North Carolina Wastewater Technical Assistance Grants (TAG) - October 2014 Application Bound

| North Carolina Wastewater Technical Assistance Grants (TAG) - October 2014 Application Round Projects Approved for Funding by State Water Infrastructure Authority on Dec. 11, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|--------------|------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|
| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name | Engineering Firm | Project Description | County | lier | WW TAG Funding Request | WW TAG Grant | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Env. Benefit | system Mgmt. | inancials | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified Other Considerations/ Staff Notes |
| | | | | | | | \$580,599 | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 1 | Asheboro, City of | Penwood Branch Partial Sewer Evaluation and PER | The Wooten Company | Evaluation of high I/I flows including inspection and CCTV of 4,500 If of gravity sewer; flow metering; manhole evaluation | Randolph | 2 | \$49,999 | \$49,999 | \$49,999 | 66.84 | 37.84 | 10 | 5 | 22.84 | No basinwide points due to noncompliance Over 1,000 connection without an implemented asset management plan No documentation provided showing that the existing land use plan is water-quality based. No documentation provided for Flood Hazard Prevention ordinance. |
| 2 | Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority | Historical Jonesville Collection System Evaluation and Report | West Consultants | Sewer evaluation of manholes; smoke test all lines with service connections; catalogue findings into database; review SSO history; report defects with recommendations for repairs | Yadkin | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 39.17 | 35.16 | 0 | 13 | 22.16 | Land use plan only covers part of the service area; gave points for significant steps towards adoption Flood hazard prevention only covers part of the service area |
| 3 | Lenoir, City of | Lenoir Biosolids Study | McGill Associates | Evaluation of alternatives for handling and treating sludge at Lower Creek WWTP | Caldwell | 1 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 51.49 | 34.49 | 0 | 15 | 19.49 | No direct link between the project and the noted stream impairments due to insufficient mapping and discussion. No WS-IV points as no letter provided by DWR verifying that project is located in area cover by plan. Narrative for land use plan does not clearly demonstrate exceedance of state standards. Cited exceedance of flood damage prevention standards does not match provided ordinance. |
| 4 | Ramseur, Town of | Partial SSES Phase 2 and PER | The Wooten Company | Completion of Phase II SSES including smoke testing and CCTV to determine sources of I/I | Randolph | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,750 | 35.19 | 33.02 | 0 | 8 | 25.02 | 1. Application used incorrect MHI data in financial calculations. |
| 5 | Pikeville, Town of | Wastewater Treatment & Disposal Evaluation | McGill Associates | Study of ongoing noncompliance issues at WWTP including exceedances of flow, BOD, TSS, and turbidity limits. | Wayne | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 57.43 | 32.43 | 0 | 11 | 21.43 | 1. No basinwide points given due to noncompliance. |
| 6 | Bailey, Town of | Regional Sewer Study | McGill Associates | Evaluation of wastewater treatment and disposal options to provide additional treatment capacity to return to compliance | Nash | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 39.68 | 28.68 | 0 | 4 | 24.68 | No direct connection between project and the downstream impairment due to distance and lack of demonstrated impact. Community development plan documentation indicates water- quality based initiatives have not been implemented; gave points for significant steps towards adoption. |
| 7 | Lake Lure, Town of | Interconnect CWSRF Fund ER | WK Dickson | Engineering report for a sewer connection between Lake Lure and another local government to eliminate the Lake Lure WWTP | Rutherford | 1 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 33.33 | 28.16 | 10 | 0 | 18.16 | Applicant used CWSRF priority system instead of state system. No documentation provided for SOC. |
| 8 | Hookerton, Town of | WWTP Improvements Study | N/A | Study to determine potential improvements to WWTP including effluent filtration in order to resolve ongoing non-compliance | Greene | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 35.22 | 28.14 | 0 | 2 | 26.14 | OR calculated using LGC 108C data is less than 1. Narrative does not discuss water-quality based measures included in land use plan. |
| 9 | Woodland, Town of | Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Study | The Wooten Company | SSES of 40,000 If of gravity sewer and PER identifying repairs and costs to eliminate I/I causing SSOs | Northampton | 1 | \$46,600 | \$46,600 | \$46,600 | 28.38 | 27.38 | 0 | 1 | 26.38 | 1. Narrative does not demonstrate that flood hazard plan exceeds state requirements. |
