YEAR 1 MONITORING REPORT

UT MILLERS CREEK
Duplin County, North Carolina
DMS Project ID No. 95719, Contract No. 5000, USACE Action ID No. SAW-2013-00386
DWR Project No. 13-0187

Prepared for:

NCDEQ Division of Mitigation Services (DMS)
217 West Jones St., Suite 3000A
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Construction Completed: February 2015
Morphology Data Collected: October 19, 2015
Vegetation Data Collected: September 16, 2015
Submitted: January 2016

Prepared by:

ICA Engineering, Inc.
5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
919.851.6066
919.851.6846 (fax)

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DOCUMENT CONTAINED HEREIN, UT MILLERS CREEK YEAR 1 MONITORING REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION.

SIGNED SEALED, AND DATED THIS 29TH DAY OF JANUARY 2016.

Chris L. Smith, PE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

The following report summarizes the vegetation establishment, stream stability, and wetland hydrology for Year 1 monitoring for the UT Millers Creek Site (hereafter referred to as the "Site") in Duplin County, North Carolina.

1.1 Goals and Objectives

The primary goals of the UT Millers Creek stream and wetland mitigation project focus on:

- Reducing stressors to water quality
- Providing and enhancing flood attenuation
- Restoring and enhancing aquatic, semi-aquatic and riparian habitat, and
- Restoring and enhancing habitat connectivity with adjacent natural habitats.

The following objectives accomplish the goals listed above:

1. Removing stressors to water quality and increasing attenuation is directly tied to:
    a. Restoration of the formerly deeply incised and entrenched UT as a Priority I (PI) restoration where bankfull and larger flows access the historic floodplain allowing nutrients, sedimentation, trash and debris from upstream urban runoff to settle from floodwaters.
    b. Restoration of the UT as PI restoration allows the Site to mitigate flood flows by reconnecting bankfull and higher flows to its historic floodplain.
    c. Restoration of the riparian buffers and wetlands adjacent to the UT (i.e. restoration of an existing pond and ditch back to riparian wetlands) allows floodwaters to attenuate, in turn reducing stressors from upstream impacts.
    d. Restoration of wetland hydrology within the riparian buffer supports hydrophytic vegetation, which assists in the uptake, storage and fixation of nutrients and sedimentation from overbank flows. Adjacent low quality pine plantations were removed and planted with native hydrophytic vegetation.
2. Restoring and enhancing aquatic, semi-aquatic and terrestrial habitat is directly tied to:
    a. Introduction of woody materials such as planted vegetation, log sills, soil lifts and toe wood to the restored channel. Woody materials will promote shading, bed form diversity and foraging opportunities for aquatic organisms, benthic macroinvertebrates, and fish.
    b. Restoration of native vegetation to the stream channel banks and the adjacent riparian corridor has diversified flora and provides an abundance of available foraging and cover habitat for amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds.
    c. Restoration of wetland hydrology and introducing floodwaters back to the historic floodplain provides a diversity of habitats for semi-aquatic flora and fauna that may have not been seen on the Site since before anthropogenic disturbances.
3. Habitat restoration and connectivity can be directly tied to:
    a. The removal of existing pine plantations and replanting of native vegetation.
    b. The restored community ensures a protected habitat corridor between the Site and the downstream mature riparian buffers and upland habitats.

1.2 Success Criteria

Monitoring of restoration efforts will be performed until success criteria are fulfilled. Monitoring includes stream channel/hydraulics, wetland hydrology, and vegetation. In general, the restoration success criteria, and required remediation actions, are based on the Stream Mitigation Guidelines (USACE et al. 2003) and the Ecosystem Enhancement Program Monitoring Requirements and Performance Standards for stream and/or Wetland Mitigation (NCEEP 2011). Project success criteria are further detailed in the Baseline Monitoring Document & As-Built Baseline Report (ICA 2015).

1.3 Background Summary

The North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Department of Mitigation Services (DMS) contracted ICA Engineering, Inc. (ICA) to restore 2,625 linear feet of the Unnamed Tributary to Millers Creek (UT) and 4.5 acres of riparian wetlands within the Site to assist in fulfilling stream mitigation goals in the watershed (Table 1 and Table 4). The Site is located approximately one-half (0.5) mile west of Magnolia in Duplin County, North Carolina and contains an unnamed tributary to Millers Creek and associated restored riparian wetlands (Figure 1). The Site is located within DMS Targeted Local Watershed Catalogue Unit (CU) 03030006. The Site is comprised of one property owned by William Jeffrey Hatcher and wife Susan King Hatcher (PIN # 247100987405). Additional information concerning project history is presented in Table 2.

1.4 Vegetation

Bare root plantings are surviving well across the site. Vegetation plots are averaging 769 planted stems per acre, exceeding Year 3 monitoring success criteria of 320 stems per acre or greater. All vegetation plots are also exceeding success criteria individually with average planted stem counts per plot ranging from 567 to 1,012 stems per acre.

Areas in the proximity of Plots 4, 5, 7, and 8 have experienced periods of prolonged inundation and exhibit thin to no herbaceous coverage; however, planted stems appear to be growing well in these areas. Morning glory (Ipomoea spp.) was observed within and adjacent to Plot 1. The presence of morning glory is not currently affecting the growth and survivorship of the planted stems, but this area (and other areas in which morning glory may be observed in the future) will need to be closely monitored. Its presence has the potential to adversely affect growth and survivorship of planted stems.

1.5 Stream Stability

UT Millers Creek appears to be stable and functioning as designed. Cross section data shows little to no change in dimension compared to baseline. Stream pattern also remains unchanged. Small gullies have formed along the stream bank at three locations (Sta. 18+45, 33+00, and 34+80) as a result of flood waters reentering the channel combined with poor establishment of stream bank vegetation in those areas.

