.2.4 Stream Fixed Station Photos.....	21
2.2.5 Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment.....	21
2.2.6 Quantitative Stream Measurements	24
3.0 FIVE-YEAR MONITORING ASSESSMENT	25
4.0 REFERENCES	32

List of Figures

Figure 1. Site Location	2
Figures 2A-2H. Monitoring Plans and Current Condition Planviews	6-13

List of Tables

Table 1. Project Mitigation Structures and Objectives	3
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History.....	3
Table 3. Project Contact Table	4
Table 4. Project Background Table	4
Table 6. Verification of Bankfull Events	17
Table 7. BEHI and Sediment Export Estimates	18
Table 8. Stream Problem Areas.....	19
Table 9A. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment.....	22
Table 9B. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	22
Table 9C. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	23
Table 9D. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	23
Table 9E. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	24
Table 9F. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	24
Table 10. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary.....	26
Table 11A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	27
Table 11B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary.....	28
Table 11C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	29
Table 11D. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	30
Table 11E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	31

Appendices

APPENDIX A. VEGETATION RAW DATA

1. Vegetation Survey Data Tables
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

APPENDIX B. GEOMORPHOLOGIC RAW DATA

1. Representative Stream Problem Area Photos
2. Stream Fixed-Station Photos
3. Tables B1-B6. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
4. Cross-section Plots and Tables
5. Longitudinal Profile and Pattern Plots

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Location and Setting

The Smith and Austin Creeks Stream Restoration Site (Site) is located in northern Wake County, approximately 1 mile southeast of the Town of Wake Forest adjacent to the Heritage Development and Golf Course. The restored stream reaches extend upstream from Forestville Road near the intersection of Forestville Road and Rogers Road (Figure 1). The project is located within the Neuse River Basin in United States Geological Survey (USGS) 14-digit Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03020201070070 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] subbasin 03-04-02).

Directions to the Site:

From Raleigh, North Carolina


- Travel north on US Highway 1/Capital Boulevard for approximately 9 miles
- Turn right/northeast on US Highway 1-A for approximately 1 mile
- Turn right on Rogers Road for approximately 1 mile (Note: the downstream end of the project is located northeast of Rogers Road approximately 0.25 mile before the intersection with Forestville Road/Heritage Lake Road)
- Turn left on Heritage Lake Road approximately 0.5 mile to parking area at soccer fields on left

The Site is located in the Piedmont Physiographic Province, within the Northern Outer Piedmont ecoregion. The Site is situated within a rapidly developing area on the outskirts of the Town of Wake Forest. Housing developments and new housing construction surrounds the Site; a golf course is located at the upstream end of the Site east of Heritage Lake Road, which bisects Austin Creek on the Site, and a park with soccer fields is located between Smith and Austin Creeks west of Heritage Lake Road.

1.2 Mitigation Structure and Objectives

Historically, the Site was characterized by agricultural land. Site streams were channelized in support of land uses, resulting in low-sinuosity, incised stream channels. Streambanks and bed features were unstable throughout the Site due to high shear stress and poor riparian vegetation. The location of the confluence of the two streams was altered as evidenced by old USGS topographic mapping and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil survey maps, which show Austin Creek flowing into Smith Creek approximately 2500 linear feet upstream of the current confluence. A large flood in the early 1990s caused an avulsion to occur, which rerouted Austin Creek to its current downstream confluence with Smith Creek. A previous landowner completed the avulsion by excavating a channel and rerouting Austin Creek to the edge of the valley.

Smith and Austin Creeks were restored by traditional alterations to channel dimension, pattern, and profile, as outlined in *Applied River Morphology* (Rosgen 1996) with the establishment of a riparian zone adjacent to the creeks ranging from 15 to 100 feet in width from the top of bank. Stream implementation consisted primarily of stream restoration (Priority 1 and Priority 2) where feasible (i.e. the floodplain and easement widths allowed). Stream enhancement occurred on incised channel reaches where pattern alterations were not feasible due to a narrow valley and/or existing development. Stream enhancement consisted of excavation of a new floodplain bench at the bankfull stage and installation of structures to improve bed features and provide grade control. Structures were installed throughout restoration and enhancement reaches of Smith and Austin Creeks to maintain the restored channel profile (rock cross-vanes) and to maintain channel pattern (single vanes). In addition, stream banks were revegetated to provide bank stabilization.



SITE LOCATION
SMITH AND AUSTIN CREEKS RESTORATION SITE
Project Number 343
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Wake County, North Carolina

Dwn. by:	CLF
Ckd by:	WGL
Date:	Oct 2008
Project:	08-001

FIGURE

1

The primary goals of the project included 1) establishing stable dimension, pattern, and profile along Smith and Austin Creeks, 2) improving habitat, 3) establishing a forested riparian buffer, and 4) incorporating this project into a watershed management plan. Project structures and objectives are summarized below.

Table 1. Project Mitigation Structures and Objectives					
Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)					
Project Segment or Reach ID	Mitigation Type*	Approach**	Linear Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Comment
SR1a	EI	P3	875	00+00 to 08+75	Reach SR1 includes a mix of P2 and P3, with a dominance of P2 as indicated in stationing
SR1b	R	P2	1080	08+75 to 19+55	
SR2	R	P1	2618	19+55 to 45+73	Includes 2618 feet of excavation of new channel at the existing floodplain elevation
SR3	S	SS	794	45+73 to 53+67	Eroding reaches were stabilized with root wads and instream structures
AR1	EI	P3	2581	00+00 to 25+81	Benching, instream structures, and planting banks
AR2	EI	P3	526	25+81 to 31+07	Benching, instream structures, and planting banks
AR3	R	P1	2480	31+07 to 55+87	Includes 2480 feet of excavation of new channel at the existing floodplain elevation

* R = Restoration

EI = Enhancement (Level I)

S = Stabilization

** P1 = Priority I

P2 = Priority II

P3 = Priority III

SS = Stream Bank Stabilization

1.3 Project History and Background

Completed project activities, reporting history, and completion dates are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History			
Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)			
Activity or Report	Scheduled Completion	Data Collection Completion	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	*	*	*
Construction Completion	*	*	August 2002
Mitigation Plan/As-builts	Fall 2002	*	Fall 2002
Structural Maintenance	*	*	January 2003
Year 1 Monitoring (2003)	September 2003	*	July 2004
Beaver Removal	*	*	2005
Year 2 Monitoring (2004)	September 2004	*	April 2005
Year 3 Monitoring (2005)	*	*	*
Year 4 Monitoring (2006)	Dec 2006	Sept 2006	Nov 2006
Year 5 Monitoring (2007)	Dec 2007	June-Nov 2007	Nov 2007
Year 6 Monitoring (2008)	Dec 2008	June-July 2008	Oct 2008

* - Historical project documents necessary to provide this data were unavailable at the time of this report submission.

Contact information regarding project designer, construction, planting contractor, monitoring personnel, and relevant project background information are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Project Contact Table

Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)

Designer	Buck Engineering 8000 Regency Parkway, Suite 200 Cary, NC 27511 Will Pedersen (919) 463-5488
Construction Contractor	Shamrock Environmental Corporation PO Box 14987 Greensboro, NC 27415 Bill Wright (336) 375-1989
Riparian Restoration	Soil and Environmental Consultants, Inc. 11010 Raven Ridge Road Raleigh, NC 27614 Peter Jelenevsky (919) 846-5900
Monitoring Performer	Axiom Environmental, Inc. 2126 Rowland Pond Dr. Willow Spring, NC 27592 Grant Lewis (919) 215-1693

Table 4. Project Background Table

Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)

Project County	Wake County, North Carolina
Drainage Area	12.6 square miles at Site outfall (Smith Reach ~ 3.6 square miles, Austin Reach ~8.4 square miles)
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	< 5
Stream Order	Smith (third and fourth), Austin (fourth)
Physiographic Region	Piedmont
Ecoregion	Northern Outer Piedmont
Rosgen Classification of As-built	E-/C-type
Cowardin Classification	R3UB2
Dominant Soil Types	Chewacla
Reference Site ID	*
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	Project – 03020201 Reference – *
NCDWQ Subbasin for Project and Reference	Project – 03-04-02 Reference – *
NCDWQ Classification for Project and Reference	Project – C NSW (Stream Index # 27-23-2 and 27-23-3) Reference - *
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Not Applicable
% of project easement fenced	0

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

1.4 Monitoring Plan View

Monitoring activities for the Site, including relevant structures and utilities, project features, specific project structures, and monitoring features are detailed in Figures 2A through 2H.

Site features including vegetation, stream dimension (cross-sections), stream profile and pattern, evaluations of bank erosion and near bank stress, and photographic documentation were monitored in year 6 (2008). Sixteen vegetation plots were installed in year 4 (2006) and permanently monumented with five-foot metal fence posts driven into each of the four corners of the plot and PVC pipe attached to the origin for ease in plot location/identification. Twenty-three cross-sections, which were installed after project construction and permanently monumented with PVC pipe were located and measured for year 6 (2008).

2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Following Site construction three 25-foot by 100-foot vegetation plots were measured for the as-built mitigation plan. Monitoring plots were changed during the following years with eight 10-meter square plots measured in year 1 (2003), four 10 meter square plots measured in year 2 (2004), and fifty 10-meter square plots measured in year 3 (2005). Plots were not permanently marked.



During the 2006 (year 4) monitoring period, sixteen 10-meter by 10-meter plots were established and permanently marked with five-foot metal fence posts. Sampling was conducted as outlined in the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006). The locations of vegetation monitoring plots were placed to accurately represent the entire Site and are depicted on Figures 2A through 2H.

2.1.1 Soil Data

Soils within the Site are composed of the Chewacla series. Soil data including percentage of clay on the surface, levels of erosion, and percentage of organic matter are not included in the soil survey for Wake County. Chewacla series (*Aquic Fluventic Dystrochrepts*) consists of nearly level, somewhat poorly drained, moderately permeable soils on floodplains of most streams in Wake County. The depth to the seasonal high water table is approximately 1.5 feet; the soils are frequently flooded for brief periods. Natural fertility and the content of organic matter are low (USDA 1970).

2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas

Vegetation problem areas noted during year 6 (2008) monitoring consisted primarily of beaver chewed stems including larger stems up to 3-4 inches in diameter most notably on Smith Reach 1. Beaver trapping occurred throughout the monitoring season and beaver dams were removed; these areas are expected to recover naturally as long as proactive measures to remove beaver from the Site continues. Two locations on Smith Creek have unwarranted stream crossings near the soccer fields where vegetation has been removed. Vegetation mowing near the golf course appears to be encroaching on the easement; however, it is difficult to determine the extent of encroachment. The areas should be allowed to revegetate and the Site should continue to be monitored for similar activity. In addition, Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) is scattered within the Site most notably on the right bank of Smith Creek near its confluence with Austin Creek, the upper reaches of Smith Creek, and the left bank along the upper reaches of Austin Creek near the golf course. The Site is characterized by planted seedlings exhibiting various degrees of vigor. Overall, vigor was noted as good or excellent.





NOTES/REVISI覩NS


Smith & Austin Creeks Restoration Site


**Project Number 343
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Wake County
North Carolina**


Title: **Monitoring Plan
and
Current Condition
Planview**


Scale: 1 in = 95 m		FIGURE NO. 2A
Date:	Oct 2008	Project No.: 08-001
















NOTES/REVISIONS


**Smith & Austin Creeks
Restoration Site**


**Project Number 343
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Wake County
North Carolina**

Title: Monitoring Plan and Current Condition Planview

Scale:	1 in = 95 m	FIGURE NO.
Date:	Oct 2008	
		Project No.:
		08-001









NOTES/REVISIONS

Project Number 343
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Wake County
North Carolina

Title: **Monitoring Plan and Current Condition Planview**

Scale:	1 in = 95 m	FIGURE NO.
Date:	Oct 2008	
Project No.:	08-001	



2.1.3 Stem Counts

Sixteen vegetation plots were established and permanently marked as depicted in Figures 2A through 2H. The plots are 10 meters square and are located randomly within the Site. These plots were surveyed in June and July 2008 for the 2008 (year 6) monitoring season using the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006) (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>); results are included in Table 5. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas* (Weakley 2007). No reference area was studied; therefore no comparisons could be made to reference conditions.

Due to the revised monitoring protocol during each year of vegetation surveys, no comparisons of as-built to the subsequent monitoring years can accurately be made. Therefore, planted species have been based upon previous annual monitoring reports and percent survival is based on a comparison of as-built (year 0) total stems per acre. The number of “planted” species were based on the experience and judgment of the monitoring team, and counts for planted species may be influenced by naturally recruited stems.

Based on the number of stems counted, the average plot density monitored at this Site is greater than 260 stems per acre and is considered successful. The average plot density has been measured at 835 stems per acre for 2008 (year 6) monitoring. The dominant species identified at the Site were green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), and river birch (*Betula nigra*). Each of the sixteen individual vegetation plots were well-above the success criteria with 324 to 2024 planted stems per acre.

Shrub diversity was not particularly high within plots; however, various species would be expected to colonize the Site over time. Species documented within the shrub layer include eastern baccharis (*Baccharis halimifolia*), river birch (*Betula nigra*), and blackberry (*Rubus argutus*) with tag alder (*Alnus serrulata*), black willow (*Salix nigra*), buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), and sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*) along the stream banks.

