<u>FINAL</u> ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT YEAR 1 (2012) TATE FARM (RIPSHIN BRANCH) STREAM/WETLAND RESTORATION SITE ASHE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (EEP Project No. 372, Contract No. 004802) Construction Completed December 2011



Submitted to: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program Raleigh, North Carolina



January 2013

<u>FINAL</u> ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT YEAR 1 (2012) TATE FARM (RIPSHIN BRANCH) STREAM/WETLAND RESTORATION SITE ASHE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (EEP Project No. 372, Contract No. 004802) Construction Completed December 2011



Submitted to: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Ecosystem Enhancement Program Raleigh, North Carolina

> Prepared by: Axiom Environmental, Inc. 218 Snow Avenue Raleigh, North Carolina 27603





January 2013

Table of Contents

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 METHODOLOGY	3
2.1 Vegetation Assessment	3
2.2 Stream Assessment	3
3.0 REFERENCES	5

Appendices

ADDENIDIV A DOLLECT VICINITY MAD AND DACKCOLLIND TABLES
Figure 1 Vicinity Man
Table 1 Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Table 2 Project Activity and Penorting History
Table 2. Project Contacts Table
Table 4. Project Colliders Table
ADDENDIV D. VICUAL ACCECCMENT DATA
APPENDIA B. VISUAL ASSESSMENT DATA Eigung 2 and 24 20. Current Conditions Disn View
Figures 2 and 2A-2B. Current Conditions Plan View
Tables 5A-5B. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment
Stream Fixed-Station Photographs
Vegetation Monitoring Photographs
APPENDIX C. VEGETATION PLOT DATA
Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment
Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
Table 9. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species
APPENDIX D. STREAM SURVEY DATA
Cross-section Plots
Longitudinal Profile Plots
Substrate Plots
Table 10a-10d. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Table 11a-11d. Monitoring Data
APPENDIX E. HYDROLOGY DATA
Table 12 Verification of Bankfull Events
Table 13. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (hereafter referred to as the Site) is situated within US Geological Survey (USGS) hydrologic unit 05050001 of the Upper New River Basin and is in a portion of NC Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) Priority Sub-basin 05-07-02. The project is located in the northwest corner of Ashe County, about 1 mile south of the Virginia state line and 3 miles east of the Tennessee state line (Figure 1, Appendix A). The Site is encompassed within a 61.92-acre easement located in a tract owned by Michael and Virginia Tate. The Site includes an unnamed tributary to Ripshin Branch (UT), Ripshin Branch proper, and associated floodplain wetlands (Figure 2, Appendix A). This report (compiled based on EEP's *Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports*, Version 1.4, dated 11/7/11) summarizes data for Year 1 (2012) monitoring.

The project goals are as follows:

- Improve stream water quality and ecological function by excluding livestock, restoring pool and riffle sequences, and restoring tree canopy and instream large woody debris.
- Enhance aquatic and terrestrial habitat in the stream corridor and adjacent wetlands.
- Enhance and/or restore the ecological function of riparian wetlands.
- Restore the riparian corridor (forested buffer) for watershed and wildlife benefits.
- Enhance habitat for native brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and improve fishery potential.
- Increase biodiversity of the stream ecology, riparian buffers, and wetlands.

These goals will be accomplished through the implementation of the following objectives:

- Improve channel geomorphology toward reference conditions by providing watershed scaled and Rosgen-typed channel dimension, adding floodplain benches where floodplain access is not feasible, restoring sinuous pattern to straightened reaches where possible, and adjusting profile as needed to restore or maintain sediment transport equilibrium.
- Restore streamside floodprone area where appropriate (increase floodwater access to the floodplain).
- Reduce sediment and nutrient loading by reshaping and stabilizing banks, reducing bank scour, excluding livestock, and restoring riparian buffers.
- Enhance or restore wetland hydrology and vegetation in former pastures and filled wetlands.

During Year 1 (2012) monitoring, five vegetation plots were established and sampled. Vegetation Success Criteria (from approved *Ripshin Branch Stream & Wetland Restoration Plan* [NCEEP 2007]) includes the following:

- Survival of planted vegetation should exceed 80 percent after 5 years following planting (minimum 260 stems/acre).
- Planted vegetation stabilizing at 20 years with distinct canopy, subcanopy, and shrub layers.
- Establishment of herbaceous cover over 75 percent of the soil surface in restored wetlands and riparian areas.
- Plant biodiversity dominated by native species, with minimal ecological impact from invasive species.

Overall, vegetation exceeded success criteria with an average of 332 stems-per-acre across the Site. In addition, three of the five vegetation monitoring plots met, or exceeded success criteria of 320 stems-per-acre (minimum stem count after 1 year). Vegetation plots 2 and 4 were below success criteria with 283 and 121 stems-per-acre, respectively. Potential causes of the low stem counts at these plots could be excessive hydrology associated with wetland restoration and over competition by sedges and soft rush (*Carex* spp. and *Juncus effuses*, respectively).

