

PROFICIENCY TESTING -FIELD

Have PT results been submitted for all parameter methods on the certificate attachment for the current or previous (circle one) PT Calendar Year?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are/were PT results submitted to this office by September 30?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Does the laboratory use an approved PT provider accredited by: A2LA or ACLASS (circle one)? List the PT provider here:

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are known PTs analyzed with initial blind PTs? [NOTE: Known PTs are acceptable for troubleshooting purposes with remedial PTs but should not be analyzed with the maintenance PTs if this is not routine for environmental samples.]

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT results reported to NC WW/GW LC directly from the PT provider?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are remedial PTs analyzed within 90 days of the initial failed PT report issue date?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Does the lab have an EPA Lab Code? Note the EPA Lab Code here:

☐ Yes ☐ No

Is the EPA Lab Code reported with PT results?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Is PT sample preparation documented?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT sample analyses documented in the same manner as routine environmental samples?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT samples analyzed in the same manner as routine environmental samples (e.g., without additional QC, single analysis, TRC analyzed on same spectrophotometer program, etc.)?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT samples equally distributed among qualified personnel from year to year?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Are PT sample results reported with the correct method codes?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT sample results reported to the routine PQLs?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If PT results are submitted to the vendor electronically, is a hard copy of the data entry retained?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Are PT records retained for five years?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Did the lab receive the annual PT letter?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Have lab personnel reviewed or have access to the PT Guidance Doc.? Revision #:

☐ Yes ☐ No

Additional Comments: