

# Jordan Lake Water Supply Allocation

Application

Don Rayno
Division of Water Resources

North Carolina

Department of Environment and Natural Resources



### Information Needs

- Updated data for hydrologic model
  - **Extend flow record?**
  - Update LWSP demand/supply projections
  - Revisit withdrawal/discharge relationships
  - Revise LWSPs
- JL Allocation Application Information
  - Some covered by model update data
  - Additional information required



# Applications requirements:

- Population and Demand to 2060
  - Include methodology and assumptions
- Presently available sources and yield
- Map of current and future service areas
- **Alternative sources** 
  - Potential yield, quality, costs
- Demand management practices
- Plans to utilize Jordan Lake
- Financial commitment statement
- Additional necessary information



### Decision by EMC based on:

- 20-year needs to be used < 5 years</p>
- 30-year needs to be used > 5 years
- Keeping 50% on the watershed?
- System needs and alternative sources
- Proposed average use of the resource relative to requested allocation
- Financial commitment relative to total cost
- Effects on yield of reservoir
- Level of facility sharing and cooperation



### **Application Contents**

- I. Water Demand Forecast
- II. Conservation and Demand Management
- III. Current Water Supply
- IV. Future Water Supply Needs
- V. Alternative Water Supplies
- VI. Plans to Use Jordan Lake



### I. Water Demand Forecast

- Average Daily Amounts
- **2010 2060**
- By use sector
  - Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Institutional, unique facilities
  - Describe members of each sector
- Usage rate by sector
  - Consider Demand Management (Section II)
- Explain Methodology / Assumptions



# **Project Sector Demand**

- Residential Use
  - **■**Population or dwelling units
    - (single / multi-family)
  - Effects of demand management program
  - Explain basis of usage rate
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Institutional
- Unique Facilities
- % for system processes & unaccounted-for



### **Demand Worksheet**

#### SECTION 7: WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS

7-A. Population to be Served	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
Year-Round											
Seasonal (if applicable)*											
*Please list the months of sea	sonal deman	d:				Attach	a detailed exp	olanation of h	ow projection	s were calcula	ated.

<u>Table 7-B. Projected Average Daily Service Area Demand in Million Gallons per Day (MGD).</u> (Does not include sales to other systems) Sub-divide each water use type as needed for projecting future water demands.

	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
(1) Residential											
(2) Commercial											
(2) 301111111111111											
(3) Industrial											
(4) Institutional											
(5) System Processes											
(6) Unaccounted-for water											
(7) Total Service Area Demand [sum (1) thru (6)]											

### 5-year or 10-year increments?

**Division of Water Resources** 



IODDANII AKE WAT	ED ALIDE		OCATION	DOLIND	<b>42</b>						
JORDAN LAKE WAT			JCA HON	ROUND	#3						
WATER DEMANDS E	BY SECTO	DR .									
WATER SERVICE PROVID	ER:										
ESTIMATED POPULATION	SERVED E	BY SYSTEM	M:		167,743	People					
AVERAGE DAILY WATER	DEMAND F	OR SYSTE	EM:		31.1000	MGD	(includes b	ulk water s	ales)		
Total Average Daily Water l					9.7790	MGD					
Total Average Daily Water l				sers:	3.2560	MGD					
Total Average Daily Water l					4.6870	MGD					
Total Average Daily Water l					1.9770	MGD					
Total Average Daily Water l	Jse for Instit	utional Use	ers:		2.9050	MGD					
Meter Size	5/8"	3/4"	1"	1.5"	2"	3"	4"	6"	8"	10"	
5/8" Meter Equiv. Ratios	1	1.5	2.5	5	8	15	25	50	80		
(Source: APWA, Manual C	-704)										
Single-Family Residenti	al Users				Average D	aily Water	Use For Cla	SS:	9.779		MGD
				Numbe	r of Custon	ers By Me	ter Size				Totals
	5/8"	3/4"	1"	1.5"	2"	3"	4"	6"	8"	10"	
# Accounts (Customers)	42,475		623	206	279	11	4	1	1	1	43,601
# Meters	42,475		623	206	279	11	4	1	1	1	43,601
Ratio	1	1.5	2.5	5	8	15	25	50	80		
Total Meter Equivalents	42,475.0	0.0	1,557.5	1,030.0	2,232.0	165.0	100.0	50.0	80.0		47,689.5
	Single-Far	nily Resid	ential Clas	s Usage Fa	actors:	Usage Pe	r Capita in G	SPD:			58.3
						Usage Pe	r Account (C	Customer) i	n GPD:		224.3
						Usage Pe	r 5/8" Meter	Equivalent	in GPD:		205.1
						_					

