

WELLS CREEK FINAL MONITORING REPORT YEAR 5 OF 5 2009

EEP Project # 414 Alamance County, North Carolina

Submitted to:



NCDENR-EEP 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699





1025 Wade Avenue Raleigh, NC 27605 Phone: (919) 789-9977 Project Manager: Phillip Todd ptodd@sepiengineering.com

Monitoring Summary Methodology	
Vegetation Methodology	
Stream Methodology	
Longitudinal Profile and Plan View	
Permanent Cross Sections	
Pebble Counts	
Photo Documentation	
References.	
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: General Figures and Plan Views	
Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map	
Figure 2 – Reach Layout Map	
Current Condition Plan Views (Stream Problem Areas)	Sheets 1-6
Current Condition Plan Views (Vegetation Problem Areas)	Sheets 1-6
Appendix B: General Project Tables	
Table 1. Project Restoration Components	
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	
Table 3. Project Contact Table	
Table 4. Project Background Table	B-2
Appendix C: Vegetation Assessment Data	
Table 5: Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table	
Plotolog: Vegetation Plot Photolog	
Table A1: Vegetation Plot Stem Counts	
Appendix D: Stream Assessment Data	
Cross Sections & Photo Point Photolog – Monitoring Reach 1	
Cross Sections & Photo Point Photolog – Monitoring Reach 2	
Cross Sections & Photo Point Photolog – Monitoring Reach UT	
Table B2a. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment – Monitoring Read	
Table B2b. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment – Monitoring Read	
Table B2c. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment – Monitoring Read	h UT
Table V. Verification of Bankfull Events	
Cross Section Annual Overlay Plots	
Longitudinal Profile Annual Overlay Plots	
Pebble Count Annual Overlay Plots	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MONITORING SUMMARY

The North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) restored two reaches along Wells Creek and on reach along an unnamed tributary in 2004. This project is located in Alamance County, NC. The three reaches flow through pasture wooded areas. Prior to restoration, cattle and horses had unlimited access to the stream channels which caused severe loss of vegetation and bank erosion. Since the restoration has been complete, the livestock have been fenced out of the stream. The main goal of the Wells Creek Stream Restoration Project is the improve water quality within the Cape Fear River basin. Specific objectives to meet this goal are to:

- Reduce nutrient runoff, reduce water temperatures, and improve wildlife habitat through the establishment of a permanent riparian buffer and cattle exclusion measures;
- Stabilize stream banks (i.e., reduce bank erosion) through streamside vegetation plantings;
- Help the stream reach a stable equilibrium through the use of proper dimension, pattern, and profile design ratios.

The stem densities on Reaches 2 and the UT are well above the Monitoring Year 5 stem density goal (260 stems per acre), except for in Vegetation Plot (VP) #4 on Reach UT that had a stem density of 97 stems per acre. Stem densities on Reach 1 were below the Monitoring Year 5 goal (260 stems/acre). This trend may be influenced by populations of both fescue (*Festuca spp.*) and Japanese stilt grass (*Microstegium virmineum*) at Monitoring Reach 1. The overall survival rate among all vegetation plots was just over 51% in Monitoring Year 5. The only vegetation-specific problem areas documented in Monitoring Year 5 were associated with invasive species. Invasive species documented at one or more of the reaches include: *Rosa multiflora, Ligustrum sinense, Microstegium virmineum*, and *Ailanthus altissima* (see Plan Views in Appendix A).

All reaches are considered to have remained stable between Monitoring Years 4 and 5. There are bar formation areas to note along Reaches 1 and UT. Most of these are only instances of the channeling naturally narrowing to a stable dimension in the riffle sections by depositing sediment along the channel margins. However, there are a couple of areas where a bar has formed on the outside of the meander, thereby diverting flow toward the inside of the meander away from the As-Built thalweg (i.e., Station 18+00.6 and 19+02.2 along Reach 1; see Current Condition Plan View and Stream Problem Area Photolog). Also, there are a couple of places where grass clumps have formed scattered permanent bars mid-channel in a riffle (e.g., Station 19+00.1 along Reach UT; see Current Condition Plan View and Stream Problem Area Photolog). There were three severe cases of bank erosion documented on Reach 2. One of these areas (Station 15+36 along the right bank) may need attention as it is 60 feet long. In addition, there were 'non-severe' cases of bank erosion found along all three reaches that are not considered to be a threat to the project because they affect a small percentage of each reach. There was a crossvane located at Station 12+75 on Reach 1 that had water piping around the right arm. There were two j-hooks (Station 14+08 and 15+14) on Reach 2 that have piping around the structure arm. Also there were four rootwads on the UT reach where bank failure/undermining around the footing was documented. It should be noted that it was observed on April 7, 2009 that an unknown number of cattle had accessed the project easement of Monitoring Reach 2 at some point between January 8 and April 7th. The cattle were no longer present at the time of observation (April 7th field visit), but fresh hoof prints were observed at all locations, forming trails along the top of bank and over other areas of the floodplain. Minor hoof-shear was observed at a couple of locations along the top of bank and the herbaceous understory had been grazed at many locations. This appears to have been an isolated incident because no additional cattle evidence was noted in subsequent field visits and the hoof shear and cattle trail areas were noted to have filled in with vegetation as of the October 8, 2009.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on EEPs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

METHODOLOGY

Vegetation Methodology

For this monitoring project, a total of nine (9) plots were studied. Plot sizes measure 10 meters by 10 meters (or equivalent to 100 square meters) depending on buffer width. The vegetation monitoring was not the Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) protocol, but consisted of a count of the total number of planted stems having survived since Monitoring Year 4. The planted material in the plot (previously marked with flagging) was identified by species and a tally of each species was kept and recorded in a field book. Any stems for a given species in a given plot that were not flagged and were counted over and above the baseline total were considered volunteers.

