# **Chapter 4 -**Water Quality Issues Related to the Entire Savannah River Basin

# 4.1 Overview

The 1997 Savannah River Basinwide Water Quality Management Plan included several recommendations to address water quality issues in the basin. Most of these recommendations were for specific stream segments and are discussed separately in the individual subbasin chapters in Section B. This chapter discusses water quality issues that relate to the entire NC portion of the Savannah River basin. Habitat degradation, including sedimentation (resulting primarily from land clearing activities, loss of riparian vegetation, rural roads and trails) and runoff from developed areas, is the main water quality issue in the basin.

# 4.2 Habitat Degradation

Instream habitat degradation is identified in the use support summary (Appendix III) where there is a notable reduction in habitat diversity or a negative change in habitat. This term includes sedimentation, bank erosion, channelization, lack of riparian vegetation, loss of pools or riffles, loss of woody habitat, and streambed scour. Good instream habitat is necessary for aquatic life to survive and reproduce. Streams that typically show signs of habitat degradation are in watersheds that have a large amount of land-disturbing activities (construction, mining, timber harvest and agricultural activities) or a large percentage of impervious surfaces. A watershed in which most of the riparian vegetation has been removed from streams or channelization has occurred also exhibits instream habitat degradation. Streams that receive a quantity of flow which is much greater than the natural flow in the stream often have degraded habitat as well.

Determining the cause and quantifying the amounts of habitat degradation is very difficult in most cases. To assess instream habitat degradation in most streams would require extensive technical and monetary resources and perhaps even more resources to restore the stream. Although DWQ and other agencies are starting to address this issue, local efforts are needed to prevent further instream habitat degradation and to restore streams that have been impaired by activities that cause habitat degradation. As point sources become less of a source of water quality impairment, nonpoint sources that pollute water and cause habitat degradation need to be addressed to further improve water quality in North Carolina's streams and rivers.

#### 4.2.1 Sedimentation

#### **Introduction**

Soil erosion, transport and redeposition are among the most essential natural processes occurring in watersheds. However, land-disturbing activities such as the construction of roads and buildings, crop production, livestock grazing and timber harvesting can accelerate erosion rates

by causing more soil than usual to be detached and moved by water. If best management practices (BMPs) are not used effectively, accelerated erosion can strip the land of its topsoil, decreasing soil productivity and causing sedimentation in streams and rivers (NCDENR-DLR, 1998).

Sedimentation is the process by which eroded soil is deposited into waters. Sediment that accumulates on the bottom of streams and rivers smothers aquatic insects that fish feed upon and buries fish habitat that is vital to reproduction. Sediment filling lakes and streams decreases their storage volume and increases the frequency of floods (NCDENR-DLR, 1998).

#### Major Causes of Sedimentation in the Savannah River Basin

- Land clearing activities (construction and preparing land for planting and crops)
- Streambank erosion
- Runoff from unpaved rural roads and eroding road grades

Suspended sediment can decrease primary productivity (photosynthesis) by shading sunlight from aquatic plants, affecting the overall productivity of a stream system. Suspended sediment also has several effects on various fish species including avoidance and redistribution, reduced feeding efficiency, and therefore, reduced growth by some species, respiratory impairment, reduced tolerance to diseases and toxicants, and increased physiological stress (Roell, June 1999). Suspended sediment also increases the cost of treating municipal drinking water from surface water sources.

## Land Clearing Activities

Erosion and sedimentation can be controlled during most land-disturbing activities by using appropriate BMPs. In fact, substantial amounts of erosion can be prevented by planning to minimize the (1) amount and (2) time the land is exposed. Land clearing activities that contribute to sedimentation in the Savannah River basin include: construction of homes and subdivisions as well as commercial and public buildings; plowing of soil to plant crops; site preparation and harvest on timberlands; and road projects.

DWQ's role in sediment control is to work cooperatively with those agencies that administer sediment control programs in order to maximize the effectiveness of the programs and to protect water quality. Where programs are not effective, as evidenced by a violation of instream water quality standards, and where DWQ can identify a source, then appropriate enforcement action can be taken. Generally, this entails requiring the landowner or responsible party to install acceptable BMPs.

As a result of new stormwater rules enacted by EPA in 1999, construction or land development activities that disturb one acre or more are required to obtain a NPDES stormwater permit (refer to page 22 for more information). An erosion and sediment control plan must also be developed for these sites under the state's Sedimentation Pollution Control Act (SPCA) administered by the NC Division of Land Resources. Site disturbances of less than one acre are required to use BMPs, but a plan is not required.