A visual assessment and geomorphic survey were completed for the Site. The visual assessment indicated that project reaches were performing within established success criteria ranges as shown below. The only stream problem area includes a reach of moderate erosion located in the upper 150 to 200 linear feet of the UT. Erosion in this reach occurred during heavy rains immediately upon the completion of construction. Geomorphic measurements in this area indicate channel widening with subsequent sediment aggradation. This area will continue to be monitored for future channel erosion.

Stream Success Criteria (from approved *Ripshin Branch Stream & Wetland Restoration Plan* [NCEEP 2007]) is as follows:

- Channel morphology retains the design stream type over the majority of the reach.
- Coarsening of riffle bed material in newly constructed reaches.
- Pool/riffle spacing should remain fairly constant.
- Maintenance of bankfull width at riffles within 10 percent of the design.
- Maintenance of bank height ratios at 1:1.1.
- Bank stability over 90 percent of altered channel reaches.
- Dimension and profile stability over 90 percent of altered channel reaches.
- No significant channel aggradation or degradation.
- Minimal development of instream bars.
- Biological populations (invertebrate and fish) remain constant or increase and species composition indicates a positive trend.

Success criteria for stream restoration will be based on stream stability assessed using measurements of stream dimension, pattern, and profile; Site photographs; visual assessments; and vegetation sampling. It is too early in the 5-year annual monitoring period for Site measurements to determine if stream success criteria, in relation to restoration objectives, are being achieved. However, the stream appears to be functioning properly and emulates design conditions.

During Year 1 (2012) monitoring, six groundwater gauges were installed at the Site. Wetland hydrology success criteria (from approved *Ripshin Branch Stream & Wetland Restoration Plan* [NCEEP 2007]) is as follows:

- Hydrologic monitoring indicates groundwater within 12 inches of the ground surface for 10 percent of the growing season
- Increasing wetland vegetation

- Development of hydric soils
- Fulfill US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) criteria for jurisdictional wetlands

Groundwater gauges were installed in mid October 2012; therefore, no groundwater gauge reporting is available for Year 1 (2012) monitoring. Groundwater gauge monitoring will be initiated during Year 2 (2013) monitoring.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in tables and figures within this report's appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on the NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from NCEEP upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Five vegetation plots were established and marked during the Year 1 (2012) monitoring period. Plots were established by installing 4-foot, metal U-bar post at the corners and a 10-foot, 0.75 inch PVC at the origin. The plots are 10 meters square and are located randomly within the Site. These plots were surveyed in October for the Year 1 (2012) monitoring season *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Levels 1-2 Plot Sampling Only Version 4.2* (Lee et al. 2008) (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm); results are included in Appendix C. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States* (Weakley 2012).

2.2 Stream Assessment

Annual stream monitoring was conducted in October of 2012. Measurements were taken using a Topcon GTS 303 total station and Recon data collector. The raw total station file was processed using Carlson Survey Software into a Computer Aided Design (CAD) file. Coordinates were exported as a text/ASCII file to Microsoft Excel for processing and presentation of data. Pebble counts were completed using the modified Wolman method (Rosgen 1993).

Eight permanent cross-sections, six riffle and two pool, were established and will be used to evaluate stream dimension; locations are depicted on Figures 2, 2A, and 2B (Appendix B). Cross-sections are permanently monumented with 4-foot metal U-bar posts at each end point. Cross-sections will be surveyed to provide a detailed measurement of the stream and banks, including points on the adjacent floodplain, top of bank, bankfull, breaks in slope, edge of water, and thalweg. Data will be used to calculate width-depth ratios, entrenchment ratios, and bank height ratios for each cross-section. In addition, pebble counts were completed at cross-sections 4 and 8, and photographs will be taken at each permanent cross-section annually.

Two monitoring reaches were established (Unnamed Tributary and Ripshin Branch) and will be used to evaluate stream pattern and longitudinal profile; locations are depicted on Figures 2, 2A,

and 2B (Appendix B). Longitudinal profile measurements include average water surface slopes, facet slopes, and pool-to-pool spacing. Seventeen permanent photo points were established throughout the restoration reach; locations are depicted on Figures 2, 2A, and 2B (Appendix B). In addition, visual stream morphology and stability assessments were completed in each of the two monitoring reaches to assess the channel bed, banks, and in-stream structures.

3.0 REFERENCES

- Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP). Unpublished. Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Projects, Version 1.4, dated 11/07/11. NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Available online at <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?p_1_id=1169848&folderId=2288101</u> <u>&name=DLFE-39268.pdf</u>.
- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Levels 1-2 Plot Sampling Only, Version 4.2. Available online at <u>http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm</u>.
- N.C. Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2007. Ripshin Branch Stream & Wetland Restoration Plan - Ashe County, NC.
- Rosgen. 1993. Applied Fluvial Geomorphology, Training Manual. River Short Course, Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.
- Weakley, Alan S. 2012. Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States. Available online at: <u>http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf</u> [September 28, 2012]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

APPENDIX A

PROJECT VICINITY MAP AND BACKGROUND TABLES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map

- Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
- Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
- Table 3. Project Contacts Table
- Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes



Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Mitigation Credits											
			Stream			Riparian V	Riparian Wetland				
Туре	R	estoration	Restorat	tion Equivalent	Res	toration	n Restoration Equivalent				
Totals		2106		518		3.8	1.99				
				Pr	ojects Compone	nts					
Project Component/ Reach ID		Station Range	Existing Linear Footage/ Acreage	Priority Approach	Restoration/ Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Linear Footage/ Acreage	Mitigation Ratio	Co	mment		
Reach 1A (Rip	shin Br.)	00+00- 08+00	800	Enhancement	ΕII	800	1:2.5				
Reach 1B (Rip	shin Br.)	08+00- 12+00	350	Priority II	R	400	1:1				
Reach 1C (Rip	shin Br.)	12+00- 14+85	285	Enhancement	t E II 285		1:2.5				
Reach 2A (Rip	A (Ripshin Br.) 14+85- 23+00 785 Priority II R		815	1:1							
Ripshin Br	anch		518	Preservation	Р	518	1:5				
Reach 3A	Reach 3A (UT)		132	Enhancement	ΕI	124	1:1.5				
Reach 3B	Reach 3B (UT)		688	Priority I	R	788	1:1				
Wetland	UT		0		R	1.5	1:1				
Wetland	UT		1.24		E	1.24	1:2				
Wetland Ripshi	n Branch		0		R	2.30 1:1					
Wetland Ripshi	n Branch		2.74		E	2.74	1:2				
				Con	nponent Summa	tion					
Restoration Level			Stream	n (linear footage)	Riparia	n Wetland (acres)	Buffer	(square footage)			
Restoration				2003		3.8					
Enhancement (Level I)				124							
Enhancement (Level II)				1085							
Preservation				518							
Wetland Enhancement						3.98					
	C	reation					0				
		Totals			3730		7.78				
Mitigation Units				2624 SMUs	24 SMUs 5.78 WMUs						

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting HistoryTate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 1 year 3 months Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 0 year 11 months Number of Reporting Years: 1

	Data Collection	Completion
Activity or Deliverable	Complete	or Delivery
Restoration Plan		March 2007
Final Design – Construction Plans		September 2009
Construction		August 2011
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area		August 2011
Permanent seed mix applied to entire project area		August 2011
Containerized and B&B plantings for entire reach		December 2011
As-built Construction Plans		December 2011
Year 1 Monitoring (2012)	October 2012	December 2012
Year 2 Monitoring (2013)		
Year 3 Monitoring (2014)		
Year 4 Monitoring (2015)		
Year 5 Monitoring (2016)		

Table 3. Project Contacts Table

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

	······································
Designer	Ecologic Associates, P.C.
	Greensboro, NC 27404
	Mark Taylor 336-382-9362
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanics Designs, Inc
	Willow Spring, NC 27529
	Lloyd Glover 919-422-3392
Planting and Seeding Contractor	Habitat Assessment Restoration Program
	Charlotte, NC 28262
Surveyor	Stewart Proctor
	Raleigh, NC 27603
	Herb Proctor 919-779-1855
Seed Mix Source	Green Resource
	Colfax, NC 27235
	336-855-6363
Years 1-5 Monitoring Performers	Axiom Environmental, Inc.
	218 Snow Avenue
	Raleigh, NC 27603
	Grant Lewis 919-215-1693

Project Information								
Project Name	Tate Farm (Ripshin E	Branch)						
Project County	Ashe							
Project Area (Acres)	61.92							
Project Coordinates (NAD83 2007)	1,037,279.65, 1,234,8	347,66						
Project Watershed Su	mmary Information							
Physiographic Region	Blue Ridge							
Ecoregion	Southern Crystalline	Ridges and Mountains						
Project River Basin	Upper New							
USGS 8-digit HUC	05050001							
USGS 14-digit HUC	05050001010050							
NCDWQ Subbasin	05-07-02							
Project Drainage Area (Sq. Mi.)	2.0							
Project Drainage Area Impervious Surface	<5%							
Watershed Type	Rural							
Reach Summar	y Information							
Parameters	Reach 1 (UT)	Reach 2 (Ripshin Br.)						
Restored/Enhanced Length (Linear Feet)	2300	912						
Drainage Area (Square Miles)	2.0	0.56						
NCDWQ Index Number	05-07							
NCDWQ Classification	C, NSW, Tr							
Valley Type/Morphological Description	II/BC4							
Dominant Soil Series	Colvard and Toxaway	У						
Drainage Class	Well and Poorly Drai	ned						
Soil Hydric Status	Nonhydric and Hydri	c						
Slope	0.02	0.02						
FEMA Classification	NA							
Native Vegetation Community	Montane Alluvial Fo	rest and Swamp Forest-						
	Bog Complex							
Percent Composition of Exotic Invasives	<5%	<5%						
Regulatory Co	onsiderations							
Regulation	Applicable							
Waters of the U.S. –Sections 404 and 401	Yes-Received Appropriate	priate Permits						
Endangered Species Act	No Effect							
Historic Preservation Act	No							
CZMA/CAMA	NA							
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	NA							
Essential Fisheries Habitat	Trout							

 Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes

 Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

APPENDIX B

VISUAL ASSESSMENT DATA

Figures 2 and 2A-2B. Current Conditions Plan View Tables 5A-5B. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Stream Fixed-Station Photographs Vegetation Monitoring Photographs







Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream Fixed-Station Photographs Taken October 2012



Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream Fixed-Station Photographs Taken October 2012 (continued)



Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream Fixed-Station Photographs Taken October 2012 (continued)



Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Vegetation Monitoring Photographs Taken October 2012



APPENDIX C

VEGETATION PLOT DATA

 Table 7.
 Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment

 Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata

 Table 9. Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species

Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean							
1	No								
2	Yes								
3	Yes	60%							
4	No								
5	Yes								

 Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment

 Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Report Prepared By	Corri Faquin
Date Prepared	10/19/2012 9:17
database name	Axiom-EEP-2012-A.mdb
database location	S:\CVS database\2012
computer name	KENAN
file size	57331712
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHI	EETS IN THIS DOCUMENT
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all
Proj, total stems	natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY	
Project Code	372
project Name	Tate Farm
Description	Stream and Wetland Restoration
River Basin	New
length(ft)	
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	5

Table 9: Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species Tate Farm - EEP Project Code 372

			Current Plot Data (MY1 2012)							Annual Means										
				Plot 1			Plot 2		Plot 3 Plot 4					Plot 5			MY1 (2012)			
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub													5	5	5	5	5	5
Aronia arbutifolia	Red Chokeberry	Shrub				2	2	2							3	3	3	5	5	5
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	1				3	3	3	5	5	5
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	Shrub	1	1	1	1	1	1				2	2	2				4	4	4
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3							8	8	8
llex opaca	American holly	Tree				2	2	2										2	2	2
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree							5	5	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	8	8	8
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Tree							1	1	1							1	1	1
Rhus	sumac	shrub									1									1
Viburnum dentatum	southern arrowwood	Shrub	3	3	3													3	3	3
		Stem count	7	7	7	8	8	8	10	10	11	3	3	3	13	13	13	41	41	42
		size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			5	
		size (ACRES)		0.025			0.025			0.025			0.025			0.025			0.124	
		Species count	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	2	2	2	4	4	4	9	9	10
	9	Stems per ACRE	283.3	283.3	283.3	323.7	323.7	323.7	404.7	404.7	445.2	121.4	121.4	121.4	526.1	526.1	526.1	331.8	331.8	339.9

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

PnoLS = Planted stems excluding livestakes

P-all = Planted stems including livestakes

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10% T = All planted and natural recruit stems

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

APPENDIX D

STREAM SURVEY DATA

Cross-section Plots

Longitudinal Profile Plots

Substrate Plots

Tables 10a-d. Baseline Stream Data Summary

Tables 11a-d. Monitoring Data

River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 1, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation	
0.00	58.97	
3.37	59.01	
5.88	58.94	
7.46	58.93	
8.28	58.89	
9.48	58.48	
11.12	57.97	
11.85	57.85	
12.66	57.79	
13.81	57.42	
15.01	57.27	
16.18	57.24	
17.94	57.17	
19.69	57.02	
21.09	57.51	
22.21	56.98	
24.49	57.37	
25.63	57.59	
26.90	57.93	
27.71	58.20	
29.20	58.41	
30.82	58.65	
32.35	59.12	
34.18	59.04	
36.47	59.14	
37.52	59.06	
39.33	59.05	

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	58.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	27.6
Bankfull Width:	23.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	60.8
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	19.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.4
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Stream Type B/C



River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 2, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation
0.00	64.24
2.25	64.37
4.02	64.32
5.59	64.34
6.56	63.99
8.04	64.29
9.42	60.94
10.87	61.27
12.18	61.54
13.44	61.86
14.84	61.87
15.75	62.23
16.30	62.40
17.90	62.65
20.04	62.83
22.3	62.97
24.2	63.16
26.4	63.56
29.12	63.92
30.90	64.22
32.01	64.47
33.50	64.62
35.39	64.64

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	64.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	36.1
Bankfull Width:	23.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-



Ripshin Branch, XS - 2, Pool Elevation (feet) **---** Bankfull --- Flood Prone Area MY-01 10/16/12 Station (feet)

River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 3, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation	
0.00	66.18	
3.72	66.09	
5.36	65.77	
6.84	65.46	
8.40	65.23	
10.20	65.03	
11.96	64.92	
13.10	64.66	
13.96	64.39	
14.82	64.20	
16.29	63.91	
17.79	63.91	
19.60	64.10	
21.52	63.89	
22.7	63.96	
24.0	63.91	
25.6	64.27	
26.6	64.57	
27.5	64.66	
29.0	64.99	
30.1	65.40	
31.4	65.41	
32.4	66.13	
34.3	66.27	
36.0	66.26	
37.3	66.22	
38.7	66.19	

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	66.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	37.4
Bankfull Width:	28.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	68.1
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
W / D Ratio:	21.1
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Ripshin Branch, XS - 3, Riffle