Stream Methodology

The project monitoring for the stream channel included a longitudinal survey, cross-sectional surveys, pebble counts and photo documentation. These measurements were taken at each reach. The methodology for each portion of the stream monitoring is described in detail below.

Longitudinal Profile and Plan View

A longitudinal profile was surveyed for each reach with a Nikon DTM-520 Total Station, prism, and a TDS Recon Pocket PC. The heads of features (i.e. riffles, runs, pools, and glides) were surveyed, as well as the point of maximum depth of each pool, boundaries of problem areas, and any other significant slope-breaks or points of interest. At the head of each feature and at the maximum pool depth, thalweg, water surface, edge of water, left and right bankfull, and left and right top of bank were surveyed. All profile measurements were extracted from this survey, including channel and valley length and length of each feature, water surface slope for each reach and feature, bankfull slope for the reach, and pool-to-pool spacing. This survey also was used to draw plan view figures with Microstation v8 (Bentley Systems, Inc., Exton, PA) for each reach, and all pattern measurements (i.e. meander length, radius of curvature, belt width, meander width ratio, and sinuosity) were extracted from the plan view. Stationing was calculated along the thalweg.

Permanent Cross Sections

Four permanent cross sections (two riffles and two pools) were surveyed at each reach. The beginning and end of each permanent cross section were originally marked with a wooden stake. Cross sections were established perpendicular to the stream flow with station 0+00 feet located on the left bank. The survey noted all changes in slopes, tops of both banks, left and right bankfull, edges of water, thalweg and water surface. The cross sections were plotted, and Monitoring Year 5 data was overlain on all previous monitoring years for comparison. All dimension measurements (i.e., bankfull width, floodprone width, bankfull mean depth, cross sectional area, width-to-depth ratio, entrenchment ratio, bank height ratio, wetted perimeter, and hydraulic radius) were extracted from these plots for comparision with data from previous monitoring years.

Pebble Counts

A modified Wolman pebble count (Rosgen 1994), consisting of 50 samples, was taken at each permanent cross section. The cumulative percentages were plotted, and the D50 and D84 particle sizes were calculated and compared data from previous monitoring years.

Photo Documentation

Permanent photo points were established during Monitoring Year 1. A set of three photographs (facing upstream, downstream, and facing the channel) were taken at each photo point with a digital camera. Two photographs were taken at each cross-section (facing upstream and downstream). A representative photograph of each vegetation plot was taken at the designated corner of the vegetation plot and in the same direction as the Monitoring Year 1 photograph. An arrow was placed on the designated corner of each vegetation plot on the plan view sheets to document the corner and direction of each photograph. Photos were also taken of all significant stream and vegetation problem areas.

REFERENCES

- ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina, Inc (ARCADIS). September 2004. *Mitigation Plan, Wells Creek at Syndor Property*.
- ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina, Inc (ARCADIS). December 2005. Year One Monitoring Report, Wells Creek at Syndor Property.
- DeLorme. 1997. The North Carolina Atlas and Gazateer.
- Harman, W.H., et al. 1999. Bankfull Hydraulic Geometry Relationships for North Carolina Streams. AWRA Wildland Hydrology Symposium Proceedings. Edited by D.S. Olson and J.P. Potyondy. AWRA Summer Synposium. Bozeman, MT.
- North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program. November 2006. Content, Format and Data Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports, Version 1.2.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1994. A Classification of Natural Rivers. Catena 22: 166-169.
- SEPI Engineering Group. 2006. Wells Creek Final Monitoring Report, Year 2 of 5.
- SEPI Engineering Group. 2007. Wells Creek Final Monitoring Report, Year 3 of 5.
- SEPI Engineering Group. 2008. Wells Creek Final Monitoring Report, Year 4 of 5.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conversation Service. April 1960. Soil Survey Alamance County, North Carolina.
- U.S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers. 2003. *Stream Mitigation Guidelines*. <u>http://www.saw.usace.army.mil/wetlands/Mitigation/stream_mitigation.html</u>

APPENDIX A

GENERAL FIGURES AND PLAN VIEWS







		TC	PROJECT REF		SHEET NO.
		ון אן,	4	4 PROJECT ENGINE	2
	ENGINERI	RING GROUP		PROJECT ENGINI	.LR
	1025 WADE AVENUE				
	RALEIGH, NC 27605 TEL: 919-789-9977	FAX: 789-9591			
	10 0	20			
	SCALE				
L					
MONITO	RING				
<u>MONITOI</u> LS CREE CH 1	K				
CH 1					
20+67.29	9				
20,01.2					
¢	9				
ත්රා)				
				8	
	\sim			Ä	
	\sim			Æ	
	\sim	<		About	
		A88		- COO	
		- CC-			
		- B			
P T T	CREI	7K -	₽₽		T 1
	╲╨╲╨╱╜				
		LOCATION:	WFIIS	CREEK	
~		CURDENT		IONS PLA	
			IAL STRE	AM - YEA	К 5
		PROJ #: 41	4	COUNTY:	MANCE
Octof	em		-		
USYSU		PREPARED BY:	IPJ		
ancer	nent	CHECKED BY:	-	DATE:	
PRO	GRAM	UNEUKED BT:	PDB	5/26	6/2009



	CDI	PROJECT REFER	ENCE NO.	SHEET NO.
	H	414		3
	ERING GROUP		PROJECT ENGINEE	R
1025 WADE AVENU	E			
RALEIGH. NC 276	05 77 FAX: 789-9591			
	20			
SCA				
JCA				
	,			
	\			
	\			
	\	١		
		4		
UNEVEN CLAY/SA	STREAMBED	15		
	\wedge	15		
	X	Xů		
	///	13		
X Horas	$\sim P$	MATCH LINE SEE SHEET 4		
		\		
		• \		
		\		
4 7.9520		\		
20.2590 .2470		\		
.2470		\		
		\		
		1	\	
			\	
			\	
			\neg	
			N	
LLS CREE	3 K -		∼цг	9
LLS URDI				4
	LOCATION:			
~ ~		WELLS C		
	CURREN	T CONDITI	ONS PLA	V VIEW
	FIN	IAL STREAM	I - YEAR	8 5
	PROJ #:	4	COUNTY:	
ocretom	41	4	ALAN	IANCE
USYSTELLI	PREPARED BY:	IPJ		
ancement	CHECKED BY:		DATE:	
PROGRAM	UNEUKED BT;	PDB	5/26	/2009
	[