| 10 | Brevard, City of | I & I Mapping | Brown Consultants | Identify, locate and map inflow and exfiltration in the collection system using thermal imagery | Transylvania | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$55,750 | 30.22 | 24.53 | 0 | 7 | 17.53 | No narrative provided to support Asset Management points Documentation exceeding state standards for flood hazard and land use was not provided but was included in another application for the Town, points were given Other Considerations: City is currently under settlement agreement with NCDENR for collection system violations |

| Project No. | Applicant Name | Project Name | Engineering Firm | Project Description | County | Tier | WW TAG Funding Request | WW TAG Grant | Total Project Cost | Points Submitted | Points Verified (Total All Categories) | Env. Benefit | System Mgmt. | Financials | Difference in Points Claimed & Verified | Other Considerations/ Staff Notes |
|-------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--------------|------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|--------------|------------|--|---|
| 11 | Brevard, City of | Study of Impacts on High Strength WW | CDM Smith | Evaluate alternatives for expanded treatment capacity and pretreat alternatives for existing and future Brewery operations tributary to the WWTP; report will determine system improvements to improve BOD and TSS treatment to return to compliance with NPDES permit | Transylvania | 2 | \$50,000 | | \$50,000 | 22.57 | 22.53 | 0 | 5 | 17.53 | | Other Considerations: Will allow treatment of high-strength wastewaters to facilitate growing brewery business supporting jobs and tourism; documented NOVs |
| 12 | Lowell, City of | North & South Basins Sewer Investigation | WK Dickson | Evaluation of 44,000 lf of sewer mains to identify sources of I/I; includes manhole inspection, flow isolation and smoke testing; engineering report to be developed with prioritization and cost estimates | Gaston | 2 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 51.82 | 15.81 | 0 | 1 | 14.81 | No basinwide points due to noncompliance No impairment points since documentation of impairment is for turbidity with no direct connection, fecal coliform is currently listed as a potential standards violation Flood hazard prevention narrative does not discuss exceeding state standards | |
| 13 | Albemarle, City of | Alum Sludge Disposal Study | LKC Engineering | Study at two water treatment plants to evaluate alum sludge disposal options to alleviate NOVs at WWTP; development of a sludge production model to determine how to reduce sludge volumes, predict future sludge production, develop cost estimates for onsite sludge dewatering | Stanly | 2 | \$34,000 | \$34,000 | \$34,000 | 27.34 | 15.34 | 0 | 0 | 15.34 | No direct connection between project and copper impairment Project is not included in the current SOC | |
| INE | IGIBLE APPLICAT | ONS (Not Scored (*)) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Burke, County of | Wastewater Technical Assistant Grant | West Consultants | ** Not Eligible for TAG ** Sewer system asset inventory via record review; identification of rehabilitation needs; development of a CIP; investigation of revenue generation to pay for repair | Burke | 1 | \$50,000 | | \$50,000 | 30.85 | * | * | * | * | | Staff Notes: Not eligible since project is to developed an asset management plan and not to determine the best way to fix a compliance issue |
| 15 | Canton, Town of | Wastewater Disinfection Study | McGill Associates | ** Not Eligible for TAG ** Evaluation of Town disinfection to evaluate compliance issues at industrial treatment facility experiencing violations | Haywood | 2 | \$50,000 | | \$50,750 | 51.43 | * | * | * | * | | Staff Notes: Not eligible since project will study offsite disinfection from the Town and not the conditions at the plant site which is in non-compliance |
| 16 | Cofield, Town of | Wastewater Collection System I&I Analysis | Municipal Engineering | ** Not Eligible for TAG ** Evaluation of I/I resulting in treatment surcharges from the Town of Winton | Hertford | 1 | \$50,000 | | \$50,000 | 38.67 | * | * | * | * | | Staff Notes: Not eligible since project is to eliminate high costs associated with sending flows to Town of Winston and not a compliance issue |
| 17 | Murphy, Town of | Sewer System Evaluation & Infiltration/Inflow Analysis | McGill Associates | ** Not Eligible for TAG ** Study to identify sources of high I/I and development rehabilitation program and cost estimates to complete work | Cherokee | 2 | \$50,000 | | \$50,750 | 55.68 | * | * | * | * | | Staff Notes: Not eligible since no clear connection between scope of study and violations at treatment plant for TSS. Documentation seem to support solids management issues as the plant as opposed to flow issues. |
| 18 | Vanceboro, Town of | WWTP Study 2014 | Rivers & Associates | ** Not Eligible for TAG ** Engineering evaluation of current and future flows and treatment options to determine potential repairs and plant upgrades to meet 20 year need | Craven | 2 | \$50,000 | | \$50,000 | 26.81 | * | * | * | * | | Staff Notes: Not eligible since project conducts an evaluation of current and future flows but does not address a compliance issue |