| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | |
| example funding | r dilded in State Neserve |
| | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Not recommended for famaling |

| Number | | | | | | | | | Grant from State | Points Verifed | Project Benefits | ment | bility | |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Project | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | (Total all Categories) | Project | System Management | Affordability | Notes |
| | | | | | | | TOTAL | \$ 5,161,075 | \$39,826,199 | | | | | |
| 1 | Robersonville, Town of | Martin | VUR Water and Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment | Distressed | 3 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$0 | \$400,000 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 2 | includes \$30,000 for rate study; one application for water and wastewater AIA: if funded will split between a water AIA and a sewer AIA |
| 2 | Edenton, Town of | Chowan | AIA - Water Phase 2 | Distressed | 14 | \$175,000 | \$175,000 | \$0 | \$175,000 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 3 | |
| 3 | Edenton, Town of | Chowan | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 14 | \$203,000 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$200,000 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | reconsideration |
| 4 | Gibson, Town of | Scotland | WW Sys AIA | Distressed | 13 | \$157,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 5 | Pikeville, Town of | Wayne | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 13 | \$506,025 | \$506,025 | \$506,025 | | 14 | 7 | 3 | 4 | |
| 6 | Pikeville, Town of | Wayne | Water AIA | Distressed | 13 | \$74,500 | \$74,500 | \$0 | \$74,500 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 4 | includes \$10,000 for rate study |
| 7 | Pollocksville, Town of | Jones | Pollocksville - AIA Study - SEWER | Distressed | 13 | \$519,000 | \$519,000 | \$519,000 | | 13 | 6 | 3 | 4 | includes \$10,000 for rate study |
| 8 | Pollocksville, Town of | Jones | Pollocksville - AIA Study - WATER | Distressed | 13 | \$187,000 | \$187,000 | \$0 | \$187,000 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 4 | includes \$10,000 for rate study |
| 9 | Walstonburg, Town of | Greene | AIA Water and Wastewater | Distressed | 12 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; Single AIA for both water and sewer-so not eligible for SRP |
| 10 | Tryon, Town of | Polk | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 11 | \$261,500 | \$261,500 | \$0 | \$261,500 | 20 | 8 | 11 | 1 | includes \$20,000 for rate study, \$2,000 for training |
| 11 | Tryon, Town of | Polk | Water System AIA | Distressed | 11 | \$254,500 | \$254,500 | \$254,500 | | 20 | 8 | 11 | 1 | includes \$20,000 for rate study, \$2,000 for training |
| 12 | Autryville, Town of | Sampson | Water System AIA and Rate Study | Distressed | 11 | \$165,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3 | reconsideration |
| 13 | Fountain, Town of | Pitt | Sanitary Sewer AIA 1 | Distressed | 10 | \$354,400 | \$354,400 | \$354,400 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 14 | Fountain, Town of | Pitt | Sanitary Sewer AIA 2 | Distressed | 10 | \$131,400 | \$125,100 | | | 18 | 6 | 8 | 4 | reconsideration; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 15 | Fountain, Town of | Pitt | Water Sys AIA 1 | Distressed | 10 | \$126,725 | \$120,600 | | | 18 | 6 | 8 | 4 | reconsideration; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 16 | Fountain, Town of | Pitt | Water Sys AIA 2 | Distressed | 10 | \$211,325 | \$211,325 | \$211,325 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 17 | Stanly County | Stanly | Comprehensive Sewer Master Plan | Distressed | 10 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - |
| 18 | Williamston, Town of | Martin | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 10 | \$890,000 | \$890,000 | \$890,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 19 | Central Nash County WSD | Nash | CNWSD Drinking Water AIA | Distressed | 10 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 4 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 20 | Northern Nash County WSD | Nash | NNWSD Wastewater AIA | Distressed | 10 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 4 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 21 | Bertie County Water District IV | Bertie | Lewiston Woodville Community Asset Inventory and Assessment | Distressed | 9 | \$247,000 | \$247,000 | \$0 | \$247,000 | 20 | 7 | 9 | 4 | \$2,000 for training; includes \$45,000 for rate study |
| 22 | Madison, Town of | Rockingham | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 9 | \$157,500 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 3 | reconsideration |
| 23 | Trenton, Town of | Jones | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 9 | \$389,000 | \$389,000 | \$0 | \$389,000 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 3 | includes \$10,000 for rate study |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | |
| example funding | r dilded in State Neserve |
| | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Not recommended for famaling |

| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | Notes |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------|---|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| 24 | Gamewell, Town of | Caldwell | Gamewell Sewer System Viability Project | Distressed | 9 | \$82,000 | \$82,000 | \$0 | \$82,000 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | includes \$15,000 for rate study, \$2,000 for training |
| 25 | St. Pauls, Town of | Robeson | St. Pauls Water System Asset Inventory Assessment and Rate Study | Distressed | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 19 | 8 | 9 | 2 | includes rate study but no cost provided |
| 26 | Yadkin County | Yadkin | Water and Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment | Distressed | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 27 | Washington County | Washington | Washington County AIA/Rate Study | Distressed | 8 | \$237,000 | \$237,000 | \$237,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 28 | Pilot Mountain, Town of | Surry | Water System AIA | Distressed | 7 | \$252,000 | \$252,000 | \$0 | \$252,000 | 22 | 8 | 12 | 2 | includes \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training |
| 29 | Dover, Town of | Craven | Sanitary Sewer AIA 1 | Distressed | 7 | \$100,150 | \$93,530 | | | 16 | 7 | 5 | 4 | reconsideration; SRP Request of \$93,530; VU request of \$310,775; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 30 | Dover, Town of | Craven | Sanitary Sewer AIA 2 | Distressed | 7 | \$310,775 | \$310,775 | \$310,775 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; SRP Request of \$93,530; VU request of \$310,775; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 31 | Dover, Town of | Craven | Water Sys AIA 1 | Distressed | 7 | \$134,600 | \$128,150 | | | 16 | 7 | 5 | 4 | reconsideration; SRP request of \$128,150; VU request of \$189,500; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 32 | Dover, Town of | Craven | Water Sys AIA 2 | Distressed | 7 | \$189,500 | \$189,500 | \$189,500 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; SRP request of \$128,150; VU request of \$189,500; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 33 | Pinetops, Town of | Edgecombe | Sanitary Sewer System AIA | Distressed | 7 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 2 | - |
| 34 | Pinetops, Town of | Edgecombe | Water System AIA | Distressed | 7 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 2 | - |
| 35 | Taylortown, Town of | Moore | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 7 | \$125,000 | \$125,000 | \$0 \$0 | \$125,000 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 1 | reconsideration |
| 36 | Taylortown, Town of | Moore | WW Sys AIA 2021 Town of Plymouth Sewer VUR | Distressed | / | \$125,000 | \$125,000 | \$0 | \$125,000 | 14 | 6 | / | 1 | reconsideration |
| 37 | Plymouth, Town of | Washington | Project Project | Distressed | 7 | \$160,000 | \$160,000 | \$160,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 38 | Macclesfield, Town of | Edgecombe | Sanitary Sewer AIA 1 | Distressed | 6 | \$136,800 | \$130,200 | | | 18 | 8 | 7 | 3 | reconsideration; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 39 | Macclesfield, Town of | Edgecombe | Sanitary Sewer AIA 2 | Distressed | 6 | \$392,250 | \$392,250 | \$392,250 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | |
| example funding | r dilded in State Neserve |
| | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Not recommended for famaling |

| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | s to Z reconsideration; Did not check SRP box on |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| 40 | Macclesfield, Town of | Edgecombe | Water AIA 1 | Distressed | 6 | \$124,700 | \$118,700 | | | 16 | 6 | 7 | 3 | application; ; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 41 | Macclesfield, Town of | Edgecombe | Water AIA 2 | Distressed | 6 | \$203,900 | \$203,900 | \$203,900 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; Did not check SRP box on application;; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 42 | Franklinville, Town of | Randolph | WW Sys AIA | Distressed | 6 | \$161,000 | \$140,000 | | | 13 | 6 | 5 | 2 | reconsideration; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 43 | Franklinville, Town of | Randolph | WW Sys AIA | Distressed | 6 | \$161,000 | \$161,000 | \$161,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; SRP request of \$140,000; VU request of \$161,000; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 44 | Franklinville, Town of | Randolph | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 6 | \$161,000 | \$140,000 | | | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 | reconsideration; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 45 | Franklinville, Town of | Randolph | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 6 | \$161,000 | \$161,000 | \$161,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only; SRP request of \$140,000; VU request of \$161,000; recommend considering one application per DW or WW sys using PRS score AND higher request amount |