River Basin:	Upper New	
Watershed:	Tate Farm	
XS ID	XS - 4, Riffle	
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.6	
Date:	10/16/2012	
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan	

Station	Elevation
0.00	77.63
6.66	77.91
8.88	77.96
10.34	77.90
11.96	77.66
13.45	77.09
15.37	76.63
16.80	76.40
18.97	76.01
20.98	75.95
22.30	76.14
23.35	75.71
24.51	75.83
25.21	76.33
26.9	76.23
27.9	76.62
29.0	77.04
30.7	77.31
32.4	77.40
33.9	77.83
36.6	77.74
39.8	77.79
43.1	77.76

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	77.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	23.5
Bankfull Width:	21.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	79.7
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.1
W / D Ratio:	19.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.7
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Ripshin Branch, XS - 4, Riffle

River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 5, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation	
0.0	83.1	
5.9	83.0	
9.5	83.0	
12.6	82.9	
14.4	82.7	
18.1	82.2	
20.2	81.9	
22.0	81.6	
23.0	81.5	
24.3	81.4	
25.7	81.1	
26.7	81.0	
27.8	81.3	
28.6	81.64	
30.3	82.03	
32.3	82.49	
33.4	82.72	
35.9	83.10	
39.7	83.15	
45.3	83.09	
47.4	83.45	
49.2	84.11	
50.9	85.01	
51.9	85.23	
53.5	84.92	
55.4	84.46	

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	82.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	19.2
Bankfull Width:	21.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	84.8
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	24.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.7
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Ripshin Branch, XS - 5, Riffle Elevation (feet) **- - - -** Bankfull - MY-01 10/16/12 Station (feet)

River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 6, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation	
0.0	80.3	
3.2	80.3	
6.0	80.3	
7.3	80.2	
9.2	80.0	
9.7	79.8	
10.4	79.5	
12.0	79.0	
13.5	78.8	
14.7	78.5	
15.6	78.5	
16.2	78.3	
17.5	78.4	
18.3	78.28	
19.1	78.55	
19.8	78.72	
20.9	78.81	
21.8	79.15	
23.0	79.29	
23.7	79.58	
25.0	79.81	
26.9	80.09	
29.6	80.16	
32.9	80.66	
	ļ	
	ļ	
	1	

5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	80.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	17.4
Bankfull Width:	17.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	81.7
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
W / D Ratio:	17.4
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.6
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



Unamed Tributary, XS - 6, Riffle

River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 7, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

Station	Elevation	
0.0	87.8	
2.4	87.8	
3.9	87.6	
4.8	87.3	
6.3	84.9	
7.4	85.1	
8.1	85.2	
9.0	85.7	
9.7	85.8	
10.1	86.0	
11.1	86.5	
12.8	86.7	
15.2	86.8	
17.9	87.00	
20.4	87.26	
23.6	87.60	

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	87.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	14.5
Bankfull Width:	16.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-



Stream Type



River Basin:	Upper New
Watershed:	Tate Farm
XS ID	XS - 8, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.6
Date:	10/16/2012
Field Crew:	Perkinson, Jernigan

SUMMARY DATA Bankfull Elevation: Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area: Bankfull Width:	94.5
Bankfull Elevation: Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area: Bankfull Width:	94.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area: Bankfull Width:	
Bankfull Width:	8.9
	17.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	95.7
Flood Prone Width:	>80
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	34.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.6
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0





Elevation Station 94.7 0.0

94.5

94.1

93.9

93.8

93.8

94.0

94.1

94.0

93.8

93.6

93.4

93.3

93.48

93.74

94.09

94.22

94.46

94.67

2.5 4.9

6.6

7.9

9.1

11.0

12.1

12.6

13.5

14.4

14.8

15.4

16.0

16.6

17.7

19.1

20.2 22.9

Project Name Reach	Tate Farm - Profile Unnamed Tributar	e y Station 00+00 - 08+0)0									•		
Feature	Profile													
Date	10/10/12													
Crew	Perkinson, Jernigar	1										1		
Station	2012 Year 1 Monitoring \Su Bed Elevation	rvey Water Elevation	Station	2013 Year 2 Monitoring \& Bed Elevation	Survey Water Elevation	Station	2014 ۷ear 3 Monitoring \% Bed Elevation	Survey Water Elevation	Station	2015 Year 4 Monitoring \ Bed Elevation	Survey Water Elevation	Station	2016 Year 5 Monitoring Bed Elevation	g \Survey Water Elevation
0.0	77.7	78.3												
9.1	78.2	78.5												
13.5	78.0	78.5												
17.5	77.9	78.5												
22.1	78.4	78.6												
46.8	78.7	79.0												
53.7	77.9	79.0												
59.5	78.1	79.0												
68.7	78.9	79.3												
73.4	78.7	79.3												
75.9	79.6	79.8												
89.0	80.1	80.1												
95.2	80.0	80.2												
101.7	79.2	80.3												
106.9	78.8	80.3												
110.6	79.1	80.3												
118.9	79.4	80.3												
123.5	80.2	80.5												
134.6	80.8	81.0												
145.9	80.9	81.4												
151.9	80.0	81.4												
154.8	79.7	81.3												
159.8	80.3	81.4												
162.8	81.0	81.4												
1/2.3	81.6	81.8												
185.5	81.9	82.2												
195.8	82.2	82.5												





