# North Carolina Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Emerging Contaminants Funds (BIL DWSRF-EC) Intended Use Plan

# **Fiscal Year 2024** Original: September 2024

# Draft Amendment in February 2025 to Include the FY2022 and FY2023 Reallotments of Funds and Update Project Priority List

Changes to the IUP that are open for public comment on February 28 – March 14, 2025 are identified in red font text. The changes reflect the addition of FY2022 and FY2023 reallotment of funds to the BIL DWSRF-EC program, and an updated Project Priority List (Appendix B). Note that the rest of the Intended Use Plan (all items not in red font text) has already undergone a public comment period in June 2024 and is not subject to change.

Note that the Division is concurrently seeking public comments on a new Intended Use Plan (IUP) for the draft FY2025 IUP, which is a separate document from this FY2024 IUP.

**Division of Water Infrastructure** 

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

#### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Financial History	3
3.	Programmatic Goals	3
4.	Information on Activities to be Supported	5
5.	Criteria and Methods for Distributing Funds	7
6.	Programmatic Conditions	. 14
7.	Program Evaluation Report	. 15
8.	Public Review and Comment	. 15
9.	Budget and Project Periods	. 23

#### Appendices

Appendix A - Set Aside Activity Description

Appendix B - Intended Use Plan Project Priority List

Appendix C - FY 2024 (Cap Grant) Proposed Payment Schedule

Appendix D – Priority Rating System

### 1. Introduction

This Intended Use Plan (IUP) provides details on the Division of Water Infrastructure's (Division) intent to administer the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Emerging Contaminants (DWSRF-EC) and to apply for the FY2024 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) DWSRF-EC capitalization grant. North Carolina's FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant allotment is \$21,054,000. The original IUP was approved in September 2024 after a public comment period. The proposed changes to the original IUP are indicated in red font text.

The Division is applying for \$53,000 in FY2022 reallotment funds and \$596,000 in FY2023 reallotment funds, for a total of \$649,000, to be added to the FY2024 capitalization grant (for a new total of \$21,703,000). This additional funding will be applied entirely towards project funding (not to set-asides).

The Division intends to apply for any additional reallotment of funds and apply the funds towards project funding (not to set-asides).

The Division of Water Infrastructure (Division) is part of the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ). The Division administers financial assistance programs to assist eligible public water supply systems in constructing projects that both benefit public health and improve the human environment. Eligible public water supply systems<sup>1</sup> are local government units (LGUs), non-profit water/wastewater utilities, and investor-owned drinking water companies. Most of the customers of public water supply systems are served by local government units across North Carolina.

In 2013 the North Carolina General Assembly created the State Water Infrastructure Authority (Authority) to determine projects eligible for certain water infrastructure funding programs, including the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF), consistent with federal law. The priorities reflected in this document have been approved by the Authority.

Specific to this document, the Division administers the DWSRF program as established by the 1996 Amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), (P.L. 104-182), Section 1452. The DWSRF program offers loans to public water supply systems at interest rates lower than market rates for drinking water infrastructure. As a public water supply system repays the loan, the monies are again loaned out, hence the revolving nature of the program. All loan repayments must go back into the DWSRF. The DWSRF-EC funds will, however, be offered entirely as principal forgiveness loans, meaning that the eligible recipients of these funds would not need to repay these loans. This Intended Use Plan explains how the FY2024 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law DWSRF Emerging Contaminants capitalization grants will be used and how the DWSRF-EC will operate in accordance with Section 1452(b) of the SDWA.

The IUP is incorporated into the capitalization grant agreement and becomes the grant work plan. Combined, the operating agreement, grant agreement, IUP, SDWA, and state statutes set the program requirements for the BIL DWSRF-EC funds. The IUP identifies anticipated projects scheduled for funding commitments from the DWSRF-EC. It also explains how the BIL DWSRF-EC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For brevity, "LGUs" in this IUP refers to eligible entities, including local government units, non-profit utilities, and investor-owned drinking water utilities.

funds will utilize a priority rating system to identify those projects that will address the greatest need and/or provide the greatest positive public health impact on the water resources in North Carolina.

The BIL DWSRF-EC funds are made available as 100% principal forgiveness. There will not be any repayments associated with these funds.

## 2. Financial History

Congress appropriated funds to the DWSRF in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021, commonly referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL). The BIL appropriated additional funds for five fiscal years (FY2022-FY2026) to address Emerging Contaminants (BIL DWSRF-EC Funds). The BIL also appropriated funds specifically to supplement the DWSRF funds and to address lead service line replacement; plans for which are described in separate IUPs. This IUP will discuss the workplan for the BIL DWSRF-EC funds for the Fiscal Year 2024. North Carolina received \$23,360,000 for the FY 2022 and \$21,054,000 for the FY 2023 for addressing Emerging Contaminants in the Drinking Water.

For the FY 2024, North Carolina will receive \$21,054,000 for addressing Emerging Contaminants in drinking water, and \$649,000 in FY2022 and FY2023 reallotment of funds. There is no state match required for these funds.

BIL DWSRF-EC funds will not be used to satisfy debt security requirements.

### 3. Programmatic Goals

Pursuant to the SDWA, the State must identify the goals and objectives of the state fund. North Carolina has set its short- and long-term goals of this IUP to align with EPA's strategic goals and objectives FY2022-2026 EPA Strategic Plan, specifically Goal #5, to Ensure Clean and Safe Water for all communities and Objective 5.1 to Ensure Safe Drinking Water and Reliable Water Infrastructure. The Office of Water has identified specific measures that address the strategic goals and objectives outlined by EPA in its strategic plan. A basis for each goal in this program IUP has been identified. These references ensure that all the specific commitments made by the State are properly correlated to the strategic goals and objectives of the Agency. The State has the following goals for its BIL DWSRF-EC program:

3.1. Overall DWSRF Program Goal

Provide funding for drinking water infrastructure while advancing the NCDEQ's mission to provide science-based environmental stewardship for the health and prosperity of ALL North Carolinians and to advance the public health goals of Safe Drinking Water Act while targeting the systems with greatest needs.

- 3.2. Emerging Contaminants Funding Program Short-Term Goals
  - Goal #1: Inform LGUs of the availability of funds, benefits of the DWSRF-EC program, and funding process.

- Goals #2: Work closely with public water systems to address contamination of drinking water with PFAS (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances), initially through planning and assessment of solutions.
- 3.3. Long -Term Goals
  - Goal #1: Support North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Strategic Goal to strengthen North Carolina's infrastructure through thoughtful, strategic, and equitable investments in communities.
  - Goal #2: Support North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Strategic Goal to protect North Carolinians from exposures to emerging compounds using a transparent and science-based decision-making process, with special emphasis on reducing health risks associated with PFAS.
  - Goal #3: Support North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Strategic Objective to ensure funding processes include equitable access for underserved communities.
  - Goal #4: Support U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Strategic Goal 5 of ensuring clean and safe water for all communities.
  - Goal #5: Integrate the funding process with other DWSRF funding processes and continue to streamline them to ensure the funds are used in an expeditious and timely manner in accordance with the SDWA and applicable State laws as required by Section 1452(g)(3)(A) of the SDWA.
  - Goal #6: Ensure the technical integrity of DWSRF projects through diligent and effective planning, design, and construction management.
  - Goal #7: Ensure the Priority Rating System reflects NCDEQ's and the Authority's goals.
  - Goal #8: Provide technical and financial assistance to public water supply systems in adapting to changing drinking water quality standards and maintaining the health objectives of the SDWA.

# 4. Information on Activities to be Supported

North Carolina's DWSRF program will continue to be one of low-interest loans, supplemented with principal forgiveness as allowed by federal law. The DWSRF-EC funds will be entirely funded as principal forgiveness loans. The State intends to access 4% of the original FY2024 capitalization grant (\$842,160) for the administrative costs associated with running the program. These activities include application preparations and outreach, technical assistance, application review, engineering report and environmental document review, design review, funding processing, construction inspection, and disbursement processing and accounting for funded projects. The State is not intending to access any set-aside funds from additional funding received from reallotment of funds.

In addition to funding water infrastructure projects, the SDWA also allows the use of capitalization grant funds for non-project purposes. The 1996 SDWA added significant new program responsibilities for states and provided for their funding through the set-asides from the DWSRF for non-project activities. Set-asides are uses of DWSRF money which are allowed by the SDWA to further the objectives of the Act, but are not construction related. These activities include the following:

- Program administration
- Technical assistance to small systems
- Administration of the Public Water Supply Supervision Program (State Program Management), and
- Local assistance and other state programs.

