N	lorth Carolina <mark>Was</mark>	tewater Technic	al Assistance Grants - April 2014 Applications for Agenda Items E & F	Grants-							Priority Ra	ating Systen	n Points - By	y Category	Ī
Project No.	Applicant Name	Project Name/ Engineering Firm	Project Description	Number of New Connections Served / \$ per Connection	County/ Tier	WW-TAG Funding Request	WW-TAG Grant Approved May 12, 2014	Total Project Cost	Points Submitted	Points Verified (Total All Categories)	Cat. I Project Type	Cat. II Environ- mental	Cat. III System Mgmt.	Cat. IV Financial Situation	
				FUNDING	SUMMARY	\$999,400	\$365,400	\$1,126,650							
1	Williamston, Town of	SSES Phase II	Phase II Sewer System Evaluation Study of 16 priority pipeline sections identified in previous I/I study; CCTV 10,100 If of sewer		Martin	\$40,400	\$40,400	\$40,400	46.95	44.95	0	0	10	34.95	
		Rivers and Associates	and 161 manholes; study will indentify rehabilitation methods and estimated costs for rehabilitation		1										
		WWTP Study	Study of wastewater treatment facilities to determine		Sampson										:
2	Garland, Town of	McGill Associates	operational improvements and/or capital improvements required to bring WWTP back into compliance with NPDES permit limits			\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	64.66	40.89	0	0	5	35.89	i i
					2										
		Bethel Basin Sewer Investigation			Burke										
3	Morganton, City of	WK Dickson	Sewer investigation including CCTV inspection of all gravity sewer lines within Bethel Basin; to provide a comprehensive prioritized list of sewer deficiencies for rehabilitation			\$50,000	\$50,000	\$150,000	63.44	36.44	0	10	8	18.44	r 2
					1										
		Engineer Study Replacmnt of Failed WW.	Study of soils at potential spray discharge location; site		Pamlico										
4	Bay River Metropolitan Sewer Dist.		investigation by soil scientist and hydrogeologist to determine suitability and predicted application rates; result would be used			\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	55.92	35.92	0	0	10	25.92	i 2 f
					2										
			Sewer System Evaluation Study for 300-acre sub-basin on north		Beaufort										Ì
5	Washington, City of	Rivers and Associates	side of City; evaluate 37,500 If of sewer and 161 manholes; study will involve manhole inspections, compiling flow data, and smoke- testing throughout the basin; report will detail I/I sources and cost estimates for rehabilitation			\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	39.32	35.32	0	0	8	27.32	i i F
					1										

Difference in Points Claimed & Verified	Other Considerations/Staff Notes
L. CIP is only for five years	Recorded SSOs
L. No impairment points since refereced waterbody is not listed in Integrated Report as impaired 2. Application used weighted average of nside and outside rates with no supporting calculations	Documented NOVs
L. No basinwide points due to noted noncompliance 2. CIP is only for 5 years	System failures are contributing factor to Hunting Creek fecal coliform impairment; Sewer lines in area were constructed in 1960s of VCP
 No direct connection between project and mpairment or special waters Operating ratio calculated using current inancial situation is less than 1 	Project is intended to find solution to failed infiltration basins that resulted in a loss of permitted capacity; will prevent hydraulic overload at existing sprayfields
L. CIP is only for five years 2. No flood ordinance documentation provided	Recorded SSOs

North Carolina Wastewater Technical Assistance Grants - April 2014 Applications for Gran Agenda Items E & F				Grants-							Priority R	ating Syster	n Points - B	y Category		
Project No.	Applicant Name	Project Name/ Engineering Firm	Project Description	Number of New Connections Served / \$ per Connection	County/ Tier	WW-TAG Funding Request	WW-TAG Grant Approved May 12, 2014	Total Project Cost	Points Submitted	Points Verified (Total All Categories)	Cat. I Project Type	Cat. II Environ- mental	Cat. III System Mgmt.	Cat. IV Financial Situation	Difference in Points Claimed & Verified	Other Considerations/Staff Notes
6	Lumberton, City of	The Wester	Evaluation of Northwest Sewer System using GIS mapping and evaluation of existing capacities and flows; will provide determination of best solution to transport flows including required modifications and upgrades		Robeson 1	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	67.55	33.42	0	0	9	24.42	 Average utility rate calculated incorrectly based on information provided Does not implement basinwide strategy or recommendation Referenced waterbody is not HQW at project location No direct connection between project and coastal habitat protection plan CIP only cover 5 years Narrative does not explain how land use plan exceeds state standards 	Recorded SSOs