CODDI	PROJECT REFERENCE NO.	SHEET NO.
	414	4
	PROJECT ENGIN	EER
RALEIGH, NC 27605		
TEL: 919-789-9977 FAX: 789-9591	-	
10 0 20		
SCALE.		
SCALE		
\backslash		
\mathbf{A}		
\setminus		
,		
/		
/ YX		
$i \setminus $		
\FND MONITORING		
<u>END MONITORING</u> WELLS CREEK		
NELLS CREEN		
2 REACH 2 2 STA. 20+34.34		
2 STA.\20+34.34	$\langle \rangle$	
	\backslash	
	$\langle \rangle$	
\sim		
LS CREEK - RE	AUN Z	
LOCATION:		
	WELLS CREEK	

	WELL	.5 CREEK
CURREN	IT COND	ITIONS PLAN VIEW
FI	NAL STF	REAM - YEAR 5
PROJ #:		COUNTY:
4	14	ALAMANCE
PREPARED BY:	IPJ	
CHECKED BY:	PDB	DATE: 5/26/2009



	PROJECT REFERENCE NO.	SHEET NO.
	414	5
	PROJECT ENGIN	EER
ENGINEERING GROUP		
1025 WADE AVENUE		
RALEIGH, NC 27605 TEL; 919-789-9977 FAX; 789-9591		
10 0 20		
SCALE		
SCALE		

UT TO WELLS CREEK

LOCATION:	WELLS	CREEK	
CURRENT	CONDITI	ONS PLAN VI	EW
FIN	AL STREA	M - YEAR 5	
PROJ #: 414	1	COUNTY: ALAMANCE	
PREPARED BY:	IPJ		
CHECKED BY:	PDB	DATE: 5/26/2009	