The herbaceous vegetation is dense in all plots. An inventory of the dominant herbaceous species on the Site was also taken. It should be noted that species composition is seasonally dependant; surveys for 2008 (year 6) were completed in June and July. Dominant herbaceous species over the Site as a whole are listed below:

dogfennel (<i>Eupatorium capillifolium</i>)	microstegium (<i>Microstegium vimineum</i>)
Johnson grass (<i>Sorghum halepense</i>)	sericea lespedeza (<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i>)
thoroughwort (<i>Eupatorium</i> sp.)	goldenrod species (<i>Solidago</i> spp.)
rush species (<i>Juncus</i> spp.)	polygonum species (<i>Polygonum</i> spp.)
jewelweed (<i>Impatiens capensis</i>)	false nettle (<i>Boehmeria cylindrica</i>)

2.1.4 Vegetation Plot Photos

Photographs were taken at all permanent photo points and are included in Appendix A. The photographs show that vegetation is generally growing well and consists of a good combination of woody and herbaceous species.

Table 5. Stem Counts for Planted Species Arranged by Plot Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)

Species	Year 6 (2008) Plot Counts (each plot is 10-meters square or 0.0247 acre in size)																Initial Totals*	Year 1 (2003) Totals*	Year 2 (2004) Totals*	Year 3 (2005) Totals*	Year 4 (2006) Totals*	Year 5 (2007) Totals*	Year 6 (2008) Totals*	Survival %
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16								
<i>Acer negundo</i>					2	2			1	1			1		1	Unknown	18	5	*	9	9	8	**	
<i>Acer rubrum</i>											1					Unknown	3	*					**	
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>		1										1				Unknown	13	3	3	3	3	3	**	
<i>Aronia arbutifolia</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Betula nigra</i>	1	2	3	1		2		2	4	2	1	5	1	Unknown	6	*	25	24	24	24	24	24	**	
<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Carya aquatica</i>																Unknown	3	*					**	
<i>Carya sp.</i>	1															Unknown		*	1	1	1	1	**	
<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>																Unknown	8	*					**	
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Cornus amomum</i>			1													Unknown	44	*	2	2	2	1	**	
<i>Cornus sericea</i>																Unknown	5	*					**	
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>					1			2								Unknown	1	*	5	3	3	3	**	
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	11	15	5	24	43	11	20	5	3	2	2	3	4	Unknown	5	76	*	160	154	150	150	**		
<i>Juglans nigra</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>																Unknown	4	*					**	
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	1															Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Myrica cerifera</i>	1		1													Unknown		*	4	4	3	3	**	
<i>Nyssa aquatica</i>											1					Unknown		*	1	1	1	1	**	
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>											1					Unknown		*	2	1	1	1	**	
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Nyssa sp.</i>		2	1	4	1	7	1	1	2	3	5	7		2	Unknown		*	11	11	12	12	**		
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	2	1	4	1	7	1	1	2	3	5	7			2	Unknown	3	*	53	47	36	36	**		
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	2	1	3	2		3	1	1	1	6	1	3		1	Unknown	35	*	28	25	24	24	**		
<i>Populus deltoides</i>																Unknown	2	*					**	
<i>Quercus alba</i>																Unknown	6	*					**	
<i>Quercus falcata</i>		2				1				2	1	1				Unknown	2	*	2	2	2	2	**	
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	1								1							Unknown		*	6	6	6	6	**	
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	1								1							Unknown	14	*	14	14	13	13	**	
<i>Quercus nigra</i>		2		2				1		1	1	1		1	1	Unknown		*	10	9	9	9	**	
<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	1					1	2			6	1	1	4	1		Unknown		*	15	14	14	17	**	
<i>Quercus phellos</i>											1					Unknown	4	*	2	2	2	2	**	
<i>Quercus sp.</i>																Unknown	1	*					**	
<i>Salix nigra</i>																Unknown	16	*					**	
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>																Unknown	4	*	0				**	
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>																Unknown		*	4	4	4	4	**	
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	1								1							Unknown	1	*	2	1	1	1	**	
<i>Ulmus sp.</i>	1	2										2				Unknown	2	*	10	9	8	8	**	
unknown species																Unknown	2	*					**	
Total Stems Per Acre	9	20	25	19	40	50	19	40	50	19	24	8	17	12	13	15	153	141	151	* 369	348	330	94%	
Total Stems Per Acre	364	810	1012	769	1619	2024	769	1053	607	729	972	324	688	486	526	607	890	705	1510	* 923	870	835	94%	

* Initial totals are based on the total of three 25-foot by 100-foot plots (a total of 0.17 acre sampled).
Year 1 (2003) totals are based on eight 10-meter square plots (a total of -0.20 acre sampled).

Year 2 (2004) totals are based on four 10-meter square plots (a total of -0.10 acre sampled).

Year 3 (2005) totals were not available at the time this document was prepared.

Years 4-6 (2006-2008) totals are based on sixteen 10-meter square plots (a total of ~0.40 acre sampled).
** Percent survival by species can not be determined since vegetation plot locations did not remain constant. The overall survival percentage is based on the stems per acre total from the initial (year 0) totals to the current monitoring year totals; however, this number is an approximate since vegetation plot locations were different between years.

2.2 Stream Assessment

Twenty-three permanent cross-sections were established after construction was completed for the as-built mitigation plan. Measurements of each cross-section include points at all breaks in slope including top of bank, bankfull, and thalweg. Riffle cross-sections have been classified using the Rosgen stream classification system. Longitudinal profiles were measured after construction and were scheduled to be completed in year 1 (2003), year 3 (2005), and year 5 (2007) for a total of four measurements; however, longitudinal profiles were also completed in year 6 (2008). Longitudinal profile measurements of five 600-foot reaches included thalweg, water surface, and bankfull; each should be taken at the head of facets (i.e. riffle, run, pool, and glide) and the maximum pool depth. Surveys were also used to calculate sinuosity; however, previous monitoring year surveys were utilized for sinuosity calculations. In addition, channel substrate is not expected to coarsen over time and is not monitored for success at this Site.

2.2.1 Bankfull Events

Documented bankfull events are included in the table below. Documents for year 0 through year 3 (2002 through 2005) did not provide this data; therefore, data presented prior to year 4 (2006) is limited to available peak discharge data for a nearby station. One bankfull event was documented during the year 6 (2008) monitoring period.

Table 6. Verification of Bankfull Events**Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)**

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo (if available)
January 18, 2007	October 11, 2002	Peak discharge at nearby station** reported for October 11, 2002 of 523 cfs (bankfull discharge for station is approximately 357 cfs)	--
January 18, 2007	October 13, 2004	Peak discharge at nearby station** reported for October 13, 2004 of 478 cfs (bankfull discharge for station is approximately 357 cfs)	--
January 18, 2007	November 12, 2004	Peak discharge at nearby station** reported for November 12, 2004 of 361 cfs (bankfull discharge for station is approximately 357 cfs)	--
January 18, 2007	June 7, 2005	Peak discharge at nearby station** reported for June 7, 2005 of 951 cfs (bankfull discharge for station is approximately 357 cfs)	--
June 14, 2006	June 14, 2006	Total of 5.56 inches* of rain reported for June 14, 2006 resulting from Tropical Storm Alberto; water covered the soccer fields between Smith and Austin Creeks	--
September 1, 2006	September 1, 2006	Total of 3.75 inches* of rain reported to fall over 3 days (August 30 – September 1, 2006); overbanking was observed in several locations along Smith and Austin Creeks	--
February 6, 2008	October 27, 2007	Total of 3.29 inches* of rain reported to fall over 4 days (October 24 – 27, 2007) ; overbank evidence was observed in several locations along Smith and Austin Creeks	--
October 8, 2008	August 28, 2008	Total of 3.48 inches* of rain reported to fall over 2 days (August 27 – 28, 2008) ; overbank evidence was observed in several locations along Smith and Austin Creeks as well as on a crest gauge installed at the Site in the March 2008 on Austin Creek near Station 47.	--

* Reported at KNCWAKEF1 Weather Station on Welcome Drive in Wake Forest.

** Reported at USGS Gage Station 0208732885 on Marsh Creek near New Hope. Marsh Creek at this station has a 6.84 square mile watershed, which is expected to have a bankfull discharge of approximately 357 cfs based on the North Carolina Rural Piedmont Curves.

2.2.2 Bank Stability Assessments

Detailed Bank Erosion Hazard Index (BEHI) and Near Bank Stress (NBS) assessments were completed for the year 6 (2008) monitoring report. Results of the assessments are presented in the table below. BEHI and NBS assessments were not included in monitoring reports prior to year 4 (2006); therefore, no comparisons between preconstruction or monitoring years prior to year 4 (2006) can be made.

The majority of onsite reaches are characterized by a moderate BEHI and moderate NBS. Reaches that are characterized by high or extreme BEHI include a section of the downstream preservation reach (Smith Reach 3) and sections of the upper extents of Smith and Austin Creeks (Smith Reach 1 and Austin Reach 1). These sections of stream are incised, show evidence of prior bank erosion and tree loss with low rooting densities and some bare soil exposure.

Approximately 80.3 percent of the total length of onsite reaches are characterized by moderate BEHI/NBS indicating that stream reaches are relatively stable, exhibiting low erosion rates (approximately 294.5 tons per year). Site BEHI/NBS values indicate a successful stream restoration project, particularly when the project location is considered; the project is located within a developing, urbanized watershed that is targeted for restoration (Targeted Local Watershed 03020201070070). In addition, erosion rates decreased significantly from year 4 (2006) to year 5 (2007) as the result of vegetation establishment increasing the percentage of surface protection along stream banks throughout the Site. Vegetation establishment is expected to increase as the Site ages; however, the lack of erosive flows in late summer and fall may have been beneficial and contributed to the increased establishment of vegetation along Site stream banks during year 5 (2007). Changes from year 5 (2007) to year 6 (2008) were undetectable within sediment export calculations because changes were small resulting in no changes in the BEHI or NBS categories between years.

Table 7. BEHI and Sediment Export Estimates

Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)

Time Point	Reach	Approximate Linear Footage*	Extreme	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Sediment Export (tons/year) Year 6 (2008)	Sediment Export (tons/year) Year 5 (2007)	Sediment Export (tons/year) Year 4 (2006)
			linear feet (% of total linear feet on Site)							
Year 6 (2008)	Smith Reach 1	2000	50 (0.5%)	250 (2.4%)	1600 (15.1%)	100 (0.9%)	--	101.9	101.9	490.7
	Smith Reach 2	2575	--	325 (3.1%)	2250 (21.2%)	--	--	32.2	32.2	32.0
	Smith Reach 3	819	--	819 (7.7%)	--	--	--	58.6	58.6	58.6
	Austin Reach 1	2300	--	550 (5.2%)	1750 (16.5%)	--	--	88.6	88.6	107.0**
	Austin Reach 2	500	--	--	500 (4.7%)	--	--	4.3	4.3	4.3
	Austin Reach 3	2425	--	--	2425 (22.8%)	--	--	9.0	9.0	11.4
	Total	10,619	50 (0.5%)	1944 (18.4%)	8525 (80.3%)	100 (0.9%)	--	294.5	294.5	704.0

* The total length/linear footage for each stream reach is approximate.

**Calculated incorrectly in Year 4 (2006) as 27.6 due to use of the wrong stream length. Corrected for current Year 5 (2007) report.

2.2.3 Stream Problem Areas

Stream problem areas within the Site are depicted on Figures 2A through 2H and are outlined in Table 8. Several problem areas noted in previous annual monitoring reports were no longer present. During the current site assessment several areas of bank erosion, mid-point bars, and reduced structure integrity/failure were identified. Example problem area photographs are included in Appendix B.

Table 8. Stream Problem Areas**Project Name/Number: Smith and Austin Creeks (EEP Project Number 343)**

Feature Issue	Station Numbers	Suspected Cause	Photo*
Smith Creek			
Bank erosion with potential for future tree loss	1+50-1+75	Continuation of erosion around tree	S1
Old bank sloughing	3+10-3+20	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, sediment deposition in center of channel	--
Bank erosion	4+30-4+55	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation	--
Two areas of bank erosion and erosion around structure	5+50-6+00	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tight meander bend	--
Bank sloughing, root wad loss	6+55-6+90	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, erosion around root wads	--
Erosion on left bank of structure	7+00-7+10	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arm on bend	S2
Bank sloughing, root wad loss	8+80-9+20	Vertical banks on somewhat tight bend, erosion around root wads	S3
Bank erosion, sediment input	10+90-11+50	Input from stormwater, tight meanderbend	--
Bank erosion	12+35-12+50	Scour from stormwater, tight meanderbend, near vertical banks	--
Erosion on left bank of structure	13+55-13+65	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arms	S4
Bank sloughing, root wad loss, vegetation removal	15+25-15+90	Vertical banks on tight bend, erosion around root wads, vegetation appears to have been removed	--
Erosion around structure	17+90-18+00	Beaver activity; Lack of deep-rooted vegetation	S5
Bank sloughing, root wad loss, vegetation removal	18+70-19+00	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, vegetation removal, near vertical banks on slight bend, erosion around root wads	S6
Loose sewage pipe below structure collecting sediment	20+20-20+30	Sewage pipe possibly dumped in stream; Beaver activity	S7
Bank erosion	21+10-21+40	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks on sharp bend	--
Bank erosion	22+40-22+55	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks	--
Bank sloughing, root wad loss	23+50-23+90	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks on slight bend, erosion around root wads	--
Widening of stream above and below structure	24+00-24+30	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arms	--
Erosion around root wad	25+45-25+50	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks on slight bend, erosion around root wads	--
Migration of stream around structure, loss of rocks from arm, large pool before and after structure	31+25-31+35	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, structure at an angle, tie in of structure arms	--