7			Evaluation of the WWTP to determine operational modifications; evaluation of screening, clarifiers, chlorination and dechlorination, and sludge processing facilities		Cherokee 2	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	75.17	29.67	0	5	1		 Does not directly implement any strategy or recommendation in basinwide plan No direct link listed turbidity impairment Operating ratio less than one with current financial information Application referenced incorrect MHI data 	Documented violations of permit NPDES permit limits; receiving water is a Trout stream
8	Eden, City of		Development of dynamic hydraulic model of Kuder Street and Dry Creek sewer basins; model will be used to predict current and future SSO areas and guide capital improvements; model will cover 41,610 If of sewer lines		Rockingham 1	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	70.87	28.87	0	0	7	21.87	 Rate form incorrectly calculated average utility bill No basinwide points due to noncompliance No direct link between project and existing copper and turbidty impairments No documentation or narrative for moratorium No evidence of regional ownership and management 	Will allow City to comply with EPA administrative order
9	Ramseur, Town of	Partial SSES Phase 2 & PER The Wooten Company	Evaluation of 75,000 If of sewer main via smoke testing; 7,000 If of tv inspection and cleaning; report will provide locations of deficiencies and recommendations of improvements to reduce I/I related overflows and pump station flooding		Randoph 2	\$50,000		\$50,750	68.36	27.36	0	0	3	24.36	 No basinwide points due to compliance issues No mapping provided demonstrating direct link between SSOs and impaired waters No coastal habitat protection points since this project in not tributary to coastal waters No documentation of current or pending SOC 	Recorded SSOs; WWTP sees peak flows 8 times greater than average
10	Bailey, Town of	Regional Sewer Study McGill Associates	Study of alternatives for wastewater disposal to replace loss in capacity due to reduction in permitted capacity; assesment of 20 year capacity needs, evaluation of existing sewer infrastructure, options for wastewater disposal; report will provide alternatives analysis with recommendations and cost estimates		Nash 2	\$50,000		\$50,000	74.89	26.68	0	0	2	24.68		NOVs for over irrigating existing fields; system currently under moratorium

North Carolina Wastewater Technical Assistance Grant Agenda Items E &		al Assistance Grants - April 2014 Applications for Agenda Items E & F	Grants-							Priority R	ating Syster	n Points - B	y Category			
Project No.	Applicant Name	Project Name/ Engineering Firm	Project Description	Number of New Connections Served / \$ per Connection	County/ Tier	WW-TAG Funding Request	WW-TAG Grant Approved May 12, 2014	Total Project Cost	Points Submitted	Points Verified (Total All Categories)	Cat. I Project Type	Cat. II Environ- mental	Cat. III System Mgmt.	Cat. IV Financial Situation	Difference in Points Claimed & Verified	Other Considerations/Staff Notes
		WWTP Study														
11	Vanceboro, Town of	Piwers and	Engineering evaluation of WWTP; examine current and future flows, existing condition of the plant; report will provide recommendations for improvements to meet requirements of the next 20 years		Craven	\$50,000		\$50,000	26.52	26.52	0	0	9	17.52		NOVs for exceeding discharge limits for fecal
		Lenoir Biosolids Study			2										1. Does not implement any basinwide	
12	Lenoir, City of		Evaluation of alternatives for handling and treating sludge at Lower Creek WWTP		Caldwell			\$50,000	74.01	24.76	0	0	5		strategy or recommendation 2. No direct link between the project and the noted stream impairments due to insufficient mapping and discussion 3. No WS-IV points as no letter provided by	NOVs at WWTP due to inability to
12		McGill Associates			1	<i></i>									DWR; no asset management plan narrative 4. No narrative explaining how flood ordinance or land use plan exceeds standards 5. Incorrect MHI data used	handle solids
		I & I Mapping			Transylvania										 No documentation provided for SOC No narrative provided to support Asset Management points 	City is currently under settlement agreement with NCDENR for collection system violations