	CT	TU	PROJECT REFERI	ENCE NO.	SHEET NO.
ank		'P	414	PROJECT ENGINEE	3
•	ENGINEE	RING GROUP			n
	1025 WADE AVENUE RALEIGH, NC 27605	i			
	TEL: 919-789-9977	FAX: 789-9591			
	10 0	20			
	SCALE				
	1111				
		\longrightarrow			
			\		
			ه		
			15		
\sim	K was	\checkmark \checkmark	MATCH LINE SEE SHEET 6		
	\sim \sim		MAT		
	Par	\sim			
		~~~			
			\		
4					
7.9520 20.2590			\		
20.2590			\		
			\		
			/	١	
				\	
				$\neg$	
				۱.	
_			_		
LLS	CREE	CK -	REA	$\mathbb{CH}$	2
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			- <b></b>	~ # #	-
		LOCATION:	WELLO		
Y	-		WELLS (
			IT CONDITI		
			L VEGETAT		n J
		PROJ#:	14	COUNTY:	ANCE
osvst	em.	MONITORED BY:			
ince	ment		IPJ		
PRO	GRAM	CHECKED BY:	PDB	DATE: 5/26/	2009
				-, 20,	







UT TO WELLS CREEK

LOCATION:	WEL	LS CREEK
		DITIONS PLAN VIEW
FINA	L VEG	ETATION- YEAR 5
PROJ #: 4	14	
MONITORED BY:	IPJ	
CHECKED BY:	PDB	DATE: 5/26/2009



APPENDIX B

GENERAL PROJECT TABLES

Table I. Project Restoration Components Wells Creek/EEP Project Number 414				
Project Segment or Reach ID	Type	Approach	As-Built Footage*	As-Built Stationing
	R			17+10 - 26+00 26+00 -
Reach 1	EI	PI, PII, and PIII**	3,006	47+16
Reach 2	R	PI, PII, and PIII**	1,244	11+06 - 23+50
Unnamed Tributary	R	PI, PII, and PIII**	1,493	11+52 - 26+45

*Reach lengths are longer than previously because earlier reports listed only lengths surveyed during monitoring data collection rather than the entire constructed lengths.

Table II. Project Activity and Reporting History						
*	Wells Creek/EEP Project Number 414					
Activity or Report	Scheduled Completio n	Data Collectio n Complet e	Actual Completion or Delivery			
Restoration Plan			August 1, 2002			
Final Design - 90%			unknown			
Construction			August 2003-April 2004			
Temporary S&E mix applies to entire project area			August 2003-April 2004			
Permanent seed mix applies to reach/segments 1&2			August 2003-April 2005			
Containerized and B&B plantings for reach/segments 1&2			August 2003-April 2006			
Mitigation Plan/ As-built (Year 0 Monitoring - baseline)		Dec-04	December 2004/July 2004			
Year 1 monitoring			Sep-05			
Year 2 monitoring		Apr-06	Nov-06			
Year 3 monitoring		Oct-07	Dec-07			
Year 4 monitoring	Apr-08	Nov-08	December 15, 2008			
Year 5 monitoring	Apr-09	Oct-09	November 15, 2009			

Table I	Table III. Project Contact Table			
Wells Cre	Wells Creek/EEP Project Number 414			
Designer	ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina			
	801 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 300			
	Raleigh, NC 27607			
Construction Contractor	A&D Environmental and Industrial Services, Inc.			
	Gerald Walker			
	2718 Uwharrie Road Archdale, NC 27263			
	336-434-7750			
Planting Contractor	Seal Brothers Contracting Eddie Tobler			
	PO BOX 86 Dobson, NC 27017			
	336-786-8863			
Seeding Contractor	A&D Environmental and Industrial Services, Inc.			
	Gerald Walker			
	2718 Uwharrie Road Archdale, NC 27263 336-			
	434-7750			
2005 Monitoring Performers	ARCADIS G&M of North Carolina			
	801 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 300			
	Raleigh, NC 27607			
2006 - 2009 Monitoring	SEPI Engineering Group			
Performers	1025 Wade Avenue			
	Raleigh, NC 27605			
	Phillip Todd (919) 789-9977			
Stream Monitoring POC	Ira Poplar-Jeffers (919) 573-9914			
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Phil Beach (919) 573-9936			
Wetland Monitoring POC	N/A			

Table IV. Project Background Table								
Wells Creek/EEP Project Number 414								
Project County	Alamance							
	Reach 1: 1.63 sq mi							
	Reach 2: 2.23 sq mi and							
Drainage Area	UT: 0.71 sq. mi							
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%) For example	Wells Creek Reach 1 & 2 ~3%; Unnamed Tributary <1%							
Stream Order	Wells Creek Reach 1: 2nd Order							
	Wells Creek Reach 2: 3rd Order							
	Unnamed Tributary: 1st Order							
Physiographic Region	Piedmont							
Ecoregion	Southern Outer Piedmont Carolina Slate Belt							
Rosgen Classification of As-built	C 4/1							
Cowardin Classification	Disturbed Cattle Pasture							
	Colfax, Lignum, Georgeville, Tarrus, Herndon, Local Alluvial							
Dominant soil types	Land, and Vance							
	UT to Wells Creek, Cane Creek Mountains, Alamance County							
Reference site ID	and UT to Varnals Creek							
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	03030002 Haw River							
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	03-06-04							
NCDWQ classification for Project and Reference	Project and reference are Class C, NSW							
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No							
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d								
listed segment?	No							
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	N/A							
% of project easement fenced	100%							
% of project easement demarcated with bollards (if	NA							
fencing absent)								

APPENDIX C

VEGETATION ASSESSMENT DATA

Table 5. Vegetation Plot Mitigation Success Summary Table								
	Vegetation	Vegetation Survival Threshold	Tract Mean (Stems					
Tract	Plot ID	Met?	per Acre)					
Wells Creek Monitoring Reach 1	1	No						
	2	No	97					
Neduli I	3	No]					
Walla Creek Manitoring	4	No						
Wells Creek Monitoring Reach UT	5	Yes	361					
Reaction	6	Yes						
Wells Creek Monitoring	7	Yes						
Reach 2	8	Yes	510					
Reach 2	9	Yes						

APPENDIX C PHOTOLOG - WELLS CREEK VEGETATION PLOTS



Photo 1: Vegetation Plot 1



Photo 3: Vegetation Plot 3



Photo 5: Vegetation Plot 5



Photo 2: Vegetation Plot 2



Photo 4: Vegetation Plot 4



Photo 6: Vegetation Plot 6



Photo 7: Vegetation Plot 7



Photo 8: Vegetation Plot 8



Photo 9: Vegetation Plot 9

Species	Plots									Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Survival
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Totals	Totals	Totals	Totals	Totals	%
Shrubs								-							
Cornus ammomum			2	1	(7 LS)				(1 LS)	11 (12 LS)	4 (13 LS)	3 (11 LS)	3 (8 LS)	3 (7 LS)	47.8%
Trees			_		(/			-	(1 = -)		. (- ()	- (*)	
Betula nigra					2			2	1	10	9	9	5	5	50.0%
Carpinus caroliniana					3	2		2		11	10	8	8	7	63.6%
Diospyros virginiana										0	2	0	0	0	0.0%
Fraxinus pennsylvanica							2		3	2	6	3	5	5	83.0%
Juglans nigra			1			2	-			12	13	10	4	3	25.0%
Nyssa sylvatica						2				1	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Platanus occidentalis	1	1		1		3	1	3		22	16	16	10	10	45.5%
Salix nigra	I					5	13	5		13	17	17	16	13	100.0%
Sambucus canandensis							13							-	
Quercus michauxii										1	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Quercus michauxii						1	3		1	16	9	6	5	5	31.3%
Quercus rubra										2	2	0	0	0	0.0%
Quercus alba		1			1					5	4	4	2	2	40.0%
Quercus marilandica										1	1	0	0	0	0.0%
Total including live stake	1	2	3	2	13	8	19	7	6	119	102	87	61	61	51.3%
Stems per acre	48	97	145	90	665	366	895	347	283						
Total exluding live stake	1	2	3	2	6	8	19	7	5	107	89	76	53	53	49.5%
Stems per acre	48	97	145	90	307	366	895	346	236						

Note: Survival was calculated between Monitoring Year 1 and Monitoring Year 5 totals.

*Volunteers of the following species, not initially recorded as planted, were counted: Ailanthus altissima (VP 2,9), Acer rubrum (VP 1,5,6,8), Betula nigra (VP 4,5,6,7,8,9), Carpinus caroliniana (VP 5), Cephalanthus occidentalis (VP 1,6), Cercis canadensis (VP 5), Cornus amomum (VP 3,4,8), Diospyros virginiana (VP 5,9), Fraxinus americana (VP 3,6,7,9), Juglans nigra (VP 7,8,9), Liquidambar styraciflua (VP 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9), Liriodendron tulipifera (VP 5,6), Platanus occidentalis (VP 1,2,5,6,9), Quercus alba (VP 1,2,5), Quercus * Liquidambar styraciflua were too numerous to count where new volunteers were noted.