Woody vegetation is establishing well along the majority of steam banks throughout the site and common rush (*Juncus effusus*) is providing protection along the toe of bank in many areas. The site has a history of beaver activity but no beavers were observed during Year 1 monitoring.

The site has experienced several bankfull flows throughout the monitoring period. Crest gauges installed on-site were inspected on July 14, 2015 and October 19, 2015. The crest gauges revealed that a bankfull event occurred at least twice during Year 1 monitoring (Table 11). Additional overbank evidence includes debris lines, sediment deposits, and vegetation bent in the downstream direction. Evidence of bankfull events can be found in Appendix E.

1.6 Wetlands

The UT Millers site exhibits a range of hydrologic conditions characteristic of small stream swamp wetland community types of the inner Coastal Plain of North Carolina. Several of the groundwater gauges documented elevated groundwater levels at or near the soil surface for extended periods of time during the growing season subsequent to the completion of the restoration work. In addition, portions of the site exhibited intermittent to prolonged periods of surface inundation. Refer to the attached gauge hydrographs depicting recorded groundwater and surface water levels from February 1 through November 30 (Appendix E). Note that the hydrographs also display a 90-day running total for the 30th and 70th rainfall percentiles based upon long term (i.e. 30 year) climatic data. Precipitation for the monitoring period was generally characterized as normal for the early and middle portions of the growing season with distinct periods of above normal rainfall during the latter portion of the growing season (October and November). Refer to the attached precipitation summary by month (Table 13).

Based upon the Final Mitigation Plan, the hydrologic criteria for restored wetlands at the Site are as follows (based upon the corresponding landscape position and wetland community type):

a. For the riparian bottomland hardwood forest community, the hydrologic criterion will be the establishment of a static water table at, or within, 12 inches of the soil surface for a minimum of 12.5 percent of the growing season, equivalent to 38 days based upon hydrologic monitoring undertaken from Feb 1st through Nov 30th of each monitoring year.
b. For the headwater riparian community (zero-order geomorphic position), the hydrologic criterion will be the establishment of a static water table at, or within, 12 inches of the soil surface for a minimum of 10 percent of the growing season, equivalent to 30 days based upon hydrologic monitoring undertaken from Feb 1st through Nov 30th of each monitoring year.

All of the groundwater gauges located on the mitigation site exhibit hydrology indicative of jurisdictional wetlands (i.e. hydroperiods greater than 5% of the growing season). However, one gauge (Gauge #3) did not meet the 12.5% hydrologic criterion for the riparian bottomland hardwood forest community type. This gauge exhibited two periods of prolonged wetland hydrology: (1) a 22-day period from March 14 through April 4 during normal rainfall conditions; and (2) a 30-day period in November during above normal rainfall conditions. Of the 303 days of monitoring, Gauge #3 exhibited groundwater levels within 12 inches of the soil surface for 131 days (equivalent to 43% of the monitoring period). In addition, there were several occurrences of the gauge exhibiting surface inundation during the growing season. Based upon the hydrograph, it is evident that the gauge has a more pronounced groundwater discharge than other gauges on the site. It is believed that this is largely a result of its proximity to the adjacent

restored stream channel. During periods of low flow or no flow, groundwater from the adjacent floodplain is discharged to the channel more rapidly.

The summary of hydroperiods for each gauge is presented in Table 12 (Appendix E). (Note that the gauge locations are depicted in the CCPV (Appendix B).

2.0 METHODOLOGY

Year 1 monitoring surveys were completed using a Total Station. Each cross section was marked with a rebar monument at their beginning and ending points. The rebar has been located vertically and horizontally in NAD 83-State Plane. Surveying these monuments throughout the Site ensured proper orientation. The survey data was imported into MicroStation for verification. RIVERMorph and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources' "The Reference Reach Spreadsheet Version 4.3L" were used to analyze cross section data (Mecklenburg 2006). Tables and figures were created using Microsoft Excel. The channel is entirely a sand bed system; therefore, a pebble count was not conducted.

Vegetation monitoring was completed using CVS level II methods, for nine, 100 square meter vegetation plots (Lee et al. 2006). The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States (Weakley 2011).

Groundwater hydrology was monitored using six automated gauges (RDS, Inc. WM-20s) located within the riparian wetland restoration areas. Gauges were installed in accordance with installation methods outlined in the Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program (WRAP) Technical Note 00-02 (Sprecher, 2000). Water levels were recorded once daily and the data was downloaded every two months.

3.0 REFERENCES

Lee, Michael T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0 (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm).

Mecklenburg, Dan. 2006. The Reference Reach Spreadsheet Version 4.3L. 2006. Ohio Department of Natural Resources. Division of Soil and Water. (http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/tabid/9188/default.aspx)

Sprecher, S. W. (2000). "Installing Monitoring Wells/Piezometers in Wetlands," ERDC TN-WRAP-00-02, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

Weakley, Alan S. 2011. Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States (online). Available: http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/FloraArchives/WeakleyFlora_2011-May-nav.pdf [May 15, 2011]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

Vicinity Map

UT to Millers Creek Mitigation Site, Duplin County, NC

0 750 1,500 3,000 4,500 6,000 Feet

1 inch = 2,000 feet

Figure 1

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
UT to the Millers Creek, Duplin County
DMS Project ID No. 95719

Mitigation Credits									
	Stream (SMU)		Riparian Wetland (WMU)		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
Type	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE	--	--	--
Totals	2,709		8.00						