Feature Issue	Station Numbers	Suspected Cause	Photo*
Migration of stream around structure, mowed path crossing stream ~ 8-10 feet in width, bank clearing	32+30-32+40	Vegetation clearing on bank and adjacent to structure	--
Mowed path crossing stream ~ 4-5 feet in width	37+00	Clearing by homeowners adjacent to stream	--
Bank erosion	46+10-46+60 46+80-47+10	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks	S8
Bank erosion, future tree loss	47+60-48+20 49+45-49+55	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks	S9
Austin Creek			
Channel widening	0+20-0+25	Stump in center of channel	--
Erosion around structure	0+75-0+80	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arms	--
Bank erosion, erosion around root wads	2+30-2+60 2+95-3+05	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks	--
Erosion on right bank of structure and under vane arms	3+70-3+80	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arms	--
Bank sloughing, root wad loss, vegetation removal, cinderblocks dumped in stream	4+30-4+50	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, vegetation removed, near vertical banks, erosion around root wads	--
Erosion around structure	4+70-4+80	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arms; however, vegetation is establishing and erosion around structure is less	A1
Bank sloughing, root wad and rock loss on banks	7+00-8+00	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks, erosion around root wads and rocks	A2
Migration of stream around structure, structure has fallen apart in center	8+50-8+55	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, structure at an angle, tie in of structure arms, central rocks very small and washed out during large rain event	A3
Mid-channel bar (~ 3 x 8 feet)	10+40-10+50	Sediment deposition in center of channel; upstream land disturbance and delivery of sediment to the stream	--
Bank erosion	10+45-10+55	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, root wad loss	--
Erosion around structure	10+80-10+90	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, structure at an angle, tie in of structure arms; however, vegetation is establishing and erosion around structure is less	A4
Rocks removed/washed out, some erosion around remaining structure arm	19+30-19+40	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation	A5
Erosion on right bank of structure	22+70-22+80	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, structure at an angle, tie in of structure arm	A6
Irrigation pipe and dam installed; structure appears to have been removed	23+75-24+10	Installation by golf course; located between Heritage Lake Road and golf cart bridge	A7

Feature Issue	Station Numbers	Suspected Cause	Photo*
Erosion around right bank of structure	27+00-27+05	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, tie in of structure arm	A8
Bank sloughing	27+90-28+00 28+30-28+40	Lack of deep-rooted vegetation, near vertical banks	--
Mid-channel bars	28+65-28+75 30+05-30+15	Sediment deposition in center of channel behind structure; upstream land disturbance and delivery of sediment to the stream	--
Mid-channel bar (~ 2 x 12 feet)	30+10-30+20	Sediment deposition in center of channel behind structure; upstream land disturbance and delivery of sediment to the stream	--
Bank sloughing	37+00-37+20	Stormwater runoff from adjacent development	A9
Mid-channel bar (~ 2 x 5 feet)	40+00-40+05	Sediment deposition in center of channel in front of structure; upstream land disturbance and delivery of sediment to the stream	--

*Problem area photographs: A = Austin, S = Smith

Stream problem areas are relatively infrequent within the Site and are considered minor in respect to the Site location within an urban, developing watershed; upstream watershed development; and the channel size. Vegetation establishment has increased over the six-year monitoring period most notably in year 5 (2007) and most problem areas are expected to stabilize over time with further vegetation establishment. Areas of significant erosion are almost always associated with a tight radius of curvature or turbulence associated with a root wad. Several areas of erosion are associated with a compromised structure. In general, stream problems are minor with little to no lateral erosion or head cutting within the Site. Based on visual inspections and quantitative data over the six-year monitoring period, the majority of Site stream reaches appear to be migrating toward more stable stream channels. Streams are gaining meanders as the channel continues to deposit point bars, which are gradually vegetating, creating a more sinuous, stable channel within incised and/or straighter stream reaches. Recommended proactive maintenance measures include continued beaver removal, as necessary, monitoring for unwarranted vegetation maintenance/removal, and removal of the irrigation dam.

2.2.4 Stream Fixed Station Photos

Photographs were taken at fixed station photo points and are included in Appendix B. The photographs show that the stream is generally functioning well with few minor problem areas as discussed above.

2.2.5 Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Each stream reach was visually inspected during the year 6 (2008) monitoring period using seven feature categories and various metrics within each category. Assessment features included riffles, pools, thalweg, meanders, channel bed, structures, and root wads/boulders. Tables for semi-quantitative assessments of each reach are included in Appendix B (Tables B1-B6). The mean percentage of performance for features within each reach are summarized in the tables below. Data for the as-built and years 1 through 3 (2003-2005) were not provided in previous monitoring reports; therefore, no comparison can be made.

Table 9A. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Smith Reach 1 (1986 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	85%	85%	85%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	86%	86%	86%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	93%	93%	93%
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	59%	59%	59%
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	98%	98%	98%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	73%	73%	73%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	0%	0%	0%

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

Table 9B. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Smith Reach 2 (2618 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	90%	88%	88%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	93%	88%	88%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	76%	92%	92%
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	99%	99%	99%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	63%	59%	59%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	33%	33%	33%

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

Table 9C. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Smith Reach 3 (794 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	85%	90%	90%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	78%	80%	80%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	NA**	NA**	NA**
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	NA**	NA**	NA**
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	100%	98%	98%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	NA	NA	NA

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

** - Smith Reach 3 is a large channel that was targeted for stabilization/preservation; this reach is fairly straight with little to no meanders.

Table 9D. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Austin Reach 1 (2581 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	76%	88%	88%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	77%	84%	78%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	NA**	NA**	NA**
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	NA**	NA**	NA**
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	99%	99%	99%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	38%	22%	22%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	14%	14%	14%

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

** - Austin Reach 1 is fairly straight with little to no meanders.

Table 9E. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Austin Reach 2 (526 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	100%	87%	87%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	100%	61%	61%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	67%	83%	83%
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	99%	95%	95%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	100%	84%	84%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	NA	NA	NA

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

Table 9F. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment**Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)****Austin Reach 3 (2480 linear feet)**

Feature	Initial	Year 1 (2003)	Year 2 (2004)	Year 3 (2005)	Year 4 (2006)	Year 5 (2007)	Year 6 (2008)
A. Riffles	*	*	*	*	98%	96%	96%
B. Pools	*	*	*	*	90%	87%	87%
C. Thalweg	*	*	*	*	95%	92%	92%
D. Meanders	*	*	*	*	95%	95%	95%
E. Bed General	*	*	*	*	99%	99%	99%
F. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	*	*	*	*	90%	97%	97%
G. Wads and Boulders	*	*	*	*	NA	NA	NA

* - Available project documents consisting of the 2003 Mitigation Plan, 2004 (Year 2) Annual Monitoring Report, and the 2005 (Year 3) Annual Monitoring Report do not include this information.

Problem area trends observed during year 6 (2008) monitoring included erosion around root wads with bank sloughing, potential for future root wad loss, and erosion around structure arms. In addition, the majority of Site stream reaches appear to be migrating toward more stable stream channels. Streams are gaining meanders as the channel continues to deposit point bars, which are gradually vegetating, creating a more sinuous channel within incised and/or straighter stream reaches.

2.2.6 Quantitative Stream Measurements

During the year 6 (2008) monitoring period 23 cross-sections were measured (21 onsite and two just upstream of the Site). No cross-sections are located on Smith Reach 3, which was targeted for stabilization/preservation; therefore, there is no table summarizing morphological monitoring for this reach. Cross-section plots for the 21 onsite cross-sections for year 6 (2008) monitoring are included in Appendix B. Longitudinal profiles were measured after construction and were scheduled to be completed in year 1 (2003), year 3 (2005), and year 5 (2007) for a total of four measurements; however, longitudinal

profiles were also completed in year 6 (2008). Longitudinal profile plots for year 6 (2008) monitoring are included in Appendix B.

Success criteria dictate that there should be little or no change in the as-built cross-sections. If a change takes place it should be determined if the change is to a more unstable condition (downcutting, erosion) or to a more stable condition (settling, increase in vegetative diversity, deposition along the banks, decrease in the width-depth ratio, decrease in cross-sectional area). The as-built longitudinal profile should show that bed features are neither aggrading nor degrading; however, short-term aggradation/degradation may occur depending on the peak annual discharge. Bed features should be consistent with those observed in typical E- and C-type channels. The as-built pattern should not change and the riffle-pool sequence should remain constant. A significant coarsening of bed materials is not expected due to the sand/gravel substrate; therefore, bed materials will not be analyzed for stream success.

Permanent cross-sections and longitudinal profiles in the Site are included in Appendix B. Tables for quantitative assessments are included below; these tables include data from previous years. Each cross-section is graphically depicted for as-built through year 6 (2008) for analysis of dimension attributes. As a whole, the majority of Site riffle cross-sections have decreased in cross-sectional area. This may result from various factors including beaver activity, high sediment loads, and/or stream adjustments towards a stable, vegetated channel. Width-depth ratios were similar to previous years with slightly elevated values in Austin Reach 3. This may result from sediment deposition in a stable, low shear stress reach with good vegetation establishment; width-depth values are expected to lower as the banks continue to colonize with vegetation and capture sediment. Pools and associated point bars have remained relatively stable. Longitudinal profile data indicate that riffle and run slopes have decreased while pool and glide slopes are slightly elevated; however, this is expected due high sediment loads. In addition, facet slopes were measured during an extended period of drought, which affected slope measurement values. Facet slopes are expected to return to typical values once normal rainfall resumes.

The as-built channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type stream reaches as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and construction plans. The current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the six-year monitoring period.

3.0 SIX-YEAR MONITORING ASSESSMENT

Results from vegetation surveys exceeded success criteria with 705, 1510, 923, 870, and 835 planted stems per acre present in years 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 respectively. Permanent cross-sections and longitudinal profiles indicate that all reaches classify as E-type or C-type channels and are moving toward more stable reaches.

Stream problem areas are relatively infrequent within the Site and are considered minor in respect to the Site location within an urban, developing watershed; upstream watershed development; and the channel size. Vegetation establishment has increased over the six-year monitoring period most notably in year 5 (2007) and most problem areas are expected to stabilize over time with further vegetation establishment. Areas of significant erosion are almost always associated with a tight radius of curvature or turbulence associated with a root wad. Several areas of erosion are associated with a compromised structure. In general, stream problems are minor with little to no lateral erosion or head cutting within the Site. Based on visual inspections and quantitative data over the six-year monitoring period, the majority of Site stream reaches appear to be migrating toward more stable stream channels. Streams are gaining meanders as the channel continues to deposit point bars, which are gradually vegetating, creating a more sinuous, stable channel within incised and/or straighter stream reaches. Recommended proactive maintenance measures include continued beaver removal, as necessary, monitoring for unwarranted vegetation maintenance/removal, and removal of an irrigation dam adjacent to the golf course.

**Table 10. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)**

Table 11A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
(Smith Reach 1 (1986 linear feet))

**Table 11B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)**

Table 11C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 1 (2581 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 2				Cross Section 3				Cross Section 4			
	Station 4+42 Riffle				Station 13+95 Riffle				Station 20+90 Pool			
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4
BF Width (ft)	32.4	32.4	29.5	27.3	19.6	19.4	21.9	24.4	23.8	22.8	23.5	25.0
Floodzone Width (ft) (approx)			>100					>90				
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	49.0	49.0	62.4	63.5	57.6	55.1	59.2	49.8	51.2	52.7	60.6	73.1
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	2.1	2.3	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.9
BF Max Depth (ft)	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.8	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.2	3.2	3.9	3.8	4.1
Width/Depth Ratio	21.4	21.4	13.9	11.7	6.6	8.1	12.0	11.1	9.9	10.1	10.3	9.6
Entrenchment Ratio	3.1	3.1	3.4	3.7	5.1	4.6	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.6
Wetted Perimeter (ft)					22.6	25.6				27.4	27.5	27.0
Hydraulic radius (ft)					2.6	2.4				2.2	2.7	2.4
Substrate	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4
d50 (mm)			0.34						0.07	3.1		
d84 (mm)			1.33						2.31	13.3		
Parameter	MY-00 (2002)				MY-01 (2003)				MY-02 (2004)			
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Bedwidth (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Radius of Curvature (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meander Wavelength (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meander Width ratio	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle Length (ft)	10	55	15				10	55	15			
Riffle slope (ft/ft)				1.1%	6.4%	4.2%						
Pool Length (ft)	13	72	31				13	72	31			
Pool Spacing (ft)	23	287	64				23	287	64			
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-00 (2002)				MY-01 (2003)				MY-02 (2004)			
Valley Length (ft)					MY-03 (2005)				MY-04 (2006)			
Channel Length (ft)					MY-05 (2007)				MY-06 (2008)			
Sinuosity										2426	2426	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)										2581	2581	
BF slope (ft/ft)										1.1	1.1	
Rosgen Classification												0.25%
Number of Bankfull Events										---	---	---
Extent of BF floodplain (area)										E - type	E - type	
										2+	2+	
										60+	60+	

Note: Cross Section 1 is located upstream of the project

Table 11D. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 2 (526 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1				Cross Section 2				Cross Section 3			
	Station 27+90 Riffle				Station 28+35 Pool				Station 30+45 Pool			
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4
BF Width (ft)	19.3	18.8	20.8	20.6	22.2	24.2	26.1	21.3	19.7	17.3	18.2	23.5
Floodzone Width (ft) (approx)												
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	48.1	45.4	62.1	56.1	63.8	59.8	62.6	37.1	36.9	43.9	43.0	68.5
BF Mean Depth (ft)	2.5	2.4	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.4	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.4	3.0
BF Max Depth (ft)	3.6	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.4	4.1
Width/Depth Ratio	7.7	7.8	7.0	7.6	7.7	9.8	10.9	12.2	10.5	6.8	7.7	9.0
Entrenchment Ratio	6.2	6.4	5.8	5.8	5.4	5.0	4.6					
Wetted Perimeter (ft)												
Hydraulic radius (ft)												
Substrate	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4
d50 (mm)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
d84 (mm)												
Parameter	MY-00 (2002)	MY-01 (2003)	MY-02 (2004)	MY-03 (2005)	MY-04 (2006)	MY-05 (2007)	MY-06 (2008)					
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Bedwidth (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Radius of Curvature (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meander Wavelength (ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Meander Width ratio	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle Length (ft)	8	10	9	8	10	9	8	10	9	6	26.1	57
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	5.4%	7.3%	6.3%	5.4%	7.3%	6.3%	5.4%	7.3%	6.3%	0.00%	0.48%	0.19%
Pool Length (ft)	21	48	22	21	48	22	21	48	22	26	74	35
Pool Spacing (ft)	59	157	102	59	157	102	59	157	102	59	157	102
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-00 (2002)	MY-01 (2003)	MY-02 (2004)	MY-03 (2005)	MY-04 (2006)	MY-05 (2007)	MY-06 (2008)					
Valley Length (ft)										507	507	
Channel Length (ft)										526	526	
Sinuosity										1.0	1.0	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)										0.13%	0.13%	
BF slope (ft/ft)										---	---	
Rosgen Classification										E-type	E-type	
Number of Bankfull Events										2+	2+	
Extent of BF floodplain (area)										200+	200+	