APPENDIX D

STREAM ASSESSMENT DATA

APPENDIX D PHOTOLOG WELLS CREEK (REACH 2)

CROSS-SECTIONS & PHOTOPOINTS



Cross-Section 9: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 10: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 11: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 9: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 10: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 11: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 12: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Cross-Section 12: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 5: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 5: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 5: Facing Channel (5-12-2009).



Photo point 6: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 6: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 6: Facing Channel (5-12-2009).



Photo point 7: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 7: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 7: Facing Channel (5-12-2009).



Photo point 8: View Downstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 8: View Upstream (5-12-2009).



Photo point 8: Facing Channel (5-12-2009).



Photo point 9: View Downstream (10-8-2009).



Photo point 9: View Upstream (10-8-2009).



Photo point 9: Facing Channel (10-8-2009).

APPENDIX D PHOTOLOG – WELLS CREEK (REACH 1)

CROSS-SECTIONS & PHOTOPOINTS



Cross-Section 1: View Downstream (3-12-2009).



Cross-Section 2: View Downstream (3-12-2009).



Cross-Section 3: View Downstream (3-18-2009).



Cross-Section 1: View Upstream (3-12-2009).



Cross-Section 2: View Upstream (3-12-2009).



Cross-Section 3: View Upstream (3-18-2009).


Cross-Section 4: View Downstream (3-18-2009).



Cross-Section 4: View Upstream (11-6-2008).



Photo point 1: View Upstream (3-12-2009).



Photo point 1: View Downstream (3-12-2009).



Photo point 1: Facing Channel (3-12-2009).



Photo point 2: View Upstream (3-12-2009).



Photo point 2: View Downstream (3-12-2009).



Photo point 2: Facing Channel (3-12-2009).



Photo point 3: View Upstream (3-18-2009).



Photo point 3: View Downstream (3-18-2009).



Photo point 3: Facing Channel (3-18-2009).



Photo point 4: View Upstream (3-18-2009).



Photo point 4: View Downstream (3-18-2009).



Photo point 4: Facing Channel (3-18-2009).

APPENDIX D PHOTOLOG WELLS CREEK (UT)

CROSS SECTIONS AND PHOTO POINTS



Cross-Section 5: View Downstream (3-24-2009).



Cross-Section 6: View Downstream (3-24-2009).



Cross-Section 7: View Downstream (3-25-2009).



Cross-Section 5: View Upstream (3-24-2009).



Cross-Section 6: View Upstream (3-24-2009).



Cross-Section 7: View Upstream (3-25-2009).



Cross-Section 8: View Downstream (3-25-2009).



Cross-Section 8: View Upstream (3-25-2009).



Photo point 10: View Downstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 10: View Upstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 10: Facing Channel (3-24-2009).



Photo point 11: View Downstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 11: View Upstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 11: Facing Channel (3-24-2009).



Photo point 12: View Downstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 12: View Upstream (3-24-2009).



Photo point 12: Facing Channel (3-24-2009).

	Wells Creek									
Segment/Reach: 1 (1241 feet)										
Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(#Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Performing in Stable Condition	Feature Performance Mean or Tota				
A. Riffles	1. Present	12	15	NA	80%					
	2. Armor stable	12	15	NA	80%					
	3. Facet grade appears stable	12	15	NA	80%					
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining	7	15	NA	47%					
	5. Length appropriate	12	15	NA	80%	73%				
3. Pools	1. Present	16	18	NA	89%					
	2. Sufficiently deep	16	18	NA	89%					
	3. Length appropriate	16	18	NA	89%	89%				
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering	5	6	NA	83%					
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering	5	5	NA	100%	92%				
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion	9	10	NA	NA 90%					
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation	1	1	NA	100%					
	3. Apparent Rc within specifications	7	10	NA	70%					
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief	10	10	NA	100%	90%				
E. Bed General	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	4/112.9	89%					
	2. Channel bed degradation - areas of increasing down cutting or head cutting	NA	NA	0/0	100%	95%				
F. Bank Condition	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	2/39.9	98%	98%				
G. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	1. Free of back or arm scour	14	14	NA	100%					
	2. Height appropriate	14	14	NA	100%					
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate	14	14	NA	100%					
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures	13	14	NA	93%	98%				
I. Wads and Boulders	1. Free of scour	15	16	NA	94%					
	2. Footing stable	16	16	NA	100%	97%				

	Wells Creek									
Segment/Reach: 2 (1153 feet)										
Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(#Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Performing in Stable Condition	Feature Performance Mean or Tota				
A. Riffles	1. Present	10	10	NA	100%					
	2. Armor stable	10	10	NA	100%					
	3. Facet grade appears stable	9	10	NA	90%					
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining	10	10	NA	100%					
	5. Length appropriate	6	10	NA	60%	90%				
3. Pools	1. Present	12	13	NA	92%					
	2. Sufficiently deep	12	13	NA	92%					
	3. Length appropriate	11	13	NA	85%	90%				
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering	6	6	NA	100%					
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering	5	5	NA	100%	100%				
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion	uter bend in state of limited/controlled erosion 6 10 NA 6	60%							
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation	2	4	NA	50%					
	3. Apparent Rc within specifications	9	10	NA	90%					
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief	10	10	NA	100%	75%				
. Bed General	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0/0	100%					
	2. Channel bed degradation - areas of increasing down cutting or head cutting	NA	NA	0/0	100%	100%				
F. Bank Condition	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	11/162.7	92%	92%				
G. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	1. Free of back or arm scour	11	13	NA	85%					
	2. Height appropriate	13	13	NA	100%					
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate	11	13	NA	85%					
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures	11	13	NA	85%	88%				
I. Wads and Boulders	1. Free of scour	4	6	NA	67%					
	2. Footing stable	6	6	NA	100%	83%				

	Wells Creek										
Segment/Reach: UT (1013 feet)											
Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(#Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Total Number / feet in unstable state	% Performing in Stable Condition	Feature Performance Mean or Tota					
A. Riffles	1. Present	15	15	NA	100%						
	2. Armor stable	15	15	NA	100%						
	3. Facet grade appears stable	12	15	NA	80%						
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining	12	15	NA	80%						
	5. Length appropriate	12	15	NA	80%	88%					
3. Pools	1. Present	17	17	NA	100%						
	2. Sufficiently deep	17	17	NA	100%						