Project Components							
Project Component or Reach ID	Stationing/ Location	Existing Footage/ Acreage	Approach (PI, PII, etc.)	Restoration or Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio	SMU or WMU
UT Millers Creek	10+13 – 37+22	2,100	PI	Restoration	2,709	1:1	2,709
Drained Wetland (Pines)	NA	5.00	NA	Restoration	5.00	1:1	5.00
Drained Wetland (Mature Woods)	NA	2.55	NA	Restoration	2.55	1.25:1	2.04
Drained Wetland (Berm/Spoil Along UT)	NA	0.45	NA	Restoration	0.45	1:1	0.45
Pond	NA	0.77	NA	Restoration	0.77	1.5:1	0.51
TOTAL	NA	2,100/8.77	PI/NA	Restoration	2,709/8.77	1 – 1.5:1	2,709/8.00

Component Summation						
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)	Riparian Wetland (acres)		Non-Riparian Wetland (acres)	Buffer (square feet)	Upland (acres)
		Riverine	Non-Riverine			
Restoration	2,709	8.77				

BMP Elements			
Element	Location	Purpose/Function	Notes
Forested Buffer	UT Millers buffer	Buffer to protect stream	Filter nutrients and provide cover, foraging areas, habitat, woody debris, and wildlife

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History UT to Millers Creek (DMS Project ID No. 95719)		
Activity or Report	**Data Collection Complete**	**Completion or Delivery**
Restoration Plan	Aug-13	Sep-14
Final Design – Construction Plans	Sep-14	Sep-14
Construction	3-Nov-14	23-Jan-15
Temporary S&E Mix Applied to Entire Project Area	---	23-Jan-15
Permanent Seed Mix Applied to Entire Project Area	---	23-Jan-15
Bare Root, Containerized, and B&B plantings for Entire Project Area	---	10-Mar-15
Mitigation Plan/As-built (Year 0 Monitoring-Baseline)	Mar-15	Apr-15
Year 1 Monitoring	Oct-15	Dec-15
Year 2 Monitoring		
Year 3 Monitoring		
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

Table 3. Project Contacts Table UT to Millers Creek (DMS Project ID No. 95719)	
Designer Primary project design POC	HDR | ICA Engineering 5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 Kevin Williams (919) 851-6066
Construction Contractor Construction Contractor POC	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc. 126 Circle G Lane Willow Spring, NC 27592 Lloyd Glover (919) 639-6132
Planting Contractor Planting Contractor POC	River Works, Inc. 6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Phillip Todd (919) 582-3574
Seeding Contractor Seeding Contractor POC	Land Mechanic Designs, Inc. 126 Circle G Lane Willow Spring, NC 27592 Lloyd Glover (919) 639-6132
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resources – Triangle Office
Nursery Stock Suppliers	1) ArborGen 2) Mellow Marsh Farm, Inc. 3) Foggy Mountain Nursery (live stakes)
Monitoring Performers	HDR | ICA Engineering 5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 William Wollman (919) 801-6251
Stream Monitoring POC	HDR | ICA Engineering 5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 William Wollman (919) 801-6251
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Land Management Group, Inc 3805 Wrightsville Avenue, Suite 15 Wilmington, NC 28403 Kim Williams (910) 452-0001 x 1908

Table 4. Project Information UT to Millers Creek (DMS Project ID No. 95719)	
Project Information	
Project Name	UT to Millers Creek Stream and Wetland Mitigation Site
Project County	Duplin
Project Area (acres)	15.944 AC
Project Coordinates	34.894467,-78.067625
Project Watershed Summary Information	
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain
Ecoregion	Southeastern Plains
Project River Basin	Cape Fear
USGS 8-digit HUC	3030006
USGS 14-digit HUC	3030006110040
NCDWQ Subbasin	03-06-19
Project Drainage Area	250 AC
Watershed Land Use	Cultivated, Southern Yellow Pine, Bottomland Forest / Hardwood Swamps

Reach Summary Information	
Parameters	**UT to Millers Creek**
Restored length	2,709 linear feet
Drainage Area	250 AC.
NCDWQ Index Number	36
NCDWQ Classification	C, Sw
Valley Type/Morphological Description	X/Existing G/5/Restored E5
Dominant Soil Series	Bibb sandy loam and Torhunta fine sandy loam (USDA/NRCS records). Cape Fear, Rains, Plummer, Rutlege and Lynn Haven Soil series (additional series mapped by LMG)
Drainage Class	Poorly and very poorly
Soil Hydric Status	Bibb sandy loam (hydric) Torhunta mucky fine sandy loam (hydric)
Slope	0.0016
FEMA Classification	Zone X
Native Vegetation Community	Mixed stand of hardwoods and pine
Percent Composition of Exotic Invasives	<5%

Wetland Summary Information			
Parameters	**Wetland 1**	**Wetland 2**	**Wetland 3**
Size of Wetland (acres)	0.21	0.12	0.59
Wetland Type (non-riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine	Riparian Non-Riverine	Riparian Non-Riverine	Riparian Non-Riverine
Mapped Soil Series	BbA	ToA	BnB
Drainage class	Poorly Drained	Very Poorly Drained	Moderately Well Drained
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric	Hydric	Partially Hydric
Source of Hydrology	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater
Hydrologic Impairment	Stream Incision	Stream Incision	Stream Incision/Beavers
Native vegetation community	Forested	Forested	Emergent
Percent composition of exotic invasion vegetation	0	0	0

Regulatory Considerations			
Regulation	**Applicable**	**Resolved**	**Supporting Documentation**
Waters of the U.S. –Sections 404 and 401	Yes	Yes	Restoration Plan/NW 27
Endangered Species Act	No	Yes	NCNHP/USFWS
Historic Preservation Act	No	Yes	NCSHPO
CZMA/CAMA	No	Yes	--
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	HECRAS
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	N/A	--

Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data

CONTRACT: UT MILLERS CREEK DMS PROJECT # 95719
NCDEQ CONTRACT # 5000

STATE		SHEET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS
N.C.	UT MILLERS CREEK	1	7