Forestry activities in North Carolina are subject to regulation under the SPCA. However, a forestry operation in the Savannah River basin may be exempt from the permitting requirements if compliance with performance standards outlined in *Forest Practice Guidelines Related to Water Quality* (15NCAC 1I .201-.209) and General Statutes regarding stream obstruction (77-13 and 77-14) are maintained. Extensive information regarding these performance standards and rules as they apply to forestry operations can be found on the NC Division of Forest Resources website at http://www.dfr.state.nc.us/managing/water\_qual.htm.

For agricultural activities which are not subject to the SPCA, sediment controls are carried out on a voluntary basis through programs administered by several different agencies (see Appendix VI for further information).

#### **Unpaved Roads and Eroding Road Grades**

#### Some Best Management Practices

#### Agriculture

- Using no till or conservation tillage practices
- Fencing livestock out of streams and rivers
- Leaving natural buffer areas around small streams and rivers

#### **Construction**

- Using phased grading/seeding plans
- Limiting time of exposure
- Planting temporary ground cover
- Using sediment basins and traps

#### **Forestry**

- Controlling runoff from logging roads
- Replanting vegetation on disturbed areas
- Leaving natural buffer areas around small streams and rivers

As is typical of settlement in mountainous areas, many roads in the Savannah River basin follow streams. The roads are often constructed on the streambank with very little (if any) vegetated buffer to filter sediment and other pollutants from surface runoff. Many of the steep road grades are actively eroding because of a lack of stabilization. Road grades of 12 percent or less are desirable. Unpaved roads with grades in excess of 12 percent erode easily and are difficult to maintain (WNCT, 1999). Additionally, when road maintenance activities are conducted, there is often inadequate space for structural BMPs to be installed to control erosion from the land-disturbing activity.

Roads built to accommodate vehicles and equipment used for forestry activities in the Savannah River basin also contribute to sediment runoff. These roads are generally unpaved and accelerate erosion unless they are maintained with stable drainage structures and foundations. In the mountainous areas of North Carolina, ordinary forest roads are known to lose as much as 200 tons of soil per acre of roadway during the first year following disturbance (NRCD-DFR, September 1989).

### **New Rules Regarding Sediment Control**

The Division of Land Resources (DLR) has the primary responsibility for assuring that erosion is minimized and sedimentation is reduced. In February 1999, the NC Sedimentation Control Commission adopted significant changes for strengthening the Erosion and Sedimentation

Control Program. The following rule changes were filed as temporary rules, subject to approval by the Rules Review Commission and the NC General Assembly (NCDENR-DLR, 1999):

- Allows state and local erosion and sediment control programs to require a pre-construction conference when one is deemed necessary.
- Reduces the number of days allowed for establishment of ground cover from 30 working days to 15 working days and from 120 calendar days to 90 calendar days. (Stabilization must now be complete in 15 working days or 90 calendar days, whichever period is shorter.)
- Provides that no person may initiate a land-disturbing activity until notifying the agency that issued the plan approval of the date the activity will begin.
- Allows assessment penalties for significant violations upon initial issuance of a Notice of Violation (NOV).

Additionally, during its 1999 session, the NC General Assembly passed House Bill 1098 to strengthen the Sediment Pollution Control Act of 1973 (SPCA). The bill made the following changes to the Act (NCDENR-DLR, 1999):

- Increases the maximum civil penalty for violating the SPCA from \$500 to \$5000 per day.
- Provides that a person may be assessed a civil penalty from the date a violation is detected if the deadline stated in the Notice of Violation is not met.
- Provides that approval of an erosion control plan is conditioned on compliance with federal and state water quality laws, regulations and rules.
- Provides that any erosion control plan that involves using ditches for the purpose of dewatering or lowering the water table must be forwarded to the Director of DWQ.
- Amends the General Statutes governing licensing of general contractors to provide that the State Licensing Board for General Contractors shall test applicants' knowledge of requirements of the SPCA and rules adopted pursuant to the Act.
- Removes a cap on the percentage of administrative costs that may be recovered through plan review fees.

For information on North Carolina's Erosion and Sedimentation Control Program or to report erosion and sedimentation problems, visit the new website at <u>http://www.dlr.enr.state.nc.us/</u> or you may call the NC Division of Land Resources, Land Quality Section at (919) 733-4574.