	3. Length appropriate	16	17	NA	94%	98%					
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering	6	6	NA	100%						
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering	6	6	NA	100%	100%					
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion	12	13	NA	92%						
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation	1	1	NA	100%						
	3. Apparent Rc within specifications	10	11	NA	91%						
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief	13	13	NA	100%	96%					
E. Bed General	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	5/93.1	91%						
	2. Channel bed degradation - areas of increasing down cutting or head cutting	NA	NA	0/0	100%	96%					
F. Bank Condition	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	3/24.5	99%	99%					
G. Vanes / J Hooks etc.	1. Free of back or arm scour	13	13	NA	100%						
	2. Height appropriate	13	13	NA	100%						
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate	12	13	NA	92%						
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures	13	13	NA	100%	98%					
H. Wads and Boulders	1. Free of scour	13	16	NA	81%						
	2. Footing stable	13	16	NA	81%	81%					

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo # (if available)	
7/19/2006	Unknown	Bankfull event recorded: evident by crest stage gauge (0.6" wet on the measuring stick).	no photo	
1/19/2007	Unknown	Bankfull event recorded: evident by crest stage gauge (7.0" wet on the measuring stick).	no photo	
4/5/2007	Unknown	Crest gauge reading of 4.75 inches over bankfull (located at 0.00 inches on gauge).	no photo	
6/4/2007	6/3/2007	Bankfull event observed as a result of ~1.5 inch rainfall event. Wrack lines noted.	no photo	
2/1/2008	Unknown	Crest gauge reading of 5.0 inches over bankfull (located at 0.00 inches on gauge). Wrack lines noted.	no photo	
9/1/2008	8/27/2008 - 8/28/2008	According to NCDC Station Coop ID 313555 - Graham ENE, NC , 6.58 inches of precipitation fell on this day. It was assumed, but not verified, that this rainfall produced a bankfull event.	no photo	
9/8/2008	Unknown	Bankfull evidence found on 9/8/2008. Actual date of storm event unknown. Note wrack lines located above the top of bank elevation in photo.	Photo 6 in Monitoring Year 4 SR-1 SPA Photolog	
3/12/2009	Unknown	Bankfull evidence found on 3/12/2009. Actual date of storm event unknown. Note wrack lines located at left of center in photo.	Photo 5 in Monitoring Year 5 SR-1 SPA Photolog	







659 658 657 Cross Section Overlay (Years 0 - 5) 656 Well Creek - Reach 1 655 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 654 653 655 654 653 652 651 655 652 651 653 652 654 653 655 654 656 655 657 Gata 648 647 644 Gata 644 Gata 644 Gata 641 Gata 642 Bankfull 643 Gata 639 Gata 638 Gata			
657 Cross Section Overlay (Years 0 - 5) 656 Well Creek - Reach 1 655 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 654 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 653 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 654 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 655 Geometry 654 Geometry 655 Geometry 654 Geometry 655 Geometry 646 Geometry 647 Geometry 648 Geometry 644 Geometry 645 Geometry 646 Geometry 647 Geometry 648 Geometry 644 Geometry 643 Geometry			
Well Creek - Reach 1 Cross Section #4 (Pool) 654 653 654 653 654 653 654 653 654 653 654 653 654 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 644 643 8ankfull 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 645 646 647			
Cross Section #4 (Pool) 655 654 653 652 651 650 649 648 647 646 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 643 644 643 644 643 644 641 642 643 644 641 642 639			
653 654 653 652 651 650 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 648 647 642 643 642 643 642 643 641 640 639			
653 652 651 650 649 648 647 648 647 644 643 642 644 643 642 644 643 642 644 643 642 644 643 642 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 644			
652 651 650 649 648 647 646 645 644 643 642 644 643 642 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 643 644 644			
651 650 649 648 647 646 645 644 643 642 644 644 644 644 640 639			
650 649 648 647 646 645 644 643 642 641 640 639		i	
649 648 647 646 645 645 644 643 642 642 642 641 640 639			
648 647 646 645 645 644 643 644 644 642 644 642 644 642 644 642 642			
648 647 646 645 644 643 642 642 641 641 640 639	,		
647 646 645 644 643 642 641 641 640 639		<u>1</u>	
646 645 644 643 643 642 641 640 639		<u>i</u>	
644 643 642 641 641 640 639	<i></i>	<u>}</u>	
644 643 642 641 641 640 639	7	<u> </u>	
641 640 639		<u> </u>	
641 640 639			
641 640 639			
640 639			
639			
638 +			
637 +			
636 +		ar 1 (7-28-2005)	
635 +		ar 2 (5-18-2006)	
634		ar 3 (4-11-2007)	
633		ar 4 (3-20-2008)	
632		ar 5 (3-18-2009)	
631	AS-	Built (6-14-2004)]
630			
629			
628			
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70	80	90	
Distance (feet)			10























PEBBLI Site: Wells	E COUNT Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ &	& PDB			U ENGIN	IEERING	GROUP	2
Date: 10/7/	09			PA		OUNT	
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		CS 1	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6	6	11%	11%
	Very Fine	.062125		4	4	7%	18%
	Fine	.12525	S A	23	23	40%	58%
	Medium	.2550		6	6	11%	68%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		5	5	9%	77%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		7	7	12%	89%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\square	1	1	2%	91%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \		0	0%	91%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8			0	0%	91%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	91%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16			0	0%	91%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— È /		0	0%	91%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		2	2	4%	95%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45			0	0%	95%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	2%	96%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		1	1	2%	98%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	98%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180			0	0%	98%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	98%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362		1	1	2%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS>	57	100%	100%



PEBBLE Site: Wells	E COUNT Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ &	& PDB			U ENGIN	EERING	GROUP	2
Date: 10/7/	09			PA		OUNT	
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		CS 2	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	6	6	10%	10%
	Very Fine	.062125		11	11	19%	29%
	Fine	.12525	S A	7	7	12%	41%
	Medium	.2550		4	4	7%	48%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		7	7	12%	60%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		4	4	7%	67%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\square	1	1	2%	69%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \	1	1	2%	71%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8		1	1	2%	72%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	72%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		3	3	5%	78%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— Ě /	2	2	3%	81%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		3	3	5%	86%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		3	3	5%	91%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	2%	93%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		2	2	3%	97%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	97%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180		2	2	3%	100%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS	58	100%	100%