Table 11E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 3 (2480 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1 Station 34+55 Pool						Cross Section 2 Station 35+15 Riffle						Cross Section 3 Station 38+15 Pool						Cross Section 4 Station 41+00 Riffle						Cross Section 5 Station 46+40 Riffle						Cross Section 6 Station 48+20 Pool																																																																																																																																																																																					
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6																																																																																																																																																																																	
Dimension																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
BF Width (ft) (approx)	37.3	41.2	39.6	38.5	44.5	44.8	32.2	38.4	37.2	35.5	37.6	38.7	40.9	37.8	38.5	39.4	39.1	38.8	39.6	38.8	41.3	31.6	34.1	35.1	32.7	32.9	31.6	40.1	37.3	41.2	39.6	56.9	39.1	59.2	54.1	36.0																																																																																																																																																																																
Floodplane Width (ft) (approx)																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	97.1	87.5	72.7	77.7	83.4	80.7	64.4	126.5	125.1	97.1	91.5	74.4	72.1	69.9	153.8	151.2	93.0	90.5	85.6	74.4	82.2	78.8	77.4	63.7	62.0	97.1	87.5	72.7	77.7	83.4	80.7	55.9	135.7	108.9	70.0	63.7	79.4	73.4	54.1																																																																																																																																																																													
BF Mean Depth (ft)	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	2.0	3.3	3.4	2.7	2.4	1.9	1.8	4.0	3.8	2.4	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.0	2.5	2.3	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.9	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.5																																																																																																																																																																														
BF Max Depth (ft)	4.8	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.4	5.3	5.3	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.4	7.1	7.1	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.6																																																																																																																																																																								
Width/Depth Ratio	14.3	19.4	21.6	19.1	23.4	24.9	16.1	11.7	11.1	13.0	15.5	20.4	23.2	9.6	10.3	16.4	16.6	18.0	20.4	12.7	15.0	19.3	17.5	16.5	17.7	26.0	14.3	19.4	21.6	19.0	23.4	24.9	34.3	25.0	31.3	46.3	24.0	24.5	38.6	24.0																																																																																																																																																																												
Entrenchment Ratio																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
Wetted Perimeter(ft)																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
Hydraulic radius (ft)																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
Substrate	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5																																																																																																																																																																										
d50 (mm)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a																																																																																																																																																																				
Parameter	MY-00 (2002)	MY-01 (2003)	MY-02 (2003)	MY-03 (2003)	MY-04 (2004)	MY-05 (2004)	MY-06 (2004)	MY-07 (2005)	MY-08 (2005)	MY-09 (2005)	MY-10 (2005)	MY-11 (2005)	MY-12 (2005)	MY-13 (2005)	MY-14 (2005)	MY-15 (2005)	MY-16 (2005)	MY-17 (2005)	MY-18 (2005)	MY-19 (2005)	MY-20 (2005)	MY-21 (2005)	MY-22 (2005)	MY-23 (2005)	MY-24 (2005)	MY-25 (2005)	MY-26 (2005)	MY-27 (2005)	MY-28 (2005)	MY-29 (2005)	MY-30 (2005)	MY-31 (2005)	MY-32 (2005)	MY-33 (2005)	MY-34 (2005)	MY-35 (2005)	MY-36 (2005)	MY-37 (2005)	MY-38 (2005)	MY-39 (2005)	MY-40 (2005)	MY-41 (2005)	MY-42 (2005)	MY-43 (2005)	MY-44 (2005)	MY-45 (2005)	MY-46 (2005)	MY-47 (2005)	MY-48 (2005)	MY-49 (2005)	MY-50 (2005)	MY-51 (2005)	MY-52 (2005)	MY-53 (2005)	MY-54 (2005)	MY-55 (2005)	MY-56 (2005)	MY-57 (2005)	MY-58 (2005)	MY-59 (2005)	MY-60 (2005)	MY-61 (2005)	MY-62 (2005)	MY-63 (2005)	MY-64 (2005)	MY-65 (2005)	MY-66 (2005)	MY-67 (2005)	MY-68 (2005)	MY-69 (2005)	MY-70 (2005)	MY-71 (2005)	MY-72 (2005)	MY-73 (2005)	MY-74 (2005)	MY-75 (2005)	MY-76 (2005)	MY-77 (2005)	MY-78 (2005)	MY-79 (2005)	MY-80 (2005)	MY-81 (2005)	MY-82 (2005)	MY-83 (2005)	MY-84 (2005)	MY-85 (2005)	MY-86 (2005)	MY-87 (2005)	MY-88 (2005)	MY-89 (2005)	MY-90 (2005)	MY-91 (2005)	MY-92 (2005)	MY-93 (2005)	MY-94 (2005)	MY-95 (2005)	MY-96 (2005)	MY-97 (2005)	MY-98 (2005)	MY-99 (2005)	MY-100 (2005)	MY-101 (2005)	MY-102 (2005)	MY-103 (2005)	MY-104 (2005)	MY-105 (2005)	MY-106 (2005)	MY-107 (2005)	MY-108 (2005)	MY-109 (2005)	MY-110 (2005)	MY-111 (2005)	MY-112 (2005)	MY-113 (2005)	MY-114 (2005)	MY-115 (2005)	MY-116 (2005)	MY-117 (2005)	MY-118 (2005)	MY-119 (2005)	MY-120 (2005)	MY-121 (2005)	MY-122 (2005)	MY-123 (2005)	MY-124 (2005)	MY-125 (2005)	MY-126 (2005)	MY-127 (2005)	MY-128 (2005)	MY-129 (2005)	MY-130 (2005)	MY-131 (2005)	MY-132 (2005)	MY-133 (2005)	MY-134 (2005)	MY-135 (2005)	MY-136 (2005)	MY-137 (2005)	MY-138 (2005)	MY-139 (2005)	MY-140 (2005)	MY-141 (2005)	MY-142 (2005)	MY-143 (2005)	MY-144 (2005)	MY-145 (2005)	MY-146 (2005)	MY-147 (2005)	MY-148 (2005)	MY-149 (2005)	MY-150 (2005)	MY-151 (2005)	MY-152 (2005)	MY-153 (2005)	MY-154 (2005)	MY-155 (2005)	MY-156 (2005)	MY-157 (2005)	MY-158 (2005)	MY-159 (2005)	MY-160 (2005)	MY-161 (2005)	MY-162 (2005)	MY-163 (2005)	MY-164 (2005)	MY-165 (2005)	MY-166 (2005)	MY-167 (2005)	MY-168 (2005)	MY-169 (2005)	MY-170 (2005)	MY-171 (2005)	MY-172 (2005)	MY-173 (2005)	MY-174 (2005)	MY-175 (2005)	MY-176 (2005)	MY-177 (2005)	MY-178 (2005)	MY-179 (2005)	MY-180 (2005)	MY-181 (2005)	MY-182 (2005)	MY-183 (2005)	MY-184 (2005)	MY-185 (2005)	MY-186 (2005)	MY-187 (2005)	MY-188 (2005)	MY-189 (2005)	MY-190 (2005)	MY-191 (2005)	MY-192 (2005)	MY-193 (2005)	MY-194 (2005)	MY-195 (2005)	MY-196 (2005)	MY-197 (2005)	MY-198 (2005)	MY-199 (2005)	MY-200 (2005)	MY-201 (2005)	MY-202 (2005)	MY-203 (2005)	MY-204 (2005)	MY-205 (2005)	MY-206 (2005)	MY-207 (2005)	MY-208 (2005)	MY-209 (2005)	MY-210 (2005)	MY-211 (2005)

In summary, the restoration site achieved success criteria for vegetation and stream criteria for year 6 (2008) and over the six-year monitoring period. The Site is providing a terrestrial and aquatic wildlife refuge in an area highly developed for residential and commercial purposes as evidenced by the numerous wildlife sightings consequent to field investigation. Observed wildlife during the year 6 (2008) monitoring season included, but is not limited to, numerous deer, snapping turtles, green frogs, copperheads, numerous species of minnows and fish including sunfish, numerous tadpoles, dragonflies, damselflies, butterflies, moths, fox burrows, and black rat snakes. In addition, the variety of birds were abundant including mockingbird, chipping sparrow, field sparrows with a nest, eastern bluebird, common yellowthroat, red-bellied woodpecker, mourning dove, green heron, great blue heron, turkey vulture, Carolina wren, starling, yellow-breasted chat, cardinal, American crow, downy woodpecker, rufous-sided towhee, bobwhite quail, American robin, indigo bunting, grackle, American goldfinch, ruby throated hummingbird, barn swallow, killdeer, red-winged blackbird, tufted titmouse, cowbird, great-crested flycatcher, and black vulture.

Deer and moth
(June 27, 2008)



Fish (July 7, 2008)



**Baby field
sparrows in nest**
(July 9, 2008)

Monitoring Year 6 of 6 (2008)
December 2008
page 32

4.0 REFERENCES

- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0. (online). Available: <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>
- Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology (Publisher). Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
- United States. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 1970. Soil Survey of Wake County, North Carolina. United States Department of Agriculture.
- Weakley, Alan S. 2007. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas (online). Available: <http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf> [February 1, 2008]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

APPENDIX A VEGETATION DATA

1. Vegetation Survey Data Tables
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

Report Prepared By Corri Faquin
Date Prepared 12/18/2008 14:00

database name Axiom-2008-B-v2.6.mdb
database location C:\Business\CVS database
computer name AXIOM-0A916A70
file site 47788032

DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----

Metadata Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.

Proj, planted Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.

Proj, total stems Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.

Plots List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).

Vigor Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.

Vigor by spp Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.

Damage List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.

Damage by spp Damage values tallied by type for each species.

Damage by Plot Damage values tallied by type for each plot.

All Stems by Plot and spp A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.

PROJECT SUMMARY-----

Project Code	343
project Name	Smith and Austin Creeks
Description	Stream Restoration
River Basin	Neuse
length(ft)	10954
stream-to-edge width (ft)	50
area (sq m)	10175.69
Required Plots (calculated)	20
Sampled Plots	16

Smith and Austin Creeks
 CVS Vegetation Data
 Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

plot	Plot Level	Year	Latitude/N orthing	Longitude/Easting	Zone	Datum	Date Sampled	Planted Living Stems	Dead/ Missing Stems	Planted Living Stems per ACRE	# species
343-AXE-0001-year:6	2	6	35.95376	-78.50192	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		9	1	364	8
343-AXE-0002-year:6	2	6	35.95324	-78.50260	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		20	3	809	7
343-AXE-0003-year:6	2	6	35.95287	-78.50299	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		25	2	1012	6
343-AXE-0004-year:6	2	6	35.95230	-78.50370	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		19	2	769	9
343-AXE-0005-year:6	2	6	35.95168	-78.50309	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		40	2	1619	9
343-AXE-0006-year:6	2	6	35.95105	-78.50515	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		50	5	2023	6
343-AXE-0007-year:6	2	6	35.95284	-78.50550	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		19	0	769	6
343-AXE-0008-year:6	2	6	35.95378	-78.50434	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		26	3	1052	5
343-AXE-0009-year:6	2	6	35.95439	-78.50358	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		15	1	607	7
343-AXE-0010-year:6	2	6	35.95589	-78.50267	NAD83/WSG84	7/9/2008		18	2	728	6
343-AXE-0011-year:6	2	6	35.95624	-78.50277	NAD83/WSG84	7/17/2008		24	3	971	9
343-AXE-0012-year:6	2	6	35.95683	-78.50289	NAD83/WSG84	7/17/2008		8	6	324	6
343-AXE-0013-year:6	2	6	35.95711	-78.50323	NAD83/WSG84	7/17/2008		16	5	647	7
343-AXE-0014-year:6	2	6	35.95730	-78.49870	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		12	4	486	8
343-AXE-0015-year:6	2	6	35.95796	-78.49722	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		13	4	526	6
343-AXE-0016-year:6	2	6	35.95805	-78.49622	NAD83/WSG84	6/27/2008		15	1	607	8

Smith and Austin Creeks
CVS Vegetation Data
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

vigor	Count	Percent
0	17	4.6
1	3	0.8
2	26	7
3	120	32.2
4	180	48.3
Missing	27	7.2

Smith and Austin Creeks
 CVS Vegetation Data
 Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

	Species	4	3	2	1	0	Missing	Unknown
	<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	3						
	<i>Betula nigra</i>	20	4		1		1	
	<i>Cornus amomum</i>		1					1
	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	2	1					
	<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	79	66	5			10	
	<i>Nyssa biflora</i>		1					
	<i>Pinus taeda</i>	31	2	2	1	11		3
	<i>Quercus falcata</i>		2					
	<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	4	2					
	<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	6	4	2	1			
	<i>Quercus nigra</i>	5	3		1	1		1
	<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	10	5	2				
	<i>Quercus phellos</i>	1	1					
	<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>						1	
	<i>Ulmus rubra</i>		2	2				
	<i>Morella cerifera</i>	3				1		
	<i>Carya</i>		1					
	<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	1	1		1		2	
	<i>Nyssa</i>	1	8	3	1			2
	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	11	9	4	1			3
	<i>Acer negundo</i>	2	2	4				1
	<i>Ulmus</i>	1	5	2				2
TOT:	22	180	120	26	3	17	27	