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
UT MILLERS CREEK

LOCATION: DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
LAT: 34°53'48" N LONG: 78°04'04" W
TYPE OF WORK: CCPV PLANS – YEAR 1



VICINITY MAP

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

BANK/BED CONDITION
- GULLY

VEGETATION PROBLEM AREAS
- THIN GRASS
- NO GRASS (BARE)

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS
- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

LEGEND
- CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- THALWEG
- BANKFULL
- ASBUILT TOP OF TRAY
- ASBUILT TOE OF TRAY
- CROSS–SECTION LOCATIONS
- CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
- LOG SILL
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- FLOODPLAIN INTERCEPTOR
- SOIL LIFT
- MONITORING WELL
- CREST GAUGE
- BANK PIN
- BRUSH TOE



INDEX OF SHEETS

GRAPHIC SCALES

50 25 0 50 100
PLANS

10 5 0 10 20
PROFILE (HORIZONTAL)

20 10 0 20 40
PROFILE (VERTICAL)

DESIGN DATA

DESIGN STREAM TYPE	=	E5
BANKFULL AREA (FT^2) CROSS–SECTIONED	=	8.06
BANKFULL WIDTH (FT)	=	8.8
MAX DEPTH (FT)	=	1.40
WIDTH /DEPTH RATIO	=	9.5
DRAINAGE AREA (MI^2)	=	0.39
BANKFULL SLOPE(FT/FT)	=	0.0005

PROJECT LENGTH

EXISTING STREAM LENGTH	=	2,095 FT
PROPOSED DESIGN STREAM LENGTH	=	2,696 FT
ASBUILT STREAM LENGTH	=	2,709 FT

R. KEVIN WILLIAMS
PROJECT ENGINEER
KATHLEEN M. McKEITHIAN
PROJECT DESIGNER
RYAN V. SMITH
PROJECT MANAGER

INCOMPLETE PLANS
PRELIMINARY PLANS
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION

SURVEY PREPARED BY:
STEWART – PROCTOR, PLLC
319 CHAPANOKE ROAD
RALEIGH NC 27603
HERBERT H. PROCCTOR, JR. L–3621

Prepared In the Office of:
HDR | ICA
5121 Kingdom Way,
Suite 100
Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: F-0258

1/26/2016
R:\Stream\Proj\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_01.dgn
ICA Engineering

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
YEAR 1

LEGEND

- CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- THALWEG
- BANKFULL
- ASBUILT TOP OF TRAY
- ASBUILT TOE OF TRAY
- CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
- CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
- LOG SILL
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- FLOODPLAIN INTERCEPTOR
- SOIL LIFT
- MONITORING WELL
- CREST GAUGE
- BANK PIN
- BRUSH TOE

UT MILLERS CREEK
STA 10+13.00

00+01

15+00

XS-2

BANK PINS 1-2

Flow Direction

VEG PLOT 1

M1

XS-1

G

XS-3

XS-4

BANK PINS 3-4

PERMANENT FORD CROSSING

CONSERVATION EASEMENT

CONSERVATION EASEMENT

-MATCHLINE- STATION 17+00 SEE SHEET 3

FOR CCPV YR1 PLANS SEE SHEETS 2 THRU 7

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

HDR ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
STA 10+13 - STA 17+00

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 25 0 25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET
2
OF 7

1/26/2016
I:\Projects\VP-09\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_02.dgn

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
YEAR 1



YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

BANK/BED CONDITION

- GULLY

VEGETATION PROBLEM AREAS

- NO GRASS (BARE)

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

LEGEND

- E — CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- THALWEG
- BANKFULL
- ASBUILT TOP OF TRAY
- ASBUILT TOE OF TRAY
- CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
- CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
- LOG SILL
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- FLOODPLAIN INTERCEPTOR
- SOIL LIFT
- M — MONITORING WELL
- BANK PIN
- BRUSH TOE

FOR CCPV YR1 PLANS SEE SHEETS 2 THRU 7

HDR | ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
STA 17+00 – STA 24+00

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 0 25
25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET 3 OF 7

1/26/2016
H:\Stream\Proj\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_03.dgn
HDR Engineering

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
YEAR 1



LEGEND

- CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- THALWEG
- BANKFULL
- ASBUILT TOP OF TRAY
- ASBUILT TOE OF TRAY
- CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
- CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
- LOG SILL
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- FLOODPLAIN INTERCEPTOR
- SOIL LIFT
- MONITORING WELL
- BANK PIN
- BRUSH TOE

CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS

A FLOODPLAIN INTERCEPTOR WAS ADDED AT STA 30+79±.

FOR CCPV YR1 PLANS SEE SHEETS 2 THRU 7

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

VEGETATION PROBLEM AREAS

- THIN GRASS

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

HDR | ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
STA 24+00 – STA 31+00

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 0 25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET 4 OF 7

1/26/2016
H:\Stream\Proj\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_04.dgn
H:\Engineering

LEGEND

- E — CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- THALWEG
- BANKFULL
- ASBUILT TOP OF TRAY
- ASBUILT TOE OF TRAY
- CROSS–SECTION LOCATIONS
- CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
- LOG SILL
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- FLOODPLAIN INERCEPTOR
- SOIL LIFT
- G — CREST GAUGE
- BANK PIN
- BRUSH TOE

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

BANK/BED CONDITION

- GULLY

VEGETATION PROBLEM AREAS

- THIN GRASS

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

HDR | ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
STA 31+00 – STA 37+22

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 25 0 25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET 5 OF 7

1/26/2016
K:\Streams\Proj\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_05.dgn
HDR, Engineering

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
YEAR 1

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

- CRITERIA MET
- CRITERIA UNMET

LEGEND

- E — CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE
- 10M x 10M VEG PLOT
- VEG PLOT ORIGIN
- M — MONITORING WELL

