# Jordan Nutrient Rules Status

## Rich Gannon Supervisor, DWR Nonpoint Source Planning Nov 2, 2023

## Talk Outline

- 1. Review nutrient strategy history
- 2. Status of Rules Implementation
- 3. Collaboratory report highlights
- 4. Engagement-rulemaking schedule





#### Jordan Timeline to Date

1983 – present

2002, 2005

- Lake consistently over-enriched
- Lake arms officially impaired for chl-a
- 1999-2003 Dischargers develop lake model
- 2003-2006 Collaborative stakeholder processes -> rule concepts
- 2008; 2009 EMC adopts rules; Legislature modifies, enacts
- 2009 present Rules implemented
- 2011 2018 Session laws modify, delay or study rules & alternatives
- 2017-2019 UNC Collaboratory studies
- 2021-2023 Supplemental lake model refinements, UNC-C



#### Session Laws Modifying Jordan Rules

- SL 2009-216- replaced Existing Dev. Rule
- SL 2009-484 modified New Dev., buffer rules
- SL 2011-394 delay WW TN compliance date
- SL 2012-187 modify WS-IV standards
- SL 2012-200 delay New Dev. Implementation
- SL 2013-360, 2013-395 Solarbees, 3-yr delay
- SL 2015-241 +3-yr delay, extend Solarbees
- SL 2016-94:
  - End Solarbee in situ demo, repeal 2015 3-yr delay
  - New In Situ study algaecide, phos-lock; report March 2018
  - Mandates UNC Collaboratory 3-yr study of Jordan strategy to report Dec 2018
  - Begin readopting Jordan rules Mar 2019 based on UNC study
  - Interim bar on Jordan stormwater rules implementation
  - Several policy studies, reports
- SL 2018-5
  - Extends UNC Collaboratory study to Dec 2019
  - Adds Lake and watershed modeling requirement, funds; gives 1 year



### Jordan Rules Overview

Establish lake nutrient loading goals (% reduction, lb/yr)
 for each of 3 arms, vs. baseline period 1997-2001
 Based on modeled input reduction needs to meet Chl-a

Rule for each major nutrient source type throughout watershed

Rules design: N, P performance targets – provide flexibility

 $\ensuremath{\circ}$  Trading options increase flexibility

Rule-specific implementation / compliance timeframes





Current Jordan Rules Basic Requirements Implementing Parties Rule Status



## Wastewater (in effect)



#### Jordan Wastewater TN Loads, 1994-2021 Subwatershed Totals, End-of-Pipe



#### Jordan Wastewater TP Loads, 1994-2021 Subwatershed Totals, End-of-Pipe





### *Riparian Buffer Protection (in effect)*

#### 

 Protects existing vegetated riparian zones across all land uses

#### $\circ$ 50 ft protected

- Zone 1 30 ft
- $\circ$  Zone 2 20 ft
- Change in existing use of buffer invokes restrictions
- **"Table of uses"** detailed list of activities allowed/prohibited.

## Riparian Buffer Protection (in effect)

୍ <u>ଡ୍</u> ୭-୭

- Jordan local governments implement and enforce programs (most cases)
  - DWR certain activities + where no preexisting local program
- o DWR 401 & Buffer Permitting Branch
  - $\circ$  'audits' for noncompliance, technical assistance

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

Buffer Protection provisions changed but in effect in 2009, local gov plans began implementing in 2011.