Smith and Austin Creeks
CVS Vegetation Data
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

Damage	Count	Percent Of Stems
(no damage)	276	74
Deer	38	10.2
Unknown	19	5.1
Beaver	16	4.3
Insects	12	3.2
Game	4	1.1
Rodents	3	0.8
Vine Strangulation	2	0.5
Human Trampled	2	0.5
Flood	1	0.3

Smith and Austin Creeks
 CVS Vegetation Data
 Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

Species	All Damage Categories									
	(no damage)	Beaver	Deer	Flood	Game	Human Trampled	Insects	Rodents	Unknown	Vine Strangulation
<i>Acer negundo</i>	9	6							3	
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	3	3								
<i>Betula nigra</i>	26	25	1							
<i>Carya</i>	1	1								
<i>Cornus amomum</i>	2	2								
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	3	3								
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	160	117	2	30	2	1	3	4	1	
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	5	5								
<i>Morella cerifera</i>	4	4								
<i>Nyssa</i>	15	7	4		1	1		2		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	1		1							
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	50	37	12				1			
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	28	17	1				7	3		
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	2	2								
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	6	5	1							
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	13	8	1			1		3		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	11	9					1	1		
<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	17	14		1		1		1		
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	2	1				1				
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	1	1								
<i>Ulmus</i>	10	7			1			1	1	
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	4	2	1						1	
TOT:	22	373	276	16	38	1	4	2	12	3
									19	2

Smith and Austin Creeks CVS Vegetation Data Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

Smith and Austin Creeks
CVS Vegetation Data
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

Smith and Austin Creeks
 CVS Vegetation Data
 Year 6 (2008) Monitoring

Species	Total Sems	# plots	avg# stems	343-AVE-0001-year:6																
				343-AVE-0002-year:6	343-AVE-0003-year:6	343-AVE-0004-year:6	343-AVE-0005-year:6	343-AVE-0006-year:6	343-AVE-0007-year:6	343-AVE-0008-year:6	343-AVE-0009-year:6	343-AVE-0010-year:6	343-AVE-0011-year:6	343-AVE-0012-year:6	343-AVE-0013-year:6	343-AVE-0014-year:6	343-AVE-0015-year:6	343-AVE-0016-year:6		
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	4	3	1.33			1							1				2			
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	8	6	1.33				2	1					1	2		1	1			
<i>Betula nigra</i>	130	13	10	2	3	6	1		2	5	2	4	2	1	26	72	4			
<i>Carya illinoiensis</i>	2	1	2			2														
<i>Celtis laevigata</i>	1	1	1					1												
<i>Cornus amomum</i>	1	1	1			1														
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	11	6	1.83		1			1	5	2		1	1							
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	808	16	50.5	1	14	34	25	25	44	118	78	32	10	215	77	76	10	15	34	
<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>	1	1	1									1								
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	38	12	3.17	1		2	1	2	1		1	4	7	7	10	1	1			
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	2	2	1								1				1					
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	75	16	4.69	4	1	4	2	12	1	4	6	6	6	7	5	4	3	2	8	
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	2	1	2		2															
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	6	5	1.2		1		1			2	1		1							
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	13	8	1.62	1			1			1			1		1	2	2	4		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	11	8	1.38			2	3			1	1		1	1				1		
<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	19	9	2.11		1			1	2		2		6	1	1	4	1			
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	2	2	1			1								1						
<i>Salix nigra</i>	5	3	1.67						1		3					1				
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	6	4	1.5	1				1								3	1			
<i>Morella cerifera</i>	5	5	1	1			1	1							1	1				
<i>Cornus</i>	2	2	1									1				1				
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	8	7	1.14			1	1	1		1		1		1	1	2				
<i>Carya</i>	2	2	1	1			1													
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	7	6	1.17	2			1			1			1		1	1	1			
<i>Nyssa</i>	13	6	2.17			2	1		1				1		5	3				
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	43	13	3.31		2	3	8	3	1	3	2	1	6	2	6		4	2		
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	2	1										1				1			
<i>Acer negundo</i>	91	7	13				5	3	23		57	1				1		1		
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	11	7	1.57					2	1	1	3		2		1	1				
<i>Ulmus</i>	11	6	1.83	1	2					2			3		2	1				
TOT:	31	1340	31		15	23	51	54	58	56	161	94	113	32	251	103	109	56	108	56

Smith and Austin Creeks
Vegetation Plot Photographs
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken June-July, 2008

Vegetation Plot 1



Vegetation Plot 2



Vegetation Plot 3



Vegetation Plot 4



Vegetation Plot 5



Vegetation Plot 6



Smith and Austin Creeks
Vegetation Plot Photographs
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken June-July, 2008
(continued)

Vegetation Plot 7



Vegetation Plot 8



Vegetation Plot 9



Vegetation Plot 10



Vegetation Plot 11



Vegetation Plot 12



Smith and Austin Creeks
Vegetation Plot Photographs
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken June-July, 2008
(continued)

Vegetation Plot 13



Vegetation Plot 14



Vegetation Plot 15



Vegetation Plot 16



APPENDIX B GEOMORPHOLOGIC DATA

1. Representative Stream Problem Area Photos

2. Stream Fixed-Station Photos

3. Tables B1-B6. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment

4. Cross-section Plots and Tables






Cross-section Measurements Completed

- Summer/Fall 2003*
- June 2004
- Summer/Fall 2005*
- September 2006
- June 2007
- June 2008





*specific month not indicated in corresponding
annual monitoring report

5. Longitudinal Profile and Pattern Plots

Smith and Austin Creeks
Austin Creek: Example Problem/Watch Areas
Taken February 2008






Smith and Austin Creeks
Austin Creek (continued): Example Problem/Watch Areas
Taken February 2008






Smith and Austin Creeks

Austin Creek (continued); Example Problem/Watch Areas
Taken February 2008



2006

Smith and Austin Creeks
Smith Creek: Example Problem/Watch Areas
Taken February 2008



Smith and Austin Creeks
Smith Creek (continued): Example Problem/Watch Areas
Taken February 2008



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008**


APP2



APP3



APP4



APP5




APP6A



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

APP6



APP7




APP8




APP8A



APP9



APP10



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**


APP11



APP12



APP13



APP14



APP15



APP16



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

APP17



APP19



APP20



APP21



APP22



APP22A



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

APP23



APP24



APP25



APP26



APP27



APP28



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

APP29




APP30




APP30A



APP31



APP31A



APP31B



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

APP32



APP33



APP34



SPP35



SPP36



SPP37



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP38



SPP39



SPP40



SPP41



SPP42



SPP43



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP44



SPP45




SPP46



SPP47



SPP48



SPP49



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP50



SPP51



SPP51A




SPP52



SPP53



SPP54



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP55




SPP56



SPP57



SPP58



SPP59



SPP60



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP61




SPP62



SPP63



SPP64



SPP65



SPP66



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP67



SPP68



SPP69



SPP70



SPP71



SPP72




**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP75



SPP77



SPP78



SPP79down



SPP79up



SPP80



**Smith and Austin Creeks Restoration Site
Fixed Photo Stations
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Pictures Taken July 18, 2008
(continued)**

SPP81



SPP81B



SPP82



SPP83



Table B1. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Smith Reach 1 (1986 linear feet) July 2008

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform.
	1. Present	11	14	NA	79%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	13	14	NA	93%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	11	14	NA	79%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	11	14	NA	79%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	13	14	NA	93%	85%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	12	14	NA	86%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bk(>1.6))	12	14	NA	86%	
	3. Length appropriate?	12	14	NA	86%	86%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	13	14	NA	93%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	13	14	NA	93%	93%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	7	14	NA	50%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	2	7	NA	29%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	12	14	NA	86%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	10	14	NA	71%	59%
D. Meanders	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	4/75	96%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	1/10	99%	98%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	5	13	NA	38%	
	2. Height appropriate?	11	13	NA	85%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	11	13	NA	85%	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	11	13	NA	85%	73%
F. Vanes	1. Free of scour?	0	3	NA	0%	
	2. Footing stable?	0	3	NA	0%	0%
G. Wads / Boulders						

Table B2. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Smith Reach 2 (2618 linear feet) July 2008

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	19	19	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	19	19	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	15	19	NA	79%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	19	19	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	12	19	NA	63%	88%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	19	19	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool 1D:Mean Bk(>1.6'?)	16	19	NA	84%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	15	19	NA	79%	88%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	19	19	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	19	19	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	17	19	NA	89%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	2	2	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	15	19	NA	79%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	19	19	NA	100%	92%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	14/20	99%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0/0	100%	99%
E. Bed General	1. Free of back or arm scour?	3	6	NA	50%	
	2. Height appropriate?	4	6	NA	67%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	3	6	NA	50%	
F. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	4	6	NA	67%	59%
	1. Free of scour?	1	3	NA	33%	
G. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	1	3	NA	33%	33%

Table B3. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Smith Reach 3 (794 linear feet) July 2008

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	6	6	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	6	6	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	6	6	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	6	6	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	3	6	NA	50%	90%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	5	5	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool 1D:Mean Bk(>1.6'?)	3	5	NA	60%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	4	5	NA	80%	80%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
C. Thalweg	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	10/30	96%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0/0	100%	98%
E. Bed General	1. Free of back or arm scour?	2	2	NA	100%	
	2. Height appropriate?	2	2	NA	100%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	2	2	NA	100%	
F. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	2	2	NA	100%	100%
	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
G. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table B4. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 1 (2581 linear feet) July 2008

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	17	17	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	14	17	NA	82%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	15	17	NA	88%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	15	17	NA	88%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	14	17	NA	82%	88%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	14	17	NA	82%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool 1D:Mean Bk(>1.6'?)	12	17	NA	71%	
	3. Length appropriate?	14	17	NA	82%	78%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
C. Thalweg	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	27/50	98%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0/0	100%	99%
E. Bed General	1. Free of back or arm scour?	1	8	NA	13%	
	2. Height appropriate?	4	8	NA	50%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	1	8	NA	13%	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	1	8	NA	13%	22%
F. Vanes	1. Free of scour?	1	7	NA	14%	
	2. Footing stable?	1	7	NA	14%	14%
G. Wads / Boulders						

**Table B5. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 2 (526 linear feet) July 2008**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	6	6	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	6	6	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	6	6	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	6	6	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	2	6	NA	33%	87%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	6	6	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool 1D:Mean Bk(>1.6'?)	3	6	NA	50%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	2	6	NA	33%	61%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	6	6	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	6	6	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	6	6	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	0	0	NA	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	2	6	NA	33%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	6	6	NA	100%	83%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	6/50	90%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0/0	100%	95%
E. Bed General	1. Free of back or arm scour?	2	3	NA	67%	
	2. Height appropriate?	3	3	NA	100%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	3	3	NA	100%	
F. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	2	3	NA	67%	84%
	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table B6. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Smith and Austin Creeks (Project Number 343)
Austin Reach 3 (2480 linear feet) July 2008


Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	25	25	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	25	25	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	25	25	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	25	25	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	20	25	NA	80%	96%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	25	25	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bk(>1.6'?)	20	25	NA	80%	
	3. Length appropriate?	20	25	NA	80%	87%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	23	25	NA	92%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	23	25	NA	92%	92%
C. Thalweg	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	25	25	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	0	0	NA	100%	
	3. Apparent Rx within spec?	20	25	NA	80%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	25	25	NA	100%	95%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	10/25	99%	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0/0	100%	99%
E. Bed General	1. Free of back or arm scour?	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Height appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	6	7	NA	86%	97%
F. Vanes	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
G. Wads / Boulders						

Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	SR1-2
Feature	Riffle
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	
Station	Elevation
36.1	233.4
39.9	233.3
45.1	233.0
49.5	232.2
52.8	230.0
54.8	230.1
55.6	230.2
57.4	230.2
58.2	230.3
60.6	230.3
62.1	230.3
63.7	230.4
64.4	230.7
65.1	230.7
66.8	232.5
67.8	232.9
73.3	233.2
78.3	233.6
81.0	234.0
83.5	233.9
2007 Survey	
Station	Elevation
34.0	233.4
39.0	233.3
45.0	233.0
46.2	233.2
48.0	233.3
49.9	233.1
52.0	231.7
52.8	230.1
53.9	230.1
56.1	230.0
60.2	230.8
61.5	230.8
63.4	230.7
65.3	232.6
67.7	233.0
70.6	233.7
74.5	234.0
80.0	234.0
87.1	233.5
2006 Survey	
Station	Elevation
34.0	233.4
43.3	233.0
48.3	233.5
50.2	233.1
53.0	231.3
53.5	230.8
55.5	230.5
58.9	230.8
64.1	231.6
65.6	229.9
65.9	231.6
66.0	231.7
68.8	233.3
75.6	234.1
87.4	233.6
2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation
22.5	234.9
28.5	234.6
34.9	233.3
44.8	232.9
48.0	231.5
50.3	230.2
51.0	230.2
52.3	230.3
56.5	229.9
58.2	230.0
59.7	230.0
61.6	229.9
64.4	231.1
66.0	231.7
68.8	233.1
70.9	233.8
79.5	233.5
93.8	233.5
106.5	232.9
115.0	232.9