FOR CCPV YR1 PLANS SEE SHEETS 2 THRU 7

HDR | ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 25 0 25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET 6 OF 7

CURRENT CONDITIONS PLAN VIEW (CCPV)
YEAR 1

FOR CCPV YR1 PLANS SEE SHEETS 2 THRU 7

YEAR 1 CONDITIONS

VEGETATION PLOT CONDITIONS

CRITERIA MET

CRITERIA UNMET

LEGEND

E — CONSERVATION EASEMENT LINE

10M x 10M VEG PLOT

VEG PLOT ORIGIN

M — MONITORING WELL

HDR | ICA

UT MILLERS CREEK
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
POND

GRAPHIC SCALE
50 0 25 25
PLANS

DATE: 01-26-16

CCVP YR1 PLAN

SHEET 7 OF 7

1/26/2016
H:\Projects\NC\Proj\Monitoring Plans\Year1\UTMillersCrk_psh_Mon_YR1_07.dgn
HDR Engineering

Table 5: Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Reach ID: UT Millers Creek
Assessed Length: 2,079 FT

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	N/A	N/A			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ≥ 1.6)	5	5			100%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	61	61			100%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	57	57			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	57	57			100%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			3	15	99.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
				Totals	3	15	99.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	12	12			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	12	12			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	12	12			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	12	12			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	12	12			100%			

Table 6 Vegetation Condition Assessment

Planted Acreage **12.35**

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.05 acres	polygons filled with orange dots and x's	6	0.48	3.9%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Total			
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Cumulative Total			

Easement Acreage **15.94**

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF	Pattern and Color	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	N/A	N/A	N/A

Figures 3.1 - 3.14. Vegetation Plot Photos and Problem Areas

3.1 Vegetation Plot 1

3.2 Vegetation Plot 2

3.3 Vegetation Plot 3

3.4 Vegetation Plot 4

3.5 Vegetation Plot 5

3.6 Vegetation Plot 6

3.7 Vegetation Plot 7

3.8 Vegetation Plot 8

3.9 Vegetation Plot 9

3.10 Bare area adjacent to floodplain interceptor

3.11 Bare area adjacent to XS-10

3.12 Minor gully near STA 19+00

3.13 Gully on left bank at STA 34+00

3.14 Gully on right bank at STA 34+50

Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Planted and Total Stem Data

DMS Project Code 95719. Project Name: UT Millers Creek

			Current Plot Data (MY1 2015)																													Annual Means					
			95719-01-0001			95719-01-0002			95719-01-0003			95719-01-0004			95719-01-0005			95719-01-0006			95719-01-0007			95719-01-0008			95719-01-0009			MY1 (2015)			MY0 (2015)		
Scientific Name	**Common Name**	**Species Type**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**	**PnoLS**	**P-all**	**T**
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1				6	6	6																8	8	8	13	13	13
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				1	1	1	3	3	3							4	4	4	10	10	10	6	6	6	3	3	3	27	27	27	28	28	28
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree																		4						1						5			
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree				2	2	2	4	4	4							3	3	3				3	3	3	3	3	3	15	15	15	19	19	19
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	Tree				1	1	1																						1	1	1	1	1	1
Morella cerifera	wax myrtle	shrub													2	2	2													2	2	2	3	3	3
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree													8	8	8													8	8	8	8	8	8
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3							5	5	5				2	2	2	4	4	4	21	21	21	22	22	22
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree				4	4	4	5	5	5							11	11	11				1	1	1	4	4	4	25	25	25	28	28	28
Taxodium distichum	bald cypress	Tree	9	9	9	4	4	4	4	4	4	12	12	12	9	9	9	2	2	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	4	4	4	64	64	64	67	67	67
Stem count			14	14	14	16	16	16	19	19	19	18	18	18	19	19	19	25	25	29	20	20	20	22	22	23	18	18	18	171	171	176	189	189	189
size (ares)			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			9			9		
size (ACRES)			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.22			0.22		
Species count			3	3	3	7	7	7	5	5	5	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	5	6	2	2	2	5	5	6	5	5	5	9	9	10	9	9	9
Stems per ACRE			566.56	566.6	566.56	647.5	647.5	647.5	768.9	768.9	768.9	728.4	728.4	728.4	768.9	768.9	768.9	1012	1012	1174	809.4	809.4	809.4	890.3	890.3	930.8	728.4	728.4	728.4	768.9	768.9	791.4	849.8	849.8	849.8

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Appendix D. Stream Survey Data

XS-1 Riffle	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	112.39	0.00	112.44
	7.76	112.18	7.37	112.22
	11.62	112.16	12.43	112.16
	12.62	111.65	13.20	111.61
	14.24	111.19	14.73	111.16
	15.33	110.95	16.52	111.19
	16.99	110.95	18.49	111.02
	19.25	111.01	19.12	111.19
	20.03	111.46	20.36	111.64
	21.49	112.06	22.14	112.21
	26.24	112.21	25.70	112.29
	34.30	113.01	34.63	113.02



	Cross Section 1 (Riffle)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.7	9.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	195.2	195.2				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	7.7	7.3				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.2	12.6				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.2	20.3				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				

XS-2 Pool	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	112.67	0.00	112.65
	6.42	112.07	3.93	112.29
	13.01	112.06	12.90	111.89
	14.46	111.39	14.81	110.81
	14.39	111.06	15.82	110.43
	15.49	110.34	17.16	110.44
	17.22	110.32	17.87	110.65
	18.35	110.54	20.40	111.59
	19.94	111.51	22.86	112.27
	21.82	112.12	27.94	112.45
	28.00	112.33	32.89	112.29
	32.79	112.21		



	Cross Section 2 (Pool)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.6	8.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.5				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.8	7.3				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio						