PEBBLI Site: Wells	E COUNT Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ &	& PDB			ENGIN	EERING	GROUP	2
Date: 10/7/	09				RTICLE C	OUNT	
Inches Particle Millimeters				CS 3	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	10	10	22%	22%
	Very Fine	.062125			0	0%	22%
	Fine	.12525	S A	3	3	7%	29%
	Medium	.2550		12	12	27%	56%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		14	14	31%	87%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		2	2	4%	91%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0		1	1	2%	93%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \		0	0%	93%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8		2	2	4%	98%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	98%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		1	1	2%	100%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— È /		0	0%	100%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32			0	0%	100%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45			0	0%	100%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64			0	0%	100%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90			0	0%	100%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	100%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180			0	0%	100%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512	BOULDER		0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS	45	100%	100%



PEBBLE	E COUNT			00	-	-	
Site: Wells	Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ &	& PDB			ENGIN	IEERING	GROUP	
Date: 10/7/0)9					OUNT	
Inches	nches Particle Millimeters			CS 4	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	9	9	14%	14%
	Very Fine	.062125		3	3	5%	18%
	Fine	.12525	s v	5	5	8%	26%
	Medium	.2550		12	12	18%	45%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		3	3	5%	49%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		4	4	6%	55%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown	1	1	2%	57%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \	1	1	2%	58%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8			0	0%	58%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		3	3	5%	63%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		3	3	5%	68%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— Ě /	1	1	2%	69%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		2	2	3%	72%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		6	6	9%	82%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		6	6	9%	91%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		2	2	3%	94%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		3	3	5%	98%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180			0	0%	98%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	98%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	98%
14.3-20	Small	362-512	BOULDER		0	0%	98%
20-40	Medium	512-1024	L BOULDER /		0	0%	98%
40-80	Large	1024-2048		1	1	2%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS	65	100%	100%



PEBBL Site: Wells	E COUNT Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ	& PDB			ENGIN	IEERING	GROUP	
Date: 10/7/	09			РА		OUNT	
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		CS 5	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	4	4	7%	7%
	Very Fine	.062125	\frown	1	5	8%	15%
	Fine	.12525	s	2	7	12%	27%
	Medium	.2550		4	4	7%	33%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		7	7	12%	45%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		18	18	30%	75%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown	1	1	2%	77%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \	3	3	5%	82%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8		1	1	2%	83%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		1	1	2%	85%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		1	1	2%	87%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— È /		0	0%	87%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		2	2	3%	90%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse			2	2	3%	93%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse			1	1	2%	95%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		1	1	2%	97%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		1	1	2%	98%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180			0	0%	98%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	98%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362		1	1	2%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024	L BOOLDER /		0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS>	60	100%	100%



PEBBL	E COUNT			00			
Site: Wells	Creek			SS			
Party: IPJ a	& PDB			U ENGIN	NEERING	GROUP	
Date: 10/7/09				DA			
Date. 10///	09			CS 6			
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		0.50	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	12	12	16%	16%
	Very Fine	.062125			12	16%	31%
	Fine	.12525	s		12	16%	47%
	Medium	.2550		2	2	3%	49%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		3	3	4%	53%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		8	8	10%	64%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown	2	2	3%	66%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7		1	1	1%	68%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R	8	8	10%	78%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		4	4	5%	83%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		3	3	4%	87%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	È –	3	3	4%	91%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		1	1	1%	92%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45			0	0%	92%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64	\sim	1	1	1%	94%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		2	2	3%	96%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	96%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180		3	3	4%	100%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024	BOULDER		0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS>	77	1 00 %	1 00 %



PEBBL Site: Wells	E COUNT Creek	SSEPI ENGINEERING GROUP					
Party: IPJ	& PDB			U ENGIN	EERING	GROUP	
Date: 10/7/	09			PA		OUNT	
				CS 7			
Inches	Particle	Millimeters			TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	25	25	52%	52%
	Very Fine	.062125			0	0%	52%
	Fine	.12525	s s		0	0%	52%
	Medium	.2550			0	0%	52%
	Coarse	.50-1.0		1	1	2%	54%
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		3	3	6%	60%
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown	2	2	4%	65%
.1622	Fine	4-5.7		1	1	2%	67%
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R	5	5	10%	77%
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		3	3	6%	83%
.4463	Medium	11.3-16	A V	1	1	2%	85%
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6		5	5	10%	96%
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		1	1	2%	98%
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45			0	0%	98%
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	2%	100%
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90			0	0%	100%
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	100%
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180			0	0%	100%
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%
20-40	Medium	512-1024	BOULDER		0	0%	100%
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%
				TOTALS	48	100%	1 00 %



PEBBLE COUNT Site: Wells Creek			00						
		SSEPI							
Party: IPJ &	Party: IPJ & PDB		ENGINEERING GROUP						
Date: 10/7/09				РА		OUNT			
Inches	Particle	Millimeters			TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM		