## Agriculture (in effect)

**Collective N and P reduction goals** for cropland and grazed pastureland agriculture Ο Annual progress accounting by DSWC via Nitrogen Loss Estimation Worksheet (NLEW) Ο Tracks annual changes in N loss based on major crop acres, N rates, cost shared BMPs Ο ୍<u>ର</u> ୭-୭ Watershed Oversight Committee (WOC) – oversees implementation and accounting Ο process X In effect in 2009, collective reduction deadlines delayed to 2018 • Achieved reduction goals in 2014 and all years thereafter

#### Collective Cropland N Loss Reduction % by Jordan Subwatershed, 2010 – 2018, NLEW



**Upper New Hope** 

Lower New Hope



## New Development Stormwater (on hold)



- Project requirement meet subwatershed N, P loading rate targets (lb/ac/yr)
  - One SCM required, 85% TSS, 1" storm
  - $\circ~$  Offset purchase allowed for balance
- $\,\circ\,$  Peak rate match, 1 yr 24 hr storm



- All local governments implement programs
  Programs approved by EMC
- $\mathbf{X}$

#### Implementation mandate delayed starting 2012

- $\circ$  2012-2015, 11 of 33 communities implemented voluntarily
- 2015, 2016 SL's barred further implementation
- Separately, Phase I, II NPDES Stormwater communities implement MS4 post-construction requirements



## Existing Development Stormwater (on hold)

Local governments to reduce loading from existing developed areas

- Stage 1: programmatic actions most addressed in MS4 permits
- Stage 2: develop, implement programs for 8% N, 5% P
  - $\circ$  Triggered by lake monitoring ongoing impairment
  - Use 2014 watershed model results; involved specifics

All local governments create and implement plans
 DWR NPS reviews plans, EMC approves

Local load reduction requirements **barred pending rules readoption** Stage 1 in effect, Stage 2 on hold – no implementation required



## State/Fed Entities Stormwater (partly in effect)

- Applies to New Development (ND) and Existing Development (ED) under the control of the NC Department of Transportation (DOT) and other state/fed entities (Non-DOT)
- Non-DOT: same loading rate targets, offset options as private developers
- DOT: Road projects comply with buffer rule.
  - Non-road projects: option of loading rate targets or strategy goal %'s vs pre-development



## Fertilizer Management (in effect)

 Fertilizer applicators to commercial or institutional lands shall:

 $_{\odot}$  A) complete nutrient management training OR

 B) apply fertilizer according to an approved nutrient management plan

Training provided by NC Cooperative Extension
 Originally live sessions
 Now PowerPoint modules on DWR website

DWR NPS provides note of certification



Compliance by existing applicators required by August 2012, and subsequent applicators as needed

#### Soil Sampling Methods



## Trading/Nutrient Offset (in effect)

• Criteria and process for transfer of load reduction credit between parties as allowed by source-specific rules Annual mass load units, account for delivery differences to lake Ο Within subwatershed DWR approved practices, potentially others Ο Sources - private banks or Division Mitigation Services (DMS)  $\circ$  To date virtually all projects = riparian restoration in rural areas 8 8-8 Two rules govern: 15A NCAC 02B .0703 – Nutrient Offset Credit Trading 15A NCAC 02B .0273 – Jordan Options for Offsetting Nutrient Loads • Several DEQ branches involved in implementation and enforcement X Jordan .0273 in effect. 2B .0703 in effect, amended 2020

#### **Collaboratory Report - Recommendations**

- Reduce **point source** loads
- Prioritize older urban infrastructure retrofits
- Minimize or offset **new development** loading
- Mitigate agricultural loading, especially wet years
- Coordinate forest preservation
- Maintain/repair septic systems
- Ecosystem:
  - Restore streams, reconnect floodplains
  - Fence cattle out of streams
  - Increase riparian buffers, infiltration



### Engagement, Rules Readoption Schedule

#### Lake Model Finalization

Late 2023 Winter 2023 – Fall 2024 Release completed lake model for external review and comment. External review and comment, model finalization

#### **Informal Stakeholder Process**

All Stakeholders MeetingsNov 2, 2023:Kickoff - Joint JLOW/DWR Autumn meetingFeb 2024:Detailed review of current knowledge, implications

*Technical Focus Groups* Late Feb - April 2024 June - August 2024

*Draft Rule Vetting* Sept-Oct 2024

#### **Formal Rulemaking**

Nov 2024 Nov 2024 - Sum 2025 Fall 2025 Fall 2025 – Sum 2026 Round 1 (2 meetings each for 3 Rules, 9 weeks) Round 2 (2 meetings each for 3 Rules, 9 weeks)