| 46 | East Arcadia, Town of | Bladen | AIA Water System | Distressed | 6 | \$154,400 | \$154,400 | \$154,400 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 47 | Vanceboro, Town of | Craven | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 48 | East Bend, Town of | Yadkin | Water AIA | Distressed | 5 | \$121,440 | \$96,000 | | \$96,000 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 49 | East Bend, Town of | Yadkin | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 5 | \$63,250 | \$50,000 | | \$50,000 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 50 | Ramseur, Town of | Randolph | Water System Asset Inventory Assessment | Distressed | 4 | \$189,584 | \$189,584 | | \$189,584 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 1 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 51 | Middlesex, Town of | Nash | AIA Wastewater | Distressed | 4 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 3 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 52 | Middlesex, Town of | Nash | VUR AIA Drinking Water Study | Distressed | 4 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 3 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 53 | Star, Town of | Montgomery | WW Sys AIA | Distressed | 4 | \$140,000 | \$140,000 | | \$140,000 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 54 | Ramseur, Town of | Randolph | Sewer AIA | Distressed | 4 | \$194,660 | \$194,660 | | \$194,660 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 1 | includes \$22,500 for "rate study and AMP" |
| 55 | Engelhard Sanitary District | Hyde | ESD AIA Sewer | Distressed | 4 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 3 | - voognaidti |
| 56 | Star, Town of | Montgomery | Water Sys AIA | Distressed | 4 | \$140,000 | \$140,000 | ¢226 F00 | \$140,000 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 57 | Hookerton, Town of | Greene | AIA Wastewater Study | Distressed | 4 | \$226,500 | \$226,500 | \$226,500 | ¢150,000 | 0 | 0 | Ü | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 58 | Hamilton, Town of | Martin | Wastewater Sys AIA | Distressed | 3 | \$225,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 17 | 8 | 6 | 3 | reconsideration |
| 59 | Ronda, Town of | Wilkes | Water Asset Inventory and Assessment Components | Distressed | 3 | \$165,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 2 | reconsideration |
| 60 | Micro, Town of | Johnston | Micro Sanitary Sewer Asset Inventory Assessment | Distressed | 3 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 0 | reconsideration |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | |
| example funding | Funded in State Reserve |
| , , | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Tractication for failuring |

| | , | | , | | | | | 1 | | | • | | | , |
|----------------|--|----------------|--|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | Notes |
| 61 | Micro, Town of | Johnston | Micro Water Asset Inventory Assessment | Distressed | 3 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 62 | Bay River Metropolitan Sewage District | Pamlico | Gravity Sewer CCTV Assessment Study and Report | Distressed | 3 | \$139,500 | \$139,500 | \$139,500 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration; VUR only |
| 63 | Roxboro, City of | Person | Sewer I&I AIA | Distressed | 2 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 2 | - |
| 64 | Newport, Town of | Carteret | Wastewater System Asset Inventory and Assessment | Distressed | 1 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | | \$120,000 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 65 | Newport, Town of | Carteret | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment | Distressed | 1 | \$110,000 | \$110,000 | | \$110,000 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 66 | Edgecombe County | Edgecombe | 2022 VUR Sewer System AIA Study | | 8 | \$561,000 | \$561,000 | | \$200,000 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 4 | includes \$30,000 for rate study |
| 67 | Edgecombe County | Edgecombe | 2022 Water Asset Management Plan | | 8 | \$307,255 | \$307,255 | | \$200,000 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 4 | includes \$30,000 for rate study |
| 68 | Wilson, City of | Wilson | Sewer Asset Inventory Assessment 2022/2023 | | 1 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 22 | 7 | 12 | 3 | - |
| 69 | Mount Airy, City of | Surry | Sewer AIA | | 3 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | 21 | 8 | 12 | 1 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 70 | Anson County | Anson | Wastewater AIA | | 6 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 71 | Anson County | Anson | Water AIA | | 6 | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | \$300,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 72 | Henderson, City of | Vance | AIA Wastewater | | 6 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 73 | Henderson, City of | Vance | AIA Water | | 6 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | no rate study |
| 74 | Beech Mountain, Town of | Watauga | Grassy Gap WWTP Sewershed Study | | 4 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | - |
| 75 | Fayetteville PWC | Cumberland | Water Sys AIA | | 2 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | Confirmed rates |
| 76 | Roanoke Rapids Sanitary District | Halifax | Sewer AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 2 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 77 | Apex, Town of | Wake | Evaluation of the Current State of the Collection System | | 1 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 0 | - |
| 78 | Lake Lure, Town of | Rutherford | Subaqueous Sanitary Sewer AIA | | 9 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 2 | - |