13	Brevard, City of		Indentify, locate and map inflow and exfiltration in the collection system using thermal imagery		2	\$50,000		\$55,750	30.22	22.53	0	0	5		 Documentation exceeding state standards for flood hazard and land use was not provided but was included in another application for the Town, points were given 	
		Study of Impacts on High Strength WW	Evaluate alternatives for expanded treatment capacity and pretreat alternatives for exisiting and future Brewery operations tributary to the WWTP; report will determine system improvements to improve BOD and TSS treatment to return to compliance with NPDES permit		Transylvania											
14	Brevard, City of					\$50,000		\$50,000	22.57	22.53	0	0	5	17.53		Will allow treatment of high-strength wastewaters to facilitate growing brewery business supporting jobs and tourism; documented NOVs
		North & South			2											
			Evaluation of 44,000 lf of sewer mains to identify sources of I/I;		Gaston										 No basinwide points due to noncompliance No impairment points since documentation of impairment is for turbidity 	
15	Lowell, City of	WK Dickson	includes manhole inspection, flow isolation and smoke testing; engineering report to be developed with prioritization and cost estimates		2 \$50,000	\$50,000		\$50,000	51.82	15.81	0	0	1		with no direct connection, fecal coliform is currently listed as a potential standards violation 3. Flood hazard prevention narrative does not discuss exceeding state standards	Recorded SSOs/NOVs due to I/I

North Carolina Wastewater Technical Assistance Grants - April 2014 Applications for G Agenda Items E & F			Grants-							Priority Rating System Points - By Category			By Category			
Project No.	Applicant Name	Project Name/ Engineering Firm	Project Description	Number of New Connections Served / \$ per Connection	County/ Tier	WW-TAG Funding Request	WW-TAG Grant Approved May 12, 2014	Total Project Cost	Points Submitted	Points Verified (Total All Categories)	Cat. I Project Type	Cat. II Environ- mental	Cat. III System Mgmt.	Cat. IV Financial Situation	Difference in Points Claimed & Verified	Other Considerations/Staff Notes
16	Albemarle, City of	LKC Engineering	Study at two water treatment plants to evaluate alum sludge disposal options to aleviate NOVs at WWTP; development of a sludge production model to determine how to reduce sludge volumes, predict future sludge production, develop cost estimates for onsite sludge dewatering		Stanly 2	\$34,000		\$34,000	27.34	15.34	0	0	0	15.34	 No direct connection between project and copper impairment Project is not included in the current SOC 	Documented NOVs at WWTP
		INCOMPLE	TE APPLICATIONS													
17	Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority	Historic Johnesville Collection System Eval West Consultants	Sewer evaluation of manholes; smoke test all lines with service connections; catalogue findings into database; review SSO history; report defects with recommendations for repairs		Surry	\$50,000		\$50,000	*	*	*	*	*	*		Incomplete application-Resolution not provided
		INELIGIBL	LE APPLICATIONS													
18	Canton, Town of		Evaulation of chlorine disinfection operations, review of collection system, identification of sources of high fecal coliform, review of Evergreen Packaging treatment facility; report to identify issues and develop plan of action and cost estimates for		Haywood	\$50,000		\$50,000	*	*	*	*	*	*		Project not eligible since portion of work is to be performed at private treatment facility
			correcting the problem		2											
19	Greenville Utilities Commission	Eval Rehab Opt for the Air Dist. System	Evaluation of airline rehabilitation techniques to make near term critical repairs until planned replacement can be implemented		Pitt 2	\$50,000		\$70,000	*	*	*	*	*	*		Ineligible due to not currently being in violation of permit limits or having a documented risk of violating limits in the most recent Department inspection
20	Robbins, Town of	Sewer System Evaluation Survey & PER The Wooten	Sewer system evaluation study; system mapping and manhole inspection; evaluation of existing smoke testing data; CCTV inspection and cleaning of 10% of system; evaluation of main pump station; evaluation of WWTP to handle high flows		Moore	\$50,000		\$50,750	*	*	*	*	*	*		Project not eligible due to being located in Tier 3 county
		Company			3											
21	Selma, Town of	The Wooten	Sewer evaluation study; smoke testing of 37,000 lf sewer main; 10,800 lf of CCTV inspection and cleaning		Johnston	\$50,000		\$50,000	*	*	*	*	*	*		Project not eligible due to being located in Tier 3 county
		Company			3											