Photo of Cross-Section SR1-2 - Looking Upstream @ STA 9+35

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	59.6	60.8	55.9	54.6	32.8	35.1	34.9
Width	23.5	23.6	25.1	26.1	19.5	18.2	19.2
Mean Depth	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.8
Max Depth	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.2	2.8	3.1	2.5
W/D	9.3	9.2	11.3	12.5	11.6	9.4	10.6




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	SR1-3
Feature	Riffle
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	
Station	Elevation
58.0	232.5
65.7	232.7
73.6	232.9
78.1	232.7
82.7	232.2
86.8	231.9
88.3	231.6
88.8	231.2
89.5	230.4
91.0	230.2
93.4	229.9
95.5	229.9
96.4	230.4
97.3	231.2
98.4	231.7
102.7	232.3
112.1	232.4
124.6	232.3
106.1	232.3
114.7	232.1
124.5	232.1
106.6	232.0
118.1	232.0
134.1	232.1
153.2	232.4
165.6	232.6

2007 Survey		2006 Survey		2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
58.0	232.5	58.0	232.5	27.2	232.2
64.0	232.8	70.5	233.0	48.9	232.2
71.3	233.1	80.3	232.4	55.4	232.5
75.3	232.9	83.5	231.7	67.6	232.5
79.4	232.6	84.5	231.5	72.9	232.8
82.3	231.8	89.0	230.7	74.7	232.7
87.0	231.4	90.1	228.9	77.9	232.2
88.3	231.6	92.2	228.7	80.8	231.3
88.8	231.2	94.6	229.7	84.6	230.3
89.5	230.4	94.6	230.1	87.5	229.9
91.0	230.2	97.8	231.0	88.1	229.9
92.7	229.2	103.8	232.0	89.0	229.4
92.7	229.9	115.8	232.1	90.7	229.2
94.6	230.4	123.5	232.2	97.7	230.9
95.3	229.7			106.6	232.0
96.3	230.5			118.1	232.0
97.7	231.3			134.1	232.1
99.7	231.8			153.2	232.4
102.4	231.9			165.6	232.6



Photo of Cross-Section SR1-3 - Looking Upstream @ STA 11+30

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	44.9	47.5	36.6	41.3	29.6	24.7	21.7
Mean Depth	31.3	25.6	25.9	25.8	22.0	20.7	20.9
Max Depth	1.4	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.0
W/D	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.7	3.3	2.9	2.4




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	SR1-4						
Feature	Pool						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Station	Station	Station				
Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation				
67.0	232.7	67.0	232.7	71.1	232.7	30.1	232.5
89.2	232.4	79.2	232.5	75.5	232.5	37.3	232.6
105.6	232.0	83.7	232.5	76.6	232.3	50.8	232.8
110.3	231.9	92.3	232.2	78.9	232.5	63.8	232.6
111.5	230.0	99.7	232.2	99.2	231.9	72.8	232.5
112.7	229.5	105.9	231.8	107.4	231.8	78.1	232.5
115.6	228.7	108.1	232.2	109.1	231.6	86.1	232.0
118.4	228.6	110.3	231.8	110.9	231.3	98.2	231.4
120.1	228.9	111.8	231.5	112.9	230.8	102.2	231.4
121.1	228.8	112.2	231.1	113.6	230.1	105.0	231.2
122.0	230.2	113.4	229.1	116.7	229.4	107.8	231.3
125.1	231.3	114.8	229.2	118.0	229.0	109.1	231.0
129.0	232.8	117.0	229.1	120.4	228.6	112.1	229.9
131.9	233.3	118.8	228.6	121.6	229.4	114.3	229.9
		120.2	228.4	122.3	230.3	114.7	229.6
		121.2	228.2	123.8	231.2	116.6	229.4
		121.7	230.0	129.9	233.0	118.2	229.1
		124.2	231.6	132.7	233.4	119.5	229.0
		126.6	232.1			119.7	228.8
		130.4	233.2			121.7	228.7
		132.3	233.4			122.4	229.7
						122.5	230.0
						123.3	230.5
						123.7	230.9
						128.2	232.9
						136.4	233.9



Photo of Cross-Section SR1-4 - Looking Upstream @ STA 12+00

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	57.9 46.5	69.3 47.4	43.8 45.1	53.4 49.7	54.5 52.8	50.5 51.5	35.6 16.4
Mean Depth	1.2	1.5	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	2.2
Max Depth	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.3
W/D	N/A						




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	SR1-5
Feature	Pool
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	2007 Survey
Station	Station
8.0	8.0
15.4	13.0
18.4	17.9
20.9	20.5
23.9	23.6
25.8	25.3
26.6	25.9
29.4	26.5
31.4	27.9
33.3	227.8
34.9	228.3
36.1	229.2
37.7	230.1
41.8	35.9
49.2	36.6
58.5	37.5
69.4	231.5
42.3	231.0
48.7	231.1
52.0	231.6
57.2	231.9
63.1	231.7
69.6	231.7
Elevation	Elevation
232.0	232.0
231.5	232.0
231.1	231.2
230.6	230.6
230.1	230.1
229.4	229.4
228.1	228.1
227.8	227.8
227.3	227.3
227.3	35.0
228.5	228.5
228.7	31.5
228.7	31.9
228.9	32.7
229.2	32.7
229.4	34.8
229.9	36.2
230.1	36.2
230.4	38.2
230.5	41.3
230.6	44.9
230.6	49.8
231.0	53.1
231.1	53.1
231.6	56.2
231.9	74.5
231.7	93.1
231.7	120.5
	139.2
	231.3
	231.6
	231.8
	231.8
	231.9
	231.4



Photo of Cross-Section SR1-5 - Looking Upstream @ STA 16+90

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	109.2	123.2	78.9	55.8	70.2	70.4	44.7
Mean Depth	41.8	44.2	43.2	41.4	41.9	43.3	25.1
Max Depth	2.6	2.8	1.8	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.8
W/D	4.8	5.1	3.1	3.6	4.7	4.6	4.3
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	SR2-1
Feature	Riffle
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	2007 Survey
Station	Station
100.0	100.0
120.3	116.6
140.1	137.1
147.0	143.1
148.0	146.6
148.9	149.2
150.4	150.3
151.1	151.2
154.6	152.3
158.0	153.1
159.2	154.3
159.7	155.1
160.3	155.4
161.8	157.0
162.8	157.5
167.5	158.7
178.5	160.0
186.6	160.3
162.3	162.3
166.1	166.1
169.9	169.9
173.6	173.6
178.0	178.0
180.3	180.3
187.2	187.2
Elevation	Elevation
229.9	229.9
229.7	229.5
229.2	229.3
229.1	229.1
228.7	228.0
227.3	227.5
226.9	225.7
224.8	225.3
224.9	225.2
225.3	225.3
225.6	225.1
226.1	224.7
226.8	225.6
227.8	225.7
228.1	224.7
228.7	225.1
228.9	225.6
229.0	186.1
	228.9
	188.0
	229.0
2006 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station
100.0	100.0
109.5	109.5
132.9	129.5
140.1	129.2
144.6	129.3
147.8	128.8
149.5	127.1
150.5	126.7
151.1	125.5
151.2	125.5
153.1	125.1
153.3	125.1
156.7	125.0
158.6	125.4
158.8	125.7
159.8	126.4
161.9	127.6
168.8	128.7
179.5	128.7
186.1	128.9
Elevation	Elevation
229.9	229.7
229.5	229.3
229.3	229.2
229.2	229.1
228.8	228.0
228.0	227.5
227.5	227.1
226.9	226.7
226.7	226.7
225.5	225.5
225.5	225.5
225.6	225.6
226.1	226.1
226.3	226.3
227.3	227.3
227.8	227.8
228.8	228.8
228.8	228.8
228.9	228.9
229.0	229.0
2005 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station
112.4	112.4
129.8	129.8
146.4	146.4
148.7	148.7
149.4	149.4
150.0	150.0
150.8	150.8
151.2	151.2
153.5	153.5
154.3	154.3
156.2	156.2
158.4	158.4
158.5	158.5
162.9	162.9
167.5	167.5
168.9	168.9
172.6	172.6
188.0	188.0
Elevation	Elevation
229.7	229.7
229.7	229.3
229.3	229.2
229.2	229.1
228.0	227.5
227.1	226.7
226.7	226.7
225.5	225.5
225.5	225.5
225.6	225.6
226.1	226.1
226.3	226.3
227.3	227.3
227.8	227.8
228.8	228.8
228.8	228.8
228.9	228.9
229.0	229.0



Photo of Cross-Section SR2-1 - Looking Upstream @ STA 24+30

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	46.5	45.9	44.9	51.3	41.8	36.9	40.8
Mean Depth	33.2	20.2	20.0	20.2	20.8	20.4	19.4
Max Depth	1.4	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.0	1.8	2.1
W/D	3.1	3.3	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.8
	23.7	8.9	8.9	7.9	10.3	11.2	9.2




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	SR2-2						
Feature	Pool						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008 Survey							
Station	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-9.9	231.1	-9.9	231.1	-9.2	231.2	4.0	229.9
0.9	230.0	-4.3	230.9	-3.6	230.9	13.2	229.6
12.5	229.6	4.3	229.7	1.4	230.0	16.7	228.4
15.5	228.8	10.7	229.7	13.7	229.5	16.9	228.1
18.0	228.2	13.6	229.2	17.1	228.4	18.7	227.5
20.2	227.0	16.7	228.3	19.6	227.4	20.0	227.0
21.6	224.7	17.9	227.9	21.1	226.8	20.6	226.4
24.8	223.8	20.4	226.8	22.1	225.0	21.1	225.4
27.8	224.2	21.2	225.9	23.3	224.3	22.6	224.4
29.7	224.4	22.5	223.7	25.4	224.3	23.2	224.2
30.4	226.7	25.5	224.3	27.1	224.9	23.8	224.1
31.8	228.0	26.5	224.7	29.3	225.2	24.5	224.0
36.5	228.4	29.0	224.6	30.3	225.1	26.9	224.2
43.0	229.0	30.9	224.9	30.6	226.4	27.9	224.5
53.0	228.7	31.7	227.0	31.2	227.2	29.3	224.9
		32.1	227.2	31.9	227.5	33.4	226.8
		32.6	227.7	33.5	227.9	34.6	227.2
		34.1	228.2	38.8	228.6	37.9	228.1
		37.7	228.2	48.1	228.9	43.0	228.8
		39.9	228.7	53.9	228.6	50.1	228.7
		48.1	228.9			57.4	228.7
		54.5	228.7				



Photo of Cross-Section SR2-2 - Looking Upstream @ STA 24+87

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	48.5	59.2	60.8	64.5	44.6	53.7	37.5
Width	26.9	26.2	25.7	26.1	22.3	24.5	13.5
Mean Depth	1.8	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.8
Max Depth	3.8	3.8	4.6	4.6	4.3	5.0	4.2
W/D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	SR2-3
Feature	Pool
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	
Station	2008 Survey
5.4	226.4
39.4	226.4
70.6	226.2
84.9	225.7
91.0	225.3
93.2	224.5
94.6	223.3
96.5	223.0
99.6	222.8
101.9	222.9
102.8	224.1
105.4	225.5
110.2	227.8
114.1	229.3
121.8	229.2
108.8	227.2
112.0	228.6
113.9	229.2
119.0	229.4
121.8	229.3
2007 Survey	
Station	2007 Survey
5.4	226.4
31.2	226.4
54.7	226.3
72.9	226.0
86.1	225.4
90.5	225.4
92.0	225.0
94.7	225.0
97.4	224.0
100.5	223.5
102.0	223.2
102.5	223.1
103.3	223.5
105.9	225.9
111.1	228.2
115.4	229.4
123.1	229.4
2006 Survey	
Station	2006 Survey
5.4	226.4
41.4	226.4
71.5	226.2
80.8	225.6
85.9	225.4
92.5	225.0
94.7	223.8
97.4	223.1
100.5	223.0
102.3	223.1
103.3	223.5
105.9	225.9
111.1	228.2
115.4	229.4
123.1	229.4
2005 Survey	
Station	2005 Survey
7.8	226.5
8.6	226.6
19.5	226.5
32.7	226.6
51.3	226.5
60.5	226.5
71.6	226.4
74.5	226.3
76.4	226.1
81.9	225.9
84.3	225.7
84.8	225.5
86.4	225.2
88.0	224.8
92.3	224.6
94.4	223.8
97.6	223.6
99.0	223.1
100.8	222.9
102.4	223.8
104.1	224.6
104.7	224.9
105.2	225.1
105.5	225.1
106.5	225.8
108.1	226.7
114.2	229.6
115.6	229.6
123.7	229.5



Photo of Cross-Section SR2-3 - Looking Upstream @ STA 31+25

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	64.0	59.6	52.4	49.4	46.8	52.7	44.0
Mean Depth	39.1	37.7	36.3	35.1	38.7	52.3	36.3
Max Depth	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.2
W/D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name Smith and Austin
Cross Section SR2-4
Feature Riffle
Date 6/22/08
Crew Adasme, Jeffers