Project Name	Tate Farm - Profile	;										-		
Reach	Ripshin Branch Sta	ntion 00+00 - 10+00												
eature	Profile													
Date	10/10/12													
Crew	Perkinson, Jernigar	1												
	2012			2013			2014			2015			2016	
	Year 1 Monitoring \Sur	rvey	1	Year 2 Monitoring \	Survey		Year 3 Monitoring \S	Survey	1	Year 4 Monitoring \	Survey		Year 5 Monitoring	g \Survey
Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation
0.0	56.0	56.9												
2.7	56.5	57.2												
25.9	57.5	57.8												
30.5	57.2	57.8												
35.5	57.6	58.3												
45.5	58.4	58.8												
56.9	58.3	59.0												
73.5	58.0	58.9												
85.6	57.9	59.0												
99.7	58.5	58.9												
110.4	58.4	59.0												
119.6	57.3	59.1												
125.2	57.1	59.1												
131.6	57.6	59.1												
135.8	57.9	59.0												
150.3	58.7	59.4												
166.7	59.9	60.2												
181.1	60.3	60.7												
187.6	59.7	60.7												
197.8	59.9	60.6												
207.7	60.2	60.9												
226.2	60.9	61.5												
233.5	60.6	61.6												
242.0	61.3	61.7												
252.5	61.2	61.8												
270.3	61.7	62.2												
288.0	61.0	62.2												
298.4	61.2	62.2												





	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Avg. Water Surface Slope	0.0182				
Riffle Length	35				
Avg. Riffle Slope	0.0247				
Pool Length	28				
Pool to Pool Spacing	55.0				

800	900	1000

roject Name	Tate Farm - Profile											-		
eacn	Ripsnin Branch Sta Drafile	10+00 - 15+00												
eature	10/10/12													
ale	10/10/12 Parkinson Jarniga													
Iew	Ferkinson, Jeringa	1				1						r		
	2012			2013			2014			2015			2016	
	Year 1 Monitoring \Su	rvev		Year 2 Monitorin	g \Survey		Year 3 Monitoring	Survey		Year 4 Monitoring	Survey		Year 5 Monitori	ng \Survey
Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevatio	n Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Water Elevation
999.9	74.6	75.4												
1006.2	74.7	75.4												
1009.5	74.7	75.4												
1012.2	75.2	75.5												
1021.1	75.3	75.8												
1031.3	75.4	76.0												
1035.0	75.4	75.9												
1046.3	75.2	76.0												
1049.5	75.6	76.2												
1065.4	76.5	76.8												
1069.0	75.9	76.8												
1079.6	75.8	76.9												
1084.3	76.3	77.0												
1093.0	76.3	77.1												
1099.3	77.0	77.4												
1119.9	77.4	78.1												
1136.3	77.3	78.1												
1149.4	76.9	78.1												
1160.3	77.4	78.1												
1167.0	77.1	78.1												
1177.9	77.1	78.3												
1185.0	78.3	78.8												
1200.8	/8.8	/9.4												
1215.9	79.5	/9.8												
1225.6	/8./	/9.8												
1231.2	/8.8	/9.8												
1238.9	78.9	/9.8												
1246.5	79.6	80 1				1			1					



Water Surface Slope Length Riffle Slope Length to Pool Spacing	2012 0.0182 35 0.0247 28 55.0	2013	2014	2015	2010	
Length to Pool Spacing	28 55.0					

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
ater Surface Slope	0.0182				
ength	35				
iffle Slope	0.0247				
ength	28				
Pool Spacing	55.0				

1500
1





Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Ripshin Branch)Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372