Non-project activities may be carried out directly by the Division, by the Public Water Supply (PWS) Section of the Division of Water Resources in the North Carolina Department of Environment Quality, and through contracts with other agencies and organizations. **Please see Appendix A for more information about set-aside activities.** The administrative set-aside is administered by the Division of Water Infrastructure. The Division reserves the right to use unused portions of set-asides at a later date.

The Division reserves the authority to transfer BIL Emerging Contaminants funds between the DWSRF-EC and CWSRF-EC from these years' capitalization grants at a later date and apply it to a future year's capitalization grant. Any requests for transfer will be notified in writing to EPA.

The following table provides a summary of the projected funds available as a result of the Federal capitalization grant.

			Revenues			Expend	litures	Ne	t	
FY	Federal Cap	State Match	Repayments Principal	Repayments Interest	Interest Earned	Project Disbursements	Set-Asides	Net For FY	Cumulative Net	
2022	\$23,201,000	N/A						\$23,201,000	\$23,201,000	
2022 Reallotment	\$159,000	N/A						\$159,000	\$23,360,000	
2023	\$21,054,000	N/A						\$21,054,000	\$44,414,000	
Totals	\$44,414,000	\$0						\$44,414,000		
Projected Sour	ces and Uses f	or FY 2024 (bas	ed on Availabil	ity Model)				•		
			Revenues			Expend	itures	Net		
FY	Federal Cap	State Match	Repayments Principal	Repayments Interest	Interest Earned	Project Disbursements	Set-Asides	Net For FY	Cumulative Net	
2024	\$21,054,000	N/A				\$3,000,000	\$200,000	\$17,854,000	\$62,268,000	
FY2022 Reallotment	\$53,000	N/A								
FY2023 reallotment	\$596,000	N/A								
Totals	\$21,703,000	N/A				\$3,000,000	\$200,000	\$18,503,000	\$62,917,000	
Projected Uses	beyond FY 202	24								
Based on FY202	22 cap grant (pro	ojects already av	varded)			\$18,530,000	\$734,400	\$(19,264,400)	\$43,652,600	
Based on EV20'	22 - EV 2024 car	grants (project	s to he awarde	d in later funding	rounde)	\$41,968,280	\$1,684,320	\$(43,652,600)	\$0	

# Sources and Uses for the Life of the Program (updated February 2025 to include reallotments of funds)

Values in BLUE as approximate values.

## 5. Criteria and Methods for Distributing Funds

#### 5.1. Eligible Projects

For FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grants, eligible projects must address any perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) contaminants.

Priority will be given to eligible projects that address PFAS compounds exceeding proposed or promulgated Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) and/or Hazard Index.

Examples of eligible construction projects may include:

- Construction of a new treatment facility or upgrade to an existing treatment facility.
- Development of a new water supply source (i.e., new/replacement well or intake for a public water system).
- Consolidation with another water system that does not have emerging contaminants present or has removal capability.
- Creation of a new community water system or extension of a distribution system of a public water system to address unsafe drinking water provided by individual (i.e., privately-owned) wells or surface water sources by providing water that meets proposed standards.

Bottled water or point-of-use devices are not eligible expenses per federal program rules.

Only projects successfully claiming 1.J points <u>and</u> 2.H.3 or 2.H.4 points will be eligible for BIL DWSRF-EC funding. To claim 1.J.1 or 1.J.3 points, 100% of the project costs must be to address PFAS. Only the portion of the project costs associated with the project activities that address PFAS will be eligible for BIL DWSRF-EC disbursements.

#### 5.2. Project List and Prioritization

The Intended Use Plan Project Priority List may be supplemented or replaced based on applications received as a part of future funding cycles (see 5.3. below). Applications that are received in one funding cycle and are not selected for funding will be reconsidered in one more cycle (the next one) for funding.

Projects eligible for DWSRF-EC funds will be prioritized using a Priority Rating System consisting of elements of the Priority Rating System that are specific to the DWSRF-EC funding, as approved by the State Water Infrastructure Authority (see Appendix D). Applications will be ranked based on the following scores, in the following order: 1) total application score (points) of the Priority Rating System relevant for DWSRF-EC, 2) project purpose points, 3) project benefit points, and 4) affordability points.

The Priority Rating System considers four elements of a project: (1) project purpose, (2) project benefit, (3) system management, and (4) affordability.

For project purpose, the Priority Rating System places higher priority on construction projects over evaluation and assessment projects, and on projects with greater proportions of costs dedicated to addressing PFAS contamination. The full Priority Rating System provides points for other project purposes by which projects that are not DWSRF-EC eligible may be scored. An application will only receive priority points for one project purpose.

In terms of project benefits, priority is given to addressing source water or emerging contaminants issues, especially PFAS that exceed proposed or promulgated MCLs and the Hazard Index. Additional prioritization is provided to regionalization projects to incentivize regional approaches to addressing PFAS. The full Priority Rating System provides points for other project benefits by which projects that are not DWSRF-EC eligible may be scored.

In addition to addressing public health issues, the Priority Rating System supports those public water supply systems that seek to be proactive in their system management, including prioritization points for asset management plans and appropriate operating ratios.

The Priority Rating System also takes into account the ability of the applicant to afford projects. For example, those applicants who have a high poverty rate, high utility bills, lower population growth, lower median household incomes, and higher unemployment receive higher priority. Projects that primarily benefit subsets of the service population that are in disadvantaged areas also receive priority points. Local government units that are under the fiscal control of the Local Government Commission and that have required Emergency Operating Grants to balance their budgets are also prioritized.

Items in the Priority Rating System relating specifically to emerging contaminants include:

- Project purpose:
  - Projects where 100% of the project costs are to address PFAS contamination.
  - Projects where at least 75% of the project's costs are to address PFAS contamination.
  - Projects evaluating alternatives to address emerging contaminants (may include pilot scale treatment study)
- Project benefits:
  - Projects removing any PFAS compounds drinking water to below 10 ppt.
  - Projects resulting in waters meeting EPA's proposed or promulgated MCLs and/or Hazard Index for PFOA, PFOS, PFBS, PFNA, GenX and PFHxS.

#### 5.3. Application and Project Deadlines

The DWSRF program operates on a priority basis and accepts funding applications at various times of the year based on the type of Emerging Contaminants project. Projects are allocated funding in priority order (as noted above) until available funds in each funding round are exhausted and within special reserve requirements (e.g. Evaluation/Assessment Project Reserve, as described herein). Funding availability is determined based on the capitalization grants. Results will be posted on the Division's website.

The applications for <u>construction projects</u> (i.e., projects that qualify for line items 1.J.1 or 1.J.2 in the Priority Rating System) will be accepted and assessed semi-annually as part of the regular Division application rounds, using the common application form. BIL DWSRF-EC funds for construction projects will be made available for awards in up to two State Water Infrastructure Authority meetings during the year. These will occur at the same time that other construction project applications are awarded funding in the semi-annual process, e.g., from DWSRF funds.

Applications for <u>evaluation and assessment projects</u> (i.e., projects that qualify for line item 1.J.3 in the Priority Rating System) will be accepted year-round using a separate application form. BIL DWSRF-EC funds for evaluation and assessment projects will be made available for awards as part of several regular State Water Infrastructure Authority meetings during the year. The Division will establish application due dates for each funding cycle, which will be posted on the Division's website. Applications for evaluation and assessment projects can be submitted at any time. Complete and eligible applications received on or prior to an application due date will be considered for funding at the next scheduled SWIA meeting. Projects not selected for funding will be automatically reconsidered for the next funding cycle. Applications for evaluation and assessment projects will be funded from the reserve established for evaluation and assessment projects as described in Section 5.4.1.3.

Project funding for construction projects is contingent on adherence to the schedule below in accordance with §159G-41 (times listed are measured from Letter of Intent to Fund except as noted otherwise):

- 5.3.1. Funding application and supporting information must be received by the application deadline to be considered for any given funding cycle.
- 5.3.2. After the Authority provides final project rank eligibilities, the DWSRF program will issue Letters of Intent to Fund (LOIF) based on the projects' prioritization and the amount of funds being made available in the cycle.
- 5.3.3. Within four months of the issuance of the LOIF, a complete Engineering Report / Environmental Information Document must be submitted to the DWSRF program.
- 5.3.4. Within nine months, the Engineering Report / Environmental Information Document must be approved.
- 5.3.5. Within 15 months, complete plans and specifications must be submitted with copies of all required permits, encroachments, etc., or evidence that applications for remaining required permits have been submitted to the respective permitting agency. Complete Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan must be submitted.
- 5.3.6. Within 19 months, the plans/specifications and all required permits must be approved/issued.