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	13	13	26%	26%		
	Very Fine	.062125	\frown		0	0%	26%		
	Fine	.12525	s		0	0%	26%		
	Medium	.2550		2	2	4%	30%		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		6	6	12%	42%		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		10	10	20%	62%		
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\bigcirc	1	1	2%	64%		
.1622	Fine	4-5.7		1	1	2%	66%		
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R	2	2	4%	70%		
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	70%		
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		4	4	8%	78%		
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	E E	4	4	8%	86%		
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		2	2	4%	90%		
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		2	2	4%	94%		
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64			0	0%	94%		
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		1	1	2%	96%		
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	96%		
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180	\Box	2	2	4%	100%		
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%		
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%		
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%		
20-40	Medium	512-1024	BOULDER		0	0%	100%		
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%		
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%		
				TOTALS	50	1 00 %	100%		



PEBBLE COUNT Site: Wells Creek Party: IPJ & PDB		SSEPI						
		ENGINEERING GROUP						
Date: 10/7/	09			PARTICLE COUNT				
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		CS 9	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM	
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C		0	0%	0%	
	Very Fine	.062125			0	0%	0%	
	Fine	.12525	S A	1	1	2%	2%	
	Medium	.2550		5	5	9%	11%	
	Coarse	.50-1.0		4	4	8%	19%	
.0408	Very Coarse			7	7	13%	32%	
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\square		0	0%	32%	
.1622	Fine	4-5.7	G \	2	2	4%	36%	
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	R \	2	2	4%	40%	
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		1	1	2%	42%	
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		7	7	13%	55%	
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— È /	5	5	9%	64%	
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		5	5	9%	74%	
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse			3	3	6%	79%	
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		3	3	6%	85%	
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		4	4	8%	92%	
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		3	3	6%	98%	
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180	\square	1	1	2%	100%	
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%	
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%	
14.3-20	Small	362-512	(BOULDER)		0	0%	100%	
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	100%	
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%	
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%	
				TOTALS	53	100%	100%	



PEBBLE COUNT Site: Wells Creek			00						
		SSEPI							
Party: IPJ &	Party: IPJ & PDB		ENGINEERING GROUP						
Date: 10/7/09				PARTICLE COUNT					
Date: Territ				CS 10					
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		0010	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM		
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C		0	0%	0%		
	Very Fine	.062125	\frown		0	0%	0%		
	Fine	.12525	s s		0	0%	0%		
	Medium	.2550			0	0%	0%		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		38	38	69%	69%		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		9	9	16%	85%		
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown		0	0%	85%		
.1622	Fine	4-5.7			0	0%	85%		
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R		0	0%	85%		
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	85%		
.4463	Medium	11.3-16			0	0%	85%		
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	□ Ě		0	0%	85%		
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		1	1	2%	87%		
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45		3	3	5%	93%		
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	2%	95%		
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		2	2	4%	98%		
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	98%		
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180		1	1	2%	100%		
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	100%		
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%		
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%		
20-40	Medium	512-1024	BOULDER		0	0%	100%		
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%		
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%		
				TOTALS>	55	100%	100%		



PEBBLE COUNT				00					
Site: Wells Creek		SSEPI							
Party: IPJ &	A PDB		Engineering Group						
Date: 10/7/09			PARTICLE COUNT						
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		CS 11	ITEM %	% CUM			
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	7	7	12%	12%		
	Very Fine	.062125	\frown		0	0%	12%		
	Fine	.12525	s		0	0%	12%		
	Medium	.2550		5	5	9%	21%		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		8	8	14%	34%		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		18	18	31%	66%		
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown	1	1	2%	67%		
.1622	Fine	4-5.7		1	1	2%	69%		
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R	6	6	10%	79%		
.3144	Medium	8-11.3		2	2	3%	83%		
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		5	5	9%	91%		
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	— Ě /		0	0%	91%		
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		1	1	2%	93%		
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse				0	0%	93%		
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64		1	1	2%	95%		
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		1	1	2%	97%		
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128		1	1	2%	98%		
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180	\Box		0	0%	98%		
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256		1	1	2%	100%		
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	100%		
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	100%		
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	100%		
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	100%		
	Bedrock		BDRK		0	0%	100%		
				TOTALS	58	100%	100%		



PEBBLE COUNT Site: Wells Creek			00	-	-				
		SEPI							
Party: IPJ &	Party: IPJ & PDB		ENGINEERING GROUP						
Date: 10/7/09				DA					
Date. 10/7/	5			CS 12					
Inches	Particle	Millimeters		03 12	TOT#	ITEM %	% CUM		
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	4	4	7%	7%		
	Very Fine	.062125	\square		0	0%	7%		
	Fine	.12525	s		0	0%	7%		
	Medium	.2550		6	6	11%	18%		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		17	17	31%	49%		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		13	13	24%	73%		
.0816	Very Fine	2.0-4.0	\frown		0	0%	73%		
.1622	Fine	4-5.7			0	0%	73%		
.2231	Fine	5.7-8	G R	2	2	4%	76%		
.3144	Medium	8-11.3			0	0%	76%		
.4463	Medium	11.3-16		1	1	2%	78%		
.6389	Coarse	16-22.6	È –	1	1	2%	80%		
.89-1.26	Coarse	22.6-32		2	2	4%	84%		
1.26-1.77	Very Coarse	32-45			0	0%	84%		
1.77-2.5	Very Coarse	45-64	\bigcirc		0	0%	84%		
2.5-3.5	Small	64-90		1	1	2%	85%		
3.5-5.0	Small	90-128			0	0%	85%		
5.0-7.1	Large	128-180	\Box		0	0%	85%		
7.1-10.1	Large	180-256			0	0%	85%		
10.1-14.3	Small	256-362			0	0%	85%		
14.3-20	Small	362-512			0	0%	85%		
20-40	Medium	512-1024			0	0%	85%		
40-80	Large	1024-2048			0	0%	85%		
	Bedrock		BDRK	8	8	15%	100%		
				TOTALS	55	100%	100%		