2008 Survey		2007 Survey		2006 Survey		2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
4.9	226.9	4.9	226.9	4.9	226.9	17.3	226.1
24.7	226.1	14.9	226.5	22.1	226.2	26.2	226.1
36.4	225.7	24.9	226.3	35.5	226.2	26.5	226.1
37.8	224.8	33.8	226.2	38.3	224.3	35.5	225.9
40.9	224.3	35.7	226.0	40.6	223.9	35.7	225.3
42.7	223.4	37.6	224.9	42.7	223.2	35.9	225.0
43.2	223.2	40.8	224.3	43.4	223.0	37.9	224.5
43.8	223.1	41.5	223.9	44.2	222.9	38.8	223.6
44.1	222.8	42.7	223.3	45.5	222.7	39.5	223.5
45.5	222.7	43.3	222.9	46.9	222.7	43.0	223.1
47.7	222.5	44.6	223.0	47.5	223.1	43.5	223.1
48.6	223.1	46.5	222.9	48.9	223.4	47.4	223.1
49.6	224.5	47.5	222.9	50.1	224.5	50.4	224.1
50.8	225.2	48.5	223.4	53.8	226.1	50.5	224.2
54.2	226.1	49.8	225.0	61.4	225.8	51.6	224.5
59.3	225.8	52.1	225.5	71.5	225.9	53.1	225.9
63.4	225.7	54.5	226.4	82.6	226.0	53.1	226.2
		55.7	226.4	90.8	225.9	58.4	225.8
		58.3	226.1			58.7	225.8
		64.1	226.2			62.0	225.7
		66.8	226.4			64.8	225.9
		70.1	226.4			69.5	226.4
		71.8	226.2			72.5	225.8
		75.5	226.1				
		80.1	226.1				
		86.4	226.3				
		91.3	226.1				



Photo of Cross-Section SR2-4 - Looking Upstream @ STA 32+45

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	38.9	42.7	38.4	39.5	37.3	32.3	28.1
Mean Depth	18.7	18.9	18.4	17.6	18.0	17.8	16.4
Max Depth	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.7
W/D	3.1	3.3	3.2	2.8	3.4	3.1	3.2




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	SR2-5						
Feature	Riffle						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.7	224.4	0.7	224.4	9.0	224.4	0.7	224.5
14.4	224.6	9.8	224.5	24.4	224.5	7.0	224.7
27.0	224.4	15.9	224.6	36.2	224.2	8.1	224.5
34.8	224.1	22.2	224.4	41.4	224.3	9.1	224.5
38.0	223.9	31.9	224.3	43.3	221.4	10.2	224.6
39.2	223.2	38.1	224.2	45.1	221.0	10.3	224.6
40.1	222.3	39.8	222.6	48.4	221.5	10.7	224.6
41.0	221.2	40.3	221.7	50.5	221.6	11.0	224.6
45.1	221.4	42.3	221.8	52.0	221.6	12.5	224.5
48.5	221.3	44.8	221.7	53.1	223.1	12.5	224.6
51.0	221.2	47.6	221.5	53.8	223.1	26.0	224.4
51.6	221.3	49.6	221.1	55.5	223.8	30.0	224.4
53.5	223.3	50.7	221.1	61.3	223.9	31.6	224.1
55.5	224.0	51.3	221.4	70.7	224.3	34.0	224.1
59.5	224.4	51.9	222.1	78.8	224.4	37.7	223.3
72.4	224.5	53.2	223.3	39.2	222.3		
82.3	224.7	55.2	224.0	41.1	222.2		
		57.6	224.0	42.3	221.4		
		62.7	224.2	44.0	221.4		
		70.9	224.4	45.2	221.4		
		77.4	224.6	46.4	221.2		
		82.7	224.7	48.0	220.9		
				49.1	221.1		
				51.4	222.0		
				51.7	222.6		
				52.7	223.2		
				55.5	224.2		
				57.8	224.2		
				66.9	224.5		



Photo of Cross-Section SR2-5 - Looking Upstream @ STA 39+20

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	37.2	35.8	No data	31.4	25.8	32.6	34.8
Width	18.4	16.9	No data	16.4	13.9	16.8	17.2
Mean Depth	2.0	2.1	No data	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0
Max Depth	3.0	3.0	No data	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7
W/D	9.1	8.0	No data	8.6	7.4	8.7	8.5




Project Name	Smith and Austin		
Cross Section	AR1-2		
Feature	Riffle		
Date	6/22/08		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers		
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station	Station	Station
Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation
14.0	240.0	8.5	239.9
18.8	236.2	14.5	240.1
22.1	234.6	17.0	239.3
24.7	232.8	20.2	237.1
25.1	231.4	21.5	236.2
26.4	231.0	23.7	233.7
28.1	231.1	24.1	233.4
33.5	231.7	24.8	232.5
38.3	231.8	25.4	231.6
39.0	233.8	26.1	231.3
42.7	235.2	28.5	231.4
54.6	235.8	31.0	231.1
66.4	235.8	32.0	230.9
85.2	236.4	33.3	231.1
		38.2	231.6
		34.3	231.0
		35.5	230.6
		36.5	230.5
		37.7	230.7
		38.3	231.1
		39.1	232.4
		39.5	232.8
		40.5	233.2
		41.5	233.7
		42.4	234.6
		46.9	235.1
		53.0	235.5
		56.5	235.3
		62.7	235.5
		69.3	235.5
		74.3	235.4
		81.2	235.6
		85.6	236.1



Photo of Cross-Section AR1-2 - Looking Upstream @ STA 4+42

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	49.0	51.2	62.4	63.5	57.6	55.1	59.2
Mean Depth	32.4	31.1	29.5	27.3	19.6	19.4	21.9
Max Depth	1.5	1.6	2.1	2.3	2.9	2.8	2.7
W/D	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.8	4.2	4.1	4.2



Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	AR1-3
Feature	Riffle
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	2007 Survey
Station	Station
-1.0	0.0
5.7	2.3
9.0	4.6
12.0	5.4
14.2	6.9
17.9	10.3
21.7	14.4
24.8	17.4
26.1	18.2
26.7	20.9
28.2	22.8
28.7	25.2
32.9	26.7
40.1	27.9
61.6	28.4
29.8	29.0
31.4	31.9
32.3	32.4
34.4	32.2
36.1	31.7
37.6	31.2
42.7	31.3
48.3	31.4
51.5	31.6
54.1	32.0
57.2	32.1
61.6	32.1
2006 Survey	2006 Survey
Station	Station
0.0	0.0
2.5	2.5
5.2	5.2
6.6	6.6
9.4	9.4
14.0	14.0
16.2	16.2
18.2	18.2
19.7	19.7
23.9	23.9
26.6	26.6
27.5	27.5
28.4	28.4
29.8	29.8
31.3	31.3
32.2	32.2
34.2	34.2
37.2	37.2
44.2	44.2
50.3	50.3
54.5	54.5
2005 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station
2.8	2.8
4.0	4.0
5.7	5.7
7.0	7.0
8.8	8.8
10.6	10.6
13.7	13.7
13.9	13.9
15.1	15.1
16.9	16.9
17.8	17.8
19.9	19.9
21.3	21.3
23.0	23.0
23.6	23.6
24.9	24.9
25.7	25.7
26.9	26.9
28.1	28.1
28.2	28.2
29.0	29.0
29.8	29.8
32.1	32.1
33.3	33.3
35.2	35.2
38.6	38.6
43.4	43.4
52.4	52.4
54.0	54.0





Photo of Cross-Section AR1-3 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+95

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	49.8	51.2	52.7	54.7	60.6	73.1	64.4
Width	24.4	23.8	22.8	23.5	25.0	24.8	24.8
Mean Depth	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.9	2.6
Max Depth	3.2	3.2	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.7	4.3
W/D	12.0	11.1	9.9	10.1	10.3	8.4	9.6




Project Name Smith and Austin
Cross Section AR1-4
Feature Pool
Date 6/22/08
Crew Adasme, Jeffers

2008 Survey		2007 Survey		2006 Survey		2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
35.2	233.6	31.7	235.4	31.7	235.4	33.3	235.5
39.2	232.0	34.1	235.1	34.1	235.1	35.0	234.8
41.7	231.0	36.4	234.3	38.1	233.8	36.8	234.3
50.1	228.2	38.1	233.8	40.2	233.0	39.0	233.3
51.2	227.5	40.2	232.9	45.9	230.4	42.2	231.8
51.6	226.6	42.3	231.9	48.2	230.1	43.8	231.1
52.8	226.6	44.0	231.2	50.3	229.1	45.2	230.4
54.1	226.4	46.1	230.3	51.2	228.6	46.4	230.1
55.1	226.4	48.1	230.1	52.8	226.6	47.8	229.5
56.6	226.6	48.4	229.7	54.1	226.4	50.1	228.6
59.4	227.0	49.5	228.9	56.1	226.7	50.9	228.3
61.8	227.0	51.0	228.2	59.5	226.9	51.9	227.9
64.3	227.4	51.6	226.6	62.1	227.1	52.8	227.7
67.5	229.3	53.2	225.7	63.3	227.7	53.0	227.6
70.3	229.8	54.4	226.1	64.3	228.0	54.2	226.9
80.9	230.0	55.7	226.8	65.4	228.6	55.5	227.0
91.4	230.3	56.9	227.1	67.2	229.0	58.1	227.0
98.1	230.5	59.6	227.3	69.1	229.5	61.0	227.3
		62.0	227.5	72.2	230.0	62.2	227.1
		63.0	227.5	83.7	230.3	63.4	227.6
		64.3	228.1	92.5	230.6	63.9	227.7
		66.1	228.6	97.8	230.7	64.9	228.6
		68.5	229.7			66.1	228.7
		75.5	230.2			67.9	229.6
		83.0	230.3			69.6	229.8
		98.2	230.6			77.0	230.3
						81.7	230.3



Photo of Cross-Section AR1-4 - Looking Downstream @ STA 20+90

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	38.2	38.5	38.3	34.0	47.6	42.6	40.0
Mean Depth	23.3	22.5	22.7	21.4	23.9	20.2	20.9
Max Depth	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	1.9
W/D	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.7	3.6	4.0	3.0
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name Smith and Austin
Cross Section AR2-1
Feature Riffle
Date 6/27/08
Crew Adasme, Jeffers

2008 Survey		2007 Survey		2006 Survey		2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
10.5	234.4	10.5	234.4	10.5	234.7	15.6	234.3
17.1	234.0	16.1	234.4	15.1	234.7	21.5	232.3
19.4	233.0	19.7	232.8	19.1	233.0	22.5	230.3
22.1	232.1	22.2	232.2	21.6	232.4	25.0	229.2
25.9	228.6	23.6	230.2	23.3	230.0	27.7	226.9
29.0	225.5	25.8	228.5	25.8	228.4	29.5	225.2
31.3	225.6	27.6	227.4	28.6	226.2	32.4	225.0
34.9	225.6	29.5	225.6	30.5	225.3	32.6	224.9
38.9	225.7	31.5	225.4	32.0	224.6	34.6	224.6
41.5	225.6	33.6	225.5	36.4	224.6	36.3	224.3
42.9	226.2	36.1	225.5	40.1	225.4	37.4	224.2
51.6	228.9	40.1	225.4	42.0	225.6	39.0	224.6
67.6	229.1	42.0	225.4	43.7	226.6	40.4	224.7
89.3	228.3	42.9	225.7	44.9	227.6	42.2	224.8
120.6	228.5	43.6	226.1	47.1	228.9	43.0	225.0
		44.3	226.0	52.2	229.2	43.1	225.0
		45.4	227.7	57.7	229.6	44.4	226.1
		46.9	228.4	82.4	228.7	45.4	227.1
		49.6	228.9	91.1	228.3	46.2	227.7
		54.6	229.1	102.4	228.3	48.3	228.4
		58.4	229.4	120.3	228.7	54.5	228.7
		66.6	229.3			63.4	228.6
		78.8	228.6			74.3	228.2
		93.9	228.2			85.0	228.2
		103.5	228.1			104.9	228.1
		114.9	228.3			115.6	228.2
		120.9	228.6			120.6	228.4



Photo of Cross-Section AR2-1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 27+90

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	48.1	45.4	62.1	56.1	63.8	59.8	62.6
Mean Depth	19.3	18.8	20.8	20.6	22.2	24.2	26.1
Max Depth	2.5	2.4	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.4
W/D	3.6	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.5	3.3




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	AR2-2
Feature	Pool
Date	6/27/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers

2008 Survey		2007 Survey		2006 Survey		2005 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
4.2	232.0	4.8	232.3	4.8	232.3	8.4	232.4
8.2	231.4	8.1	232.0	8.2	232.0	10.3	232.0
9.9	230.4	11.1	230.0	9.8	231.2	11.2	231.7
11.0	229.3	12.5	227.7	11.3	230.1	12.7	230.6
14.6	224.7	14.0	226.7	13.4	226.9	13.2	230.2
15.3	224.6	14.8	225.3	14.5	226.2	15.8	226.8
17.1	224.8	16.4	225.2	15.3	224.5	16.4	225.6
18.6	224.9	18.0	224.8	17.1	224.3	16.5	224.4
20.7	225.0	19.3	225.1	20.7	224.0	18.6	224.5
22.3	225.2	23.3	225.3	24.8	223.9	20.7	224.7
24.3	224.8	24.5	225.3	26.2	224.5	22.3	224.5
25.7	224.5	26.1	224.9	27.2	225.8	25.6	224.5
27.2	224.7	26.9	224.8	27.9	226.4	26.6	224.8
29.6	227.5	27.8	226.0	28.9	227.2	28.2	226.3
33.9	228.5	29.1	227.4	31.0	227.9	29.6	227.3
56.4	227.8	31.5	228.2	35.0	228.8	34.0	227.7
79.8	227.1	35.2	228.9	49.0	228.9	43.2	228.0
107.8	227.3	43.8	229.1	57.9	228.0	54.8	227.8
		49.8	229.0	71.1	227.4	64.4	227.6
		57.1	228.2	92.2	227.1	75.2	227.4
		70.6	227.5	101.5	227.2	86.4	227.3
		81.0	227.4	108.6	227.5	101.9	227.4
		90.3	227.3			108.1	227.5
		103.3	227.4			108.1	227.5
		108.8	227.6				