- 5.3.7. Within 23 months, the following events/items must be completed/received:
  - 5.3.7.1. Advertisement of the project for bids
  - 5.3.7.2. Receipt of bids
  - 5.3.7.3. Submission of bid information to DWSRF staff
  - 5.3.7.4. Obtainment of the Division's Authority to Award Construction Contracts.
- 5.3.8. Within 24 months, construction contracts must be executed.

The milestones in the timeline above are absolute for all projects in a particular cycle and will not be extended except based upon a demonstrated need for extension by the public water system. Projects may be able to meet these milestones ahead of schedule. However, in the event that any milestone noted above is not met, work by the DWSRF staff may be suspended and all documents returned to the Applicant until the proposed project is resubmitted for consideration during a future cycle.

If an Applicant desires DWSRF funding and the Applicant's project requires an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Division staff will manage the environmental review process. However, a funding application for the project will not be accepted in any funding cycle until a draft EIS has been sent to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). In the event that a fundable project is in process and the environmental review completed within the timeline results in the conclusion that an EIS is required, then the milestone deadlines for the project will be suspended until a draft EIS has been sent to the SCH. After the draft EIS is sent to the SCH, the project must adhere to the same time frames specified above.

- 5.4. Detailed Project Funding Criteria
  - 5.4.1. General
    - 5.4.1.1. To be eligible for DWSRF-EC funding, a project must be on the Intended Use Plan Project List.
    - 5.4.1.2. Funding can be provided for any eligible projects (that address PFAS) as provided for in the Safe Drinking Water Act and NCGS 159G, including water treatment facilities, distribution systems, tanks, etc. that improve drinking water quality. DWSRF-EC funding can be provided for any SRFeligible project addressing PFAS contamination as demonstrated by successfully claiming Priority Rating System line items 1.J.1, 1.J.2, or 1.J.3, and 2.H.3 or 2.H.4
    - 5.4.1.3. Evaluation/Assessment Project Reserve: At least 50% of the DWSRF-EC funds available for projects will be reserved for projects to evaluate alternatives to address PFAS (i.e. pilot scale treatment studies, assessments and evaluations, etc.). Projects that qualify for line item 1.J.3

qualify for these project funds. If there are not enough eligible applications for evaluation projects to award at least 50% of the project funding by the second application round in which construction project applications are considered, the remainder of this reserve will be made available to other DWSRF-EC eligible projects in priority order. Funding may bypass a higher priority project to satisfy the Evaluation/Assessment Project Reserve. Any such bypassing will be shown in the Intended Use Plan Project Priority List.

- 5.4.1.4. At least 25% of DWSRF-EC funds will be provided to disadvantaged communities (as defined by the state under SDWA 1452(d)).
- 5.4.1.5. Funding will be provided in priority order based on project scores, Authority determination, and the amount of funds made available. Projects cannot be substantively changed once funding is allocated.
- 5.4.1.6. DWSRF-EC funds will be offered as 100% principal forgiveness.
- 5.4.1.7. The maximum DWSRF-EC funding amount for construction projects will be established at \$5,000,000 per applicant per year. This can be increased if funds are available at an increment of \$500,000 per project (up to total funding requested), in priority order, until available funding in the funding round is exhausted.
- 5.4.1.8. The maximum DWSRF-EC funding amount for evaluation projects will be established at \$500,000 per applicant per year.
- 5.4.1.9. Division reserves the right to borrow from future capitalization grants to meet the demand as and when needed.
- 5.4.2. Principal Forgiveness

DWSRF-EC funding will be provided with 100% principal forgiveness for the entire FY2024 capitalization grants, less the amounts used for set-asides and administrative costs. Total amounts available for principal forgiveness is estimated to be \$20,211,840 for FY2024 and \$649,000 from the reallotment of FY2022 and FY2023 funds.

- 5.4.3. Disadvantaged Communities and Small System Reserve
  - 5.4.3.1. A minimum of 25% of the DWSRF-EC funds, less the amounts used for set-asides and administrative costs (\$5,052,960 for FY2024 and \$162,250 from the reallotment of funds) will be awarded to disadvantaged communities as defined by the state under SDWA 1452(d) as described in

Sections 5.4.3.3 and 5.4.3.4, or to public water systems serving fewer than 25,000 people.

- 5.4.3.2. Funding may bypass a higher priority project to satisfy the Disadvantaged Communities and Small System Reserve. Any such bypassing will be shown in the Intended Use Plan Project Priority List.
- 5.4.3.3. Projects that qualify under the disadvantaged communities' criterion include projects from applicants that qualify under Affordability criteria defined in Sections 5.4.3.3.1 5.4.3.3.3, and projects for which at least 50% of the project costs are to benefit disadvantaged areas defined in Section 5.4.3.4.

#### Affordability:

- 5.4.3.3.1. LGUs with less than 20,000 residential water connections, at least three (3) of five (5) LGU economic indicators ("LGU indicators") worse than the state benchmark, an operating ratio (future) of less than 1.3 and either utility rates greater than the state median or project cost per connection that project to increase the utility rates above the 70<sup>th</sup> percentile of state-wide utility rates, or
- 5.4.3.3.2. LGUs that have been designated as Distressed per NCGS §159G-45 and either has utility rates greater than the state median or project cost per connection that project to increase the utility rates above the 70th percentile of state-wide utility rates, or
- 5.4.3.3.3. Local government units under the fiscal control of the Local Government Commission on the day of the application deadline.
- 5.4.3.4. "Disadvantaged areas" are subsections or pockets of a local government unit area or utility service area, not the entire local government unit area or entire utility service area. Disadvantaged areas are limited to less than half the number of total connections served by the applicant at the time of application. A project that benefits the entire local government unit area or entire utility service area is not eligible to be considered a "disadvantaged area" project.

Applicants can demonstrate a targeted project area as a disadvantaged area if it meets the size specifications above and it falls within a Potentially Underserved Block Group or Tribal boundary layer in the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Community Mapping System, or similar state or federal maps such as the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool.

Alternatively, Applicants can demonstrate a targeted project area is a disadvantaged area based on its socioeconomic data, which may be obtained for Census Block Groups or Census Tracts that provide the closest overlap with the targeted project area. Census Block Groups are recommended. A targeted project area will be considered a "disadvantaged area" based on at least three of the following factors:

- median household income of the targeted project area is lower than the state benchmark;
- poverty rate of the targeted project area is higher than the state benchmark;
- property values per capita of the targeted project area is lower than the state benchmark;
- unemployment rate of the targeted project area is higher than the state benchmark.

Additional factors that may qualify the targeted project area as disadvantaged, such as (but not limited to) demographic, historical, cultural, linguistic, socio-economic stressors, cost-of-living stressors, or existing contamination factors, may also be considered for targeted project areas that meet the size specification above.

Applicants must provide a narrative in the application to justify the targeted project area as disadvantaged using the factors above, and may use maps or other existing sources to document their justification.

#### 5.4.4. Capacity Development Reviews

5.4.4.1. All public water supply systems receiving funding from the DWSRF must be reviewed to ensure that they can demonstrate adequate technical, financial, and managerial capacity [per NCAC 15A 18C .0307(c)] to operate the water system in compliance with the SDWA. Capacity Development reviews for construction projects that require permitting will be done by the Public Water Supply Section. Emerging Contaminants study projects are not anticipated to have a Capacity Development review. However, project details resulting from the study projects will be sent to the Compliance agency for review. Division will work closely with Public Water Supply Section to develop scope and guidance requirements for Emerging Contaminants projects. A regulatory process was developed and has been approved by EPA as adequate to ensure technical, financial, and managerial capacity is demonstrated. This is measured by the issuance of an Authorization to Construct for the process occurring after capacity development criteria are reviewed and satisfied. A water system that lacks adequate capacity in one or more of these categories might remain eligible for funding if a strategy that would resolve the problem or issue can be developed and attached as a condition of the loan approval.

- 5.4.5. Miscellaneous Criteria/Provisions:
  - 5.4.5.1. Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rates apply to loans as required by funding agreements/conditions.
  - 5.4.5.2. American Iron and Steel provisions will apply to loans as required by Federal mandates.
  - 5.4.5.3. As required by Federal mandate, projects must comply with Executive Order 14030, *Climate-Related Financial Risk*, which reinstates EO 13690, *Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS)*.
  - 5.4.5.4. Build America, Buy America requirements will apply to funds as required by US EPA and by Federal mandates.
  - 5.4.5.5. Funding conditions for projects with capital improvements will include the requirement to develop an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan to plan for adequate long-term operations of the assets, including plans for covering the operating costs and technical capacity of staff to operate and maintain the assets.
  - 5.4.5.6. Funding conditions will specify that project costs that are paid by an identified Responsible Party are not eligible for DWSRF-EC funds and will be refunded to the Department.
  - 5.4.5.7. Approval of a repayable loan (not principal forgiveness) that may supplement a DWSRF-EC award is contingent on approval by the Local Government Commission (LGC).
  - 5.4.5.8. A 2% fee is required. The fee cannot be financed by the DWSRF-EC fund.