# 4.2.2 Loss of Riparian Vegetation

Removing trees, shrubs and other vegetation to plant grass or place rock (also known as riprap) along the bank of a river or stream degrades water quality. Removing riparian vegetation eliminates habitat for aquatic macroinvertebrates that are food for trout and other fish. Rocks lining a bank absorb the sun's heat and warm the water. Some fish require cooler water temperatures as well as the higher levels of dissolved oxygen cooler water provides. Trees, shrubs and other native vegetation cool the water by shading it. Straightening a stream, clearing streambank vegetation, and lining the banks with grass or rock severely impact the habitat that aquatic insects and fish need to survive (WNCT, 1999).

Livestock grazing with unlimited access to the stream channel and banks can cause severe streambank erosion resulting in degraded water quality. Although they often make up a small

percentage of grazing areas by surface area, riparian zones (vegetated stream corridors) are particularly attractive to cattle that prefer the cooler environment and lush vegetation found beside rivers and streams. This concentration of livestock can result in increased sedimentation of streams due to "hoof shear", trampling of bank vegetation, and entrenchment of the destabilized stream. Despite livestock's preference for frequent water access, farm veterinarians have reported that cows are healthier when stream access is limited (EPA, 1999).

Preserving the natural streamside vegetation (riparian buffer) is one of the most economical and efficient BMPs. Forested buffers in particular provide a variety of benefits including filtering runoff and taking up nutrients, moderating water temperature, preventing erosion and loss of land, providing flood control and helping to moderate streamflow, and providing food and habitat for both aquatic and terrestrial wildlife (NCDENR-DWQ, February 2002). To obtain a free copy of DWQ's *Buffers for Clean Water* brochure, call (919) 733-5083, ext. 558.

## 4.2.3 Channelization

Channelization refers to the physical alteration of naturally occurring stream and river beds. Typical modifications are described in the text box. Although increased flooding, bank erosion and channel instability often occur in downstream areas after channelization has occurred, flood control, reduce erosion, increase usable land area, increase navigability and more efficient drainage are frequently cited as the objectives of channelization projects (McGarvey, 1996).

Direct or immediate biological effects of channelization include injury and mortality of benthic macroinvertebrates, fish, shellfish/mussels and other

### Typical Channel Modifications

- Removal of any obstructions, natural or artificial, that inhibit a stream's capacity to convey water (clearing and snagging).
- Widening, deepening or straightening of the channel to maximize conveyance of water.
- Lining the bed or banks with rock or other resistant materials.

wildlife populations, as well as habitat loss. Indirect biological effects include changes in benthic macroinvertebrate, fish and wildlife community structures, favoring species that are more tolerant of or better adapted to the altered habitat (McGarvey, 1996).

Restoration or recovery of channelized streams may occur through processes, both naturally and artificially induced. In general, streams that have not been excessively stressed by the channelization process can be expected to return to their original forms. However, streams that have been extensively altered may establish a new, artificial equilibrium (especially when the channelized streambed has been hardened). In such cases, the stream may enter a vicious cycle of erosion and continuous entrenchment. Once the benefits of a channelization project become outweighed by the costs, both in money and environmental integrity, channel restoration efforts are likely to be taken (McGarvey, 1996).

Channelization of streams within the continental United States is extensive and promises to become even more so as urban development continues. Overall estimates of lost or altered riparian habitats within US streams are as high as 70 percent. Unfortunately, the dynamic nature of stream ecosystems makes it difficult (if not impossible) to quantitatively predict the effects of channelization (McGarvey, 1996). Channelization has occurred historically throughout the

Savannah River basin and continues to occur in some watersheds, especially in small headwater streams.

## 4.2.4 Recommendations for Reducing Habitat Degradation

DWQ will continue to work cooperatively with DLR and local governments that administer sediment control in order to maximize the effectiveness of the programs and to take appropriate enforcement action when necessary to protect or restore water quality. Funding is available for cost sharing with local governments that set up new erosion and sedimentation control programs or conduct their own training workshops. The Sediment Control Commission will provide 40 percent of the cost of starting a new local erosion and sedimentation control program for up to 18 months. Two municipalities or a municipality and county can develop a program together and split the match. Jackson County and the Town of Highlands currently have locally-delegated erosion and sediment control programs. Citizens should immediately report erosion and sedimentation problems to the appropriate agency or to DWQ. Appendix VI lists contact information for these offices.

It is recommended that the NC Department of Transportation, as well as developers and county highway departments, take special care when constructing and maintaining (including mowing) roads along streams in the Savannah River basin. Vegetation along streams should remain as undisturbed as possible when conducting these activities, keeping in mind that most of these streams are to be managed in a manner similar to HQWs pursuant to Administrative Code Section: 15A NCAC 2B .0225 e(2). Additionally, public education is needed basinwide to educate landowners about the value of riparian vegetation along small tributaries and the impacts of sedimentation to aquatic life.