XS-3 Riffle	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	112.19	0.0	112.22
	6.24	111.99	3.9	112.07
	10.39	111.79	8.8	111.98
	12.77	111.22	11.5	111.67
	14.02	110.53	13.1	110.76
	14.59	110.20	14.4	110.19
	15.31	110.22	15.5	110.21
	16.94	110.36	16.8	110.27
	18.46	110.90	17.7	110.40
	20.31	111.80	19.6	111.32
	25.77	111.92	21.1	111.81
	31.01	111.95	26.6	111.97
			31.3	111.90



	Cross Section 3 (Riffle)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.9	9.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	126.3	126.3				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.8	8.7				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	9.6				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	12.8	13.7				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				

XS-4 Pool	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	112.06	0.00	111.99
	5.06	112.04	6.06	112.00
	9.42	111.93	9.19	111.91
	11.27	111.13	10.92	111.14
	13.10	110.28	12.50	110.31
	14.78	109.68	13.17	109.94
	16.76	110.38	14.15	109.83
	17.03	110.66	15.14	109.83
	19.00	111.84	16.20	110.15
	25.71	111.81	17.12	110.50
	29.04	112.10	19.07	111.77
			24.27	111.82
			29.20	112.04



	Cross Section 4 (Pool)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.4	9.8				
Floodprone Width (ft)						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	1.9				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	10.9	11.4				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio						

XS-5 Riffle	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	112.30	0.00	112.18
	7.73	111.87	7.78	111.86
	11.38	112.03	11.28	111.84
	13.52	110.94	14.08	110.32
	14.38	110.37	14.72	110.11
	15.86	110.35	15.88	110.11
	18.11	110.47	17.50	110.27
	19.28	111.07	20.90	111.76
	21.07	111.74	22.89	112.02
	26.23	111.90	30.05	112.00
	30.38	112.00		



	Cross Section 5 (Riffle)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.1	10.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	182.9	182.9				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.7				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.4	10.7				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	9.8				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.0	17.9				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				

XS-6 Pool	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	111.80	0.00	111.86
	5.78	111.94	4.51	111.75
	9.55	111.79	8.21	111.96
	11.49	110.97	10.36	111.70
	12.92	110.11	12.66	110.34
	14.28	109.99	13.57	109.83
	16.42	109.98	14.30	109.82
	18.05	110.84	15.66	110.27
	20.57	111.56	16.48	110.47
	27.08	111.73	17.78	110.66
	31.53	111.88	20.29	111.55
			26.94	111.81
			31.59	111.75



	Cross Section 6 (Pool)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	9.7				
Floodprone Width (ft)						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.7				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	10.1	9.3				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio						

XS-7 Riffle	Baseline*		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	3.13	113.05	0.00	113.21
	8.48	111.79	3.86	112.94
	11.65	111.51	6.89	112.03
	12.87	110.90	9.58	111.59
	14.32	110.07	12.05	111.34
	15.72	110.06	13.59	110.88
	17.63	110.04	14.49	109.99
	19.04	110.78	15.21	109.89
	20.57	111.60	16.13	109.89
	26.07	111.78	16.75	110.02
	31.41	111.75	17.30	110.02
			18.18	110.39
			19.51	111.01
			20.60	111.56
			24.64	111.55
			28.95	111.63
			31.81	111.64

*Baseline cross-section was not started on left pin



	Cross Section 7 (Riffle)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.8	8.1				
Floodprone Width (ft)	162.2	162.2				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.7	7.0				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.8	9.4				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	18.5	19.9				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				

XS-8 Pool	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	111.77	0.00	111.65
	7.37	111.51	5.09	111.44
	11.50	111.51	10.10	111.52
	13.65	110.70	11.36	111.52
	15.35	109.89	13.12	110.79
	17.09	109.66	14.46	110.18
	19.46	109.94	15.15	109.81
	19.87	110.46	16.18	109.52
	20.46	111.04	17.22	109.61
	21.20	111.70	17.84	109.66
	27.91	111.90	18.80	109.61
	32.76	112.05	19.59	110.50
			20.29	111.04
			21.98	111.75
			25.94	111.86
			30.14	111.80
			32.36	111.95



	Cross Section 8 (Pool)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.5	10.0				
Floodprone Width (ft)						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	2.0				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	11.1	11.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio						

XS-9 Riffle	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	110.40	0.0	110.44
	4.40	110.29	4.1	110.31
	7.91	110.27	7.8	110.22
	10.89	110.26	11.3	110.14
	12.13	109.67	13.5	109.38
	13.31	109.24	14.2	109.04
	13.86	108.78	15.0	108.54
	14.69	108.47	15.6	108.39
	16.31	108.42	16.9	108.40
	17.75	108.43	18.1	108.41
	18.36	108.78	18.5	109.00
	19.42	109.34	19.6	109.55
	20.67	109.97	21.3	110.24
	21.59	110.35	22.6	110.34
	26.72	110.231	25.2	110.24
	31.22	110.171	31.5	110.14



	Cross Section 9 (Riffle)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	9.9				
Floodprone Width (ft)	219.0	219.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.8				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	12.0	9.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.1	9.9				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.9	22.1				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0				

XS-10 Pool	Baseline		MY1	
	Sta.	Elev.	Sta.	Elev.
	0.00	110.36	0.00	110.29
	2.79	109.71	3.16	109.56
	7.35	109.47	7.72	109.38
	10.53	109.34	10.81	109.27
	12.12	108.75	12.97	108.46
	13.81	107.92	14.10	107.94
	14.98	107.44	14.69	107.86
	16.64	107.34	15.50	107.77
	18.05	107.73	16.31	107.59
	18.64	107.63	17.20	107.42
	19.08	108.58	18.00	107.83
	20.57	109.27	18.54	107.83
	26.23	109.29	19.09	108.68
	31.34	109.46	20.19	109.13
			25.57	109.19
			28.91	109.43
			31.32	109.49