#### 6. Programmatic Conditions

6.1. Assurances and Specific Proposals

Pursuant to the SDWA, the State of North Carolina certifies that:

6.1.1. The State will enter into binding commitments for 100% of the amount of each payment received under the capitalization grant within one year after receipt of each payment.

- 6.1.2. The State will expend all funds in the DWSRF-EC in an expeditious and timely manner.
- 6.1.3. The State will conduct environmental reviews of treatment works projects according to procedures set forth in its Operating Agreement between the State and US Environmental Protection Agency.

#### 6.2. Federal Requirements

- 6.2.1. The State will ensure that all federal requirements are met as noted in the DWSRF Operating Agreement between the State and US Environmental Protection Agency and the Grant Agreement, including Single Audit, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise compliance, federal environmental crosscutters, and Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting requirements.
- 6.2.2. The State will enter all required reporting information at least quarterly into respective federal databases including FFATA and the SRF Data System.
- 6.2.3. The State will ensure that all applicants to the DWSRF program certify that they meet the fiscal sustainability planning requirements. Such certifications will be received by the time of funding offer.
- 6.3. Transfer between DWSRF-EC and Clean Water State Revolving Fund-EC Funds

Transfer of funds between the DWSRF-EC and the BIL Clean Water State Revolving Fund Emerging Contaminants funding are authorized by federal statutes. This IUP does not propose any such transfer of funds. However, the Division reserves the ability to make transfers in managing cash flow and meeting project demands. If such transfer takes place, a subsequent transfer will be made by transferring that amount back from the receiving fund to the providing fund (i.e., no permanent transfers) as soon as possible. Any requests for transfer will be notified in writing to EPA.

#### 7. Program Evaluation Report

DWSRF anticipates receiving and responding to the Program Evaluation Report (PER) for FY2024 funding in calendar year 2025, during and following the Annual Review. The Division participated in the EPA FY2023 Annual Review, which was kicked off on February 27-28, 2024. The State didn't have any audit findings during FY2023.

#### 8. Public Review and Comment

The Intended Use Plan is drafted by the Division, including the Priority Rating System and the funding awards as approved by the State Water Infrastructure Authority, and sent to EPA's Regional Office for review. Once the Division receives approval for the draft IUP, it is published for public review and comment. Links for the documents and notification to the public are done through the Department's website and email notifications sent to various listservs. Once the public review is completed, the Division prepares responses to any comments received and any updates to the IUP, if necessary. If no changes are proposed by the Division, a reason is provided as the

response and all of these comments and responses become part of the final IUP.

In February 2024, the State Water Infrastructure Authority reviewed and updated the Priority Rating System (PRS) and approved the draft to be posted for public comments. The Division presented the proposed Priority Rating System in a separate public comment period between March 1 and April 1, 2024. Comments and questions received on the Priority Rating System, and responses, are below. The Division presented the public comments to the State Water Infrastructure Authority in April 2024, which then finalized the Priority Rating System that is being adopted in the FY2024 IUP, in Appendix D.

The Division also conducted a public comment period for the FY2024 base DWSRF and the FY2024 BIL DWSRF General Supplemental Intended Use Plan, to cover the rest of the IUP, between May 31 and July 1, 2024. Comments and responses are below.

The Division is currently undergoing a public comment period between February 28 and March 14, 2025, to cover the additions of the FY2022 and FY2023 reallotments of funds to the FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant. In addition, the Project Priority List (Appendix B) has been updated to reflect funding awards to projects made since the last public comment period (i.e., from July 2024 through February 2025). All changes to the IUP are reflected in red font text. Public comments on the additions to the IUP (i.e., on the red font text) will be accepted until 5:00pm Eastern Time on March 14, 2025. The rest of the IUP is considered final and not subject to change.

#### Priority Rating System (public comments received between March 1 – April 1, 2024):

- Comment: It is difficult to comment on the proposed PRS without associated Intended Use Plans or guidance to understand eligibility and prioritization of how funding is distributed.
- Response: We understand the value of posting both the IUP and PRS for public comment at the same time. At this time, the FY2024 allotments for SRF and BIL funds are not available, and therefore the draft IUPs are not ready for public comment. Once the allotments are announced, the draft IUPs will be made available in a separate public comment period. **No action required.**
- Comment: With the proposed changes in the PRS, applicants will have to make a decision for a single project to apply for a lower project purpose score (1.J.1) to qualify for grant funding as opposed to selecting the higher scoring project purpose (1.C). For example, a single project could qualify for both 1.C and 1.J.1 line items; the same project/applicant could apply twice for the same project and have 2 separate PRS that score differently in order to be able to qualify for the EC PF funds and also be competitive for loan funding. A potential solution would be to have separate funding buckets identified in the Intended Use Plan for specific project types (emerging contaminants bucket and other eligible projects bucket) to reflect the project-type priorities of the Division of Water Infrastructure and the State Water Infrastructure Authority.

- Response: The 1.C and 1.J.1 line items score the same at 12 points. These line items often are combined with other line items for additional points, such as the 1.C.1 line item for eight points in addition to Line Item 1.C, and the 2.H.4 line item for five points in addition to Line Item 1.J.1. These line items are added as applicable to the project. There may be some projects that can claim a different combination of points but only one priority purpose (Category 1) line item will be recognized for each priority score. However, it is the intent of the Division to simplify the application process and have all applicants submit only one application per project and be considered for all applicable funding programs. For a project that qualifies both as an Emerging Contaminants project (with the relevant combination of Line Items 1.J and 2.H) by replacing or rehabilitating infrastructure (with the relevant line item 1.C), the applicant can and should claim all line items in the application (i.e. both 1.C and 1.J, including corresponding narratives describing all requirements and supporting documentation as per the PRS Guidance). The Division will apply the 1.J line item score for prioritization for the Emerging Contaminants funding program, and alternatively apply the 1.C line item score for prioritization for all other funding programs. By doing so, the applicant does not need to choose between the two project purpose line items when applying (they should claim both), provided that the project qualifies and is eligible for both purposes as defined in the Guidance document. Further, the Division may consider separate reserves for different funding needs, which will be addressed in the Intended Use Plan (and its associated public comment period), but this would not affect the Priority Rating System. No change to the PRS.
- Comment: The proposed changes score critical public health issues less than other general project benefits. For example, Line Item 2.G – Project addresses low pressure receives 10 points which is the same as the new 2.H.1 – Project addresses acute contamination of a water supply source proposed score of 10 points and twice as much as 2.H.4 – Project addresses PFAS exceeding proposed MCL or Hazard Index. It appears, addressing contamination and its direct public health impacts is now a lower priority for the Division of Water Infrastructure based on the proposed priority rating system; and specifically addressing PFAS is a lower priority than other contamination or addressing other "promulgated but not yet effective regulations."
- Response: Addressing public health is embedded throughout the Priority Rating System, not exclusively in the 2.H line item points. Line Item 2.G – Project addresses low pressure – also addresses public health since low pressure could lead to acute contamination of drinking water and boil water advisories. Addressing acute contamination is the higher priority for protecting public health, followed by addressing contamination that is nonacute. To better reflect this, **the Staff recommend restoring Line Item 2.H.1 – Project addresses acute contamination of a water supply source – to 15 points** to raise the project benefit points for related projects. Projects that address contamination that is not acute will score 2 to 5 points in addition to other related project benefits. Projects that directly address PFAS are eligible for Line Items 1.J.1 – 1.J.3 or possibly Line Item

1.C, which, when combined with Line Items 2.H.3 and 2.H.4, provide a high project priority score to address the public health concern. Lastly, in anticipation that proposed Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) and/or Hazard Index for PFAS compounds may be promulgated in the coming months, the Staff recommend including promulgated MCL and Hazard Index to Line Item 2.H.4, i.e. Line Item 2.H.4 is to be labeled "Project addresses PFAS exceeding proposed <u>or promulgated</u> MCL or Hazard Index."

#### Public comments on the Intended Use Plan received between May 31 – July 1, 2024:

#### Programmatic Requirements

Comment: The Division, through its application processes, has a valuable opportunity to inform environmental justice efforts and ensure the equitable distribution of federal and state funding. We encourage the Division to make a concerted effort to collect data and compile maps on service boundaries and infrastructure asset locations. This data would add a valuable layer to the Environmental Justice Advisory Council to oversee the development of an environmental justice mapping tool.