Funding is available through numerous federal and state programs for stream restoration and/or restoration and protection of riparian buffer zones. Descriptions of these funding sources in the can be found in Section C. Additionally, s document entitled *A Guide for North Carolina Landowners: Financial Incentives and Technical Assistance Programs Which Apply to Wetlands, Streams and Streamside (Riparian Areas)* summarizes these programs and can be found on the Wetlands Restoration Program website at http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/wrp/pdf/landowng.pdf.

# 4.3 Urban Runoff

Runoff from built-upon (developed) areas carries a wide variety of contaminants to streams including sediment, oil and grease from roads and parking lots, street litter, and pollutants from the atmosphere. The volume and speed of runoff are greatly increased in these areas as well, causing erosion of streambanks, temperature and salinity alterations, and scouring of the streambed. Generally, there are also a larger number of point source discharges in these areas. Cumulative impacts from habitat and floodplain alterations, point and nonpoint source pollution can cause severe impairment to streams.

Proactive planning efforts at the local level are needed in order to assure that development is done in a manner that minimizes impacts to water quality. A lack of good environmental planning was identified by participants at the public workshops as a threat to water quality in the

Savannah River basin. Additionally, there are many things that individuals can do to reduce the quantity and improve the quality of stormwater runoff.

## 4.3.1 Rural Development

More than three-quarters of the land in western North Carolina has a slope in excess of 30 percent. Building site preparation and access are complicated by shallow bedrock, high erosion rates, soils that are subject to sliding, and lack of adequate sites for septic systems. Additionally, road grades of 12 percent or less are desirable. Unpaved roads with grades in excess of 12 percent erode easily and are difficult to maintain (WNCT, 1999). This terrain presents a challenge for environmentally sensitive development. Development could occur in the relatively flat stream and river valleys, placing pressure on floodplains and riparian zones, and displacing agricultural land uses. Alternatively, it could occur on the steep slopes accelerating erosion during construction. In addition, chronic problems with failing septic systems and eroding road grades are more likely.

## 4.3.2 Urbanization

Urbanization often has greater hydrologic effects than any other land use, as native watershed vegetation is replaced with impervious surfaces in the form of paved roads, buildings, parking lots, and residential homes and driveways. Urbanization results in increased surface runoff and correspondingly earlier and higher peak flows after storms. Flooding frequency is also increased. These effects are compounded when small streams are channelized (straightened) or piped and storm sewer systems are installed to increase transport of drainage waters downstream. Bank scour from these frequent high flow events tends to enlarge streams and increase suspended sediment. Scouring also destroys the variety of habitat in streams leading to degradation of benthic macroinvertebrate populations and loss of fisheries (EPA, 1999).

The presence of intact riparian buffers and/or wetlands in urban areas can lessen these impacts, and restoration of these watershed features should be considered where feasible; however, the amount of impervious cover should be limited as much as possible. Wide streets, huge cul-de-sacs, long driveways and sidewalks lining both sides of the street are all features of urban development that create excess impervious cover and consume natural areas.

## 4.3.3 Stormwater Regulations

DWQ currently administers three programs aimed at controlling stormwater runoff in the Savannah River basin: NPDES stormwater permit requirements for certain industrial activities and construction or land development activities on one acre of land or more, and stormwater requirements associated with High Quality and Outstanding Resource Waters. For more detailed information on current and proposed stormwater rules, refer to page 22.

### 4.3.4 Recommendations

Proactive planning efforts at the local level are needed to assure that development is done in a manner that minimizes impacts to water quality. These planning efforts must find a balance among water quality protection, natural resource management and economic growth. Growth management requires planning for the needs of future population increases as well as developing and enforcing environmental protection measures. These actions are critical to water quality management and the quality of life for the residents of the basin.

Action should be taken at the local level to plan for new development in the Savannah River basin, particularly around the Cashiers

# Planning Recommendations for Savannah Development

- Minimize number and width of residential streets.
- Minimize size of parking areas (angled parking and narrower slots).
- Place sidewalks on only one side of residential streets.
- Vegetate road right-of-ways, parking lot islands and highway dividers to increase infiltration.
- Plant and protect natural buffer zones along streams and tributaries.
- Minimize floodplain development.
- Protect and restore wetland/bog areas.

community. For more detailed information regarding recommendations for new development found in the text box, refer to EPA's website at

www.epa.gov/owow/watershed/wacademy/acad2000/protection. Additional public education is also needed in order for citizens to understand the value of urban planning and stormwater management. DWQ is developing a booklet that discusses actions individuals can take to reduce stormwater runoff and improve stormwater quality entitled *Improving Water Quality In Your Own Backyard*. To obtain a free copy, call (919) 733-5083, ext. 558.