| 79 | Spindale, Town of | Rutherford | Sewer AIA (Pipe Condition Assessment Project) | | 3 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 2 | description includes rate study but no budget item |
| 80 | Roanoke Rapids Sanitary District | Halifax | Water AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 2 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 81 | Mount Airy, City of | Surry | Water AIA | | 3 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | 20 | 7 | 12 | 1 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 82 | Pittsboro, Town of | Chatham | Wastewater Master Plan | | 11 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2 | includes \$50,000 for rate study |
| 83 | Rocky Mount, City of | Nash/Edgecombe | Sewer AIA | | 3 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2 | includes \$2,000 for training |
| 84 | Greensboro, City of | Guilford | Sewer AIA | | 2 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2 | |
| 85 | Selma, Town of | Johnston | Water System AIA | | 5 | \$248,500 | \$248,500 | | \$248,500 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 2 | includes \$2,000 for training |
| 86 | Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority | Surry | 2022 Additional Sewer Priority Areas Assessment | | 4 | \$360,520 | \$360,520 | | \$360,520 | 19 | 8 | 9 | 2 | - |
| 87 | Southern Pines, Town of | Moore | Water System AIA | | 2 | \$272,000 | \$272,000 | | \$200,000 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 0 | includes \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training; also LCRR compliance |
| 88 | Southern Pines, Town of | Moore | Sewer System AIA | | 2 | \$292,000 | \$292,000 | | \$200,000 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 0 | includes \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training |
| 89 | Holly Springs, Town of | Wake | Holly Springs Water AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 0 | - |
| 90 | Clarkton, Town of | Bladen | Sewer AIA | | 8 | \$240,000 | \$240,000 | | \$240,000 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 1 | includes \$40,000 for rate study |
| 91 | Winston-Salem, City of | Forsyth | Lead and Copper Rule Compliance Program | | 2 | \$2,516,355 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 1 | |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | Funded in State Reserve |
| example funding | Tanada in State Neserve |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Trocresonmended for randing |

| t Number | | | | | | | | | Grant from State | Points Verifed | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | |
|------------|--|----------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| Project | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | (Total all Categories) | Projec | Systen Manag | Afford | Notes |
| 92 | Lumberton, City of | Robeson | 2022 Main Sewer Interceptor Study | | 7 | \$214,010 | \$214,010 | | \$214,010 | 19 | 7 | 10 | 2 | - |
| 93 | Biscoe, Town of | Montgomery | Biscoe Wastewater System Asset Inventory & Assessment | | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 19 | 7 | 10 | 2 | - |
| 94 | Fuquay-Varina, Town of | Wake | Collection System Master Plan and Asset Inventory | | 1 | \$475,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 19 | 6 | 12 | 1 | - |
| 95 | Hobgood, Town of | Halifax | AIA Drinking Water | | 12 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 4 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 96 | Hobgood, Town of | Halifax | AIA Wastewater Collection | | 12 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 4 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 97 | Brunswick Regional WS H2GO | Brunswick | 2022 Wastewater Asset Inventory & Assessment | | 0 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 0 | - |
| 98 | Brunswick Regional WS H2GO | Brunswick | 2022 Water Asset & Inventory | | 0 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 0 | - |
| 99 | Carolina Beach, Town of | New Hanover | Sewer System Resiliency Assessment Project | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 1 | - |
| 100 | Shelby, City of | Cleveland | Major Outfall Survey and modeling and WWTP Asset Management and Modeling | | 4 | \$340,000 | \$340,000 | | \$300,000 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 2 | |
| 101 | Woodland, Town of | Northampton | Water System Asset Inventory Assessment | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 18 | 7 | 8 | 3 | includes \$20,000 for rate study |
| 102 | Holly Springs, Town of | Wake | Holly Springs Sewer AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 0 | - |
| 103 | Raleigh, City of | Wake | Wastewater AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 0 | - |
| 104 | Raleigh, City of | Wake | Water AIA | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 0 | - |
| 105 | Archdale, City of | Randolph/Guilford | Water Sys AIA | | 1 | \$242,000 | \$242,000 | | \$242,000 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 1 | includes \$40,000 for rate study |
| 106 | Bermuda Run, Town of | Davie | Sewer AIA Application | | 1 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 1 | - |
| 107 | Clayton, Town of | Johnston | Collection System Master Plan | | 0 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 1 | - |
| 108 109 | Clayton, Town of | Johnston Caldwell | Water System Master Plan | | O No Seere | \$150,000 | \$150,000 \$233,000 | | \$150,000 \$233,000 | 18 | 6 | 9 | 3 | includes \$40,000 for rate study |
| 110 | Baton Water Corporation Columbus County Water District | Columbus | Water System AIA Water Sys AIA | | No Score | \$233,000 \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$253,000 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 4 | |
| 111 | Kure Beach, Town of | New Hanover | Water Sys AMP | | 1 | \$180,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 112 | Mocksville, Town of | Davie | Sewer AIA Application | | 6 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 0 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 113 | Mocksville, Town of | Davie | Water AIA | | 6 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 0 | includes \$25,000 for rate study |
| 114 | White Lake, Town of | Bladen | AIA Water System | | 3 | \$240,500 | \$240,500 | | \$240,500 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 1 | includes \$2,000 for training |
| 115 | Wadesboro, Town of | Anson | Water AIA | | 7 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 2 | - |
| 116 | Hendersonville, City of | Henderson | Water Treatment AIA (Master Plan) | | 4 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 0 | - |
| 117 | Lake Junaluska Assembly | Haywood | Lake Junaluska Assembly Water AIA Project | | No Score | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 1 | Includes \$2,000 for GIS training; resolution not dated |
| 118 | Carolina Beach, Town of | New Hanover | Water System Resiliency Assessment Project | | 1 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 1 | - |
| 119 | Shelby, City of | Cleveland | WTP Asset Management and Modeling | | 4 | \$125,000 | \$125,000 | | \$100,000 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 2 | No PSWID on application |
| 120 | Ocracoke Sanitary District | Hyde | OSD Water System AIA | | 11 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 3 | includes \$15,000 for rate study |
| 121 | Columbus County Water District | Columbus | Water Sys AIA | | 6 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 4 | - |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | Funded in State Reserve |
| example funding | Tanada in State Neserve |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Trocresonmended for randing |

| 217 Elkin, Town of Wilkes & Surry Water AlA 3 \$245,000 \$245,000 \$245,000 \$245,000 \$17 7 8 2 | reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed by TM. ncludes \$40,000 for rate study |
|---|--|
| Clay Country Clay Clay Country Wastewater AlA Project 4 \$172,500 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 | reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed-by TM. |
| Clay County Clay Clay County Water AIA Project 4 \$172,500 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 0 0 126 Long View, Town of Burke/Catawba Long View Wastewater AIA Project 4 \$165,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | reconsideration reconsideration reconsideration **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed- by TM. |
| 126 Long View, Town of Burke/Catawba Long View Wastewater AIA Project 4 \$165,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 127 Long View, Town of Burke/Catawba Water AIA 4 \$165,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 128 Granite Falls, Town of Caldwell Water System Asset Inventory & Assessment 6 \$172,500 \$150,000 \$150,000 16 8 8 0 129 Troutman, Town of Iredell Clay Pipe Sewer System Inventory & Assessment Project 2 \$225,000 \$225,000 \$225,000 16 7 8 1 130 Brevard, City of Transylvania Wastewater Collection Sys AIA 8 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 16 6 10 0 131 Taylorsville, Town of Alexander Water Asset Inventory Assessment 10 \$248,250 \$248,250 \$155,000 16 6 10 0 133 Charlotte, City of Mecklenburg Lead and Copper Rule Revisions (LCRR) 1 \$2,491,260 \$400,000 \$40 | reconsideration reconsideration **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed- by TM. |
| 127 Long View, Town of Burke/Catawba Water AIA 4 \$165,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 17 0 0 0 | reconsideration **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed- by TM. |
| Elkin, Town of Wilkes & Surry Water AIA 3 \$245,000 \$245,000 \$245,000 17 7 8 2 Res names AM Included Res names AM Inclu | **INCOMPLETE** es Mayor as AR; app names and signed- by TM. |
| 217 Elkin, Town of Wilkes & Surry Water AlA 3 \$245,000 | es Mayor as AR; app names and signed- by TM. |
| 128 Grante Falls, 10Wn 07 Caldwell Assessment 6 \$172,500 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$16 8 8 0 | - |
| 129 170ttman, 10wn of 18 | - |
| 131 Taylorsville, Town of Alexander Water Asset Inventory Assessment 10 \$248,250 \$248,250 \$155,000 16 6 10 0 includes \$40, 132 Davie County Davie Sewer AIA Application 5 \$400,000 \$400,000 \$400,000 16 6 10 0 133 Charlotte, City of Mecklenburg Lead and Copper Rule Revisions (LCRR) 1 \$2,491,260 \$400,000 \$400,000 16 6 10 0 | |
| 131 Taylorsville, Town of | - |
| 133 Charlotte, City of Mecklenburg Lead and Copper Rule Revisions (LCRR) 1 \$2,491,260 \$400,000 \$400,000 16 6 10 0 | \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training; |
| 1 133 Charlotte, City of Mecklenburg 1 1 1 52.491.260 5400.000 1 5400.000 1 5 5 10 0 | - |
| Support | |
| 134 Harmony, Town of Iredell Sewer AIA 8 \$233,000 \$233,000 \$233,000 16 6 9 1 include | ncludes \$40,000 for rate study |
| 135 Onslow Water and Sewer Authority Onslow Water System Master Plan 1 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 16 6 9 1 | 0 |
| 136 Pine Bluff, Town of Moore Water AIA 3 \$233,000 \$233,000 16 5 11 0 includes \$40, | \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training |
| 137 Hillsborough, Town of Orange Water Master Plan 4 \$100,000 \$100,000 \$100,000 16 5 9 2 | - |
| Beulaville, Town of Duplin Sanitary Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment 9 \$205,000 \$205,000 \$205,000 \$16 5 7 4 includes | ludes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| Beulaville, Town of Duplin Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment 9 \$194,400 \$194,400 \$194,400 \$194,400 | ludes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| Ranlo, Town of Gaston Water System Asset Management Plan Components 9 \$172,500 \$150,000 \$150,000 16 0 0 | reconsideration |
| 141 Cherryville, City of Gaston Water System Asset Management Plan Components 8 \$157,500 \$150,000 \$150 | reconsideration |
| 142 Albemarle, Town of Stanly Sewer System AIA 9 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 15 7 7 1 | |
| 143 Nashville, Town of Nash AIA - Wastewater Collection System 2 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 15 7 7 1 | - |
| 144 Jefferson, Town of Ashe Sewer AIA 6 \$242,000 \$242,000 \$200,000 15 6 9 0 | |
| 145 Jefferson, Town of Ashe Water AIA 6 \$242,000 \$242,000 \$200,000 15 6 9 0 includes \$40, | = |
| 146 Aberdeen, Town of Moore Aberdeen Drinking Water AIA 5 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 15 6 9 0 | \$40,000 for rate study and \$2,000 for training |

| - | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
| legend represent the | Funded in State Reserve |
| example funding | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | The treestimiented for familia |

| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | Funding Requested | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | Notes |
|----------------|---|--------------|--|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| 147 | Troutman, Town of | Iredell | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment Project | | 2 | \$175,000 | \$175,000 | | \$175,000 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 148 | Brevard, City of | Transylvania | Water AIA | | 8 | \$187,500 | \$187,500 | | \$187,500 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 2 | - |
| 149 | Contentnea Metropolitan Sanitary District | Pitt | 2022 Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment | | 0 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 3 | includes \$30,000 for rate study |
| 150 | Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority | Randolph | PTRWA Inventory, PM and CIP Assessment | | 1 | \$162,000 | \$162,000 | | \$162,000 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 0 | - |
| 151 | Wallace, Town of | Duplin | AIA - Water | | 6 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 1 | - |
| 152 | Cherryville, City of | Gaston | Wastewater System Asset Management Plan Components | | 8 | \$157,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 153 | Clyde, Town of | Haywood | Clyde Sewer AIA Project | | 8 | \$180,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 154 | Magnolia, Town of | Duplin | Sanitary Sewer Sys AIA | | 8 | \$157,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 155 | River Bend, Town of | Craven | Wastewater System AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 156 | River Bend, Town of | Craven | Water System AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 157 | Kure Beach, Town of | New Hanover | Sewer Sys AMP | | 1 | \$180,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 158 | South Granville Water and Sewer Authority (SGWASA) | Granville | SGWASA Water Asset Inventory and Assessment Project | | 1 | \$180,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 159 | Nashville, Town of | Nash | AIA - Drinking Water | | 2 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 1 | - |
| 160 | Laurinburg, City of | Scotland | Wastewater System Asset Inventory and Assessment Update with Rate Study | | 2 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 2 | includes rate study but no cost provided |
| 161 | Laurinburg, City of | Scotland | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment Update with Rate Study | | 2 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 2 | includes rate study but no cost provided |
| 162 | Garland, Town of | Sampson | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment | | 5 | \$245,900 | \$245,900 | | \$245,900 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 1 | includes \$10,000 for rate study |
| 163 | Weldon, Town of | Halifax | Water System AIA | | 4 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 164 | Mount Pleasant, Town of | Cabarrus | Drinking Water AIA | | 3 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 1 | includes \$14,000 for rate study and budget review |
| 165 | Grimesland, Town of | Pitt | AIA - Sewer | | 4 | \$195,000 | \$195,000 | | \$195,000 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 3 | |