First and second draft rules released for feedback

Draft rules to EMC Water Quality Committee, request to proceed with rulemaking Fiscal analysis, OSBM approval Request EMC approval to proceed with comment and hearings Public hearings/comment, Hearing Officers deliberate, EMC adopts rules

### 'New' Lake and Watershed Studies

- Today's panel presents highlights from the latest lake and watershed modeling studies
- Collaboratory Jordan Lake Study Final Report December 2019
- Jordan Lake Reservoir Model supplemental UNC refinements August 2023
- DWR Jordan Watershed Trend Analysis March 2022

Jim Bowen, UNC Charlotte

2023 Reservoir Model - Stations in Jordan Lake

**Under Internal Review** 

Dan Obenour Lab, UNC Chapel Hill, Collaboratory

2019 Watershed Model

Additional research: <u>UNC Collab</u>, <u>Report</u> Andy Painter, DWR Modeling and Assessment

2022 Trend Analysis -Stations in Jordan Watershed – <u>Storymaps</u>

### More Information

Contacts Ellie Rauh, <u>ellie.rauh@deq.nc.gov</u> – Jordan Coordinator Rich Gannon, <u>rich.gannon@deq.nc.gov</u> – NPS Supervisor

#### Jordan Lake Nutrient Strategy Website

https://www.deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/water-resources/waterplanning/nonpoint-source-planning/jordan-lake-nutrient-strategy



## Reserve Slides

#### Statutory Charges – Federal and State

 Federal Clean Water Act – framework: designate uses of surface waters, set water quality standards, regulate discharges of pollutants into waters of the US

- DEQ delegated authority by EPA, obligated to protect water resources
- $_{\odot}\,$  States to address impairments of water quality standards
- o Recent decades increased emphasis on addressing nutrient impairments

 $_{\odot}$  NC Statutes follow federal – 143B-282 EMC Powers and Duties

- EMC charged with restoring impaired waters, regulating point and nonpoint sources
- $\,\circ\,$  143-15.8B EMC set goals for nutrient-impaired waters, develop plans

15A NCAC 02B .0211 - Freshwater Class C Standards

**o Sets chlorophyll-a, pH, turbidity standards** 

#### Collaboratory Studies – Hydrology, Loading

- Watershed Study:
  - Older, pre-1980, urban development contributes > 2x loading of post-1980 development

- Reservoir Model NCSU (Year 3)
  - Lake reduction needs range: 25-75% N; 30-75% P
  - Sediment causing recovery delays
  - **High flow events** deliver significant proportion of nutrient load, and contribute to lake sediment resuspension

#### **Collaboratory Studies - Practices**

- Best Management Practices Literature Review
  - Evaluated lowest-cost strategies per pound nutrients removed. Riparian buffers are considered cost effective.
- Agricultural Study
  - Greatest loading from grazed pasture; 40% ag stream miles unbuffered
  - In wet years, agricultural loading increases more than loading from other land covers
  - Little change in total agricultural acres; most development occurs on forest land



## Jordan is so Popular – What Problems?

1960's, 1970's - Nutrient problems predicted **1981-82** – Reservoir constructed **1983** – "Nutrient Sensitive", 2 mg/l TP discharge limits **1983 – present: Consistently rated overenriched Frequent harmful algal blooms in Summer** 66 1996, 2003: Taste & odor complaints, Cary **1997 - Clean Water Responsibility Act – tighter N, P limits** 2000 – UNH Dischargers 0.5 mg/l Summer TP 2002 - Upper New Hope Arm Impaired (303d) - EMC approves reservoir model 66 **2003-2004 - Jordan Stakeholder Project 2005:** Oct – Entire reservoir impaired Mar 2006 – Fish kill, Upper New Hope 2006 – Algal blooms, user impacts