> Encourage the Division to make data collection and comprehensive mapping of service boundaries and infrastructure assets a priority in its guidance and requirements. With any application, the Division should heavily encourage the submission of existing service boundary and asset information, including maps. We stop short of recommending this be a requirement; however, we do recommend that any utility that receives an award from SWIA and the Division be required to submit copies of any relevant service boundary and asset data that arise from the project. These projects are being paid for with public funds and the public deserves to benefit from the associated work.

This information can substantially inform efforts to identify disadvantaged communities that lack adequate water and sewer service and the equitable distribution of federal and state funds. We encourage the Division to take the lead where it is uniquely suited to aid these efforts.

Response: There are existing efforts by which service area boundary maps are already being collected for community water systems. The US Environmental Protection Agency has recently published an interactive "Community Water System Service Area Boundaries" map, attempting to display community water systems' service area boundaries across the country. The Division of Water Resources' Local Water Supply Plans include a requirement for community water systems to submit a system map at least every five years. These efforts include more community water systems than those that are funded by the Division of Water Infrastructure. Not all funded projects produce asset location or system map data. The Division's Asset Inventory and Assessment funding program – which is not part of the State Revolving Funds – usually produces a system map for the purposes of the local government/utility to use in its own infrastructure planning purposes. Further, the Division's Viable Utilities Program – also not part of the State Revolving Funds – requires that local governments designated as Distressed map their systems as part of their statutory requirements. Compilation of maps on infrastructure asset locations for other purposes will probably require agreements with all relevant parties and will need to be explored further by the Division. **No change to the Intended Use Plan.** 

- Comment: Recommend the State Water Infrastructure Authority (SWIA) and the Division look to the state's new Uniform Floodplain Management Policy (UFMP) for consistency in terms of resilience. While the policy applies to state-owned or state-leased construction and not all state-funded construction, we believe the policies outlined in the UFMP are sound and worth incorporating where feasible. The UFMP calls for no construction in the 100-year or 500-year floodplain except for certain categorical allowances and the potential for a waiver for individual projects. Recommend SWIA adopt a similar threshold and waiver system for its awarded projects, drawing on the Authority's own statutory authority under NCGS 159-71(1) and (2). Consideration of resilience when awarding projects will decrease the chance that infrastructure is damaged or destroyed before the end of its useful life cycle and ensures the prudent use of public funds.
- Response: While the UFMP does not apply to all state-funded projects, protecting infrastructure against floods is prudent. The Priority Rating System provides prioritization for projects that would move infrastructure outside of the floodplains or would fortify infrastructure. Relatedly, and more directly affecting State Revolving Fund projects, on May 20, 2021, President Biden signed Executive Order (EO) 14030, Climate-Related Financial Risk, reinstating EO 13690, Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS). This action reestablished the FFRMS for all federally-funded projects – including the State Revolving Fund projects – since FY 2022. The FFRMS will increase the resilience of infrastructure for flooding events caused by climate disasters. The FFRMS describes three approaches for determining the vertical flood elevation and corresponding horizontal floodplain for federally-funded projects. The FFRMS applies to actions where federal funds are used for new construction, substantial improvement (i.e., projects worth more than 50% of the market value or replacement cost of the facility), or to address substantial damage to structures and facilities. SRF-funded projects must check whether any proposed project activities will occur in or affect a 500-year floodplain and evaluate potential measures to avoid adversely affecting the floodplain. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) products, such as flood maps and Flood Insurance Studies (FIS) can be used to determine if an action occurs in a floodplain. Compliance with EO 14030 is a requirement of SRF projects. The Division has developed guidance for SRF projects and published it on our website and will add a statement in the Intended Use Plan acknowledging that SRF-funded projects must comply with EO 14030.

#### Set-Asides

- Comment: Recommend using all available set asides, specifically the 2 percent allowed for technical assistance to small systems and 15 percent for local assistance and other programs. Maximizing set-aside funding would allow the Division to continue helping those jurisdictions develop information related to mapping and inventory as well as generally expanding applicant capacity. Further, technical and local assistance efforts would aid in program-specific goals such as identifying the presence and extent of lead service lines or emerging compound contamination. Finally, increasing these set-asides would show a full commitment to the Division's long-term goal #3, ensuring "funding processes include equitable access for underserved communities." It would also support goal 2 of EPA's FY2022-2026 Strategic Plan to "take decisive action to advance environmental justice and civil rights."
- Response: Set-aside amounts are already maximized in the base DWSRF and the BIL DWSRF General Supplemental capitalization grants, including all of the 2 percent for technical assistance to small systems and the 15 percent for local assistance and other programs. No change to the Base DWSRF and BIL DWSRF-General Supplemental **Intended Use Plan.** Similarly, set-asides are maximized in the BIL DWSRF-Lead Service Line Replacement Intended Use Plan, except that only 10 percent of the 15 percent for local assistance and other programs can be used, according to the capitalization grant rules, for any single activity (which includes contracted work to inventory service lines, per the IUP). No change to the BIL DWSRF-LSLR Intended Use Plan. However, because the capitalization grant for the BIL DWSRF Emerging Contaminants is so limited (less than \$30 million) and the funding needs to address PFAS are large in North Carolina, set-asides are intentionally minimized in that capitalization grant Intended Use Plan in order to increase the limited amount of funds available for projects, including the Evaluation/Assessment Study Reserve. No change to the BIL DWSRF-Emerging Contaminants Intended Use Plan. The state funding program for Asset Inventory and Assessments provides grants to small local governments to map and inventory their assets, which is also a requirement of all local governments designated as Distressed under the Viable Utilities Program. The Division is engaging with WaterTAs (i.e., EPAcontracted technical assistance providers paid for by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law) to expand and support applicant capacity and supporting long-term goal #3.
- Comment: Recommend allowing set-aside funding from the DWSRF Local Assistance and Other State Programs tranche, described in Appendix A (D)(c), to be used for private well testing in a local government's jurisdiction. This will allow a utility to assess PFAS contamination in nearby groundwater and inform projects that might benefit those not currently served by centralized water infrastructure. This is an allowable use of DWSRF funds according to the EPA as long as the testing is not for routine compliance.

If this is already an allowable practice under the DWSRF, the IUP should make that clear, as it currently makes no mention of private well testing.

Response: DWSRF funds and set-asides are prioritized for public water systems assistance. However, sampling private water wells to identify where contamination exists for a potential public water system project is eligible. Testing private water wells for the purpose of identifying locations with contamination to extend and connect premises to the centralized drinking water system is an eligible DWSRF project expense. The BIL DWSRF-EC Intended Use Plan references this in Section 5.1. **No change to the IUP**.

#### Evaluation/Assessment Projects

- Comment: For evaluation and assessment projects where a funding reserve exists, the Division should offer them according to the year-round scheduling proposal. More frequent funding rounds have proven successful in the DWSRF-LSLR program and will serve to accelerate the necessary planning utilities must do to mitigate emerging contaminant pollution. Further, more frequent funding of evaluation and assessment now could possibly lead to a situation where less of the funding is required to be reserved in the future, benefiting utilities that need funding for construction projects.
- Response: Thank you for your feedback. We have incorporated this recommendation. **The Division has changed its application timing for Evaluation/Assessment projects, as shown in the revised Section 5.3.** Evaluation/Assessment project applications will now be accepted year-round using a separate application form and presented to the State Water Infrastructure Authority for funding awards in multiple meetings per year, rather than accepting applications twice per year in the regular application process. Application forms and materials have been updated on our website reflecting this change.

#### Disadvantaged Areas

Comment: For several funding cycles, the Division has allowed applicants to receive increased priority when submitting an application for a project that would benefit a disadvantaged area within its boundary. We applaud this effort to incentivize local government utilities to think beyond just their current customers and deliver benefits to historically disadvantaged and underserved communities.

Response: Thank you for your appreciation. No action needed.

