Blue rows = proposed full or partial funding in the scenario [preliminary]

Agenda Item H-9. Wastewater Emerging Contaminant Applications: Project Descriptions

Jodated Feb. 19)

| | (Updated Fe | b. 19) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| Application Number | Proposed Funding in Scenario? Reconsidered Appl. from Previous Round? | Instance ID Applicant Name | County | Designated Distressed? | Residential Connections | New Connections in Project | Funding Request per New Connection | Project Type | Project Name ti Landfill Leachate PFAS | Project Description | Result of AIA or MRF? | Additional Info. Added? | Application Preparer | Total Project Cost | Funding Requested | (may not apply for EC) | Emerging Contaminant s Points Verified | Difference in Points Claimed and Verified |
| 1 | . Yes | 162647 Cumberland County | Cumberland | Yes | 328 | 0 | · | on | Treatment | Install RO system to reduce PFAS. | | Χ | HDR | \$16,035,799 | \$16,035,799 | 37 | 37 | |
| 2 | N o Yes | 162732 Lumberton, City of | Robeson | | 7,040 | 0 | | Study | PFAS Wastewater Treatment Plant | Pilot testing for efficient removal PFAS from effluent and sludge holding basin. | | | The Wooten Company | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | 64 | 24 | 1.J.1 - not a construction project, 100% of construction costs are not related to treatment/new water supply, 1.J.3 awarded 2.D - narrative does not describe how project will lead to compliance 3.A.1 - no narrative 4.C.4 - no direct benefit shown |
| 3 | . No | Piedmont Triad 162814 Regional Water Authority | Randolph | | | 0 | | Study | PFAS Study RO Wastewate Stream | r Pilot study of RO concentrate stream at JFK WTP to determine best PFAS removal technology. | | | iedmont Triad egional Water Authority | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | 27 | 22 | 3.B Operating ratio <1 and Utility bill/MHI ratio not calculated because applicant is a water provider. |