Photo of Cross-Section AR2-2 - Looking Downstream @ STA 28+35

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	37.1	36.9	43.9	43.0	68.5	61.3	60.6
Mean Depth	21.3	19.7	17.3	18.2	22.8	23.5	22.3
Max Depth	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.4	3.0	2.6	2.7
W/D	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	4.9	4.1	4.0
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	AR2-3						
Feature	Pool						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Station	Station	Station				
Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation				
0.0	232.0	0.0	232.0	1.4	232.3	2.1	232.0
3.3	230.4	1.9	231.8	2.4	231.6	3.8	230.3
7.4	227.8	6.0	228.5	5.0	229.5	6.0	228.8
10.8	226.8	8.9	227.1	6.9	228.3	8.6	226.7
12.0	225.1	10.2	226.5	8.5	227.3	10.3	225.8
13.1	224.8	11.7	224.8	9.7	226.8	12.0	225.3
14.6	224.9	12.9	224.3	11.1	226.3	12.5	224.4
17.1	225.2	14.3	224.2	12.5	224.9	14.2	224.3
19.2	225.4	17.5	224.9	13.3	224.6	15.7	224.2
22.8	225.6	23.1	225.3	16.9	224.7	18.1	224.4
26.9	225.2	25.8	225.1	23.5	225.0	21.3	224.5
28.3	224.7	27.5	224.6	26.4	224.8	25.1	224.4
29.3	225.0	28.4	224.6	28.1	224.5	27.4	224.0
31.0	226.7	29.5	225.6	29.0	224.5	27.7	224.0
43.8	227.4	30.5	226.2	29.9	225.9	28.6	224.4
63.7	227.9	32.3	227.6	30.6	226.0	28.9	225.2
83.4	228.3	34.6	227.4	32.3	227.4	29.5	225.6
		37.3	227.3	33.6	227.7	30.9	226.3
		45.5	227.2	41.8	227.4	32.0	227.0
		53.0	227.5	50.8	227.6	33.7	227.1
		66.9	227.8	72.7	227.9	41.2	227.3
		83.2	228.2	83.8	228.3	50.5	227.6
				63.0	227.8		
				75.2	228.0		
				82.2	228.2		



Photo of Cross-Section AR2-3 - Looking Downstream @ STA 30+45

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	54.4	56.4	53.9	53.4	58.2	55.4	27.5
Width	24.9	24.0	24.1	25.1	25.7	24.4	20.1
Mean Depth	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	1.4
Max Depth	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.4	2.0
W/D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	AR3-1						
Feature	Pool						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008 Survey							
Station	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
16.8	227.4	16.9	227.0	16.9	227.0	30.6	227.1
34.8	227.7	31.1	227.3	38.2	227.4	48.0	227.1
55.1	227.4	47.1	227.5	64.8	227.1	59.9	226.9
72.2	227.1	65.2	227.3	70.8	226.8	70.5	226.6
75.7	226.6	74.7	226.6	74.7	226.1	73.0	226.0
78.0	225.7	76.5	226.1	78.4	225.2	74.5	225.9
81.7	225.5	79.5	225.2	83.6	224.9	75.6	225.4
83.1	225.3	83.1	225.0	85.8	224.1	75.9	225.3
85.7	224.8	84.9	224.8	86.9	224.0	77.5	224.8
88.0	224.1	85.0	224.7	88.0	223.3	79.2	224.7
92.7	224.1	85.9	224.5	93.8	223.7	81.0	224.4
95.3	223.9	87.4	224.1	95.9	223.3	83.5	224.1
96.6	223.6	88.6	224.3	97.8	223.7	84.6	223.7
97.8	223.8	91.2	224.0	101.4	225.2	85.9	223.5
98.9	224.4	95.1	223.7	105.7	226.9	87.6	223.5
100.7	224.8	96.9	223.7	110.8	227.4	90.1	223.1
104.6	227.2	98.0	223.4	115.3	227.7	92.3	222.6
110.2	227.8	99.2	223.8			94.4	222.5
115.2	228.0	99.8	224.6			95.5	222.9
		102.1	225.4			96.9	223.3
		103.3	226.2			97.3	223.6
		107.9	227.2			98.7	225.0
		115.5	227.6			101.5	225.5
						101.7	225.5
						103.2	226.3
						103.2	226.4
						107.0	226.9
						109.0	227.1
						110.7	227.1
						113.9	227.4



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 34+55

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	97.1	87.5	72.7	77.7	83.4	80.7	64.4
Mean Depth	37.3	41.2	39.6	38.5	44.5	44.8	32.2
Max Depth	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	2.0
W/D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin
Cross Section	AR3-2
Feature	Riffle
Date	6/22/08
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers
2008 Survey	2007 Survey
Station	Station
12.3	12.3
27.3	27.5
40.7	36.7
44.1	41.3
47.3	44.3
48.1	45.8
49.5	47.3
51.7	48.2
53.1	50.5
54.7	52.3
61.7	54.4
68.0	56.2
70.8	59.1
78.0	66.4
96.7	67.7
124.3	68.4
69.3	224.7
71.0	225.1
73.1	225.6
74.4	226.2
76.7	226.6
78.7	227.0
86.5	227.2
102.5	227.5
114.1	227.4
124.2	227.6
Elevation	Elevation
227.3	227.3
227.4	227.5
226.8	227.1
225.8	226.7
224.2	225.9
223.4	225.5
223.6	224.0
223.5	223.4
223.6	223.8
224.4	224.1
225.1	224.2
224.7	224.7
225.0	225.0
223.6	223.8
224.4	224.1
225.1	224.5
224.7	224.9
226.8	224.9
227.3	224.5
227.5	224.5
68.4	59.9
69.3	68.5
71.0	72.7
73.1	77.3
74.4	85.0
76.7	108.8
78.7	124.1
86.5	
102.5	
114.1	
124.2	



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-2 - Looking Downstream @ STA 35+15

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	126.5	125.1	97.1	91.5	74.4	72.1	69.9
Mean Depth	38.4	37.2	35.5	37.6	38.7	40.9	37.8
Max Depth	3.3	3.4	2.7	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.8
W/D	5.3	5.3	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4
	11.7	11.1	13.0	15.4	20.1	23.2	20.5




Project Name	Smith and Austin		
Cross Section	AR3-3		
Feature	Pool		
Date	6/22/08		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers		
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station	Station	Station
Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation

44.3	226.5	44.3	226.5	44.3	226.5	51.2	226.1
67.8	226.6	54.9	226.2	51.5	226.3	60.0	226.3
100.7	226.8	69.8	226.5	71.1	226.7	75.3	226.5
107.7	225.7	81.3	226.7	99.1	226.8	92.3	226.5
112.7	225.1	94.0	226.7	102.5	226.7	102.7	226.5
116.6	224.8	103.0	226.6	105.4	226.3	109.3	224.7
118.2	223.1	108.0	225.7	107.2	225.8	111.6	224.4
118.8	222.7	112.6	225.1	112.7	224.8	114.2	224.1
119.7	223.0	116.0	224.5	116.2	224.8	115.6	223.3
121.0	223.1	118.0	223.9	118.2	223.5	117.6	223.1
122.7	222.9	118.4	223.7	119.1	222.6	119.3	222.8
122.8	222.6	119.1	223.2	120.9	222.7	120.4	222.7
124.2	223.5	120.4	223.1	124.1	223.0	122.5	222.7
127.7	224.5	121.1	223.3	125.1	223.3	124.8	223.3
131.9	224.3	122.7	223.2	127.0	224.2	126.5	223.6
136.6	224.8	123.9	223.2	131.9	224.1	127.4	223.5
142.5	227.0	125.3	224.1	136.5	224.3	129.3	223.4
150.5	227.0	127.1	224.2	138.0	224.6	132.0	223.4
		130.6	224.2	140.2	225.8	134.8	223.6
		135.3	224.1	142.4	226.9	136.3	224.1
		140.5	226.0	144.5	227.0	137.4	224.5
		142.5	226.9	150.4	227.0	141.5	226.7
		150.4	226.9			143.9	226.7
						148.8	226.7
						149.1	226.7



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-3 - Looking Downstream @ STA 38+15

Area	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Width	153.8	151.2	93.0	90.5	85.6	74.4	82.2
Mean Depth	38.5	39.4	39.1	38.8	39.6	38.8	41.3
Max Depth	4.0	3.8	2.4	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.0
W/D	7.1	7.1	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.5	4.2
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Project Name	Smith and Austin		
Cross Section	AR3-4		
Feature	Riffle		
Date	6/22/08		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers		
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station	Station	Station
Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation
18.0	225.2	18.5	225.2
34.6	225.7	29.0	225.4
42.5	225.4	37.7	225.6
45.2	224.8	42.9	225.4
48.3	223.8	46.0	224.5
57.7	223.3	48.4	223.6
58.7	222.2	54.1	223.5
60.8	222.6	56.9	223.4
62.8	222.5	58.0	222.7
64.1	223.0	58.4	222.3
69.0	224.0	59.4	222.4
76.5	226.2	60.1	222.2
100.3	226.2	61.2	221.8
		59.1	221.7
		62.1	221.8
		63.1	222.2
		64.2	222.8
		64.8	223.1
		65.9	223.3
		66.9	223.6
		69.8	224.0
		73.5	225.1
		77.0	226.0
		87.8	226.3
		90.9	226.2
		95.5	226.0
		100.9	226.2



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-4 - Looking Downstream @ STA 41+00

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	78.8	77.4	63.7	61.0	64.2	56.6	62.0
Width	31.6	34.1	35.1	32.7	32.9	31.6	40.1
Mean Depth	2.5	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.5
Max Depth	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.2	3.9	3.6	3.5
W/D	12.7	15.0	19.3	17.6	16.8	17.7	26.0




Project Name	Smith and Austin						
Cross Section	AR3-5						
Feature	Riffle						
Date	6/22/08						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers						
2008	2007	2006	2005				
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey				
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation				
37.0	226.1	37.1	226.2	37.1	226.2	21.2	226.5
71.3	226.1	53.3	226.2	70.1	226.1	52.1	226.1
95.7	225.7	70.3	226.2	89.2	225.7	81.7	226.6
102.8	224.3	85.3	225.9	98.4	225.6	104.9	226.2
107.0	224.1	97.2	225.6	101.2	224.8	108.5	225.6
118.0	224.4	103.8	224.3	102.0	224.5	111.6	224.0
124.2	223.6	105.2	224.0	104.0	224.2	115.0	222.5
127.5	222.1	106.8	224.1	111.5	223.9	117.8	222.3
129.8	222.3	111.8	224.0	114.3	224.1	119.7	222.5
131.0	223.3	117.1	224.3	120.9	224.3	124.3	222.2
135.4	224.9	120.5	224.3	123.2	223.9	127.2	221.9
141.1	225.4	123.4	223.9	124.4	223.7	128.8	221.8
154.6	225.4	124.9	222.9	125.2	223.2	129.8	221.8
206.8	226.1	125.2	222.3	125.6	222.1	130.6	222.0
279.6	226.5	126.5	222.6	126.5	222.0	133.1	222.1
359.7	226.8	128.2	222.7	127.6	222.0	135.2	222.8
		129.4	222.4	128.6	222.0	136.4	223.2
		129.9	223.0	129.7	222.4	139.0	223.8
		133.1	224.5	130.5	223.4	144.3	225.5
		138.3	225.4	131.9	223.6	154.2	226.0
		142.9	225.6	134.4	224.4	181.0	226.0
		166.4	225.6	140.6	225.5	229.5	225.3
		192.3	226.0	147.4	225.6	284.9	225.6
		214.5	226.4	184.3	225.9	338.9	225.4
		231.7	226.4	228.4	226.4		
		255.8	226.5	274.4	226.5		
		276.2	226.6	321.5	226.6		
		305.0	226.7	347.4	226.6		
		322.9	226.7	358.6	226.7		
		341.9	226.9				
		358.4	226.8				



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-5 - Looking Downstream @ STA 46+40

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	97.1	87.5	72.7	77.7	83.4	80.7	55.9
Width	37.3	41.2	39.6	38.5	44.5	44.8	43.8
Mean Depth	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.3
Max Depth	4.8	4.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.3
W/D	14.3	19.4	21.6	19.0	23.4	24.9	34.3





Project Name	Smith and Austin		
Cross Section	AR3-6		
Feature	Pool		
Date	6/22/08		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers		
2008 Survey	2007 Survey	2006 Survey	2005 Survey
Station	Station	Station	Station
77.5	224.8	77.5	224.9
102.9	224.5	118.1	224.6
124.8	224.4	143.6	224.5
162.1	223.9	164.3	223.8
167.3	223.6	168.3	222.9
169.7	222.9	171.3	222.6
172.3	222.7	179.5	222.6
178.3	222.7	181.3	222.6
181.6	222.8	182.5	222.3
182.7	222.2	183.2	221.9
183.0	221.5	184.6	221.7
183.6	220.9	186.7	221.4
185.9	220.3	189.6	221.3
187.2	220.3	190.7	221.2
189.1	220.7	192.2	221.1
191.4	220.6	193.0	221.1
193.0	221.2	193.2	222.1
193.7	221.9	194.3	223.2
194.2	222.9	196.3	224.4
195.8	224.3	208.2	225.2
196.9	224.8	221.1	225.6
202.6	225.2	226.5	225.9
214.4	225.4		
226.7	225.9		



Photo of Cross-Section AR3-6 - Looking Downstream @ STA 48+20

	AS-BUILT	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Area	135.7	108.9	70.0	63.7	79.4	73.4	54.1
Width	58.3	58.4	56.9	39.1	59.5	54.1	36.0
Mean Depth	2.3	1.9	1.2	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.5
Max Depth	6.9	6.7	3.4	3.2	4.1	3.4	3.6
W/D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A





NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:

Smith & Austin Creeks Restoration Site

**Project Number 343
Year 6 (2008) Monitoring Report
Wake County
North Carolina**

Profile and Pattern
Reach AR - 1

Scale:	NA	FIGURE NO.
Date:	OCT 2008	
Project No.:	08-001	