Comment: The DWSRF and CWSRF IUPs add a new method for determining whether an area within a jurisdiction is "disadvantaged," which requires three out of four criteria (median household income, poverty rate, property values per capita, and unemployment rate) to be worse than the state benchmark. Recommend bringing this method in line with EO 292 and other statewide efforts on environmental justice. Recommend altering the criteria used to establish a disadvantaged area in the following ways:

- For poverty level, incorporate the definition of "low-income community" used in EO 292: "communities in which the share of population experiencing poverty is more than twenty percent (20%) or the share of households in poverty is at least five percent (5%) higher than the residing county or state share."
- Include communities of color-as defined in EO 292 as an eligible criteria for the disadvantaged area designation. DEQ's Community Mapping System includes data on racial demographics, so this recommendation fits with current practice and can help the Division examine the racial impact of its decisions in order to comply with its obligations under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.
- Eliminate property values as a criteria. While there may be some connection between property values and environmental justice communities, this measure relies on the assumption of ownership. There are enough more reliable indicators of disadvantaged areas that including property values is not necessary.
- Allow applicants to compare criteria to the state or a county benchmark. This will allow for a more refined picture of comparative disadvantage.
- Response: Applicants can demonstrate a targeted project area is a "disadvantaged area" if it falls within a Potentially Underserved Block Group or Tribal boundary layer in the Department's Community Mapping System, or similar state or federal maps such as the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool. As new maps are finalized under EO 292, using the metrics recommended above, they will be accepted as a "similar state map". This methodology is in line with EO 292. Alternatively, applicants can demonstrate a targeted project area is a disadvantaged area based on its socioeconomic data, where at least three out of the four criteria mentioned in the comment are worse than the state benchmark. This is only an alternative method and not the only way that a targeted project area can qualify as a "disadvantaged area". This method was added to provide an alternative that would also align to the Affordability criteria that are used to determine disadvantaged communities. Furthermore, additional factors that may qualify the targeted project area as disadvantaged will also be considered. These factors may include environmental justice factors in line with EO 292. The methods to qualify targeted project areas as "disadvantaged areas" are varied, and include avenues to be in line with EO 292. The Division will consider the recommended metrics above ahead of the next time the Priority Rating System is discussed with the State Water Infrastructure Authority. No change to the Intended Use Plans.
- Comment: Applicants should only be required to demonstrate that a disadvantaged area meets one of the listed criteria, either poverty rate, median household income, unemployment rate, or community of color. Meeting any one of these criteria indicates that the area is either disadvantaged or due for consideration of disparate

impacts; requiring three out of four criteria will only narrow the potential applicant pool or number of applicable projects.

- Response: Principal forgiveness funds are limited and prioritized for communities that need the additional subsidy the most. There are many Census Block Groups that are much less disadvantaged than others but may be slightly worse than the state benchmark in only one criterion. Requiring three out of four criteria to be met, rather than any one criterion, will help ensure that principal forgiveness funds are awarded to projects helping the most disadvantaged areas. **No change to the Intended Use Plans.**
- Comment: Recommend only using Census Block Groups for evaluating disadvantaged area criteria, as they are a closer level of detail. Additionally, in outlining an environmental justice mapping tool, EO 292 directs the use of "demographic data on the census block level." Using the Census Block Group level allows for consistency in executive branch-wide efforts and better refinement of data sources.
- Response: We encourage using Census Block Group level data when feasible and also allow flexibility to use Census Tract level data if they represent the project area more accurately. The instructions are to use Census Block Groups or Census Tracts that provide the closest overlap with the targeted project area. This will allow for the most accurate assessment of the project area. We anticipate that applicants will find that a combination of Census Block Groups will overlap targeted project areas best. Further, the Department's Community Mapping System uses Census Block Groups, and applicants using that method to identify disadvantaged areas will be using Census Block Groups. **No change to the Intended Use Plans.**

#### 9. Budget and Project Periods

- 9.1. The budget and project periods being requested for the capitalization grants is shown in Appendix C and on EPA Form SF 424.
- 9.2. Fees (2% of the funding award) on funding from the grant will be deposited into separate account centers. Fees will be used to administer the program. In addition, fees considered non-program income will also be used for other water quality purposes within the Divisions of Water Resources and Water Infrastructure, including funding for positions.
- 9.3. In order to reduce and minimize federal unliquidated obligations and undisbursed nonfederal cash balances, the state will draw down on the capitalization grants in the order it was received, fully spending on each year's capitalization grant fund types before drawing down from the next year's capitalization grant.

# Appendix A Set-Aside Activity Description

Note: the State does not intend to use set-asides from reallotment of funds. Set-asides described below will come from the original FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant only.

A. Program Administration

Up to 4% of the original FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant (\$842,160) will be used for program administration. Administration includes management of the program; financial management; development of yearly comprehensive project priority lists; engineering report and environmental document review; construction inspections for funded projects; data management; data analysis; reporting; and records keeping; public engagement; etc. These funds will also be used to procure all equipment and training necessary for the adequate performance of staff on related duties.

The Division does not intend to use additional set-asides from the DWSRF-EC capitalization grants. Funding for technical assistance to small systems, administration of the Public Water Supply Supervision Program, and local assistance and other state programs, as they pertain to emerging contaminants, can be covered by set-asides from the base DWSRF and BIL DWSRF General Supplemental capitalization grants, as described in a separate Intended Use Plan. The Division reserves the right to use unused portions of set-asides at a later date.

# Appendix B Intended Use Plan Project Priority List for BIL DWSRF-EC Funds

#### Last updated: February 2025

The Intended Use Plan Project Priority List may be supplemented or replaced based on applications received as a part of future funding cycles.

The Project Priority List presented below is based on applications received and funding awards made by the State Water Infrastructure Authority (SWIA).

This project priority list itemizing the output/outcomes of the policies and procedures outlined in this Intended Use Plan for the FY2024 funds. Projects selected for FY2024 are receiving Letters of Intent to Fund and are awaiting loan agreement execution.

## Spring 2025 Application Round for Construction Projects and Other Future Funding Rounds

Construction project applications will be accepted in Spring 2025 (through April 30, 2025) for BIL DWSRF-EC funding, which will be added to the Project Priority List in the table below (expected after July 2025). Evaluation/Assessment project applications are accepted year-round and additional awards will be added as tables to the Project Priority List.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
TBD										

Total funding requested: TBD, including reconsidered applications. Total funding awarded: TBD.

## Fall 2024 Application Round for Construction Projects – SWIA awards in February 2025

The following applications for Construction projects were reviewed and awarded BIL DWSRF-EC funding from the FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant. Funded projects are shown in the "DWSRF-EC Funding" column.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Fayetteville Public Works Commission	PO Hoffer/Glenville Lake WTP GAC Facilit	Construction	NC0326010	214,405	Cumberland	\$51,182,000	Bypassed, previously awarded \$5,000,000 DW EC (cap per year)		43	
Roanoke Rapids Sanitary District	Drinking Water EC Construction Project	Construction	NC0442010	15,630	Halifax	\$31,115,000	Last funded project in Fall 2024; reserving funds for Evaluation/ Assessment Project Reserve and Spring 2025 applications. Partially funded due to \$5M award cap.	\$5,000,000	41	Feb. 26
Graham, City of	Graham-Mebane WTP Improvements	Construction	NC0201015	18,057	Alamance	\$30,250,000			39	
Johnston County	TGB WTP PFAS Treatment Addition	Construction	NC0351070, NC4051018	115,332	Johnston	\$4,100,000			38	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Brentwood Area Water Main Ext - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$1,250,808			37	

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Chadwick Acres Area Water Main Ext -PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$657,610			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Hanover Gardens Area Water Main Ext-PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$666,649			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Garden Place CFPUA Water Ext PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$1,280,519			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Wrightsboro Acres Water Ext. to PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$3,312,783			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Arlington Heights Water Extension PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$2,615,143			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Whitman Water Main Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$1,138,519			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Prince George & Lynn Water Ext. to PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$3,085,762			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Old Bridge Site Water Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$3,430,607			37	

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	North College Water Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$959,659			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Orange Street Water Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$1,191,796			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Oakley Water Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$7,024,392			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Marathon Farms Water Ext. to PFAS Wells	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$7,780,188			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Blossom St Area Water Main Ext PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$1,908,224			37	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Burton Area Water Main Ext - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	181,242	New Hanover	\$2,136,937			37	
New Hanover County	NHC Garden Place Water Main Ext PFAS	Construction	NC0465010		New Hanover	\$1,963,096			37	
Greensboro, City of	Mitchell WTP Advanced Treatment for ECs	Construction	NC0241010	319,588	Guilford	\$55,674,000			34	

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Goldsboro, City of	PFAS Treatment Improvement Project	Construction	NC0496010	34,959	Wayne	\$35,584,800			29	
Burlington, City of	PFAS Drinking Water Treatment Upgrades	Construction	NC0201010	60,985	Alamance	\$28,160,000			27	

Total funding requested: \$276,469,092, including reconsidered applications. Total funding awarded: \$5,000,000.