	Cross Section 10 (Pool)					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation						
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.8	9.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.0				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.7				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	11.4	8.7				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio						

Table 8. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Millers Creek, DMS Project ID No. 95719
UT to Millers Creek: 2,709 LF

Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition	Reference - Wildcat Branch	Referece - UT Brick Bound Swamp	Design	As-built/Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Eq.			Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Bankfull Width (ft)				9.7	8.2	6.1	8.8	8.8	9.6	9.7	10.5	0.7	5
Floodprone Width (ft)				12.3	130.0	24.5	125.0	126.3	177.1	182.9	219.0	35.1	5
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)				0.75	1.03	0.50	0.92	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.1	5
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				1.1	1.6	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.8	0.3	5
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)				7.2	8.5	3.1	8.3	7.7	9.1	8.7	12.0	1.7	5
Width/Depth Ratio				12.9	8.0	12.2	9.5	8.8	10.2	10.0	12.2	1.4	5
Entrenchment Ratio				1.3	15.9	4.0	14.3	11.9	13.1	12.9	14.3	0.9	5
Bank Height Ratio				4.83	1.09	1.00	1.00	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	5
d50 (mm)				sand	sand	sand	sand						
Profile													
Riffle Length (ft)								8.6	21.9	22.8	33.6	9.0	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				Channelized	0.0022	0.0012	0.0007	0.0039	0.0069	0.0075	0.0096	0.0019	7
Pool Length (ft)								9.1	27.0	25.7	53.9	11.6	61
Pool Max depth (ft)				Channelized	1.75	1.25	1.75	1.60	1.86	1.90	2.20	0.23	5
Pool Spacing (ft)				Channelized	14.0 - 16.6	15.29 - 27.81	20.1 - 84.9	12.5	41.8	40.3	96.3	18.4	63
Pool Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)								8.80	10.46	10.90	11.40	1.05	5
Pattern													
Channel Beltwidth (ft)				Channelized	13.8 - 19.4	13.8 - 19.4	17.5 - 52.5						
Radius of Curvature (ft)				Channelized	10.9 - 15.3	5.0 - 9.0	20.1 - 22.8						
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				Channelized	1.3 - 1.9	0.9 - 1.5	2.3 - 2.6						
Meander Wavelength (ft)				Channelized	22.5 - 29.0	23.0 - 29.0	14.0 - 56.0						
Meander Width Ratio				Channelized	1.7 - 2.4	2.3 - 3.2	2.0 - 6.0						
Substrate, bed and transport parameters													
Ri% / P%								33/67					
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%													
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95/ di^p / di^{sp} (mm)													
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft^2													
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull													
Unit Stream Power (transport capacity) lbs/ft.s				0.01			0.01	0.02					
Additional Reach Parameters													
Drainage Area (SM)				0.37	0.44	0.11	0.37						
Impervious cover estimate (%)													
Rosgen Classification				G-F/5	E5	E5	E5	E5					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)					1.00	0.97	0.80						
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				8.4	8.5	3.0	8.4						
Valley length (ft)				2126			2126	2126					
Channel Thalweg length (ft)				2339			2679	2709					
Sinuosity (ft)				1.10	1.15	1.35	1.26	1.27					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				0.0011	0.0024	0.0016	0.0005	0.0005					
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0005	0.0005					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)													
Proportion over wide (%)													
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)													
Incision Class (BHR Range)													
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													
Biological or Other													

Table 9. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross Section)
UT to Millers Creek (DMS Project No. 95719)
UT to Millers Creek: 2,709 LF

	Cross Section 1 (Riffle)							Cross Section 2 (Pool)						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation														
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.7	9.6						8.6	8.6					
Floodprone Width (ft)	195.2	195.2												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8						1.0	0.9					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1						1.7	1.5					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	7.7	7.3						8.8	7.3					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.2	12.6												
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.2	20.3												
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0												
	Cross Section 3 (Riffle)							**Cross Section 4 (Pool)**						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation														
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.9	9.2						9.4	9.8					
Floodprone Width (ft)	126.3	126.3												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0						1.2	1.2					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5						2.2	1.9					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.8	8.7						10.9	11.4					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	9.6												
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	12.8	13.7												
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0												
	Cross Section 5 (Riffle)							**Cross Section 6 (Pool)**						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]														
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.1	10.2						10.5	9.7					
Floodprone Width (ft)	182.9	182.9												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0						1.0	1.0					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.7						1.6	1.7					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.4	10.7						10.1	9.3					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	9.8												
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.0	17.9												
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0												
	Cross Section 7 (Riffle)							**Cross Section 8 (Pool)**						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation														
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.8	8.1						9.5	10.0					
Floodprone Width (ft)	162.2	162.2												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9						1.2	1.2					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4						1.9	2.0					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	8.7	7.0						11.1	11.9					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.8	9.4												
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	18.5	19.9												
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0												
	Cross Section 9 (Riffle)							**Cross Section 10 (Pool)**						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation														
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	9.9						9.8	9.2					
Floodprone Width (ft)	219.0	219.0												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0						1.2	1.0					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.8						1.9	1.7					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	12.0	9.9						11.4	8.7					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.1	9.9												
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	20.9	22.1												
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0												