SUMMARY USAGE RATES	S:			
System Name:	0			
		GPD per	GPD per	GPD per
		Capita	Account	5/8" ME
Single-Family Residential		58.3	224.3	205.1
Multi-Family Residential		19.4	2,559.7	839.7
Commercial		27.9	1,431.1	441.5
Industrial		11.8	19,969.7	1,805.5
Institutional		17.3	3,863.0	822.2



# II. Conservation & Demand Management

- Cost-recovery Rate Structure
- Residential rates not declining
- Leak detection & repair Program
- Regular water audits
- Meter all water use (where practical)
- Consumer Education Program
- Evaluate reclaimed water options



### III. Current Water Supply

#### **SECTION 3: WATER SUPPLY SOURCES**

3-A. SURFACE WATER List surface water source information.	Mark and label locations of intakes on the System Map.
---	--

1 Name of Stream and/or Reservoir	2 Drainage Area	3 Is Withdrawal Metered?	4 Sub-Basin	5 Average Withdra for days	awal	6 Maximum Day Withdrawal		7* le Supply	System Limiting	8* Component Daily Output	9 Useable On-Stream Raw Water	10* R or
	Square Miles	Y/N		MGD	# of Days	MGD	MGD	Qualifier	Capacity MGD	System Component	Supply Storage Million Gallons	E
								Totals				

\*NOTES Column 7 Supply Qualifiers: C=Contract amount, \$Y20=20-year Safe Yield, \$Y50=50-year Safe Yield, \$F=20% of 7Q10 or other instream flow requirement, T=Treatment plant capacity, O=Qther (specify)

Column 8 Component: R=Raw water pumps, T=Treatment facilities, M=Transmission main, D=Distribution system, O=Other (specify)

Column 10 R=Regular Use, E=Emergency Use

3-F. GROUND W	ATER Li	ist well i	nformatio	n. <i>Mark a</i>	nd label ti	he location	of all wells	on the Syste	ет Мар.						
1 Name or Numb of Well		2 Well Depth	3 Casing Depth		4 Screen Depth		6 Pump Intake Depth	7 Is Well Metered?	8 Average Withdra for Days	wal	9 Maximum Day Withdrawal	10 12-Hour Supply	Hour System Compon		12* R or
		Feet	Feet	Top Feet	Bottom Feet	Inches	Feet	Y/N	MGD	# of Days	MGD	Million Gallons	Capacity MGD	System Component	E
						·			•						

#### 3.D. WATER PURCHASES FROM OTHER WATER SYSTEMS IN 2000 List all systems that can supply water to this system through existing interconnections (regular and emergency). Mark the locations of the connections on the System Map.

1 Water supplied by:		2 Average Dail	y Amount	3 Contract A	mount	4 Pipe Size(s)	5* R or E
Water System	PWSID	MGD	# of Days	MGD	Expiration Date	Inches	
							-



### III. Current Water Supply

Table 8-A. AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND AS PERCENT OF S	SUPPLY SI	now all qua	ntities in M	GD.							
Available Supply, MGD	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
(1) Existing Surface Water Supply (Item 3-B)											
(2) Existing Ground Water Supply (Item 3-G)											
(3) Existing Purchase Contracts (Item 3-E)											
(4) Future Supplies (Item 7-E)											
(5) Total Available Supply [sum (1) thru (4)]											

- Summarize data for all water sources (MGD)
- SW yield available
- GW 12-hour yield
- PW contract limit



### IV. Water Supply Needs

#### SECTION 8: FUTURE WATER SUPPLY NEEDS

Local governments should maintain adequate water supplies to ensure that average daily water demands do not exceed 80% of the available supply. Completion of the following table will demonstrate whether existing supplies are adequate to satisfy this requirement and when additional water supply will be needed.