## February 2025 Applications for Evaluation/Assessment Projects – SWIA awards in February 2025

Since July 2024, evaluation/Assessment project applications are accepted year-round and presented to SWIA at their next meeting for funding awards from the Evaluation/Assessment Project Reserve. The following applications for Evaluation/Assessment projects were reviewed and awarded BIL DWSRF-EC funding from the FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant in SWIA's February 2025 meeting. Funded projects are shown in the "DWSRF-EC Funding" column.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Carolina Water Service, Inc.	PFAS Implementation Program	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	Multiple	>10,000	Multiple	\$500,000		\$500,000	25	Feb. 26
Cumberland County	Cedar Creek Area PER to address PFAS	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	N/A New System	N/A	Cumberland	\$500,000		\$500,000	25	Feb. 26

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Durham, City of	Durham PFAS Consulting Support	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0332010	322,083	Durham	\$392,495		\$392,495	10	Feb. 26

Total funding requested: \$1,392,495. Total funding awarded: \$1,392,495.

# **December 2024 Applications for Evaluation/Assessment Projects – SWIA awards in December 2024**

Since July 2024, evaluation/Assessment project applications are accepted year-round and presented to SWIA at their next meeting for funding awards from the Evaluation/Assessment Project Reserve. The following applications for Evaluation/Assessment projects were reviewed and awarded BIL DWSRF-EC funding from the FY2024 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant in SWIA's December 2024 meeting. Funded projects are shown in the "DWSRF-EC Funding" column.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Bessemer City	Emerging Contaminants Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0136025	5,690	Gaston	\$500,000		\$500,000	32	Dec. 25
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	Emerging Contaminants Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC3076010	367,681	Randolph	\$500,000		\$500,000	22	Dec. 25
Orange Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Treatment at Jones Ferry WTP	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0368010	86,300	Orange	\$500,000		\$500,000	16	Dec. 25

Total funding requested: \$1,500,000. Total funding awarded: \$1,500,000.

# Spring 2024 Application Round – Funded Projects shown in the "BIL DWSRF-EC Funding" column

The following applications were reviewed and awarded BIL DWSRF-EC funding initially from the FY2022 and/or FY2023 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant. Depending on the projects' timelines, BIL DWSRF-EC disbursements to projects may come from the FY2024 capitalization grant and hence are included in the FY2024 capitalization grant Intended Use Plan to cover that possibility.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Neuse Regional Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Treatment	Construction	NC6054001	93,238	Lenoir	\$32,160,000	Previously partially funded. \$2.16M fully funds remainder.	\$2,160,000	60	Feb. 25
Fayetteville Public Works Commission	PO Hoffer Glenville Lake GAC Construction	Construction	NC0326010	214,137	Cumberland	\$74,307,300	Previously partially funded. Co-funded with DWSRF.	\$5,000,000	58	Feb. 25
Fuquay- Varina, Town of	Sanford WFF - GAC Facility	Construction	NC0392055	39,065	Wake	\$10,532,889	Co-funded with DWSRF.	\$5,000,000	56	Aug. 25
Holly Springs, Town of	Sanford WFF Expansion – GAC Facility	Construction	NC0392050	45,058	Wake	\$7,021,926	Co-funded with DWSRF.	\$5,000,000	54	Aug. 25
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Alandale Area Water Extension - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	205,385	New Hanover	\$2,548,075	To be funded with EC- SDC funds		54	
Aqua North Carolina, Inc	Brookwood Community Ion Exchange Filters	Construction	NC0326127; NC0326124; NC0326332	31,213	Cumberland	\$5,795,400	Last funded construction project to fund evaluation/assessment projects. Co-funded with DWSRF.	\$1,447,440	52	Aug. 25

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Greensboro, City of	Greensboro Mitchell ATEC	Construction	NC0241010	320,756	Guilford	\$55,674,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		50	
Bald Head Island, Town of	BHI DW Construction- Emerging Contaminant	Construction	NC0410130	3,291	Brunswick	\$5,000,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		49	
South Granville Water and Sewer Authority	Post-Filter PFAS Treatment Improvements	Construction	NC0239107	17,232	Granville	\$22,210,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		47	
Goldsboro, City of	PFAS Treatment Improvement Project	Construction	NC0496010	34,959	Wayne	\$35,584,800	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		46	
Greenville Utilities Commission	GAC Filter Media Replacement	Construction	NC0474010	103,140	Pitt	\$1,815,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		42	
Burlington, City of	GAC Construction Project	Construction	NC0201010	59,287	Alamance	\$28,160,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		40	
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Rockhill Area Water Extension - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	205,385	New Hanover	\$671,700	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		39	
Roanoke Rapids Sanitary District	Drinking Water Emerging Contaminant Study	Evaluation/Assessment Project	NC0442010	16,615	Halifax	\$500,000		\$500,000	39	Aug. 25

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	PFAS Construction RO Treatment System	Construction	NC3076010	367,681	Randolph	\$74,646,250	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		37	
Goldsboro, City of	PFAS Treatment Evaluation and Pilot	Evaluation/Assessment Project	NC0496010	34,959	Wayne	\$500,000		\$500,000	31	Aug. 25
Orange Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Treatment at Jones Ferry WTP	Evaluation/Assessment Project	NC0368010	86,300	Orange	\$500,000		\$500,000	28	Aug. 25
Asheboro, City of	W L Brown WTP Emerg Cont Planning Study	Evaluation/Assessment Project	NC0276010	27,472	Randolph	\$500,000		\$500,000	23	Aug. 25
Rocky Mount, City of	PFAS Treatment at Rocky Mount WTPs	Evaluation/Assessment Project	NC0464010	55,047	Nash	\$500,000		\$500,000	22	Aug. 25
Total						\$358,627,340		\$21,107,440		

Total funding requested: \$358,627,340, including reconsidered applications. Total funding awarded: \$21,107,440.

# Fall 2023 Application Round – Funded Projects shown in the "BIL DWSRF-EC Funding" column

The following applications were reviewed and awarded BIL DWSRF-EC funding initially from the FY2022 and/or FY2023 BIL DWSRF-EC capitalization grant. Depending on the projects' timelines, BIL DWSRF-EC disbursements to projects may come from the FY2024 capitalization grant and hence are included in the FY2024 capitalization grant Intended Use Plan to cover that possibility.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Cumberland County	Gray's Creek Phase 1	Construction	NC5026026	124	Cumberland	\$7,637,000	Co-funded with DWSRF	\$5,000,000	60	Feb. 25
Neuse Regional Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Treatment	Construction	NC6054001	93,238	Lenoir	\$31,142,000	Co-funded with DWSRF	\$5,000,000	60	Feb. 25
Fayetteville Public Works Commission	PO Hoffer Glenville Lake GAC Constructio	Construction	NC0326010	213,253	Cumberland	\$74,307,300	Last funded construction project to fund evaluation/ assessment projects. Co-funded with DWSRF.	\$5,000,000	58	Feb. 25
Fuquay-Varina, Town of	Sanford WFF - GAC Facility	Construction	NC0392055	39,065	Wake	\$10,532,889	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		56	
Holly Springs, Town of	Sanford WFF Expansion – GAC Facility	Construction	NC0392050	45,058	Wake	\$7,021,926	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		54	

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Alandale Area Water Extension - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	205,385	New Hanover	\$2,548,075	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		54	
Aqua North Carolina, Inc	Brookwood Community Ion Exchange Filters	Construction	NC0326127, NC0326332, NC0326124	15,665	Cumberland	\$5,795,400	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		52	
Bald Head Island, Town of	BHI DW Construction- Emerging Contaminant	Construction	NC0410130	3,291	Brunswick	\$5,000,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		49	
South Granville Water and Sewer Authority	Post-Filter PFAS Treatment Improvements	Construction	NC0239107	18,479	Granville	\$22,210,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		47	
Cumberland County	Cedar Creek Phase I	Construction	New system	New	Cumberland	\$18,473,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		45	
Greensboro, City of	Greensboro Mitchell ATEC	Construction	NC0241010	320,756	Guilford	\$55,674,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		45	
Neuse Regional Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Treatment Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC6054001	93,238	Lenoir	\$500,000		\$500,000	45	Jan. 25

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Fayetteville Public Works Commission	PO Hoffer Glenville GAC Design	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0326010	213,253	Cumberland	\$4,758,400	Cap is \$500k for evaluation/ assessment projects	\$500,000	43	Jan. 25
Greenville Utilities Commission	GAC Filter Media Replacement	Construction	NC74010	103,140	Pitt	\$1,815,000	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		42	
Carthage, Town of	PFAS Compliance Planning Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0363025	2,635	Moore	\$400,000		\$400,000	42	Jan. 25
Martin County Regional Water and Sewer Authority	PFAS Eval. & Assessment Study for WTP	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC6059015	10,162	Martin	\$500,000		\$500,000	41	Jan. 25
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	Rockhill Area Water Extension - PFAS	Construction	NC0465010	205,385	New Hanover	\$671,700	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		39	
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	PFAS Construction RO Treatment Sys	Construction	NC3076010	367,681	Randolph	\$74,646,250	Bypassed to fund Evaluation/ Assessment Reserve		37	
Graham, City of	Drinking Water EC Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0201015	18,507	Alamance	\$500,000		\$500,000	37	Jan. 25