Table 10. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
UT to Millers Creek (DMS Project No. 95719)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.8	9.6	9.7	10.5	0.7	5	8.1	9.4	9.6	10.2	0.8	5																								
Floodprone Width (ft)	126.3	177.1	182.9	219.0	35.1	5	126.3	177.1	182.9	219.0	35.1	5																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.1	5	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.1	5																								
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.8	0.3	5	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.8	0.3	5																								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	7.7	9.1	8.7	12.0	1.7	5	7.0	8.7	8.7	10.7	1.6	5																								
Width/Depth Ratio	8.8	10.2	10.0	12.2	1.4	5	9.4	10.3	9.8	12.6	1.3	5																								
Entrenchment Ratio	11.9	13.1	12.9	14.3	0.9	5	13.7	18.8	19.9	22.1	3.2	5																								
[1]Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	5																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	8.6	21.9	22.8	33.6	9.0	7																														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0039	0.0069	0.0075	0.0096	0.0019	7																														
Pool Length (ft)	9.1	27.0	25.7	53.9	11.6	61																														
Pool Max depth (ft)	1.60	1.86	1.90	2.20	0.23	5																														
Pool Spacing (ft)	12.5	41.8	40.3	96.3	18.4	63																														
Pattern*																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)		17.5 - 52.5																																		
Radius of Curvature (ft)		20.1 - 22.8																																		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)		2.3 - 2.6																																		
Meander Wavelength (ft)		14.0 - 56.0																																		
Meander Width Ratio		2.0 - 6.0																																		
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	E5																																			
Channel Thalweg length (ft)	2709																																			
Sinuosity (ft)	1.27																																			
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0011																																			
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0005																																			
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	33		67																																	
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																																				
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /																																				
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

Appendix E. Hydrologic Data

Table 11. Verification of Bankfull Events

Date	Crest Gauge Info		Gauge Reading (ft)	Gauge Elevation (ft)	Crest Elevation (ft)	Bankfull Elevation (ft)	Height above Bankfull (ft)	Photo
	Site	Sta.						
7/14/2015	1	10+62	0.42	111.46	111.88	112.07	-0.19	5.1
7/14/2015	2	37+03	2.29	107.16	109.45	107.71	1.74	5.2
10/19/2015	1	10+62	1.50	111.46	112.96	112.07	0.89	5.3

Figures 5.1 - 5.4 Crest Gauge Photos

5.1 Crest Gauge 1 (7/14/2015)

5.2 Crest Gauge 2 (7/14/2015)

5.3 Crest Gauge 1 (10/19/2015)

Table 12. Summary of Gauge Hydrologic Data

Gauge Number	Wetland Community Type	Longest Number Of Consecutive Days Meeting Wetland Hydrology Criteria During Growing Season	Percentage of Growing Season
1	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	130	43
2	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	161	53
3	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	30	10
4	Headwater Riparian (Zero Order)	212	70
5	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	97	32
6	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	158	52
Reference	Headwater Riparian (Zero Order)	118	39
Reference	Riparian Bottomland Hardwood	108	36

Table 13. Precipitation Summary by Month

UT to Millers Creek
30-70 Percentile Graph: 2015



Precipitation data obtained from:
On-site rain gauge & Duplin County Airport (KDPL) (www.nc-climate.ncsu.edu)

30% & 70% precipitation data obtained from average of surrounding counties (wcc.nrcs.usda.gov)


Reference: Zero-Order Wetland Gauge (14E19491)
118 days above -12"
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Reference Gauge (14E19491)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Reference: Second-Order Wetland Gauge (14E14267)
108 days above -12"
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
Data Malfunction
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Reference Gauge (14E14267)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge 1 (A282F9D)
130 days above -12"
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Gauge #1 (14E14CEA)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge #2 (A2873A5)
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Start of Growing Season- Feburary 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
161 days above -12"
Gauge #2 (A2873A5)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge 3 (1130D7ED)
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
30 days above -12"
10
5
0
-5
-10
-15
-20
-25
-30
-35
-40
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1-Jan-15
11-Jan-15
21-Jan-15
31-Jan-15
10-Feb-15
20-Feb-15
2-Mar-15
12-Mar-15
22-Mar-15
1-Apr-15
11-Apr-15
21-Apr-15
1-May-15
11-May-15
21-May-15
31-May-15
10-Jun-15
20-Jun-15
30-Jun-15
10-Jul-15
20-Jul-15
30-Jul-15
9-Aug-15
19-Aug-15
29-Aug-15
8-Sep-15
18-Sep-15
28-Sep-15
8-Oct-15
18-Oct-15
28-Oct-15
7-Nov-15
17-Nov-15
27-Nov-15
Gauge #3 (1130D7E0)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge 4 (14E194AD)
212 days above -12"
Gauge malfunction; data gap 9/1 to 12/2.
Partial data retrieved. Note that this area
remains ponded. 10" offset applied 12/2
to remove logger head from submersion.
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Gauge #4 (14E194AD)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge 5 (14E1ABFA)
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season-November 30
Gauge Malfunction:
Data Gap 3/30 - 5/1
97 days above -12"
10
5
0
-5
-10
-15
-20
-25
-30
-35
-40
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1-Jan-15
11-Jan-15
21-Jan-15
31-Jan-15
10-Feb-15
20-Feb-15
2-Mar-15
12-Mar-15
22-Mar-15
1-Apr-15
11-Apr-15
21-Apr-15
1-May-15
11-May-15
21-May-15
31-May-15
10-Jun-15
20-Jun-15
30-Jun-15
10-Jul-15
20-Jul-15
30-Jul-15
9-Aug-15
19-Aug-15
29-Aug-15
8-Sep-15
18-Sep-15
28-Sep-15
8-Oct-15
18-Oct-15
28-Oct-15
7-Nov-15
17-Nov-15
27-Nov-15
Gauge #5 (14E1ABFA)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge


Gauge 6 (14E142FD)
158 days above -12"
Start of Growing Season- February 1
End of Growing Season- November 30
Water Level (in)
Precipitation (in)
Date
Gauge #6 (14E142FD)
12" Below Surface
On-site Raingauge