| 166 | Fork Township Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | 4 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 167 | Belfast-Patetown Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | 0 | \$360,000 | \$360,000 | | \$360,000 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 168 | Southeastern Wayne Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | 0 | \$380,000 | \$380,000 | | \$380,000 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 169 | Southwestern Wayne Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | 0 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | | \$120,000 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 170 | Ranlo, Town of | Gaston | Wastewater System Asset Management Plan Components | | 9 | \$172,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 171 | Kenansville, Town of | Duplin | 2021 Sewer AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 172 | Dallas, Town of | Gaston | Wastewater Asset Inventory & Assessment | | 7 | \$157,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 173 | Rosman, Town of | Transylvania | Sewer AIA | | 11 | \$75,000 | \$75,000 | | \$75,000 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1 | includes \$15,000 for rate study |
| | | , | | | | . , | | | . , | | | | | , |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the example funding | Funded in State Reserve |
| | |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Not recommended for funding |

| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | _ | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | Affordability | Notes |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| 174 | Rosman, Town of | Transylvania | Water AIA | | 11 | \$75,000 | \$75,000 | | \$75,000 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1 | includes \$15,000 for rate study |
| 175 | Pamlico County | Pamlico | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment | | 4 | \$130,000 | \$130,000 | | \$130,000 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1 | - |
| 176 | Graham, City of | Alamance | Water AIA | | 2 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1 | |
| 177 | Harrisburg, Town of | Cabarrus | Town of Harrisburg Asset Inventory Assessment (Both) | | 0 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | | \$400,000 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 0 | budget is primarily for DW, but some challenges and needs are for WW (claim I/I with no supporting docs); if funded, will split funding between water and wastewater in separate projects |
| 178 | Weldon, Town of | Halifax | Wastewater AIA | | 4 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 179 | Mount Pleasant, Town of | Cabarrus | Wastewater AIA | | 3 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 180 | Johnston County | Johnston | CMMS Initiation at Existing CJCRWWTF | | 4 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$200,000 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 2 | |
| 181 | Johnston County | Johnston | CMMS Initiation at Existing TGB WTP | | 4 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$200,000 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 2 | - |
| 182 | Eastern Wayne Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | No Score | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 | - |
| 183 | Northwestern Wayne Sanitary District | Wayne | Water AIA | | No Score | \$280,000 | \$280,000 | | \$280,000 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 | - |
| 184 | Teachey, Town of | Duplin | Sanitary Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment | | 8 | \$211,975 | \$211,975 | | \$211,975 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 | includes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| 185 | Teachey, Town of | Duplin | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment | | 8 | \$188,000 | \$188,000 | | \$188,000 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 | includes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| 186 | Grimesland, Town of | Pitt | AIA - Water | | 4 | \$175,000 | \$175,000 | | \$175,000 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 | |
| 187 | Kenansville, Town of | Duplin | 2021 Water AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 188 | Boiling Springs, Town of | Cleveland | Wastewater System AIA | | 3 | \$172,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 189 | Boiling Springs, Town of | Cleveland | Water System AIA | | 3 | \$172,500 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 190 | Taylorsville, Town of | Alexander | 2022 Sewer Collection System Assessment Project | | 10 | \$293,000 | \$293,000 | | \$155,000 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 0 | |
| 191 | Bridgeton, Town of | Craven | Wastewater AIA | | 9 | \$335,000 | \$335,000 | | \$335,000 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 1 | - |
| 192 | Topsail Beach, town of | Pender | Water Asset Inventory Assessment | | 4 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | | \$180,000 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - |
| 193 | Columbia, Town of | Tyrell | Sewer AIA | | 9 | \$280,000 | \$280,000 | | \$280,000 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | includes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| 194 | Columbia, Town of | Tyrell | Water AIA | | 9 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | | \$120,000 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | - |
| 195 | Jones County | Jones | Water AIA | | 4 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | - |
| 196 | Albemarle, Town of | Stanly | Water System AIA | | 9 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 1 | includes \$14,000 for "rate study and budget review"; |
| 197 | Conway, Town of | Northampton | Drinking Water Distribution System AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 2 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 198 | Conway, Town of | Northampton | Wastewater Collection System AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 2 | includes \$5,000 for rate study |
| 199 | Cleveland, Town of | Rowan | AIA Sewer | | 3 | \$137,500 | \$125,000 | | \$125,000 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 200 | Cleveland, Town of | Rowan | AIA Water | | 3 | \$110,000 | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 201 | Warsaw, Town of | Duplin | Sewer AIA | | 4 | \$260,000 | \$260,000 | | \$260,000 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 2 | - |
| 202 | Gibsonville, Town of | Guilford | Water AIA | | 2 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | |

| The colors in this | Funded in Viable Utility Reserve |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| legend represent the | Funded in State Reserve |
| example funding | Turided in State Neserve |
| scenario described in | Not recommended for funding |
| the Staff Report. | Trocresonmended for randing |

| Project Number | Applicant Name | County | Project Name | Designated as Distressed? | Distressed Score | Total Project Amount | _ | Grant from VUR (ARPA) | Grant from State Reserve (ARPA "Study Grants") | Points Verifed (Total all Categories) | Project Benefits | System Management | | Notes |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 203 | Morehead City, Town of | Carteret | Water AIA | | 4 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 | - |
| 204 | North Lenoir Water Corporation | Lenoir | Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment | | No Score | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 3 | includes \$10,000 for rate evaluation |
| 205 | Bunn, Town of | Franklin | Bunn Sanitary Sewer Asset Inventory Assessment | | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 206 | Bunn, Town of | Franklin | Bunn Water System Asset Inventory Assessment | | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | reconsideration |
| 207 | Mebane, City of | Alamance/Orange | Water AIA | | 1 | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | \$300,000 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 | |
| 208 | Warsaw, Town of | Duplin | Water AIA | | 4 | \$137,000 | \$137,000 | | \$137,000 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 2 | - |
| 209 | Maury Sanitary Land District | Greene | Sewer AIA | | 2 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | | \$400,000 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 2 | - |
| 210 | Gibsonville, Town of | Guilford | Sewer AIA | | 2 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 1 | - |
| 218 | Yanceyville, Town of | Caswell | Water AIA | | 5 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 1 | **INCOMPLETE** LCRR compliance; Mayor named as AR in res, TM signed app—LCRR compliance |
| 211 | Swepsonville, Town of | Alamance | Sewer System AIA | | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | - |
| 212 | Junaluska Sanitary District | Haywood | GIS Mapping (both) | | | \$146,650 | \$146,650 | | \$146,650 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Project is for both water and sewer; budget does not separate water and sewer costs; if funded, will separate into two projects one for DW and one for WW. If funded, will only fund eligible expenses (e.g. salaries not permissible) |
| 213 | Elon, Town of | Alamance | Water AIA | | 1 | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | \$300,000 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 1 | LCRR compliance |
| 219 | Yanceyville, Town of | Caswell | Sewer AIA | | 5 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | **INCOMPLETE** Mayor named as AR in res, TM signed app |
| 214 | Swepsonville, Town of | Alamance | Water System AIA | | 5 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | LCRR compliance |
| 215 | Haw River, Town of | Alamance | Water AIA | | 8 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | | \$250,000 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | LCRR compliance |
| 216 | Haw River, Town of | Alamance | Sewer AIA | | 8 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | \$150,000 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - |

Ineligible (incomplete applications) System Management **Project Number** Affordability **Points** Verifed **Grant from State** Reserve (ARPA **Funding** Designated as **Total Project Grant from** (Total all "Study Grants") **Categories) Applicant Name** County **Project Name** Distressed? **Distressed Score** Amount Requested | VUR (ARPA) Lake Junaluska Assembly Sewer AIA 10 150,000 15 resolution mentions DW only and is not dated Lake Junaluska Assembly Haywood No Score Project