# 4.4 **Protecting Headwaters**

Many streams in a given river basin are only small trickles of water that emerge from the ground. A larger stream is formed at the confluence of these trickles. This constant merging eventually forms a large stream or river. Most monitoring of fresh surface waters evaluates these larger streams. The many miles of small trickles, collectively known as headwaters, are not directly monitored and in many instances are not even indicated on maps. However, degradation of headwater streams can (and does) impact the larger stream or river.

In smaller headwater streams, fish communities are not well developed and benthic macroinvertebrates dominate aquatic life. Benthic macroinvertebrates are often thought of as "fish food" and, in mid-sized streams and rivers, they are critical to a healthy fish community. However, these insects, both in larval and adult stages, are also food for small mammals, such as river otter and raccoons, birds and amphibians (Erman, 1996). Benthic macroinvertebrates in headwater streams also perform the important function of breaking down coarse organic matter, such as leaves and twigs, and releasing fine organic matter. In larger rivers, where coarse organic matter is not as abundant, this fine organic matter is a primary food source for benthic macroinvertebrates and other organisms in the system (CALFED, 1999). When the benthic

macroinvertebrate community is changed or extinguished in an area, even temporarily, it can have repercussions in many parts of both the terrestrial and aquatic food web.



Headwaters also provide a source of insects for repopulating downstream waters where benthic macroinvertebrate communities have been eliminated due to human alterations and pollution. Adult insects have short life spans and generally live in the riparian areas surrounding the streams from which they emerge (Erman, 1996). Because there is little upstream or stream-to-stream migration of benthic macroinvertebrates, once headwater populations are eliminated, there is little hope for restoring a functioning aquatic community.

### **Recommendations**

Because of the small size of headwater streams, they are often overlooked during land use activities that impact water quality. All landowners can participate in the protection of headwaters by keeping small tributaries in mind when making land use management decisions on the areas they control. This includes activities such as retaining vegetated stream buffers, minimizing stream channel alterations, and excluding cattle from streams. Local rural and urban planning initiatives should also consider impacts to headwater streams when land is being developed.

Many streams in this portion of the basin are the headwaters of the Horsepasture and Chattooga Rivers, but on a larger scale, all streams in the North Carolina portion of this basin are the headwaters of the Savannah River, giving the basin its name. For a more detailed description of watershed hydrology, refer to EPA's Watershed Academy website at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/OWOW/watershed/wacademy/acad2000/watershedmgt/principle1.html">http://www.epa.gov/OWOW/watershed/wacademy/acad2000/watershedmgt/principle1.html</a>.

# 4.5 **Priority Issues for the Next Five Years**

Clean water is crucial to the health, economic and ecological well-being of the state. Tourism, water supplies, recreation and a high quality of life for residents are dependent on the water

resources within any given river basin. Water quality problems are varied and complex. Inevitably, water quality impairment is due to human activities within the watershed. Solving these problems and protecting the surface water quality of the basin in the face of continued growth and development will be a major challenge. Looking to the future, water quality in this basin will depend on the manner in which growth and development occur.

The long-range mission of basinwide management is to provide a means of addressing the complex problem of planning for increased development and economic growth while protecting and/or restoring the quality and intended uses of the Savannah River basin's surface waters. In striving towards its mission, DWQ's highest priority near-term goals are to:

- identify and restore impaired waters in the basin;
- identify and protect high value resource waters and biological communities of special importance; and
- protect unimpaired waters while allowing for reasonable economic growth.

#### Strategies for Addressing Notable Water Quality Concerns in Unimpaired Waters

Often during DWQ's use support assessment, water quality concerns are documented for waters that are fully supporting designated uses. While these waters are not considered impaired, attention and resources should be focused on these waters over the next basinwide planning cycle to prevent additional degradation or to facilitate water quality improvement. Waters with notable water quality concerns are discussed individually in the subbasin chapter in Section B.

Inevitably, many of the water quality impacts noted are associated with human activities within the watershed. Solving these problems and protecting the surface water quality of the basin in the face of continued growth and development will be a major challenge. Although no action is required for these unimpaired waters, voluntary implementation of BMPs is encouraged and continued monitoring is recommended. DWQ will notify local agencies and others of water quality concerns for these waters and work with them to conduct further monitoring and to locate sources of water quality protection funding. Additionally, education on local water quality issues is always a useful tool to prevent water quality problems and to promote restoration efforts.