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Norwood, Town of	Norwood PFAS Study Project	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0184015	4,252	Stanly	\$500,000		\$500,000	34	Jan. 25
Siler City, Town of	PFOS Compliance Planning Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0319010	8,474	Chatham	\$400,000		\$400,000	33	Jan. 25
South Granville Water and Sewer Authority	Pilot Evaluation and Planning Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0239107	18,479	Granville	\$500,000		\$500,000	32	Jan. 25
Harnett County	Harnett Regional WTP PFAS Pilot Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0343045	111,655	Harnett	\$500,000		\$500,000	29	Jan. 25
Johnston County	TGB WTP PFAS Treatment Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC4051018	42,638	Johnston	\$330,000		\$330,000	28	Jan. 25
Rocky Point Topsail Water and Sewer District	PFAS Treatment Alternatives Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC7071011	30,506	Pender	\$400,000		\$400,000	27	Jan. 25
Burlington, City of	City of Burlington EC Study	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0201010	59,287	Alamance	\$500,000		\$500,000	25	Jan. 25
Lumberton, City of	PFAS Study Water Treatment Plant	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC0378010	24,460	Robeson	\$500,000		\$500,000	24	Jan. 25

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	PWSID	Service Population	County	Total Funding Request	Notes	DWSRF-EC Principal Forgiveness Funding	Priority Points	Estimated Binding Commitment
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	PFAS Study- RO Treatment System	Evaluation/ Assessment Project	NC3076010	367,681	Randolph	\$500,000		\$500,000	22	Jan. 25
					Total	\$328,262,940		\$21,530,000		

Total funding requested: \$328,262,940. Total awarded: \$21,530,000.

# TOTALS

Cumulative awards to date = \$50,529,935. This is split between FY2022 DWSRF-EC cap grant (\$22,425,600), FY2023 DWSRF-EC cap grant (\$20,211,840), and FY2024 DWSRF-EC cap grant (\$7,892,495 to date). Additional FY2024 DWSRF-EC cap grant awards will be determined through Spring 2025 (July 2025 SWIA meeting).

	FY2022 DWSRF- EC	FY2023 DWSRF-EC	FY2024 DWSRF-EC (through February 2025)	Total Over three Cap Grants
Total Awarded	\$22,425,600	\$20,211,840	\$7,892,495	Will be determined in July 2025 after Spring 2025 funding awards. Current demand (over \$966 million) exceeds availability.
Funding Availability (excluding set-asides)	\$22,425,600	\$ 20,211,840	\$20,860,840 (\$20,211,840 in FY2024 + \$53,000 in FY2022 reallotment + \$596,000 in FY2023 reallotment)	\$63,498,280

# Appendix C 2024 BIL DWSRF-EC Proposed Payment Schedule

(Dependent on timing of award of federal grant)

Payment Quarter	<u>2024 EC Payment</u> <u>Amount</u>	<u>2022 + 2023 EC</u> <u>Reallotment</u> <u>Amounts</u>
April 1, 2024 – June 30, 2024		
July 1, 2024 - September 30, 2024		
October 1, 2024 - December 31, 2024		
January 1, 2025 - March 31, 2025	\$21,054,000	
April 1, 2025 – June 30, 2025		
July 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025		
October 1, 2025 – December 31, 2025		\$649,000
January 1, 2026 – March 31, 2026		
Total	\$21,054,000	\$649,000

# Appendix D PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM for DWSRF-EC Projects

Finalized by the Authority in April 2024 after a public comment period was completed between

March 1 – April 1, 2024.

#### **2024 PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM for DWSRF-EC Projects**

<u>Instructions</u>: For each line item, <u>mark "X" to claim the points for that line item</u>. Be sure that your narrative includes justification for every line item claimed. At the end of each Category, provide the total points claimed for each program in the subtotal row for that category. Then add the subtotals from each category and enter the Total of Points for All Categories in the last line. Note that some categories have a maximum allowed points that may be less than the total of individual line items.

Line ltem #	EC Line Item <sup>†</sup>	Category 1 – Project Purpose (Points will be awarded for <u>only one</u> Project Purpose)	Claimed Yes/No	Points
1.A – 1.E		Reserved for other drinking water projects (not to be used for DWSRF-EC funds)		
1.F – 1.I		Reserved for other programs		
1.J		Project addresses PFAS emerging contaminants		
1.J.1	EC	Sole purpose of the project is to address Emerging Contaminants (construction projects) where 100% of the costs are associated with this purpose <b>OR</b>		12
1.J.2	EC	At least 75% of the project costs are to address Emerging Contaminants (construction projects) <b>OR</b>		5
1.J.3	EC	Sole purpose of the project is to evaluate alternatives to address Emerging Contaminants (may include pilot scale treatment study)		5
		Maximum points for Category 1 – Projec	t Purpose	12
		Subtotal claimed for Category 1 – Projec	t Purpose	
Line Item #	EC Line Item <sup>†</sup>	Category 2 – Project Benefits	Claimed Yes/No	Points
2.A – 2.F.1		Reserved for other programs		
2.F.2	EC	Project includes system regionalization and/or system partnerships		5
2.G		Reserved for other drinking water projects		
2.H		Project addresses contamination		

	2024	PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM for DWSRF-EC Pro	ojects	
2.H.1 – 2.H.2		Reserved for other drinking water projects (not to be used for DWSRF-EC funds)		
2.H.3	EC	Project addresses any PFAS compounds exceeding 10 ppt or State-established regulatory standards or limits <b>OR</b>		2
2.H.4	EC	Project addresses PFAS exceeding proposed or promulgated MCL or Hazard Index		5
2.I – 2.S		Reserved for other programs		
		Maximum points for Category 2 – Projec	t Benefits	10
		Subtotal claimed for Category 2 – Projec	t Benefits	
Line Item #	EC Line Item <sup>†</sup>	Category 3 – System Management	Claimed Yes/No	Points
3.A		Capital Planning Activities		
3.A.1	EC	Applicant has implemented an Asset Management Plan as of the date of application <b>OR</b>		10
3.A.2	EC	Applicant has a current Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) that spans at least 10 years and proposed project is included in the plan		2
3.B	EC	System Operating Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.00 based on a current audit, or is less than 1.00 and unit cost is greater than 2.5% of MHI		5
3.C – 3.E		Reserved for other programs		
		Maximum points for Category 3 – System Ma	nagement	15
		Subtotal claimed for Category 3 – System Mar	nagement	
Line ltem #	EC Line Item <sup>†</sup>	Category 4 – Affordability	Claimed Yes/No	Points
4.A		Residential Connections		
4.A.1	EC	Less than 10,000 residential connections <b>OR</b>		2
4.A.2	EC	Less than 5,000 residential connections <b>OR</b>		4
4.A.3	EC	Less than 1,000 residential connections		8
4.B		Current Monthly Combined Utility Rates at 5,000 Usage		

	2024	PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM for DWSRF-EC Pro	ojects		
4.B.1	EC	Greater than the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile <b>OR</b>		4	
4.B.2	EC	Greater than the 70 <sup>th</sup> percentile <b>OR</b>		6	
4.B.3	EC	Greater than the 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile <b>OR</b>		8	
4.B.4	EC	Greater than the 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile		10	
4.C		Local Government Unit (LGU) Indicators			
4.C.1	EC	3 out of 5 LGU indicators worse than state benchmark <b>OR</b>		3	
4.C.2	EC	4 out of 5 LGU indicators worse than state benchmark <b>OR</b>		5	
4.C.3	EC	5 out of 5 LGU indicators worse than state benchmark <b>OR</b>		7	
4.C.4	EC	Project benefits disadvantaged areas		5	
4.D – 4.G		Reserved for other programs			
		Maximum points for Category 4 – Aff	ordability	25	
Subtotal claimed for Category 4 – Affordability <sup>‡</sup>					
		Total of Points for All Ca	tegories		

<sup>+</sup> Only line items marked with "EC" will be used in scoring eligible applications for DWSRF-EC funding. These applications will also be scored using the full PRS for all other drinking water funding sources.

<sup>\*</sup>Local government units under Local Government Commission's fiscal control that have received an Emergency Operating Grant under NC GS 159G-34.5 in the current or prior fiscal year qualify for the maximum points for Category 4: Affordability.