Parameter	Gauge		Regional C	urve		Pre-Exi	isting C	ondition	I		Reference	e Reach(es) Data			Design			Monit	oring Ba	seline	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Max	Med	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD
BF Width (ft)				•	21.0			24.0		14.4			17.1		23.0	25.0						
Floodprone Width (ft)					35			60		27			95		25	80						1
BF Mean Depth (ft)					1.2			1.3		1.2			1.3		1.3	1.4						1
BF Max Depth (ft)							1.9			1.7			1.9		2.7	2.9						
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)					26.0			29.0		17.6			20.7		30.0	35.0						
Width/Depth Ratio					18.5			21.0		11.8			13.2		17.0	18.0						
Entrenchment Ratio					1.9			2.6		1.6			6.6		1.5	2.0						1
Bank Height Ratio							1.8					1.2			1.0	1.2						1
Profile		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		•							•										-
Riffle length (ft)																						1
Riffle slope (ft/ft)							0.0040			0.0170			0.0420				0.0400					
Pool length (ft)				9.0			43.0		11.0			18.7		20.0	70.0						1	
Pool Max depth (ft)						3.6			0.9			2.6		3.5	3.6						1	
Pool spacing (ft)				33.0			253.0		25.7			69.3		80.0	130.0						1	
Pattern																						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					7			80		20			41.7		29	150						1
Radius of Curvature (ft)					10			160		25.3			185		55	135						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					0.4			1		1.8			5.9		3	4.2						1
Meander Wavelength (ft)					30			240		97.5			140		85	365						
Meander Width ratio					0.8			2.1		6.8			8		4.4	6.6						
Transport parameters					-				•			-							•			
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lbs/ft ²																						
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																						
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²																						
Additional Reach Parameters																						
Rosgen Classification]	B4/F4/C	4				B4/C4				B4/C4						
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							5.5									4.8 - 5						
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							158															
Valley Length (ft)																						
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)															2300							
Sinuosity						1.2				1	.1 - 1.2				1.1 - 1.3							
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)					0.	.018-0.0	24			0.0	12 - 0.01	9			0.02							
BF slope (ft/ft)																						
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)					I																	
% of Reach with Eroding Banks					 																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric				ł					 												_	
Biological or Other					I					1												

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions) Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Ripshin Branch

Parameter		Pre-Exist	ing Conditi	on			Referen	ce Reach(es) Data			Design		Monito	ring I	Baseline	
Ri%/RU%P%G%/S%																	
SC%/SA%/G%/C%/B%BE%																	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95		0.2-0.3	4.0-12.0				0.5	3.0-5.0									
Entrainment Class <1.5/1.5-1.99/2.0-4.9/5.0-																	
Incision Class <1.2/1.2-1.49/1.5-1.99/>2.0																	

Table 10c. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Unnamed Tributary)Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372

Parameter	Gauge		Regional C	urve		Pre-Exi	isting Co	ondition			Reference	e Reach(e	es) Data			Design			Monit	oring Ba	seline	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Max	Med	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD
BF Width (ft)				•			18.0					14.4					16.0					
Floodprone Width (ft)							28					95			16	80	50					
BF Mean Depth (ft)							0.9					1.2					0.9					
BF Max Depth (ft)							1.4					1.7			1.3	1.4						
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)							16.3					17.6					14.0					
Width/Depth Ratio							21.8					11.8					18.0					
Entrenchment Ratio							1.6					6.6			1.0	2.5						
Bank Height Ratio							2.3					1.2					1.0					
Profile										<u>.</u>												
Riffle length (ft)																						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)							0.0400					0.0170					0.0400					
Pool length (ft)					3.6			19.9				18.7					25.0					
Pool Max depth (ft)							1.4					2.6					1.9					
Pool spacing (ft)					11.0		80.0					69.0			50.0	90.0	60.0					
Pattern																						
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					12			33				41.7			35	100						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					2.5			25				25.3			40	200						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							0.8					1.8			3.4	14						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					50			170				97.5			120	160						
Meander Width ratio							4.9					2.9			8.3	8.8						
Transport parameters					-													-				
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lbs/ft ²																						
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																						
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m ²																						
Additional Reach Parameters																						
Rosgen Classification							B4/F4					C4				B4/C4						
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							5.1									4.5						
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							83.07															
Valley Length (ft)																						
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																912						
Sinuosity							1.2					1.2				1.0-1.2						
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)							0.02					0.012				0.02						
BF slope (ft/ft)																						
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)					 					ļ												
% of Reach with Eroding Banks					<u> </u>																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric					 																	
Biological or Other					1					I												

Table 10d. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Unnamed Tributary

Parameter			Pre-Exist	ing Condit	ion			Refere	ice Reach	(es) Data			Design			Μ	onito	ring Basel	ine	
Ri%/RU%P%G%/S%																				
SC%/SA%/G%/C%/B%BE%																				
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95	0.2	4.8	12.8	44.2	78.5	8.0	11.8	18.4	73.0	100.0										
Entrainment Class <1.5/1.5-1.99/2.0-4.9/5.0-																				
Incision Class <1.2/1.2-1.49/1.5-1.99/>2.0																				

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Sections) Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Ripshin Branch

Tate Farm (Ripsini Dranch) - EET 1	Tojett Nu	mber 372.	- Kipsiini	Dranth																															
			Ci	ross Sectio	on 1					Cı	oss Sectio	on 2					Cr	oss Sectio	on 3					Cr	oss Section	n 4					Cr	oss Sectio	n 5		
Parameter				Riffle							Pool							Riffle							Riffle							Riffle			
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+
BF Width (ft)		23.4							23.2							28.1							21.4							21.7					
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)		80.0							NA							80.0							80.0							80.0					
BF Mean Depth (ft)		1.2							1.6							1.3							1.1							0.9					
BF Max Depth (ft)		1.9							3.4							2.1							2.0							1.9					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		27.6							36.1							37.4							23.5							19.2					
Width/Depth Ratio		19.8							NA							21.1							19.5							24.5					
Entrenchment Ratio		3.4							NA							2.8							3.7							3.7					
Bank Height Ratio		1.0							1.0							1.0							1.0							1.0					
d50 (mm)									79.2																										

Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Ripshin Branch

Parameter			Baseline					MY-1					MY-2					MY-3					MY-4					MY-5		
											-					-														
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD
BF Width (ft)			1	1		21.4	23.7	22.6	28.1	3.1					1		1		1					1	1		1	1	ا ا	
Floodprone Width (ft)								80																						
BF Mean Depth (ft)						0.9	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.2																		1	1	
BF Max Depth (ft)						1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	0.1																		1	1	
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)						19.2	26.9	25.6	37.4	7.8																				
Width/Depth Ratio						19.5	21.2	20.6	24.1	2.2																				
Entrenchment Ratio	D					2.8	3.4	3.6	3.7	0.4																				
Bank Height Ratio								1.0																					'	
Profile -Downstream																														
Riffle length (ft))					5.3	35.1	26.3	107.8	28.6																				
Riffle slope (ft/ft)						0.0059	0.0247	0.0260	0.0445	0.0105																				
Pool length (ft)						8.6	27.7	24.7	77.0	16.2																			<u> </u>	
Pool Max depth (ft)								3.4																					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pool spacing (ft)						8.6	55.4	43.8	160.7	37.0																			<u> </u>	
Pattern	_					-					-					-					-									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																													/	
Radius of Curvature (ft))																													
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																														
Meander Wavelength (ft																														
Meander Width ratio																														
Additional Reach Parameters	i —					1		D/C /			1					1					1									
Rosgen Classification								B/C-type																		┣───				
Channel Thalweg Length (It								1444													-					┣───				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)								1.2																		┣──				
	,							0.0182																		1				
BF slope (ft/ft)																														
Ri%/RU%P%G%/S%																													, T	
SC%/SA%/G%/C%/B%BE%																													,	
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95																													,	
% of Reach with Eroding Banks								0																			-			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	2					1					1					1														
Biological or Other																1										1				

Table 11c. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Sections)

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Unnamed Tributary

			Cr	oss Sectio	n 6					Cr	oss Sectio	n 7					Cr	oss Sectio	n 8		
Parameter				Riffle							Pool							Riffle			
Dimension	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY5+
BF Width (ft)		17.4							16.0							17.4					
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)		80.0							NA							80.0					
BF Mean Depth (ft)		1.0							0.9							0.5					
BF Max Depth (ft)		1.7							2.4							1.2					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft)		17.4							14.5							8.9					
Width/Depth Ratio		17.4							NA							34.0					
Entrenchment Ratio		4.6							NA							4.6					
Bank Height Ratio		1.0							1.0							1.0					
d50 (mm)																1.0					

Table 11d. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) - EEP Project Number 372 - Unnamed Tributary

Parameter			Baseline					MY-1					MY-2					MY-3					MY-4	/IY-4					MY-5		
											•					•					•										
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Med	Max	SD	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD
BF Width (ft)								17.4																					[
Floodprone Width (ft)								80																					[
BF Mean Depth (ft)						0.5	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.4																		(í		
BF Max Depth (ft)						1.2	1.5	1.5	1.7	0.4																		(i	Í		-
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft)						8.9	13.2	13.2	17.4	6.0																			i i		
Width/Depth Ratio						17.4	26.1	26.1	34.8	12.3																			[
Entrenchment Ratio								4.6																				(í		
Bank Height Ratio								1.0																				(i	Í		-
Profile - Upstream		-	-												-																
Riffle length (ft)						3.9	29.7	27.3	65	17.9																			í		
Riffle slope (ft/ft						0.0064	0.0235	0.0233	0.0436	0.0108																					
Pool length (ft)						7.1	20.8	19.0	43.2	10.8																					
Pool Max depth (ft)								2.4																							
Pool spacing (ft)						7.1	43.6	39.3	103.9	28.7																					
Pattern	-										-					-					-										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																															
Radius of Curvature (ft)																															
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																															
Meander Wavelength (ft)																															
Meander Width ratio																															
Additional Reach Parameters																•															
Rosgen Classification								B/C-type																							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft								799																							
Sinuosity								1.2																							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft								0.0201																							
BF slope (ft/ft)																															
Ri%/RU%P%G%/S%																															
SC%/SA%/G%/C%/B%BE%																													1		
d16/d35/d50/d84/d95																															
% of Reach with Eroding Banks								19																							
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																															
Biological or Other	ogical or Other																												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

APPENDIX E

HYDROLOGY DATA

 Table 12.
 Verification of Bankfull Events

Table 13. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events

Date of Data CollectionDate of Occurrence		Method	Photo (if available)
NA	NA	No bankfull events were observed during the Year 1 (2012) monitoring period.	

Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Table 13. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment Summary Tate Farm (Ripshin Branch) Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (EEP Project Number 372)

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)						
	Year 1 (2012)*	Year 2 (2013)	Year 3 (2014)	Year 4 (2015)	Year 5 (2016)		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							

* Groundwater Gauges were installed in October 2012; therefore, groundwater monitoring will be initiated during the Year 2 (2013) monitoring year.