Table 8-A. AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND AS PERCENT OF SUPPLY Show all quantities in MGD.

Table 6-A. AVERAGE DAILT DEMAND AS PERCENT OF		low all qua	Indices III IVI	OD.							
Available Supply, MGD	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060
(1) Existing Surface Water Supply (Item 3-B)											
(2) Existing Ground Water Supply (Item 3-G)											
(3) Existing Purchase Contracts (Item 3-E)											
(4) Future Supplies (Item 7-E)											
(5) Total Available Supply [sum (1) thru (4)]											
Average Daily Demand, MGD											
(6) Service Area Demand (Item 7-B, Line 7)											
(7) Existing Sales Contracts (Item 2-H)											
(8) Future Sales Contracts (Item 7-G)											
(9) Total Average Daily Demand [sum (6) thru (8)]											
(10) Demand as Percent of Supply [ (9) / (5) ] x 100											
(11) Supply Needed to maintain 80% [(9) / 0.8] - (5)											
Additional Information for Jordan Lake Allocation											
(12) Sales Under Existing Contracts											
(13) Expected Sales Under Future Contracts											
(14) Demand in each planning period [ (6)+(12)+(13) ]											
(15) Supply minus Demand [ (5) - (14) ]											



## V. Alternate Water Supplies

Future Supply Alternative List the components of ea	ach alterna	tive sc	enario including	the plann	ing period	when each	comp	onent will come	online.		
(Alternative #X)	2010	201	5 2020	2025	2030	2035	204	10 2045	2050	2055	2060
(1) Line (15) from Table 8-A "Existing Supply – Demand"											
(2) Available supply from Project 1 (describe)											
Available supply from Project 2 (describe)											
Available supply from Project 3 (describe)											
(3) Supply available for future needs [ (1) + (2) ]											
(4) Total discharge to Source Basin											
(5) Consumptive Use in Source Basin											
(6) Total discharge to Receiving Basin											
(7) Consumptive Use in Receiving Basin											
(8) Amount not returned to Source Basin [ (6) + (7) ]											
List details of the future supply options included in	this alte	rnativ	e in the table	below.	•						
Future Source or Facility Name	PWSIE (if purcha		Surface water o Ground water		Basin of ource	Water Qu Classifica		Additional Supply (MGD)	Develor Time y		Year Online

- Complete a table for each alternative that could meet future demands
- Describe each alternative
- Locations of SW withdrawals/discharges



# V. Alternative Comparisons

Alternatives	Summary Description
Alternative 1	
Alternative 2	
Alternative 3	
(etc.)	

	Alternatives				
	(Example)	2	3	4	5
Total Supply (MGD)	24				
Environmental Impacts	Worse				
Water Quality Classification	WS-III				
Interbasin Transfer (MGD)	3				
Regional Partnerships	Yes				
Technical Complexity	Complex				
Institutional Complexity	Not Complex				
Political Complexity	Very Complex				
Public Benefits	Few				
Consistency with Local Plans	Yes				
Total Cost (\$ Millions)	12.7				
Unit Cost (\$/1000 gallons)	2.12				



### V. Alternative Comparisons

- Technical Complexity
  - Not Complex, Complex, Very Complex
- Institutional Complexity
  - Not Complex, Complex, Very Complex
- Political Complexity
  - Not Complex, Complex, Very Complex
- Public Benefits
  - in addition to water supply
- Justify Responses



# V. Alternative Comparisons

- **Costs** (planning estimates)
- Capital Costs
  - Design & Construction
  - Land acquisition
  - Facilities and Equipment
- Operation and Management
- Contingency

Contingency	Project Is: Not Complex or Complex	Project Is: Very Complex	
Engineering Costs	.10	.20	
Legal & Administrative Costs	.05	.10	
Cost of Regulatory Requirements	.05	.10	
General Contingency	.10	.10	



### VI. Plans to Use Jordan Lake

- When will use begin
- Locations of intakes, discharges, and treatment facilities
- Cooperative arrangements
- Schedule of development